# The Hahn-Banach Theorem for Real Vector Spaces

### Gertrud Bauer

#### May 23, 2024

#### Abstract

The Hahn-Banach Theorem is one of the most fundamental results in functional analysis. We present a fully formal proof of two versions of the theorem, one for general linear spaces and another for normed spaces. This development is based on simply-typed classical set-theory, as provided by Isabelle/HOL.

# Contents

1	Preface	3
Ι	Basic Notions	<b>5</b>
2	Bounds	<b>5</b>
3	Vector spaces         3.1       Signature         3.2       Vector space laws	<b>5</b> 5 6
4	Subspaces4.1Definition4.2Linear closure4.3Sum of two vectorspaces4.4Direct sums	<b>9</b> 9 10 11 12
5	Normed vector spaces5.1Quasinorms5.2Norms5.3Normed vector spaces	<b>13</b> 13 13 13
6	Linearforms	<b>14</b>
7	An order on functions7.1The graph of a function7.2Functions ordered by domain extension7.3Domain and function of a graph7.4Norm-preserving extensions of a function	<b>14</b> 14 15 15 15

#### CONTENTS

8 The norm of a function	16	
8.1 Continuous linear forms	16	
8.2 The norm of a linear form	17	
9 Zorn's Lemma	18	
II Lemmas for the Proof	20	
10 The supremum wrt. the function order		
11 Extending non-maximal functions		
III The Main Proof	<b>24</b>	
12 The Hahn-Banach Theorem 2		
12.1 The Hahn-Banach Theorem for vector spaces	24	
12.2 Alternative formulation	24	
12.3 The Hahn-Banach Theorem for normed spaces	25	

2

# 1 Preface

This is a fully formal proof of the Hahn-Banach Theorem. It closely follows the informal presentation given in Heuser's textbook [1, § 36]. Another formal proof of the same theorem has been done in Mizar [3]. A general overview of the relevance and history of the Hahn-Banach Theorem is given by Narici and Beckenstein [2].

The document is structured as follows. The first part contains definitions of basic notions of linear algebra: vector spaces, subspaces, normed spaces, continuous linear-forms, norm of functions and an order on functions by domain extension. The second part contains some lemmas about the supremum (w.r.t. the function order) and extension of non-maximal functions. With these pre-liminaries, the main proof of the theorem (in its two versions) is conducted in the third part. The dependencies of individual theories are as follows.



# Part I Basic Notions

# 2 Bounds

theory Bounds imports Main HOL-Analysis.Continuum-Not-Denumerable begin

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{locale } lub = \\ \textbf{fixes } A \textbf{ and } x \\ \textbf{assumes } least \ [intro?]: (\bigwedge a. \ a \in A \Longrightarrow a \leq b) \Longrightarrow x \leq b \\ \textbf{and } upper \ [intro?]: \ a \in A \Longrightarrow a \leq x \end{array}$ 

 $\mathbf{lemmas}~[\mathit{elim?}] = \mathit{lub.least~lub.upper}$ 

**definition** the-lub :: 'a::order set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ([-90] 90) where the-lub A = The (lub A)

lemma the-lubI-ex: assumes ex:  $\exists x. lub A x$ shows lub  $A (\bigsqcup A)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** real-complete:  $\exists a :: real. a \in A \implies \exists y. \forall a \in A. a \leq y \implies \exists x. lub A x \langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 3 Vector spaces

```
theory Vector-Space
imports Complex-Main Bounds
begin
```

#### 3.1 Signature

For the definition of real vector spaces a type 'a of the sort  $\{plus, minus, zero\}$  is considered, on which a real scalar multiplication  $\cdot$  is declared.

#### $\mathbf{consts}$

```
prod :: real \Rightarrow 'a::\{plus, minus, zero\} \Rightarrow 'a \ (infixr \cdot 70)
```

#### 3.2 Vector space laws

A vector space is a non-empty set V of elements from 'a with the following vector space laws: The set V is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, addition is associative and commutative; -x is the inverse of x wrt. addition and  $\theta$  is the neutral element of addition. Addition and multiplication are distributive; scalar multiplication is associative and the real number 1 is the neutral element of scalar multiplication.

**locale** vectorspace = fixes Vassumes non-empty [iff, intro?]:  $V \neq \{\}$ and add-closed [iff]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow x + y \in V$ and mult-closed [iff]:  $x \in V \implies a \cdot x \in V$ and add-assoc:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow z \in V \Longrightarrow (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ and add-commute:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow x + y = y + x$ and diff-self [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow x - x = 0$ and add-zero-left  $[simp]: x \in V \implies 0 + x = x$ and add-mult-distrib1:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow a \cdot (x + y) = a \cdot x + a \cdot y$ and add-mult-distrib2:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow (a + b) \cdot x = a \cdot x + b \cdot x$ and mult-assoc:  $x \in V \implies (a * b) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$ and mult-1 [simp]:  $x \in V \implies 1 \cdot x = x$ and negate-eq1:  $x \in V \implies -x = (-1) \cdot x$ and diff-eq1:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow x - y = x + - y$ begin **lemma** negate-eq2:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow (-1) \cdot x = -x$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** negate-eq2a:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow -1 \cdot x = -x$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** diff-eq2:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow x + -y = x - y$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** diff-closed [iff]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow x - y \in V$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** neg-closed [iff]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow - x \in V$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** add-left-commute:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow z \in V \Longrightarrow x + (y + z) = y + (x + z)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemmas** add-ac = add-assoc add-commute add-left-commute The existence of the zero element of a vector space follows from the non-

**lemma** zero [iff]:  $0 \in V$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

emptiness of carrier set.

