

Ordinals and cardinals in HOL

Andrei Popescu & Dmitriy Traytel

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	More on Injections, Bijections and Inverses	5
2.1	Purely functional properties	5
2.2	Properties involving finite and infinite sets	6
2.3	Properties involving Hilbert choice	6
2.4	Other facts	6
3	Basics on Order-Like Relations	7
3.1	The upper and lower bounds operators	7
3.2	Properties depending on more than one relation	12
4	More on Well-Founded Relations	12
4.1	Well-founded recursion via genuine fixpoints	12
5	Well-Order Relations	13
5.1	Auxiliaries	13
5.2	Well-founded induction and recursion adapted to non-strict well-order relations	13
5.2.1	Properties of max2	13
5.2.2	Properties of minim	14
5.2.3	Properties of supr	14
5.2.4	Properties of successor	15
5.2.5	Properties of order filters	16
5.2.6	Other properties	16
6	Well-Order Embeddings	17
6.1	Auxiliaries	17
6.2	(Well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms and order-compatible functions	17
6.3	Uniqueness of embeddings	18
7	Order Union	19

8 Constructions on Wellorders	21
8.1 Order filters versus restrictions and embeddings	21
8.2 Ordering the well-orders by existence of embeddings	21
8.3 Copy via direct images	23
8.4 The maxim among a finite set of ordinals	24
8.5 Limit and successor ordinals	25
8.5.1 Successor and limit elements of an ordinal	27
8.5.2 Well-order recursion with (zero), successor, and limit .	29
8.5.3 Well-order succ-lim induction	30
8.6 Projections of wellorders	31
9 Ordinal Arithmetic	32
10 Cardinal-Order Relations	45
10.1 Cardinal of a set	47
10.2 Cardinals versus set operations on arbitrary sets	47
10.3 Cardinals versus set operations involving infinite sets	53
10.4 Cardinals versus lists	53
10.5 Cardinals versus the finite powerset operator	55
10.6 The cardinal ω and the finite cardinals	56
10.6.1 First as well-orders	56
10.6.2 Then as cardinals	57
10.6.3 "Backward compatibility" with the numeric cardinal operator for finite sets	58
10.7 The successor of a cardinal	59
10.8 Others	59
10.9 Infinite cardinals are limit ordinals	62
10.10 Regular vs. stable cardinals	63
11 Cardinal Arithmetic	64
11.1 Binary sum	64
11.2 Product	64
11.3 Exponentiation	65
11.4 Powerset	66
11.5 Inverse image	67
11.6 Maximum	67
12 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders	68
12.1 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders	68
13 Theory of Ordinals and Cardinals	69

Abstract

We develop a basic theory of ordinals and cardinals in Isabelle/HOL, up to the point where some cardinality facts relevant for the “working mathematician” become available. Unlike in set theory, here we do not have at hand canonical notions of ordinal and cardinal. Therefore, here an ordinal is merely a well-order relation and a cardinal is an ordinal minim w.r.t. order embedding on its field.

1 Introduction

In set theory (under formalizations such as Zermelo-Fraenkel or Von Neumann-Bernays-Gödel), an *ordinal* is a special kind of well-order, namely one whose strict version is the restriction of the membership relation to a set. In particular, the field of a set-theoretic ordinal is a transitive set, and the non-strict version of an ordinal relation is set inclusion. Set-theoretic ordinals enjoy the nice properties of membership on transitive sets, while at the same time forming a complete class of representatives for well-orders (since any well-order turns out isomorphic to an ordinal). Moreover, the class of ordinals is itself transitive and well-ordered by membership as the strict relation and inclusion as the non-strict relation. Also knowing that any set can be well-ordered (in the presence of the axiom of choice), one then defines the *cardinal* of a set to be the smallest ordinal isomorphic to a well-order on that set. This makes the class of cardinals a complete set of representatives for the intuitive notion of set cardinality.¹ The ability to produce *canonical well-orders* from the membership relation (having the aforementioned convenient properties) allows for a harmonious development of the theory of cardinals in set-theoretic settings. Non-trivial cardinality results, such as A being equipollent to $A \times A$ for any infinite A , follow rather quickly within this theory.

However, a canonical notion of well-order is *not* available in HOL. Here, one has to do with well-order “as is”, but otherwise has all the necessary infrastructure (including Hilbert choice) to “climb” well-orders recursively and to well-order arbitrary sets.

The current work, formalized in Isabelle/HOL, develops the basic theory of ordinals and cardinals up to the point where there are inferred a collection of non-trivial cardinality facts useful for the “working mathematician”, among which:

¹The “intuitive” cardinality of a set A is the class of all sets equipollent to A , i.e., being in bijection with A .

- Given any two sets (on any two given types)², one is injectable in the other.
- If at least one of two sets is infinite, then their sum and their Cartesian product are equipollent to the larger of the two.
- The set of lists (and also the set of finite sets) with element from an infinite set is equipollent with that set.

Our development emulates the standard one from set-theory, but keeps everything *up to order isomorphism*. An (HOL) ordinal is merely a well-order. An *ordinal embedding* is an injective and order-compatible function which maps its source into an initial segment (i.e., order filter) of its target. Now, a *cardinal* (called in this work a *cardinal order*) is an ordinal minim w.r.t. the existence of embeddings among all well-orders on its field. After showing the existence of cardinals on any given set, we define the cardinal of a set A , denoted $|A|$, to be *some* cardinal order on A . This concept is unique only up to order isomorphism (denoted $=o$), but meets its purpose: any two sets A and B (laying at potentially distinct types) are in bijection if and only if $|A| =o |B|$. Moreover, we also show that numeric cardinals assigned to finite sets³ are *conservatively extended* by our general (order-theoretic) notion of cardinal. We study the interaction of cardinals with standard set-theoretic constructions such as powersets, products, sums and lists. These constructions are shown to preserve the “cardinal identity” $=o$ and also to be monotonic w.r.t. $\leq o$, the ordinal embedding relation. By studying the interaction between these constructions, infinite sets and cardinals, we obtain the aforementioned results for “working mathematicians”.

For this development, we did not follow closely any particular textbook, and in fact are not aware of such basic theory of cardinals previously developed in HOL.⁴ On the other hand, the set-theoretic versions of the facts proved here are folklore in set theory, and can be found, e.g., in the textbook [1]. Beyond taking care of some locality aspects concerning the spreading of our concepts throughout types, we have not departed much from the techniques used in set theory for establishing these facts – for instance, in the proof of one of our major theorems, *Card-order-Times-same-infinite* from Section 8.4,⁵ we have essentially applied the technique described, e.g., in the proof of theorem 1.5.11 from [1], page 47.

Here is the structure of the rest of this document.

²Recall that, in HOL, a set on a type α is modeled, just like a predicate, as a function from α to `bool`.

³Numeric cardinals of finite sets are already formalized in Isabelle/HOL.

⁴After writing this formalization, we became aware of Paul Taylor’s membership-free development of the theory of ordinals [2].

⁵This theorem states that, for any infinite cardinal r on a set A , $|A \times A|$ is not larger than r .

The next three sections, 2-4, develop some mathematical prerequisites. In Section 2, a large collection of simple facts about injections, bijections, inverses, (in)finite sets and numeric cardinals are proved, making life easier for later, when proving less trivial facts. Section 3 introduces upper and lower bounds operators for order-like relations and studies their basic properties. Section 4 states some useful variations of well-founded recursion and induction principles.

Then come the major sections, 5-8. Section 5 defines and studies, in the context of a well-order relation, the notions of minimum (of a set), maximum (of two elements), supremum, successor (of a set), and order filter (i.e., initial segment, i.e., downward-closed set). Section 6 defines and studies (well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms, and compatible functions. Section 7 deals with various constructions on well-orders, and with the relations \leq_o , $<_o$ and $=_o$ of well-order embedding, strict embedding, and isomorphism, respectively. Section 8 defines and studies cardinal order relations, the cardinal of a set, the connection of cardinals with set-theoretic constructs, the canonical cardinal of natural numbers and finite cardinals, the successor of a cardinal, as well as regular cardinals. (The latter play a crucial role in the development of a new (co)datatype package in HOL.)

Finally, section 9 provides an abstraction of the previous developments on cardinals, to provide a simpler user interface to cardinals, which in most of the cases allows to forget that cardinals are represented by orders and use them through defined arithmetic operators.

More informal details are provided at the beginning of each section, and also at the beginning of some of the subsections.

References

- [1] M. Holz, K. Steffens, and E. Weitz. *Introduction to Cardinal Arithmetic*. Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [2] Paul Taylor. Intuitionistic sets and ordinals. *J. Symb. Log.*, 61(3):705–744, 1996.

2 More on Injections, Bijections and Inverses

```
theory Fun-More
imports Main
begin
```

2.1 Purely functional properties

```
lemma bij-betw-diff-singl:
assumes BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and IN: a ∈ A
```

shows $\text{bij-betw } f (A - \{a\}) (A' - \{f a\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Properties involving finite and infinite sets

lemma $\text{bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT:}$
assumes $\text{BIJ: bij-betw } f A A'$ **and** $\text{SUB: } B' \leq A'$
shows $f ``(\text{inv-into } A f) ` B' = B'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT-LEFT:}$
assumes $\text{BIJ: bij-betw } f A A'$ **and** $\text{SUB: } B' \leq A'$ **and**
 $\text{IM: } (\text{inv-into } A f) `` B' = B$
shows $f `` B = B'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{bij-betw-inv-into-twice:}$
assumes $\text{bij-betw } f A A'$
shows $\forall a \in A. \text{inv-into } A' (\text{inv-into } A f) a = f a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Properties involving Hilbert choice

lemma $\text{bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT:}$
assumes $\text{BIJ: bij-betw } f A A'$ **and** $\text{SUB: } B \leq A$
shows $(\text{inv-into } A f) `` (f `` B) = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT-RIGHT:}$
assumes $\text{BIJ: bij-betw } f A A'$ **and** $\text{SUB: } B \leq A$ **and**
 $\text{IM: } f `` B = B'$
shows $(\text{inv-into } A f) `` B' = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 Other facts

lemma $\text{atLeastLessThan-injective:}$
assumes $\{0 .. < m :: \text{nat}\} = \{0 .. < n\}$
shows $m = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atLeastLessThan-injective2:}$
 $\text{bij-betw } f \{0 .. < m :: \text{nat}\} \{0 .. < n\} \implies m = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atLeastLessThan-less-eq:}$
 $(\{0 .. < m\} \leq \{0 .. < n\}) = ((m :: \text{nat}) \leq n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma atLeastLessThan-less-eq2:  
assumes inj-on f {0..<(m::nat)} f · {0..<m} ≤ {0..<n}  
shows m ≤ n  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma atLeastLessThan-less:  
({0..<m} < {0..<n}) = ((m::nat) < n)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

end

3 Basics on Order-Like Relations

```
theory Order-Relation-More  
imports Main  
begin
```

3.1 The upper and lower bounds operators

```
lemma aboveS-subset-above: aboveS r a ≤ above r a  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma AboveS-subset-Above: AboveS r A ≤ Above r A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma UnderS-disjoint: A Int (UnderS r A) = {}  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma aboveS-notIn: a ∉ aboveS r a  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Refl-above-in: [Refl r; a ∈ Field r] ⇒ a ∈ above r a  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma in-Above-under: a ∈ Field r ⇒ a ∈ Above r (under r a)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma in-Under-above: a ∈ Field r ⇒ a ∈ Under r (above r a)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma in-UnderS-aboveS: a ∈ Field r ⇒ a ∈ UnderS r (aboveS r a)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma UnderS-subset-Under: UnderS r A ≤ Under r A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma *subset-Above-Under*: $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{Above } r (\text{Under } r B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-Under-Above*: $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{Under } r (\text{Above } r B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-AboveS-UnderS*: $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{AboveS } r (\text{UnderS } r B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-UnderS-AboveS*: $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{UnderS } r (\text{AboveS } r B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Under-Above-Galois*:

$\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; C \leq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (B \leq \text{Above } r C) = (C \leq \text{Under } r B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnderS-AboveS-Galois*:

$\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; C \leq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (B \leq \text{AboveS } r C) = (C \leq \text{UnderS } r B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Refl-above-aboveS*:

assumes *REFL*: $\text{Refl } r$ **and** *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{above } r a = \text{aboveS } r a \cup \{a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Linear-order-under-aboveS-Field*:

assumes *LIN*: *Linear-order* r **and** *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{Field } r = \text{under } r a \cup \text{aboveS } r a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Linear-order-underS-above-Field*:

assumes *LIN*: *Linear-order* r **and** *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{Field } r = \text{underS } r a \cup \text{above } r a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *under-empty*: $a \notin \text{Field } r \implies \text{under } r a = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Under-Field*: $\text{Under } r A \leq \text{Field } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnderS-Field*: $\text{UnderS } r A \leq \text{Field } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *above-Field*: $\text{above } r a \leq \text{Field } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aboveS-Field*: $\text{aboveS } r a \leq \text{Field } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Above-Field*: $\text{Above } r A \leq \text{Field } r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Refl-under-Under*:

assumes *REFL*: $\text{Refl } r$ **and** *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{Under } r A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{under } r a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Refl-underS-UnderS*:

assumes *REFL*: $\text{Refl } r$ **and** *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{UnderS } r A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{underS } r a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Refl-above-Above*:

assumes *REFL*: $\text{Refl } r$ **and** *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{Above } r A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{above } r a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Refl-aboveS-AboveS*:

assumes *REFL*: $\text{Refl } r$ **and** *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{AboveS } r A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{aboveS } r a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *under-Under-singl*: $\text{under } r a = \text{Under } r \{a\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *underS-UnderS-singl*: $\text{underS } r a = \text{UnderS } r \{a\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *above-Above-singl*: $\text{above } r a = \text{Above } r \{a\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aboveS-AboveS-singl*: $\text{aboveS } r a = \text{AboveS } r \{a\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Under-decr*: $A \leq B \implies \text{Under } r B \leq \text{Under } r A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnderS-decr*: $A \leq B \implies \text{UnderS } r B \leq \text{UnderS } r A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Above-decr*: $A \leq B \implies \text{Above } r B \leq \text{Above } r A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AboveS-decr*: $A \leq B \implies \text{AboveS } r B \leq \text{AboveS } r A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *under-incl-iff*:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and** REFL: Refl r **and** IN: a ∈ Field r
shows (under r a ≤ under r b) = ((a,b) ∈ r)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma above-decr:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and** REL: (a,b) ∈ r
shows above r b ≤ above r a
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma aboveS-decr:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and** ANTSYM: antisym r **and**
REL: (a,b) ∈ r
shows aboveS r b ≤ aboveS r a
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma under-trans:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and**
IN1: a ∈ under r b **and** IN2: b ∈ under r c
shows a ∈ under r c
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma under-underS-trans:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and** ANTSYM: antisym r **and**
IN1: a ∈ under r b **and** IN2: b ∈ underS r c
shows a ∈ underS r c
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma underS-under-trans:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and** ANTSYM: antisym r **and**
IN1: a ∈ underS r b **and** IN2: b ∈ under r c
shows a ∈ underS r c
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma underS-underS-trans:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and** ANTSYM: antisym r **and**
IN1: a ∈ underS r b **and** IN2: b ∈ underS r c
shows a ∈ underS r c
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma above-trans:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and**
IN1: b ∈ above r a **and** IN2: c ∈ above r b
shows c ∈ above r a
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma above-aboveS-trans:

assumes TRANS: trans r **and** ANTSYM: antisym r **and**
IN1: b ∈ above r a **and** IN2: c ∈ aboveS r b
shows c ∈ aboveS r a

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aboveS-above-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
 IN1: $b \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$ **and** *IN2*: $c \in \text{above } r \ b$
 shows $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aboveS-aboveS-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
 IN1: $b \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$ **and** *IN2*: $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$
 shows $c \in \text{aboveS } r \ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *under-Under-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and**
 IN1: $a \in \text{under } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{Under } r \ C$
 shows $a \in \text{Under } r \ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *underS-Under-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
 IN1: $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{Under } r \ C$
 shows $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *underS-UnderS-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
 IN1: $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$
 shows $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *above-Above-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and**
 IN1: $a \in \text{above } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{Above } r \ C$
 shows $a \in \text{Above } r \ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aboveS-Above-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
 IN1: $a \in \text{aboveS } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{Above } r \ C$
 shows $a \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *above-AboveS-trans*:
 assumes *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**
 IN1: $a \in \text{above } r \ b$ **and** *IN2*: $b \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$
 shows $a \in \text{AboveS } r \ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma aboveS-AboveS-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTSYM: antisym r and
    IN1: a ∈ aboveS r b and IN2: b ∈ AboveS r C
  shows a ∈ AboveS r C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma under-UnderS-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTSYM: antisym r and
    IN1: a ∈ under r b and IN2: b ∈ UnderS r C
  shows a ∈ UnderS r C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Properties depending on more than one relation

