

# Ordinals and cardinals in HOL

Andrei Popescu & Dmitriy Traytel

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>More on Injections, Bijections and Inverses</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Purely functional properties . . . . .	5
2.2	Properties involving finite and infinite sets . . . . .	6
2.3	Properties involving Hilbert choice . . . . .	6
2.4	Other facts . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Basics on Order-Like Relations</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	The upper and lower bounds operators . . . . .	7
3.2	Properties depending on more than one relation . . . . .	19
<b>4</b>	<b>More on Well-Founded Relations</b>	<b>19</b>
4.1	Well-founded recursion via genuine fixpoints . . . . .	19
<b>5</b>	<b>Well-Order Relations</b>	<b>20</b>
5.1	Auxiliaries . . . . .	20
5.2	Well-founded induction and recursion adapted to non-strict well-order relations . . . . .	20
5.2.1	Properties of max2 . . . . .	21
5.2.2	Properties of minim . . . . .	21
5.2.3	Properties of supr . . . . .	22
5.2.4	Properties of successor . . . . .	23
5.2.5	Properties of order filters . . . . .	25
5.2.6	Other properties . . . . .	26
<b>6</b>	<b>Well-Order Embeddings</b>	<b>27</b>
6.1	Auxiliaries . . . . .	27
6.2	(Well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms and order-compatible functions . . . . .	27
6.3	Uniqueness of embeddings . . . . .	28
<b>7</b>	<b>Order Union</b>	<b>29</b>

<b>8 Constructions on Wellorders</b>	<b>33</b>
8.1 Order filters versus restrictions and embeddings . . . . .	33
8.2 Ordering the well-orders by existence of embeddings . . . . .	34
8.3 Copy via direct images . . . . .	35
8.4 The maxim among a finite set of ordinals . . . . .	37
8.5 Limit and successor ordinals . . . . .	39
8.5.1 Successor and limit elements of an ordinal . . . . .	41
8.5.2 Well-order recursion with (zero), successor, and limit .	44
8.5.3 Well-order succ-lim induction . . . . .	45
8.6 Projections of wellorders . . . . .	46
<b>9 Ordinal Arithmetic</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>10 Cardinal-Order Relations</b>	<b>87</b>
10.1 Cardinal of a set . . . . .	88
10.2 Cardinals versus set operations on arbitrary sets . . . . .	89
10.3 Cardinals versus set operations involving infinite sets . . . . .	98
10.4 Cardinals versus lists . . . . .	98
10.5 Cardinals versus the finite powerset operator . . . . .	101
10.6 The cardinal $\omega$ and the finite cardinals . . . . .	103
10.6.1 First as well-orders . . . . .	103
10.6.2 Then as cardinals . . . . .	105
10.6.3 "Backward compatibility" with the numeric cardinal operator for finite sets . . . . .	107
10.7 The successor of a cardinal . . . . .	108
10.8 Others . . . . .	110
10.9 Infinite cardinals are limit ordinals . . . . .	114
10.10 Regular vs. stable cardinals . . . . .	116
<b>11 Cardinal Arithmetic</b>	<b>118</b>
11.1 Binary sum . . . . .	118
11.2 Product . . . . .	119
11.3 Exponentiation . . . . .	119
11.4 Powerset . . . . .	122
11.5 Inverse image . . . . .	123
11.6 Maximum . . . . .	123
<b>12 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders</b>	<b>125</b>
12.1 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders . . . . .	125
<b>13 Theory of Ordinals and Cardinals</b>	<b>129</b>

### Abstract

We develop a basic theory of ordinals and cardinals in Isabelle/HOL, up to the point where some cardinality facts relevant for the “working mathematician” become available. Unlike in set theory, here we do not have at hand canonical notions of ordinal and cardinal. Therefore, here an ordinal is merely a well-order relation and a cardinal is an ordinal minim w.r.t. order embedding on its field.

## 1 Introduction

In set theory (under formalizations such as Zermelo-Fraenkel or Von Neumann-Bernays-Gödel), an *ordinal* is a special kind of well-order, namely one whose strict version is the restriction of the membership relation to a set. In particular, the field of a set-theoretic ordinal is a transitive set, and the non-strict version of an ordinal relation is set inclusion. Set-theoretic ordinals enjoy the nice properties of membership on transitive sets, while at the same time forming a complete class of representatives for well-orders (since any well-order turns out isomorphic to an ordinal). Moreover, the class of ordinals is itself transitive and well-ordered by membership as the strict relation and inclusion as the non-strict relation. Also knowing that any set can be well-ordered (in the presence of the axiom of choice), one then defines the *cardinal* of a set to be the smallest ordinal isomorphic to a well-order on that set. This makes the class of cardinals a complete set of representatives for the intuitive notion of set cardinality.<sup>1</sup> The ability to produce *canonical well-orders* from the membership relation (having the aforementioned convenient properties) allows for a harmonious development of the theory of cardinals in set-theoretic settings. Non-trivial cardinality results, such as  $A$  being equipollent to  $A \times A$  for any infinite  $A$ , follow rather quickly within this theory.

However, a canonical notion of well-order is *not* available in HOL. Here, one has to do with well-order “as is”, but otherwise has all the necessary infrastructure (including Hilbert choice) to “climb” well-orders recursively and to well-order arbitrary sets.

The current work, formalized in Isabelle/HOL, develops the basic theory of ordinals and cardinals up to the point where there are inferred a collection of non-trivial cardinality facts useful for the “working mathematician”, among which:

---

<sup>1</sup>The “intuitive” cardinality of a set  $A$  is the class of all sets equipollent to  $A$ , i.e., being in bijection with  $A$ .

- Given any two sets (on any two given types)<sup>2</sup>, one is injectable in the other.
- If at least one of two sets is infinite, then their sum and their Cartesian product are equipollent to the larger of the two.
- The set of lists (and also the set of finite sets) with element from an infinite set is equipollent with that set.

Our development emulates the standard one from set-theory, but keeps everything *up to order isomorphism*. An (HOL) ordinal is merely a well-order. An *ordinal embedding* is an injective and order-compatible function which maps its source into an initial segment (i.e., order filter) of its target. Now, a *cardinal* (called in this work a *cardinal order*) is an ordinal minim w.r.t. the existence of embeddings among all well-orders on its field. After showing the existence of cardinals on any given set, we define the cardinal of a set  $A$ , denoted  $|A|$ , to be *some* cardinal order on  $A$ . This concept is unique only up to order isomorphism (denoted  $=o$ ), but meets its purpose: any two sets  $A$  and  $B$  (laying at potentially distinct types) are in bijection if and only if  $|A| =o |B|$ . Moreover, we also show that numeric cardinals assigned to finite sets<sup>3</sup> are *conservatively extended* by our general (order-theoretic) notion of cardinal. We study the interaction of cardinals with standard set-theoretic constructions such as powersets, products, sums and lists. These constructions are shown to preserve the “cardinal identity”  $=o$  and also to be monotonic w.r.t.  $\leq o$ , the ordinal embedding relation. By studying the interaction between these constructions, infinite sets and cardinals, we obtain the aforementioned results for “working mathematicians”.

For this development, we did not follow closely any particular textbook, and in fact are not aware of such basic theory of cardinals previously developed in HOL.<sup>4</sup> On the other hand, the set-theoretic versions of the facts proved here are folklore in set theory, and can be found, e.g., in the textbook [1]. Beyond taking care of some locality aspects concerning the spreading of our concepts throughout types, we have not departed much from the techniques used in set theory for establishing these facts – for instance, in the proof of one of our major theorems, *Card-order-Times-same-infinite* from Section 8.4,<sup>5</sup> we have essentially applied the technique described, e.g., in the proof of theorem 1.5.11 from [1], page 47.

Here is the structure of the rest of this document.

---

<sup>2</sup>Recall that, in HOL, a set on a type  $\alpha$  is modeled, just like a predicate, as a function from  $\alpha$  to `bool`.

<sup>3</sup>Numeric cardinals of finite sets are already formalized in Isabelle/HOL.

<sup>4</sup>After writing this formalization, we became aware of Paul Taylor’s membership-free development of the theory of ordinals [2].

<sup>5</sup>This theorem states that, for any infinite cardinal  $r$  on a set  $A$ ,  $|A \times A|$  is not larger than  $r$ .

The next three sections, 2-4, develop some mathematical prerequisites. In Section 2, a large collection of simple facts about injections, bijections, inverses, (in)finite sets and numeric cardinals are proved, making life easier for later, when proving less trivial facts. Section 3 introduces upper and lower bounds operators for order-like relations and studies their basic properties. Section 4 states some useful variations of well-founded recursion and induction principles.

Then come the major sections, 5-8. Section 5 defines and studies, in the context of a well-order relation, the notions of minimum (of a set), maximum (of two elements), supremum, successor (of a set), and order filter (i.e., initial segment, i.e., downward-closed set). Section 6 defines and studies (well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms, and compatible functions. Section 7 deals with various constructions on well-orders, and with the relations  $\leq_o$ ,  $<_o$  and  $=_o$  of well-order embedding, strict embedding, and isomorphism, respectively. Section 8 defines and studies cardinal order relations, the cardinal of a set, the connection of cardinals with set-theoretic constructs, the canonical cardinal of natural numbers and finite cardinals, the successor of a cardinal, as well as regular cardinals. (The latter play a crucial role in the development of a new (co)datatype package in HOL.)

Finally, section 9 provides an abstraction of the previous developments on cardinals, to provide a simpler user interface to cardinals, which in most of the cases allows to forget that cardinals are represented by orders and use them through defined arithmetic operators.

More informal details are provided at the beginning of each section, and also at the beginning of some of the subsections.

## References

- [1] M. Holz, K. Steffens, and E. Weitz. *Introduction to Cardinal Arithmetic*. Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [2] Paul Taylor. Intuitionistic sets and ordinals. *J. Symb. Log.*, 61(3):705–744, 1996.

## 2 More on Injections, Bijections and Inverses

```
theory Fun-More
imports Main
begin
```

### 2.1 Purely functional properties

```
lemma bij-betw-diff-singl:
assumes BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and IN: a ∈ A
```

```

shows bij-betw f (A - {a}) (A' - {f a})
proof-
let ?B = A - {a} let ?B' = A' - {f a}
have f a ∈ A' using IN BIJ unfolding bij-betw-def by blast
hence a ∉ ?B ∧ f a ∉ ?B' ∧ A = ?B ∪ {a} ∧ A' = ?B' ∪ {f a}
using IN by blast
thus ?thesis using notIn-Un-bij-betw3[of a ?B f ?B'] BIJ by simp
qed

```

## 2.2 Properties involving finite and infinite sets

```

lemma bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT:
assumes BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and SUB: B' ≤ A'
shows f ``((inv-into A f) ` B') = B'
by (metis BIJ SUB bij-betw-imp-surj-on image-inv-into-cancel)

```

```

lemma bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT-LEFT:
assumes BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and SUB: B' ≤ A' and
IM: (inv-into A f) ` B' = B
shows f ` B = B'
by (metis BIJ IM SUB bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT)

```

```

lemma bij-betw-inv-into-twice:
assumes bij-betw f A A'
shows ∀ a ∈ A. inv-into A' (inv-into A f) a = f a
by (simp add: assms inv-into-inv-into-eq)

```

## 2.3 Properties involving Hilbert choice

```

lemma bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT:
assumes BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and SUB: B ≤ A
shows (inv-into A f) ` (f ` B) = B
using assms unfolding bij-betw-def using inv-into-image-cancel by force

```

```

lemma bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT-RIGHT:
assumes BIJ: bij-betw f A A' and SUB: B ≤ A and
IM: f ` B = B'
shows (inv-into A f) ` B' = B
using assms bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT[of f A A' B] by fast

```

## 2.4 Other facts

```

lemma atLeastLessThan-injective:
assumes {0 ..< m::nat} = {0 ..< n}
shows m = n
using assms atLeast0LessThan by force

```

```

lemma atLeastLessThan-injective2:
  bij-betw f {0 .. $m$ ::nat} {0 .. $n$ }  $\implies m = n$ 
  using bij-betw-same-card by fastforce

lemma atLeastLessThan-less-eq:
  ( $\{0..m\} \leq \{0..n\}$ ) = (( $m$ ::nat)  $\leq n$ )
  by auto

lemma atLeastLessThan-less-eq2:
  assumes inj-on f {0.. $(m::nat)$ } f ` {0.. $m$ }  $\leq \{0..n\}$ 
  shows  $m \leq n$ 
  by (metis assms card-inj-on-le card-lessThan finite-lessThan lessThan-atLeast0)

lemma atLeastLessThan-less:
  ( $\{0..m\} < \{0..n\}$ ) = (( $m$ ::nat) <  $n$ )
  by auto

end

```

### 3 Basics on Order-Like Relations

```

theory Order-Relation-More
  imports Main
begin

```

#### 3.1 The upper and lower bounds operators

```

lemma aboveS-subset-above: aboveS r a  $\leq$  above r a
  by(auto simp add: aboveS-def above-def)

lemma AboveS-subset-Above: AboveS r A  $\leq$  Above r A
  by(auto simp add: AboveS-def Above-def)

lemma UnderS-disjoint: A Int (UnderS r A) = {}
  by(auto simp add: UnderS-def)

lemma aboveS-notIn: a  $\notin$  aboveS r a
  by(auto simp add: aboveS-def)

lemma Refl-above-in:  $\llbracket \text{Refl } r; a \in \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies a \in \text{above } r a$ 
  by(auto simp add: refl-on-def above-def)

lemma in-Above-under: a  $\in$  Field r  $\implies a \in \text{Above } r (\text{under } r a)$ 
  by(auto simp add: Above-def under-def)

lemma in-Under-above: a  $\in$  Field r  $\implies a \in \text{Under } r (\text{above } r a)$ 
  by(auto simp add: Under-def above-def)

```

```

lemma in-UnderS-aboveS:  $a \in \text{Field } r \implies a \in \text{UnderS } r (\text{aboveS } r a)$ 
  by(auto simp add: UnderS-def aboveS-def)

lemma UnderS-subset-Under:  $\text{UnderS } r A \leq \text{Under } r A$ 
  by(auto simp add: UnderS-def Under-def)

lemma subset-Above-Under:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{Above } r (\text{Under } r B)$ 
  by(auto simp add: Above-def Under-def)

lemma subset-Under-Above:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{Under } r (\text{Above } r B)$ 
  by(auto simp add: Under-def Above-def)

lemma subset-AboveS-UnderS:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{AboveS } r (\text{UnderS } r B)$ 
  by(auto simp add: AboveS-def UnderS-def)

lemma subset-UnderS-AboveS:  $B \leq \text{Field } r \implies B \leq \text{UnderS } r (\text{AboveS } r B)$ 
  by(auto simp add: UnderS-def AboveS-def)

lemma Under-Above-Galois:
   $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; C \leq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (B \leq \text{Above } r C) = (C \leq \text{Under } r B)$ 
  by(unfold Above-def Under-def, blast)

lemma UnderS-AboveS-Galois:
   $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; C \leq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (B \leq \text{AboveS } r C) = (C \leq \text{UnderS } r B)$ 
  by(unfold AboveS-def UnderS-def, blast)

lemma Refl-above-aboveS:
  assumes REFL: Refl  $r$  and IN:  $a \in \text{Field } r$ 
  shows above  $r a = \text{aboveS } r a \cup \{a\}$ 
  proof(unfold above-def aboveS-def, auto)
    show  $(a,a) \in r$  using REFL IN refl-on-def[of - r] by blast
  qed

lemma Linear-order-under-aboveS-Field:
  assumes LIN: Linear-order  $r$  and IN:  $a \in \text{Field } r$ 
  shows Field  $r = \text{under } r a \cup \text{aboveS } r a$ 
  proof(unfold under-def aboveS-def, auto)
    assume  $a \in \text{Field } r (a, a) \notin r$ 
    with LIN IN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r]
    show False by auto
  next
    fix  $b$  assume  $b \in \text{Field } r (b, a) \notin r$ 
    with LIN IN order-on-defs[of Field  $r r$ ] total-on-def[of Field  $r r$ ]
    have  $(a,b) \in r \vee a = b$  by blast
    thus  $(a,b) \in r$ 
      using LIN IN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r] by auto
  next
    fix  $b$  assume  $(b, a) \in r$ 
    thus  $b \in \text{Field } r$ 

```

```

    using LIN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r] by blast
next
    fix b assume b ≠ a (a, b) ∈ r
    thus b ∈ Field r
        using LIN order-on-defs[of Field r r] refl-on-def[of Field r r] by blast
qed

lemma Linear-order-underS-above-Field:
    assumes LIN: Linear-order r and IN: a ∈ Field r
    shows Field r = underS r a ∪ above r a
    proof(unfold underS-def above-def, auto)
        assume a ∈ Field r (a, a) ∉ r
        with LIN IN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r]
        show False by metis
    next
        fix b assume b ∈ Field r (a, b) ∉ r
        with LIN IN order-on-defs[of Field r r] total-on-def[of Field r r]
        have (b,a) ∈ r ∨ b = a by blast
        thus (b,a) ∈ r
            using LIN IN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r] by auto
    next
        fix b assume b ≠ a (b, a) ∈ r
        thus b ∈ Field r
            using LIN order-on-defs[of - r] refl-on-def[of - r] by blast
    next
        fix b assume (a, b) ∈ r
        thus b ∈ Field r
            using LIN order-on-defs[of Field r r] refl-on-def[of Field r r] by blast
    qed

lemma under-empty: a ∉ Field r  $\implies$  under r a = {}
    unfolding Field-def under-def by auto

lemma Under-Field: Under r A  $\leq$  Field r
    by(unfold Under-def Field-def, auto)

lemma UnderS-Field: UnderS r A  $\leq$  Field r
    by(unfold UnderS-def Field-def, auto)

lemma above-Field: above r a  $\leq$  Field r
    by(unfold above-def Field-def, auto)

lemma aboveS-Field: aboveS r a  $\leq$  Field r
    by(unfold aboveS-def Field-def, auto)

lemma Above-Field: Above r A  $\leq$  Field r
    by(unfold Above-def Field-def, auto)

lemma Refl-under-Under:

```

```

assumes REFL: Refl r and NE: A ≠ {}
shows Under r A = (⋂ a ∈ A. under r a)
proof
  show Under r A ⊆ (⋂ a ∈ A. under r a)
    by(unfold Under-def under-def, auto)
next
  show (⋂ a ∈ A. under r a) ⊆ Under r A
  proof(auto)
    fix x
    assume *: ∀ xa ∈ A. x ∈ under r xa
    hence ∀ xa ∈ A. (x,xa) ∈ r
      by (simp add: under-def)
    moreover
      {from NE obtain a where a ∈ A by blast
        with * have x ∈ under r a by simp
        hence x ∈ Field r
          using under-Field[of r a] by auto
      }
    ultimately show x ∈ Under r A
      unfolding Under-def by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Refl-underS-UnderS:
assumes REFL: Refl r and NE: A ≠ {}
shows UnderS r A = (⋂ a ∈ A. underS r a)
proof
  show UnderS r A ⊆ (⋂ a ∈ A. underS r a)
    by(unfold UnderS-def underS-def, auto)
next
  show (⋂ a ∈ A. underS r a) ⊆ UnderS r A
  proof(auto)
    fix x
    assume *: ∀ xa ∈ A. x ∈ underS r xa
    hence ∀ xa ∈ A. x ≠ xa ∧ (x,xa) ∈ r
      by (auto simp add: underS-def)
    moreover
      {from NE obtain a where a ∈ A by blast
        with * have x ∈ underS r a by simp
        hence x ∈ Field r
          using underS-Field[of - r a] by auto
      }
    ultimately show x ∈ UnderS r A
      unfolding UnderS-def by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Refl-above-Above:
assumes REFL: Refl r and NE: A ≠ {}

```

```

shows  $\text{Above } r A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{above } r a)$ 
proof
  show  $\text{Above } r A \subseteq (\bigcap a \in A. \text{above } r a)$ 
    by(unfold Above-def above-def, auto)
next
  show  $(\bigcap a \in A. \text{above } r a) \subseteq \text{Above } r A$ 
  proof(auto)
    fix  $x$ 
    assume  $*: \forall xa \in A. x \in \text{above } r xa$ 
    hence  $\forall xa \in A. (xa,x) \in r$ 
      by (simp add: above-def)
    moreover
      {from NE obtain  $a$  where  $a \in A$  by blast
        with  $*$  have  $x \in \text{above } r a$  by simp
        hence  $x \in \text{Field } r$ 
          using above-Field[of  $r a$ ] by auto
      }
      ultimately show  $x \in \text{Above } r A$ 
        unfolding Above-def by auto
    qed
  qed

lemma Refl-aboveS-AboveS:
  assumes REFL: Refl  $r$  and NE:  $A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{AboveS } r A = (\bigcap a \in A. \text{aboveS } r a)$ 
proof
  show  $\text{AboveS } r A \subseteq (\bigcap a \in A. \text{aboveS } r a)$ 
    by(unfold AboveS-def aboveS-def, auto)
next
  show  $(\bigcap a \in A. \text{aboveS } r a) \subseteq \text{AboveS } r A$ 
  proof(auto)
    fix  $x$ 
    assume  $*: \forall xa \in A. x \in \text{aboveS } r xa$ 
    hence  $\forall xa \in A. xa \neq x \wedge (xa,x) \in r$ 
      by (auto simp add: aboveS-def)
    moreover
      {from NE obtain  $a$  where  $a \in A$  by blast
        with  $*$  have  $x \in \text{aboveS } r a$  by simp
        hence  $x \in \text{Field } r$ 
          using aboveS-Field[of  $r a$ ] by auto
      }
      ultimately show  $x \in \text{AboveS } r A$ 
        unfolding AboveS-def by auto
    qed
  qed

lemma under-Under-singl:  $\text{under } r a = \text{Under } r \{a\}$ 
  by(unfold Under-def under-def, auto simp add: Field-def)

