

# ZF

Steven Obua

May 23, 2024

```
theory HOLZF
imports Main
begin

typeddecl ZF

axiomatization
  Empty :: ZF and
  Elem :: ZF ⇒ ZF ⇒ bool and
  Sum :: ZF ⇒ ZF and
  Power :: ZF ⇒ ZF and
  Repl :: ZF ⇒ (ZF ⇒ ZF) ⇒ ZF and
  Inf :: ZF

definition Upair :: ZF ⇒ ZF ⇒ ZF where
  Upair a b == Repl (Power (Power Empty)) (% x. if x = Empty then a else b)

definition Singleton:: ZF ⇒ ZF where
  Singleton x == Upair x x

definition union :: ZF ⇒ ZF ⇒ ZF where
  union A B == Sum (Upair A B)

definition SucNat:: ZF ⇒ ZF where
  SucNat x == union x (Singleton x)

definition subset :: ZF ⇒ ZF ⇒ bool where
  subset A B ≡ ∀ x. Elem x A → Elem x B

axiomatization where
  Empty: Not (Elem x Empty) and
  Ext: (x = y) = (∀ z. Elem z x = Elem z y) and
  Sum: Elem z (Sum x) = (∃ y. Elem z y ∧ Elem y x) and
  Power: Elem y (Power x) = (subset y x) and
  Repl: Elem b (Repl A f) = (∃ a. Elem a A ∧ b = f a) and
  Regularity: A ≠ Empty → (∃ x. Elem x A ∧ (∀ y. Elem y x → Not (Elem y
```

$A)))$  and  
 $\text{Infinity} : \text{Elem } \text{Empty } \text{Inf} \wedge (\forall x. \text{Elem } x \text{ Inf} \longrightarrow \text{Elem } (\text{SucNat } x) \text{ Inf})$

**definition**  $\text{Sep} :: \text{ZF} \Rightarrow (\text{ZF} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{ZF}$  **where**  
 $\text{Sep } A \ p == (\text{if } (\forall x. \text{Elem } x \ A \longrightarrow \text{Not } (p \ x)) \text{ then } \text{Empty} \text{ else}$   
 $(\text{let } z = (\epsilon x. \text{Elem } x \ A \ \& \ p \ x) \text{ in}$   
 $\text{let } f = \lambda x. (\text{if } p \ x \text{ then } x \text{ else } z) \text{ in } \text{Repl } A \ f))$

**thm**  $\text{Power}[\text{unfolded subset-def}]$

**theorem**  $\text{Sep}: \text{Elem } b \ (\text{Sep } A \ p) = (\text{Elem } b \ A \ \wedge \ p \ b)$   
**apply** (auto simp add: Sep-def Empty)  
**apply** (auto simp add: Let-def Repl)  
**apply** (rule someI2, auto)+  
**done**

**lemma**  $\text{subset-empty}: \text{subset } \text{Empty } A$   
**by** (simp add: subset-def Empty)

**theorem**  $\text{Upair}: \text{Elem } x \ (\text{Upair } a \ b) = (x = a \vee x = b)$   
**apply** (auto simp add: Upair-def Repl)  
**apply** (rule exI[where x=Empty])  
**apply** (simp add: Power subset-empty)  
**apply** (rule exI[where x=Power Empty])  
**apply** (auto)  
**apply** (auto simp add: Ext Power subset-def Empty)  
**apply** (drule spec[where x=Empty], simp add: Empty)+  
**done**

**lemma**  $\text{Singleton}: \text{Elem } x \ (\text{Singleton } y) = (x = y)$   
**by** (simp add: Singleton-def Upair)

**definition**  $\text{Opair} :: \text{ZF} \Rightarrow \text{ZF} \Rightarrow \text{ZF}$  **where**  
 $\text{Opair } a \ b == \text{Upair } (\text{Upair } a \ a) \ (\text{Upair } a \ b)$

**lemma**  $\text{Upair-singleton}: (\text{Upair } a \ a = \text{Upair } c \ d) = (a = c \ \& \ a = d)$   
**by** (auto simp add: Ext[where x=Upair a] Upair)

**lemma**  $\text{Upair-fsteq}: (\text{Upair } a \ b = \text{Upair } a \ c) = ((a = b \ \& \ a = c) \mid (b = c))$   
**by** (auto simp add: Ext[where x=Upair a b] Upair)

**lemma**  $\text{Upair-comm}: \text{Upair } a \ b = \text{Upair } b \ a$   
**by** (auto simp add: Ext Upair)

**theorem**  $\text{Opair}: (\text{Opair } a \ b = \text{Opair } c \ d) = (a = c \ \& \ b = d)$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\text{fst}: (\text{Opair } a \ b = \text{Opair } c \ d) \implies a = c$   
**apply** (simp add: Opair-def)  
**apply** (simp add: Ext[where x=Upair (Upair a a) (Upair a b)])

```

apply (drule spec[where x=Upair a a])
apply (auto simp add: Upair Upair-singleton)
done
show ?thesis
  apply (auto)
  apply (erule fst)
  apply (frule fst)
  apply (auto simp add: Opair-def Upair-fsteq)
  done
qed

definition Replacement :: ZF ⇒ (ZF ⇒ ZF option) ⇒ ZF where
  Replacement A f ==> Repl (Sep A (% a. f a ≠ None)) (the o f)

theorem Replacement: Elem y (Replacement A f) = (exists x. Elem x A ∧ f x = Some y)
  by (auto simp add: Replacement-def Repl Sep)

definition Fst :: ZF ⇒ ZF where
  Fst q ==> SOME x. ∃ y. q = Opair x y

definition Snd :: ZF ⇒ ZF where
  Snd q ==> SOME y. ∃ x. q = Opair x y

theorem Fst: Fst (Opair x y) = x
  apply (simp add: Fst-def)
  apply (rule someI2)
  apply (simp-all add: Opair)
  done

theorem Snd: Snd (Opair x y) = y
  apply (simp add: Snd-def)
  apply (rule someI2)
  apply (simp-all add: Opair)
  done

definition isOpair :: ZF ⇒ bool where
  isOpair q ==> ∃ x y. q = Opair x y

lemma isOpair: isOpair (Opair x y) = True
  by (auto simp add: isOpair-def)

lemma FstSnd: isOpair x ==> Opair (Fst x) (Snd x) = x
  by (auto simp add: isOpair-def Fst Snd)

definition CartProd :: ZF ⇒ ZF ⇒ ZF where
  CartProd A B ==> Sum(Repl A (% a. Repl B (% b. Opair a b)))

lemma CartProd: Elem x (CartProd A B) = (exists a b. Elem a A ∧ Elem b B ∧ x =

```

```

(Opair a b))
apply (auto simp add: CartProd-def Sum Repl)
apply (rule-tac x=Repl B (Opair a) in exI)
apply (auto simp add: Repl)
done

definition explode :: ZF ⇒ ZF set where
explode z == { x. Elem x z }

lemma explode-Empty: (explode x = {}) = (x = Empty)
by (auto simp add: explode-def Ext Empty)

lemma explode-Elem: (x ∈ explode X) = (Elem x X)
by (simp add: explode-def)

lemma Elem-explode-in: [ Elem a A; explode A ⊆ B ] ⇒ a ∈ B
by (auto simp add: explode-def)

lemma explode-CartProd-eq: explode (CartProd a b) = (% (x,y). Opair x y) ` ((explode a) × (explode b))
by (simp add: explode-def set-eq-iff CartProd image-def)

lemma explode-Repl-eq: explode (Repl A f) = image f (explode A)
by (simp add: explode-def Repl image-def)

definition Domain :: ZF ⇒ ZF where
Domain f == Replacement f (% p. if isOpair p then Some (Fst p) else None)

definition Range :: ZF ⇒ ZF where
Range f == Replacement f (% p. if isOpair p then Some (Snd p) else None)

theorem Domain: Elem x (Domain f) = (∃ y. Elem (Opair x y) f)
apply (auto simp add: Domain-def Replacement)
apply (rule-tac x=Snd xa in exI)
apply (simp add: FstSnd)
apply (rule-tac x=Opair x y in exI)
apply (simp add: isOpair Fst)
done

theorem Range: Elem y (Range f) = (∃ x. Elem (Opair x y) f)
apply (auto simp add: Range-def Replacement)
apply (rule-tac x=Fst x in exI)
apply (simp add: FstSnd)
apply (rule-tac x=Opair x y in exI)
apply (simp add: isOpair Snd)
done

theorem union: Elem x (union A B) = (Elem x A ∣ Elem x B)
by (auto simp add: union-def Sum Upair)

```

```

definition Field :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
  Field A == union (Domain A) (Range A)

definition app :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $=>$  ZF (infixl' 90) — function application where
  f' x == (THE y. Elem (Opair x y) f)

definition isFun :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  isFun f == ( $\forall$  x y1 y2. Elem (Opair x y1) f & Elem (Opair x y2) f  $\longrightarrow$  y1 = y2)

definition Lambda :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  (ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF)  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
  Lambda A f == Repl A (% x. Opair x (f x))

lemma Lambda-app: Elem x A  $\implies$  (Lambda A f)x = f x
  by (simp add: app-def Lambda-def Repl Opair)

lemma isFun-Lambda: isFun (Lambda A f)
  by (auto simp add: isFun-def Lambda-def Repl Opair)

lemma domain-Lambda: Domain (Lambda A f) = A
  apply (auto simp add: Domain-def)
  apply (subst Ext)
  apply (auto simp add: Replacement)
  apply (simp add: Lambda-def Repl)
  apply (auto simp add: Fst)
  apply (simp add: Lambda-def Repl)
  apply (rule-tac x=Opair z (f z) in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: Fst isOpair-def)
  done

lemma Lambda-ext: (Lambda s f = Lambda t g) = (s = t  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  x. Elem x s  $\longrightarrow$  f x = g x))
proof -
  have Lambda s f = Lambda t g  $\implies$  s = t
    apply (subst domain-Lambda[where A = s and f = f, symmetric])
    apply (subst domain-Lambda[where A = t and f = g, symmetric])
    apply auto
    done
  then show ?thesis
    apply auto
    apply (subst Lambda-app[where f=f, symmetric], simp)
    apply (subst Lambda-app[where f=g, symmetric], simp)
    apply auto
    apply (auto simp add: Lambda-def Repl Ext)
    apply (auto simp add: Ext[symmetric])
    done
  qed

definition PFun :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where

```

```

 $\text{PFunc } A B == \text{Sep} (\text{Power} (\text{CartProd} A B)) \text{ isFun}$ 

definition  $\text{Fun} :: \text{ZF} \Rightarrow \text{ZF} \Rightarrow \text{ZF}$  where
 $\text{Fun} A B == \text{Sep} (\text{PFunc } A B) (\lambda f. \text{Domain } f = A)$ 

lemma  $\text{Fun-Range}: \text{Elem } f (\text{Fun } U V) \implies \text{subset} (\text{Range } f) V$ 
apply ( $\text{simp add: Fun-def Sep PFunc-def Power subset-def CartProd}$ )
apply ( $\text{auto simp add: Domain Range}$ )
apply ( $\text{erule-tac } x=\text{Opair } xa\ x \text{ in allE}$ )
apply ( $\text{auto simp add: Opair}$ )
done

lemma  $\text{Elem-Elem-PFunc}: \text{Elem } F (\text{PFunc } U V) \implies \text{Elem } p F \implies \text{isOpair } p \&$ 
 $\text{Elem} (\text{Fst } p) U \& \text{Elem} (\text{Snd } p) V$ 
apply ( $\text{simp add: PFunc-def Sep Power subset-def, clarify}$ )
apply ( $\text{erule-tac } x=p \text{ in allE}$ )
apply ( $\text{auto simp add: CartProd isOpair Fst Snd}$ )
done

lemma  $\text{Fun-implies-PFunc[simp]}: \text{Elem } f (\text{Fun } U V) \implies \text{Elem } f (\text{PFunc } U V)$ 
by ( $\text{simp add: Fun-def Sep}$ )

lemma  $\text{Elem-Elem-Fun}: \text{Elem } F (\text{Fun } U V) \implies \text{Elem } p F \implies \text{isOpair } p \& \text{Elem}$ 
 $(\text{Fst } p) U \& \text{Elem} (\text{Snd } p) V$ 
by ( $\text{auto simp add: Elem-Elem-PFunc dest: Fun-implies-PFunc}$ )

lemma  $\text{PFunc-inj}: \text{Elem } F (\text{PFunc } U V) \implies \text{Elem } x F \implies \text{Elem } y F \implies \text{Fst } x =$ 
 $\text{Fst } y \implies \text{Snd } x = \text{Snd } y$ 
apply ( $\text{frule Elem-Elem-PFunc[where } p=x], \text{ simp}$ )
apply ( $\text{frule Elem-Elem-PFunc[where } p=y], \text{ simp}$ )
apply ( $\text{subgoal-tac isFun } F$ )
apply ( $\text{simp add: isFun-def isOpair-def}$ )
apply ( $\text{auto simp add: Fst Snd}$ )
apply ( $\text{auto simp add: PFunc-def Sep}$ )
done

lemma  $\text{Fun-total}: [\text{Elem } F (\text{Fun } U V); \text{Elem } a U] \implies \exists x. \text{Elem} (\text{Opair } a x) F$ 
using [[ $\text{simp-depth-limit} = 2$ ]]
by ( $\text{auto simp add: Fun-def Sep Domain}$ )

lemma  $\text{unique-fun-value}: [\text{isFun } f; \text{Elem } x (\text{Domain } f)] \implies \exists !y. \text{Elem} (\text{Opair } x$ 
 $y) f$ 
by ( $\text{auto simp add: Domain isFun-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{fun-value-in-range}: [\text{isFun } f; \text{Elem } x (\text{Domain } f)] \implies \text{Elem} (fx) (\text{Range } f)$ 
apply ( $\text{auto simp add: Range}$ )
apply ( $\text{drule unique-fun-value}$ )