```

lemma under-incr2:
  r ≤ r' ⇒ under r a ≤ under r' a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma underS-incr2:
  r ≤ r' ⇒ underS r a ≤ underS r' a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma above-incr2:
  r ≤ r' ⇒ above r a ≤ above r' a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma aboveS-incr2:
  r ≤ r' ⇒ aboveS r a ≤ aboveS r' a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

4 More on Well-Founded Relations

```

theory Wellfounded-More
imports Main Order-Relation-More
begin

```

4.1 Well-founded recursion via genuine fixpoints

```

lemma adm-wf-unique-fixpoint:
  fixes r :: ('a * 'a) set and
    H :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b and
    f :: 'a ⇒ 'b and g :: 'a ⇒ 'b

```

```

assumes WF: wf r and ADM: adm-wf r H and fFP: f = H f and gFP: g = H g
shows f = g
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wfrec-unique-fixpoint:
fixes r :: ('a * 'a) set and
H :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b and
f :: 'a ⇒ 'b
assumes WF: wf r and ADM: adm-wf r H and
fp: f = H f
shows f = wfrec r H
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

5 Well-Order Relations

```

theory Wellorder-Relation
imports Wellfounded-More
begin

```

```

context wo-rel
begin

```

5.1 Auxiliaries

```

lemma PREORD: Preorder r
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma PARORD: Partial-order r
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cases-Total2:
  ⋀ phi a b. [|{a,b}| ≤ Field r; ((a,b) ∈ r - Id ⇒ phi a b);
  ((b,a) ∈ r - Id ⇒ phi a b); (a = b ⇒ phi a b)|]
  ⇒ phi a b
⟨proof⟩

```

5.2 Well-founded induction and recursion adapted to non-strict well-order relations

```

lemma worec-unique-fixpoint:
assumes ADM: adm-wo H and fp: f = H f
shows f = worec H
⟨proof⟩

```

5.2.1 Properties of max2

```

lemma max2-iff:

```

assumes $a \in \text{Field } r$ **and** $b \in \text{Field } r$
shows $((\max2 a b, c) \in r) = ((a, c) \in r \wedge (b, c) \in r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.2 Properties of minim

lemma *minim-Under*:
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; B \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{minim } B \in \text{Under } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equals-minim-Under*:
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; a \in B; a \in \text{Under } B \rrbracket$
 $\implies a = \text{minim } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minim-iff-In-Under*:
assumes *SUB*: $B \leq \text{Field } r$ **and** *NE*: $B \neq \{\}$
shows $(a = \text{minim } B) = (a \in B \wedge a \in \text{Under } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minim-Under-under*:
assumes *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$ **and** *SUB*: $A \leq \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{Under } A = \text{under}(\text{minim } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minim-UnderS-underS*:
assumes *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$ **and** *SUB*: $A \leq \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{UnderS } A = \text{underS}(\text{minim } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.3 Properties of supr

lemma *supr-Above*:
assumes *Above* $B \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{supr } B \in \text{Above } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supr-greater*:
assumes *Above* $B \neq \{\}$ $b \in B$
shows $(b, \text{supr } B) \in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supr-least-Above*:
assumes $a \in \text{Above } B$
shows $(\text{supr } B, a) \in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supr-least*:
 $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; a \in \text{Field } r; (\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a) \in r) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{supr } B, a) \in r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equals-supr-Above*:

assumes $a \in Above B \wedge a' \in Above B \implies (a, a') \in r$

shows $a = supr B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equals-supr*:

assumes $SUB: B \leq Field r$ **and** $IN: a \in Field r$ **and**

$ABV: \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a) \in r$ **and**

$MINIM: \bigwedge a'. \llbracket a' \in Field r; \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b, a') \in r \rrbracket \implies (a, a') \in r$

shows $a = supr B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supr-inField*:

assumes $Above B \neq \{\}$

shows $supr B \in Field r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supr-above-Above*:

assumes $SUB: B \leq Field r$ **and** $ABOVE: Above B \neq \{\}$

shows $Above B = above(supr B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supr-under*:

assumes $a \in Field r$

shows $a = supr(under a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.4 Properties of successor

lemma *suc-least*:

$\llbracket B \leq Field r; a \in Field r; (\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a \neq b \wedge (b, a) \in r) \rrbracket$

$\implies (suc B, a) \in r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equals-suc*:

assumes $SUB: B \leq Field r$ **and** $IN: a \in Field r$ **and**

$ABVS: \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a \neq b \wedge (b, a) \in r$ **and**

$MINIM: \bigwedge a'. \llbracket a' \in Field r; \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a' \neq b \wedge (b, a') \in r \rrbracket \implies (a, a') \in r$

shows $a = suc B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suc-above-AboveS*:

assumes $SUB: B \leq Field r$ **and**

$ABOVE: AboveS B \neq \{\}$

shows $AboveS B = above(suc B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suc-singl-pred*:
assumes *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$ **and** *ABOVE-NE*: $\text{aboveS } a \neq \{\}$ **and**
REL: $(a', \text{suc } \{a\}) \in r$ **and** *DIFF*: $a' \neq \text{suc } \{a\}$
shows $a' = a \vee (a', a) \in r$
{proof}

lemma *under-underS-suc*:
assumes *IN*: $a \in \text{Field } r$ **and** *ABV*: $\text{aboveS } a \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{underS } (\text{suc } \{a\}) = \text{under } a$
{proof}

5.2.5 Properties of order filters

lemma *ofilter-Under[simp]*:
assumes $A \leq \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{ofilter}(\text{Under } A)$
{proof}

lemma *ofilter-UnderS[simp]*:
assumes $A \leq \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{ofilter}(\text{UnderS } A)$
{proof}

lemma *ofilter-Int[simp]*: $\llbracket \text{ofilter } A; \text{ofilter } B \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(A \text{ Int } B)$
{proof}

lemma *ofilter-Un[simp]*: $\llbracket \text{ofilter } A; \text{ofilter } B \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(A \cup B)$
{proof}

lemma *ofilter-INTER*:
 $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \wedge i. i \in I \implies \text{ofilter}(A i) \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(\bigcap i \in I. A i)$
{proof}

lemma *ofilter-Inter*:
 $\llbracket S \neq \{\}; \wedge A. A \in S \implies \text{ofilter } A \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(\bigcap S)$
{proof}

lemma *ofilter-Union*:
 $(\wedge A. A \in S \implies \text{ofilter } A) \implies \text{ofilter}(\bigcup S)$
{proof}

lemma *ofilter-under-Union*:
 $\text{ofilter } A \implies A = \bigcup \{\text{under } a \mid a. a \in A\}$
{proof}

5.2.6 Other properties

lemma *Trans-Under-regressive*:
assumes *NE*: $A \neq \{\}$ **and** *SUB*: $A \leq \text{Field } r$

```

shows Under(Under A) ≤ Under A
⟨proof⟩

lemma ofilter-suc-Field:
assumes OF: ofilter A and NE: A ≠ Field r
shows ofilter (A ∪ {suc A})
⟨proof⟩

declare
minim-in[simp]
minim-inField[simp]
minim-least[simp]
under-ofilter[simp]
underS-ofilter[simp]
Field-ofilter[simp]

end

abbreviation worec ≡ wo-rel.worec
abbreviation adm-wo ≡ wo-rel.adm-wo
abbreviation isMinim ≡ wo-rel.isMinim
abbreviation minim ≡ wo-rel.minim
abbreviation max2 ≡ wo-rel.max2
abbreviation supr ≡ wo-rel.supr
abbreviation suc ≡ wo-rel.suc

end

```

6 Well-Order Embeddings

```

theory Wellorder-Embedding
imports Fun-More Wellorder-Relation
begin

```

6.1 Auxiliaries

```

lemma UNION-bij-betw-ofilter:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and
OF: ⋀ i. i ∈ I ⟹ ofilter r (A i) and
BIJ: ⋀ i. i ∈ I ⟹ bij-betw f (A i) (A' i)
shows bij-betw f (∪ i ∈ I. A i) (∪ i ∈ I. A' i)
⟨proof⟩

```

6.2 (Well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms and order-compatible functions

```

lemma embed-halfcong:
assumes ⋀ a. a ∈ Field r ⟹ f a = g a and embed r r' f

```

```

shows embed r r' g
⟨proof⟩

lemma embed-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes ⋀ a. a ∈ Field r ⇒ f a = g a
shows embed r r' f = embed r r' g
⟨proof⟩

lemma embedS-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes ⋀ a. a ∈ Field r ⇒ f a = g a
shows embedS r r' f = embedS r r' g
⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes ⋀ a. a ∈ Field r ⇒ f a = g a
shows iso r r' f = iso r r' g
⟨proof⟩

lemma id-compat: compat r r id
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-compat:
[compat r r' f; compat r' r'' f'] ⇒ compat r r'' (f' o f)
⟨proof⟩

corollary one-set-greater:
(∃ f::'a ⇒ 'a'. f ` A ≤ A' ∧ inj-on f A) ∨ (∃ g::'a' ⇒ 'a. g ` A' ≤ A ∧ inj-on g A')
⟨proof⟩

corollary one-type-greater:
(∃ f::'a ⇒ 'a'. inj f) ∨ (∃ g::'a' ⇒ 'a. inj g)
⟨proof⟩

```

6.3 Uniqueness of embeddings

```

lemma comp-embedS:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r' and WELL'': Well-order r''
and EMB: embedS r r' f and EMB': embedS r' r'' f'
shows embedS r r'' (f' o f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-iff4:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
shows iso r r' f = (embed r r' f ∧ embed r' r (inv-into (Field r) f))
⟨proof⟩

lemma embed-embedS-iso:

```

```

embed r r' f = (embedS r r' f ∨ iso r r' f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-embedS-iso:
  ¬ (embedS r r' f ∧ iso r r' f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma embed-embedS-iff-not-iso:
  assumes embed r r' f
  shows embedS r r' f = (¬ iso r r' f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-inv-into:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and ISO: iso r r' f
  shows iso r' r (inv-into (Field r) f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma embedS-or-iso:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows (exists g. embedS r r' g) ∨ (exists h. embedS r' r h) ∨ (exists f. iso r r' f)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7 Order Union

```

theory Order-Union
imports Main
begin

definition Osum :: "'a rel ⇒ 'a rel ⇒ 'a rel" (infix Osum 60) where
  r Osum r' = r ∪ r' ∪ {(a, a'). a ∈ Field r ∧ a' ∈ Field r'}
```

notation Osum (infix ∪o 60)

lemma Field-Osum: Field (r ∪o r') = Field r ∪ Field r'
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma Osum-wf:
 assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
 WF: wf r and WF': wf r'
 shows wf (r Osum r')
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma Osum-Refl:
 assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
 REFL: Refl r and REFL': Refl r'
 shows Refl (r Osum r')
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Osum-trans*:

assumes *FLD*: *Field r Int Field r' = {} and TRANS*: *trans r and TRANS'*: *trans r'*

shows *trans (r Osum r')*

{proof}

lemma *Osum-Preorder*:

[*Field r Int Field r' = {}; Preorder r; Preorder r'*] \implies *Preorder (r Osum r')*

{proof}

lemma *Osum-antisym*:

assumes *FLD*: *Field r Int Field r' = {} and AN*: *antisym r and AN'*: *antisym r'*

shows *antisym (r Osum r')*

{proof}

lemma *Osum-Partial-order*:

[*Field r Int Field r' = {}; Partial-order r; Partial-order r'*] \implies *Partial-order (r Osum r')*