**lemma** add-zero-right [simp]:  $x \in V \implies x + 0 = x$  $\langle proof \rangle$  **lemma** *mult-assoc2*:  $x \in V \implies a \cdot b \cdot x = (a * b) \cdot x$  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma diff-mult-distrib1:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow a \cdot (x - y) = a \cdot x - a \cdot y$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** diff-mult-distrib2:  $x \in V \implies (a - b) \cdot x = a \cdot x - (b \cdot x)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemmas distrib =add-mult-distrib1 add-mult-distrib2 diff-mult-distrib1 diff-mult-distrib2 Further derived laws: **lemma** mult-zero-left [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow 0 \cdot x = 0$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** mult-zero-right [simp]:  $a \cdot 0 = (0::'a)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** minus-mult-cancel [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow (-a) \cdot - x = a \cdot x$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** add-minus-left-eq-diff:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow -x + y = y - x$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** add-minus [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow x + -x = 0$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** add-minus-left [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow -x + x = 0$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** minus-minus [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow - (-x) = x$  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma minus-zero [simp]: -(0::'a) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *minus-zero-iff* [*simp*]: assumes  $x: x \in V$ shows (-x = 0) = (x = 0) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** add-minus-cancel [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow x + (-x + y) = y$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** minus-add-cancel [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow -x + (x + y) = y$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** minus-add-distrib [simp]:  $x \in V \implies y \in V \implies -(x + y) = -x + -y$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** diff-zero [simp]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow x - \theta = x$ 

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma diff-zero-right [simp]: x \in V \Longrightarrow 0 - x = -x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-left-cancel:
 assumes x: x \in V and y: y \in V and z: z \in V
 shows (x + y = x + z) = (y = z)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma add-right-cancel:
   x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow z \in V \Longrightarrow (y + x = z + x) = (y = z)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-assoc-cong:
  x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow x' \in V \Longrightarrow y' \in V \Longrightarrow z \in V
    \implies x + y = x' + y' \implies x + (y + z) = x' + (y' + z)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mult-left-commute: x \in V \implies a \cdot b \cdot x = b \cdot a \cdot x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mult-zero-uniq:
 assumes x: x \in V x \neq 0 and ax: a \cdot x = 0
 shows a = 0
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mult-left-cancel:
 assumes x: x \in V and y: y \in V and a: a \neq 0
 shows (a \cdot x = a \cdot y) = (x = y)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mult-right-cancel:
 assumes x: x \in V and neq: x \neq 0
 shows (a \cdot x = b \cdot x) = (a = b)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eq-diff-eq:
 assumes x: x \in V and y: y \in V and z: z \in V
 shows (x = z - y) = (x + y = z)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma add-minus-eq-minus:
 assumes x: x \in V and y: y \in V and xy: x + y = 0
 shows x = -y
\langle proof \rangle
lemma add-minus-eq:
 assumes x: x \in V and y: y \in V and xy: x - y = 0
 shows x = y
\langle proof \rangle
lemma add-diff-swap:
 assumes vs: a \in V b \in V c \in V d \in V
```

8

```
and eq: a + b = c + d

shows a - c = d - b

\langle proof \rangle

lemma vs-add-cancel-21:

assumes vs: x \in V \ y \in V \ z \in V \ u \in V

shows (x + (y + z) = y + u) = (x + z = u)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma add-cancel-end:

assumes vs: x \in V \ y \in V \ z \in V

shows (x + (y + z) = y) = (x = -z)

\langle proof \rangle
```

end

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 4 Subspaces

theory Subspace imports Vector-Space HOL-Library.Set-Algebras begin

#### 4.1 Definition

A non-empty subset U of a vector space V is a *subspace* of V, iff U is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

**locale** subspace = **fixes**  $U :: 'a::\{minus, plus, zero, uminus\}$  set **and** V **assumes** non-empty [iff, intro]:  $U \neq \{\}$  **and** subset [iff]:  $U \subseteq V$  **and** add-closed [iff]:  $x \in U \Longrightarrow y \in U \Longrightarrow x + y \in U$ **and** mult-closed [iff]:  $x \in U \Longrightarrow a \cdot x \in U$ 

**notation** (symbols) subspace (infix  $\leq 50$ )

declare vectorspace.intro [intro?] subspace.intro [intro?]

**lemma** subspace-subset [elim]:  $U \trianglelefteq V \Longrightarrow U \subseteq V$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in subspace) subsetD [iff]:  $x \in U \implies x \in V$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** subspaceD [elim]:  $U \trianglelefteq V \Longrightarrow x \in U \Longrightarrow x \in V$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** rev-subspaceD [elim?]:  $x \in U \Longrightarrow U \trianglelefteq V \Longrightarrow x \in V$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in subspace) diff-closed [iff]:

```
assumes vectorspace V
assumes x: x \in U and y: y \in U
shows x - y \in U
\langle proof \rangle
```

Similar as for linear spaces, the existence of the zero element in every subspace follows from the non-emptiness of the carrier set and by vector space laws.

Further derived laws: every subspace is a vector space.