```

```

lemma underS-UnderS-singl: underS r a = UnderS r {a}
  by(unfold UnderS-def underS-def, auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma above-Above-singl: above r a = Above r {a}
  by(unfold Above-def above-def, auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma aboveS-AboveS-singl: aboveS r a = AboveS r {a}
  by(unfold AboveS-def aboveS-def, auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma Under-decr: A ≤ B ⇒ Under r B ≤ Under r A
  by(unfold Under-def, auto)

lemma UnderS-decr: A ≤ B ⇒ UnderS r B ≤ UnderS r A
  by(unfold UnderS-def, auto)

lemma Above-decr: A ≤ B ⇒ Above r B ≤ Above r A
  by(unfold Above-def, auto)

lemma AboveS-decr: A ≤ B ⇒ AboveS r B ≤ AboveS r A
  by(unfold AboveS-def, auto)

lemma under-incl-iff:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and REFL: Refl r and IN: a ∈ Field r
  shows (under r a ≤ under r b) = ((a,b) ∈ r)
proof
  assume (a,b) ∈ r
  thus under r a ≤ under r b using TRANS
    by (auto simp add: under-incr)
next
  assume under r a ≤ under r b
  moreover
  have a ∈ under r a using REFL IN
    by (auto simp add: Refl-under-in)
  ultimately show (a,b) ∈ r
    by (auto simp add: under-def)
qed

lemma above-decr:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and REL: (a,b) ∈ r
  shows above r b ≤ above r a
proof(unfold above-def, auto)
  fix x assume (b,x) ∈ r
  with REL TRANS trans-def[of r]
  show (a,x) ∈ r by blast
qed

lemma aboveS-decr:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
  REL: (a,b) ∈ r

```

```

shows aboveS r b ≤ aboveS r a
proof(unfold aboveS-def, auto)
  assume *: a ≠ b and **: (b,a) ∈ r
  with ANTISYM antisym-def[of r] REL
  show False by auto
next
  fix x assume x ≠ b (b,x) ∈ r
  with REL TRANS trans-def[of r]
  show (a,x) ∈ r by blast
qed

lemma under-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and
  IN1: a ∈ under r b and IN2: b ∈ under r c
shows a ∈ under r c
proof-
  have (a,b) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r
  using IN1 IN2 under-def by fastforce
  hence (a,c) ∈ r
  using TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
  thus ?thesis unfolding under-def by simp
qed

lemma under-underS-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
  IN1: a ∈ under r b and IN2: b ∈ underS r c
shows a ∈ underS r c
proof-
  have 0: (a,b) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r
  using IN1 IN2 under-def underS-def by fastforce
  hence 1: (a,c) ∈ r
  using TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
  have 2: b ≠ c using IN2 underS-def by force
  have 3: a ≠ c
  proof
    assume a = c with 0 2 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
    show False by auto
  qed
  from 1 3 show ?thesis unfolding underS-def by simp
qed

lemma underS-under-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
  IN1: a ∈ underS r b and IN2: b ∈ under r c
shows a ∈ underS r c
proof-
  have 0: (a,b) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r
  using IN1 IN2 under-def underS-def by fast
  hence 1: (a,c) ∈ r

```

```

using TRANS trans-def[of r] by fast
have 2: a ≠ b using IN1 underS-def by force
have 3: a ≠ c
proof
  assume a = c with 0 2 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
  show False by auto
qed
from 1 3 show ?thesis unfolding underS-def by simp
qed

lemma underS-underS-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
IN1: a ∈ underS r b and IN2: b ∈ underS r c
shows a ∈ underS r c
proof-
  have a ∈ under r b
  using IN1 underS-subset-under by fast
  with assms under-underS-trans show ?thesis by fast
qed

lemma above-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and
IN1: b ∈ above r a and IN2: c ∈ above r b
shows c ∈ above r a
proof-
  have (a,b) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r
  using IN1 IN2 above-def by fast
  hence (a,c) ∈ r
  using TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
  thus ?thesis unfolding above-def by simp
qed

lemma above-aboveS-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
IN1: b ∈ above r a and IN2: c ∈ aboveS r b
shows c ∈ aboveS r a
proof-
  have 0: (a,b) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r
  using IN1 IN2 above-def aboveS-def by fast
  hence 1: (a,c) ∈ r
  using TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
  have 2: b ≠ c using IN2 aboveS-def by force
  have 3: a ≠ c
  proof
    assume a = c with 0 2 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
    show False by auto
  qed
  from 1 3 show ?thesis unfolding aboveS-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma aboveS-above-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTSYM: antisym r and
    IN1: b ∈ aboveS r a and IN2: c ∈ above r b
  shows c ∈ aboveS r a
proof-
  have 0: (a,b) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r
  using IN1 IN2 above-def aboveS-def by fast
  hence 1: (a,c) ∈ r
  using TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
  have 2: a ≠ b using IN1 aboveS-def by force
  have 3: a ≠ c
  proof
    assume a = c with 0 2 ANTSYM antisym-def[of r]
    show False by auto
  qed
  from 1 3 show ?thesis unfolding aboveS-def by simp
qed

lemma aboveS-aboveS-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTSYM: antisym r and
    IN1: b ∈ aboveS r a and IN2: c ∈ aboveS r b
  shows c ∈ aboveS r a
proof-
  have b ∈ above r a
  using IN1 aboveS-subset-above by fast
  with assms above-aboveS-trans show ?thesis by fast
qed

lemma under-Under-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and
    IN1: a ∈ under r b and IN2: b ∈ Under r C
  shows a ∈ Under r C
proof-
  have b ∈ {u ∈ Field r. ∀ x. x ∈ C → (u, x) ∈ r}
  using IN2 Under-def by force
  hence (a,b) ∈ r ∧ (∀ c ∈ C. (b,c) ∈ r)
  using IN1 under-def by fast
  hence ∀ c ∈ C. (a,c) ∈ r
  using TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
  moreover
  have a ∈ Field r using IN1 unfolding Field-def under-def by blast
  ultimately
  show ?thesis unfolding Under-def by blast
qed

lemma underS-Under-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTSYM: antisym r and
    IN1: a ∈ underS r b and IN2: b ∈ Under r C

```

```

shows  $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$ 
proof-
  from IN1 have  $a \in \text{under } r \ b$ 
    using  $\text{underS-subset-under}[\text{of } r \ b]$  by fast
  with assms under-Under-trans
  have  $a \in \text{Under } r \ C$  by fast

  moreover
  have  $a \notin C$ 
  proof
    assume  $*: a \in C$ 
    have 1:  $b \neq a \wedge (a,b) \in r$ 
      using IN1  $\text{underS-def}[\text{of } r \ b]$  by auto
    have  $\forall c \in C. (b,c) \in r$ 
      using IN2  $\text{Under-def}[\text{of } r \ C]$  by auto
    with * have  $(b,a) \in r$  by simp
    with 1 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
    show False by blast
  qed

  ultimately
  show ?thesis unfolding UnderS-def
    using Under-def by force
  qed

lemma underS-UnderS-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
    IN1:  $a \in \text{underS } r \ b$  and IN2:  $b \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{UnderS } r \ C$ 
proof-
  from IN2 have  $b \in \text{Under } r \ C$ 
    using  $\text{UnderS-subset-Under}[\text{of } r \ C]$  by blast
  with underS-Under-trans assms
  show ?thesis by force
qed

lemma above-Above-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and
    IN1:  $a \in \text{above } r \ b$  and IN2:  $b \in \text{Above } r \ C$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{Above } r \ C$ 
proof-
  have  $(b,a) \in r \wedge (\forall c \in C. (c,b) \in r)$ 
    using IN1[unfolded above-def] IN2[unfolded Above-def] by simp
  hence  $\forall c \in C. (c,a) \in r$ 
    using TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
  moreover
  have  $a \in \text{Field } r$  using IN1[unfolded above-def] Field-def by fast
  ultimately
  show ?thesis unfolding Above-def by auto

```

**qed**

**lemma** *aboveS-Above-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**  
*IN1*: *a ∈ aboveS r b* **and** *IN2*: *b ∈ Above r C*  
**shows** *a ∈ AboveS r C*

**proof**–

**from** *IN1* **have** *a ∈ above r b*  
**using** *aboveS-subset-above[of r b]* **by** *blast*  
**with** *assms* *above-Above-trans*  
**have** *a ∈ Above r C* **by** *fast*

**moreover**

**have** *a ∉ C*

**proof**

**assume** *\*: a ∈ C*  
**have** *1: b ≠ a ∧ (b,a) ∈ r*  
**using** *IN1 aboveS-def[of r b]* **by** *auto*  
**have** *∀ c ∈ C. (c,b) ∈ r*  
**using** *IN2 Above-def[of r C]* **by** *auto*  
**with** *\* have* *(a,b) ∈ r* **by** *simp*  
**with** *1 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]*  
**show** *False* **by** *blast*

**qed**

**ultimately**

**show** *?thesis unfolding AboveS-def*  
**using** *Above-def* **by** *force*

**qed**

**lemma** *above-AboveS-trans*:

**assumes** *TRANS*: *trans r* **and** *ANTISYM*: *antisym r* **and**  
*IN1*: *a ∈ above r b* **and** *IN2*: *b ∈ AboveS r C*  
**shows** *a ∈ AboveS r C*

**proof**–

**from** *IN2* **have** *b ∈ Above r C*  
**using** *AboveS-subset-Above[of r C]* **by** *blast*  
**with** *assms* *above-Above-trans*  
**have** *a ∈ Above r C* **by** *force*

**moreover**

**have** *a ∉ C*

**proof**

**assume** *\*: a ∈ C*  
**have** *1: (b,a) ∈ r*  
**using** *IN1 above-def[of r b]* **by** *auto*  
**have** *∀ c ∈ C. b ≠ c ∧ (c,b) ∈ r*  
**using** *IN2 AboveS-def[of r C]* **by** *auto*  
**with** *\* have* *b ≠ a ∧ (a,b) ∈ r* **by** *simp*

```

with 1 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
show False by blast
qed

ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding AboveS-def
using Above-def by force
qed

lemma aboveS-AboveS-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
IN1: a ∈ aboveS r b and IN2: b ∈ AboveS r C
shows a ∈ AboveS r C
proof–
from IN2 have b ∈ Above r C
using AboveS-subset-Above[of r C] by blast
with aboveS-Above-trans assms
show ?thesis by force
qed

lemma under-UnderS-trans:
assumes TRANS: trans r and ANTISYM: antisym r and
IN1: a ∈ under r b and IN2: b ∈ UnderS r C
shows a ∈ UnderS r C
proof–
from IN2 have b ∈ Under r C
using UnderS-subset-Under[of r C] by blast
with assms under-Under-trans
have a ∈ Under r C by force

moreover
have a ∉ C
proof
assume *: a ∈ C
have 1: (a,b) ∈ r
using IN1 under-def[of r b] by auto
have  $\forall c \in C. b \neq c \wedge (b,c) \in r$ 
using IN2 UnderS-def[of r C] by blast
with * have b ≠ a ∧ (b,a) ∈ r by blast
with 1 ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
show False by blast
qed

ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding UnderS-def Under-def by fast
qed

```

### 3.2 Properties depending on more than one relation

```

lemma under-incr2:
   $r \leq r' \implies \text{under } r \ a \leq \text{under } r' \ a$ 
  unfolding under-def by blast

lemma underS-incr2:
   $r \leq r' \implies \text{underS } r \ a \leq \text{underS } r' \ a$ 
  unfolding underS-def by blast

lemma above-incr2:
   $r \leq r' \implies \text{above } r \ a \leq \text{above } r' \ a$ 
  unfolding above-def by blast

lemma aboveS-incr2:
   $r \leq r' \implies \text{aboveS } r \ a \leq \text{aboveS } r' \ a$ 
  unfolding aboveS-def by blast

```

end

## 4 More on Well-Founded Relations

```

theory Wellfounded-More
imports Main Order-Relation-More
begin

```

### 4.1 Well-founded recursion via genuine fixpoints

```

lemma adm-wf-unique-fixpoint:
  fixes  $r :: ('a * 'a) \text{ set}$  and
     $H :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  and
     $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  and  $g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ 
  assumes WF: wf  $r$  and ADM: adm-wf  $r \ H$  and fFP:  $f = H \ f$  and gFP:  $g = H \ g$ 
  shows  $f = g$ 
  proof-
    {
      fix  $x$ 
      have  $f \ x = g \ x$ 
      proof(rule wf-induct[of  $r$  ( $\lambda x. f \ x = g \ x$ )],
        auto simp add: WF)
      fix  $x$  assume  $\forall y. (y, x) \in r \longrightarrow f \ y = g \ y$ 
      hence  $H \ f \ x = H \ g \ x$  using ADM adm-wf-def[of  $r \ H$ ] by auto
      thus  $f \ x = g \ x$  using fFP and gFP by simp
    qed
  }
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: ext)

```

```
qed
```

```
lemma wfrec-unique-fixpoint:  
fixes r :: ('a * 'a) set and  
H :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b and  
f :: 'a ⇒ 'b  
assumes WF: wf r and ADM: adm-wf r H and  
fp: f = H f  
shows f = wfrec r H  
proof–  
have H (wfrec r H) = wfrec r H  
using assms wfrec-fixpoint[of r H] by simp  
thus ?thesis  
using assms adm-wf-unique-fixpoint[of r H wfrec r H] by simp  
qed  
end
```

## 5 Well-Order Relations

```
theory Wellorder-Relation  
imports Wellfounded-More  
begin  
  
context wo-rel  
begin
```

### 5.1 Auxiliaries

```
lemma PREORD: Preorder r  
using WELL order-on-defs[of - r] by auto  
  
lemma PARORD: Partial-order r  
using WELL order-on-defs[of - r] by auto  
  
lemma cases-Total2:  
  ∧ phi a b. [|{a,b}| ≤ Field r; ((a,b) ∈ r - Id ⇒ phi a b);  
           ((b,a) ∈ r - Id ⇒ phi a b); (a = b ⇒ phi a b)|]  
           ⇒ phi a b  
using TOTALS by auto
```

### 5.2 Well-founded induction and recursion adapted to non-strict well-order relations

```
lemma worec-unique-fixpoint:  
assumes ADM: adm-wo H and fp: f = H f  
shows f = worec H  
proof–  
have adm-wf (r - Id) H
```

```

unfolding adm-wf-def
  using ADM adm-wo-def[of H] underS-def[of r] by auto
  hence f = wfrec (r - Id) H
    using fp WF wfrec-unique-fixpoint[of r - Id H] by simp
    thus ?thesis unfolding worec-def .
qed

```

### 5.2.1 Properties of max2

```

lemma max2-iff:
  assumes a ∈ Field r and b ∈ Field r
  shows ((max2 a b, c) ∈ r) = ((a,c) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r)
proof
  assume (max2 a b, c) ∈ r
  thus (a,c) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r
    using assms max2-greater[of a b] TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
next
  assume (a,c) ∈ r ∧ (b,c) ∈ r
  thus (max2 a b, c) ∈ r
    using assms max2-among[of a b] by auto
qed

```

### 5.2.2 Properties of minim

```

lemma minim-Under:
   $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; B \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{minim } B \in \text{Under } B$ 
  by(auto simp add: Under-def minim-inField minim-least)

```

```

lemma equals-minim-Under:
   $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; a \in B; a \in \text{Under } B \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies a = \text{minim } B$ 
  by(auto simp add: Under-def equals-minim)

```

```

lemma minim-iff-In-Under:
  assumes SUB: B ≤ Field r and NE: B ≠ {}
  shows (a = minim B) = (a ∈ B ∧ a ∈ Under B)
proof
  assume a = minim B
  thus a ∈ B ∧ a ∈ Under B
    using assms minim-in minim-Under by simp
next
  assume a ∈ B ∧ a ∈ Under B
  thus a = minim B
    using assms equals-minim-Under by simp
qed

```

```

lemma minim-Under-under:
  assumes NE: A ≠ {} and SUB: A ≤ Field r
  shows Under A = under (minim A)
proof-

```

```

have minim A ∈ A
  using assms minim-in by auto
then have Under A ≤ under (minim A)
  by (simp add: Under-decr under-Under-singl)
moreover have under (minim A) ≤ Under A
  by (meson NE SUB TRANS minim-Under subset-eq under-Under-trans)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma minim-UnderS-underS:
  assumes NE: A ≠ {} and SUB: A ≤ Field r
  shows UnderS A = underS (minim A)
proof-
  have minim A ∈ A
    using assms minim-in by auto
  then have UnderS A ≤ underS (minim A)
    by (simp add: UnderS-decr underS-UnderS-singl)
  moreover have underS (minim A) ≤ UnderS A
    by (meson ANTISYM NE SUB TRANS minim-Under subset-eq underS-Under-trans)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

### 5.2.3 Properties of supr

```

lemma supr-Above:
  assumes Above B ≠ {}
  shows supr B ∈ Above B
  by (simp add: assms Above-Field minim-in supr-def)

```

```

lemma supr-greater:
  assumes Above B ≠ {} b ∈ B
  shows (b, supr B) ∈ r
  using assms Above-def supr-Above by fastforce

```

```

lemma supr-least-Above:
  assumes a ∈ Above B
  shows (supr B, a) ∈ r
  by (simp add: assms Above-Field minim-least supr-def)

```

```

lemma supr-least:
  [| B ≤ Field r; a ∈ Field r; (b. b ∈ B ⇒ (b,a) ∈ r)|]
  ⇒ (supr B, a) ∈ r
  by(auto simp add: supr-least-Above Above-def)

```

```

lemma equals-supr-Above:
  assumes a ∈ Above B ∧ a'. a' ∈ Above B ⇒ (a,a') ∈ r
  shows a = supr B
  by (simp add: assms Above-Field equals-minim supr-def)

```

```

lemma equals-supr:
  assumes SUB:  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  and IN:  $a \in \text{Field } r$  and
    ABV:  $\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b,a) \in r$  and
      MINIM:  $\bigwedge a'. [\![ a' \in \text{Field } r; \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies (b,a') \in r ]\!] \implies (a,a') \in r$ 
  shows  $a = \text{supr } B$ 
proof-
  have  $a \in \text{Above } B$ 
  unfolding Above-def using ABV IN by simp
  moreover
  have  $\bigwedge a'. a' \in \text{Above } B \implies (a,a') \in r$ 
  unfolding Above-def using MINIM by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using equals-supr-Above SUB by auto
qed

lemma supr-inField:
  assumes Above B  $\neq \{\}$ 
  shows supr B  $\in \text{Field } r$ 
  by (simp add: Above-Field assms minim-inField supr-def)

lemma supr-above-Above:
  assumes SUB:  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  and ABOVE:  $\text{Above } B \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{Above } B = \text{above}(\text{supr } B)$ 
  apply (clar simp simp: Above-def above-def set-eq-iff)
  by (meson ABOVE FieldI2 SUB TRANS supr-greater supr-least transD)

lemma supr-under:
  assumes  $a \in \text{Field } r$ 
  shows  $a = \text{supr}(\text{under } a)$ 
proof-
  have  $\text{under } a \leq \text{Field } r$ 
  using under-Field[of r] by auto
  moreover
  have  $\text{under } a \neq \{\}$ 
  using assms Refl-under-in[of r] REFL by auto
  moreover
  have  $a \in \text{Above}(\text{under } a)$ 
  using in-Above-under[of - r] assms by auto
  moreover
  have  $\forall a' \in \text{Above}(\text{under } a). (a,a') \in r$ 
  by (auto simp: Above-def above-def REFL Refl-under-in assms)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using equals-supr-Above by auto
qed

```

#### 5.2.4 Properties of successor

```

lemma suc-least:
   $\llbracket B \leq \text{Field } r; a \in \text{Field } r; (\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a \neq b \wedge (b,a) \in r) \rrbracket$ 

```

```

 $\implies (\text{suc } B, a) \in r$ 
by(auto simp add: suc-least-AboveS AboveS-def)

lemma equals-suc:
assumes SUB:  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  and IN:  $a \in \text{Field } r$  and
ABVS:  $\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a \neq b \wedge (b,a) \in r$  and
MINIM:  $\bigwedge a'. [\exists a' \in \text{Field } r; \bigwedge b. b \in B \implies a' \neq b \wedge (b,a') \in r] \implies (a,a') \in r$ 
shows  $a = \text{suc } B$ 
proof-
have  $a \in \text{AboveS } B$ 
unfolding AboveS-def using ABVS IN by simp
moreover
have  $\bigwedge a'. a' \in \text{AboveS } B \implies (a,a') \in r$ 
unfolding AboveS-def using MINIM by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
using equals-suc-AboveS SUB by auto
qed

lemma suc-above-AboveS:
assumes SUB:  $B \leq \text{Field } r$  and
ABOVE:  $\text{AboveS } B \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $\text{AboveS } B = \text{above } (\text{suc } B)$ 
using assms
proof(unfold AboveS-def above-def, auto)
fix a assume  $a \in \text{Field } r \forall b \in B. a \neq b \wedge (b,a) \in r$ 
with suc-least assms
show  $(\text{suc } B, a) \in r$  by auto
next
fix b assume  $(\text{suc } B, b) \in r$ 
thus  $b \in \text{Field } r$ 
using REFL refl-on-def[of - r] by auto
next
fix a b
assume 1:  $(\text{suc } B, b) \in r$  and 2:  $a \in B$ 
with assms suc-greater[of B a]
have  $(a, \text{suc } B) \in r$  by auto
thus  $(a, b) \in r$ 
using 1 TRANS trans-def[of r] by blast
next
fix a
assume  $(\text{suc } B, a) \in r$  and 2:  $a \in B$ 
thus False
by (metis ABOVE ANTISYM SUB antisymD suc-greater)
qed

lemma suc-singl-pred:
assumes IN:  $a \in \text{Field } r$  and ABOVE-NE:  $\text{aboveS } a \neq \{\}$  and
REL:  $(a', \text{suc } \{a\}) \in r$  and DIFF:  $a' \neq \text{suc } \{a\}$ 

```

```

shows  $a' = a \vee (a',a) \in r$ 
proof-
have *:  $suc \{a\} \in Field r \wedge a' \in Field r$ 
  using WELL_REL well-order-on-domain by metis
{assume **:  $a' \neq a$ 
  hence  $(a,a') \in r \vee (a',a) \in r$ 
    using TOTAL_IN * by (auto simp add: total-on-def)
  moreover
  {assume  $(a,a') \in r$ 
    with ** asms WELL suc-least[of {a} a']
    have  $(suc \{a\},a') \in r$  by auto
    with REL_DIFF * ANTISYM antisym-def[of r]
    have False by simp
  }
  ultimately have  $(a',a) \in r$ 
    by blast
}
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma under-underS-suc:
assumes IN:  $a \in Field r$  and ABV:  $aboveS a \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $underS(suc \{a\}) = under a$ 
proof -
have  $AboveS \{a\} \neq \{\}$ 
  using ABV aboveS-AboveS-singl[of r] by auto
then have 2:  $a \neq suc \{a\} \wedge (a,suc \{a\}) \in r$ 
  using suc-greater[of {a} a] IN by auto
have  $underS(suc \{a\}) \leq under a$ 
  using ABV IN REFL Refl-under-in underS-E under-def wo-rel.suc-singl-pred
  wo-rel-axioms by fastforce
moreover
have  $under a \leq underS(suc \{a\})$ 
  by (simp add: 2 ANTISYM TRANS subsetI underS-I under-underS-trans)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