{proof}

lemma *Osum-Total*:

assumes *FLD*: *Field r Int Field r' = {} and TOT*: *Total r and TOT'*: *Total r'*

shows *Total (r Osum r')*

{proof}

lemma *Osum-Linear-order*:

[*Field r Int Field r' = {}; Linear-order r; Linear-order r'*] \implies *Linear-order (r Osum r')*

{proof}

lemma *Osum-minus-Id1*:

assumes *r ≤ Id*

shows *(r Osum r') - Id ≤ (r' - Id) ∪ (Field r × Field r')*

{proof}

lemma *Osum-minus-Id2*:

assumes *r' ≤ Id*

shows *(r Osum r') - Id ≤ (r - Id) ∪ (Field r × Field r')*

{proof}

lemma *Osum-minus-Id*:

assumes *TOT*: *Total r and TOT'*: *Total r' and NID*: $\neg(r \leq Id)$ **and** *NID'*: $\neg(r' \leq Id)$

shows *(r Osum r') - Id ≤ (r - Id) Osum (r' - Id)*

{proof}

```

lemma wf-Int-Times:
  assumes A Int B = {}
  shows wf(A × B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Osum-wf-Id:
  assumes TOT: Total r and TOT': Total r' and
    FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
    WF: wf(r - Id) and WF': wf(r' - Id)
  shows wf ((r Osum r') - Id)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Osum-Well-order:
  assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
    WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows Well-order (r Osum r')
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

8 Constructions on Wellorders

```

theory Wellorder-Constructions
imports
  Wellorder-Embedding Order-Union
begin

unbundle cardinal-syntax

declare
  ordLeq-Well-order-simp[simp]
  not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess[simp]
  not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq[simp]
  Func-empty[simp]
  Func-is-emp[simp]

```

8.1 Order filters versus restrictions and embeddings

```

lemma ofilter-subset-iso:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and
    OFA: ofilter r A and OFB: ofilter r B
  shows (A = B) = iso (Restr r A) (Restr r B) id
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.2 Ordering the well-orders by existence of embeddings

```

corollary ordLeq-refl-on: refl-on {r. Well-order r} ordLeq
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary ordLLeq-trans: trans ordLLeq
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ordLLeq-preorder-on: preorder-on {r. Well-order r} ordLLeq
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ordIso-subset: ordIso ⊆ {r. Well-order r} × {r. Well-order r}
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ordIso-refl-on: refl-on {r. Well-order r} ordIso
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ordIso-trans: trans ordIso
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ordIso-sym: sym ordIso
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ordIso-equiv: equiv {r. Well-order r} ordIso
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordLess-Well-order-simp[simp]:
  assumes r < o r'
  shows Well-order r ∧ Well-order r'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordIso-Well-order-simp[simp]:
  assumes r = o r'
  shows Well-order r ∧ Well-order r'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordLess-irrefl: irrefl ordLess
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordLess-or-ordIso:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows r < o r' ∨ r' < o r ∨ r = o r'
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ordLLeq-ordLess-Un-ordIso:
  ordLLeq = ordLess ∪ ordIso
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordIso-or-ordLess:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows r = o r' ∨ r < o r' ∨ r' < o r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas ord-trans = ordIso-transitive ordLLeq-transitive ordLess-transitive

```

$\text{ordIso-ordLeq-trans}$ $\text{ordLeq-ordIso-trans}$
 $\text{ordIso-ordLess-trans}$ $\text{ordLess-ordIso-trans}$
 $\text{ordLess-ordLeq-trans}$ $\text{ordLeq-ordLess-trans}$

lemma *ofilter-ordLeq*:
assumes Well-order r **and** *ofilter* $r A$
shows $\text{Restr } r A \leq_o r$
{proof}

corollary *under-Restr-ordLeq*:
 $\text{Well-order } r \implies \text{Restr } r (\text{under } r a) \leq_o r$
{proof}

8.3 Copy via direct images

lemma *Id-dir-image*: $\text{dir-image } \text{Id } f \leq \text{Id}$
{proof}

lemma *Un-dir-image*:
 $\text{dir-image } (r1 \cup r2) f = (\text{dir-image } r1 f) \cup (\text{dir-image } r2 f)$
{proof}

lemma *Int-dir-image*:
assumes inj-on f ($\text{Field } r1 \cup \text{Field } r2$)
shows $\text{dir-image } (r1 \text{ Int } r2) f = (\text{dir-image } r1 f) \text{ Int } (\text{dir-image } r2 f)$
{proof}

lemma *Osum-embed*:
assumes $\text{FLD: Field } r \text{ Int Field } r' = \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{WELL: Well-order } r$ **and** $\text{WELL': Well-order } r'$
shows $\text{embed } r (r \text{ Osum } r') \text{ id}$
{proof}

corollary *Osum-ordLeq*:
assumes $\text{FLD: Field } r \text{ Int Field } r' = \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{WELL: Well-order } r$ **and** $\text{WELL': Well-order } r'$
shows $r \leq_o r \text{ Osum } r'$
{proof}

lemma *Well-order-embed-copy*:
assumes $\text{WELL: well-order-on } A r$ **and**
 $\text{INJ: inj-on } f A$ **and** $\text{SUB: } f ' A \leq B$
shows $\exists r'. \text{well-order-on } B r' \wedge r \leq_o r'$
{proof}

8.4 The maxim among a finite set of ordinals

The correct phrasing would be “a maxim of ...”, as \leq_o is only a preorder.

definition `isOmax :: 'a rel set ⇒ 'a rel ⇒ bool`

where

`isOmax R r ≡ r ∈ R ∧ (∀ r' ∈ R. r' ≤o r)`

definition `omax :: 'a rel set ⇒ 'a rel`

where

`omax R == SOME r. isOmax R r`

lemma `exists-isOmax:`

assumes `finite R and R ≠ {} and ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r`

shows `∃ r. isOmax R r`

`{proof}`

lemma `omax-isOmax:`

assumes `finite R and R ≠ {} and ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r`

shows `isOmax R (omax R)`

`{proof}`

lemma `omax-in:`

assumes `finite R and R ≠ {} and ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r`

shows `omax R ∈ R`

`{proof}`

lemma `Well-order-omax:`

assumes `finite R and R ≠ {} and ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r`

shows `Well-order (omax R)`

`{proof}`

lemma `omax-maxim:`

assumes `finite R and ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r and r ∈ R`

shows `r ≤o omax R`

`{proof}`

lemma `omax-ordLeq:`

assumes `finite R and R ≠ {} and ∀ r ∈ R. r ≤o p`

shows `omax R ≤o p`

`{proof}`

lemma `omax-ordLess:`

assumes `finite R and R ≠ {} and ∀ r ∈ R. r <o p`

shows `omax R <o p`

`{proof}`

lemma `omax-ordLeq-elim:`

assumes `finite R and ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r`

and `omax R ≤o p and r ∈ R`

shows $r \leq_o p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omax-ordLess-elim*:
assumes *finite R* **and** $\forall r \in R$. *Well-order r*
and *omax R < o p* **and** $r \in R$
shows $r < o p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordLeq-omax*:
assumes *finite R* **and** $\forall r \in R$. *Well-order r*
and $r \in R$ **and** $p \leq_o r$
shows $p \leq_o \text{omax } R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordLess-omax*:
assumes *finite R* **and** $\forall r \in R$. *Well-order r*
and $r \in R$ **and** $p < o r$
shows $p < o \text{omax } R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omax-ordLeq-mono*:
assumes *P: finite P* **and** *R: finite R*
and *NE-P: P ≠ {}* **and** *Well-R: ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r*
and *LEQ: ∀ p ∈ P. ∃ r ∈ R. p ≤ o r*
shows $\text{omax } P \leq_o \text{omax } R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omax-ordLess-mono*:
assumes *P: finite P* **and** *R: finite R*
and *NE-P: P ≠ {}* **and** *Well-R: ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r*
and *LEQ: ∀ p ∈ P. ∃ r ∈ R. p < o r*
shows $\text{omax } P < o \text{omax } R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.5 Limit and successor ordinals

lemma *embed-underS2*:
assumes *r: Well-order r* **and** *g: embed r s g* **and** *a: a ∈ Field r*
shows $g` \text{underS } r a = \text{underS } s (g a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij-betw-insert*:
assumes *b ∉ A* **and** *f b ∉ A'* **and** *bij-betw f A A'*
shows *bij-betw f (insert b A) (insert (f b) A')*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *wo-rel*
begin

```

lemma underS-induct:
  assumes  $\bigwedge a. (\bigwedge a_1. a_1 \in \text{underS } a \implies P a_1) \implies P a$ 
  shows  $P a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma suc-underS:
  assumes  $B: B \subseteq \text{Field } r \text{ and } A: \text{AboveS } B \neq \{\} \text{ and } b: b \in B$ 
  shows  $b \in \text{underS } (\text{suc } B)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma underS-supr:
  assumes  $bA: b \in \text{underS } (\text{supr } A) \text{ and } A: A \subseteq \text{Field } r$ 
  shows  $\exists a \in A. b \in \text{underS } a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma underS-suc:
  assumes  $bA: b \in \text{underS } (\text{suc } A) \text{ and } A: A \subseteq \text{Field } r$ 
  shows  $\exists a \in A. b \in \text{underS } a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in wo-rel) in-underS-supr:
  assumes  $j \in \text{underS } i \text{ and } i \in A \text{ and } A \subseteq \text{Field } r \text{ and } \text{Above } A \neq \{ \}$ 
  shows  $j \in \text{underS } (\text{supr } A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inj-on-Field:
  assumes  $A: A \subseteq \text{Field } r \text{ and } f: \bigwedge a b. [a \in A; b \in A; a \in \text{underS } b] \implies f a \neq f b$ 
  shows  $\text{inj-on } f A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ofilter-init-seg-of:
  assumes  $\text{ofilter } F$ 
  shows  $\text{Restr } r F \text{ initial-segment-of } r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma underS-init-seg-of-Collect:
  assumes  $\bigwedge j1 j2. [j2 \in \text{underS } i; (j1, j2) \in r] \implies R j1 \text{ initial-segment-of } R j2$ 
  shows  $\{R j \mid j. j \in \text{underS } i\} \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in wo-rel) Field-init-seg-of-Collect:
  assumes  $\bigwedge j1 j2. [j2 \in \text{Field } r; (j1, j2) \in r] \implies R j1 \text{ initial-segment-of } R j2$ 
  shows  $\{R j \mid j. j \in \text{Field } r\} \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

8.5.1 Successor and limit elements of an ordinal

definition $\text{succ } i \equiv \text{suc } \{i\}$

definition $\text{isSucc } i \equiv \exists j. \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\} \wedge i = \text{succ } j$

definition $\text{zero} = \text{minim } (\text{Field } r)$

definition $\text{isLim } i \equiv \neg \text{isSucc } i$

lemma $\text{zero-smallest}[\text{simp}]$:

assumes $j \in \text{Field } r$ **shows** $(\text{zero}, j) \in r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma zero-in-Field : **assumes** $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$ **shows** $\text{zero} \in \text{Field } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{leq-zero-imp}[\text{simp}]$:

$(x, \text{zero}) \in r \implies x = \text{zero}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{leq-zero}[\text{simp}]$:

assumes $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$ **shows** $(x, \text{zero}) \in r \longleftrightarrow x = \text{zero}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{under-zero}[\text{simp}]$:

assumes $\text{Field } r \neq \{\}$ **shows** $\text{under zero} = \{\text{zero}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{underS-zero}[\text{simp}, \text{intro}]$: $\text{underS zero} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma isSucc-succ : $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\} \implies \text{isSucc } (\text{succ } i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma succ-in-diff :

assumes $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ **shows** $(i, \text{succ } i) \in r \wedge \text{succ } i \neq i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{succ-in}[\text{simp}] = \text{succ-in-diff}[\text{THEN conjunct1}]$

lemmas $\text{succ-diff}[\text{simp}] = \text{succ-in-diff}[\text{THEN conjunct2}]$

lemma $\text{succ-in-Field}[\text{simp}]$:

assumes $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ **shows** $\text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma succ-not-in :

assumes $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ **shows** $(\text{succ } i, i) \notin r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma not-isSucc-zero:  $\neg \text{isSucc } \text{zero}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma isLim-zero[simp]:  $\text{isLim } \text{zero}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma succ-smallest:
  assumes  $(i,j) \in r$  and  $i \neq j$ 
  shows  $(\text{succ } i, j) \in r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma isLim-supr:
  assumes  $f: i \in \text{Field } r$  and  $l: \text{isLim } i$ 
  shows  $i = \text{supr } (\text{underS } i)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition pred  $i \equiv \text{SOME } j. j \in \text{Field } r \wedge \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } j = i$ 

lemma pred-Field-succ:
  assumes  $\text{isSucc } i$  shows  $\text{pred } i \in \text{Field } r \wedge \text{aboveS } (\text{pred } i) \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } (\text{pred } i) = i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas pred-Field[simp] = pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct1]
lemmas aboveS-pred[simp] = pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct2, THEN conjunct1]
lemmas succ-pred[simp] = pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct2, THEN conjunct2]

lemma isSucc-pred-in:
  assumes  $\text{isSucc } i$  shows  $(\text{pred } i, i) \in r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma isSucc-pred-diff:
  assumes  $\text{isSucc } i$  shows  $\text{pred } i \neq i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma succ-inj[simp]:
  assumes  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$  and  $\text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{succ } i = \text{succ } j \longleftrightarrow i = j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pred-succ[simp]:
  assumes  $\text{aboveS } j \neq \{\}$  shows  $\text{pred } (\text{succ } j) = j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma less-succ[simp]:
  assumes  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $(j, \text{succ } i) \in r \longleftrightarrow (j, i) \in r \vee j = \text{succ } i$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *underS-succ*[simp]:
 assumes *aboveS i* $\neq \{\}$
 shows *underS (succ i)* = *under i*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-mono*:
 assumes *aboveS j* $\neq \{\}$ **and** $(i, j) \in r$
 shows *(succ i, succ j)* $\in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *under-succ*[simp]:
 assumes *aboveS i* $\neq \{\}$
 shows *under (succ i)* = *insert (succ i) (under i)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *mergeSL* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
 where $mergeSL S L f i \equiv \text{if } isSucc i \text{ then } S (\text{pred } i) (f (\text{pred } i)) \text{ else } L f i$

8.5.2 Well-order recursion with (zero), successor, and limit

definition *worecSL* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$
 where $worecSL S L \equiv worec (mergeSL S L)$

definition *adm-woL* $L \equiv \forall f g i. isLim i \wedge (\forall j \in underS i. f j = g j) \longrightarrow L f i = L g i$

lemma *mergeSL*: $adm\text{-}woL L \implies adm\text{-}wo (mergeSL S L)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *worec-fixpoint1*: $adm\text{-}wo H \implies worec H i = H (worec H) i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *worecSL-isSucc*:
 assumes $a: adm\text{-}woL L$ **and** $i: isSucc i$
 shows $worecSL S L i = S (\text{pred } i) (worecSL S L (\text{pred } i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *worecSL-succ*:
 assumes $a: adm\text{-}woL L$ **and** $i: aboveS j \neq \{\}$
 shows $worecSL S L (\text{succ } j) = S j (worecSL S L j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *worecSL-isLim*:
 assumes $a: adm\text{-}woL L$ **and** $i: isLim i$
 shows $worecSL S L i = L (worecSL S L) i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *worecZSL* :: '*b* \Rightarrow ('*a* \Rightarrow '*b*) \Rightarrow (('*a* \Rightarrow '*b*) \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*b*) \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*b*
where *worecZSL* *Z S L* \equiv *worecSL S* ($\lambda f a.$ if *a* = zero then *Z* else *L f a*)

lemma *worecZSL-zero*:

assumes *a*: *adm-woL L*
shows *worecZSL Z S L zero* = *Z*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *worecZSL-succ*:

assumes *a*: *adm-woL L* **and** *i*: *aboveS j* $\neq \{\}$
shows *worecZSL Z S L (succ j)* = *S j* (*worecZSL Z S L j*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *worecZSL-isLim*:

assumes *a*: *adm-woL L* **and** *isLim i* **and** *i* \neq zero
shows *worecZSL Z S L i* = *L (worecZSL Z S L) i*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.5.3 Well-order succ-lim induction

lemma *ord-cases*:

obtains *j* **where** *i* = *succ j* **and** *aboveS j* $\neq \{\}$ **or** *isLim i*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-order-inductSL*[case-names *Suc Lim*]:

assumes $\bigwedge i. [\![\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}; P i]\!] \implies P (\text{succ } i)$ $\bigwedge i. [\![\text{isLim } i; \bigwedge j. j \in \text{underS } i \implies P j]\!] \implies P i$
shows *P i*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-order-inductZSL*[case-names *Zero Suc Lim*]:

assumes *P zero*
and $\bigwedge i. [\![\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}; P i]\!] \implies P (\text{succ } i)$ **and**
 $\bigwedge i. [\![\text{isLim } i; i \neq \text{zero}; \bigwedge j. j \in \text{underS } i \implies P j]\!] \implies P i$
shows *P i*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *isSuccOrd* $\equiv \exists j \in \text{Field } r. \forall i \in \text{Field } r. (i, j) \in r$
definition *isLimOrd* $\equiv \neg \text{isSuccOrd}$

lemma *isLimOrd-succ*:

assumes *isLimOrd* **and** *i* \in *Field r*
shows *succ i* \in *Field r*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isLimOrd-aboveS*:

```

assumes  $l: \text{isLimOrd}$  and  $i: i \in \text{Field } r$ 
shows  $\text{aboveS } i \neq \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{succ-aboveS-isLimOrd}:$ 
assumes  $\forall i \in \text{Field } r. \text{aboveS } i \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r$ 
shows  $\text{isLimOrd}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{isLim-iff}:$ 
assumes  $l: \text{isLim } i$  and  $j: j \in \text{underS } i$ 
shows  $\exists k. k \in \text{underS } i \wedge j \in \text{underS } k$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

abbreviation  $\text{zero} \equiv \text{wo-rel.zero}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{succ} \equiv \text{wo-rel.succ}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{pred} \equiv \text{wo-rel.pred}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{isSucc} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isSucc}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{isLim} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isLim}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{isLimOrd} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isLimOrd}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{isSuccOrd} \equiv \text{wo-rel.isSuccOrd}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{adm-woL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.adm-woL}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{worecSL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.worecSL}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{worecZSL} \equiv \text{wo-rel.worecZSL}$ 

```

8.6 Projections of wellorders

definition $\text{oprod } r s f \equiv \text{Field } s \subseteq f`(\text{Field } r) \wedge \text{compat } r s f$

```

lemma  $\text{oprod-in}:$ 
assumes  $\text{oprod } r s f$  and  $(a, a') \in r$ 
shows  $(f a, f a') \in s$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{oprod-Field}:$ 
assumes  $f: \text{oprod } r s f$  and  $a: a \in \text{Field } r$ 
shows  $f a \in \text{Field } s$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{oprod-Field2}:$ 
assumes  $f: \text{oprod } r s f$  and  $a: b \in \text{Field } s$ 
shows  $\exists a \in \text{Field } r. f a = b$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{oprod-under}:$ 
assumes  $f: \text{oprod } r s f$  and  $a: a \in \text{under } r a'$ 
shows  $f a \in \text{under } s (f a')$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *embedI*:

assumes r : Well-order r **and** s : Well-order s
and f : $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f` \text{underS } r a \subseteq \text{underS } s (f a)$
shows $\exists g. \text{embed } r s g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *ordLeg-def2*:

$r \leq_o s \longleftrightarrow \text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{Well-order } s \wedge$
 $(\exists f. \forall a \in \text{Field } r. f a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f` \text{underS } r a \subseteq \text{underS } s (f a))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iso-oproj*:

assumes r : Well-order r **and** s : Well-order s **and** f : iso $r s f$
shows $\text{oproj } r s f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *opproj-embed*:

assumes r : Well-order r **and** s : Well-order s **and** f : oproj $r s f$
shows $\exists g. \text{embed } s r g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *opproj-ordLeg*:

assumes r : Well-order r **and** s : Well-order s **and** f : oproj $r s f$
shows $s \leq_o r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

9 Ordinal Arithmetic

theory *Ordinal-Arithmetic*
imports *Wellorder-Constructions*
begin

definition *osum* :: ' a rel \Rightarrow ' b rel \Rightarrow (' $a + b$) rel (**infixr** $+o$ 70)
where

$r +o r' = \text{map-prod Inl Inl` } r \cup \text{map-prod Inr Inr` } r' \cup$
 $\{(Inl a, Inr a') \mid a a'. a \in \text{Field } r \wedge a' \in \text{Field } r'\}$

lemma *Field-osum*: $\text{Field}(r +o r') = \text{Inl` Field } r \cup \text{Inr` Field } r'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *osum-Refl*: $\llbracket \text{Refl } r; \text{Refl } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Refl } (r +o r')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma osum-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r **and** TRANS': trans r'
shows trans (r +o r')
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-Preorder: $\llbracket \text{Preorder } r; \text{Preorder } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Preorder } (r +o r')$
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-antisym: $\llbracket \text{antisym } r; \text{antisym } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{antisym } (r +o r')$
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-Partial-order: $\llbracket \text{Partial-order } r; \text{Partial-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Partial-order } (r +o r')$
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-Total: $\llbracket \text{Total } r; \text{Total } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Total } (r +o r')$
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-Linear-order: $\llbracket \text{Linear-order } r; \text{Linear-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Linear-order } (r +o r')$
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-wf:
assumes WF: wf r **and** WF': wf r'
shows wf (r +o r')
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-minus-Id:
assumes r: Total r ⊢ (r ≤ Id) **and** r': Total r' ⊢ (r' ≤ Id)
shows (r +o r') - Id ≤ (r - Id) +o (r' - Id)
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-minus-Id1:
 $r \leq Id \implies (r +o r') - Id \leq (\text{Inl} \cdot \text{Field } r \times \text{Inr} \cdot \text{Field } r') \cup (\text{map-prod } \text{Inr } \text{Inr} \cdot (r' - Id))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-minus-Id2:
 $r' \leq Id \implies (r +o r') - Id \leq (\text{map-prod } \text{Inl } \text{Inl} \cdot (r - Id)) \cup (\text{Inl} \cdot \text{Field } r \times \text{Inr} \cdot \text{Field } r')$
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-wf-Id:
assumes TOT: Total r **and** TOT': Total r' **and** WF: wf(r - Id) **and** WF': wf(r' - Id)
shows wf ((r +o r') - Id)
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-Well-order:

```

assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
shows Well-order (r +o r')
⟨proof⟩

lemma osum-embedL:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
shows embed r (r +o r') Inl
⟨proof⟩

corollary osum-ordLeqL:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
shows r ≤o r +o r'
⟨proof⟩

lemma dir-image-alt: dir-image r f = map-prod ff ` r
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-prod-ordIso: [Well-order r; inj-on f (Field r)] ⇒ map-prod f f ` r
= o r
⟨proof⟩

definition oprod :: 'a rel ⇒ 'b rel ⇒ ('a × 'b) rel (infixr *o 80)
where r *o r' = {((x1, y1), (x2, y2)) .
  (((y1, y2) ∈ r' − Id ∧ x1 ∈ Field r ∧ x2 ∈ Field r) ∨
   ((y1, y2) ∈ Restr Id (Field r') ∧ (x1, x2) ∈ r))}

lemma Field-oprod: Field (r *o r') = Field r × Field r'
⟨proof⟩

lemma oprod-Refl: [Refl r; Refl r'] ⇒ Refl (r *o r')
⟨proof⟩

lemma oprod-trans:
assumes trans r trans r' antisym r antisym r'
shows trans (r *o r')
⟨proof⟩

lemma oprod-Preorder: [Preorder r; Preorder r'; antisym r; antisym r'] ⇒ Pre-
order (r *o r')
⟨proof⟩

lemma oprod-antisym: [antisym r; antisym r'] ⇒ antisym (r *o r')

lemma oprod-Partial-order: [Partial-order r; Partial-order r'] ⇒ Partial-order
(r *o r')
⟨proof⟩

lemma oprod-Total: [Total r; Total r'] ⇒ Total (r *o r')

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-Linear-order*: $\llbracket \text{Linear-order } r; \text{Linear-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Linear-order } (r *o r')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-wf*:
assumes *WF*: *wf r* **and** *WF'*: *wf r'*
shows *wf* (*r *o r'*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-minus-Id*:
assumes *r*: *Total r* $\neg (r \leq Id)$ **and** *r'*: *Total r'* $\neg (r' \leq Id)$
shows $(r *o r') - Id \leq (r - Id) *o (r' - Id)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-minus-Id1*:
 $r \leq Id \implies r *o r' - Id \leq \{(x,y1), (x,y2) . x \in \text{Field } r \wedge (y1, y2) \in (r' - Id)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-extend-oprod1*:
assumes *wf r*
shows *wf* $\{(x,y1), (x,y2) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-minus-Id2*:
 $r' \leq Id \implies r *o r' - Id \leq \{(x1,y), (x2,y) . (x1, x2) \in (r - Id) \wedge y \in \text{Field } r'\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-extend-oprod2*:
assumes *wf r*
shows *wf* $\{(x1,y), (x2,y) . (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-wf-Id*:
assumes *TOT*: *Total r* **and** *TOT'*: *Total r'* **and** *WF*: *wf(r - Id)* **and** *WF'*: *wf(r' - Id)*
shows *wf* $((r *o r') - Id)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-Well-order*:
assumes *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'*
shows *Well-order* (*r *o r'*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-embed*:
assumes *WELL*: *Well-order r* **and** *WELL'*: *Well-order r'* **and** $r' \neq \{\}$
shows *embed r* (*r *o r'*) $(\lambda x. (x, \text{minim } r' (\text{Field } r')))$ (**is embed - - ?f**)

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *oprod-ordLeq*: $\llbracket \text{Well-order } r; \text{ Well-order } r'; r' \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies r \leq_o r * o r'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *support z A f* = $\{x \in A. f x \neq z\}$

lemma *support-Un[simp]*: $\text{support z } (A \cup B) f = \text{support z } A f \cup \text{support z } B f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *support-upd[simp]*: $\text{support z } A (f(x := z)) = \text{support z } A f - \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *support-upd-subset[simp]*: $\text{support z } A (f(x := y)) \subseteq \text{support z } A f \cup \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-unequal-in-support*:
assumes $f \neq g f \in \text{Func } A B g \in \text{Func } A C$
shows $(\text{support z } A f \cup \text{support z } A g) \cap \{a. f a \neq g a\} \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *fin-support where*
 $\text{fin-support z } A = \{f. \text{finite } (\text{support z } A f)\}$

lemma *finite-support*: $f \in \text{fin-support z } A \implies \text{finite } (\text{support z } A f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fin-support-Field-osum*:
 $f \in \text{fin-support z } (Inl ` A \cup Inr ` B) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(f o Inl) \in \text{fin-support z } A \wedge (f o Inr) \in \text{fin-support z } B$ (**is** $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R1 \wedge ?R2$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Func-upd*: $\llbracket f \in \text{Func } A B; x \in A; y \in B \rrbracket \implies f(x := y) \in \text{Func } A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *wo-rel*
begin

definition *isMaxim :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool*
where *isMaxim A b* \equiv $b \in A \wedge (\forall a \in A. (a, b) \in r)$

definition *maxim :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a*
where *maxim A* \equiv *THE b. isMaxim A b*

lemma *isMaxim-unique[intro]*: $\llbracket \text{isMaxim } A x; \text{isMaxim } A y \rrbracket \implies x = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-isMaxim*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies \text{isMaxim } A (\text{maxim } A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-in*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies \text{maxim } A \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-greatest*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; x \in A; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (x, \text{maxim } A) \in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isMaxim-zero*: $\text{isMaxim } A \text{ zero} \implies A = \{\text{zero}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-insert*:
assumes $\text{finite } A \ A \neq \{\} \ A \subseteq \text{Field } r \ x \in \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{maxim } (\text{insert } x \ A) = \text{max2 } x \ (\text{maxim } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-Un*:
assumes $\text{finite } A \ A \neq \{\} \ A \subseteq \text{Field } r \ \text{finite } B \ B \neq \{\} \ B \subseteq \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{maxim } (A \cup B) = \text{max2 } (\text{maxim } A) (\text{maxim } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-insert-zero*:
assumes $\text{finite } A \ A \neq \{\} \ A \subseteq \text{Field } r$
shows $\text{maxim } (\text{insert zero } A) = \text{maxim } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-equality*: $\text{isMaxim } A \ x \implies \text{maxim } A = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-singleton*:
 $x \in \text{Field } r \implies \text{maxim } \{x\} = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-Int*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r; \text{maxim } A \in B \rrbracket \implies \text{maxim } (A \cap B) = \text{maxim } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxim-mono*: $\llbracket X \subseteq Y; \text{finite } Y; X \neq \{\}; Y \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (\text{maxim } X, \text{maxim } Y) \in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *max-fun-diff f g* $\equiv \text{maxim } (\{a \in \text{Field } r. f a \neq g a\})$

lemma *max-fun-diff-commute*: $\text{max-fun-diff } f \ g = \text{max-fun-diff } g \ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-under*: $x \in \text{Field } r \implies \text{zero} \in \text{under } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

end

definition FinFunc r s = Func (Field s) (Field r)  $\cap$  fin-support (zero r) (Field s)

lemma FinFuncD:  $\llbracket f \in \text{FinFunc } r \ s; x \in \text{Field } s \rrbracket \implies f x \in \text{Field } r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

locale wo-rel2 =
  fixes r s
  assumes rWELL: Well-order r
    and sWELL: Well-order s
  begin

    interpretation r: wo-rel r  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
    interpretation s: wo-rel s  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    abbreviation SUPP  $\equiv$  support r.zero (Field s)
    abbreviation FINFUNC  $\equiv$  FinFunc r s
    lemmas FINFUNCD = FinFuncD[of - r s]

    lemma fun-diff-alt: {a  $\in$  Field s. f a  $\neq$  g a} = (SUPP f  $\cup$  SUPP g)  $\cap$  {a. f a  $\neq$  g a}
       $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    lemma max-fun-diff-alt:
      s.max-fun-diff f g = s.maxim ((SUPP f  $\cup$  SUPP g)  $\cap$  {a. f a  $\neq$  g a})
       $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    lemma isMaxim-max-fun-diff:  $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$ 
      s.isMaxim {a  $\in$  Field s. f a  $\neq$  g a} (s.max-fun-diff f g)
       $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    lemma max-fun-diff-in:  $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$ 
      s.max-fun-diff f g  $\in$  {a  $\in$  Field s. f a  $\neq$  g a}
       $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    lemma max-fun-diff-max:  $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \in \{a \in \text{Field}$ 
      s. f a  $\neq$  g a}  $\rrbracket \implies$ 
      (x, s.max-fun-diff f g)  $\in$  s
       $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    lemma max-fun-diff:
       $\llbracket f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC} \rrbracket \implies$ 
      ( $\exists a b. a \neq b \wedge a \in \text{Field } r \wedge b \in \text{Field } r \wedge$ 
        f (s.max-fun-diff f g) = a  $\wedge$  g (s.max-fun-diff f g) = b)
       $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    lemma max-fun-diff-le-eq:
       $\llbracket (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g, x) \in s; f \neq g; f \in \text{FINFUNC}; g \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \neq s.\text{max-fun-diff}$ 