```
lemma (in subspace) vectorspace [iff]:
  assumes vectorspace V
  shows vectorspace U
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The subspace relation is reflexive.

**lemma** (in vectorspace) subspace-refl [intro]:  $V \trianglelefteq V$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

The subspace relation is transitive.

**lemma** (in vectorspace) subspace-trans [trans]:  $U \trianglelefteq V \Longrightarrow V \trianglelefteq W \Longrightarrow U \trianglelefteq W$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition  $lin :: ('a::{minus, plus, zero}) \Rightarrow 'a set$ 

#### 4.2 Linear closure

The *linear closure* of a vector x is the set of all scalar multiples of x.

```
where lin \ x = \{a \cdot x \mid a. \ True\}

lemma linI \ [intro]: \ y = a \cdot x \Longrightarrow y \in lin \ x

\langle proof \rangle

lemma linI' \ [iff]: \ a \cdot x \in lin \ x

\langle proof \rangle

lemma linE \ [elim]:

assumes x \in lin \ v

obtains a :: real where x = a \cdot v

\langle proof \rangle
```

Every vector is contained in its linear closure.

**lemma** (in vectorspace) x-lin-x [iff]:  $x \in V \implies x \in lin \ x \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in vectorspace) 0-lin-x [iff]:  $x \in V \Longrightarrow 0 \in lin \ x \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

Any linear closure is a subspace.

```
lemma (in vectorspace) lin-subspace [intro]:
assumes x: x \in V
shows lin x \leq V
\langle proof \rangle
```

Any linear closure is a vector space.

#### 4.3 Sum of two vectorspaces

The sum of two vectors paces U and V is the set of all sums of elements from U and V.

```
lemma sum-def: U + V = \{u + v \mid u v. u \in U \land v \in V\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sumE [elim]:
    x \in U + V \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge u \ v. \ x = u + v \Longrightarrow u \in U \Longrightarrow v \in V \Longrightarrow C) \Longrightarrow C
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sumI [intro]:
    u \in U \Longrightarrow v \in V \Longrightarrow x = u + v \Longrightarrow x \in U + V
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sumI' [intro]:
    u \in U \Longrightarrow v \in V \Longrightarrow u + v \in U + V
  \langle proof \rangle
U is a subspace of U + V.
lemma subspace-sum1 [iff]:
  assumes vectorspace U vectorspace V
  shows U \trianglelefteq U + V
\langle proof \rangle
The sum of two subspaces is again a subspace.
```

The sum of two subspaces is a vectorspace.

lemma sum-vs [intro?]:

 $U \trianglelefteq E \Longrightarrow V \trianglelefteq E \Longrightarrow vectorspace E \Longrightarrow vectorspace (U + V)$ \langle proof \rangle

#### 4.4 Direct sums

The sum of U and V is called *direct*, iff the zero element is the only common element of U and V. For every element x of the direct sum of U and V the decomposition in x = u + v with  $u \in U$  and  $v \in V$  is unique.

lemma decomp:

```
assumes vectorspace E subspace U E subspace V E
assumes direct: U \cap V = \{0\}
and u1: u1 \in U and u2: u2 \in U
and v1: v1 \in V and v2: v2 \in V
and sum: u1 + v1 = u2 + v2
shows u1 = u2 \land v1 = v2
\langle proof \rangle
```

An application of the previous lemma will be used in the proof of the Hahn-Banach Theorem (see page ??): for any element  $y + a \cdot x_0$  of the direct sum of a vectorspace H and the linear closure of  $x_0$  the components  $y \in H$  and a are uniquely determined.

```
lemma decomp-H':

assumes vectorspace E subspace H E

assumes y1: y1 \in H and y2: y2 \in H

and x': x' \notin H x' \in E x' \neq 0

and eq: y1 + a1 \cdot x' = y2 + a2 \cdot x'

shows y1 = y2 \land a1 = a2

\langle proof \rangle
```

Since for any element  $y + a \cdot x'$  of the direct sum of a vectorspace H and the linear closure of x' the components  $y \in H$  and a are unique, it follows from  $y \in H$  that a = 0.

```
lemma decomp-H'-H:

assumes vectorspace E subspace H E

assumes t: t \in H

and x': x' \notin H x' \in E x' \neq 0

shows (SOME (y, a). t = y + a \cdot x' \land y \in H) = (t, 0)

\langle proof \rangle
```