```

```

apply simp
apply (simp add: app-def)
apply (rule exI[where x=x])
apply (auto simp add: the-equality)
done

lemma fun-range-witness: [|isFun f; Elem y (Range f)|] ==> ∃x. Elem x (Domain f) & fx = y
apply (auto simp add: Range)
apply (rule-tac x=x in exI)
apply (auto simp add: app-def the-equality isFun-def Domain)
done

lemma Elem-Fun-Lambda: Elem F (Fun U V) ==> ∃f. F = Lambda U f
apply (rule exI[where x= % x. (THE y. Elem (Opair x y) F)])
apply (simp add: Ext Lambda-def Repl Domain)
apply (simp add: Ext[symmetric])
apply auto
apply (frule Elem-Elem-Fun)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x=Fst z in exI)
apply (simp add: isOpair-def)
apply (auto simp add: Fst Snd Opair)
apply (rule the1I2)
apply auto
apply (drule Fun-implies-PFun)
apply (drule-tac x=Opair x ya and y=Opair x yb in Pfun-inj)
apply (auto simp add: Fst Snd)
apply (drule Fun-implies-PFun)
apply (drule-tac x=Opair x y and y=Opair x ya in Pfun-inj)
apply (auto simp add: Fst Snd)
apply (rule the1I2)
apply (auto simp add: Fun-total)
apply (drule Fun-implies-PFun)
apply (drule-tac x=Opair a x and y=Opair a y in Pfun-inj)
apply (auto simp add: Fst Snd)
done

lemma Elem-Lambda-Fun: Elem (Lambda A f) (Fun U V) = (A = U ∧ (∀x. Elem x A → Elem (f x) V))
proof -
  have Elem (Lambda A f) (Fun U V) ==> A = U
    by (simp add: Fun-def Sep domain-Lambda)
  then show ?thesis
    apply auto
    apply (drule Fun-Range)
    apply (subgoal-tac f x = ((Lambda U f)' x))
    prefer 2
    apply (simp add: Lambda-app)

```

```

apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac Elem (Lambda U f' x) (Range (Lambda U f)))
apply (simp add: subset-def)
apply (rule fun-value-in-range)
apply (simp-all add: isFun-Lambda domain-Lambda)
apply (simp add: Fun-def Sep PFun-def Power domain-Lambda isFun-Lambda)
apply (auto simp add: subset-def CartProd)
apply (rule-tac x=Fst x in exI)
apply (auto simp add: Lambda-def Repl Fst)
done
qed

```

**definition** *is-Elem-of* ::  $(ZF * ZF)$  set **where**  
 $\text{is-Elem-of} == \{ (a,b) \mid a \in b. \text{Elem } a \in b \}$

**lemma** *cond-wf-Elem*:  
**assumes** *hyp*s:  $\forall x. (\forall y. \text{Elem } y \in x \rightarrow \text{Elem } y \in U \rightarrow P y) \rightarrow \text{Elem } x \in U \rightarrow P$   
 $x \in a \in U$   
**shows** *P*  $a$   
**proof** –  
{  
fix *P*  
fix *U*  
fix *a*  
**assume** *P-induct*:  $(\forall x. (\forall y. \text{Elem } y \in x \rightarrow \text{Elem } y \in U \rightarrow P y) \rightarrow (\text{Elem } x \in U \rightarrow P x))$   
**assume** *a-in-U*:  $\text{Elem } a \in U$   
**have** *P a*  
**proof** –  
term *P*  
term *Sep*  
let  $?Z = \text{Sep } U (\text{Not } o \text{ } P)$   
**have**  $?Z = \text{Empty} \rightarrow P a$  **by** (simp add: Ext Sep Empty *a-in-U*)  
**moreover have**  $?Z \neq \text{Empty} \rightarrow \text{False}$   
**proof**  
**assume** *not-empty*:  $?Z \neq \text{Empty}$   
**note** *thereis-x = Regularity*[**where**  $A=?Z$ , simplified not-empty, simplified]  
**then obtain** *x where* *x-def*:  $\text{Elem } x \in ?Z \wedge (\forall y. \text{Elem } y \in x \rightarrow \text{Not } (\text{Elem } y \in ?Z))$  ..  
**then have** *x-induct*:  $\forall y. \text{Elem } y \in x \rightarrow \text{Elem } y \in U \rightarrow P y$  **by** (simp add: *Sep*)  
**have**  $\text{Elem } x \in U \rightarrow P x$   
**by** (rule impE[OF spec[OF *P-induct*, **where**  $x=x$ ], OF *x-induct*],  
*assumption*)  
**moreover have**  $\text{Elem } x \in U \wedge \text{Not}(P x)$   
**apply** (insert *x-def*)  
**apply** (simp add: *Sep*)  
done

```

ultimately show False by auto
qed
ultimately show P a by auto
qed
}
with hyps show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma cond2-wf-Elem:
assumes
  special-P:  $\exists U. \forall x. \text{Not}(\text{Elem } x U) \longrightarrow (P x)$ 
  and P-induct:  $\forall x. (\forall y. \text{Elem } y x \longrightarrow P y) \longrightarrow P x$ 
shows
  P a
proof -
  have  $\exists U Q. P = (\lambda x. (\text{Elem } x U \longrightarrow Q x))$ 
  proof -
    from special-P obtain U where U:  $\forall x. \text{Not}(\text{Elem } x U) \longrightarrow (P x)$  ..
    show ?thesis
      apply (rule-tac exI[where x=U])
      apply (rule exI[where x=P])
      apply (rule ext)
      apply (auto simp add: U)
      done
    qed
    then obtain U where  $\exists Q. P = (\lambda x. (\text{Elem } x U \longrightarrow Q x))$  ..
    then obtain Q where UQ:  $P = (\lambda x. (\text{Elem } x U \longrightarrow Q x))$  ..
    show ?thesis
      apply (auto simp add: UQ)
      apply (rule cond-wf-Elem)
      apply (rule P-induct[simplified UQ])
      apply simp
      done
  qed
qed

primrec nat2Nat :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
| nat2Nat-0[intro]: nat2Nat 0 = Empty
| nat2Nat-Suc[intro]: nat2Nat (Suc n) = SucNat (nat2Nat n)

definition Nat2nat :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  Nat2nat == inv nat2Nat

lemma Elec-nat2Nat-inf[intro]: Elec (nat2Nat n) Inf
  apply (induct n)
  apply (simp-all add: Infinity)
  done

definition Nat :: ZF
  where Nat == Sep Inf ( $\lambda N. \exists n. \text{nat2Nat } n = N$ )

```

```

lemma Elem-nat2Nat-Nat[intro]: Elem (nat2Nat n) Nat
  by (auto simp add: Nat-def Sep)

lemma Elem-Empty-Nat: Elem Empty Nat
  by (auto simp add: Nat-def Sep Infinity)

lemma Elem-SucNat-Nat: Elem N Nat  $\implies$  Elem (SucNat N) Nat
  by (auto simp add: Nat-def Sep Infinity)

lemma no-infinite-Elem-down-chain:
  Not ( $\exists f$ . isFun f  $\wedge$  Domain f = Nat  $\wedge$  ( $\forall N$ . Elem N Nat  $\longrightarrow$  Elem (f(SucNat N)) (fN)))
proof -
  {
    fix f
    assume f: isFun f  $\wedge$  Domain f = Nat  $\wedge$  ( $\forall N$ . Elem N Nat  $\longrightarrow$  Elem (f(SucNat N)) (fN))
    let ?r = Range f
    have ?r  $\neq$  Empty
    apply (auto simp add: Ext Empty)
    apply (rule exI[where x=fEmpty])
    apply (rule fun-value-in-range)
    apply (auto simp add: f Elem-Empty-Nat)
    done
    then have  $\exists x$ . Elem x ?r  $\wedge$  ( $\forall y$ . Elem y x  $\longrightarrow$  Not(Elem y ?r))
    by (simp add: Regularity)
    then obtain x where x: Elem x ?r  $\wedge$  ( $\forall y$ . Elem y x  $\longrightarrow$  Not(Elem y ?r)) ..
    then have  $\exists N$ . Elem N (Domain f) & fN = x
    apply (rule-tac fun-range-witness)
    apply (simp-all add: f)
    done
    then have  $\exists N$ . Elem N Nat & fN = x
    by (simp add: f)
    then obtain N where N: Elem N Nat & fN = x ..
    from N have N': Elem N Nat by auto
    let ?y = f(SucNat N)
    have Elem-y-r: Elem ?y ?r
    by (simp-all add: f Elem-SucNat-Nat fun-value-in-range)
    have Elem ?y (fN) by (auto simp add: f N')
    then have Elem ?y x by (simp add: N)
    with x have Not (Elem ?y ?r) by auto
    with Elem-y-r have False by auto
  }
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma Upair-nonEmpty: Upair a b  $\neq$  Empty
  by (auto simp add: Ext Empty Upair)

```

```

lemma Singleton-nonEmpty: Singleton x ≠ Empty
  by (auto simp add: Singleton-def Upair-nonEmpty)

lemma notsym-Elem: Not(Elem a b & Elem b a)
proof -
  {
    fix a b
    assume ab: Elem a b
    assume ba: Elem b a
    let ?Z = Upair a b
    have ?Z ≠ Empty by (simp add: Upair-nonEmpty)
    then have ∃x. Elem x ?Z ∧ (∀y. Elem y x → Not(Elem y ?Z))
      by (simp add: Regularity)
    then obtain x where x:Elem x ?Z ∧ (∀y. Elem y x → Not(Elem y ?Z)) ..
    then have x = a ∨ x = b by (simp add: Upair)
    moreover have x = a → Not (Elem b ?Z)
      by (auto simp add: x ba)
    moreover have x = b → Not (Elem a ?Z)
      by (auto simp add: x ab)
    ultimately have False
      by (auto simp add: Upair)
  }
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma irreflexiv-Elem: Not(Elem a a)
  by (simp add: notsym-Elem[of a a, simplified])

lemma antisym-Elem: Elem a b ⇒ Not (Elem b a)
  apply (insert notsym-Elem[of a b])
  apply auto
  done

primrec NatInterval :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ZF where
  NatInterval n 0 = Singleton (nat2Nat n)
  | NatInterval n (Suc m) = union (NatInterval n m) (Singleton (nat2Nat (n+m+1)))

lemma n-Elem-NatInterval[rule-format]: ∀ q. q ≤ m → Elem (nat2Nat (n+q))
  (NatInterval n m)
  apply (induct m)
  apply (auto simp add: Singleton union)
  apply (case-tac q ≤ m)
  apply auto
  apply (subgoal-tac q = Suc m)
  apply auto
  done

lemma NatInterval-not-Empty: NatInterval n m ≠ Empty