```

```

 $f g] \implies$ 
 $f x = g x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma max-fun-diff-max2:
  assumes ineq:  $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g = s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h \longrightarrow$ 
     $f (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) \neq h (s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h)$  and
     $fg: f \neq g$  and  $gh: g \neq h$  and  $fh: f \neq h$  and
     $f: f \in \text{FINFUNC}$  and  $g: g \in \text{FINFUNC}$  and  $h: h \in \text{FINFUNC}$ 
  shows  $s.\text{max-fun-diff } f h = s.\text{max2} (s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g) (s.\text{max-fun-diff } g h)$ 
    ( $\text{is } ?fh = s.\text{max2} ?fg ?gh$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition oexp where
  
$$oexp = \{(f, g) . f \in \text{FINFUNC} \wedge g \in \text{FINFUNC} \wedge$$

     $((\text{let } m = s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g \text{ in } (f m, g m) \in r) \vee f = g)\}$ 

lemma Field-oexp:  $\text{Field oexp} = \text{FINFUNC}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oexp-Refl:  $\text{Refl oexp}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oexp-trans:  $\text{trans oexp}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oexp-Preorder:  $\text{Preorder oexp}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oexp-antisym:  $\text{antisym oexp}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oexp-Partial-order:  $\text{Partial-order oexp}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oexp-Total:  $\text{Total oexp}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oexp-Linear-order:  $\text{Linear-order oexp}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition const =  $(\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in \text{Field } s \text{ then } r.\text{zero} \text{ else } \text{undefined})$ 

lemma const-in[simp]:  $x \in \text{Field } s \implies \text{const } x = r.\text{zero}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma const-notin[simp]:  $x \notin \text{Field } s \implies \text{const } x = \text{undefined}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *const-Int-Field*[simp]: *Field s* $\cap -\{x. \text{const } x = r.\text{zero}\} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *const-FINFUNC*[simp]: *Field r* $\neq \{\} \implies \text{const} \in \text{FINFUNC}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *const-least*:
assumes *Field r* $\neq \{\} f \in \text{FINFUNC}$
shows $(\text{const}, f) \in \text{oexp}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *support-not-const*:
assumes $F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$ **and** $\text{const} \notin F$
shows $\forall f \in F. \text{finite } (\text{SUPP } f) \wedge \text{SUPP } f \neq \{\} \wedge \text{SUPP } f \subseteq \text{Field } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *maxim-isMaxim-support*:
assumes $F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$ **and** $\text{const} \notin F$
shows $\forall f \in F. s.\text{isMaxim } (\text{SUPP } f) (s.\text{maxim } (\text{SUPP } f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oexp-empty2*: *Field s* $= \{\} \implies \text{oexp} = \{(\lambda x. \text{undefined}, \lambda x. \text{undefined})\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oexp-empty*: $\llbracket \text{Field } r = \{\}; \text{Field } s \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{oexp} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-upd-FINFUNC*: $\llbracket f \in \text{FINFUNC}; x \in \text{Field } s; y \in \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies f(x := y) \in \text{FINFUNC}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-upd-same-oexp*:
assumes $(f, g) \in \text{oexp}$ $f x = g x x \in \text{Field } s y \in \text{Field } r$
shows $(f(x := y), g(x := y)) \in \text{oexp}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-upd-smaller-oexp*:
assumes $f \in \text{FINFUNC}$ $x \in \text{Field } s y \in \text{Field } r$ $(y, f x) \in r$
shows $(f(x := y), f) \in \text{oexp}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oexp-wf-Id*: *wf* (*oexp* $- \text{Id}$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oexp-Well-order*: *Well-order oexp*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *o*: *wo-rel oexp* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma zero-oexp: Field  $r \neq \{\} \implies o.zero = \text{const}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

end

notation wo-rel2.oexp (infixl  $\wedge_o$  90)
lemmas oexp-def = wo-rel2.oexp-def[unfolded wo-rel2-def, OF conjI]
lemmas oexp-Well-order = wo-rel2.oexp-Well-order[unfolded wo-rel2-def, OF conjI]
lemmas Field-oexp = wo-rel2.Field-oexp[unfolded wo-rel2-def, OF conjI]

definition ozero =  $\{\}$ 

lemma ozero-Well-order[simp]: Well-order ozero
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ozero-ordIso[simp]: ozero = $_o$  ozero
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma Field-ozero[simp]: Field ozero =  $\{\}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma iso-ozero-empty[simp]:  $r =_o \text{ozero} = (r = \{\})$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ozero-ordLeg:
  assumes Well-order  $r$  shows ozero  $\leq_o r$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

definition oone =  $\{(((),))\}$ 

lemma oone-Well-order[simp]: Well-order oone
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma Field-oone[simp]: Field oone =  $\{()\}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma oone-ordIso: oone = $_o \{(x,x)\}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma osum-ordLegR: Well-order  $r \implies$  Well-order  $s \implies s \leq_o r +_o s$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma osum-congL:
  assumes  $r =_o s$  and  $t$ : Well-order  $t$ 
  shows  $r +_o t =_o s +_o t$  (is ?L = $_o$  ?R)
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma osum-congR:
  assumes  $r =_o s$  and  $t$ : Well-order  $t$ 

```

shows $t +_o r =_o t +_o s$ (**is** $?L =_o ?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *osum-cong*:
assumes $t =_o u$ **and** $r =_o s$
shows $t +_o r =_o u +_o s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Well-order-empty*[simp]: *Well-order* {}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-order-on-singleton*[simp]: *well-order-on* {x} {(x, x)}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oexp-empty*[simp]:
assumes *Well-order* r
shows $r \wedge_o \{\} = \{(\lambda x. \text{undefined}, \lambda x. \text{undefined})\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oexp-empty2*[simp]:
assumes *Well-order* r $r \neq \{\}$
shows $\{\} \wedge_o r = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-zero*[simp]: {} *_o r = {} r *_o {} = {}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-congL*:
assumes $r =_o s$ **and** t : *Well-order* t
shows $r *_o t =_o s *_o t$ (**is** $?L =_o ?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-congR*:
assumes $r =_o s$ **and** t : *Well-order* t
shows $t *_o r =_o t *_o s$ (**is** $?L =_o ?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oprod-cong*:
assumes $t =_o u$ **and** $r =_o s$
shows $t *_o r =_o u *_o s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Field-singleton*[simp]: *Field* {(z,z)} = {z}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-singleton*[simp]: *zero* {(z,z)} = z
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FinFunc-singleton*: *FinFunc* {(z,z)} s = $\{\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Field } s \text{ then } z \text{ else }$

```

undefined}
<proof>

lemma oone-ordIso-oexp:
  assumes r =o oone and s: Well-order s
  shows r ^o s =o oone (is ?L =o ?R)
<proof>

context
  fixes r s t
  assumes r: Well-order r
  assumes s: Well-order s
  assumes t: Well-order t
begin

lemma osum-ozeroL: ozero +o r =o r
<proof>

lemma osum-ozeroR: r +o ozero =o r
<proof>

lemma osum-assoc: (r +o s) +o t =o r +o s +o t (is ?L =o ?R)
<proof>

lemma osum-monoR:
  assumes s <o t
  shows r +o s <o r +o t (is ?L <o ?R)
<proof>

lemma osum-monoL:
  assumes r ≤o s
  shows r +o t ≤o s +o t
<proof>

lemma oprod-ozeroL: ozero *o r =o ozero
<proof>

lemma oprod-ozeroR: r *o ozero =o ozero
<proof>

lemma oprod-ooneR: r *o oone =o r (is ?L =o ?R)
<proof>

lemma oprod-ooneL: oone *o r =o r (is ?L =o ?R)
<proof>

lemma oprod-monoR:
  assumes ozero <o r s <o t

```

```

shows  $r *o s <_o r *o t$  (is  $?L <_o ?R$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma oprod-monoL:
assumes  $r \leq_o s$ 
shows  $r *o t \leq_o s *o t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma oprod-assoc:  $(r *o s) *o t =_o r *o s *o t$  (is  $?L =_o ?R$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma oprod-osum:  $r *o (s +o t) =_o r *o s +o r *o t$  (is  $?L =_o ?R$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma ozero-oexp:  $\neg (s =_o ozero) \implies ozero \wedge_o s =_o ozero$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma oone-oexp:  $oone \wedge_o s =_o oone$  (is  $?L =_o ?R$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma oexp-monoR:
assumes  $oone <_o r s <_o t$ 
shows  $r \wedge_o s <_o r \wedge_o t$  (is  $?L <_o ?R$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma oexp-monoL:
assumes  $r \leq_o s$ 
shows  $r \wedge_o t \leq_o s \wedge_o t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ordLeq-oexp2:
assumes  $oone <_o r$ 
shows  $s \leq_o r \wedge_o s$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma FinFunc-osum:
 $fg \in FinFunc r (s +o t) = (fg \circ Inl \in FinFunc r s \wedge fg \circ Inr \in FinFunc r t)$ 
(is  $?L = (?R1 \wedge ?R2)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-fun-diff-eq-Inl:
assumes wo-rel.max-fun-diff  $(s +o t)$  (case-sum  $f1 g1$ ) (case-sum  $f2 g2$ ) = Inl  $x$ 
case-sum  $f1 g1 \neq case-sum f2 g2$ 
case-sum  $f1 g1 \in FinFunc r (s +o t)$  case-sum  $f2 g2 \in FinFunc r (s +o t)$ 
shows wo-rel.max-fun-diff  $s f1 f2 = x$  (is  $?P$ )  $g1 = g2$  (is  $?Q$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-fun-diff-eq-Inr:
assumes wo-rel.max-fun-diff  $(s +o t)$  (case-sum  $f1 g1$ ) (case-sum  $f2 g2$ ) = Inr

```

```

x
  case-sum f1 g1 ≠ case-sum f2 g2
  case-sum f1 g1 ∈ FinFunc r (s +o t) case-sum f2 g2 ∈ FinFunc r (s +o t)
  shows wo-rel.max-fun-diff t g1 g2 = x (is ?P) g1 ≠ g2 (is ?Q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma oexp-osum: r ^o (s +o t) =o (r ^o s) *o (r ^o t) (is ?R =o ?L)
⟨proof⟩

definition rev-curr f b = (if b ∈ Field t then λa. f (a, b) else undefined)

lemma rev-curr-FinFunc:
  assumes Field: Field r ≠ {}
  shows rev-curr ‘(FinFunc r (s *o t)) = FinFunc (r ^o s) t
⟨proof⟩

lemma rev-curr-app-FinFunc[elim!]:
  [f ∈ FinFunc r (s *o t); z ∈ Field t] ⇒ rev-curr f z ∈ FinFunc r s
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-fun-diff-oprod:
  assumes Field: Field r ≠ {} and f ≠ g f ∈ FinFunc r (s *o t) g ∈ FinFunc r
  (s *o t)
  defines m ≡ wo-rel.max-fun-diff t (rev-curr f) (rev-curr g)
  shows wo-rel.max-fun-diff (s *o t) f g =
    (wo-rel.max-fun-diff s (rev-curr f m) (rev-curr g m), m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma oexp-oexp: (r ^o s) ^o t =o r ^o (s *o t) (is ?R =o ?L)
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

10 Cardinal-Order Relations