The components  $y \in H$  and a in  $y + a \cdot x'$  are unique, so the function h' defined by  $h'(y + a \cdot x') = h y + a \cdot \xi$  is definite.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } h' - definite: \\ \textbf{fixes } H \\ \textbf{assumes } h' - def: \\ & \bigwedge x. \ h' \ x = \\ & (let \ (y, \ a) = SOME \ (y, \ a). \ (x = y + a \cdot x' \land y \in H) \\ & in \ (h \ y) + a * xi) \\ \textbf{and } x: \ x = y + a \cdot x' \\ \textbf{assumes } vectorspace \ E \ subspace \ H \ E \\ \textbf{assumes } y: \ y \in H \\ & \textbf{and } x': \ x' \notin H \ x' \in E \ x' \neq 0 \\ \textbf{shows } h' \ x = h \ y + a * xi \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

#### 5 Normed vector spaces

theory Normed-Space imports Subspace begin

#### 5.1 Quasinorms

A seminorm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a function on a real vector space into the reals that has the following properties: it is positive definite, absolute homogeneous and subadditive.

**locale** seminorm = **fixes**  $V :: 'a::\{minus, plus, zero, uminus\}$  set **fixes** norm  $:: 'a \Rightarrow real (||-||)$  **assumes** ge-zero [iff?]:  $x \in V \implies 0 \le ||x||$  **and** abs-homogenous [iff?]:  $x \in V \implies ||a \cdot x|| = |a| * ||x||$ **and** subadditive [iff?]:  $x \in V \implies y \in V \implies ||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ 

declare seminorm.intro [intro?]

```
lemma (in seminorm) diff-subadditive:

assumes vectorspace V

shows x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow ||x - y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma (in seminorm) minus: assumes vectorspace V shows  $x \in V \implies ||-x|| = ||x||$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 5.2 Norms

A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a seminorm that maps only the  $\theta$  vector to  $\theta$ .

**locale** norm = seminorm + assumes zero-iff [iff]:  $x \in V \implies (||x|| = 0) = (x = 0)$ 

#### 5.3 Normed vector spaces

A vector space together with a norm is called a *normed space*.

locale normed-vectorspace = vectorspace + norm

declare normed-vectorspace.intro [intro?]

lemma (in normed-vectorspace) gt-zero [intro?]: assumes  $x: x \in V$  and  $neq: x \neq 0$ shows 0 < ||x|| $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Any subspace of a normed vector space is again a normed vectorspace.

```
lemma subspace-normed-vs [intro?]:
fixes F E norm
assumes subspace F E normed-vectorspace E norm
```

**shows** normed-vectorspace F norm  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 6 Linearforms

theory Linearform imports Vector-Space begin

A *linear form* is a function on a vector space into the reals that is additive and multiplicative.

**locale** linearform = **fixes**  $V :: 'a::\{minus, plus, zero, uminus\}$  set and f **assumes** add [iff]:  $x \in V \implies y \in V \implies f(x + y) = fx + fy$ and mult [iff]:  $x \in V \implies f(a \cdot x) = a * fx$ 

declare linearform.intro [intro?]

```
lemma (in linearform) neg [iff]:

assumes vectorspace V

shows x \in V \Longrightarrow f(-x) = -fx

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in linearform) diff [iff]:

assumes vectorspace V

shows x \in V \Longrightarrow y \in V \Longrightarrow f(x - y) = fx - fy

\langle proof \rangle

Every linear form yields \theta for the \theta vector.
```

```
lemma (in linearform) zero [iff]:
assumes vectorspace V
shows f \ 0 = 0
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 7 An order on functions

```
theory Function-Order
imports Subspace Linearform
begin
```

#### 7.1 The graph of a function

We define the graph of a (real) function f with domain F as the set

$$\{(x, fx). x \in F\}$$

So we are modeling partial functions by specifying the domain and the mapping function. We use the term "function" also for its graph.