### 5.2.5 Properties of order filters

```

lemma ofilter-Under[simp]:
assumes A ≤ Field r
shows ofilter(Under A)
by (clarify simp: ofilter-def) (meson TRANS Under-Field subset-eq under-Under-trans)

lemma ofilter-UnderS[simp]:
assumes A ≤ Field r
shows ofilter(UnderS A)
by (clarify simp: ofilter-def) (meson ANTISYM TRANS UnderS-Field subset-eq under-UnderS-trans)

```

**lemma** *ofilter-Int*[simp]:  $\llbracket \text{ofilter } A; \text{ofilter } B \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(A \text{ Int } B)$   
**unfolding** *ofilter-def* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *ofilter-Un*[simp]:  $\llbracket \text{ofilter } A; \text{ofilter } B \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(A \cup B)$   
**unfolding** *ofilter-def* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *ofilter-INTER*:  
 $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \bigwedge i \in I \implies \text{ofilter}(A \ i) \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(\bigcap_{i \in I} A \ i)$   
**unfolding** *ofilter-def* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *ofilter-Inter*:  
 $\llbracket S \neq \{\}; \bigwedge A. A \in S \implies \text{ofilter } A \rrbracket \implies \text{ofilter}(\bigcap S)$   
**unfolding** *ofilter-def* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *ofilter-Union*:  
 $(\bigwedge A. A \in S \implies \text{ofilter } A) \implies \text{ofilter}(\bigcup S)$   
**unfolding** *ofilter-def* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *ofilter-under-Union*:  
 $\text{ofilter } A \implies A = \bigcup \{ \text{under } a \mid a. a \in A \}$   
**using** *ofilter-under-UNION* [of *A*] **by** *auto*

### 5.2.6 Other properties

**lemma** *Trans-Under-regressive*:  
**assumes** *NE*:  $A \neq \{\}$  **and** *SUB*:  $A \leq \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{Under}(\text{Under } A) \leq \text{Under } A$   
**by** (*metis INT-E NE REFL Refl-under-Under SUB empty-iff minim-Under minim-Under-under subsetI*)

**lemma** *ofilter-suc-Field*:  
**assumes** *OF*:  $\text{ofilter } A$  **and** *NE*:  $A \neq \text{Field } r$   
**shows**  $\text{ofilter}(A \cup \{\text{suc } A\})$   
**by** (*metis NE OF REFL Refl-under-underS ofilter-underS-Field suc-underS under-ofilter*)

**declare**  
*minim-in*[simp]  
*minim-inField*[simp]  
*minim-least*[simp]  
*under-ofilter*[simp]  
*underS-ofilter*[simp]  
*Field-ofilter*[simp]

**end**

**abbreviation** *worec*  $\equiv$  *wo-rel.worec*

```

abbreviation adm-wo ≡ wo-rel.adm-wo
abbreviation isMinim ≡ wo-rel.isMinim
abbreviation minim ≡ wo-rel.minim
abbreviation max2 ≡ wo-rel.max2
abbreviation supr ≡ wo-rel.supr
abbreviation suc ≡ wo-rel.suc

end

```

## 6 Well-Order Embeddings

```

theory Wellorder-Embedding
imports Fun-More Wellorder-Relation
begin

```

### 6.1 Auxiliaries

```

lemma UNION-bij-betw-ofilter:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and
      OF:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{ofilter } r (A i)$  and
      BIJ:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{bij-betw } f (A i) (A' i)$ 
shows bij-betw f ( $\bigcup i \in I. A i$ ) ( $\bigcup i \in I. A' i$ )
proof-
  have wo-rel r using WELL by (simp add: wo-rel-def)
  hence  $\bigwedge i j. [i \in I; j \in I] \implies A i \leq A j \vee A j \leq A i$ 
    using wo-rel.ofilter-linord[of r] OF by blast
  with WELL BIJ show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: bij-betw-UNION-chain)
qed

```

### 6.2 (Well-order) embeddings, strict embeddings, isomorphisms and order-compatible functions

```

lemma embed-halfcong:
assumes  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f a = g a$  and embed r r' f
shows embed r r' g
by (smt (verit, del-insts) assms bij-betw-cong embed-def in-mono under-Field)

lemma embed-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f a = g a$ 
shows embed r r' f = embed r r' g
by (metis assms embed-halfcong)

lemma embedS-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f a = g a$ 
shows embedS r r' f = embedS r r' g
unfolding embedS-def using assms
embed-cong[of r f g r'] bij-betw-cong[of Field r f g Field r'] by blast

```

```

lemma iso-cong[fundef-cong]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f a = g a$ 
  shows iso  $r r' f = \text{iso } r r' g$ 
  unfolding iso-def using assms
    embed-cong[of  $r f g r'$ ] bij-betw-cong[of Field  $r f g$  Field  $r'$ ] by blast

lemma id-compat: compat  $r r id$ 
  by(auto simp add: id-def compat-def)

lemma comp-compat:
   $\llbracket \text{compat } r r' f; \text{compat } r' r'' f' \rrbracket \implies \text{compat } r r'' (f' o f)$ 
  by(auto simp add: comp-def compat-def)

corollary one-set-greater:
   $(\exists f::'a \Rightarrow 'a'. f ` A \leq A' \wedge \text{inj-on } f A) \vee (\exists g::'a \Rightarrow 'a. g ` A' \leq A \wedge \text{inj-on } g A')$ 
proof-
  obtain  $r$  where well-order-on  $A r$  by (fastforce simp add: well-order-on)
  hence  $1: A = \text{Field } r \wedge \text{Well-order } r$ 
    using well-order-on-Well-order by auto
  obtain  $r'$  where  $2: \text{well-order-on } A' r'$  by (fastforce simp add: well-order-on)
  hence  $2: A' = \text{Field } r' \wedge \text{Well-order } r'$ 
    using well-order-on-Well-order by auto
  hence  $(\exists f. \text{embed } r r' f) \vee (\exists g. \text{embed } r' r g)$ 
    using 1 2 by (auto simp add: wellorders-totally-ordered)
  moreover
  {fix f assume embed  $r r' f$ 
    hence  $f ` A \leq A' \wedge \text{inj-on } f A$ 
      using 1 2 by (auto simp add: embed-Field embed-inj-on)
  }
  moreover
  {fix g assume embed  $r' r g$ 
    hence  $g ` A' \leq A \wedge \text{inj-on } g A'$ 
      using 1 2 by (auto simp add: embed-Field embed-inj-on)
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

corollary one-type-greater:
   $(\exists f::'a \Rightarrow 'a'. \text{inj } f) \vee (\exists g::'a \Rightarrow 'a. \text{inj } g)$ 
  using one-set-greater[of UNIV UNIV] by auto

```

### 6.3 Uniqueness of embeddings

```

lemma comp-embedS:
  assumes WELL: Well-order  $r$  and WELL': Well-order  $r'$  and WELL'': Well-order  $r''$ 
  and EMB: embedS  $r r' f$  and EMB': embedS  $r' r'' f'$ 
  shows embedS  $r r'' (f' o f)$ 

```

```

using EMB EMB' WELL WELL' embedS-comp-embed embedS-def by blast

lemma iso-iff4:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
shows iso r r' f = (embed r r' f ∧ embed r' r (inv-into (Field r) f))
using assms embed-bothWays-iso
by(unfold iso-def, auto simp add: inv-into-Field-embed-bij-betw)

lemma embed-embedS-iso:
embed r r' f = (embedS r r' f ∨ iso r r' f)
unfolding embedS-def iso-def by blast

lemma not-embedS-iso:
¬ (embedS r r' f ∧ iso r r' f)
unfolding embedS-def iso-def by blast

lemma embed-embedS-iff-not-iso:
assumes embed r r' f
shows embedS r r' f = (¬ iso r r' f)
using assms unfolding embedS-def iso-def by blast

lemma iso-inv-into:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and ISO: iso r r' f
shows iso r' r (inv-into (Field r) f)
by (meson ISO WELL bij-betw-inv-into inv-into-Field-embed-bij-betw iso-def iso-iff
iso-iff2)

lemma embedS-or-iso:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
shows (Ǝ g. embedS r r' g) ∨ (Ǝ h. embedS r' r h) ∨ (Ǝ f. iso r r' f)
by (metis WELL WELL' embed-embedS-iso embed-embedS-iso iso-iff4 wellorders-totally-ordered)

end

```

## 7 Order Union

```

theory Order-Union
imports Main
begin

definition Osum :: "'a rel ⇒ 'a rel ⇒ 'a rel" (infix Osum 60) where
r Osum r' = r ∪ r' ∪ {(a, a'). a ∈ Field r ∧ a' ∈ Field r'}

```

```

assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
    WF: wf r and WF': wf r'
shows wf (r Osum r')
  unfolding wf-eq-minimal2 unfolding Field-Osum
proof(intro allI impI, elim conjE)
  fix A assume *: A ⊆ Field r ∪ Field r' and **: A ≠ {}
  obtain B where B-def: B = A Int Field r by blast
  show ∃ a∈A. ∀ a'∈A. (a', a) ∉ r ∪o r'
    proof(cases B = {})
      assume Case1: B ≠ {}
      hence B ≠ {} ∧ B ⊆ Field r using B-def by auto
      then obtain a where 1: a ∈ B and 2: ∀ a1 ∈ B. (a1,a) ∉ r
        using WF unfolding wf-eq-minimal2 by blast
      hence 3: a ∈ Field r ∧ a ∉ Field r' using B-def FLD by auto

      have ∀ a1 ∈ A. (a1,a) ∉ r Osum r'
      proof(intro ballI)
        fix a1 assume **: a1 ∈ A
        {assume Case11: a1 ∈ Field r
          hence (a1,a) ∉ r using B-def ** 2 by auto
          moreover
          have (a1,a) ∉ r' using 3 by (auto simp add: Field-def)
          ultimately have (a1,a) ∉ r Osum r'
            using 3 unfolding Osum-def by auto
        }
        moreover
        {assume Case12: a1 ∉ Field r
          hence (a1,a) ∉ r unfolding Field-def by auto
          moreover
          have (a1,a) ∉ r' using 3 unfolding Field-def by auto
          ultimately have (a1,a) ∉ r Osum r'
            using 3 unfolding Osum-def by auto
        }
        ultimately show (a1,a) ∉ r Osum r' by blast
      qed
      thus ?thesis using 1 B-def by auto
    next
      assume Case2: B = {}
      hence 1: A ≠ {} ∧ A ⊆ Field r' using * ** B-def by auto
      then obtain a' where 2: a' ∈ A and 3: ∀ a1' ∈ A. (a1',a') ∉ r'
        using WF' unfolding wf-eq-minimal2 by blast
      hence 4: a' ∈ Field r' ∧ a' ∉ Field r using 1 FLD by blast

      have ∀ a1' ∈ A. (a1',a') ∉ r Osum r'
      proof(unfold Osum-def, auto simp add: 3)
        fix a1' assume (a1', a') ∈ r
        thus False using 4 unfolding Field-def by blast
      next
        fix a1' assume a1' ∈ A and a1' ∈ Field r

```

```

thus False using Case2 B-def by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using 2 by blast
qed
qed

lemma Osum-Refl:
assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
          REFL: Refl r and REFL': Refl r'
shows Refl (r Osum r')
using assms
unfolding refl-on-def Field-Osum unfolding Osum-def by blast

lemma Osum-trans:
assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
          TRANS: trans r and TRANS': trans r'
shows trans (r Osum r')
using assms unfolding Osum-def trans-def disjoint-iff Field-iff by blast

lemma Osum-Preorder:
[Field r Int Field r' = {}; Preorder r; Preorder r'】  $\implies$  Preorder (r Osum r')
unfolding preorder-on-def using Osum-Refl Osum-trans by blast

lemma Osum-antisym:
assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
          AN: antisym r and AN': antisym r'
shows antisym (r Osum r')
using assms by (auto simp: disjoint-iff antisym-def Osum-def Field-def)

lemma Osum-Partial-order:
[Field r Int Field r' = {}; Partial-order r; Partial-order r'】  $\implies$ 
Partial-order (r Osum r')
unfolding partial-order-on-def using Osum-Preorder Osum-antisym by blast

lemma Osum-Total:
assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
          TOT: Total r and TOT': Total r'
shows Total (r Osum r')
using assms
unfolding total-on-def Field-Osum unfolding Osum-def by blast

lemma Osum-Linear-order:
[Field r Int Field r' = {}; Linear-order r; Linear-order r'】  $\implies$  Linear-order (r Osum r')
by (simp add: Osum-Partial-order Osum-Total linear-order-on-def)

lemma Osum-minus-Id1:
assumes r ≤ Id
shows (r Osum r') - Id ≤ (r' - Id) ∪ (Field r × Field r')

```

```

using assms by (force simp: Osum-def)

lemma Osum-minus-Id2:
  assumes r' ≤ Id
  shows (r Osum r') - Id ≤ (r - Id) ∪ (Field r × Field r')
  using assms by (force simp: Osum-def)

lemma Osum-minus-Id:
  assumes TOT: Total r and TOT': Total r' and
    NID: ¬(r ≤ Id) and NID': ¬(r' ≤ Id)
  shows (r Osum r') - Id ≤ (r - Id) Osum (r' - Id)
  using assms Total-Id-Field by (force simp: Osum-def)

lemma wf-Int-Times:
  assumes A Int B = {}
  shows wf(A × B)
  unfolding wf-def using assms by blast

lemma Osum-wf-Id:
  assumes TOT: Total r and TOT': Total r' and
    FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
    WF: wf(r - Id) and WF': wf(r' - Id)
  shows wf((r Osum r') - Id)
  proof(cases r ≤ Id ∨ r' ≤ Id)
    assume Case1: ¬(r ≤ Id ∨ r' ≤ Id)
    have Field(r - Id) Int Field(r' - Id) = {}
      using Case1 FLD TOT TOT' Total-Id-Field by blast
    thus ?thesis
      by (meson Case1 Osum-minus-Id Osum-wf TOT TOT' WF WF' wf-subset)
  next
    have 1: wf(Field r × Field r')
      using FLD by (auto simp add: wf-Int-Times)
    assume Case2: r ≤ Id ∨ r' ≤ Id
    moreover
      {assume Case21: r ≤ Id
        hence (r Osum r') - Id ≤ (r' - Id) ∪ (Field r × Field r')
          using Osum-minus-Id1[of r r'] by simp
        moreover
          {have Domain(Field r × Field r') Int Range(r' - Id) = {}
            using FLD unfolding Field-def by blast
            hence wf((r' - Id) ∪ (Field r × Field r'))
              using 1 WF' wf-Un[of Field r × Field r' r' - Id]
              by (auto simp add: Un-commute)
          }
        ultimately have ?thesis using wf-subset by blast
      }
    moreover
      {assume Case22: r' ≤ Id
        hence (r Osum r') - Id ≤ (r - Id) ∪ (Field r × Field r')
      }
  
```

```

using Osum-minus-Id2[of r' r] by simp
moreover
{have Range(Field r × Field r') Int Domain(r - Id) = {}
  using FLD unfolding Field-def by blast
  hence wf((r - Id) ∪ (Field r × Field r'))
    using 1 WF wf-Un[of r - Id Field r × Field r']
    by (auto simp add: Un-commute)
}
ultimately have ?thesis using wf-subset by blast
}
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma Osum-Well-order:
assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
      WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
shows Well-order (r Osum r')
proof-
  have Total r ∧ Total r' using WELL WELL'
  by (auto simp add: order-on-defs)
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding well-order-on-def
    using Osum-Linear-order Osum-wf-Id by blast
qed

end

```

## 8 Constructions on Wellorders

```

theory Wellorder-Constructs
imports
  Wellorder-Embedding Order-Union
begin

unbundle cardinal-syntax

declare
  ordLeq-Well-order-simp[simp]
  not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess[simp]
  not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq[simp]
  Func-empty[simp]
  Func-is-emp[simp]

```

### 8.1 Order filters versus restrictions and embeddings

```

lemma ofilter-subset-iso:
assumes WELL: Well-order r and
      OFA: ofilter r A and OFB: ofilter r B
shows (A = B) = iso (Restr r A) (Restr r B) id
using assms by (auto simp add: ofilter-subset-embedS-iso)

```

## 8.2 Ordering the well-orders by existence of embeddings

```

corollary ordLeq-refl-on: refl-on {r. Well-order r} ordLeq
  using ordLeq-reflexive unfolding ordLeq-def refl-on-def
  by blast

corollary ordLeq-trans: trans ordLeq
  using trans-def[of ordLeq] ordLeq-transitive by blast

corollary ordLeq-preorder-on: preorder-on {r. Well-order r} ordLeq
  by(auto simp add: preorder-on-def ordLeq-refl-on ordLeq-trans)

corollary ordIso-subset: ordIso ⊆ {r. Well-order r} × {r. Well-order r}
  using ordIso-reflexive unfolding refl-on-def ordIso-def by blast

corollary ordIso-refl-on: refl-on {r. Well-order r} ordIso
  using ordIso-reflexive unfolding refl-on-def ordIso-def
  by blast

corollary ordIso-trans: trans ordIso
  using trans-def[of ordIso] ordIso-transitive by blast

corollary ordIso-sym: sym ordIso
  by (auto simp add: sym-def ordIso-symmetric)

corollary ordIso-equiv: equiv {r. Well-order r} ordIso
  using ordIso-subset ordIso-refl-on ordIso-sym ordIso-trans by (intro equivI)

lemma ordLess-Well-order-simp[simp]:
  assumes r < o r'
  shows Well-order r ∧ Well-order r'
  using assms unfolding ordLess-def by simp

lemma ordIso-Well-order-simp[simp]:
  assumes r = o r'
  shows Well-order r ∧ Well-order r'
  using assms unfolding ordIso-def by simp

lemma ordLess-irrefl: irrefl ordLess
  by(unfold irrefl-def, auto simp add: ordLess-irreflexive)

lemma ordLess-or-ordIso:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows r < o r' ∨ r' < o r ∨ r = o r'
  unfolding ordLess-def ordIso-def
  using assms embedS-or-iso[of r r'] by auto

corollary ordLeq-ordLess-Un-ordIso:
  ordLeq = ordLess ∪ ordIso
  by (auto simp add: ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso)

```

```

lemma ordIso-or-ordLess:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows r =o r' ∨ r <o r' ∨ r' <o r
  using assms ordLess-or-ordLeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast

lemmas ord-trans = ordIso-transitive ordLeq-transitive ordLess-transitive
ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-ordIso-trans
ordIso-ordLess-trans ordLess-ordIso-trans
ordLess-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-ordLess-trans

lemma ofilter-ordLeq:
  assumes Well-order r and ofilter r A
  shows Restr r A ≤o r
  by (metis assms inf.orderE ofilter-embed ofilter-subset-ordLeq refl-on-def wo-rel.Field-ofilter
wo-rel.REFL wo-rel.intro)

```

```

corollary under-Restr-ordLeq:
  Well-order r  $\implies$  Restr r (under r a) ≤o r
  by (auto simp add: ofilter-ordLeq wo-rel.under-ofilter wo-rel-def)

```

### 8.3 Copy via direct images

```

lemma Id-dir-image: dir-image Id f ≤ Id
  unfolding dir-image-def by auto

lemma Un-dir-image:
  dir-image (r1 ∪ r2) f = (dir-image r1 f) ∪ (dir-image r2 f)
  unfolding dir-image-def by auto

lemma Int-dir-image:
  assumes inj-on f (Field r1 ∪ Field r2)
  shows dir-image (r1 Int r2) f = (dir-image r1 f) Int (dir-image r2 f)
proof
  show dir-image (r1 Int r2) f ≤ (dir-image r1 f) Int (dir-image r2 f)
  using assms unfolding dir-image-def inj-on-def by auto
next
  show (dir-image r1 f) Int (dir-image r2 f) ≤ dir-image (r1 Int r2) f
  by (clar simp simp: dir-image-def) (metis FieldI1 FieldI2 UnCI assms inj-on-def)
qed

```

```

lemma Osum-embed:
  assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
  WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows embed r (r Osum r') id
proof-
  have 1: Well-order (r Osum r')

```

```

using assms by (auto simp add: Osum-Well-order)
moreover
have compat r (r Osum r') id
  unfolding compat-def Osum-def by auto
moreover
have inj-on id (Field r) by simp
moreover
have ofilter (r Osum r') (Field r)
  using 1 FLD
  by (auto simp add: wo-rel-def wo-rel.ofilter-def Osum-def under-def Field-iff
disjoint-iff)
ultimately show ?thesis
using assms by (auto simp add: embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter)
qed

corollary Osum-ordLeq:
assumes FLD: Field r Int Field r' = {} and
WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
shows r ≤o r Osum r'
using assms Osum-embed Osum-Well-order
unfolding ordLeq-def by blast

lemma Well-order-embed-copy:
assumes WELL: well-order-on A r and
INJ: inj-on f A and SUB: f ` A ≤ B
shows ∃ r'. well-order-on B r' ∧ r ≤o r'
proof-
have bij-betw f A (f ` A)
using INJ inj-on-imp-bij-betw by blast
then obtain r'' where well-order-on (f ` A) r'' and 1: r =o r''
using WELL Well-order-iso-copy by blast
hence 2: Well-order r'' ∧ Field r'' = (f ` A)
using well-order-on-Well-order by blast

let ?C = B - (f ` A)
obtain r''' where well-order-on ?C r'''
using well-order-on by blast
hence 3: Well-order r''' ∧ Field r''' = ?C
using well-order-on-Well-order by blast

let ?r' = r'' Osum r'''
have Field r'' Int Field r''' = {}
  using 2 3 by auto
hence r'' ≤o ?r' using Osum-ordLeq[of r'' r'''] 2 3 by blast
hence 4: r ≤o ?r' using 1 ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast

hence Well-order ?r' unfolding ordLeq-def by auto
moreover
have Field ?r' = B using 2 3 SUB by (auto simp add: Field-Osum)

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis using 4 by blast
qed