```

```

by (auto intro: n-Elem-NatInterval[where q = 0, simplified] simp add: Empty Ext)

lemma increasing-nat2Nat[rule-format]: 0 < n —> Elem (nat2Nat (n - 1))
(nat2Nat n)
  apply (case-tac  $\exists m. n = \text{Suc } m$ )
  apply (auto simp add: SucNat-def union Singleton)
  apply (drule spec[where x=n - 1])
  apply arith
  done

lemma represent-NatInterval[rule-format]: Elem x (NatInterval n m) —> ( $\exists u. n \leq u \wedge u \leq n+m \wedge \text{nat2Nat } u = x$ )
  apply (induct m)
  apply (auto simp add: Singleton union)
  apply (rule-tac x=Suc (n+m) in exI)
  apply auto
  done

lemma inj-nat2Nat: inj nat2Nat
proof –
{
  fix n m :: nat
  assume nm: nat2Nat n = nat2Nat (n+m)
  assume mg0: 0 < m
  let ?Z = NatInterval n m
  have ?Z ≠ Empty by (simp add: NatInterval-not-Empty)
  then have  $\exists x. (\text{Elem } x ?Z) \wedge (\forall y. \text{Elem } y x \longrightarrow \text{Not} (\text{Elem } y ?Z))$ 
    by (auto simp add: Regularity)
  then obtain x where x:Elem x ?Z  $\wedge (\forall y. \text{Elem } y x \longrightarrow \text{Not} (\text{Elem } y ?Z))$  ..
  then have  $\exists u. n \leq u \wedge u \leq n+m \wedge \text{nat2Nat } u = x$ 
    by (simp add: represent-NatInterval)
  then obtain u where u: n ≤ u  $\wedge u \leq n+m \wedge \text{nat2Nat } u = x$  ..
  have n < u —> False
proof
  assume n-less-u: n < u
  let ?y = nat2Nat (u - 1)
  have Elem ?y (nat2Nat u)
    apply (rule increasing-nat2Nat)
    apply (insert n-less-u)
    apply arith
    done
  with u have Elem ?y x by auto
  with x have Not (Elem ?y ?Z) by auto
  moreover have Elem ?y ?Z
    apply (insert n-Elem-NatInterval[where q = u - n - 1 and n=n and m=m])
      apply (insert n-less-u)
      apply (insert u)

```

```

apply auto
done
ultimately show False by auto
qed
moreover have  $u = n \rightarrow False$ 
proof
  assume  $u = n$ 
  with  $u$  have  $\text{nat2Nat } n = x$  by auto
  then have  $nm\text{-eq-}x : \text{nat2Nat } (n+m) = x$  by (simp add: nm)
  let ?y =  $\text{nat2Nat } (n+m - 1)$ 
  have  $\text{Elem } ?y (\text{nat2Nat } (n+m))$ 
    apply (rule increasing-nat2Nat)
    apply (insert mg0)
    apply arith
    done
  with  $nm\text{-eq-}x$  have  $\text{Elem } ?y x$  by auto
  with  $x$  have  $\text{Not } (\text{Elem } ?y ?Z)$  by auto
  moreover have  $\text{Elem } ?y ?Z$ 
    apply (insert n-Elem-NatInterval[where q = m - 1 and n=n and m=m])
    apply (insert mg0)
    apply auto
    done
  ultimately show False by auto
qed
ultimately have False using u by arith
}
note lemma-nat2Nat = this
have th:  $\forall x y. \neg(x < y \wedge (\forall(m::nat). y \neq x + m))$  by presburger
have th':  $\forall x y. \neg(x \neq y \wedge (\neg x < y) \wedge (\forall(m::nat). x \neq y + m))$  by presburger
show ?thesis
  apply (auto simp add: inj-on-def)
  apply (case-tac x = y)
  apply auto
  apply (case-tac  $x < y$ )
  apply (case-tac  $\exists m. y = x + m \wedge 0 < m$ )
  apply (auto intro: lemma-nat2Nat)
  apply (case-tac  $y < x$ )
  apply (case-tac  $\exists m. x = y + m \wedge 0 < m$ )
  apply simp
  apply simp
  using th apply blast
  apply (case-tac  $\exists m. x = y + m$ )
  apply (auto intro: lemma-nat2Nat)
  apply (drule sym)
  using lemma-nat2Nat apply blast
  using th' apply blast
  done
qed

```

```

lemma Nat2nat-nat2Nat[simp]: Nat2nat (nat2Nat n) = n
  by (simp add: Nat2nat-def inv-f-f[OF inj-nat2Nat])

lemma nat2Nat-Nat2nat[simp]: Elem n Nat ==> nat2Nat (Nat2nat n) = n
  apply (simp add: Nat2nat-def)
  apply (rule-tac f-inv-into-f)
  apply (auto simp add: image-def Nat-def Sep)
  done

lemma Nat2nat-SucNat: Elem N Nat ==> Nat2nat (SucNat N) = Suc (Nat2nat N)
  apply (auto simp add: Nat-def Sep Nat2nat-def)
  apply (auto simp add: inv-f-f[OF inj-nat2Nat])
  apply (simp only: nat2Nat.simps[symmetric])
  apply (simp only: inv-f-f[OF inj-nat2Nat])
  done

lemma Elel-Opair-exists: ∃ z. Elel x z & Elel y z & Elel z (Opair x y)
  apply (rule exI[where x=Upair x y])
  by (simp add: Upair Opair-def)

lemma UNIV-is-not-in-ZF: UNIV ≠ explode R
proof
  let ?Russell = { x. Not(Elel x x) }
  have ?Russell = UNIV by (simp add: irreflexiv-Elel)
  moreover assume UNIV = explode R
  ultimately have russell: ?Russell = explode R by simp
  then show False
  proof(cases Elel R R)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      by (insert irreflexiv-Elel, auto)
  next
    case False
    then have R ∈ ?Russell by auto
    then have Elel R R by (simp add: russell explode-def)
    with False show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

definition SpecialR :: (ZF * ZF) set where
  SpecialR ≡ { (x, y) . x ≠ Empty ∧ y = Empty }

lemma wf SpecialR
  apply (subst wf-def)
  apply (auto simp add: SpecialR-def)

```

**done**

**definition**  $Ext :: ('a * 'b) set \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a set$  **where**  
 $Ext R y \equiv \{ x . (x, y) \in R \}$

**lemma**  $Ext\text{-}Elem: Ext \text{ is-Elem-of } = explode$   
**by** (auto simp add: Ext-def is-Elem-of-def explode-def)

**lemma**  $Ext\text{ SpecialR } Empty \neq explode z$

**proof**

**have**  $Ext\text{ SpecialR } Empty = UNIV - \{Empty\}$   
**by** (auto simp add: Ext-def SpecialR-def)  
**moreover assume**  $Ext\text{ SpecialR } Empty = explode z$   
**ultimately have**  $UNIV = explode(union z (Singleton Empty))$   
**by** (auto simp add: explode-def union Singleton)  
**then show** False **by** (simp add: UNIV-is-not-in-ZF)

**qed**

**definition**  $implode :: ZF set \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
 $implode == inv explode$

**lemma**  $inj\text{-}explode: inj explode$   
**by** (auto simp add: inj-on-def explode-def Ext)

**lemma**  $implode\text{-}explode[simp]: implode(explode x) = x$   
**by** (simp add: implode-def inj-explode)

**definition**  $regular :: (ZF * ZF) set \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $regular R == \forall A. A \neq Empty \longrightarrow (\exists x. Elem x A \wedge (\forall y. (y, x) \in R \longrightarrow Not(Elem y A)))$

**definition**  $set\text{-like} :: (ZF * ZF) set \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $set\text{-like } R == \forall y. Ext R y \in range explode$

**definition**  $wfzf :: (ZF * ZF) set \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $wfzf R == regular R \wedge set\text{-like } R$

**lemma**  $regular\text{-}Elem: regular \text{ is-Elem-of }$   
**by** (simp add: regular-def is-Elem-of-def Regularity)

**lemma**  $set\text{-like}\text{-}Elem: set\text{-like} \text{ is-Elem-of }$   
**by** (auto simp add: set-like-def image-def Ext-Elem)

**lemma**  $wfzf\text{-is-Elem-of}: wfzf \text{ is-Elem-of }$   
**by** (auto simp add: wfzf-def regular-Elem set-like-Elem)

**definition**  $SeqSum :: (nat \Rightarrow ZF) \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
 $SeqSum f == Sum (Repl Nat (f o Nat2nat))$

```

lemma SeqSum: Elem x (SeqSum f) = ( $\exists n. \text{Elem } x (f n)$ )
  apply (auto simp add: SeqSum-def Sum Repl)
  apply (rule-tac x = f n in exI)
  apply auto
  done

definition Ext-ZF :: (ZF * ZF) set  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
  Ext-ZF R s == implode (Ext R s)

lemma Elem-implode: A  $\in$  range explode  $\Longrightarrow$  Elem x (implode A) = (x  $\in$  A)
  apply (auto)
  apply (simp-all add: explode-def)
  done

lemma Elem-Ext-ZF: set-like R  $\Longrightarrow$  Elem x (Ext-ZF R s) = ((x,s)  $\in$  R)
  apply (simp add: Ext-ZF-def)
  apply (subst Elem-implode)
  apply (simp add: set-like-def)
  apply (simp add: Ext-def)
  done

primrec Ext-ZF-n :: (ZF * ZF) set  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
  Ext-ZF-n R s 0 = Ext-ZF R s
  | Ext-ZF-n R s (Suc n) = Sum (Repl (Ext-ZF-n R s n) (Ext-ZF R))

definition Ext-ZF-hull :: (ZF * ZF) set  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
  Ext-ZF-hull R s == SeqSum (Ext-ZF-n R s)

lemma Elem-Ext-ZF-hull:
  assumes set-like-R: set-like R
  shows Elem x (Ext-ZF-hull R S) = ( $\exists n. \text{Elem } x (\text{Ext-ZF-n } R S n)$ )
  by (simp add: Ext-ZF-hull-def SeqSum)

lemma Elem-Elem-Ext-ZF-hull:
  assumes set-like-R: set-like R
    and x-hull: Elem x (Ext-ZF-hull R S)
    and y-R-x: (y, x)  $\in$  R
  shows Elem y (Ext-ZF-hull R S)
  proof -
    from Elem-Ext-ZF-hull[OF set-like-R] x-hull
    have  $\exists n. \text{Elem } x (\text{Ext-ZF-n } R S n)$  by auto
    then obtain n where n:Elem x (Ext-ZF-n R S n) ..
    with y-R-x have Elem y (Ext-ZF-n R S (Suc n))
      apply (auto simp add: Repl Sum)
      apply (rule-tac x=Ext-ZF R x in exI)
      apply (auto simp add: Elem-Ext-ZF[OF set-like-R])
      done
    with Elem-Ext-ZF-hull[OF set-like-R, where x=y] show ?thesis
      by (auto simp del: Ext-ZF-n.simps)

```

qed

**lemma** wfzf-minimal:

assumes hyps: wfzf R C ≠ {}  
shows ∃ x. x ∈ C ∧ (∀ y. (y, x) ∈ R → y ∉ C)

**proof** –

from hyps have ∃ S. S ∈ C by auto  
then obtain S where S:S ∈ C by auto  
let ?T = Sep (Ext-ZF-hull R S) (λ s. s ∈ C)  
from hyps have set-like-R: set-like R by (simp add: wfzf-def)  
show ?thesis  
**proof** (cases ?T = Empty)  
case True  
then have ∀ z. ¬ (Elem z (Sep (Ext-ZF R S) (λ s. s ∈ C)))  
apply (auto simp add: Ext Empty Sep Ext-ZF-hull-def SeqSum)  
apply (erule-tac x=z in allE, auto)  
apply (erule-tac x=0 in allE, auto)  
done  
then show ?thesis  
apply (rule-tac exI[where x=S])  
apply (auto simp add: Sep Empty S)  
apply (erule-tac x=y in allE)  
apply (simp add: set-like-R Elem-Ext-ZF)  
done  
next  
case False  
from hyps have regular-R: regular R by (simp add: wfzf-def)  
from  
regular-R[simplified regular-def, rule-format, OF False, simplified Sep]  
Elem-Elem-Ext-ZF-hull[OF set-like-R]  
show ?thesis by blast  
qed  
qed