type-synonym 'a graph = ('a  $\times$  real) set

**definition** graph :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  'a graph where graph  $F f = \{(x, f x) \mid x. x \in F\}$ 

```
lemma graphI [intro]: x \in F \implies (x, f x) \in graph F f
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** graphI2 [intro?]:  $x \in F \implies \exists t \in graph \ F \ f. \ t = (x, f \ x)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** graphE [elim?]: **assumes**  $(x, y) \in$  graph F f **obtains**  $x \in F$  and y = f x $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 7.2 Functions ordered by domain extension

A function h' is an extension of h, iff the graph of h is a subset of the graph of h'.

**lemma** graph-extI:  $(\bigwedge x. \ x \in H \Longrightarrow h \ x = h' \ x) \Longrightarrow H \subseteq H'$   $\Longrightarrow$  graph  $H \ h \subseteq$  graph  $H' \ h'$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** graph-extD1 [dest?]: graph  $H h \subseteq$  graph  $H' h' \Longrightarrow x \in H \Longrightarrow h x = h' x \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** graph-extD2 [dest?]: graph  $H h \subseteq$  graph  $H' h' \Longrightarrow H \subseteq H' \langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 7.3 Domain and function of a graph

The inverse functions to graph are domain and funct.

**definition** domain :: 'a graph  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where domain  $g = \{x. \exists y. (x, y) \in g\}$ 

**definition** funct :: 'a graph  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real) where funct  $g = (\lambda x. (SOME y. (x, y) \in g))$ 

The following lemma states that g is the graph of a function if the relation induced by g is unique.

**lemma** graph-domain-funct: **assumes** uniq:  $\bigwedge x \ y \ z. \ (x, \ y) \in g \Longrightarrow (x, \ z) \in g \Longrightarrow z = y$  **shows** graph (domain g) (funct g) = g  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 7.4 Norm-preserving extensions of a function

Given a linear form f on the space F and a seminorm p on E. The set of all linear extensions of f, to superspaces H of F, which are bounded by p, is defined as follows.

#### definition

norm-pres-extensions :: 'a::{plus,minus,uminus,zero} set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  'a graph set where norm-pres-extensions E p F f $= \{g. \exists H h. g = graph H h$  $\land$  linearform H h  $\wedge \ H \ \trianglelefteq \ E$  $\wedge \ F \ \trianglelefteq \ H$  $\wedge \ graph \ F \ f \ \subseteq \ graph \ H \ h$  $\land (\forall x \in H. h x \le p x) \}$ **lemma** norm-pres-extensionE [elim]: **assumes**  $g \in norm$ -pres-extensions E p F f**obtains** H hwhere g = graph H hand linear form H hand  $H \leq E$ and  $F \trianglelefteq H$ and graph  $F f \subseteq$  graph H hand  $\forall x \in H$ .  $h x \leq p x$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** norm-pres-extensionI2 [intro]: linearform  $H h \Longrightarrow H \trianglelefteq E \Longrightarrow F \trianglelefteq H$  $\implies$  graph  $F f \subseteq$  graph  $H h \implies \forall x \in H$ .  $h x \leq p x$  $\implies$  graph  $H h \in norm$ -pres-extensions E p F f $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** norm-pres-extensionI:  $\exists H h. g = graph H h$  $\wedge$  linear form H h  $\wedge \ H \ \trianglelefteq \ E$  $\land F \trianglelefteq H$  $\wedge \ graph \ F \ f \ \subseteq \ graph \ H \ h$  $\land (\forall x \in H. \ h \ x \leq p \ x) \Longrightarrow g \in norm-pres-extensions \ E \ p \ F \ f$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 8 The norm of a function

theory Function-Norm imports Normed-Space Function-Order begin

#### 8.1 Continuous linear forms

A linear form f on a normed vector space (  $V,\,\|\cdot\|)$  is continuous, iff it is bounded, i.e.

$$\exists c \in R. \ \forall x \in V. \ |f x| \le c \cdot ||x||$$

#### 16

In our application no other functions than linear forms are considered, so we can define continuous linear forms as bounded linear forms:

**locale** continuous = linearform + **fixes** norm ::  $- \Rightarrow$  real (||-||) **assumes** bounded:  $\exists c. \forall x \in V. |f x| \le c * ||x||$ 

declare continuous.intro [intro?] continuous-axioms.intro [intro?]

#### 8.2 The norm of a linear form

The least real number c for which holds

$$\forall x \in V. |f x| \le c \cdot ||x||$$

is called the *norm* of f.

For non-trivial vector spaces  $V \neq \{0\}$  the norm can be defined as

$$||f|| = \sup x \neq 0. |f x| / ||x||$$

For the case  $V = \{0\}$  the supremum would be taken from an empty set. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is unbounded, there would be no supremum. To avoid this situation it must be guaranteed that there is an element in this set. This element must be  $\{\} \geq 0$  so that *fn-norm* has the norm properties. Furthermore it does not have to change the norm in all other cases, so it must be 0, as all other elements are  $\{\} \geq 0$ .

Thus we define the set B where the supremum is taken from as follows:

$$\{0\} \cup \{|f x| / ||x|| \, x \neq 0 \land x \in F\}$$

fn-norm is equal to the supremum of B, if the supremum exists (otherwise it is undefined).

locale fn-norm = fixes norm ::  $- \Rightarrow real$  (||-||) fixes B defines  $B \ V f \equiv \{0\} \cup \{|f x| \ / \ ||x|| \ | \ x. \ x \neq 0 \ \land x \in V\}$ fixes fn-norm (||-||--  $[0, \ 1000] \ 999$ ) defines  $||f||-V \equiv \bigsqcup (B \ V f)$ 

 ${\bf locale} \ normed-vector space-with-fn-norm = \ normed-vector space + \ fn-norm = \ norm = \ normed-vector space + \ fn-norm = \ normed-vector space + \ fn-norm = \ norm = \ norm$ 

**lemma** (in *fn*-norm) *B*-not-empty [intro]:  $0 \in B V f$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

The following lemma states that every continuous linear form on a normed space  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  has a function norm.

**lemma** (in normed-vectorspace-with-fn-norm) fn-norm-works:

assumes continuous V f norm shows lub (B V f) (||f||-V)  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in normed-vectorspace-with-fn-norm) fn-norm-ub [iff?]: assumes continuous V f norm assumes b:  $b \in B V f$ shows  $b \leq ||f||-V$   $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in normed-vectorspace-with-fn-norm) fn-norm-leastB: assumes continuous V f norm assumes b:  $\bigwedge b. \ b \in B V f \Longrightarrow b \leq y$ shows  $||f||-V \leq y$   $\langle proof \rangle$ The norm of a continuous function is always  $\geq 0$ .

lemma (in normed-vectorspace-with-fn-norm) fn-norm-ge-zero [iff]: assumes continuous V f norm shows  $0 \leq ||f||$ - $V \langle proof \rangle$ 

The fundamental property of function norms is:

 $|f x| \le ||f|| \cdot ||x||$ 

lemma (in normed-vectorspace-with-fn-norm) fn-norm-le-cong: assumes continuous V f norm linearform V f assumes  $x: x \in V$ shows  $|f x| \le ||f|| - V * ||x||$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

The function norm is the least positive real number for which the following inequality holds:

```
|f x| \le c \cdot ||x||
```

**lemma** (in normed-vectorspace-with-fn-norm) fn-norm-least [intro?]: assumes continuous Vf norm assumes ineq:  $\bigwedge x. \ x \in V \Longrightarrow |fx| \le c * ||x||$  and  $ge: 0 \le c$ shows  $||f|| - V \le c$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 9 Zorn's Lemma

theory Zorn-Lemma imports Main begin

Zorn's Lemmas states: if every linear ordered subset of an ordered set S has an upper bound in S, then there exists a maximal element in S. In our application,

S is a set of sets ordered by set inclusion. Since the union of a chain of sets is an upper bound for all elements of the chain, the conditions of Zorn's lemma can be modified: if S is non-empty, it suffices to show that for every non-empty chain c in S the union of c also lies in S.

**theorem** Zorn's-Lemma: **assumes**  $r: \bigwedge c. \ c \in chains \ S \Longrightarrow \exists x. \ x \in c \Longrightarrow \bigcup c \in S$  **and**  $aS: \ a \in S$  **shows**  $\exists y \in S. \ \forall z \in S. \ y \subseteq z \longrightarrow z = y$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# Part II Lemmas for the Proof

#### 10 The supremum wrt. the function order

theory Hahn-Banach-Sup-Lemmas imports Function-Norm Zorn-Lemma begin

This section contains some lemmas that will be used in the proof of the Hahn-Banach Theorem. In this section the following context is presumed. Let E be a real vector space with a seminorm p on E. F is a subspace of E and f a linear form on F. We consider a chain c of norm-preserving extensions of f, such that  $\bigcup c = graph \ H h$ . We will show some properties about the limit function h, i.e. the supremum of the chain c.

Let c be a chain of norm-preserving extensions of the function f and let graph H h be the supremum of c. Every element in H is member of one of the elements of the chain.

```
lemmas [dest?] = chainsD
lemmas chainsE2 [elim?] = chainsD2 [elim-format]
```

```
lemma some-H'h't:

assumes M: M = norm-pres-extensions E p F f

and cM: c \in chains M

and u: graph H h = \bigcup c

and x: x \in H

shows \exists H' h'. graph H' h' \in c

\land (x, h x) \in graph H' h'

\land linearform H' h' \land H' \trianglelefteq E

\land F \trianglelefteq H' \land graph F f \subseteq graph H' h'

\land (\forall x \in H'. h' x \le p x)

\langle proof \rangle
```

Let c be a chain of norm-preserving extensions of the function f and let graph H h be the supremum of c. Every element in the domain H of the supremum function is member of the domain H' of some function h', such that h extends h'.

```
lemma some-H'h':

assumes M: M = norm-pres-extensions E p F f

and cM: c \in chains M

and u: graph H h = \bigcup c

and x: x \in H

shows \exists H' h'. x \in H' \land graph H' h' \subseteq graph H h

\land linearform H' h' \land H' \trianglelefteq E \land F \trianglelefteq H'

\land graph F f \subseteq graph H' h' \land (\forall x \in H'. h' x \le p x)

\langle proof \rangle
```

Any two elements x and y in the domain H of the supremum function h are both in the domain H' of some function h', such that h extends h'.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma some-}H'h'2:\\ \textbf{assumes } M:\ M = norm-pres-extensions } E \ p \ F \ f\\ \textbf{and } cM:\ c \in chains \ M\\ \textbf{and } u:\ graph \ H \ h = \bigcup c\\ \textbf{and } x:\ x \in H\\ \textbf{and } y:\ y \in H\\ \textbf{shows } \exists \ H' \ h'.\ x \in H' \land \ y \in H'\\ \land \ graph \ H' \ h' \subseteq graph \ H \ h\\ \land \ linearform \ H' \ h' \land H' \trianglelefteq E \land F \trianglelefteq H'\\ \land \ graph \ F \ f \subseteq graph \ H' \ h' \land (\forall \ x \in H'.\ h' \ x \le p \ x)\\ \langle proof \rangle\end{array}
```