```

theory Cardinal-Order-Relation
  imports Wellorder-Constructions
begin

declare
  card-order-on-well-order-on[simp]
  card-of-card-order-on[simp]
  card-of-well-order-on[simp]
  Field-card-of[simp]
  card-of-Card-order[simp]
  card-of-Well-order[simp]
  card-of-least[simp]

```

card-of-unique[simp]
card-of-mono1[simp]
card-of-mono2[simp]
card-of-cong[simp]
card-of-Field-ordIso[simp]
card-of-underS[simp]
ordLess-Field[simp]
card-of-empty[simp]
card-of-empty1[simp]
card-of-image[simp]
card-of-singl-ordLeq[simp]
Card-order-singl-ordLeq[simp]
card-of-Pow[simp]
Card-order-Pow[simp]
card-of-Plus1[simp]
Card-order-Plus1[simp]
card-of-Plus2[simp]
Card-order-Plus2[simp]
card-of-Plus-mono1[simp]
card-of-Plus-mono2[simp]
card-of-Plus-mono[simp]
card-of-Plus-cong2[simp]
card-of-Plus-cong[simp]
card-of-Un-Plus-ordLeq[simp]
card-of-Times1[simp]
card-of-Times2[simp]
card-of-Times3[simp]
card-of-Times-mono1[simp]
card-of-Times-mono2[simp]
card-of-ordIso-finite[simp]
card-of-Times-same-infinite[simp]
card-of-Times-infinite-simps[simp]
card-of-Plus-infinite1[simp]
card-of-Plus-infinite2[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]
infinite-cartesian-product[simp]
cardSuc-Card-order[simp]
cardSuc-greater[simp]
cardSuc-ordLeq[simp]
cardSuc-ordLeq-ordLess[simp]
cardSuc-mono-ordLeq[simp]
cardSuc-invar-ordIso[simp]
card-of-cardSuc-finite[simp]
cardSuc-finite[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite-Field[simp]
curr-in[intro, simp]

10.1 Cardinal of a set

lemma *card-of-inj-rel*: **assumes** $\text{INJ}: \bigwedge x y y'. [(x,y) \in R; (x,y') \in R] \implies y = y'$
shows $|\{y. \exists x. (x,y) \in R\}| \leq_o |\{x. \exists y. (x,y) \in R\}|$
(proof)

lemma *card-of-unique2*: $[\text{card-order-on } B r; \text{bij-betw } f A B] \implies r = o |A|$
(proof)

lemma *internalize-card-of-ordLess2*:
 $(|A| < o |C|) = (\exists B < C. |A| = o |B| \wedge |B| < o |C|)$
(proof)

lemma *Card-order-oMax*:
assumes $\text{finite } R \text{ and } R \neq \{\}$ **and** $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } r$
shows $\text{Card-order}(\text{oMax } R)$
(proof)

lemma *Card-order-oMax2*:
assumes $\text{finite } I \text{ and } I \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{Card-order}(\text{oMax } \{|A_i| \mid i \in I\})$
(proof)

10.2 Cardinals versus set operations on arbitrary sets

lemma *card-of-set-type[simp]*: $|UNIV::'a set| \leq o |UNIV::'a set set|$
(proof)

lemma *card-of-Un1[simp]*: $|A| \leq o |A \cup B|$
(proof)

lemma *card-of-diff[simp]*: $|A - B| \leq o |A|$
(proof)

lemma *subset-ordLeq-strict*:
assumes $A \leq B \text{ and } |A| < o |B|$
shows $A < B$
(proof)

corollary *subset-ordLeq-diff*:
assumes $A \leq B \text{ and } |A| < o |B|$
shows $B - A \neq \{\}$
(proof)

lemma *card-of-empty4*:
 $|\{\}::'b set| < o |A::'a set| = (A \neq \{\})$
(proof)

lemma *card-of-empty5*:
 $|A| < o |B| \implies B \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Well-order-card-of-empty:

Well-order $r \implies |\{\}| \leq_o r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-UNIV[simp]:

$|A :: 'a set| \leq_o |UNIV :: 'a set|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-UNIV2[simp]:

Card-order $r \implies (r :: 'a rel) \leq_o |UNIV :: 'a set|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-Pow-mono[simp]:

assumes $|A| \leq_o |B|$
shows $|Pow A| \leq_o |Pow B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ordIso-Pow-mono[simp]:

assumes $r \leq_o r'$
shows $|Pow(Field r)| \leq_o |Pow(Field r')|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-Pow-cong[simp]:

assumes $|A| =o |B|$
shows $|Pow A| =o |Pow B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ordIso-Pow-cong[simp]:

assumes $r =o r'$
shows $|Pow(Field r)| =o |Pow(Field r')|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary Card-order-Plus-empty1:

Card-order $r \implies r =o |(Field r) <+> \{\}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary Card-order-Plus-empty2:

Card-order $r \implies r =o |\{\} <+> (Field r)|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-Un2[simp]:

shows $|A| \leq_o |B \cup A|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Un-Plus-bij-betw:

assumes $A \text{ Int } B = \{\}$
shows $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f (A \cup B) (A <+> B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso*:
 assumes $A \text{ Int } B = \{\}$
 shows $|A \cup B| =_o |A <+> B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso1*:
 $|A \cup B| =_o |A <+> (B - A)|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso2*:
 $|A \cup B| =_o |(A - B) <+> B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Times-singl1*: $|A| =_o |A \times \{b\}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *Card-order-Times-singl1*:
 Card-order r $\implies r =_o |(Field r) \times \{b\}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Times-singl2*: $|A| =_o |\{b\} \times A|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *Card-order-Times-singl2*:
 Card-order r $\implies r =_o |\{a\} \times (Field r)|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Times-assoc*: $|(A \times B) \times C| =_o |A \times B \times C|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Times-cong1[simp]*:
 assumes $|A| =_o |B|$
 shows $|A \times C| =_o |B \times C|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Times-cong2[simp]*:
 assumes $|A| =_o |B|$
 shows $|C \times A| =_o |C \times B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Times-mono[simp]*:
 assumes $|A| \leq_o |B| \text{ and } |C| \leq_o |D|$
 shows $|A \times C| \leq_o |B \times D|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *ordLeq-Times-mono*:
 assumes $r \leq_o r' \text{ and } p \leq_o p'$

shows $|(Field\ r) \times (Field\ p)| \leq_o |(Field\ r') \times (Field\ p')|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *ordIso-Times-cong1* [*simp*]:

assumes $r =_o r'$
shows $|(Field\ r) \times C| =_o |(Field\ r') \times C|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *ordIso-Times-cong2*:

assumes $r =_o r'$
shows $|A \times (Field\ r)| =_o |A \times (Field\ r')|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Times-cong* [*simp*]:

assumes $|A| =_o |B| \text{ and } |C| =_o |D|$
shows $|A \times C| =_o |B \times D|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *ordIso-Times-cong*:

assumes $r =_o r' \text{ and } p =_o p'$
shows $|(Field\ r) \times (Field\ p)| =_o |(Field\ r') \times (Field\ p')|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Sigma-mono2*:

assumes *inj-on f* ($I::'i\ set$) **and** $f`I \leq (J::'j\ set)$
shows $|\SigmaMA{i:I. (A::'j \Rightarrow 'a\ set)}{(f\ i)}| \leq_o |\SigmaMA{j:J. A\ j}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Sigma-mono*:

assumes *INJ: inj-on f I and IM: f`I \leq J and LEQ: \forall j \in J. |A j| \leq_o |B j|*
shows $|\SigmaMA{i:I. A}{(f\ i)}| \leq_o |\SigmaMA{j:J. B}{j}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordLeq-Sigma-mono1*:

assumes $\forall i \in I. p\ i \leq_o r\ i$
shows $|\SigmaMA{i:I. Field(p\ i)}| \leq_o |\SigmaMA{i:I. Field(r\ i)}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordLeq-Sigma-mono*:

assumes *inj-on f I and f`I \leq J and \forall j \in J. p j \leq_o r j*
shows $|\SigmaMA{i:I. Field(p(f\ i))}| \leq_o |\SigmaMA{j:J. Field(r\ j)}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordIso-Sigma-cong1*:

assumes $\forall i \in I. p\ i =_o r\ i$
shows $|\SigmaMA{i:I. Field(p\ i)}| =_o |\SigmaMA{i:I. Field(r\ i)}|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordLeq-Sigma-cong*:
assumes *bij-betw f I J and*
 $\forall j \in J. p j =o r j$
shows $|SIGMA i : I. Field(p(f i))| =o |SIGMA j : J. Field(r j)|$
(proof)

lemma *card-of-UNION-Sigma2*:
assumes $\bigwedge i j. \llbracket \{i,j\} \leq I; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies A i \text{ Int } A j = \{\}$
shows $|\bigcup_{i \in I. A i}| =o |\Sigma I A|$
(proof)

corollary *Plus-into-Times*:
assumes *A2: a1 ≠ a2 ∧ {a1,a2} ≤ A and B2: b1 ≠ b2 ∧ {b1,b2} ≤ B*
shows $\exists f. \text{inj-on } f (A <+> B) \wedge f^*(A <+> B) \leq A \times B$
(proof)

corollary *Plus-into-Times-types*:
assumes *A2: (a1:'a) ≠ a2 and B2: (b1:'b) ≠ b2*
shows $\exists (f:'a + 'b \Rightarrow 'a * 'b). \text{inj } f$
(proof)

corollary *Times-same-infinite-bij-betw*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f (A \times A) A$
(proof)

corollary *Times-same-infinite-bij-betw-types*:
assumes *INF: ¬finite(UNIV:'a set)*
shows $\exists (f:('a * 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f$
(proof)

corollary *Times-infinite-bij-betw*:
assumes *INF: ¬finite A and NE: B ≠ {} and INJ: inj-on g B ∧ g^* B ≤ A*
shows $(\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f (A \times B) A) \wedge (\exists h. \text{bij-betw } h (B \times A) A)$
(proof)

corollary *Times-infinite-bij-betw-types*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite}(UNIV:'a set)$ **and** $\text{inj}(g:'b \Rightarrow 'a)$
shows $(\exists (f:('b * 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f) \wedge (\exists (h:('a * 'b) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } h)$
(proof)

lemma *card-of-Times-ordLeq-infinite*:
 $\llbracket \neg \text{finite } C; |A| \leq o |C|; |B| \leq o |C| \rrbracket \implies |A \times B| \leq o |C|$
(proof)

corollary *Plus-infinite-bij-betw*:
assumes *INF: ¬finite A and INJ: inj-on g B ∧ g^* B ≤ A*
shows $(\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f (A <+> B) A) \wedge (\exists h. \text{bij-betw } h (B <+> A) A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary Plus-infinite-bij-betw-types:

assumes $\neg\text{finite}(\text{UNIV}::'a\ \text{set})$ **and** $\text{inj}(g:'b \Rightarrow 'a)$
shows $(\exists(f::('b + 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f) \wedge (\exists(h::('a + 'b) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-Un-infinite:

assumes INF: $\neg\text{finite } A$ **and** LEQ: $|B| \leq_o |A|$
shows $|A \cup B| =_o |A| \wedge |B \cup A| =_o |A|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-Un-infinite-simps[simp]:

$\llbracket \neg\text{finite } A; |B| \leq_o |A| \rrbracket \implies |A \cup B| =_o |A|$
 $\llbracket \neg\text{finite } A; |B| \leq_o |A| \rrbracket \implies |B \cup A| =_o |A|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-Un-diff-infinite:

assumes INF: $\neg\text{finite } A$ **and** LESS: $|B| < o |A|$
shows $|A - B| =_o |A|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary Card-order-Un-infinite:

assumes INF: $\neg\text{finite}(\text{Field } r)$ **and** CARD: Card-order r **and**
LEQ: $p \leq_o r$
shows $|(\text{Field } r) \cup (\text{Field } p)| =_o r \wedge |(\text{Field } p) \cup (\text{Field } r)| =_o r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary subset-ordLeq-diff-infinite:

assumes INF: $\neg\text{finite } B$ **and** SUB: $A \leq B$ **and** LESS: $|A| < o |B|$
shows $\neg\text{finite } (B - A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite[simp]:

assumes INF: $\neg\text{finite } C$ **and**
LESS1: $|A| < o |C|$ **and** LESS2: $|B| < o |C|$
shows $|A \times B| < o |C|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]:

assumes INF: $\neg\text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$ **and** r: Card-order r **and**
LESS1: $|A| < o r$ **and** LESS2: $|B| < o r$
shows $|A \times B| < o r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ordLeq-finite-Field:

assumes $r \leq_o s$ **and** finite $(\text{Field } s)$
shows finite $(\text{Field } r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma ordIso-finite-Field:
  assumes r =o s
  shows finite (Field r)  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite (Field s)
  {proof}

```

10.3 Cardinals versus set operations involving infinite sets

```

lemma finite-iff-cardOf-nat:
  finite A = ( |A| <o |UNIV :: nat set| )
  {proof}

```

```

lemma finite-ordLess-infinite2[simp]:
  assumes finite A and  $\neg$ finite B
  shows |A| <o |B|
  {proof}

```

```

lemma infinite-card-of-insert:
  assumes  $\neg$ finite A
  shows |insert a A| =o |A|
  {proof}

```

```

lemma card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite1:
  assumes  $\neg$ finite B and |A| <o |B|
  shows |\{a\} Un A| <o |B|
  {proof}

```

```

lemma card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite:
  assumes  $\neg$ finite B
  shows |A| <o |B|  $\longleftrightarrow$  |\{a\} Un A| <o |B|
  {proof}

```

10.4 Cardinals versus lists

The next is an auxiliary operator, which shall be used for inductive proofs of facts concerning the cardinality of *List* :

```

definition nlists :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set
  where nlists A n  $\equiv$  {l. set l  $\leq$  A  $\wedge$  length l = n}

```

```

lemma lists-UNION-nlists: lists A = ( $\bigcup$  n. nlists A n)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma card-of-lists: |A|  $\leq$  o |lists A|
  {proof}

```

```

lemma nlists-0: nlists A 0 = {[]}
  {proof}

```

```

lemma nlists-not-empty:

```

```

assumes  $A \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $nlists A n \neq \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-nlists-Succ:  $|nlists A (Suc n)| =o |A \times (nlists A n)|$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-nlists-infinite:
assumes  $\neg finite A$ 
shows  $|nlists A n| \leq o |A|$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Card-order-lists:  $Card-order r \implies r \leq o |lists(Field r)|$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Union-set-lists:  $\bigcup (set ` (lists A)) = A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-on-map-lists:
assumes inj-on f A
shows inj-on (map f) (lists A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-lists-mono:
assumes  $f ` A \leq B$ 
shows  $(map f) ` (lists A) \leq lists B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-lists-surjective:
assumes  $f ` A = B$ 
shows  $(map f) ` (lists A) = lists B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-map-lists:
assumes bij-betw f A B
shows bij-betw (map f) (lists A) (lists B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-lists-mono[simp]:
assumes  $|A| \leq o |B|$ 
shows  $|lists A| \leq o |lists B|$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ordIso-lists-mono:
assumes  $r \leq o r'$ 
shows  $|lists(Field r)| \leq o |lists(Field r')|$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma card-of-lists-cong[simp]:
  assumes |A| =o |B|
  shows |lists A| =o |lists B|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-lists-infinite[simp]:
  assumes ¬finite A
  shows |lists A| =o |A|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Card-order-lists-infinite:
  assumes Card-order r and ¬finite(Field r)
  shows |lists(Field r)| =o r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordIso-lists-cong:
  assumes r =o r'
  shows |lists(Field r)| =o |lists(Field r')|
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary lists-infinite-bij-betw:
  assumes ¬finite A
  shows ∃f. bij-betw f (lists A) A
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary lists-infinite-bij-betw-types:
  assumes ¬finite(UNIV :: 'a set)
  shows ∃(f::'a list ⇒ 'a). bij f
  ⟨proof⟩

```

10.5 Cardinals versus the finite powerset operator

```

lemma card-of-Fpow[simp]: |A| ≤o |Fpow A|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Card-order-Fpow: Card-order r ⇒ r ≤o |Fpow(Field r) |
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma image-Fpow-surjective:
  assumes f ` A = B
  shows (image f) ` (Fpow A) = Fpow B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-image-Fpow:
  assumes bij-betw f A B
  shows bij-betw (image f) (Fpow A) (Fpow B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-Fpow-mono[simp]:

```

assumes $|A| \leq_o |B|$
shows $|Fpow A| \leq_o |Fpow B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordIso-Fpow-mono*:
assumes $r \leq_o r'$
shows $|Fpow(Field r)| \leq_o |Fpow(Field r')|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Fpow-cong[simp]*:
assumes $|A| =_o |B|$
shows $|Fpow A| =_o |Fpow B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordIso-Fpow-cong*:
assumes $r =_o r'$
shows $|Fpow(Field r)| =_o |Fpow(Field r')|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Fpow-lists*: $|Fpow A| \leq_o |\text{lists } A|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-of-Fpow-infinite[simp]*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $|Fpow A| =_o |A|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *Fpow-infinite-bij-betw*:
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f (Fpow A) A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.6 The cardinal ω and the finite cardinals

10.6.1 First as well-orders

lemma *Field-natLess*: $\text{Field natLess} = (\text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *natLeq-well-order-on*: *well-order-on UNIV natLeq*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *natLeq-wo-rel*: *wo-rel natLeq*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *natLeq-ofilter-less*: *ofilter natLeq {0 .. < n}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *natLeq-ofilter-leq*: *ofilter natLeq {0 .. n}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *natLeq-UNIV-ofilter*: *wo-rel.ofilter natLeq UNIV*
{proof}

lemma *closed-nat-set-iff*:

assumes $\forall (m::nat) \ n. \ n \in A \wedge m \leq n \longrightarrow m \in A$

shows $A = UNIV \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 ..< n\})$

{proof}

lemma *natLeq-ofilter-iff*:

ofilter natLeq A = (A = UNIV ∨ (exists n. A = {0 ..< n}))

{proof}

lemma *natLeq-under-leq*: *under natLeq n = {0 .. n}*

{proof}

lemma *natLeq-on-ofilter-less-eq*:

$n \leq m \implies \text{wo-rel.ofilter}(\text{natLeq-on } m) \{0 ..< n\}$

{proof}

lemma *natLeq-on-ofilter-iff*:

wo-rel.ofilter(natLeq-on m) A = (exists n ≤ m. A = {0 ..< n})

{proof}

corollary *natLeq-on-ofilter*:

ofilter(natLeq-on n) {0 ..< n}

{proof}

lemma *natLeq-on-ofilter-less*:

assumes $n < m$ **shows** *ofilter(natLeq-on m) {0 .. n}*

{proof}

lemma *natLeq-on-ordLess-natLeq*: *natLeq-on n <_o natLeq*

{proof}

lemma *natLeq-on-injective*:

$\text{natLeq-on } m = \text{natLeq-on } n \implies m = n$

{proof}

lemma *natLeq-on-injective-ordIso*:

$(\text{natLeq-on } m =_o \text{natLeq-on } n) = (m = n)$

{proof}

10.6.2 Then as cardinals

lemma *ordIso-natLeq-infinite1*:

$|A| =_o \text{natLeq} \implies \neg \text{finite } A$

{proof}

```

lemma ordIso-natLeq-infinite2:
  natLeq =o |A|  $\implies \neg \text{finite } A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordIso-natLeq-on-imp-finite:
  |A| =o natLeq-on n  $\implies \text{finite } A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma natLeq-on-Card-order: Card-order (natLeq-on n)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary card-of-Field-natLeq-on:
  |Field (natLeq-on n)| =o natLeq-on n
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary card-of-less:
  |\{0 .. < n\}| =o natLeq-on n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma natLeq-on-ordLeq-less-eq:
  ((natLeq-on m) ≤o (natLeq-on n)) = (m ≤ n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma natLeq-on-ordLeq-less:
  ((natLeq-on m) <o (natLeq-on n)) = (m < n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordLeq-natLeq-on-imp-finite:
  assumes |A| ≤o natLeq-on n
  shows finite A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

10.6.3 "Backward compatibility" with the numeric cardinal operator for finite sets

```

lemma finite-card-of-iff-card2:
  assumes FIN: finite A and FIN': finite B
  shows (|A| ≤o |B|) = (card A ≤ card B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on:
  assumes finite A
  shows |A| =o natLeq-on (card A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-iff-card-of-natLeq-on:
  finite A = (exists n. |A| =o natLeq-on n)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *finite-card-of-iff-card*:
assumes *FIN*: finite *A* **and** *FIN'*: finite *B*
shows ($|A| =_o |B|$) = (card *A* = card *B*)
{proof}

lemma *finite-card-of-iff-card3*:
assumes *FIN*: finite *A* **and** *FIN'*: finite *B*
shows ($|A| <_o |B|$) = (card *A* < card *B*)
{proof}

lemma *card-Field-natLeq-on*:
 $\text{card}(\text{Field}(\text{natLeq-on } n)) = n$
{proof}

10.7 The successor of a cardinal

lemma *embed-implies-ordIso-Restr*:
assumes *WELL*: Well-order *r* **and** *WELL'*: Well-order *r'* **and** *EMB*: embed *r'* *r f*
shows $r' =_o \text{Restr } r (f`(\text{Field } r'))$
{proof}

lemma *cardSuc-mono-ordLess[simp]*:
assumes *CARD*: Card-order *r* **and** *CARD'*: Card-order *r'*
shows ($\text{cardSuc } r <_o \text{cardSuc } r'$) = ($r <_o r'$)
{proof}

lemma *cardSuc-natLeq-on-Suc*:
 $\text{cardSuc}(\text{natLeq-on } n) =_o \text{natLeq-on}(\text{Suc } n)$
{proof}

lemma *card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite[simp]*:
assumes $\neg\text{finite } C$ **and** $|A| \leq_o |C|$ **and** $|B| \leq_o |C|$
shows $|A \cup B| \leq_o |C|$
{proof}

lemma *card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite[simp]*:
assumes $\neg\text{finite } C$ **and** $|A| \leq_o |C|$ **and** $|B| \leq_o |C|$
shows $|A \cap B| \leq_o |C|$
{proof}

10.8 Others

lemma *under-mono[simp]*:
assumes Well-order *r* **and** $(i,j) \in r$
shows $\text{under } r i \subseteq \text{under } r j$
{proof}

lemma *underS-under*:
assumes $i \in \text{Field } r$

shows $\text{underS } r \ i = \text{under } r \ i - \{i\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{relChain-under}:$
assumes Well-order r
shows $\text{relChain } r (\lambda i. \text{under } r i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{card-of-infinite-diff-finite}:$
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$ **and** $\text{finite } B$
shows $|A - B| = o |A|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{infinite-card-of-diff-singl}:$
assumes $\neg \text{finite } A$
shows $|A - \{a\}| = o |A|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{card-of-vimage}:$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{range } f$
shows $|B| \leq o |f^{-1} B|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{surj-card-of-vimage}:$
assumes $\text{surj } f$
shows $|B| \leq o |f^{-1} B|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $Bpow$ **where**
 $Bpow r A \equiv \{X . X \subseteq A \wedge |X| \leq o r\}$

lemma $Bpow-empty[\text{simp}]:$
assumes Card-order r
shows $Bpow r \{\} = \{\{\}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{singl-in-Bpow}:$
assumes $rc: \text{Card-order } r$
and $r: \text{Field } r \neq \{\}$ **and** $a: a \in A$
shows $\{a\} \in Bpow r A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ordLeq-card-Bpow}:$
assumes $rc: \text{Card-order } r$ **and** $r: \text{Field } r \neq \{\}$
shows $|A| \leq o |Bpow r A|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{infinite-Bpow}:$

```

assumes rc: Card-order r and r: Field r ≠ {}
and A: ¬finite A
shows ¬finite (Bpow r A)
⟨proof⟩

definition Func-option where
  Func-option A B ≡
    {f. (∀ a. f a ≠ None ↔ a ∈ A) ∧ (∀ a ∈ A. case f a of Some b ⇒ b ∈ B | None
    ⇒ True) }

lemma card-of-Func-option-Func:
  |Func-option A B| =o |Func A B|
⟨proof⟩

definition Pfunc where
  Pfunc A B ≡
    {f. (∀ a. f a ≠ None → a ∈ A) ∧
      (∀ a. case f a of None ⇒ True | Some b ⇒ b ∈ B) }

lemma Func-Pfunc:
  Func-option A B ⊆ Pfunc A B
⟨proof⟩

lemma Pfunc-Func-option:
  Pfunc A B = (⋃ A' ∈ Pow A. Func-option A' B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-Func-mono:
  fixes A1 A2 :: 'a set and B :: 'b set
  assumes A12: A1 ⊆ A2 and B: B ≠ {}
  shows |Func A1 B| ≤o |Func A2 B|
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-Func-option-mono:
  fixes A1 A2 :: 'a set and B :: 'b set
  assumes A12: A1 ⊆ A2 and B: B ≠ {}
  shows |Func-option A1 B| ≤o |Func-option A2 B|
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-Pfunc-Pow-Func-option:
  assumes B ≠ {}
  shows |Pfunc A B| ≤o |Pow A × Func-option A B|
⟨proof⟩

lemma Bpow-ordLeg-Func-Field:
  assumes rc: Card-order r and r: Field r ≠ {} and A: ¬finite A
  shows |Bpow r A| ≤o |Func (Field r) A|
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma empty-in-Func[simp]:
   $B \neq \{\} \implies (\lambda x. \text{undefined}) \in \text{Func } \{\} B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Func-mono[simp]:
  assumes  $B1 \subseteq B2$ 
  shows  $\text{Func } A B1 \subseteq \text{Func } A B2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Pfunc-mono[simp]:
  assumes  $A1 \subseteq A2$  and  $B1 \subseteq B2$ 
  shows  $\text{Pfunc } A B1 \subseteq \text{Pfunc } A B2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma card-of-Func-UNIV-UNIV:
   $|\text{Func } (\text{UNIV}: 'a \text{ set}) (\text{UNIV}: 'b \text{ set})| =_o |\text{UNIV}: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set}|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ordLeq-Func:
  assumes  $\{b1, b2\} \subseteq B$   $b1 \neq b2$ 
  shows  $|A| \leq_o |\text{Func } A B|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma infinite-Func:
  assumes  $A: \neg\text{finite } A$  and  $B: \{b1, b2\} \subseteq B$   $b1 \neq b2$ 
  shows  $\neg\text{finite } (\text{Func } A B)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

10.9 Infinite cardinals are limit ordinals

```

lemma card-order-infinite-isLimOrd:
  assumes  $c: \text{Card-order } r$  and  $i: \neg\text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$ 
  shows  $\text{isLimOrd } r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma insert-Chain:
  assumes  $\text{Refl } r C \in \text{Chains } r$  and  $i \in \text{Field } r$  and  $\bigwedge j. j \in C \implies (j, i) \in r \vee (i, j) \in r$ 
  shows  $\text{insert } i C \in \text{Chains } r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Collect-insert:  $\{R j \mid j. j \in \text{insert } j1 J\} = \text{insert } (R j1) \{R j \mid j. j \in J\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Field-init-seg-of[simp]:
   $\text{Field init-seg-of} = \text{UNIV}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma refl-init-seg-of[intro, simp]: refl init-seg-of
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma regularCard-all-ex:
  assumes r: Card-order r  regularCard r
  and As:  $\bigwedge i j b. b \in B \implies (i,j) \in r \implies P i b \implies P j b$ 
  and Bsub:  $\forall b \in B. \exists i \in \text{Field } r. P i b$ 
  and cardB:  $|B| <_o r$ 
  shows  $\exists i \in \text{Field } r. \forall b \in B. P i b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma relChain-stabilize:
  assumes rc: relChain r As and AsB:  $(\bigcup i \in \text{Field } r. As i) \subseteq B$  and Br:  $|B| <_o r$ 
  and ir:  $\neg \text{finite}(\text{Field } r)$  and cr: Card-order r
  shows  $\exists i \in \text{Field } r. As(\text{succ } r i) = As i$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

10.10 Regular vs. stable cardinals

```

lemma stable-cardSuc:
  assumes CARD: Card-order r and INF:  $\neg \text{finite}(\text{Field } r)$ 
  shows stable(cardSuc r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma stable-ordIso:
  assumes r = o r'
  shows stable r = stable r'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma stable-nat: stable |UNIV::nat set|
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Below, the type of "A" is not important – we just had to choose an appropriate type to make "A" possible. What is important is that arbitrarily large infinite sets of stable cardinality exist.