**lemma** wfzf-implies-wf: wfzf R ⇒ wf R

**proof** (subst wf-def, rule allI)

assume wfzf: wfzf R  
fix P :: ZF ⇒ bool  
let ?C = {x. P x}  
{  
assume induct: (∀ x. (∀ y. (y, x) ∈ R → P y) → P x)  
let ?C = {x. ¬ (P x)}  
have ?C = {}  
**proof** (rule ccontr)  
assume C: ?C ≠ {}  
from  
wfzf-minimal[OF wfzf C]  
obtain x where x: x ∈ ?C ∧ (∀ y. (y, x) ∈ R → y ∉ ?C) ..  
then have P x

```

apply (rule-tac induct[rule-format])
apply auto
done
with x show False by auto
qed
then have  $\forall x. P x$  by auto
}
then show  $(\forall x. (\forall y. (y, x) \in R \rightarrow P y) \rightarrow P x) \rightarrow (\forall x. P x)$  by blast
qed

lemma wf-is-Elem-of: wf is-Elem-of
  by (auto simp add: wfzf-is-Elem-of wfzf-implies-wf)

lemma in-Ext-RTrans-implies-Elem-Ext-ZF-hull:
  set-like R  $\Rightarrow$   $x \in (\text{Ext } (R^+) s) \Rightarrow \text{Elem } x (\text{Ext-ZF-hull } R s)$ 
  apply (simp add: Ext-def Elem-Ext-ZF-hull)
  apply (erule converse-trancl-induct[where r=R])
  apply (rule exI[where x=0])
  apply (simp add: Elem-Ext-ZF)
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x=Suc n in exI)
  apply (simp add: Sum Repl)
  apply (rule-tac x=Ext-ZF R z in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: Elem-Ext-ZF)
  done

lemma implodeable-Ext-trancl: set-like R  $\Rightarrow$  set-like  $(R^+)$ 
  apply (subst set-like-def)
  apply (auto simp add: image-def)
  apply (rule-tac x=Sep (Ext-ZF-hull R y) ( $\lambda z. z \in (\text{Ext } (R^+) y)$ ) in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: explode-def Sep set-eqI
    in-Ext-RTrans-implies-Elem-Ext-ZF-hull)
  done

lemma Elem-Ext-ZF-hull-implies-in-Ext-RTrans[rule-format]:
  set-like R  $\Rightarrow \forall x. \text{Elem } x (\text{Ext-ZF-n } R s n) \rightarrow x \in (\text{Ext } (R^+) s)$ 
  apply (induct-tac n)
  apply (auto simp add: Elem-Ext-ZF Ext-def Sum Repl)
  done

lemma set-like R  $\Rightarrow$  Ext-ZF  $(R^+) s = \text{Ext-ZF-hull } R s$ 
  apply (frule implodeable-Ext-trancl)
  apply (auto simp add: Ext)
  apply (erule in-Ext-RTrans-implies-Elem-Ext-ZF-hull)
  apply (simp add: Elem-Ext-ZF Ext-def)
  apply (auto simp add: Elem-Ext-ZF Elem-Ext-ZF-hull)
  apply (erule Elem-Ext-ZF-hull-implies-in-Ext-RTrans[simplified Ext-def, simplified], assumption)
  done

```

```

lemma wf-implies-regular: wf R ==> regular R
proof (simp add: regular-def, rule allI)
  assume wf: wf R
  fix A
  show A ≠ Empty —> (∃ x. Elem x A ∧ (∀ y. (y, x) ∈ R —> ¬ Elem y A))
  proof
    assume A: A ≠ Empty
    then have ∃ x. x ∈ explode A
      by (auto simp add: explode-def Ext Empty)
    then obtain x where x:x ∈ explode A ..
    from iffD1[OF wf-eq-minimal wf, rule-format, where Q=explode A, OF x]
    obtain z where z ∈ explode A ∧ (∀ y. (y, z) ∈ R —> y ∉ explode A) by auto

    then show ∃ x. Elem x A ∧ (∀ y. (y, x) ∈ R —> ¬ Elem y A)
      apply (rule-tac exI[where x = z])
      apply (simp add: explode-def)
      done
  qed
qed

lemma wf-eq-wfzf: (wf R ∧ set-like R) = wfzf R
apply (auto simp add: wfzf-implies-wf)
apply (auto simp add: wfzf-def wf-implies-regular)
done

lemma wfzf-trancl: wfzf R ==> wfzf (R+)
by (auto simp add: wf-eq-wfzf[symmetric] implodable-Ext-trancl wf-trancl)

lemma Ext-subset-mono: R ⊆ S ==> Ext R y ⊆ Ext S y
by (auto simp add: Ext-def)

lemma set-like-subset: set-like R ==> S ⊆ R ==> set-like S
apply (auto simp add: set-like-def)
apply (erule-tac x=y in allE)
apply (drule-tac y=y in Ext-subset-mono)
apply (auto simp add: image-def)
apply (rule-tac x=Sep x (% z. z ∈ (Ext S y)) in exI)
apply (auto simp add: explode-def Sep)
done

lemma wfzf-subset: wfzf S ==> R ⊆ S ==> wfzf R
by (auto intro: set-like-subset wf-subset simp add: wf-eq-wfzf[symmetric])

end

```

```

theory Zet
imports HOLZF

```

```

begin

definition zet = {A :: 'a set | A f z. inj-on f A ∧ f ` A ⊆ explode z}

typedef 'a zet = zet :: 'a set set
  unfolding zet-def by blast

definition zin :: 'a ⇒ 'a zet ⇒ bool where
  zin x A == x ∈ (Rep-zet A)

lemma zet-ext-eq: (A = B) = (∀x. zin x A = zin x B)
  by (auto simp add: Rep-zet-inject[symmetric] zin-def)

definition zimage :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a zet ⇒ 'b zet where
  zimage f A == Abs-zet (image f (Rep-zet A))

lemma zet-def': zet = {A :: 'a set | A f z. inj-on f A ∧ f ` A = explode z}
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  apply (auto simp add: zet-def)
  apply (rule-tac x=f in exI)
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x=Sep z (λ y. y ∈ (f ` x)) in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: explode-def Sep)
  done

lemma image-zet-rep: A ∈ zet ==> ∃z . g ` A = explode z
  apply (auto simp add: zet-def')
  apply (rule-tac x=Repl z (g o (inv-into A f)) in exI)
  apply (simp add: explode-Repl-eq)
  apply (subgoal-tac explode z = f ` A)
  apply (simp-all add: image-image cong: image-cong-simp)
  done

lemma zet-image-mem:
  assumes Azet: A ∈ zet
  shows g ` A ∈ zet
proof –
  from Azet have ∃(f :: - ⇒ ZF). inj-on f A
    by (auto simp add: zet-def')
  then obtain f where injf: inj-on (f :: - ⇒ ZF) A
    by auto
  let ?w = f o (inv-into A g)
  have subset: (inv-into A g) ` (g ` A) ⊆ A
    by (auto simp add: inv-into-into)
  have inj-on (inv-into A g) (g ` A) by (simp add: inj-on-inv-into)
  then have injw: inj-on ?w (g ` A)
    apply (rule comp-inj-on)
    apply (rule subset-inj-on[where B=A])
    apply (auto simp add: subset injf)

```

```

done
show ?thesis
apply (simp add: zet-def' image-comp)
apply (rule exI[where x=?w])
apply (simp add: injw image-zet-rep Azet)
done
qed

lemma Rep-zimage-eq: Rep-zet (zimage f A) = image f (Rep-zet A)
apply (simp add: zimage-def)
apply (subst Abs-zet-inverse)
apply (simp-all add: Rep-zet zet-image-mem)
done

lemma zimage-iff: zin y (zimage f A) = ( $\exists x. zin x A \wedge y = f x$ )
by (auto simp add: zin-def Rep-zimage-eq)

definition zimplode :: ZF zet  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
  zimplode A == implode (Rep-zet A)

definition zexplode :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF zet where
  zexplode z == Abs-zet (explode z)

lemma Rep-zet-eq-explode:  $\exists z. Rep\text{-}zet A = explode z$ 
by (rule image-zet-rep[where g= $\lambda x. x$ , OF Rep-zet, simplified])

lemma zexplode-zimplode: zexplode (zimplode A) = A
apply (simp add: zimplode-def zexplode-def)
apply (simp add: implode-def)
apply (subst f-inv-into-f[where y=Rep-zet A])
apply (auto simp add: Rep-zet-inverse Rep-zet-eq-explode image-def)
done

lemma explode-mem-zet: explode z  $\in$  zet
apply (simp add: zet-def')
apply (rule-tac x=% x. x in exI)
apply (auto simp add: inj-on-def)
done

lemma zimplode-zexplode: zimplode (zexplode z) = z
apply (simp add: zimplode-def zexplode-def)
apply (subst Abs-zet-inverse)
apply (auto simp add: explode-mem-zet)
done

lemma zin-zexplode-eq: zin x (zexplode A) = Elem x A
apply (simp add: zin-def zexplode-def)
apply (subst Abs-zet-inverse)
apply (simp-all add: explode-Elem explode-mem-zet)

```

**done**

```
lemma comp-zimage-eq: zimage g (zimage f A) = zimage (g o f) A
  apply (simp add: zimage-def)
  apply (subst Abs-zet-inverse)
  apply (simp-all add: image-comp zet-image-mem Rep-zet)
  done

definition zunion :: 'a zet ⇒ 'a zet ⇒ 'a zet where
  zunion a b ≡ Abs-zet ((Rep-zet a) ∪ (Rep-zet b))

definition zsubset :: 'a zet ⇒ 'a zet ⇒ bool where
  zsubset a b ≡ ∀ x. zin x a → zin x b

lemma explode-union: explode (union a b) = (explode a) ∪ (explode b)
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  apply (simp add: explode-def union)
  done

lemma Rep-zet-zunion: Rep-zet (zunion a b) = (Rep-zet a) ∪ (Rep-zet b)
proof –
  from Rep-zet[of a] have ∃f z. inj-on f (Rep-zet a) ∧ f ‘ (Rep-zet a) = explode z
    by (auto simp add: zet-def')
  then obtain fa za where a:inj-on fa (Rep-zet a) ∧ fa ‘ (Rep-zet a) = explode za
    by blast
  from a have fa: inj-on fa (Rep-zet a) by blast
  from a have za: fa ‘ (Rep-zet a) = explode za by blast
  from Rep-zet[of b] have ∃f z. inj-on f (Rep-zet b) ∧ f ‘ (Rep-zet b) = explode z
    by (auto simp add: zet-def')
  then obtain fb zb where b:inj-on fb (Rep-zet b) ∧ fb ‘ (Rep-zet b) = explode zb
    by blast
  from b have fb: inj-on fb (Rep-zet b) by blast
  from b have zb: fb ‘ (Rep-zet b) = explode zb by blast
  let ?f = (λ x. if x ∈ (Rep-zet a) then Opair (fa x) (Empty) else Opair (fb x) (Singleton Empty))
  let ?z = CartProd (union za zb) (Upair Empty (Singleton Empty))
  have se: Singleton Empty ≠ Empty
    apply (auto simp add: Ext Singleton)
    apply (rule exI[where x=Empty])
    apply (simp add: Empty)
    done
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: zunion-def)
    apply (subst Abs-zet-inverse)
    apply (auto simp add: zet-def)
    apply (rule exI[where x = ?f])
    apply (rule conjI)
      apply (auto simp add: inj-on-def Opair inj-onD[OF fa] inj-onD[OF fb] se
      se[symmetric]))
```

```

apply (rule exI[where x = ?z])
apply (insert za zb)
apply (auto simp add: explode-def CartProd union Upair Opair)
done
qed

lemma zunion: zin x (zunion a b) = ((zin x a) ∨ (zin x b))
by (auto simp add: zunion-def Rep-zet-zunion)

lemma zimage-zexplode-eq: zimage f (zexplode z) = zexplode (Repl z f)
by (simp add: zet-ext-eq zunion-zexplode-eq Repl zimage-iff)

lemma range-explode-eq-zet: range explode = zet
apply (rule set-eqI)
apply (auto simp add: explode-mem-zet)
apply (drule image-zet-rep)
apply (simp add: image-def)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x=z in exI)
apply auto
done

lemma Elem-zimplode: (Elem x (zimplode z)) = (zin x z)
apply (simp add: zimplode-def)
apply (subst Elem-implode)
apply (simp-all add: zunion-def Rep-zet range-explode-eq-zet)
done

definition zempty :: 'a zet where
zempty ≡ Abs-zet {}

lemma zempty[simp]: ¬ (zin x zempty)
by (auto simp add: zunion-zempty-def Abs-zet-inverse zet-def)

lemma zimage-zempty[simp]: zimage f zempty = zempty
by (auto simp add: zet-ext-eq zimage-iff)

lemma zunion-zempty-left[simp]: zunion zempty a = a
by (simp add: zet-ext-eq zunion)

lemma zunion-zempty-right[simp]: zunion a zempty = a
by (simp add: zet-ext-eq zunion)

lemma zimage-id[simp]: zimage id A = A
by (simp add: zet-ext-eq zimage-iff)

lemma zimage-cong[fundef-cong]: [ M = N; !! x. zin x N ==> f x = g x ] ==>
zimage f M = zimage g N
by (auto simp add: zet-ext-eq zimage-iff)