```

## 8.4 The maxim among a finite set of ordinals

The correct phrasing would be “a maxim of ...”, as  $\leq_o$  is only a preorder.

```

definition isOmax :: 'a rel set ⇒ 'a rel ⇒ bool
where
  isOmax R r ≡ r ∈ R ∧ (∀ r' ∈ R. r' ≤o r)

definition omax :: 'a rel set ⇒ 'a rel
where
  omax R == SOME r. isOmax R r

lemma exists-isOmax:
assumes finite R and R ≠ {} and ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r
shows ∃ r. isOmax R r
proof –
  have finite R ==> R ≠ {} → (∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r) → (∃ r. isOmax R r)
  apply(erule finite-induct) apply(simp add: isOmax-def)
  proof(clarsimp)
    fix r :: ('a × 'a) set and R assume *: finite R and **: r ∉ R
    and ***: Well-order r and ****: ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r
    and IH: R ≠ {} → (∃ p. isOmax R p)
    let ?R' = insert r R
    show ∃ p'. (isOmax ?R' p')
    proof(cases R = {})
      case True
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: *** isOmax-def ordLeq-reflexive)
    next
      case False
      then obtain p where p: isOmax R p using IH by auto
      hence Well-order p using **** unfolding isOmax-def by simp
      then consider r ≤o p | p ≤o r
        using *** ordLeq-total by auto
      then show ?thesis
      proof(cases)
        case 1
        then show ?thesis
        using p unfolding isOmax-def by auto
      next
        case 2
        then show ?thesis
        by (metis *** insert-iff isOmax-def ordLeq-reflexive ordLeq-transitive p)
      qed
    qed
  qed
thus ?thesis using assms by auto

```

**qed**

**lemma** *omax-isOmax*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $R \neq \{\}$  and  $\forall r \in R$ . Well-order  $r$   
shows  $\text{isOmax } R$  ( $\text{omax } R$ )  
unfolding  $\text{omax-def}$  using *assms*  
by (simp add: exists-isOmax someI-ex)

**lemma** *omax-in*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $R \neq \{\}$  and  $\forall r \in R$ . Well-order  $r$   
shows  $\text{omax } R \in R$   
using *assms omax-isOmax unfolding isOmax-def by blast*

**lemma** *Well-order-omax*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $R \neq \{\}$  and  $\forall r \in R$ . Well-order  $r$   
shows Well-order ( $\text{omax } R$ )  
using *assms omax-in by blast*

**lemma** *omax-maxim*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $\forall r \in R$ . Well-order  $r$  and  $r \in R$   
shows  $r \leq_o \text{omax } R$   
using *assms omax-isOmax unfolding isOmax-def by blast*

**lemma** *omax-ordLeq*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $R \neq \{\}$  and  $\forall r \in R$ .  $r \leq_o p$   
shows  $\text{omax } R \leq_o p$   
by (meson *assms omax-in ordLeq-Well-order-simp*)

**lemma** *omax-ordLess*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $R \neq \{\}$  and  $\forall r \in R$ .  $r <_o p$   
shows  $\text{omax } R <_o p$   
by (meson *assms omax-in ordLess-Well-order-simp*)

**lemma** *omax-ordLeq-elim*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $\forall r \in R$ . Well-order  $r$   
and  $\text{omax } R \leq_o p$  and  $r \in R$   
shows  $r \leq_o p$   
by (meson *assms omax-maxim ordLeq-transitive*)

**lemma** *omax-ordLess-elim*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $\forall r \in R$ . Well-order  $r$   
and  $\text{omax } R <_o p$  and  $r \in R$   
shows  $r <_o p$   
by (meson *assms omax-maxim ordLeq-ordLess-trans*)

**lemma** *ordLeq-omax*:

assumes finite  $R$  and  $\forall r \in R$ . Well-order  $r$   
and  $r \in R$  and  $p \leq_o r$   
shows  $p \leq_o \text{omax } R$

```

by (meson assms omax-maxim ordLeq-transitive)

lemma ordLess-omax:
assumes finite R and ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r
and r ∈ R and p <₀ r
shows p <₀ omax R
by (meson assms omax-maxim ordLess-ordLeq-trans)

lemma omax-ordLeq-mono:
assumes P: finite P and R: finite R
and NE-P: P ≠ {} and Well-R: ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r
and LEQ: ∀ p ∈ P. ∃ r ∈ R. p ≤₀ r
shows omax P ≤₀ omax R
by (meson LEQ NE-P P R Well-R omax-ordLeq ordLeq-omax)

lemma omax-ordLess-mono:
assumes P: finite P and R: finite R
and NE-P: P ≠ {} and Well-R: ∀ r ∈ R. Well-order r
and LEQ: ∀ p ∈ P. ∃ r ∈ R. p <₀ r
shows omax P <₀ omax R
by (meson LEQ NE-P P R Well-R omax-ordLess ordLess-omax)

```

## 8.5 Limit and successor ordinals

```

lemma embed-underS2:
assumes r: Well-order r and g: embed r s g and a: a ∈ Field r
shows g ` underS r a = underS s (g a)
by (meson a bij-betw-def embed-underS g r)

lemma bij-betw-insert:
assumes b ∉ A and f b ∉ A' and bij-betw f A A'
shows bij-betw f (insert b A) (insert (f b) A')
using notIn-Un-bij-betw[OF assms] by auto

context wo-rel
begin

lemma underS-induct:
assumes ∀a. (∀ a1. a1 ∈ underS a ⇒ P a1) ⇒ P a
shows P a
by (induct rule: well-order-induct) (rule assms, simp add: underS-def)

lemma suc-underS:
assumes B: B ⊆ Field r and A: AboveS B ≠ {} and b: b ∈ B
shows b ∈ underS (suc B)
using suc-AboveS[OF B A] b unfolding underS-def AboveS-def by auto

lemma underS-supr:
assumes bA: b ∈ underS (supr A) and A: A ⊆ Field r

```

```

shows  $\exists a \in A. b \in \text{underS } a$ 
proof(rule ccontr, simp)
  have bb:  $b \in \text{Field } r$  using bA unfolding underS-def Field-def by auto
  assume  $\forall a \in A. b \notin \text{underS } a$ 
  hence 0:  $\forall a \in A. (a, b) \in r$  using A bA unfolding underS-def
    by simp (metis REFL in-mono max2-def max2-greater refl-on-domain)
  have (supr A, b)  $\in r$ 
    by (simp add: 0 A bb supr-least)
  thus False
    by (metis antisymD bA underS-E wo-rel.ANTISYM wo-rel-axioms)
qed

lemma underS-suc:
  assumes bA:  $b \in \text{underS } (\text{suc } A)$  and A:  $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$ 
  shows  $\exists a \in A. b \in \text{under } a$ 
proof(rule ccontr, simp)
  have bb:  $b \in \text{Field } r$  using bA unfolding underS-def Field-def by auto
  assume  $\forall a \in A. b \notin \text{under } a$ 
  hence 0:  $\forall a \in A. a \in \text{underS } b$  using A bA
    by (metis bb in-mono max2-def max2-greater mem-Collect-eq underS-I under-def)
  have (suc A, b)  $\in r$ 
    by (metis 0 A bb suc-least underS-E)
  thus False
    by (metis antisymD bA underS-E wo-rel.ANTISYM wo-rel-axioms)
qed

lemma (in wo-rel) in-underS-supr:
  assumes j:  $j \in \text{underS } i$  and i:  $i \in A$  and A:  $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$  and Above A  $\neq \{\}$ 
  shows j:  $j \in \text{underS } (\text{supr } A)$ 
  by (meson assms LIN in-mono supr-greater supr-inField underS-incl-iff)

lemma inj-on-Field:
  assumes A:  $A \subseteq \text{Field } r$  and f:  $\bigwedge a b. [a \in A; b \in A; a \in \text{underS } b] \implies f a \neq f b$ 
  shows inj-on f A
  by (smt (verit) A f in-notinI inj-on-def subsetD underS-I)

lemma ofilter-init-seg-of:
  assumes ofilter F
  shows Restr r F initial-segment-of r
  using assms unfolding ofilter-def init-seg-of-def under-def by auto

lemma underS-init-seg-of-Collect:
  assumes  $\bigwedge j_1 j_2. [j_2 \in \text{underS } i; (j_1, j_2) \in r] \implies R j_1 \text{ initial-segment-of } R j_2$ 
  shows {R j | j:  $j \in \text{underS } i\} \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$ 
  using TOTALS assms
  by (clar simp simp: Chains-def) (meson BNF-Least-Fixpoint.underS-Field)

```

```

lemma (in wo-rel) Field-init-seg-of-Collect:
  assumes  $\bigwedge j_1 j_2. [j_2 \in \text{Field } r; (j_1, j_2) \in r] \implies R j_1 \text{ initial-segment-of } R j_2$ 
  shows  $\{R j \mid j. j \in \text{Field } r\} \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$ 
  using TOTALS assms by (auto simp: Chains-def)

```

**8.5.1 Successor and limit elements of an ordinal**

```

definition succ  $i \equiv \text{suc } \{i\}$ 

definition isSucc  $i \equiv \exists j. \text{aboveS } j \neq \{\} \wedge i = \text{succ } j$ 

definition zero = minim (Field r)

definition isLim  $i \equiv \neg \text{isSucc } i$ 

lemma zero-smallest[simp]:
  assumes  $j \in \text{Field } r$  shows  $(\text{zero}, j) \in r$ 
  by (simp add: assms wo-rel.ofilter-linord wo-rel-axioms zero-def)

lemma zero-in-Field: assumes Field  $r \neq \{\}$  shows zero  $\in \text{Field } r$ 
  using assms unfolding zero-def by (metis Field-ofilter minim-in ofilter-def)

lemma leq-zero-imp[simp]:
   $(x, \text{zero}) \in r \implies x = \text{zero}$ 
  by (metis ANTISYM WELL antisymD well-order-on-domain zero-smallest)

lemma leq-zero[simp]:
  assumes Field  $r \neq \{\}$  shows  $(x, \text{zero}) \in r \longleftrightarrow x = \text{zero}$ 
  using zero-in-Field[OF assms] in-notinI[of x zero] by auto

lemma under-zero[simp]:
  assumes Field  $r \neq \{\}$  shows under zero = {zero}
  using assms unfolding under-def by auto

lemma underS-zero[simp,intro]: underS zero = {}
  unfolding underS-def by auto

lemma isSucc-succ: aboveS  $i \neq \{\} \implies \text{isSucc } (\text{succ } i)$ 
  unfolding isSucc-def succ-def by auto

lemma succ-in-diff:
  assumes aboveS  $i \neq \{\}$  shows  $(i, \text{succ } i) \in r \wedge \text{succ } i \neq i$ 
  using assms suc-greater[of {i}] unfolding succ-def AboveS-def aboveS-def Field-def
  by auto

lemmas succ-in[simp] = succ-in-diff[THEN conjunct1]
lemmas succ-diff[simp] = succ-in-diff[THEN conjunct2]

lemma succ-in-Field[simp]:

```

```

assumes aboveS i ≠ {} shows succ i ∈ Field r
using succ-in[OF assms] unfolding Field-def by auto

lemma succ-not-in:
assumes aboveS i ≠ {} shows (succ i, i) ∉ r
by (metis FieldI2 assms max2-equals1 max2-equals2 succ-diff succ-in)

lemma not-isSucc-zero: ¬ isSucc zero
by (metis isSucc-def leq-zero-imp succ-in-diff)

lemma isLim-zero[simp]: isLim zero
by (metis isLim-def not-isSucc-zero)

lemma succ-smallest:
assumes (i,j) ∈ r and i ≠ j
shows (succ i, j) ∈ r
by (metis Field-iff assms empty-subsetI insert-subset singletonD suc-least succ-def)

lemma isLim-supr:
assumes f: i ∈ Field r and l: isLim i
shows i = supr (underS i)
proof(rule equals-supr)
fix j assume j: j ∈ Field r and 1: ∨ j'. j' ∈ underS i ==> (j',j) ∈ r
show (i,j) ∈ r
proof(intro in-notinI[OF - f j], safe)
assume ji: (j,i) ∈ r j ≠ i
hence a: aboveS j ≠ {} unfolding aboveS-def by auto
hence i ≠ succ j using l unfolding isLim-def isSucc-def by auto
moreover have (succ j, i) ∈ r using succ-smallest[OF ji] by auto
ultimately have succ j ∈ underS i unfolding underS-def by auto
hence (succ j, j) ∈ r using 1 by auto
thus False using succ-not-in[OF a] by simp
qed
qed(use f underS-def Field-def in fastforce)+

definition pred i ≡ SOME j. j ∈ Field r ∧ aboveS j ≠ {} ∧ succ j = i

lemma pred-Field-succ:
assumes isSucc i shows pred i ∈ Field r ∧ aboveS (pred i) ≠ {} ∧ succ (pred i) = i
proof-
obtain j where j: aboveS j ≠ {} i = succ j
using assms unfolding isSucc-def by auto
then obtain j ∈ Field r j ≠ i
by (metis FieldI1 succ-diff succ-in)
then show ?thesis unfolding pred-def
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) j someI-ex)
qed

```

```

lemmas pred-Field[simp] = pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct1]
lemmas aboveS-pred[simp] = pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct2, THEN conjunct1]
lemmas succ-pred[simp] = pred-Field-succ[THEN conjunct2, THEN conjunct2]

lemma isSucc-pred-in:
  assumes isSucc i shows (pred i, i) ∈ r
  by (metis assms pred-Field-succ succ-in)

lemma isSucc-pred-diff:
  assumes isSucc i shows pred i ≠ i
  by (metis aboveS-pred assms succ-diff succ-pred)

lemma succ-inj[simp]:
  assumes aboveS i ≠ {} and aboveS j ≠ {}
  shows succ i = succ j ↔ i = j
  by (metis FieldI1 assms succ-def succ-in supr-under under-underS-suc)

lemma pred-succ[simp]:
  assumes aboveS j ≠ {} shows pred (succ j) = j
  using assms isSucc-def pred-Field-succ succ-inj by blast

lemma less-succ[simp]:
  assumes aboveS i ≠ {}
  shows (j, succ i) ∈ r ↔ (j, i) ∈ r ∨ j = succ i
  by (metis FieldI1 assms in-notinI max2-equals1 max2-equals2 max2-iff succ-in
      succ-smallest)

lemma underS-succ[simp]:
  assumes aboveS i ≠ {}
  shows underS (succ i) = under i
  unfolding underS-def under-def by (auto simp: assms succ-not-in)

lemma succ-mono:
  assumes aboveS j ≠ {} and (i,j) ∈ r
  shows (succ i, succ j) ∈ r
  by (metis (full-types) assms less-succ succ-smallest)

lemma under-succ[simp]:
  assumes aboveS i ≠ {}
  shows under (succ i) = insert (succ i) (under i)
  using less-succ[OF assms] unfolding under-def by auto

definition mergeSL :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ (('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒
'a ⇒ 'b
where
  mergeSL S L f i ≡ if isSucc i then S (pred i) (f (pred i)) else L f i

```

### 8.5.2 Well-order recursion with (zero), successor, and limit

**definition** *worecSL* :: ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  (('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*

**where** *worecSL S L*  $\equiv$  *worec* (*mergeSL S L*)

**definition** *adm-woL L*  $\equiv$   $\forall f g i. \text{isLim } i \wedge (\forall j \in \text{underS } i. f j = g j) \longrightarrow L f i = L g i$

**lemma** *mergeSL*: *adm-woL L*  $\implies$  *adm-wo* (*mergeSL S L*)

**unfolding** *adm-wo-def* *adm-woL-def* *isLim-def*

**by** (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold)* *isSucc-pred-diff* *isSucc-pred-in* *mergeSL-def underS-I*)

**lemma** *worec-fixpoint1*: *adm-wo H*  $\implies$  *worec H i* = *H (worec H) i*  
**by** (*metis worec-fixpoint*)

**lemma** *worecSL-isSucc*:

**assumes** *a: adm-woL L and i: isSucc i*

**shows** *worecSL S L i* = *S (pred i)* (*worecSL S L (pred i)*)

**by** (*metis a i mergeSL mergeSL-def worecSL-def worec-fixpoint*)

**lemma** *worecSL-succ*:

**assumes** *a: adm-woL L and i: aboveS j  $\neq \{\}$*

**shows** *worecSL S L (succ j)* = *S j (worecSL S L j)*

**by** (*simp add: a i isSucc-succ worecSL-isSucc*)

**lemma** *worecSL-isLim*:

**assumes** *a: adm-woL L and i: isLim i*

**shows** *worecSL S L i* = *L (worecSL S L) i*

**by** (*metis a i isLim-def mergeSL mergeSL-def worecSL-def worec-fixpoint*)

**definition** *worecZSL* :: '*b*  $\Rightarrow$  ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  (('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*  
**where** *worecZSL Z S L*  $\equiv$  *worecSL S (λ f a. if a = zero then Z else L f a)*

**lemma** *worecZSL-zero*:

**assumes** *a: adm-woL L*

**shows** *worecZSL Z S L zero* = *Z*

**by** (*smt (verit, best) adm-woL-def assms isLim-zero worecSL-isLim worecZSL-def*)

**lemma** *worecZSL-succ*:

**assumes** *a: adm-woL L and i: aboveS j  $\neq \{\}$*

**shows** *worecZSL Z S L (succ j)* = *S j (worecZSL Z S L j)*

**unfolding** *worecZSL-def*

**by** (*smt (verit, best) a adm-woL-def i worecSL-succ*)

**lemma** *worecZSL-isLim*:

**assumes** *a: adm-woL L and isLim i and i  $\neq$  zero*

**shows** *worecZSL Z S L i* = *L (worecZSL Z S L) i*

**proof –**

**let** ?*L* = *λ f a. if a = zero then Z else L f a*

```

have worecZSL Z S L i = ?L (worecZSL Z S L) i
  unfolding worecZSL-def by (smt (verit, best) adm-woL-def assms worecSL-isLim)
also have ... = L (worecZSL Z S L) i using assms by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

### 8.5.3 Well-order succ-lim induction

```

lemma ord-cases:
  obtains j where i = succ j and aboveS j ≠ {} | isLim i
    by (metis isLim-def isSucc-def)

lemma well-order-inductSL[case-names Suc Lim]:
  assumes ∀i. [aboveS i ≠ {}; P i] ⇒ P (succ i) ∧i. [isLim i; ∀j. j ∈ underS
  i ⇒ P j] ⇒ P i
  shows P i
  proof(induction rule: well-order-induct)
    case (1 x)
    then show ?case
      by (metis assms ord-cases succ-diff succ-in underS-E)
  qed

```

```

lemma well-order-inductZSL[case-names Zero Suc Lim]:
  assumes P zero
  and ∀i. [aboveS i ≠ {}; P i] ⇒ P (succ i) and
  ∀i. [isLim i; i ≠ zero; ∀j. j ∈ underS i ⇒ P j] ⇒ P i
  shows P i
  by (metis assms well-order-inductSL)

```

```

definition isSuccOrd ≡ ∃ j ∈ Field r. ∀ i ∈ Field r. (i,j) ∈ r
definition isLimOrd ≡ ¬ isSuccOrd

```

```

lemma isLimOrd-succ:
  assumes isLimOrd and i ∈ Field r
  shows succ i ∈ Field r
  using assms unfolding isLimOrd-def isSuccOrd-def
  by (metis REFL in-notinI refl-on-domain succ-smallest)

lemma isLimOrd-aboveS:
  assumes l: isLimOrd and i: i ∈ Field r
  shows aboveS i ≠ {}
  proof-
    obtain j where j ∈ Field r and (j,i) ∉ r
    using assms unfolding isLimOrd-def isSuccOrd-def by auto
    hence (i,j) ∈ r ∧ j ≠ i by (metis i max2-def max2-greater)
    thus ?thesis unfolding aboveS-def by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma succ-aboveS-isLimOrd:
  assumes  $\forall i \in \text{Field } r. \text{aboveS } i \neq \{\} \wedge \text{succ } i \in \text{Field } r$ 
  shows isLimOrd
  using assms isLimOrd-def isSuccOrd-def succ-not-in by blast

lemma isLim-iff:
  assumes  $l: \text{isLim } i \text{ and } j: j \in \text{underS } i$ 
  shows  $\exists k. k \in \text{underS } i \wedge j \in \text{underS } k$ 
  by (metis Order-Relation.underS-Field empty-iff isLim-supr j l underS-empty
underS-supr)

end

abbreviation zero  $\equiv$  wo-rel.zero
abbreviation succ  $\equiv$  wo-rel.succ
abbreviation pred  $\equiv$  wo-rel.pred
abbreviation isSucc  $\equiv$  wo-rel.isSucc
abbreviation isLim  $\equiv$  wo-rel.isLim
abbreviation isLimOrd  $\equiv$  wo-rel.isLimOrd
abbreviation isSuccOrd  $\equiv$  wo-rel.isSuccOrd
abbreviation adm-woL  $\equiv$  wo-rel.adm-woL
abbreviation worecSL  $\equiv$  wo-rel.worecSL
abbreviation worecZSL  $\equiv$  wo-rel.worecZSL

```

## 8.6 Projections of wellorders

**definition** oproj  $r s f \equiv \text{Field } s \subseteq f`(\text{Field } r) \wedge \text{compat } r s f$

**lemma** oproj-in:
 **assumes** oproj  $r s f$  **and**  $(a, a') \in r$ 
**shows**  $(f a, f a') \in s$ 
**using assms** unfolding oproj-def compat-def **by** auto

**lemma** oproj-Field:
 **assumes**  $f: \text{oprod } r s f$  **and**  $a: a \in \text{Field } r$ 
**shows**  $f a \in \text{Field } s$ 
**using** oproj-in[*OF f*]  $a$  unfolding Field-def **by** auto

**lemma** oproj-Field2:
 **assumes**  $f: \text{oprod } r s f$  **and**  $a: b \in \text{Field } s$ 
**shows**  $\exists a \in \text{Field } r. f a = b$ 
**using assms** unfolding oproj-def **by** auto

**lemma** oproj-under:
 **assumes**  $f: \text{oprod } r s f$  **and**  $a: a \in \text{under } r a'$ 
**shows**  $f a \in \text{under } s (f a')$ 
**using** oproj-in[*OF f*]  $a$  unfolding under-def **by** auto