```

lemma infinite-stable-exists:
  assumes CARD:  $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order}(r :: 'a \text{ rel})$ 
  shows  $\exists(A :: (\text{nat} + 'a \text{ set}) \text{ set}).$ 
     $\neg \text{finite } A \wedge \text{stable } |A| \wedge (\forall r \in R. r <_o |A|)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary infinite-regularCard-exists:
  assumes CARD:  $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order}(r :: 'a \text{ rel})$ 
  shows  $\exists(A :: (\text{nat} + 'a \text{ set}) \text{ set}).$ 
     $\neg \text{finite } A \wedge \text{regularCard } |A| \wedge (\forall r \in R. r <_o |A|)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

11 Cardinal Arithmetic

```
theory Cardinal-Arithmetic
  imports Cardinal-Order-Relation
begin
```

11.1 Binary sum

```
lemma csum-Cnotzero2:
  Cnotzero r2 ==> Cnotzero (r1 +c r2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma single-cone:
  |{x}| =o cone
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cone-Cnotzero: Cnotzero cone
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cone-ordLeq-ctwo: cone ≤o ctwo
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma csum-czero1: Card-order r ==> r +c czero =o r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma csum-czero2: Card-order r ==> czero +c r =o r
  ⟨proof⟩
```

11.2 Product

```
lemma Times-cprod: |A × B| =o |A| *c |B|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-Times-singleton:
  fixes A :: 'a set
  shows |A × {x}| =o |A|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cprod-assoc: (r *c s) *c t =o r *c s *c t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cprod-czero: r *c czero =o czero
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cprod-cone: Card-order r ==> r *c cone =o r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordLeq-cprod1: [|Card-order p1; Cnotzero p2|] ==> p1 ≤o p1 *c p2
  ⟨proof⟩
```

11.3 Exponentiation

lemma *cexp-czero*: $r \hat{c} czero =_o cone$

(proof)

lemma *Pow-cexp-ctwo*:

$|Pow A| =_o ctwo \hat{c} |A|$

(proof)

lemma *Cnotzero-cexp*:

assumes *Cnotzero q*
shows *Cnotzero (q \hat{c} r)*

(proof)

lemma *Cinfinite-ctwo-cexp*:

Cinfinite r \implies *Cinfinite (ctwo \hat{c} r)*

(proof)

lemma *cone-ordLeq-iff-Field*:

assumes *cone $\leq_o r$*
shows *Field r $\neq \{\}$*

(proof)

lemma *cone-ordLeq-cexp*: *cone $\leq_o r1 \implies cone \leq_o r1 \hat{c} r2$*

(proof)

lemma *Card-order-czero*: *Card-order czero*

(proof)

lemma *cexp-mono2''*:

assumes *ϑ : $p\vartheta \leq_o r\vartheta$*
and *n1: Cnotzero q*
and *n2: Card-order p ϑ*
shows *q \hat{c} p $\vartheta \leq_o q \hat{c} r\vartheta$*

(proof)

lemma *csum-cexp*: $\llbracket Cinfinite r1; Cinfinite r2; Card-order q; ctwo \leq_o q \rrbracket \implies$

$q \hat{c} r1 + c q \hat{c} r2 \leq_o q \hat{c} (r1 + c r2)$

(proof)

lemma *csum-cexp'*: $\llbracket Cinfinite r; Card-order q; ctwo \leq_o q \rrbracket \implies q + c r \leq_o q \hat{c} r$

(proof)

lemma *card-of-Sigma-ordLeq-Cinfinite*:

$\llbracket Cinfinite r; |I| \leq_o r; \forall i \in I. |A i| \leq_o r \rrbracket \implies |\text{SIGMA } i : I. A i| \leq_o r$

(proof)

lemma *Cinfinite-ordLess-cexp*:

assumes *r: Cinfinite r*
shows *r <_o r \hat{c} r*

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma infinite-ordLeq-cexp:
  assumes Cinfinite r
  shows r ≤o r ^c r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma czero-cexp: Cnotzero r ==> czero ^c r =o czero
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Func-singleton:
  fixes x :: 'b and A :: 'a set
  shows |Func A {x}| =o |{x}|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cone-cexp: cone ^c r =o cone
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-Func-squared:
  fixes A :: 'a set
  shows |Func (UNIV :: bool set) A| =o |A × A|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cexp-ctwo: r ^c ctwo =o r *c r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-of-Func-Plus:
  fixes A :: 'a set and B :: 'b set and C :: 'c set
  shows |Func (A <+> B) C| =o |Func A C × Func B C|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cexp-csum: r ^c (s +c t) =o r ^c s *c r ^c t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

11.4 Powerset

```

definition cpow where cpow r = |Pow (Field r)|

lemma card-order-cpow: card-order r ==> card-order (cpow r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cpow-greater-eq: Card-order r ==> r ≤o cpow r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Cinfinite-cpow: Cinfinite r ==> Cinfinite (cpow r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Card-order-cpow: Card-order (cpow r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cardSuc-ordLeq-cpow*: *Card-order r* \implies *cardSuc r* \leq_o *cpow r*
(proof)

lemma *cpow-cexp-ctwo*: *cpow r* $=_o$ *ctwo* $\wedge_c r$
(proof)

11.5 Inverse image

lemma *vimage-ordLeq*:

assumes $|A| \leq_o k$ **and** $\forall a \in A. |vimage f \{a\}| \leq_o k$ **and** *Cinfinite k*
shows $|vimage f A| \leq_o k$
(proof)

11.6 Maximum

definition *cmax where*

cmax r s =
(if cinfinite r \vee cinfinite s then czero +c r +c s
else natLeq-on (max (card (Field r)) (card (Field s))) +c czero)

lemma *cmax-com*: *cmax r s* $=_o$ *cmax s r*
(proof)

lemma *cmax1*:
assumes *Card-order r Card-order s* $s \leq_o r$
shows *cmax r s* $=_o r$
(proof)

lemma *cmax2*:
assumes *Card-order r Card-order s* $r \leq_o s$
shows *cmax r s* $=_o s$
(proof)

context
fixes *r s*
assumes *r: Cinfinite r*
and *s: Cinfinite s*
begin

lemma *cmax-csum*: *cmax r s* $=_o r +c s$
(proof)

lemma *cmax-cprod*: *cmax r s* $=_o r *c s$
(proof)

end

lemma *Card-order-cmax*:
assumes *r: Card-order r* **and** *s: Card-order s*

```

shows Card-order (cmax r s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ordLeq-cmax:
assumes r: Card-order r and s: Card-order s
shows r ≤o cmax r s ∧ s ≤o cmax r s
⟨proof⟩

lemmas ordLeq-cmax1 = ordLeq-cmax[THEN conjunct1] and
ordLeq-cmax2 = ordLeq-cmax[THEN conjunct2]

lemma finite-cmax:
assumes r: Card-order r and s: Card-order s
shows finite (Field (cmax r s)) ←→ finite (Field r) ∧ finite (Field s)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

12 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders

```

theory Wellorder-Extension
imports Main Order-Union
begin

```

12.1 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders

A *downset* (also lower set, decreasing set, initial segment, or downward closed set) is closed w.r.t. smaller elements.

```

definition downset-on where
  downset-on A r = ( ∀ x y. (x, y) ∈ r ∧ y ∈ A → x ∈ A )

```

```

lemma downset-onI:
  ( ∀ x y. (x, y) ∈ r ⇒ y ∈ A ⇒ x ∈ A ) ⇒ downset-on A r
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma downset-onD:
  downset-on A r ⇒ (x, y) ∈ r ⇒ y ∈ A ⇒ x ∈ A
⟨proof⟩

```

Extensions of relations w.r.t. a given set.

```

definition extension-on where
  extension-on A r s = ( ∀ x ∈ A. ∀ y ∈ A. (x, y) ∈ s → (x, y) ∈ r )

```

```

lemma extension-onI:
  ( ∀ x y. [x ∈ A; y ∈ A; (x, y) ∈ s] ⇒ (x, y) ∈ r ) ⇒ extension-on A r s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma extension-onD:
  extension-on A r s ==> x ∈ A ==> y ∈ A ==> (x, y) ∈ s ==> (x, y) ∈ r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma downset-on-Union:
  assumes ⋀r. r ∈ R ==> downset-on (Field r) p
  shows downset-on (Field (⋃ R)) p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-subset-extension-on-Union:
  assumes chain $\subseteq$  R and ⋀r. r ∈ R ==> extension-on (Field r) r p
  shows extension-on (Field (⋃ R)) (⋃ R) p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma downset-on-empty [simp]: downset-on {} p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma extension-on-empty [simp]: extension-on {} p q
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Every well-founded relation can be extended to a wellorder.

```

theorem well-order-extension:
  assumes wf p
  shows ∃w. p ⊆ w  $\wedge$  Well-order w
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Every well-founded relation can be extended to a total wellorder.

```

corollary total-well-order-extension:
  assumes wf p
  shows ∃w. p ⊆ w  $\wedge$  Well-order w  $\wedge$  Field w = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary well-order-on-extension:
  assumes wf p and Field p ⊆ A
  shows ∃w. p ⊆ w  $\wedge$  well-order-on A w
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

13 Theory of Ordinals and Cardinals

```

theory Cardinals
imports Ordinal-Arithmetic Cardinal-Arithmetic Wellorder-Extension
begin

end

```

14 Sets Strictly Bounded by an Infinite Cardinal

```

theory Bounded-Set
imports Cardinals
begin

typedef ('a, 'k) bset (- set[-] [22, 21] 21) =
{A :: 'a set. |A| <o natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'k set|}
morphisms set-bset Abs-bset
⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-bset

lift-definition map-bset :: 
('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'b set['k] is image
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition rel-bset :: 
('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'b set['k] ⇒ bool is rel-set
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition bempty :: 'a set['k] is {}
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition binser t :: 'a ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'a set['k] is insert
⟨proof⟩

definition bsingleton where
bsingleton x = binser x bempty

lemma set-bset-to-set-bset: |A| <o natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'k set| ==>
set-bset (the-inv set-bset A :: 'a set['k]) = A
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-bset-aux-infinite:
fixes a :: 'a set['k] and b :: 'b set['k]
shows (∀t ∈ set-bset a. ∃u ∈ set-bset b. R t u) ∧ (∀u ∈ set-bset b. ∃t ∈ set-bset
a. R t u) ↔
((BNF-Def.Grp {a. set-bset a ⊆ {(a, b). R a b}} (map-bset fst))⁻¹⁻¹ OO
BNF-Def.Grp {a. set-bset a ⊆ {(a, b). R a b}} (map-bset snd)) a b (is ?L ↔
?R)
⟨proof⟩

bnf 'a set['k]
map: map-bset
sets: set-bset
bd: natLeq +c card-suc |UNIV :: 'k set|
wits: bempty
rel: rel-bset

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-bset-bempty*[simp]: *map-bset f bempty = bempty*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-bset-binser*t[simp]: *map-bset f (binser x X) = binser (fx) (map-bset f X)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-bset-bsingleton*: *map-bset f (bsingleton x) = bsingleton (fx)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bempty-not-binser*: *bempty ≠ binser x X binser x X ≠ bempty*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bempty-not-bsingleton*[simp]: *bempty ≠ bsingleton x bsingleton x ≠ bempty*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bsingleton-inj*[simp]: *bsingleton x = bsingleton y ↔ x = y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-bsingleton*[simp]:
rel-bset R (bsingleton x1) (bsingleton x2) = R x1 x2
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-bset-bsingleton*[simp]:
rel-bset R (bsingleton x1) = (λX. X ≠ bempty ∧ (∀x2∈set-bset X. R x1 x2))
rel-bset R X (bsingleton x2) = (X ≠ bempty ∧ (∀x1∈set-bset X. R x1 x2))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-bset-bempty*[simp]:
rel-bset R bempty X = (X = bempty)
rel-bset R Y bempty = (Y = bempty)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *bset-of-option* **where**
bset-of-option = case-option bempty bsingleton

lift-definition *bgraph* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ option}) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ set['a set]}$ **is**
 $\lambda f. \{(a, b). f a = \text{Some } b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-bset-False*[simp]: *rel-bset (λx y. False) x y = (x = bempty ∧ y = bempty)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-bset-of-option*[simp]:
rel-bset R (bset-of-option x1) (bset-of-option x2) = rel-option R x1 x2
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma rel-bgraph[simp]:
  rel-bset (rel-prod (=) R) (bgraph f1) (bgraph f2) = rel-fun (=) (rel-option R) f1
f2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-bset-bsingleton[simp]:
  set-bset (bsingleton x) = {x}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bininsert-absorb[simp]: bininsert a (bininsert a x) = bininsert a x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-bset-eq-bempty-iff[simp]: map-bset f X = bempty ↔ X = bempty
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-bset-eq-bsingleton-iff[simp]:
  map-bset f X = bsingleton x ↔ (set-bset X ≠ {}) ∧ (∀ y ∈ set-bset X. f y = x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition bCollect :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a set['a set] is Collect
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition bmember :: 'a ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ bool is (∈) ⟨proof⟩

lemma bmember-bCollect[simp]: bmember a (bCollect P) = P a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bset-eq-iff: A = B ↔ (∀ a. bmember a A = bmember a B)
  ⟨proof⟩

locale bset-lifting
begin

declare bset.rel-eq[relator-eq]
declare bset.rel-mono[relator-mono]
declare bset.rel-compp[symmetric, relator-distr]
declare bset.rel-transfer[transfer-rule]

lemma bset-quot-map[quot-map]: Quotient R Abs Rep T ==>
  Quotient (rel-bset R) (map-bset Abs) (map-bset Rep) (rel-bset T)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-relator-eq-onp [relator-eq-onp]:
  rel-bset (eq-onp P) = eq-onp (λA. Ball (set-bset A) P)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

end