```

```
end
```

```
theory LProd
imports HOL-Library.Multiset
begin

inductive-set
lprod :: ('a * 'a) set ⇒ ('a list * 'a list) set
  for R :: ('a * 'a) set
where
  lprod-single[intro!]: (a, b) ∈ R ⇒ ([a], [b]) ∈ lprod R
  | lprod-list[intro!]: (ah@at, bh@bt) ∈ lprod R ⇒ (a,b) ∈ R ∨ a = b ⇒ (ah@a#at, bh@b#bt) ∈ lprod R

lemma (as,bs) ∈ lprod R ⇒ length as = length bs
  apply (induct as bs rule: lprod.induct)
  apply auto
  done

lemma (as, bs) ∈ lprod R ⇒ 1 ≤ length as ∧ 1 ≤ length bs
  apply (induct as bs rule: lprod.induct)
  apply auto
  done

lemma lprod-subset-elem: (as, bs) ∈ lprod S ⇒ S ⊆ R ⇒ (as, bs) ∈ lprod R
  apply (induct as bs rule: lprod.induct)
  apply (auto)
  done

lemma lprod-subset: S ⊆ R ⇒ lprod S ⊆ lprod R
  by (auto intro: lprod-subset-elem)

lemma lprod-implies-mult: (as, bs) ∈ lprod R ⇒ trans R ⇒ (mset as, mset bs)
  ∈ mult R
proof (induct as bs rule: lprod.induct)
  case (lprod-single a b)
  note step = one-step-implies-mult[
    where r=R and I={#} and K={#a#} and J={#b#}, simplified]
  show ?case by (auto intro: lprod-single step)
next
  case (lprod-list ah at bh bt a b)
  then have transR: trans R by auto
  have as: mset (ah @ a # at) = mset (ah @ at) + {#a#} (is - = ?ma + -)
    by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  have bs: mset (bh @ b # bt) = mset (bh @ bt) + {#b#} (is - = ?mb + -)
    by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  from lprod-list have (?ma, ?mb) ∈ mult R
```

```

by auto
with mult-implies-one-step[OF transR] have
   $\exists I J K. \ ?mb = I + J \wedge ?ma = I + K \wedge J \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall k \in set\text{-mset } K.$ 
 $\exists j \in set\text{-mset } J. (k, j) \in R)$ 
  by blast
then obtain I J K where
  decomposed:  $?mb = I + J \wedge ?ma = I + K \wedge J \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall k \in set\text{-mset } K.$ 
 $\exists j \in set\text{-mset } J. (k, j) \in R)$ 
  by blast
show ?case
proof (cases a = b)
  case True
  have  $((I + \{\#b\#}) + K, (I + \{\#b\#}) + J) \in mult\ R$ 
  apply (rule one-step-implies-mult)
  apply (auto simp add: decomposed)
  done
then show ?thesis
apply (simp only: as bs)
apply (simp only: decomposed True)
apply (simp add: algebra-simps)
done
next
case False
from False lprod-list have False:  $(a, b) \in R$  by blast
have  $(I + (K + \{\#a\#}), I + (J + \{\#b\#})) \in mult\ R$ 
  apply (rule one-step-implies-mult)
  apply (auto simp add: False decomposed)
  done
then show ?thesis
apply (simp only: as bs)
apply (simp only: decomposed)
apply (simp add: algebra-simps)
done
qed
qed

lemma wf-lprod[simp,intro]:
assumes wf-R: wf R
shows wf (lprod R)
proof -
  have subset:  $lprod (R^+) \subseteq inv\text{-image} (mult (R^+)) mset$ 
    by (auto simp add: lprod-implies-mult trans-trancl)
  note lprodtrancl = wf-subset[OF wf-inv-image[where r=mult (R+) and f=mset,
    OF wf-mult[OF wf-trancl[OF wf-R]]], OF subset]
  note lprod = wf-subset[OF lprodtrancl, where p=lprod R, OF lprod-subset, simplified]
  show ?thesis by (auto intro: lprod)
qed

```

```

definition gprod-2-2 :: ('a * 'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  (('a * 'a) * ('a * 'a)) set where
  gprod-2-2 R  $\equiv$  { ((a,b), (c,d)) . (a = c  $\wedge$  (b,d)  $\in$  R)  $\vee$  (b = d  $\wedge$  (a,c)  $\in$  R) }

definition gprod-2-1 :: ('a * 'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  (('a * 'a) * ('a * 'a)) set where
  gprod-2-1 R  $\equiv$  { ((a,b), (c,d)) . (a = d  $\wedge$  (b,c)  $\in$  R)  $\vee$  (b = c  $\wedge$  (a,d)  $\in$  R) }

lemma lprod-2-3: (a, b)  $\in$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  ([a, c], [b, c])  $\in$  lprod R
  by (auto intro: lprod-list[where a=c and b=c and
    ah = [a] and at = [] and bh=[b] and bt=[], simplified])

lemma lprod-2-4: (a, b)  $\in$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  ([c, a], [c, b])  $\in$  lprod R
  by (auto intro: lprod-list[where a=c and b=c and
    ah = [] and at = [a] and bh=[] and bt=[b], simplified))

lemma lprod-2-1: (a, b)  $\in$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  ([c, a], [b, c])  $\in$  lprod R
  by (auto intro: lprod-list[where a=c and b=c and
    ah = [] and at = [a] and bh=[b] and bt=[], simplified))

lemma lprod-2-2: (a, b)  $\in$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  ([a, c], [c, b])  $\in$  lprod R
  by (auto intro: lprod-list[where a=c and b=c and
    ah = [a] and at = [] and bh=[] and bt=[b], simplified))

lemma [simp, intro]:
  assumes wfR: wf R shows wf (gprod-2-1 R)
proof -
  have gprod-2-1 R  $\subseteq$  inv-image (lprod R) ( $\lambda$  (a,b). [a,b])
    by (auto simp add: gprod-2-1-def lprod-2-1 lprod-2-2)
  with wfR show ?thesis
    by (rule-tac wf-subset, auto)
qed

lemma [simp, intro]:
  assumes wfR: wf R shows wf (gprod-2-2 R)
proof -
  have gprod-2-2 R  $\subseteq$  inv-image (lprod R) ( $\lambda$  (a,b). [a,b])
    by (auto simp add: gprod-2-2-def lprod-2-3 lprod-2-4)
  with wfR show ?thesis
    by (rule-tac wf-subset, auto)
qed

lemma lprod-3-1: assumes (x', x)  $\in$  R shows ([y, z, x'], [x, y, z])  $\in$  lprod R
  apply (rule lprod-list[where a=y and b=y and ah=[] and at=[z,x'] and bh=[x]
  and bt=[z], simplified])
  apply (auto simp add: lprod-2-1 assms)
  done

lemma lprod-3-2: assumes (z',z)  $\in$  R shows ([z', x, y], [x,y,z])  $\in$  lprod R
  apply (rule lprod-list[where a=y and b=y and ah=[z',x] and at=[] and bh=[x]
```

```

and bt=[z], simplified])
apply (auto simp add: lprod-2-2 assms)
done

lemma lprod-3-3: assumes xr: (xr, x) ∈ R shows ([xr, y, z], [x, y, z]) ∈ lprod R
  apply (rule lprod-list[where a=y and b=y and ah=[xr] and at=[z] and bh=[x]
and bt=[z], simplified])
  apply (simp add: xr lprod-2-3)
done

lemma lprod-3-4: assumes yr: (yr, y) ∈ R shows ([x, yr, z], [x, y, z]) ∈ lprod R
  apply (rule lprod-list[where a=x and b=x and ah=[] and at=[yr,z] and bh=[]
and bt=[y,z], simplified])
  apply (simp add: yr lprod-2-3)
done

lemma lprod-3-5: assumes zr: (zr, z) ∈ R shows ([x, y, zr], [x, y, z]) ∈ lprod R
  apply (rule lprod-list[where a=x and b=x and ah=[] and at=[y,zr] and bh=[]
and bt=[y,z], simplified])
  apply (simp add: zr lprod-2-4)
done

lemma lprod-3-6: assumes y': (y', y) ∈ R shows ([x, z, y'], [x, y, z]) ∈ lprod R
  apply (rule lprod-list[where a=z and b=z and ah=[x] and at=[y'] and bh=[x,y]
and bt=[], simplified])
  apply (simp add: y' lprod-2-4)
done

lemma lprod-3-7: assumes z': (z',z) ∈ R shows ([x, z', y], [x, y, z]) ∈ lprod R
  apply (rule lprod-list[where a=y and b=y and ah=[x, z'] and at=[] and bh=[x]
and bt=[z], simplified])
  apply (simp add: z' lprod-2-4)
done

definition perm :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool where
  perm f A ≡ inj-on f A ∧ f ` A = A

lemma ((as,bs) ∈ lprod R) =
  (exists f. perm f {0 ..< (length as)} ∧
  (forall j. j < length as → ((nth as j, nth bs (f j)) ∈ R ∨ (nth as j = nth bs (f j))))))
  ∧
  (exists i. i < length as ∧ (nth as i, nth bs (f i)) ∈ R)
oops

lemma trans R ⇒ (ah@a#at, bh@b#bt) ∈ lprod R ⇒ (b, a) ∈ R ∨ a = b ⇒
(ah@at, bh@bt) ∈ lprod R
oops

end

```

```

theory MainZF
imports Zet LProd
begin

end

theory Games
imports MainZF
begin

definition fixgames :: ZF set ⇒ ZF set where
fixgames A ≡ { Opair l r | l r. explode l ⊆ A & explode r ⊆ A}

definition games-lfp :: ZF set where
games-lfp ≡ lfp fixgames

definition games-gfp :: ZF set where
games-gfp ≡ gfp fixgames

lemma mono-fixgames: mono (fixgames)
apply (auto simp add: mono-def fixgames-def)
apply (rule-tac x=l in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=r in exI)
apply auto
done

lemma games-lfp-unfold: games-lfp = fixgames games-lfp
by (auto simp add: def-lfp-unfold games-lfp-def mono-fixgames)

lemma games-gfp-unfold: games-gfp = fixgames games-gfp
by (auto simp add: def-gfp-unfold games-gfp-def mono-fixgames)

lemma games-lfp-nonempty: Opair Empty Empty ∈ games-lfp
proof –
have fixgames {} ⊆ games-lfp
apply (subst games-lfp-unfold)
apply (simp add: mono-fixgames[simplified mono-def, rule-format])
done
moreover have fixgames {} = {Opair Empty Empty}
by (simp add: fixgames-def explode-Empty)
finally show ?thesis
by auto
qed

definition left-option :: ZF ⇒ ZF ⇒ bool where
left-option g opt ≡ (Elem opt (Fst g))

```

```

definition right-option :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  right-option g opt  $\equiv$  (Elem opt (Snd g))

definition is-option-of :: (ZF * ZF) set where
  is-option-of  $\equiv$  { (opt, g) | opt g. g  $\in$  games-gfp  $\wedge$  (left-option g opt  $\vee$  right-option g opt) }

lemma games-lfp-subset-gfp: games-lfp  $\subseteq$  games-gfp
proof -
  have games-lfp  $\subseteq$  fixgames games-lfp
    by (simp add: games-lfp-unfold[symmetric])
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: games-gfp-def gfp-upperbound)
qed

lemma games-option-stable:
  assumes fixgames: games = fixgames games
  and g: g  $\in$  games
  and opt: left-option g opt  $\vee$  right-option g opt
  shows opt  $\in$  games
proof -
  from g fixgames have g  $\in$  fixgames games by auto
  then have  $\exists$  l r. g = Opair l r  $\wedge$  explode l  $\subseteq$  games  $\wedge$  explode r  $\subseteq$  games
    by (simp add: fixgames-def)
  then obtain l where  $\exists$  r. g = Opair l r  $\wedge$  explode l  $\subseteq$  games  $\wedge$  explode r  $\subseteq$  games ..
  then obtain r where lr: g = Opair l r  $\wedge$  explode l  $\subseteq$  games  $\wedge$  explode r  $\subseteq$  games ..
  with opt show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: Elem-explode-in simp add: left-option-def right-option-def Fst Snd)
qed

lemma option2elem: (opt,g)  $\in$  is-option-of  $\implies$   $\exists$  u v. Elem opt u  $\wedge$  Elem u v  $\wedge$  Elem v g
  apply (simp add: is-option-of-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac (g  $\in$  games-gfp) = (g  $\in$  (fixgames games-gfp)))
  prefer 2
  apply (simp add: games-gfp-unfold[symmetric])
  apply (auto simp add: fixgames-def left-option-def right-option-def Fst Snd)
  apply (rule-tac x=l in exI, insert Elem-Opair-exists, blast)
  apply (rule-tac x=r in exI, insert Elem-Opair-exists, blast)
  done

lemma is-option-of-subset-is-Elem-of: is-option-of  $\subseteq$  (is-Elem-of+)
proof -
  {
    fix opt