```

theorem embedI:
assumes r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s
    and f:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{Field } r \implies f a \in \text{Field } s \wedge f` \text{underS } r a \subseteq \text{underS } s (f a)$ 
shows  $\exists g. \text{embed } r s g$ 
proof-
interpret r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule r)
interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)
let ?G =  $\lambda g a. \text{suc } s (g` \text{underS } r a)$ 
define g where g = worec r ?G
have adm: adm-wo r ?G unfolding r.adm-wo-def image-def by auto

{fix a assume a ∈ Field r
hence bij-betw g (under r a) (under s (g a)) ∧
    g a ∈ under s (f a)
proof(induction a rule: r.underS-induct)
case (1 a)
hence a: a ∈ Field r
and IH1a:  $\bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{underS } r a \implies \text{inj-on } g (\text{under } r a1)$ 
and IH1b:  $\bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{underS } r a \implies g` \text{under } r a1 = \text{under } s (g a1)$ 
and IH2:  $\bigwedge a1. a1 \in \text{underS } r a \implies g a1 \in \text{under } s (f a1)$ 
unfolding underS-def Field-def bij-betw-def by auto
have fa: f a ∈ Field s using f[OF a] by auto
have g: g a = suc s (g` underS r a)
using r.worec-fixpoint[OF adm] unfolding g-def fun-eq-iff by blast
have A0: g` underS r a ⊆ Field s
using IH1b by (metis IH2 image-subsetI in-mono under-Field)
{fix a1 assume a1: a1 ∈ underS r a
from IH2[OF this] have g a1 ∈ under s (f a1) .
moreover have f a1 ∈ underS s (f a) using f[OF a] a1 by auto
ultimately have g a1 ∈ underS s (f a) by (metis s.ANTISYM s.TRANS
under-underS-trans)
}
hence fa-in: f a ∈ AboveS s (g` underS r a) unfolding AboveS-def
using fa by simp (metis (lifting, full-types) mem-Collect-eq underS-def)
hence A: AboveS s (g` underS r a) ≠ {} by auto
have ga: g a ∈ Field s unfolding g using s.suc-inField[OF A0 A] .
show ?case
  unfolding bij-betw-def
proof (intro conjI)
  show inj-on g (r.under a)
    by (metis A IH1a IH1b a bij-betw-def g ga r s s.suc-greater subsetI
wellorders-totally-ordered-aux)
    show g` r.under a = s.under (g a)
    by (metis A A0 IH1a IH1b a bij-betw-def g ga r s s.suc-greater wellorders-totally-ordered-aux)
    show g a ∈ s.under (f a)
      by (simp add: fa-in g s.suc-least-AboveS under-def)
qed
qed
}

```

```

thus ?thesis unfolding embed-def by auto
qed

corollary ordLeq-def2:
  r ≤o s ↔ Well-order r ∧ Well-order s ∧
    (∃ f. ∀ a ∈ Field r. f a ∈ Field s ∧ f ` underS r a ⊆ underS s (f a))
  using embed-in-Field[of r s] embed-underS2[of r s] embedI[of r s]
  unfolding ordLeq-def by fast

lemma oproj:
  assumes r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: oproj r s f
  shows oproj r s f
  by (metis embed-Field f iso-Field iso-iff iso-iff3 oproj-def r s)

theorem oproj-embed:
  assumes r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: oproj r s f
  shows ∃ g. embed s r g
  proof (rule embedI[OF s r, of inv-into (Field r) f], unfold underS-def, safe)
    fix b assume b ∈ Field s
    thus inv-into (Field r) f b ∈ Field r
      using oproj-Field2[OF f] by (metis imageI inv-into-into)
  next
    fix a b assume b ∈ Field s a ≠ b (a, b) ∈ s
      inv-into (Field r) f a = inv-into (Field r) f b
    with f show False
      by (meson FieldI1 in-mono inv-into-injective oproj-def)
  next
    fix a b assume *: b ∈ Field s a ≠ b (a, b) ∈ s
    { assume notin: (inv-into (Field r) f a, inv-into (Field r) f b) ∉ r
      moreover
        from *(3) have a ∈ Field s unfolding Field-def by auto
        then have (inv-into (Field r) f b, inv-into (Field r) f a) ∈ r
          by (meson *(1) notin f in-mono inv-into-into oproj-def r wo-rel.in-notinI
            wo-rel.intro)
      ultimately have (inv-into (Field r) f b, inv-into (Field r) f a) ∈ r
        using r by (auto simp: well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def total-on-def)
      with f[unfolded oproj-def compat-def] *(1) ⟨a ∈ Field s⟩
        f-inv-into-f[of b f Field r] f-inv-into-f[of a f Field r]
      have (b, a) ∈ s by (metis in-mono)
      with *(2,3) s have False
        by (auto simp: well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def anti-
          sym-def)
    } thus (inv-into (Field r) f a, inv-into (Field r) f b) ∈ r by blast
  qed

corollary oproj-ordLeq:
  assumes r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: oproj r s f
  shows s ≤o r
  using f oproj-embed ordLess-iff ordLess-or-ordLeq r s by blast

```

```
end
```

## 9 Ordinal Arithmetic

```
theory Ordinal-Arithmetic
  imports Wellorder-Constructions
begin

definition osum :: 'a rel ⇒ 'b rel ⇒ ('a + 'b) rel (infixr +o 70)
where
  r +o r' = map-prod Inl Inl ` r ∪ map-prod Inr Inr ` r' ∪
  {(Inl a, Inr a') | a a'. a ∈ Field r ∧ a' ∈ Field r'}
```

```
lemma Field-osum: Field(r +o r') = Inl ` Field r ∪ Inr ` Field r'
  unfolding osum-def Field-def by auto
```

```
lemma osum-Refl:[Refl r; Refl r'] ==> Refl (r +o r')
```

```
unfolding refl-on-def Field-osum unfolding osum-def by blast
```

```
lemma osum-trans:
  assumes TRANS: trans r and TRANS': trans r'
  shows trans (r +o r')
  unfolding trans-def
proof(safe)
  fix x y z assume *: (x, y) ∈ r +o r' (y, z) ∈ r +o r'
  thus (x, z) ∈ r +o r'
    proof (cases x y z rule: sum.exhaust[case-product sum.exhaust sum.exhaust])
      case (Inl-Inl-Inl a b c)
        with * have (a,b) ∈ r (b,c) ∈ r unfolding osum-def by auto
        with TRANS have (a,c) ∈ r unfolding trans-def by blast
        with Inl-Inl-Inl show ?thesis unfolding osum-def by auto
    next
      case (Inl-Inl-Inr a b c)
        with * have a ∈ Field r c ∈ Field r' unfolding osum-def Field-def by auto
        with Inl-Inl-Inr show ?thesis unfolding osum-def by auto
    next
      case (Inl-Inr-Inr a b c)
        with * have a ∈ Field r c ∈ Field r' unfolding osum-def Field-def by auto
        with Inl-Inr-Inr show ?thesis unfolding osum-def by auto
    next
      case (Inr-Inr-Inr a b c)
        with * have (a,b) ∈ r' (b,c) ∈ r' unfolding osum-def by auto
        with TRANS' have (a,c) ∈ r' unfolding trans-def by blast
        with Inr-Inr-Inr show ?thesis unfolding osum-def by auto
    qed (auto simp: osum-def)
qed
```

```

lemma osum-Preorder: [[Preorder r; Preorder r']] ==> Preorder (r +o r')
  unfolding preorder-on-def using osum-Refl osum-trans by blast

lemma osum-antisym: [[antisym r; antisym r']] ==> antisym (r +o r')
  unfolding antisym-def osum-def by auto

lemma osum-Partial-order: [[Partial-order r; Partial-order r']] ==> Partial-order
(r +o r')
  unfolding partial-order-on-def using osum-Preorder osum-antisym by blast

lemma osum-Total: [[Total r; Total r']] ==> Total (r +o r')
  unfolding total-on-def Field-osum unfolding osum-def by blast

lemma osum-Linear-order: [[Linear-order r; Linear-order r']] ==> Linear-order (r
+o r')
  unfolding linear-order-on-def using osum-Partial-order osum-Total by blast

lemma osum-wf:
  assumes WF: wf r and WF': wf r'
  shows wf (r +o r')
  unfolding wf-eq-minimal2 unfolding Field-osum
proof(intro allI impI, elim conjE)
  fix A assume *: A ⊆ Inl ` Field r ∪ Inr ` Field r' and **: A ≠ {}
  obtain B where B-def: B = A Int Inl ` Field r by blast
  show ∃ a∈A. ∀ a'∈A. (a', a) ∉ r +o r'
  proof(cases B = {})
    case False
    hence B ≠ {} B ⊆ Inl ` Field r using B-def by auto
    hence Inl -` B ≠ {} Inl -` B ⊆ Field r unfolding vimage-def by auto
    then obtain a where 1: a ∈ Inl -` B and ∀ a1 ∈ Inl -` B. (a1, a) ∉ r
      using WF unfolding wf-eq-minimal2 by metis
    hence ∀ a1 ∈ A. (a1, Inl a) ∉ r +o r'
      unfolding osum-def using B-def ** by (auto simp: vimage-def Field-def)
      thus ?thesis using 1 unfolding B-def by auto
    next
    case True
    hence 1: A ⊆ Inr ` Field r' using * B-def by auto
    with ** have Inr -` A ≠ {} Inr -` A ⊆ Field r' unfolding vimage-def by
    auto
    with ** obtain a' where 2: a' ∈ Inr -` A and ∀ a1' ∈ Inr -` A. (a1', a') ∉
    r'
      using WF' unfolding wf-eq-minimal2 by metis
    hence ∀ a1' ∈ A. (a1', Inr a') ∉ r +o r'
      unfolding osum-def using ** 1 by (auto simp: vimage-def Field-def)
      thus ?thesis using 2 by blast
  qed
qed

lemma osum-minus-Id:

```

```

assumes r: Total r ⊢ (r ≤ Id) and r': Total r' ⊢ (r' ≤ Id)
shows (r +o r') - Id ≤ (r - Id) +o (r' - Id)
unfolding osum-def Total-Id-Field[OF r] Total-Id-Field[OF r'] by auto

lemma osum-minus-Id1:
  r ≤ Id ==> (r +o r') - Id ≤ (Inl ` Field r × Inr ` Field r') ∪ (map-prod Inr Inr
  ` (r' - Id))
  unfolding osum-def by auto

lemma osum-minus-Id2:
  r' ≤ Id ==> (r +o r') - Id ≤ (map-prod Inl Inl ` (r - Id)) ∪ (Inl ` Field r ×
  Inr ` Field r')
  unfolding osum-def by auto

lemma osum-wf-Id:
  assumes TOT: Total r and TOT': Total r' and WF: wf(r - Id) and WF':
  wf(r' - Id)
  shows wf ((r +o r') - Id)
  proof(cases r ≤ Id ∨ r' ≤ Id)
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      using osum-minus-Id[of r r'] assms osum-wf[of r - Id r' - Id]
      wf-subset[of (r - Id) +o (r' - Id) (r +o r') - Id] by auto
  next
    have 1: wf (Inl ` Field r × Inr ` Field r') by (rule wf-Int-Times) auto
    case True
    thus ?thesis
      proof (elim disjE)
        assume r ⊆ Id
        thus wf ((r +o r') - Id)
          by (rule wf-subset[rotated, OF osum-minus-Id1 wf-Un[OF 1 wf-map-prod-image[OF
          WF]]]) auto
      next
        assume r' ⊆ Id
        thus wf ((r +o r') - Id)
          by (rule wf-subset[rotated, OF osum-minus-Id2 wf-Un[OF wf-map-prod-image[OF
          WF] 1]]) auto
      qed
  qed

lemma osum-Well-order:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows Well-order (r +o r')
  by (meson WELL WELL' osum-Linear-order osum-wf-Id well-order-on-def wo-rel.TOTAL
  wo-rel.intro)

lemma osum-embedL:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows embed r (r +o r') Inl

```

```

proof -
  have 1: Well-order ( $r +_o r'$ ) using assms by (auto simp add: osum-Well-order)
  moreover
    have compat  $r$  ( $r +_o r'$ ) Inl unfolding compat-def osum-def by auto
  moreover
    have ofilter  $(r +_o r')$  (Inl ‘Field  $r$ ’)
      unfolding wo-rel.ofilter-def[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF 1] Field-osum under-def
      unfolding osum-def Field-def by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using assms by (auto simp add: embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter)
  qed

corollary osum-ordLeqL:
  assumes WELL: Well-order  $r$  and WELL': Well-order  $r'$ 
  shows  $r \leq_o r +_o r'$ 
  using assms osum-embedL osum-Well-order unfolding ordLeq-def by blast

lemma dir-image-alt: dir-image  $r f = map\text{-}prod f f` r$ 
  unfolding dir-image-def map-prod-def by auto

lemma map-prod-ordIso:  $\llbracket \text{Well-order } r; inj\text{-}on } f (\text{Field } r) \rrbracket \implies map\text{-}prod f f` r =_o r$ 
  by (metis dir-image-alt dir-image-ordIso ordIso-symmetric)

definition oprod :: ' $a$  rel  $\Rightarrow$  ' $b$  rel  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a \times$  ' $b$ ) rel' (infixr *o 80)
  where  $r *_o r' = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \mid ((y_1, y_2) \in r' - Id \wedge x_1 \in \text{Field } r \wedge x_2 \in \text{Field } r) \vee ((y_1, y_2) \in \text{Restr } Id (\text{Field } r') \wedge (x_1, x_2) \in r)\}$ 

lemma Field-oprod: Field ( $r *_o r'$ ) = Field  $r \times$  Field  $r'$ 
  unfolding oprod-def Field-def by auto blast+

lemma oprod-Refl:  $\llbracket \text{Refl } r; \text{Refl } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Refl } (r *_o r')$ 
  unfolding refl-on-def Field-oprod unfolding oprod-def by auto

lemma oprod-trans:
  assumes trans  $r$  trans  $r'$  antisym  $r$  antisym  $r'$ 
  shows trans  $(r *_o r')$ 
  using assms by (clar simp simp: trans-def antisym-def oprod-def) (metis FieldI1 FieldI2)

lemma oprod-Preorder:  $\llbracket \text{Preorder } r; \text{Preorder } r'; \text{antisym } r; \text{antisym } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Preorder } (r *_o r')$ 
  unfolding preorder-on-def using oprod-Refl oprod-trans by blast

lemma oprod-antisym:  $\llbracket \text{antisym } r; \text{antisym } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{antisym } (r *_o r')$ 
  unfolding antisym-def oprod-def by auto

lemma oprod-Partial-order:  $\llbracket \text{Partial-order } r; \text{Partial-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Partial-order } (r *_o r')$ 

```

**unfolding partial-order-on-def using oprod-Preorder oprod-antisym by blast**

**lemma oprod-Total:  $\llbracket \text{Total } r; \text{Total } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Total } (r *o r')$**   
**unfoldings total-on-def Field-oprod unfolding oprod-def by auto**

**lemma oprod-Linear-order:  $\llbracket \text{Linear-order } r; \text{Linear-order } r' \rrbracket \implies \text{Linear-order } (r *o r')$**   
**unfoldings linear-order-on-def using oprod-Partial-order oprod-Total by blast**

**lemma oprod-wf:**

**assumes WF: wf r and WF': wf r'**

**shows wf (r \*o r')**

**unfoldings wf-eq-minimal2 unfolding Field-oprod**

**proof(intro allI impI, elim conjE)**

**fix A assume \*:  $A \subseteq \text{Field } r \times \text{Field } r'$  and \*\*:  $A \neq \{\}$**

**then obtain y where y:  $y \in \text{snd } 'A \forall y' \in \text{snd } 'A. (y', y) \notin r'$**

**using spec[OF WF[unfolded wf-eq-minimal2], of snd 'A] by auto**

**let ?A = fst 'A ∩ {x. (x, y) ∈ A}**

**from \* y have ?A ≠ {} ?A ⊆ Field r by auto**

**then obtain x where x:  $x \in ?A \text{ and } \forall x' \in ?A. (x', x) \notin r$**

**using spec[OF WF[unfolded wf-eq-minimal2], of ?A] by auto**

**with y have  $\forall a' \in A. (a', (x, y)) \notin r *o r'$**

**unfoldings oprod-def mem-Collect-eq split-beta fst-conv snd-conv Id-def by auto**

**moreover from x have  $(x, y) \in A$  by auto**

**ultimately show  $\exists a \in A. \forall a' \in A. (a', a) \notin r *o r'$  by blast**

**qed**

**lemma oprod-minus-Id:**

**assumes r: Total r ⊢ (r ≤ Id) and r': Total r' ⊢ (r' ≤ Id)**

**shows  $(r *o r') - Id \leq (r - Id) *o (r' - Id)$**

**unfoldings oprod-def Total-Id-Field[OF r] Total-Id-Field[OF r'] by auto**

**lemma oprod-minus-Id1:**

**$r \leq Id \implies r *o r' - Id \leq \{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in \text{Field } r \wedge (y1, y2) \in (r' - Id)\}$**

**unfoldings oprod-def by auto**

**lemma wf-extend-oprod1:**

**assumes wf r**

**shows wf  $\{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$**

**proof (unfold wf-eq-minimal2, intro allI impI, elim conjE)**

**fix B**

**assume \*:  $B \subseteq \text{Field } \{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$  and  $B \neq \{\}$**

**from image-mono[OF \*, of snd] have  $\text{snd } 'B \subseteq \text{Field } r$  unfolding Field-def by force**

**with  $'B \neq \{\}$  obtain x where x:  $x \in \text{snd } 'B \forall x' \in \text{snd } 'B. (x', x) \notin r$**

**using spec[OF assms[unfolded wf-eq-minimal2], of snd 'B] by auto**

**then obtain a where  $(a, x) \in B$  by auto**

**moreover**

**from \* x have  $\forall a' \in B. (a', (a, x)) \notin \{((x,y1), (x,y2)) . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$**

```

by auto
ultimately show  $\exists ax \in B. \forall a' \in B. (a', ax) \notin \{(x,y1), (x,y2)\} . x \in A \wedge (y1, y2) \in r\}$  by blast
qed

lemma oprod-minus-Id2:
   $r' \leq Id \implies r *o r' - Id \leq \{(x1,y), (x2,y)\}. (x1, x2) \in (r - Id) \wedge y \in Field$ 
  unfolding oprod-def by auto

lemma wf-extend-oprod2:
  assumes wf r
  shows wf  $\{(x1,y), (x2,y)\} . (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$ 
  proof (unfold wf-eq-minimal2, intro allI impI, elim conjE)
    fix B
    assume *:  $B \subseteq Field \{(x1, y), (x2, y)\}. (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$  and  $B \neq \{\}$ 
    from image-mono[OF *, of fst] have fst ` B  $\subseteq Field r$  unfolding Field-def by force
    with  $\langle B \neq \{\} \rangle$  obtain x where x:  $x \in fst ` B \forall x' \in fst ` B. (x', x) \notin r$ 
      using spec[OF assms[unfolded wf-eq-minimal2], of fst ` B] by auto
    then obtain a where (x, a):  $(x, a) \in B$  by auto
    moreover
    from * x have  $\forall a' \in B. (a', (x, a)) \notin \{(x1, y), x2, y\}. (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$ 
    by auto
    ultimately show  $\exists xa \in B. \forall a' \in B. (a', xa) \notin \{(x1, y), x2, y\}. (x1, x2) \in r \wedge y \in A\}$  by blast
  qed

lemma oprod-wf-Id:
  assumes TOT: Total r and TOT': Total r' and WF: wf(r - Id) and WF':
  wf(r' - Id)
  shows wf  $((r *o r') - Id)$ 
  proof(cases r  $\leq Id \vee r' \leq Id$ )
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (meson TOT TOT' WF WF' oprod-minus-Id oprod-wf wf-subset)
  next
    case True
    thus ?thesis using wf-subset[OF wf-extend-oprod1[OF WF] oprod-minus-Id1]
      wf-subset[OF wf-extend-oprod2[OF WF] oprod-minus-Id2] by auto
  qed

lemma oprod-Well-order:
  assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r'
  shows Well-order  $(r *o r')$ 
  by (meson WELL WELL' linear-order-on-def oprod-Linear-order oprod-wf-Id
  well-order-on-def)

lemma oprod-embed:

```

```

assumes WELL: Well-order r and WELL': Well-order r' and r' ≠ {}
shows embed r (r *o r') (λx. (x, minim r' (Field r'))) (is embed - - ?f)
proof -
from assms(3) have r': Field r' ≠ {} unfolding Field-def by auto
have minim[simp]: minim r' (Field r') ∈ Field r'
  using wo-rel.minim-inField[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF WELL' - r'] by auto
{ fix b
  assume b: (b, minim r' (Field r')) ∈ r'
  hence b ∈ Field r' unfolding Field-def by auto
  hence (minim r' (Field r'), b) ∈ r'
    using wo-rel.minim-least[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF WELL' subset-refl] r' by
  auto
  with b have b = minim r' (Field r')
  by (metis WELL' antisym-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def well-order-on-def)
} note *=this
have 1: Well-order (r *o r') using assms by (auto simp add: oprod-Well-order)
moreover
from r' have compat r (r *o r') ?f unfolding compat-def oprod-def by auto
moreover
from * have ofilter (r *o r') (?f ` Field r)
  unfolding wo-rel.ofilter-def[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF 1] Field-oprod under-def
  unfolding oprod-def by auto (auto simp: image-iff Field-def)
moreover have inj-on ?f (Field r) unfolding inj-on-def by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using assms by (auto simp add: embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter)
qed

corollary oprod-ordLeq: [| Well-order r; Well-order r'; r' ≠ {} |] ==> r ≤o r *o r'
  using oprod-embed oprod-Well-order unfolding ordLeq-def by blast

definition support z A f = {x ∈ A. f x ≠ z}

lemma support-Un[simp]: support z (A ∪ B) f = support z A f ∪ support z B f
  unfolding support-def by auto

lemma support-upd[simp]: support z A (f(x := z)) = support z A f - {x}
  unfolding support-def by auto

lemma support-upd-subset[simp]: support z A (f(x := y)) ⊆ support z A f ∪ {x}
  unfolding support-def by auto

lemma fun-unequal-in-support:
  assumes f ≠ g f ∈ Func A B g ∈ Func A C
  shows (support z A f ∪ support z A g) ∩ {a. f a ≠ g a} ≠ {}
  using assms by (simp add: Func-def support-def disjoint-iff fun-eq-iff) metis

definition fin-support where
  fin-support z A = {f. finite (support z A f)}

lemma finite-support: f ∈ fin-support z A ==> finite (support z A f)

```

```

unfolding support-def fin-support-def by auto

lemma fin-support-Field-osum:
   $f \in \text{fin-support } z (\text{Inl} \cdot A \cup \text{Inr} \cdot B) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(f \circ \text{Inl}) \in \text{fin-support } z A \wedge (f \circ \text{Inr}) \in \text{fin-support } z B$  (is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R1  $\wedge$  ?R2)
proof safe
  assume ?L
  from ‹?L› show ?R1 unfolding fin-support-def support-def
    by (fastforce simp: image-iff elim: finite-surj[of - - case-sum id undefined])
  from ‹?L› show ?R2 unfolding fin-support-def support-def
    by (fastforce simp: image-iff elim: finite-surj[of - - case-sum undefined id])
next
  assume ?R1 ?R2
  thus ?L unfolding fin-support-def support-Un
    by (auto simp: support-def elim: finite-surj[of - - Inl] finite-surj[of - - Inr])
qed

lemma Func-upd: ‹f ∈ Func A B; x ∈ A; y ∈ B›  $\implies f(x := y) \in Func A B$ 
  unfolding Func-def by auto

context wo-rel
begin

definition isMaxim :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where isMaxim A b  $\equiv$  b  $\in$  A  $\wedge$   $(\forall a \in A. (a, b) \in r)$ 

definition maxim :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  where maxim A  $\equiv$  THE b. isMaxim A b

lemma isMaxim-unique[intro]: ‹isMaxim A x; isMaxim A y›  $\implies x = y$ 
  unfolding isMaxim-def using antisymD[OF ANTISYM, of x y] by auto

lemma maxim-isMaxim: ‹finite A; A  $\neq$  {}; A  $\subseteq$  Field r›  $\implies$  isMaxim A (maxim A)
  unfolding maxim-def
  proof (rule theI', rule ex-exI[OF - isMaxim-unique, rotated], assumption+,
    induct A rule: finite-induct)
    case (insert x A)
    thus ?case
      proof (cases A = {})
        case True
          moreover have isMaxim {x} x unfolding isMaxim-def using refl-onD[OF REFL] insert(5) by auto
          ultimately show ?thesis by blast
      next
        case False
        with insert(3,5) obtain y where isMaxim A y by blast
        with insert(2,5) have if (y, x)  $\in$  r then isMaxim (insert x A) x else isMaxim (insert x A) y
      qed
  qed

```