The relation induced by the graph of the supremum of a chain c is definite, i.e. it is the graph of a function.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma sup-definite:}\\ \textbf{assumes } M\text{-}def\text{: } M = norm\text{-}pres\text{-}extensions } E \ p \ F \ f\\ \textbf{and } cM\text{: } c \in chains \ M\\ \textbf{and } xy\text{: } (x, \ y) \in \bigcup c\\ \textbf{and } xz\text{: } (x, \ z) \in \bigcup c\\ \textbf{shows } z = y\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

The limit function h is linear. Every element x in the domain of h is in the domain of a function h' in the chain of norm preserving extensions. Furthermore, h is an extension of h' so the function values of x are identical for h' and h. Finally, the function h' is linear by construction of M.

```
lemma sup-lf:

assumes M: M = norm-pres-extensions E \ p \ F \ f

and cM: c \in chains \ M

and u: graph \ H \ h = \bigcup c

shows linearform H \ h

\langle proof \rangle
```

The limit of a non-empty chain of norm preserving extensions of f is an extension of f, since every element of the chain is an extension of f and the supremum is an extension for every element of the chain.

```
lemma sup-ext:

assumes graph: graph H h = \bigcup c

and M: M = norm-pres-extensions E p F f

and cM: c \in chains M

and ex: \exists x. x \in c

shows graph F f \subseteq graph H h

\langle proof \rangle
```

The domain H of the limit function is a superspace of F, since F is a subset of H. The existence of the  $\theta$  element in F and the closure properties follow from the fact that F is a vector space.

```
lemma sup-supF:

assumes graph: graph H h = \bigcup c

and M: M = norm-pres-extensions E p F f
```

and  $cM: c \in chains M$ and  $ex: \exists x. x \in c$ and  $FE: F \trianglelefteq E$ shows  $F \trianglelefteq H$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

The domain H of the limit function is a subspace of E.

```
lemma sup-subE:

assumes graph: graph H h = \bigcup c

and M: M = norm-pres-extensions <math>E p F f

and cM: c \in chains M

and ex: \exists x. x \in c

and FE: F \trianglelefteq E

and E: vectorspace E

shows H \trianglelefteq E

\langle proof \rangle
```

The limit function is bounded by the norm p as well, since all elements in the chain are bounded by p.

```
lemma sup-norm-pres:

assumes graph: graph H h = \bigcup c

and M: M = norm-pres-extensions <math>E p F f

and cM: c \in chains M

shows \forall x \in H. h x \leq p x

\langle proof \rangle
```

The following lemma is a property of linear forms on real vector spaces. It will be used for the lemma *abs-Hahn-Banach* (see page 24). For real vector spaces the following inequality are equivalent:

 $\forall x \in H. |h x| \leq p x \text{ and } \forall x \in H. h x \leq p x$ 

lemma abs-ineq-iff:

assumes subspace H E and vectorspace E and seminorm E pand linearform H hshows  $(\forall x \in H. |h x| \le p x) = (\forall x \in H. h x \le p x)$  (is ?L = ?R) $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

# 11 Extending non-maximal functions

theory Hahn-Banach-Ext-Lemmas imports Function-Norm begin

In this section the following context is presumed. Let E be a real vector space with a seminorm q on E. F is a subspace of E and f a linear function on F. We consider a subspace H of E that is a superspace of F and a linear form h on H. H is a not equal to E and  $x_0$  is an element in E - H. H is extended to the direct sum  $H' = H + lin x_0$ , so for any  $x \in H'$  the decomposition of x = y +

22

 $a \cdot x$  with  $y \in H$  is unique. h' is defined on H' by  $h' x = h y + a \cdot \xi$  for a certain  $\xi$ .

Subsequently we show some properties of this extension h' of h.

This lemma will be used to show the existence of a linear extension of f (see page ??). It is a consequence of the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ . To show

$$\exists \xi. \ \forall y \in F. \ a \ y \leq \xi \land \xi \leq b \ y$$

it suffices to show that

$$\forall u \in F. \ \forall v \in F. \ a \ u \leq b \ v$$

The function h' is defined as a  $h' x = h y + a \cdot \xi$  where  $x = y + a \cdot \xi$  is a linear extension of h to H'.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } h' \text{-}lf:\\ \textbf{assumes } h' \text{-}def: \bigwedge x. \ h' \ x = (let \ (y, \ a) =\\ SOME \ (y, \ a). \ x = y + a \cdot x0 \land y \in H \ in \ h \ y + a \ast xi)\\ \textbf{and } H' \text{-}def: \ H' = H + lin \ x0\\ \textbf{and } HE: \ H \trianglelefteq E\\ \textbf{assumes } linearform \ H \ h\\ \textbf{assumes } x0: \ x0 \notin H \ x0 \in E \ x0 \neq 0\\ \textbf{assumes } E: \ vectorspace \ E\\ \textbf{shows } linearform \ H' \ h'\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