```

```

fix g
assume (opt, g) ∈ is-option-of
then have ∃ u v. (opt, u) ∈ (is-Elem-of+) ∧ (u,v) ∈ (is-Elem-of+) ∧ (v,g) ∈
(is-Elem-of+)
apply -
apply (drule option2elem)
apply (auto simp add: r-into-trancl' is-Elem-of-def)
done
then have (opt, g) ∈ (is-Elem-of+)
by (blast intro: trancl-into-rtrancl trancl-rtrancl-trancl)
}
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma wfzf-is-option-of: wfzf is-option-of
proof -
have wfzf (is-Elem-of+) by (simp add: wfzf-trancl wfzf-is-Elem-of)
then show ?thesis
apply (rule wfzf-subset)
apply (rule is-option-of-subset-is-Elem-of)
done
qed

lemma games-gfp-imp-lfp: g ∈ games-gfp → g ∈ games-lfp
proof -
have unfold-gfp: ∀ x. x ∈ games-gfp ⇒ x ∈ (fixgames games-gfp)
by (simp add: games-gfp-unfold[symmetric])
have unfold-lfp: ∀ x. (x ∈ games-lfp) = (x ∈ (fixgames games-lfp))
by (simp add: games-lfp-unfold[symmetric])
show ?thesis
apply (rule wf-induct[OF wfzf-implies-wf[OF wfzf-is-option-of]])
apply (auto simp add: is-option-of-def)
apply (drule-tac unfold-gfp)
apply (simp add: fixgames-def)
apply (auto simp add: left-option-def Fst right-option-def Snd)
apply (subgoal-tac explode l ⊆ games-lfp)
apply (subgoal-tac explode r ⊆ games-lfp)
apply (subst unfold-lfp)
apply (auto simp add: fixgames-def)
apply (simp-all add: explode-Elem Elem-explode-in)
done
qed

theorem games-lfp-eq-gfp: games-lfp = games-gfp
apply (auto simp add: games-gfp-imp-lfp)
apply (insert games-lfp-subset-gfp)
apply auto
done

```

```

theorem unique-games: (g = fixgames g) = (g = games-lfp)
proof -
  {
    fix g
    assume g: g = fixgames g
    from g have fixgames g ⊆ g by auto
    then have l:games-lfp ⊆ g
      by (simp add: games-lfp-def lfp-lowerbound)
    from g have g ⊆ fixgames g by auto
    then have u:g ⊆ games-gfp
      by (simp add: games-gfp-def gfp-upperbound)
    from l u games-lfp-eq-gfp[symmetric] have g = games-lfp
      by auto
  }
  note games = this
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule iffI)
    apply (erule games)
    apply (simp add: games-lfp-unfold[symmetric])
    done
qed

lemma games-lfp-option-stable:
  assumes g: g ∈ games-lfp
  and opt: left-option g opt ∨ right-option g opt
  shows opt ∈ games-lfp
  apply (rule games-option-stable[where g=g])
  apply (simp add: games-lfp-unfold[symmetric])
  apply (simp-all add: assms)
  done

lemma is-option-of-imp-games:
  assumes hyp: (opt, g) ∈ is-option-of
  shows opt ∈ games-lfp ∧ g ∈ games-lfp
proof -
  from hyp have g-game: g ∈ games-lfp
    by (simp add: is-option-of-def games-lfp-eq-gfp)
  from hyp have left-option g opt ∨ right-option g opt
    by (auto simp add: is-option-of-def)
  with g-game games-lfp-option-stable[OF g-game, OF this] show ?thesis
    by auto
qed

lemma games-lfp-represent: x ∈ games-lfp ==> ∃ l r. x = Opair l r
  apply (rule exI[where x=Fst x])
  apply (rule exI[where x=Snd x])
  apply (subgoal-tac x ∈ (fixgames games-lfp))
  apply (simp add: fixgames-def)
  apply (auto simp add: Fst Snd)

```

```

apply (simp add: games-lfp-unfold[symmetric])
done

definition game = games-lfp

typedef game = game
  unfolding game-def by (blast intro: games-lfp-nonempty)

definition left-options :: game ⇒ game zet where
  left-options g ≡ zimage Abs-game (zexplode (Fst (Rep-game g)))

definition right-options :: game ⇒ game zet where
  right-options g ≡ zimage Abs-game (zexplode (Snd (Rep-game g)))

definition options :: game ⇒ game zet where
  options g ≡ zunion (left-options g) (right-options g)

definition Game :: game zet ⇒ game zet ⇒ game where
  Game L R ≡ Abs-game (Opair (zimplode (zimage Rep-game L)) (zimplode (zimage
    Rep-game R)))

lemma Repl-Rep-game-Abs-game: ∀ e. Elem e z → e ∈ games-lfp ⇒ Repl z
(Rep-game o Abs-game) = z
  apply (subst Ext)
  apply (simp add: Repl)
  apply auto
  apply (subst Abs-game-inverse, simp-all add: game-def)
  apply (rule-tac x=za in exI)
  apply (subst Abs-game-inverse, simp-all add: game-def)
  done

lemma game-split: g = Game (left-options g) (right-options g)
proof -
  have ∃ l r. Rep-game g = Opair l r
    apply (insert Rep-game[of g])
    apply (simp add: game-def games-lfp-represent)
    done
  then obtain lr where lr: Rep-game g = Opair l r by auto
  have partizan-g: Rep-game g ∈ games-lfp
    apply (insert Rep-game[of g])
    apply (simp add: game-def)
    done
  have ∀ e. Elem e l → left-option (Rep-game g) e
    by (simp add: lr left-option-def Fst)
  then have partizan-l: ∀ e. Elem e l → e ∈ games-lfp
    apply auto
    apply (rule games-lfp-option-stable[where g=Rep-game g, OF partizan-g])
    apply auto
    done

```

```

have  $\forall e. \text{Elem } e r \rightarrow \text{right-option}(\text{Rep-game } g) e$ 
  by (simp add: lr right-option-def Snd)
then have partizan-r:  $\forall e. \text{Elem } e r \rightarrow e \in \text{games-lfp}$ 
  apply auto
  apply (rule games-lfp-option-stable[where g=Rep-game g, OF partizan-g])
  apply auto
  done
let ?L = zimage (Abs-game) (zexplode l)
let ?R = zimage (Abs-game) (zexplode r)
have L:?L = left-options g
  by (simp add: left-options-def lr Fst)
have R:?R = right-options g
  by (simp add: right-options-def lr Snd)
have g = Game ?L ?R
  apply (simp add: Game-def Rep-game-inject[symmetric] comp-zimage-eq zimage-zexplode-eq zimplode-zexplode)
  apply (simp add: Repl-Rep-game-Abs-game partizan-l partizan-r)
  apply (subst Abs-game-inverse)
  apply (simp-all add: lr[symmetric] Rep-game)
  done
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: L R)
qed

lemma Opair-in-games-lfp:
  assumes l: explode l  $\subseteq$  games-lfp
  and r: explode r  $\subseteq$  games-lfp
  shows Opair l r  $\in$  games-lfp
proof -
  note f = unique-games[of games-lfp, simplified]
  show ?thesis
    apply (subst f)
    apply (simp add: fixgames-def)
    apply (rule exI[where x=l])
    apply (rule exI[where x=r])
    apply (auto simp add: l r)
    done
qed

lemma left-options[simp]: left-options (Game l r) = l
  apply (simp add: left-options-def Game-def)
  apply (subst Abs-game-inverse)
  apply (simp add: game-def)
  apply (rule Opair-in-games-lfp)
  apply (auto simp add: explode-Elem Elem-zimplode zimage-iff Rep-game[simplified game-def])
  apply (simp add: Fst zexplode-zimplode comp-zimage-eq)
  apply (simp add: zet-ext-eq zimage-iff Rep-game-inverse)
  done

```

```

lemma right-options[simp]: right-options (Game l r) = r
  apply (simp add: right-options-def Game-def)
  apply (subst Abs-game-inverse)
  apply (simp add: game-def)
  apply (rule Opair-in-games-lfp)
  apply (auto simp add: explode-Elem Elem-zimplode zimage-iff Rep-game[simplified
game-def])
  apply (simp add: Snd zexplode-zimplode comp-zimage-eq)
  apply (simp add: zet-ext-eq zimage-iff Rep-game-inverse)
  done

lemma Game-ext: (Game l1 r1 = Game l2 r2) = ((l1 = l2) ∧ (r1 = r2))
  apply auto
  apply (subst left-options[where l=l1 and r=r1,symmetric])
  apply (subst left-options[where l=l2 and r=r2,symmetric])
  apply simp
  apply (subst right-options[where l=l1 and r=r1,symmetric])
  apply (subst right-options[where l=l2 and r=r2,symmetric])
  apply simp
  done

definition option-of :: (game * game) set where
  option-of ≡ image (λ (option, g). (Abs-game option, Abs-game g)) is-option-of

lemma option-to-is-option-of: ((option, g) ∈ option-of) = ((Rep-game option,
Rep-game g) ∈ is-option-of)
  apply (auto simp add: option-of-def)
  apply (subst Abs-game-inverse)
  apply (simp add: is-option-of-imp-games game-def)
  apply (subst Abs-game-inverse)
  apply (simp add: is-option-of-imp-games game-def)
  apply simp
  apply (auto simp add: Bex-def image-def)
  apply (rule exI[where x=Rep-game option])
  apply (rule exI[where x=Rep-game g])
  apply (simp add: Rep-game-inverse)
  done

lemma wf-is-option-of: wf is-option-of
  apply (rule wfzf-implies-wf)
  apply (simp add: wfzf-is-option-of)
  done

lemma wf-option-of[simp, intro]: wf option-of
  proof –
    have option-of: option-of = inv-image is-option-of Rep-game
      apply (rule set-eqI)
      apply (case-tac x)

```

```

by (simp add: option-to-is-option-of)
show ?thesis
apply (simp add: option-of)
apply (auto intro: wf-is-option-of)
done
qed

lemma right-option-is-option[simp, intro]: zin x (right-options g) ==> zin x (options g)
by (simp add: options-def zunion)

lemma left-option-is-option[simp, intro]: zin x (left-options g) ==> zin x (options g)
by (simp add: options-def zunion)

lemma zin-options[simp, intro]: zin x (options g) ==> (x, g) ∈ option-of
apply (simp add: options-def zunion left-options-def right-options-def option-of-def
       image-def is-option-of-def zimage-iff zin-zexplode-eq)
apply (cases g)
apply (cases x)
apply (auto simp add: Abs-game-inverse games-lfp-eq-gfp[symmetric] game-def
       right-option-def[symmetric] left-option-def[symmetric])
done

function
neg-game :: game ⇒ game
where
[simp del]: neg-game g = Game (zimage neg-game (right-options g)) (zimage neg-game (left-options g))
by auto
termination by (relation option-of) auto

lemma neg-game (neg-game g) = g
apply (induct g rule: neg-game.induct)
apply (subst neg-game.simps)
apply (simp add: comp-zimage-eq)
apply (subgoal-tac zimage (neg-game o neg-game) (left-options g) = left-options g)
apply (subgoal-tac zimage (neg-game o neg-game) (right-options g) = right-options g)
apply (auto simp add: game-split[symmetric])
apply (auto simp add: zet-ext-eq zimage-iff)
done

function
ge-game :: (game * game) ⇒ bool
where
[simp del]: ge-game (G, H) = (forall x. if zin x (right-options G) then (