```

unfolding isMaxim-def subset-eq by (metis insert-iff max2-def max2-equals1 max2-iff)
  thus ?thesis by metis
  qed
qed simp

lemma maxim-in:  $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies \text{maxim } A \in A$ 
  using maxim-isMaxim unfolding isMaxim-def by auto

lemma maxim-greatest:  $\llbracket \text{finite } A; x \in A; A \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies (x, \text{maxim } A) \in r$ 
  using maxim-isMaxim unfolding isMaxim-def by auto

lemma isMaxim-zero: isMaxim A zero  $\implies A = \{\text{zero}\}$ 
  unfolding isMaxim-def by auto

lemma maxim-insert:
  assumes finite A A ≠ {} A ⊆ Field r x ∈ Field r
  shows maxim (insert x A) = max2 x (maxim A)
proof –
  from assms have *: isMaxim (insert x A) (maxim (insert x A)) isMaxim A (maxim A)
  using maxim-isMaxim by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases (x, maxim A) ∈ r)
    case True
    with *(2) have isMaxim (insert x A) (maxim A)
      by (simp add: isMaxim-def)
    with *(1) True show ?thesis
      unfolding max2-def by (metis isMaxim-unique)
  next
    case False
    hence (maxim A, x) ∈ r by (metis *(2) assms(3,4) in-mono in-notinI isMaxim-def)
    with *(2) assms(4) have isMaxim (insert x A) x unfolding isMaxim-def
      using transD[OF TRANS, of - maxim A x] refl-onD[OF REFL, of x] by blast
    with *(1) False show ?thesis unfolding max2-def by (metis isMaxim-unique)
  qed
qed

lemma maxim-Un:
  assumes finite A A ≠ {} A ⊆ Field r finite B B ≠ {} B ⊆ Field r
  shows maxim (A ∪ B) = max2 (maxim A) (maxim B)
proof –
  from assms have *: isMaxim (A ∪ B) (maxim (A ∪ B)) isMaxim A (maxim A)
  isMaxim B (maxim B)
  using maxim-isMaxim by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases (maxim A, maxim B) ∈ r)
    case True

```

```

with *(2,3) have isMaxim (A ∪ B) (maxim B) unfolding isMaxim-def
  using transD[OF TRANS, of - maxim A maxim B] by blast
with *(1) True show ?thesis unfolding max2-def by (metis isMaxim-unique)
next
  case False
  hence (maxim B, maxim A) ∈ r by (metis *(2,3) assms(3,6) in-mono in-notinI
isMaxim-def)
  with *(2,3) have isMaxim (A ∪ B) (maxim A)
    by (metis *(1) False Un-iff isMaxim-def isMaxim-unique)
  with *(1) False show ?thesis unfolding max2-def by (metis isMaxim-unique)
  qed
qed

lemma maxim-insert-zero:
assumes finite A A ≠ {} A ⊆ Field r
shows maxim (insert zero A) = maxim A
using assms finite.cases in-mono max2-def maxim-in maxim-insert subset-empty
zero-in-Field zero-smallest by fastforce

lemma maxim-equality: isMaxim A x ==> maxim A = x
unfolding maxim-def by (rule the-equality) auto

lemma maxim-singleton:
x ∈ Field r ==> maxim {x} = x
using refl-onD[OF REFL] by (intro maxim-equality) (simp add: isMaxim-def)

lemma maxim-Int: [|finite A; A ≠ {}; A ⊆ Field r; maxim A ∈ B|] ==> maxim (A
∩ B) = maxim A
by (rule maxim-equality) (auto simp: isMaxim-def intro: maxim-in maxim-greatest)

lemma maxim-mono: [|X ⊆ Y; finite Y; X ≠ {}; Y ⊆ Field r|] ==> (maxim X,
maxim Y) ∈ r
using maxim-in[OF finite-subset, of X Y] by (auto intro: maxim-greatest)

definition max-fun-diff f g ≡ maxim ({a ∈ Field r. f a ≠ g a})

lemma max-fun-diff-commute: max-fun-diff f g = max-fun-diff g f
unfolding max-fun-diff-def by metis

lemma zero-under: x ∈ Field r ==> zero ∈ under x
unfolding under-def by (auto intro: zero-smallest)

end

definition FinFunc r s = Func (Field s) (Field r) ∩ fin-support (zero r) (Field s)

lemma FinFuncD: [|f ∈ FinFunc r s; x ∈ Field s|] ==> f x ∈ Field r
unfolding FinFunc-def Func-def by (fastforce split: option.splits)

```

```

locale wo-rel2 =
  fixes r s
  assumes rWELL: Well-order r
    and      sWELL: Well-order s
begin

interpretation r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule rWELL)
interpretation s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule sWELL)

abbreviation SUPP ≡ support r.zero (Field s)
abbreviation FINFUNC ≡ FinFunc r s
lemmas FINFUNCD = FinFuncD[of - r s]

lemma fun-diff-alt: {a ∈ Field s. f a ≠ g a} = (SUPP f ∪ SUPP g) ∩ {a. f a ≠ g a}
  by (auto simp: support-def)

lemma max-fun-diff-alt:
  s.max-fun-diff f g = s.maxim ((SUPP f ∪ SUPP g) ∩ {a. f a ≠ g a})
  unfolding s.max-fun-diff-def fun-diff-alt ..
  using fun-unequal-in-support[off g] unfolding max-fun-diff-alt fun-diff-alt fun-eq-iff
  by (intro s.maxim-isMaxim) (auto simp: FinFunc-def fin-support-def support-def)

lemma isMaxim-max-fun-diff: [|f ≠ g; f ∈ FINFUNC; g ∈ FINFUNC|] ==>
  s.isMaxim {a ∈ Field s. f a ≠ g a} (s.max-fun-diff f g)
  using fun-unequal-in-support[off g] unfolding max-fun-diff-alt fun-diff-alt fun-eq-iff
  by (intro s.maxim-isMaxim) (auto simp: FinFunc-def fin-support-def support-def)

lemma max-fun-diff-in: [|f ≠ g; f ∈ FINFUNC; g ∈ FINFUNC|] ==>
  s.max-fun-diff f g ∈ {a ∈ Field s. f a ≠ g a}
  using isMaxim-max-fun-diff unfolding s.isMaxim-def by blast

lemma max-fun-diff-max: [|f ≠ g; f ∈ FINFUNC; g ∈ FINFUNC; x ∈ {a ∈ Field
  s. f a ≠ g a}|] ==>
  (x, s.max-fun-diff f g) ∈ s
  using isMaxim-max-fun-diff unfolding s.isMaxim-def by blast

lemma max-fun-diff:
  [|f ≠ g; f ∈ FINFUNC; g ∈ FINFUNC|] ==>
  (Ǝ a b. a ≠ b ∧ a ∈ Field r ∧ b ∈ Field r ∧
  f (s.max-fun-diff f g) = a ∧ g (s.max-fun-diff f g) = b)
  using isMaxim-max-fun-diff[off g] unfolding s.isMaxim-def FinFunc-def Func-def
  by auto

lemma max-fun-diff-le-eq:
  [|((s.max-fun-diff f g, x) ∈ s; f ≠ g; f ∈ FINFUNC; g ∈ FINFUNC; x ≠ s.max-fun-diff
  f g)|] ==>
  f x = g x
  using max-fun-diff-max[off f g x] antisymD[OF s.ANTISYM, of s.max-fun-diff f
  g x]
  by (auto simp: Field-def)

```

```

lemma max-fun-diff-max2:
assumes ineq: s.max-fun-diff f g = s.max-fun-diff g h —>
f (s.max-fun-diff f g) ≠ h (s.max-fun-diff g h) and
fg: f ≠ g and gh: g ≠ h and fh: f ≠ h and
f: f ∈ FINFUNC and g: g ∈ FINFUNC and h: h ∈ FINFUNC
shows s.max-fun-diff f h = s.max2 (s.max-fun-diff f g) (s.max-fun-diff g h)
(is ?fh = s.max2 ?fg ?gh)
proof (cases ?fg = ?gh)
case True
with ineq have f ?fg ≠ h ?fg by simp
moreover
{ fix x assume x: x ∈ {a ∈ Field s. f a ≠ h a}
hence (x, ?fg) ∈ s
proof (cases x = ?fg)
case False show ?thesis
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) True assms(5–7) max-fun-diff-max mem-Collect-eq
x)
qed (simp add: refl-onD[OF s.REFL])
}
ultimately have s.isMaxim {a ∈ Field s. f a ≠ h a} ?fg
unfolding s.isMaxim-def using max-fun-diff-in[OF fg f g] by simp
hence ?fh = ?fg using isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF fh f h] by blast
thus ?thesis unfolding True s.max2-def by simp
next
case False note *= this
show ?thesis
proof (cases (?fg, ?gh) ∈ s)
case True
hence *: f ?gh = g ?gh by (rule max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF - fg f g *[symmetric]])
hence s.isMaxim {a ∈ Field s. f a ≠ h a} ?gh using isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF
gh g h]
isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF fg f g] transD[OF s.TRANS - True]
unfolding s.isMaxim-def by auto
hence ?fh = ?gh using isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF fh f h] by blast
thus ?thesis using True unfolding s.max2-def by simp
next
case False
with max-fun-diff-in[OF fg f g] max-fun-diff-in[OF gh g h] have True: (?gh,
?fg) ∈ s
by (blast intro: s.in-notinI)
hence *: g ?fg = h ?fg by (rule max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF - gh g h *])
hence s.isMaxim {a ∈ Field s. f a ≠ h a} ?fg using isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF
gh g h]
isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF fg f g] True transD[OF s.TRANS, of - - ?fg]
unfolding s.isMaxim-def by auto
hence ?fh = ?fg using isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF fh f h] by blast
thus ?thesis using False unfolding s.max2-def by simp
qed

```

```

qed

definition oexp where
  oexp = {(f, g) . f ∈ FINFUNC ∧ g ∈ FINFUNC ∧
            ((let m = s.max-fun-diff f g in (f m, g m) ∈ r) ∨ f = g) }

lemma Field-oexp: Field oexp = FINFUNC
  unfolding oexp-def FinFunc-def by (auto simp: Let-def Field-def)

lemma oexp-Refl: Refl oexp
  unfolding refl-on-def Field-oexp unfolding oexp-def by (auto simp: Let-def)

lemma oexp-trans: trans oexp
proof (unfold trans-def, safe)
  fix f g h :: 'b ⇒ 'a
  let ?fg = s.max-fun-diff f g
  and ?gh = s.max-fun-diff g h
  and ?fh = s.max-fun-diff f h
  assume oexp: (f, g) ∈ oexp (g, h) ∈ oexp
  thus (f, h) ∈ oexp
    proof (cases f = g ∨ g = h)
      case False
      with oexp have f ∈ FINFUNC g ∈ FINFUNC h ∈ FINFUNC
        (f ?fg, g ?fg) ∈ r (g ?gh, h ?gh) ∈ r unfolding oexp-def Let-def by auto
      note * = this False
      show ?thesis
        proof (cases f ≠ h)
          case True
          show ?thesis
            proof (cases ?fg = ?gh → f ?fg ≠ h ?gh)
              case True
                show ?thesis using max-fun-diff-max2[of f g h, OF True] * ⟨f ≠ h⟩
                max-fun-diff-in
                  r.max2-iff[OF FINFUNCD FINFUNCD] r.max2-equals1[OF FINFUNCD
                  FINFUNCD] max-fun-diff-le-eq
                  s.in-notinI[OF disjI1] unfolding oexp-def Let-def s.max2-def mem-Collect-eq
                by safe metis
              next
              case False with * show ?thesis unfolding oexp-def Let-def
                using antisymD[OF r.ANTISYM, of g ?gh h ?gh] max-fun-diff-in[of g h]
              by auto
              qed
            qed (auto simp: oexp-def *(3))
          qed auto
        qed
      qed
    qed

lemma oexp-Preorder: Preorder oexp
  unfolding preorder-on-def using oexp-Refl oexp-trans by blast

```

```

lemma oexp-antisym: antisym oexp
proof (unfold antisym-def, safe, rule ccontr)
  fix f g assume (f, g) ∈ oexp (g, f) ∈ oexp g ≠ f
  thus False using refl-onD[OF r.REFL FINFUNCD] max-fun-diff-in unfolding
  oexp-def Let-def
    by (auto dest!: antisymD[OF r.ANTISYM] simp: s.max-fun-diff-commute)
qed

lemma oexp-Partial-order: Partial-order oexp
  unfolding partial-order-on-def using oexp-Preorder oexp-antisym by blast

lemma oexp-Total: Total oexp
  unfolding total-on-def Field-oexp unfolding oexp-def using FINFUNCD max-fun-diff-in
  by (auto simp: Let-def s.max-fun-diff-commute intro!: r.in-notinI)

lemma oexp-Linear-order: Linear-order oexp
  unfolding linear-order-on-def using oexp-Partial-order oexp-Total by blast

definition const = (λx. if x ∈ Field s then r.zero else undefined)

lemma const-in[simp]: x ∈ Field s  $\implies$  const x = r.zero
  unfolding const-def by auto

lemma const-notin[simp]: x ∉ Field s  $\implies$  const x = undefined
  unfolding const-def by auto

lemma const-Int-Field[simp]: Field s  $\cap - \{x. \text{const } x = r.\text{zero}\} = \{\}$ 
  by auto

lemma const-FINFUNC[simp]: Field r ≠ {}  $\implies$  const ∈ FINFUNC
  unfolding FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def support-def const-def Int-iff mem-Collect-eq
  using r.zero-in-Field by (metis (lifting) Collect-empty-eq finite.emptyI)

lemma const-least:
  assumes Field r ≠ {} f ∈ FINFUNC
  shows (const, f) ∈ oexp
  using assms const-FINFUNC max-fun-diff max-fun-diff-in oexp-def by fastforce

lemma support-not-const:
  assumes F ⊆ FINFUNC and const ∉ F
  shows  $\forall f \in F. \text{finite } (\text{SUPP } f) \wedge \text{SUPP } f \neq \{\} \wedge \text{SUPP } f \subseteq \text{Field } s$ 
proof (intro ballI conjI)
  fix f assume f ∈ F
  thus finite (SUPP f) SUPP f ⊆ Field s
    using assms(1) unfolding FinFunc-def fin-support-def support-def by auto
    show SUPP f ≠ {}
  proof (rule ccontr, unfold not-not)
    assume SUPP f = {}
    moreover from ⟨f ∈ F⟩ assms(1) have f ∈ FINFUNC by blast

```

```

ultimately have  $f = \text{const}$ 
  by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff support-def FinFunc-def Func-def const-def)
with assms(2) ‹ $f \in F$ › show False by blast
qed
qed

lemma maxim-isMaxim-support:
assumes  $F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$  and  $\text{const} \notin F$ 
shows  $\forall f \in F. s.\text{isMaxim}(\text{SUPP } f) \wedge s.\text{maxim}(\text{SUPP } f)$ 
using assms s.maxim-isMaxim support-not-const by force

lemma oexp-empty2: Field  $s = \{\} \implies \text{oexp} = \{(\lambda x. \text{undefined}, \lambda x. \text{undefined})\}$ 
  unfolding oexp-def FinFunc-def fin-support-def support-def by auto

lemma oexp-empty: [Field  $r = \{\}; \text{Field } s \neq \{\}] \implies \text{oexp} = \{\}$ 
  using FINFUNCD oexp-def by auto

lemma fun-upd-FINFUNC: [f ∈ FINFUNC; x ∈ Field s; y ∈ Field r]  $\implies f(x := y) \in \text{FINFUNC}$ 
  unfolding FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def
  by (auto intro: finite-subset[OF support-upd-subset])

lemma fun-upd-same-oexp:
assumes  $(f, g) \in \text{oexp}$   $f x = g x$   $x \in \text{Field } s$   $y \in \text{Field } r$ 
shows  $(f(x := y), g(x := y)) \in \text{oexp}$ 
proof -
  from assms(1) fun-upd-FINFUNC[OF - assms(3,4)] have fg:  $f(x := y) \in \text{FINFUNC}$ 
  unfolding oexp-def by auto
  moreover from assms(2) have s.max-fun-diff  $(f(x := y)) (g(x := y)) = s.\text{max-fun-diff } f g$ 
    unfolding s.max-fun-diff-def by auto metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms refl-onD[OF r.REFL] unfolding oexp-def
  Let-def by auto
qed

lemma fun-upd-smaller-oexp:
assumes  $f \in \text{FINFUNC}$   $x \in \text{Field } s$   $y \in \text{Field } r$   $(y, f x) \in r$ 
shows  $(f(x := y), f) \in \text{oexp}$ 
using assms fun-upd-FINFUNC[OF assms(1-3)] s.maxim-singleton[of x]
  unfolding oexp-def FinFunc-def Let-def fin-support-def s.max-fun-diff-def by
  (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma oexp-wf-Id: wf (oexp - Id)
proof (cases Field r = {} ∨ Field s = {})
  case True thus ?thesis using oexp-empty oexp-empty2 by fastforce
next
  case False
  hence Fields:  $\text{Field } s \neq \{} \wedge \text{Field } r \neq \{} \text{ by simp-all}$ 

```

```

hence [simp]:  $r.\text{zero} \in \text{Field } r$  by (intro  $r.\text{zero-in-Field}$ )
have  $\text{const}[\text{simp}]: \bigwedge F. [\text{const} \in F; F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}] \implies \exists f_0 \in F. \forall f \in F. (f_0, f) \in \text{oexp}$ 
using  $\text{const-least}[\text{OF Fields}(2)]$  by auto
show ?thesis
unfolding  $\text{Linear-order-wf-diff-Id}[\text{OF oexp-Linear-order}]$   $\text{Field-oexp}$ 
proof (intro allI impI)
fix A assume A:  $A \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$   $A \neq \{\}$ 
{ fix y F
have  $F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC} \wedge (\exists f \in F. y = s.\text{maxim}(\text{SUPP } f)) \longrightarrow (\exists f_0 \in F. \forall f \in F. (f_0, f) \in \text{oexp})$  (is ?P F y)
proof (induct y arbitrary: F rule: s.well-order-induct)
case (1 y)
show ?case
proof (intro impI, elim conjE bexE)
fix f assume F:  $F \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$   $f \in F$   $y = s.\text{maxim}(\text{SUPP } f)$ 
thus  $\exists f_0 \in F. \forall f \in F. (f_0, f) \in \text{oexp}$ 
proof (cases const ∈ F)
case False
with F have maxF:  $\forall f \in F. s.\text{isMaxim}(\text{SUPP } f) \wedge s.\text{maxim}(\text{SUPP } f)$ 
and SUPPF:  $\forall f \in F. \text{finite}(\text{SUPP } f) \wedge \text{SUPP } f \neq \{\} \wedge \text{SUPP } f \subseteq \text{Field } s$ 
using maxim-isMaxim-support support-not-const by auto
define z where z = s.minim {s.maxim(SUPP f) | f. f ∈ F}
from F SUPPF maxF have zmin: s.isMinim {s.maxim(SUPP f) | f. f ∈ F} z
unfolding z-def by (intro s.minim-isMinim) (auto simp: s.isMaxim-def)
with F have zy: (z, y) ∈ s unfolding s.isMinim-def by auto
hence zField: z ∈ Field s unfolding Field-def by auto
define x0 where x0 = r.minim {f z | f. f ∈ F ∧ z = s.maxim(SUPP f)}
from F(1,2) maxF(1) SUPPF zmin
have x0min: r.isMinim {f z | f. f ∈ F ∧ z = s.maxim(SUPP f)} x0
unfolding x0-def s.isMaxim-def s.isMinim-def
by (blast intro!: r.minim-isMinim FinFuncD[of - r s])
with maxF(1) SUPPF F(1) have x0Field: x0 ∈ Field r
unfolding r.isMinim-def s.isMaxim-def by (auto intro!: FINFUNCD)
from x0min maxF(1) SUPPF F(1) have x0notzero: x0 ≠ r.zero
unfolding r.isMinim-def s.isMaxim-def FinFunc-def Func-def support-def
by fastforce
define G where G = {f(z := r.zero) | f. f ∈ F ∧ z = s.maxim(SUPP f) ∧ f z = x0}
from zmin x0min have G ≠ {} unfolding G-def z-def s.isMinim-def
r.isMinim-def by blast
have GF: G ⊆ (λf. f(z := r.zero)) ` F unfolding G-def by auto
have G ⊆ fin-support r.zero (Field s)
unfolding FinFunc-def fin-support-def
using F(1) FinFunc-def G-def fin-support-def by fastforce
moreover from F GF zField have G ⊆ Func(Field s) (Field r)

```