The linear extension h' of h is bounded by the seminorm p.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } h' \text{-norm-pres:} \\ \textbf{assumes } h' \text{-}def: \bigwedge x. \ h' \ x = (let \ (y, \ a) = \\ SOME \ (y, \ a). \ x = y + a \cdot x0 \land y \in H \ in \ h \ y + a \ast xi) \\ \textbf{and } H' \text{-}def: \ H' = H + lin \ x0 \\ \textbf{and } x0: \ x0 \notin H \ x0 \in E \ x0 \neq 0 \\ \textbf{assumes } E: \ vectorspace \ E \ \textbf{and } HE: \ subspace \ H \ E \\ \textbf{and } seminorm \ E \ p \ \textbf{and } linearform \ H \ h \\ \textbf{assumes } a: \ \forall \ y \in H. \ h \ y \leq p \ y \\ \textbf{and } a': \ \forall \ y \in H. \ -p \ (y + x0) - h \ y \leq xi \land xi \leq p \ (y + x0) - h \ y \\ \textbf{shows } \forall \ x \in H'. \ h' \ x \leq p \ x \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# Part III The Main Proof

## 12 The Hahn-Banach Theorem

theory Hahn-Banach imports Hahn-Banach-Lemmas begin

We present the proof of two different versions of the Hahn-Banach Theorem, closely following [1, §36].

#### 12.1 The Hahn-Banach Theorem for vector spaces

**Hahn-Banach Theorem.** Let F be a subspace of a real vector space E, let p be a semi-norm on E, and f be a linear form defined on F such that f is bounded by p, i.e.  $\forall x \in F$ .  $f x \leq p x$ . Then f can be extended to a linear form h on E such that h is norm-preserving, i.e. h is also bounded by p.

#### **Proof Sketch.**

- 1. Define M as the set of norm-preserving extensions of f to subspaces of E. The linear forms in M are ordered by domain extension.
- 2. We show that every non-empty chain in M has an upper bound in M.
- 3. With Zorn's Lemma we conclude that there is a maximal function g in M.
- 4. The domain H of g is the whole space E, as shown by classical contradiction:
  - Assuming g is not defined on whole E, it can still be extended in a norm-preserving way to a super-space H' of H.
  - Thus g can not be maximal. Contradiction!

theorem Hahn-Banach:

```
assumes E: vectorspace E and subspace F E
```

and seminorm  $E \ p$  and linear form  $F \ f$ 

assumes fp:  $\forall x \in F. f x \leq p x$ 

**shows**  $\exists h$ . linearform  $E h \land (\forall x \in F, h x = f x) \land (\forall x \in E, h x \leq p x)$ 

— Let E be a vector space, F a subspace of E, p a seminorm on E,

— and f a linear form on F such that f is bounded by p,

— then f can be extended to a linear form h on E in a norm-preserving way.  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 12.2 Alternative formulation

The following alternative formulation of the Hahn-Banach Theorem uses the fact that for a real linear form f and a seminorm p the following inequality are equivalent:<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This was shown in lemma *abs-ineq-iff* (see page 22).

 $\forall x \in H. |h x| \leq p x \text{ and } \forall x \in H. h x \leq p x$ 

**theorem** abs-Hahn-Banach: **assumes** E: vectorspace E and FE: subspace F E and lf: linearform F f and sn: seminorm E p **assumes** fp:  $\forall x \in F$ .  $|f x| \leq p x$  **shows**  $\exists g$ . linearform E g  $\land (\forall x \in F. g x = f x)$   $\land (\forall x \in E. |g x| \leq p x)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 12.3 The Hahn-Banach Theorem for normed spaces

Every continuous linear form f on a subspace F of a norm space E, can be extended to a continuous linear form g on E such that ||f|| = ||g||.

```
theorem norm-Hahn-Banach:

fixes V and norm (||-||)

fixes B defines \bigwedge V f. B V f \equiv \{0\} \cup \{|f x| / ||x|| \mid x. x \neq 0 \land x \in V\}

fixes fn-norm (||-||-- [0, 1000] 999)

defines \bigwedge V f. ||f|| - V \equiv \bigsqcup (B V f)

assumes E-norm: normed-vectorspace E norm and FE: subspace F E

and linearform: linearform F f and continuous F f norm

shows \exists g. linearform E g

\land continuous E g norm

\land (\forall x \in F. g x = f x)

\land ||g|| - E = ||f|| - F

\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

## References

- [1] H. Heuser. Funktionalanalysis: Theorie und Anwendung. Teubner, 1986.
- [2] L. Narici and E. Beckenstein. The Hahn-Banach Theorem: The life and times. In *Topology Atlas*. York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 1996. http://at.yorku.ca/topology/preprint.htm and http://at.yorku.ca/p/ a/a/a/16.htm.
- [3] B. Nowak and A. Trybulec. Hahn-Banach theorem. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. http://mizar.uwb.edu.pl/JFM/Vol5/hahnban.html.