```

```

if zin x (left-options H) then  $\neg$  (ge-game (H, x)  $\vee$  (ge-game
(x, G)))
else  $\neg$  (ge-game (H, x)))
else (if zin x (left-options H) then  $\neg$  (ge-game (x, G)) else
True))
by auto
termination by (relation (gprod-2-1 option-of))
(simp, auto simp: gprod-2-1-def)

lemma ge-game-eq: ge-game (G, H) = ( $\forall$  x. (zin x (right-options G)  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  ge-game
(H, x))  $\wedge$  (zin x (left-options H)  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  ge-game (x, G)))
apply (subst ge-game.simps[where G=G and H=H])
apply (auto)
done

lemma ge-game-leftright-refl[rule-format]:
 $\forall$  y. (zin y (right-options x)  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  ge-game (x, y))  $\wedge$  (zin y (left-options x)  $\longrightarrow$ 
 $\neg$  (ge-game (y, x)))  $\wedge$  ge-game (x, x)
proof (induct x rule: wf-induct[OF wf-option-of])
case (1 g)
{
fix y
assume y: zin y (right-options g)
have  $\neg$  ge-game (g, y)
proof -
have (y, g)  $\in$  option-of by (auto intro: y)
with 1 have ge-game (y, y) by auto
with y show ?thesis by (subst ge-game-eq, auto)
qed
}
note right = this
{
fix y
assume y: zin y (left-options g)
have  $\neg$  ge-game (y, g)
proof -
have (y, g)  $\in$  option-of by (auto intro: y)
with 1 have ge-game (y, y) by auto
with y show ?thesis by (subst ge-game-eq, auto)
qed
}
note left = this
from left right show ?case
by (auto, subst ge-game-eq, auto)
qed

lemma ge-game-refl: ge-game (x,x) by (simp add: ge-game-leftright-refl)

lemma  $\forall$  y. (zin y (right-options x)  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  ge-game (x, y))  $\wedge$  (zin y (left-options

```

```

 $x) \longrightarrow \neg (\text{ge-game} (y, x)) \wedge \text{ge-game} (x, x)$ 
proof (induct x rule: wf-induct[OF wf-option-of])
  case (1  $g$ )
  show ?case
  proof (auto, goal-cases)
    {case prems: (1  $y$ )
      from prems have ( $y, g$ )  $\in$  option-of by (auto)
      with 1 have  $\text{ge-game} (y, y)$  by auto
      with prems have  $\neg \text{ge-game} (g, y)$ 
      by (subst ge-game-eq, auto)
      with prems show ?case by auto}
    note right = this
    {case prems: (2  $y$ )
      from prems have ( $y, g$ )  $\in$  option-of by (auto)
      with 1 have  $\text{ge-game} (y, y)$  by auto
      with prems have  $\neg \text{ge-game} (y, g)$ 
      by (subst ge-game-eq, auto)
      with prems show ?case by auto}
    note left = this
    {case 3
      from left right show ?case
      by (subst ge-game-eq, auto)
    }
  qed
qed

definition eq-game :: game  $\Rightarrow$  game  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  eq-game  $G H \equiv \text{ge-game} (G, H) \wedge \text{ge-game} (H, G)$ 

lemma eq-game-sym: (eq-game G H) = (eq-game H G)
  by (auto simp add: eq-game-def)

lemma eq-game-refl: eq-game G G
  by (simp add: ge-game-refl eq-game-def)

lemma induct-game: ( $\bigwedge x. \forall y. (y, x) \in \text{lprod option-of} \longrightarrow P y \Longrightarrow P x$ )  $\Longrightarrow P a$ 
  by (erule wf-induct[OF wf-lprod[OF wf-option-of]])

lemma ge-game-trans:
  assumes ge-game ( $x, y$ ) ge-game ( $y, z$ )
  shows ge-game ( $x, z$ )
proof -
  {
    fix  $a$ 
    have  $\forall x y z. a = [x,y,z] \longrightarrow \text{ge-game} (x,y) \longrightarrow \text{ge-game} (y,z) \longrightarrow \text{ge-game} (x, z)$ 
    proof (induct a rule: induct-game)
      case (1  $a$ )
      show ?case
  }

```

```

proof ((rule allI | rule impI)+, goal-cases)
  case prems: (1 x y z)
  show ?case
  proof -
    { fix xr
      assume xr:zin xr (right-options x)
      assume a: ge-game (z, xr)
      have ge-game (y, xr)
      apply (rule 1[rule-format, where y=[y,z,xr]])
      apply (auto intro: xr lprod-3-1 simp add: prems a)
      done
      moreover from xr have  $\neg$  ge-game (y, xr)
        by (simp add: prems(2)[simplified ge-game-eq[of x y], rule-format, of
xr, simplified xr])
        ultimately have False by auto
    }
    note xr = this
    { fix xl
      assume xl:zin xl (left-options z)
      assume a: ge-game (xl, x)
      have ge-game (xl, y)
      apply (rule 1[rule-format, where y=[zl,x,y]])
      apply (auto intro: xl lprod-3-2 simp add: prems a)
      done
      moreover from xl have  $\neg$  ge-game (xl, y)
        by (simp add: prems(3)[simplified ge-game-eq[of y z], rule-format, of xl,
simplified xl])
        ultimately have False by auto
    }
    note xl = this
    show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: ge-game-eq[of x z] intro: xr xl)
    qed
    qed
    qed
  }
  note trans = this[of [x, y, z], simplified, rule-format]
  with assms show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma eq-game-trans: eq-game a b  $\implies$  eq-game b c  $\implies$  eq-game a c
  by (auto simp add: eq-game-def intro: ge-game-trans)

definition zero-game :: game
  where zero-game  $\equiv$  Game zempty zempty

function
  plus-game :: game  $\Rightarrow$  game  $\Rightarrow$  game
  where

```

```

[simp del]: plus-game G H = Game (zunion (zimage (λ g. plus-game g H)
(left-options G))
(zimage (λ h. plus-game G h) (left-options H)))
(zunion (zimage (λ g. plus-game g H) (right-options G))
(zimage (λ h. plus-game G h) (right-options H)))

by auto
termination by (relation gprod-2-2 option-of)
(simp, auto simp: gprod-2-2-def)

lemma plus-game-comm: plus-game G H = plus-game H G
proof (induct G H rule: plus-game.induct)
case (1 G H)
show ?case
by (auto simp add:
plus-game.simps[where G=G and H=H]
plus-game.simps[where G=H and H=G]
Game-ext zet-ext-eq zunion zimage-iff 1)
qed

lemma game-ext-eq: (G = H) = (left-options G = left-options H ∧ right-options
G = right-options H)
proof -
have (G = H) = (Game (left-options G) (right-options G) = Game (left-options
H) (right-options H))
by (simp add: game-split[symmetric])
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma left-zero-game[simp]: left-options (zero-game) = zempty
by (simp add: zero-game-def)

lemma right-zero-game[simp]: right-options (zero-game) = zempty
by (simp add: zero-game-def)

lemma plus-game-zero-right[simp]: plus-game G zero-game = G
proof -
have H = zero-game → plus-game G H = G for G H
proof (induct G H rule: plus-game.induct, rule impI, goal-cases)
case prems: (1 G H)
note induct-hyp = this[simplified prems, simplified] and this
show ?case
apply (simp only: plus-game.simps[where G=G and H=H])
apply (simp add: game-ext-eq prems)
apply (auto simp add:
zimage-cong [where f = λ g. plus-game g zero-game and g = id]
induct-hyp)
done
qed
then show ?thesis by auto

```

**qed**

**lemma** *plus-game-zero-left*: *plus-game zero-game G = G*  
**by** (*simp add: plus-game-comm*)

**lemma** *left-imp-options[simp]*: *zin opt (left-options g) ==> zin opt (options g)*  
**by** (*simp add: options-def zunion*)

**lemma** *right-imp-options[simp]*: *zin opt (right-options g) ==> zin opt (options g)*  
**by** (*simp add: options-def zunion*)

**lemma** *left-options-plus*:  
*left-options (plus-game u v) = zunion (zimage (λg. plus-game g v) (left-options u)) (zimage (λh. plus-game u h) (left-options v))*  
**by** (*subst plus-game.simps, simp*)

**lemma** *right-options-plus*:  
*right-options (plus-game u v) = zunion (zimage (λg. plus-game g v) (right-options u)) (zimage (λh. plus-game u h) (right-options v))*  
**by** (*subst plus-game.simps, simp*)

**lemma** *left-options-neg*: *left-options (neg-game u) = zimage neg-game (right-options u)*  
**by** (*subst neg-game.simps, simp*)

**lemma** *right-options-neg*: *right-options (neg-game u) = zimage neg-game (left-options u)*  
**by** (*subst neg-game.simps, simp*)

**lemma** *plus-game-assoc*: *plus-game (plus-game F G) H = plus-game F (plus-game G H)*

**proof** –

**have**  $\forall F G H. a = [F, G, H] \longrightarrow \text{plus-game}(\text{plus-game } F G) H = \text{plus-game } F (\text{plus-game } G H)$  **for** *a*

**proof** (*induct a rule: induct-game, (rule impI | rule allI)+, goal-cases*)

**case** *prems*: *(1 x F G H)*

**let** *?L = plus-game (plus-game F G) H*

**let** *?R = plus-game F (plus-game G H)*

**note** *options-plus = left-options-plus right-options-plus*

{

**fix** *opt*

**note** *hyp = prems(1)[simplified prems(2), rule-format]*

**have** *F: zin opt (options F) ==> plus-game (plus-game opt G) H = plus-game opt (plus-game G H)*

**by** (*blast intro: hyp lprod-3-3*)

**have** *G: zin opt (options G) ==> plus-game (plus-game F opt) H = plus-game F (plus-game opt H)*

**by** (*blast intro: hyp lprod-3-4*)

**have** *H: zin opt (options H) ==> plus-game (plus-game F G) opt = plus-game*

```

F (plus-game G opt)
  by (blast intro: hyp lprod-3-5)
  note F and G and H
}
note induct-hyp = this
have left-options ?L = left-options ?R ∧ right-options ?L = right-options ?R
  by (auto simp add:
      plus-game.simps[where G=plus-game F G and H=H]
      plus-game.simps[where G=F and H=plus-game G H]
      zet-ext-eq zunion zimage-iff options-plus
      induct-hyp left-imp-options right-imp-options)
then show ?case
  by (simp add: game-ext-eq)
qed
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma neg-plus-game: neg-game (plus-game G H) = plus-game (neg-game G)
(neg-game H)
proof (induct G H rule: plus-game.induct)
  case (1 G H)
  note opt-ops =
    left-options-plus right-options-plus
    left-options-neg right-options-neg
  show ?case
    by (auto simp add: opt-ops
        neg-game.simps[of plus-game G H]
        plus-game.simps[of neg-game G neg-game H]
        Game-ext zet-ext-eq zunion zimage-iff 1)
qed

lemma eq-game-plus-inverse: eq-game (plus-game x (neg-game x)) zero-game
proof (induct x rule: wf-induct[OF wf-option-of], goal-cases)
  case prems: (1 x)
  then have ihyp: eq-game (plus-game y (neg-game y)) zero-game if zin y (options
x) for y
    using that by (auto simp add: prems)
  have case1: ¬ (ge-game (zero-game, plus-game y (neg-game x)))
    if y: zin y (right-options x) for y
    apply (subst ge-game.simps, simp)
    apply (rule exI[where x=plus-game y (neg-game y)])
    apply (auto simp add: ihyp[y, simplified y right-imp-options eq-game-def])
    apply (auto simp add: left-options-plus left-options-neg zunion zimage-iff intro:
y)
    done
  have case2: ¬ (ge-game (zero-game, plus-game x (neg-game y)))
    if y: zin y (left-options x) for y
    apply (subst ge-game.simps, simp)
    apply (rule exI[where x=plus-game y (neg-game y)])