```

using Func-upd[of - Field s Field r z r.zero] unfolding FinFunc-def
by auto
ultimately have G:  $G \subseteq \text{FINFUNC}$  unfolding FinFunc-def by blast
hence  $\exists g \in G. \forall g \in G. (g, g) \in oexp$ 
proof (cases const  $\in G$ )
case False
with G have maxG:  $\forall g \in G. s.\text{isMaxim} (\text{SUPP } g) \wedge s.\text{maxim} (\text{SUPP } g)$ 
and SUPPG:  $\forall g \in G. \text{finite} (\text{SUPP } g) \wedge \text{SUPP } g \neq \{\} \wedge \text{SUPP } g \subseteq \text{Field } s$ 
using maxim-isMaxim-support support-not-const by auto
define y' where  $y' = s.\text{minim} \{s.\text{maxim} (\text{SUPP } f) \mid f. f \in G\}$ 
from G SUPPG maxG <math>\setminus G \neq \{\}</math> have y'min:  $s.\text{isMinim} \{s.\text{maxim} (\text{SUPP } f) \mid f. f \in G\} y'$ 
unfolding y'-def by (intro s.minim-isMinim) (auto simp: s.isMaxim-def)
moreover
have  $\forall g \in G. z \notin \text{SUPP } g$  unfolding support-def G-def by auto
moreover
{ fix g assume g:  $g \in G$ 
then obtain f where f:  $f \in F$   $g = f(z := r.zero)$  and z:  $z = s.\text{maxim} (\text{SUPP } f)$ 
unfolding G-def by auto
with SUPPF bspec[OF SUPPG g] have  $(s.\text{maxim} (\text{SUPP } g), z) \in s$ 
unfolding z by (intro s.maxim-mono) auto
}
moreover from y'min have  $\bigwedge g. g \in G \implies (y', s.\text{maxim} (\text{SUPP } g)) \in s$ 
unfolding s.isMinim-def by auto
ultimately have  $y' \neq z$  (y', z)  $\in s$  using maxG
unfolding s.isMinim-def s.isMaxim-def by auto
with zy have  $y' \neq y$  (y', y)  $\in s$  using antisymD[OF s.ANTISYM]
transD[OF s.TRANS]
by blast+
moreover from <math>\setminus G \neq \{\}</math> have  $\exists g \in G. y' = \text{wo-rel.maxim } s (\text{SUPP } g)$  using y'min
by (auto simp: G-def s.isMinim-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using mp[OF spec[OF mp[OF spec[OF 1]]], of y' G] G by auto
qed simp
then obtain g0 where g0:  $g0 \in G \wedge \forall g \in G. (g0, g) \in oexp$  by blast
hence g0z:  $g0 z = r.zero$  unfolding G-def by auto
define f0 where f0:  $f0 = g0(z := x0)$ 
with x0notzero zField have SUPP:  $\text{SUPP } f0 = \text{SUPP } g0 \cup \{z\}$  unfolding support-def by auto
from g0z have f0z:  $f0(z := r.zero) = g0$  unfolding f0-def fun-upd-upd by auto
have f0:  $f0 \in F$  using x0min g0(1)
Func-elim[OF subsetD[OF subset-trans[OF F(1)[unfolded FinFunc-def] Int-lower1]] zField]

```

```

unfolding f0-def r.isMinim-def G-def by (force simp: fun-upd-idem)
from g0(1) maxF(1) have maxf0: s.maxim (SUPP f0) = z unfolding
SUPP G-def
  by (intro s.maxim-equality) (auto simp: s.isMaxim-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro bexI[OF - f0] ballI)
    fix f assume f: f ∈ F
    show (f0, f) ∈ oexp
    proof (cases f0 = f)
      case True thus ?thesis by (metis F(1) Field-oexp f0 in-mono oexp-Refl
refl-onD)
    next
      case False
      thus ?thesis
      proof (cases s.maxim (SUPP f) = z ∧ f z = x0)
        case True
        with f have f(z := r.zero) ∈ G unfolding G-def by blast
        with g0(2) f0z have (f0(z := r.zero), f(z := r.zero)) ∈ oexp by
auto
        hence oexp: (f0(z := r.zero, z := x0), f(z := r.zero, z := x0)) ∈
oexp
        by (elim fun-upd-same-oexp[OF - - zField x0Field]) simp
        with f F(1) x0min True
        have (f(z := x0), f) ∈ oexp unfolding G-def r.isMinim-def
        by (intro fun-upd-smaller-oexp[OF - zField x0Field]) auto
        with oexp show ?thesis using transD[OF oexp-trans, of f0 f(z :=
x0) f]
        unfolding f0-def by auto
      next
        case False note notG = this
        thus ?thesis
        proof (cases s.maxim (SUPP f) = z)
          case True
          with notG have f0 z ≠ f z unfolding f0-def by auto
          hence f0 z ≠ f z by metis
          with True maxf0 f0 f SUPPF have s.max-fun-diff f0 f = z
          using s.maxim-Un[of SUPP f0 SUPP f, unfolded s.max2-def]
          unfolding max-fun-diff-alt by (intro trans[OF s.maxim-Int])
        next
          case False
          with notG have *: (z, s.maxim (SUPP f)) ∈ s z ≠ s.maxim
(SUPP f)
          using zmin f unfolding s.isMinim-def G-def by auto
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

have f0f:  $f_0(s.\text{maxim}(\text{SUPP } f)) = r.\text{zero}$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume f0 (s.maxim(SUPP f)) ≠ r.zero
  with f SUPPF maxF(1) have s.maxim(SUPP f) ∈ SUPP f0
    unfolding support-def[of - - f0] s.isMaxim-def by auto
    with SUPPF f0 have (s.maxim(SUPP f), z) ∈ s unfolding
      maxf0[symmetric]
      by (auto intro: s.maxim-greatest)
      with * antisymD[OF s.ANTISYM] show False by simp
    qed
  moreover
  have f (s.maxim(SUPP f)) ≠ r.zero
    using bspec[OF maxF(1) f, unfolded s.isMaxim-def] by (auto
      simp: support-def)
    with f0f * ff0 maxf0 SUPPF
    have s.max-fun-diff f0 f = s.maxim(SUPP f0 ∪ SUPP f)
    unfolding max-fun-diff-alt using s.maxim-Un[of SUPP f0 SUPP
      f]
    by (intro s.maxim-Int) (auto simp: s.max2-def)
  moreover have s.maxim(SUPP f0 ∪ SUPP f) = s.maxim(SUPP
    f)
    using s.maxim-Un[of SUPP f0 SUPP f] * maxf0 SUPPF f0 f
    by (auto simp: s.max2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using f f0 F(1) maxF(1) SUPPF
  unfolding oexp-def Let-def
    by (fastforce simp: s.isMaxim-def intro!: r.zero-smallest
      FINFUNCDef)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed simp
  qed
  qed
  qed
  }
  with A show ∃ a ∈ A. ∀ a' ∈ A. (a, a') ∈ oexp
    by blast
  qed
qed
```

**lemma** oexp-Well-order: Well-order oexp  
**unfolding** well-order-on-def **using** oexp-Linear-order oexp-wf-Id **by** blast

**interpretation** o: wo-rel oexp **by** unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order)

**lemma** zero-oexp: Field r ≠ {}  $\implies$  o.zero = const  
**by** (metis Field-oexp const-FINFUNC const-least o.Field-ofilter o.equals-minim  
o.ofilter-def o.zero-def)

```

end

notation wo-rel2.oexp (infixl  $\wedge_o$  90)
lemmas oexp-def = wo-rel2.oexp-def[unfolded wo-rel2-def, OF conjI]
lemmas oexp-Well-order = wo-rel2.oexp-Well-order[unfolded wo-rel2-def, OF conjI]
lemmas Field-oexp = wo-rel2.Field-oexp[unfolded wo-rel2-def, OF conjI]

definition ozero = {}

lemma ozero-Well-order[simp]: Well-order ozero
  unfolding ozero-def by simp

lemma ozero-ordIso[simp]: ozero =o ozero
  unfolding ozero-def ordIso-def iso-def[abs-def] embed-def bij-betw-def by auto

lemma Field-ozero[simp]: Field ozero = {}
  unfolding ozero-def by simp

lemma iso-ozero-empty[simp]: r =o ozero = (r = {})
  unfolding ozero-def ordIso-def iso-def[abs-def] embed-def bij-betw-def
  by (auto dest: well-order-on-domain)

lemma ozero-ordLeq:
  assumes Well-order r shows ozero  $\leq_o$  r
  using assms unfolding ozero-def ordLeq-def embed-def[abs-def] under-def by
  auto

definition oone = {(((),()))}

lemma oone-Well-order[simp]: Well-order oone
  unfolding oone-def unfolding well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
  preorder-on-def total-on-def refl-on-def trans-def antisym-def by auto

lemma Field-oone[simp]: Field oone = {()}
  unfolding oone-def by simp

lemma oone-ordIso: oone =o {(x,x)}
  unfolding ordIso-def oone-def well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
  preorder-on-def total-on-def refl-on-def trans-def antisym-def
  by (auto simp: iso-def embed-def bij-betw-def under-def inj-on-def intro!: exI[of -
   $\lambda$ -. x])

lemma osum-ordLeqR: Well-order r  $\implies$  Well-order s  $\implies$  s  $\leq_o$  r +o s
  unfolding ordLeq-def2 underS-def
  by (auto intro!: exI[of - Inr] osum-Well-order) (auto simp add: osum-def Field-def)

lemma osum-congL:
  assumes r =o s and t: Well-order t
  shows r +o t =o s +o t (is ?L =o ?R)

```

```

proof -
  from assms(1) obtain f where r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: iso
  r s f
    unfolding ordIso-def by blast
  let ?f = map-sum f id
  from f have inj-on ?f (Field ?L)
    unfolding Field-osum iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def by fastforce
  with f have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
    unfolding Field-osum iso-def bij-betw-def image-image image-Un by auto
  moreover from f have compat ?L ?R ?f
    unfolding osum-def iso-iff3[OF r s] compat-def bij-betw-def
    by (auto simp: map-prod-imageI)
  ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: osum-Well-order
  r s t)
  thus ?thesis unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t)
qed

```

```

lemma osum-congR:
  assumes r =o s and t: Well-order t
  shows t +o r =o t +o s (is ?L =o ?R)
proof -
  from assms(1) obtain f where r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: iso
  r s f
    unfolding ordIso-def by blast
  let ?f = map-sum id f
  from f have inj-on ?f (Field ?L)
    unfolding Field-osum iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def by fastforce
  with f have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
    unfolding Field-osum iso-def bij-betw-def image-image image-Un by auto
  moreover from f have compat ?L ?R ?f
    unfolding osum-def iso-iff3[OF r s] compat-def bij-betw-def
    by (auto simp: map-prod-imageI)
  ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: osum-Well-order
  r s t)
  thus ?thesis unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t)
qed

```

```

lemma osum-cong:
  assumes t =o u and r =o s
  shows t +o r =o u +o s
  using ordIso-transitive[OF osum-congL[OF assms(1)] osum-congR[OF assms(2)]]
  assms[unfolded ordIso-def] by auto

```

```

lemma Well-order-empty[simp]: Well-order {}
  unfolding Field-empty by (rule well-order-on-empty)

```

```

lemma well-order-on-singleton[simp]: well-order-on {x} {(x, x)}
  unfolding well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
  total-on-def

```

*Field-def refl-on-def trans-def antisym-def by auto*

```

lemma oexp-empty[simp]:
  assumes Well-order r
  shows r ^o {} = {(\lambda x. undefined, \lambda x. undefined)}
  unfolding oexp-def[OF assms Well-order-empty] FinFunc-def fin-support-def
  support-def by auto

lemma oexp-empty2[simp]:
  assumes Well-order r r ≠ {}
  shows {} ^o r = {}
proof –
  from assms(2) have Field r ≠ {} unfolding Field-def by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms(1) wo-rel2.intro wo-rel2.oexp-empty)
qed

lemma oprod-zero[simp]: {} *o r = {} r *o {} = {}
  unfolding oprod-def by simp-all

lemma oprod-congL:
  assumes r =o s and t: Well-order t
  shows r *o t =o s *o t (is ?L =o ?R)
proof –
  from assms(1) obtain f where r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: iso
  r s f
    unfolding ordIso-def by blast
    let ?f = map-prod f id
    from f have inj-on ?f (Field ?L)
    unfolding Field-oprod iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def by fastforce
    with f have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
    unfolding Field-oprod iso-def bij-betw-def by (auto intro!: map-prod-surj-on)
    moreover from f have compat ?L ?R ?f
      unfolding iso-iff3[OF r s] compat-def oprod-def bij-betw-def
      by (auto simp: map-prod-imageI)
    ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oprod-Well-order
    r s t)
    thus ?thesis unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t)
qed

lemma oprod-congR:
  assumes r =o s and t: Well-order t
  shows t *o r =o t *o s (is ?L =o ?R)
proof –
  from assms(1) obtain f where r: Well-order r and s: Well-order s and f: iso
  r s f
    unfolding ordIso-def by blast
    let ?f = map-prod id f
    from f have inj-on ?f (Field ?L)

```

```

unfolding Field-oprod iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def by fastforce
with f have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
unfolding Field-oprod iso-def bij-betw-def by (auto intro!: map-prod-surj-on)
moreover from f well-order-on-domain[OF r] have compat ?L ?R ?f
unfolding iso-iff3[OF r s] compat-def oprod-def bij-betw-def
by (auto simp: map-prod-imageI dest: inj-onD)
ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oprod-Well-order
r s t)
thus ?thesis unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t)
qed

lemma oprod-cong:
assumes t =o u and r =o s
shows t *o r =o u *o s
using ordIso-transitive[OF oprod-congL[OF assms(1)] oprod-congR[OF assms(2)]]
assms[unfolded ordIso-def] by auto

lemma Field-singleton[simp]: Field {(z,z)} = {z}
by (metis well-order-on-Field well-order-on-singleton)

lemma zero-singleton[simp]: zero {(z,z)} = z
using wo-rel.zero-in-Field[unfolded wo-rel-def, of {(z,z)}] well-order-on-singleton[of
z]
by auto

lemma FinFunc-singleton: FinFunc {(z,z)} s = {λx. if x ∈ Field s then z else
undefined}
unfolding FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def support-def
by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff split: if-split-asm intro!: finite-subset[of - {}])

lemma oone-ordIso-oexp:
assumes r =o oone and s: Well-order s
shows r ^o s =o oone (is ?L =o ?R)
proof –
from ⟨r =o oone⟩ obtain f where ∗: ∀x∈Field r. ∀y∈Field r. x = y and f ‘
Field r = {()}
and r: Well-order r
unfolding ordIso-def oone-def by (auto simp add: iso-def [abs-def] bij-betw-def
inj-on-def)
then obtain x where x ∈ Field r by auto
with ∗ have Fr: Field r = {x} by auto
interpret r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule r)
from Fr well-order-on-domain[OF r] refl-onD[OF r.REFL, of x] have r-def: r
= {(x, x)} by fast
interpret wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
have bij-betw (λx. ()) (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
unfolding bij-betw-def Field-oexp by (auto simp: r-def FinFunc-singleton)
moreover have compat ?L ?R (λx. ()) unfolding compat-def oone-def by auto
ultimately have iso ?L ?R (λx. ()) using s oone-Well-order

```

```

    by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oexp-Well-order)
  thus ?thesis using s oone-Well-order unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro:
oexp-Well-order)
qed

context
fixes r s t
assumes r: Well-order r
assumes s: Well-order s
assumes t: Well-order t
begin

lemma osum-ozeroL: ozero +o r =o r
  using r unfolding osum-def ozero-def by (auto intro: map-prod-ordIso)

lemma osum-ozeroR: r +o ozero =o r
  using r unfolding osum-def ozero-def by (auto intro: map-prod-ordIso)

lemma osum-assoc: (r +o s) +o t =o r +o s +o t (is ?L =o ?R)
proof -
  let ?f =
    λrst. case rst of Inl (Inl r) ⇒ Inl r | Inl (Inr s) ⇒ Inr (Inl s) | Inr t ⇒ Inr
    (Inr t)
  have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
    unfolding Field-osum bij-betw-def inj-on-def by (auto simp: image-Un image-iff)
  moreover
  have compat ?L ?R ?f
  proof (unfold compat-def, safe)
    fix a b
    assume (a, b) ∈ ?L
    thus (?f a, ?f b) ∈ ?R
      unfolding osum-def[of r +o s t] osum-def[of r s +o t] Field-osum
      unfolding osum-def Field-osum image-iff image-Un map-prod-def
      by fastforce
  qed
  ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f using r s t by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro:
osum-Well-order)
  thus ?thesis using r s t unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: osum-Well-order)
qed

lemma osum-monoR:
  assumes s <o t
  shows r +o s <o r +o t (is ?L <o ?R)
proof -
  from assms obtain f where s: Well-order s and t: Well-order t and embedS s
t f
  unfolding ordLess-def by blast

```

```

hence *: inj-on f (Field s) compat s t f ofilter t (f ` Field s) f ` Field s ⊂ Field t
  using embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[OF s t, of f] embedS-iff[OF s, of t f]
  unfolding embedS-def by auto
let ?f = map-sum id f
from *(1) have inj-on ?f (Field ?L) unfolding Field-osum inj-on-def by fast-
force
moreover
from *(2,4) have compat ?L ?R ?f unfolding compat-def osum-def map-prod-def
by fastforce
moreover
interpret t: wo-rel t by unfold-locales (rule t)
interpret rt: wo-rel ?R by unfold-locales (rule osum-Well-order[OF r t])
from *(3) have ofilter ?R (?f ` Field ?L)
  unfolding t.ofilter-def rt.ofilter-def Field-osum image-Un image-image un-
der-def
  by (auto simp: osum-def intro!: imageI) (auto simp: Field-def)
ultimately have embed ?L ?R ?f using embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[of ?L ?R
?f]
  by (auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t)
moreover
from *(4) have ?f ` Field ?L ⊂ Field ?R unfolding Field-osum image-Un
image-image by auto
ultimately have embedS ?L ?R ?f using embedS-iff[OF osum-Well-order[OF r
s], of ?R ?f] by auto
thus ?thesis unfolding ordLess-def by (auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t)
qed

lemma osum-monoL:
assumes r ≤o s
shows r +o t ≤o s +o t
proof -
  from assms obtain f where f: ∀ a∈Field r. f a ∈ Field s ∧ f ` underS r a ⊆
underS s (f a)
    unfolding ordLeq-def2 by blast
  let ?f = map-sum f id
  from f have ∀ a∈Field (r +o t).
    ?f a ∈ Field (s +o t) ∧ ?f ` underS (r +o t) a ⊆ underS (s +o t) (?f a)
    unfolding Field-osum underS-def by (fastforce simp: osum-def)
  thus ?thesis unfolding ordLeq-def2 by (auto intro: osum-Well-order r s t)
qed

lemma oprod-ozeroL: ozero *o r =o ozero
using ozero-ordIso unfolding ozero-def by simp

lemma oprod-ozeroR: r *o ozero =o ozero
using ozero-ordIso unfolding ozero-def by simp

lemma oprod-ooneR: r *o oone =o r (is ?L =o ?R)
proof -

```

```

have bij-betw fst (Field ?L) (Field ?R) unfolding Field-oprod bij-betw-def inj-on-def
by simp
moreover have compat ?L ?R fst unfolding compat-def oprod-def by auto
ultimately have iso ?L ?R fst using r oone-Well-order
by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oprod-Well-order)
thus ?thesis using r oone-Well-order unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro:
oprod-Well-order)
qed

lemma oprod-ooneL: oone *o r =o r (is ?L =o ?R)
proof -
have bij-betw snd (Field ?L) (Field ?R) unfolding Field-oprod bij-betw-def
inj-on-def by simp
moreover have Refl r by (rule wo-rel.REFL[unfolded wo-rel-def, OF r])
hence compat ?L ?R snd unfolding compat-def oprod-def refl-on-def by auto
ultimately have iso ?L ?R snd using r oone-Well-order
by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oprod-Well-order)
thus ?thesis using r oone-Well-order unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro:
oprod-Well-order)
qed

lemma oprod-monoR:
assumes ozero <o r s <o t
shows r *o s <o r *o t (is ?L <o ?R)
proof -
from assms obtain f where s: Well-order s and t: Well-order t and embedS s
t f
unfolding ordLess-def by blast
hence *: inj-on f (Field s) compat s t f ofilter t (f ` Field s) f ` Field s ⊂ Field t
using embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[OF s t, of f] embedS-iff[OF s, of t f]
unfolding embedS-def by auto
let ?f = map-prod id f
from *(1) have inj-on ?f (Field ?L) unfolding Field-oprod inj-on-def by fast-
force
moreover
from *(2,4) the-inv-into-f-f[OF *(1)] have compat ?L ?R ?f unfolding com-
pat-def oprod-def
by auto (metis well-order-on-domain t, metis well-order-on-domain s)
moreover
interpret t: wo-rel t by unfold-locales (rule t)
interpret rt: wo-rel ?R by unfold-locales (rule oprod-Well-order[OF r t])
from *(3) have ofilter ?R (?f ` Field ?L)
unfolding t.ofilter-def rt.ofilter-def Field-oprod under-def
by (auto simp: oprod-def image-iff) (fast | metis r well-order-on-domain) +
ultimately have embed ?L ?R ?f using embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[of ?L ?R
?f]
by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t)
moreover
from not-ordLess-ordIso[OF assms(1)] have r ≠ {} by (metis ozero-def ozero-ordIso)

```