```

```

apply (auto simp add: ihyp[of y, simplified y left-imp-options eq-game-def])
apply (auto simp add: left-options-plus zunion zimage-iff intro: y)
done
have case3:  $\neg (ge\text{-}game (plus\text{-}game y (neg\text{-}game x), zero\text{-}game))$ 
  if y:  $zin y (left\text{-}options x)$  for y
  apply (subst ge-game.simps, simp)
  apply (rule exI[where x=plus-game y (neg-game y)])
  apply (auto simp add: ihyp[of y, simplified y left-imp-options eq-game-def])
  apply (auto simp add: right-options-plus right-options-neg zunion zimage-iff
    intro: y)
done
have case4:  $\neg (ge\text{-}game (plus\text{-}game x (neg\text{-}game y), zero\text{-}game))$ 
  if y:  $zin y (right\text{-}options x)$  for y
  apply (subst ge-game.simps, simp)
  apply (rule exI[where x=plus-game y (neg-game y)])
  apply (auto simp add: ihyp[of y, simplified y right-imp-options eq-game-def])
  apply (auto simp add: right-options-plus zunion zimage-iff intro: y)
done
show ?case
  apply (simp add: eq-game-def)
  apply (simp add: ge-game.simps[of plus-game x (neg-game x) zero-game])
  apply (simp add: ge-game.simps[of zero-game plus-game x (neg-game x)])
  apply (simp add: right-options-plus left-options-plus right-options-neg left-options-neg
    zunion zimage-iff)
  apply (auto simp add: case1 case2 case3 case4)
done
qed

lemma ge-plus-game-left:  $ge\text{-}game (y, z) = ge\text{-}game (plus\text{-}game x y, plus\text{-}game x z)$ 
proof -
  have  $\forall x y z. a = [x, y, z] \longrightarrow ge\text{-}game (y, z) = ge\text{-}game (plus\text{-}game x y, plus\text{-}game x z)$  for a
  proof (induct a rule: induct-game, (rule impI | rule allI)+, goal-cases)
    case prems: (1 a x y z)
    note induct-hyp = prems(1)[rule-format, simplified prems(2)]
    {
      assume hyp:  $ge\text{-}game (plus\text{-}game x y, plus\text{-}game x z)$ 
      have ge-game (y, z)
      proof -
        { fix yr
          assume yr:  $zin yr (right\text{-}options y)$ 
          from hyp have  $\neg (ge\text{-}game (plus\text{-}game x z, plus\text{-}game x yr))$ 
            by (auto simp add: ge-game-eq[of plus-game x y plus-game x z]
              right-options-plus zunion zimage-iff intro: yr)
          then have  $\neg (ge\text{-}game (z, yr))$ 
            apply (subst induct-hyp[where y=[x, z, yr], of x z yr])
            apply (simp-all add: yr lprod-3-6)
          done
        }
    }

```

```

note yr = this
{ fix zl
  assume zl: zin zl (left-options z)
  from hyp have  $\neg$  (ge-game (plus-game x zl, plus-game x y))
    by (auto simp add: ge-game-eq[of plus-game x y plus-game x z]
      left-options-plus zunion zimage-iff intro: zl)
  then have  $\neg$  (ge-game (zl, y))
    apply (subst prems(1)[rule-format, where y=[x, zl, y], of x zl y])
    apply (simp-all add: prems(2) zl lprod-3-7)
    done
}
note zl = this
show ge-game (y, z)
  apply (subst ge-game-eq)
  apply (auto simp add: yr zl)
  done
qed
}
note right-imp-left = this
{
  assume yz: ge-game (y, z)
  {
    fix x'
    assume x': zin x' (right-options x)
    assume hyp: ge-game (plus-game x z, plus-game x' y)
    then have n:  $\neg$  (ge-game (plus-game x' y, plus-game x' z))
      by (auto simp add: ge-game-eq[of plus-game x z plus-game x' y]
        right-options-plus zunion zimage-iff intro: x')
    have t: ge-game (plus-game x' y, plus-game x' z)
      apply (subst induct-hyp[symmetric])
      apply (auto intro: lprod-3-3 x' yz)
      done
    from n t have False by blast
  }
note case1 = this
{
  fix x'
  assume x': zin x' (left-options x)
  assume hyp: ge-game (plus-game x' z, plus-game x y)
  then have n:  $\neg$  (ge-game (plus-game x' y, plus-game x' z))
    by (auto simp add: ge-game-eq[of plus-game x' z plus-game x y]
      left-options-plus zunion zimage-iff intro: x')
  have t: ge-game (plus-game x' y, plus-game x' z)
    apply (subst induct-hyp[symmetric])
    apply (auto intro: lprod-3-3 x' yz)
    done
  from n t have False by blast
}
note case3 = this

```

```

{
fix y'
 $\text{assume } y': \text{zin } y' (\text{right-options } y)$ 
 $\text{assume } \text{hyp}: \text{ge-game} (\text{plus-game } x z, \text{plus-game } x y')$ 
 $\text{then have } \text{ge-game}(z, y')$ 
  apply (subst induct-hyp[of [x, z, y'] x z y'])
  apply (auto simp add: hyp lprod-3-6 y')
  done
  with yz have ge-game (y, y')
    by (blast intro: ge-game-trans)
  with y' have False by (auto simp add: ge-game-lefright-refl)
}

note case2 = this
{
fix z'
 $\text{assume } z': \text{zin } z' (\text{left-options } z)$ 
 $\text{assume } \text{hyp}: \text{ge-game} (\text{plus-game } x z', \text{plus-game } x y)$ 
 $\text{then have } \text{ge-game}(z', y)$ 
  apply (subst induct-hyp[of [x, z', y] x z' y])
  apply (auto simp add: hyp lprod-3-7 z')
  done
  with yz have ge-game (z', z)
    by (blast intro: ge-game-trans)
  with z' have False by (auto simp add: ge-game-lefright-refl)
}

note case4 = this
have ge-game(plus-game x y, plus-game x z)
  apply (subst ge-game-eq)
  apply (auto simp add: right-options-plus left-options-plus zunion zimage-iff)
  apply (auto intro: case1 case2 case3 case4)
  done
}

note left-imp-right = this
show ?case by (auto intro: right-imp-left left-imp-right)
qed
from this[of [x, y, z]] show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma ge-plus-game-right: ge-game (y,z) = ge-game(plus-game y x, plus-game z x)
  by (simp add: ge-plus-game-left plus-game-comm)

lemma ge-neg-game: ge-game (neg-game x, neg-game y) = ge-game (y, x)
proof -
  have  $\forall x y. a = [x, y] \rightarrow \text{ge-game} (\text{neg-game } x, \text{neg-game } y) = \text{ge-game} (y, x)$ 
  for a
  proof (induct a rule: induct-game, (rule impI | rule allI)+, goal-cases)
    case prems: (1 a x y)
    note ihyp = prems(1)[rule-format, simplified prems(2)]

```

```

{ fix xl
  assume xl: zin xl (left-options x)
  have ge-game (neg-game y, neg-game xl) = ge-game (xl, y)
    apply (subst ihyp)
    apply (auto simp add: lprod-2-1 xl)
    done
}
note xl = this
{ fix yr
  assume yr: zin yr (right-options y)
  have ge-game (neg-game yr, neg-game x) = ge-game (x, yr)
    apply (subst ihyp)
    apply (auto simp add: lprod-2-2 yr)
    done
}
note yr = this
show ?case
by (auto simp add: ge-game-eq[of neg-game x neg-game y] ge-game-eq[of y x]
  right-options-neg left-options-neg zimage-iff xl yr)
qed
from this[of [x,y]] show ?thesis by blast
qed

definition eq-game-rel :: (game * game) set where
eq-game-rel ≡ { (p, q) . eq-game p q }

definition Pg = UNIV // eq-game-rel

typedef Pg = Pg
  unfolding Pg-def by (auto simp add: quotient-def)

lemma equiv-eq-game[simp]: equiv UNIV eq-game-rel
proof (rule equivI)
  show refl eq-game-rel
    by (auto simp only: eq-game-rel-def intro: reflI eq-game-refl)
next
  show sym eq-game-rel
    by (auto simp only: eq-game-rel-def eq-game-sym intro: symI)
next
  show trans eq-game-rel
    by (auto simp only: eq-game-rel-def intro: transI eq-game-trans)
qed

instantiation Pg :: {ord, zero, plus, minus, uminus}
begin

definition
Pg-zero-def: 0 = Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel `` {zero-game})

```

```

definition
Pg-le-def:  $G \leq H \longleftrightarrow (\exists g h. g \in Rep-Pg G \wedge h \in Rep-Pg H \wedge ge-game (h, g))$ 

definition
Pg-less-def:  $G < H \longleftrightarrow G \leq H \wedge G \neq (H::Pg)$ 

definition
Pg-minus-def:  $- G = the-elem (\bigcup g \in Rep-Pg G. \{Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{neg-game g\})\})$ 

definition
Pg-plus-def:  $G + H = the-elem (\bigcup g \in Rep-Pg G. \bigcup h \in Rep-Pg H. \{Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{plus-game g h\})\})$ 

definition
Pg-diff-def:  $G - H = G + (- (H::Pg))$ 

instance ..

end

lemma Rep-Abs-eq-Pg[simp]:  $Rep-Pg (Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{g\})) = eq-game-rel ``\{g\})$ 
apply (subst Abs-Pg-inverse)
apply (auto simp add: Pg-def quotient-def)
done

lemma char-Pg-le[simp]:  $(Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{g\})) \leq Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{h\})) = (ge-game (h, g))$ 
apply (simp add: Pg-le-def)
apply (auto simp add: eq-game-rel-def eq-game-def intro: ge-game-trans ge-game-refl)
done

lemma char-Pg-eq[simp]:  $(Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{g\})) = Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{h\})) = (eq-game g h)$ 
apply (simp add: Rep-Pg-inject [symmetric])
apply (subst eq-equiv-class-iff[of UNIV])
apply (simp-all)
apply (simp add: eq-game-rel-def)
done

lemma char-Pg-plus[simp]:  $Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{g\}) + Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{h\}) = Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{plus-game g h\})$ 
proof -
have ( $\lambda g h. \{Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel ``\{plus-game g h\})\}) respects2 eq-game-rel$ 
apply (simp add: congruent2-def)
apply (auto simp add: eq-game-rel-def eq-game-def)
apply (rule-tac y=plus-game a ba in ge-game-trans)
apply (simp add: ge-plus-game-left[symmetric] ge-plus-game-right[symmetric])+

```

```

apply (rule-tac y=plus-game b aa in ge-game-trans)
apply (simp add: ge-plus-game-left[symmetric] ge-plus-game-right[symmetric])+
done
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: Pg-plus-def UN-equiv-class2[OF equiv-eq-game equiv-eq-game])
qed

lemma char-Pg-minus[simp]: - Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel `` {g}) = Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel
`` {neg-game g})
proof -
  have (λ g. {Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel `` {neg-game g})) respects eq-game-rel
    apply (simp add: congruent-def)
    apply (auto simp add: eq-game-rel-def eq-game-def ge-neg-game)
    done
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Pg-minus-def UN-equiv-class[OF equiv-eq-game])
qed

lemma eq-Abs-Pg[rule-format, cases type: Pg]: (∀ g. z = Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel `` {g}) → P) → P
  apply (cases z, simp)
  apply (simp add: Rep-Pg-inject[symmetric])
  apply (subst Abs-Pg-inverse, simp)
  apply (auto simp add: Pg-def quotient-def)
  done

instance Pg :: ordered-ab-group-add
proof
  fix a b c :: Pg
  show a - b = a + (- b) by (simp add: Pg-diff-def)
  {
    assume ab: a ≤ b
    assume ba: b ≤ a
    from ab ba show a = b
      apply (cases a, cases b)
      apply (simp add: eq-game-def)
      done
  }
  then show (a < b) = (a ≤ b ∧ ¬ b ≤ a) by (auto simp add: Pg-less-def)
  show a + b = b + a
    apply (cases a, cases b)
    apply (simp add: eq-game-def plus-game-comm)
    done
  show a + b + c = a + (b + c)
    apply (cases a, cases b, cases c)
    apply (simp add: eq-game-def plus-game-assoc)
    done
  show 0 + a = a
    apply (cases a)

```

```

apply (simp add: Pg-zero-def plus-game-zero-left)
done
show - a + a = 0
apply (cases a)
apply (simp add: Pg-zero-def eq-game-plus-inverse plus-game-comm)
done
show a ≤ a
apply (cases a)
apply (simp add: ge-game-refl)
done
{
assume ab: a ≤ b
assume bc: b ≤ c
from ab bc show a ≤ c
apply (cases a, cases b, cases c)
apply (auto intro: ge-game-trans)
done
}
{
assume ab: a ≤ b
from ab show c + a ≤ c + b
apply (cases a, cases b, cases c)
apply (simp add: ge-plus-game-left[symmetric])
done
}
qed
end

```