```

hence Field r ≠ {} unfolding Field-def by auto
with *(4) have ?f ‘ Field ?L ⊂ Field ?R unfolding Field-oprod
  by auto (metis SigmaD2 SigmaI map-prod-surj-on)
  ultimately have embedS ?L ?R ?f using embedS-iff[OF oprod-Well-order[OF
r s], of ?R ?f] by auto
  thus ?thesis unfolding ordLess-def by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t)
qed

```

```

lemma oprod-monoL:
  assumes r ≤o s
  shows r *o t ≤o s *o t
proof –
  from assms obtain f where f: ∀ a∈Field r. f a ∈ Field s ∧ f ‘ underS r a ⊆
underS s (f a)
  unfolding ordLeq-def2 by blast
  let ?f = map-prod f id
  from f have ∀ a∈Field (r *o t).
    ?f a ∈ Field (s *o t) ∧ ?f ‘ underS (r *o t) a ⊆ underS (s *o t) (?f a)
  unfolding Field-oprod underS-def unfolding map-prod-def oprod-def by auto
  thus ?thesis unfolding ordLeq-def2 by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order r s t)
qed

```

```

lemma oprod-assoc: (r *o s) *o t =o r *o s *o t (is ?L =o ?R)
proof –
  let ?f = λ((a,b),c). (a,b,c)
  have bij-btw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
  unfolding Field-oprod bij-btw-def inj-on-def by (auto simp: image-Un image-iff)
  moreover
  have compat ?L ?R ?f
  proof (unfold compat-def, safe)
    fix a1 a2 a3 b1 b2 b3
    assume (((a1, a2), a3), ((b1, b2), b3)) ∈ ?L
    thus ((a1, a2, a3), (b1, b2, b3)) ∈ ?R
    unfolding oprod-def[of r *o s t] oprod-def[of r s *o t] Field-oprod
    unfolding oprod-def Field-oprod image-iff image-Un by fast
  qed
  ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f using r s t by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro:
oprod-Well-order)
  thus ?thesis using r s t unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order)
qed

```

```

lemma oprod-osum: r *o (s +o t) =o r *o s +o r *o t (is ?L =o ?R)
proof –
  let ?f = λ(a,bc). case bc of Inl b ⇒ Inl (a, b) | Inr c ⇒ Inr (a, c)
  have bij-btw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R) unfolding Field-oprod Field-osum bij-btw-def
inj-on-def
  by (fastforce simp: image-Un image-iff split: sum.splits)
  moreover

```

```

have compat ?L ?R ?f
proof (unfold compat-def, intro allI impI)
fix a b
assume (a, b) ∈ ?L
thus (?f a, ?f b) ∈ ?R
  unfolding oprod-def[of r s +o t] osum-def[of r *o s r *o t] Field-oprod
Field-osum
  unfolding oprod-def osum-def Field-oprod Field-osum image-iff image-Un by
auto
qed
ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f using r s t
  by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oprod-Well-order osum-Well-order)
thus ?thesis using r s t unfolding ordIso-def by (auto intro: oprod-Well-order
osum-Well-order)
qed

lemma ozero-oexp: ¬ (s =o ozero) ⇒ ozero ≈ o s =o ozero
  by (fastforce simp add: oexp-def[OF ozero-Well-order s] FinFunc-def Func-def
intro: FieldI1)

lemma oone-oexp: oone ≈ o s =o oone (is ?L =o ?R)
  by (rule oone-ordIso-oexp[OF ordIso-reflexive[OF oone-Well-order] s])

lemma oexp-monoR:
assumes oone < o r s < o t
shows r ≈ o s < o r ≈ o t (is ?L < o ?R)
proof -
interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
interpret rt: wo-rel2 r t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule t)
interpret rexpt: wo-rel r ≈ o t by unfold-locales (rule rt.oexp-Well-order)
interpret r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule r)
interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)
interpret t: wo-rel t by unfold-locales (rule t)
have Field r ≠ {} by (metis assms(1) internalize-ordLess not-psubset-empty)
moreover
{ assume Field r = {r.zero}
  hence r = {(r.zero, r.zero)} using refl-onD[OF r.REFL, of r.zero] unfolding
Field-def by auto
  hence r =o oone by (metis oone-ordIso ordIso-symmetric)
  with not-ordLess-ordIso[OF assms(1)] have False by (metis ordIso-symmetric)
}
ultimately obtain x where x: x ∈ Field r r.zero ∈ Field r x ≠ r.zero
  by (metis insert-iff r.zero-in-Field subsetI subset-singletonD)
moreover from assms(2) obtain f where embedS s t f unfolding ordLess-def
by blast
hence *: inj-on f (Field s) compat s t f ofilter t (f ` Field s) f ` Field s ⊂ Field t
  using embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[OF s t, of f] embedS-iff[OF s, of t f]
  unfolding embedS-def by auto
note invff = the-inv-into-f-f[OF *(1)] and injfD = inj-onD[OF *(1)]

```

```

define F where [abs-def]: F g z =
  (if z ∈ f ‘ Field s then g (the-inv-into (Field s) f z)
   else if z ∈ Field t then r.zero else undefined) for g z
from *(4) x(2) the-inv-into-f-eq[OF *(1)] have FLR: F ‘ Field ?L ⊆ Field ?R
  unfolding rt.Field-oexp rs.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def support-def F-def
  by (fastforce split: option.splits if-split-asm elim!: finite-surj[of - - f])
have inj-on F (Field ?L) unfolding rs.Field-oexp inj-on-def fun-eq-iff
proof safe
  fix g h x assume g ∈ FinFunc r s h ∈ FinFunc r s ∀ y. F g y = F h y
  with invff show g x = h x unfolding F-def fun-eq-iff FinFunc-def Func-def
  by auto (metis image-eqI)
qed
moreover
have compat ?L ?R F unfolding compat-def rs.oexp-def rt.oexp-def
proof (safe elim!: bspec[OF iffD1[OF image-subset-iff FLR[unfolded rs.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp]]])
  fix g h assume gh: g ∈ FinFunc r s h ∈ FinFunc r s F g ≠ F h
  let m = s.max-fun-diff g h in (g m, h m) ∈ r
  hence g ≠ h by auto
  note max-fun-diff-in = rs.max-fun-diff-in[OF ⟨g ≠ h⟩ gh(1,2)]
  and max-fun-diff-max = rs.max-fun-diff-max[OF ⟨g ≠ h⟩ gh(1,2)]
  with *(4) invff *(2) have t.max-fun-diff (F g) (F h) = f (s.max-fun-diff g h)
  unfolding t.max-fun-diff-def compat-def
  by (intro t.maxim-equality) (auto simp: t.isMaxim-def F-def dest: injfD)
  with gh invff max-fun-diff-in
  show let m = t.max-fun-diff (F g) (F h) in (F g m, F h m) ∈ r
  unfolding F-def Let-def by (auto simp: dest: injfD)
qed
moreover
from FLR have ofilter ?R (F ‘ Field ?L)
  unfolding rexpt.ofilter-def under-def rs.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp unfolding
rt.oexp-def
  proof (safe elim!: imageI)
  fix g h assume gh: g ∈ FinFunc r s h ∈ FinFunc r t F g ∈ FinFunc r t
  let m = t.max-fun-diff h (F g) in (h m, F g m) ∈ r
  thus h ∈ F ‘ FinFunc r s
  proof (cases h = F g)
  case False
  hence max-Field: t.max-fun-diff h (F g) ∈ {a ∈ Field t. h a ≠ F g a}
  by (rule rt.max-fun-diff-in[OF - gh(2,3)])
  { assume *: t.max-fun-diff h (F g) ∈ f ‘ Field s
  with max-Field have **: F g (t.max-fun-diff h (F g)) = r.zero unfolding
F-def by auto
  with * gh(4) have h (t.max-fun-diff h (F g)) = r.zero unfolding Let-def
by auto
  with ** have False using max-Field gh(2,3) unfolding FinFunc-def
Func-def by auto
  }

```

```

hence max-f-Field: t.max-fun-diff h (F g) ∈ f ` Field s by blast
{ fix z assume z: z ∈ Field t – f ` Field s
  have (t.max-fun-diff h (F g), z) ∈ t
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume (t.max-fun-diff h (F g), z) ∉ t
    hence (z, t.max-fun-diff h (F g)) ∈ t using t.in-notinI[of t.max-fun-diff
h (F g) z]
      z max-Field by auto
    hence z ∈ f ` Field s using *(3) max-f-Field unfolding t.ofilter-def
under-def
      by fastforce
      with z show False by blast
    qed
    hence h z = r.zero using rt.max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF - False gh(2,3), of z]
      z max-f-Field unfolding F-def by auto
  } note ** = this
  with *(3) gh(2) have h = F (λx. if x ∈ Field s then h (f x) else undefined)
using invff
  unfolding F-def fun-eq-iff FinFunc-def Func-def Let-def t.ofilter-def under-def
by auto
  moreover from gh(2) *(1,3) have (λx. if x ∈ Field s then h (f x) else
undefined) ∈ FinFunc r s
  unfolding FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def support-def t.ofilter-def
under-def
  by (auto intro: subset-inj-on elim!: finite-imageD[OF finite-subset[rotated]])
  ultimately show ?thesis by (rule image-eqI)
  qed simp
qed
ultimately have embed ?L ?R F using embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[of ?L ?R
F]
  by (auto intro: oexp-Well-order r s t)
moreover
from FLR have F ` Field ?L ⊂ Field ?R
proof (intro psubsetI)
  from *(4) obtain z where z: z ∈ Field t z ∉ f ` Field s by auto
  define h where [abs-def]: h z' =
    (if z' ∈ Field t then if z' = z then r.zero else undefined) for z'
  from z x(3) have rt.SUPP h = {z} unfolding support-def h-def by simp
  with x have h ∈ Field ?R unfolding h-def rt.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def
fin-support-def
    by auto
  moreover
  { fix g
    from z have F g z = r.zero h z = x unfolding support-def h-def F-def by
auto
      with x(3) have F g ≠ h unfolding fun-eq-iff by fastforce
  }
  hence h ∉ F ` Field ?L by blast
  ultimately show F ` Field ?L ≠ Field ?R by blast

```

```

qed
ultimately have embedS ?L ?R F using embedS-iff[OF rs.oexp-Well-order, of
?R F] by auto
thus ?thesis unfolding ordLess-def using r s t by (auto intro: oexp-Well-order)
qed

lemma oexp-monoL:
assumes r ≤o s
shows r ^o t ≤o s ^o t
proof -
interpret rt: wo-rel2 r t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule t)
interpret st: wo-rel2 s t by unfold-locales (rule s, rule t)
interpret r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule r)
interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)
interpret t: wo-rel t by unfold-locales (rule t)
show ?thesis
proof (cases t = {})
case True thus ?thesis using r s unfolding ordLeq-def2 underS-def by auto
next
case False thus ?thesis
proof (cases r = {})
case True thus ?thesis using t ‹t ≠ {}› st.oexp-Well-order ozero-ordLeq[unfolded
ozero-def]
by auto
next
case False
from assms obtain f where f: embed r s f unfolding ordLeq-def by blast
hence f-underS: ∀ a∈Field r. f a ∈ Field s ∧ f ` underS r a ⊆ underS s (f a)
using embed-in-Field embed-underS2 rt.rWELL by fastforce
from f ‹t ≠ {}› False have *: Field r ≠ {} Field s ≠ {} Field t ≠ {}
unfolding Field-def embed-def under-def bij-betw-def by auto
with f obtain x where s.zero = f x x ∈ Field r unfolding embed-def
bij-betw-def
using s.zero-under subsetD[OF under-Field[of r]]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) f-inv-into-ff-underS inv-into-into r.zero-in-Field)
with f have fz: f r.zero = s.zero and inj: inj-on f (Field r) and compat:
compat r s f
unfolding embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[OF r s] compat-def
by (fastforce intro: s.leq-zero-imp)+
let ?f = λg x. if x ∈ Field t then f (g x) else undefined
{ fix g assume g: g ∈ Field (r ^o t)
with fz f-underS have Field-fg: ?f g ∈ Field (s ^o t)
unfolding st.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def
support-def
by (auto elim!: finite-subset[rotated])
moreover
have ?f ` underS (r ^o t) g ⊆ underS (s ^o t) (?f g)
proof safe
fix h

```

```

assume h-underS:  $h \in \text{underS} (r \wedge o t) g$ 
hence  $h \in \text{Field} (r \wedge o t)$  unfolding underS-def Field-def by auto
with fz f-underS have Field-fh:  $?f h \in \text{Field} (s \wedge o t)$ 
unfolding st.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def
support-def
by (auto elim!: finite-subset[rotated])
from h-underS have  $h \neq g$  and hg:  $(h, g) \in rt.oexp$  unfolding underS-def
by auto
with f inj have neq:  $?f h \neq ?f g$ 
unfolding fun-eq-iff inj-on-def rt.oexp-def map-option-case FinFunc-def
Func-def Let-def
by simp metis
with hg have  $t.\max\text{-fun}\text{-diff} (?f h) (?f g) = t.\max\text{-fun}\text{-diff} h g$  unfolding
rt.oexp-def
using rt.max-fun-diff[ $OF \langle h \neq g \rangle$ ] rt.max-fun-diff-in[ $OF \langle h \neq g \rangle$ ]
by (subst t.max-fun-diff-def, intro t.maxim-equality)
(auto simp: t.isMaxim-def intro: inj-onD[ $OF \langle h \neq g \rangle$ ] intro!: rt.max-fun-diff-max)
with Field-fg Field-fh hg fz f-underS compat neq have  $(?f h, ?f g) \in st.oexp$ 
using rt.max-fun-diff[ $OF \langle h \neq g \rangle$ ] rt.max-fun-diff-in[ $OF \langle h \neq g \rangle$ ]
unfolding st.Field-oexp
unfolding rt.oexp-def st.oexp-def Let-def compat-def by auto
with neq show  $?f h \in \text{underS} (s \wedge o t) (?f g)$  unfolding underS-def by
auto
qed
ultimately have  $?f g \in \text{Field} (s \wedge o t) \wedge ?f \in \text{underS} (r \wedge o t) g \subseteq \text{underS}$ 
 $(s \wedge o t) (?f g)$ 
by blast
}
thus ?thesis unfolding ordLeq-def2 by (fastforce intro: oexp-Well-order r s
t)
qed
qed
qed
lemma ordLeq-oexp2:
assumes oone < o r
shows  $s \leq o r \wedge o s$ 
proof –
interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
interpret r: wo-rel r by unfold-locales (rule r)
interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)
from assms well-order-on-domain[ $OF r$ ] obtain x where
x:  $x \in \text{Field} r$   $r.\text{zero} \in \text{Field} r$   $x \neq r.\text{zero}$ 
unfolding ordLess-def oone-def embedS-def[abs-def] bij-betw-def embed-def un-
der-def
by (auto simp: image-def)
(metis (lifting) equals0D mem-Collect-eq r.zero-in-Field singletonI)
let ?f =  $\lambda a b. \text{if } b \in \text{Field} s \text{ then if } b = a \text{ then } x \text{ else } r.\text{zero} \text{ else undefined}$ 
from x(3) have SUPP:  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Field} s \implies rs.\text{SUPP} (?f y) = \{y\}$  unfolding

```

```

support-def by auto
{ fix y assume y:  $y \in \text{Field } s$ 
  with  $x(1,2) \text{ SUPP}$  have  $?f y \in \text{Field } (r \wedge o s)$  unfolding  $rs.\text{Field-oexp}$ 
    by (auto simp: FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def)
  moreover
  have  $?f' \text{ underS } s y \subseteq \text{underS } (r \wedge o s) (?f y)$ 
  proof safe
    fix z
    assume  $z \in \text{underS } s y$ 
    hence  $z: z \neq y (z, y) \in s z \in \text{Field } s$  unfolding  $\text{underS-def Field-def}$  by
      auto
    from  $x(3) y z(1,3)$  have  $?f z \neq ?f y$  unfolding  $\text{fun-eq-iff}$  by auto
    moreover
    { from  $x(1,2)$  have  $?f z \in \text{FinFunc } r s ?f y \in \text{FinFunc } r s$ 
      unfolding  $\text{FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def}$  by (auto simp: SUPP[OF
       $z(3)] \text{ SUPP}[OF y])$ 
      moreover
      from  $x(3) y z(1,2)$  refl-onD[ $\text{OF } s.\text{REFL}$ ] have  $s.\text{max-fun-diff} (?f z) (?f y)$ 
      = y
      unfolding  $rs.\text{max-fun-diff-alt}$  SUPP[ $OF z(3)] \text{ SUPP}[OF y]$ 
      by (intro  $s.\text{maxim-equality}$ ) (auto simp:  $s.\text{isMaxim-def}$ )
      ultimately have  $(?f z, ?f y) \in rs.\text{oexp}$  using  $y x(1)$ 
      unfolding  $rs.\text{oexp-def Let-def}$  by auto
    }
    ultimately show  $?f z \in \text{underS } (r \wedge o s) (?f y)$  unfolding  $\text{underS-def}$  by
      blast
  qed
  ultimately have  $?f y \in \text{Field } (r \wedge o s) \wedge ?f' \text{ underS } s y \subseteq \text{underS } (r \wedge o s) (?f y)$  by
  blast
  thus ?thesis unfolding ordLeq-def2 by (fast intro: oexp-Well-order r s)
qed

```

```

lemma FinFunc-osum:
   $fg \in \text{FinFunc } r (s + o t) = (fg o Inl \in \text{FinFunc } r s \wedge fg o Inr \in \text{FinFunc } r t)$ 
  (is  $?L = (?R1 \wedge ?R2)$ )
proof safe
  assume ?L
  from <?L> show ?R1 unfolding FinFunc-def Field-osum Func-def Int-iff fin-support-Field-osum
  o-def
    by (auto split: sum.splits)
  from <?L> show ?R2 unfolding FinFunc-def Field-osum Func-def Int-iff fin-support-Field-osum
  o-def
    by (auto split: sum.splits)
next
  assume ?R1 ?R2
  thus ?L unfolding FinFunc-def Field-osum Func-def
    by (auto simp: fin-support-Field-osum o-def image-iff split: sum.splits) (metis
    sumE)

```

qed

**lemma** max-fun-diff-eq-Inl:

**assumes** wo-rel.max-fun-diff (s +o t) (case-sum f1 g1) (case-sum f2 g2) = Inl x  
case-sum f1 g1 ≠ case-sum f2 g2  
case-sum f1 g1 ∈ FinFunc r (s +o t) case-sum f2 g2 ∈ FinFunc r (s +o t)  
**shows** wo-rel.max-fun-diff s f1 f2 = x (**is** ?P) g1 = g2 (**is** ?Q)

**proof** –

interpret st: wo-rel s +o t **by** unfold-locales (rule osum-Well-order[OF s t])  
interpret s: wo-rel s **by** unfold-locales (rule s)  
interpret rst: wo-rel2 r s +o t **by** unfold-locales (rule r, rule osum-Well-order[OF s t])  
from assms(1) **have** \*: st.isMaxim {a ∈ Field (s +o t). case-sum f1 g1 a ≠ case-sum f2 g2 a} (Inl x)  
using rst.isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF assms(2–4)] **by** simp  
hence s.isMaxim {a ∈ Field s. f1 a ≠ f2 a} x  
unfolding st.isMaxim-def s.isMaxim-def Field-osum **by** (auto simp: osum-def)  
thus ?P unfolding s.max-fun-diff-def **by** (rule s.maxim-equality)  
from assms(3,4) **have** \*\*: g1 ∈ FinFunc r t g2 ∈ FinFunc r t **unfolding**  
FinFunc-osum  
by (auto simp: o-def)  
**show** ?Q  
**proof**  
fix x  
from \*\*\* **show** g1 x = g2 x **unfolding** st.isMaxim-def Field-osum FinFunc-def  
Func-def fun-eq-iff  
unfolding osum-def **by** (case-tac x ∈ Field t) auto  
**qed**  
**qed**

**lemma** max-fun-diff-eq-Inr:

**assumes** wo-rel.max-fun-diff (s +o t) (case-sum f1 g1) (case-sum f2 g2) = Inr x  
case-sum f1 g1 ≠ case-sum f2 g2  
case-sum f1 g1 ∈ FinFunc r (s +o t) case-sum f2 g2 ∈ FinFunc r (s +o t)  
**shows** wo-rel.max-fun-diff t g1 g2 = x (**is** ?P) g1 ≠ g2 (**is** ?Q)

**proof** –

interpret st: wo-rel s +o t **by** unfold-locales (rule osum-Well-order[OF s t])  
interpret t: wo-rel t **by** unfold-locales (rule t)  
interpret rst: wo-rel2 r s +o t **by** unfold-locales (rule r, rule osum-Well-order[OF s t])  
from assms(1) **have** \*: st.isMaxim {a ∈ Field (s +o t). case-sum f1 g1 a ≠ case-sum f2 g2 a} (Inr x)  
using rst.isMaxim-max-fun-diff[OF assms(2–4)] **by** simp  
hence t.isMaxim {a ∈ Field t. g1 a ≠ g2 a} x  
unfolding st.isMaxim-def t.isMaxim-def Field-osum **by** (auto simp: osum-def)  
thus ?P ?Q unfolding t.max-fun-diff-def fun-eq-iff  
by (auto intro: t.maxim-equality simp: t.isMaxim-def)  
**qed**

```

lemma oexp-osum:  $r \hat{o} (s +_o t) =_o (r \hat{o} s) *_o (r \hat{o} t)$  (is ?R =_o ?L)
proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
  interpret rst: wo-rel2 r s +_o t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule osum-Well-order[OF s t])
  interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
  interpret rt: wo-rel2 r t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule t)
  let ?f =  $\lambda(f, g). \text{case-sum } f g$ 
  have bij-betw ?f (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
  unfolding bij-betw-def rst.Field-oexp rs.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp Field-oprod
  proof (intro conjI)
    show inj-on ?f (FinFunc r s × FinFunc r t) unfolding inj-on-def
      by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff split: sum.splits)
    show ?f ‘(FinFunc r s × FinFunc r t) = FinFunc r (s +_o t)
    proof safe
      fix fg assume fg ∈ FinFunc r (s +_o t)
      thus fg ∈ ?f ‘(FinFunc r s × FinFunc r t)
        by (intro image-eqI[of - - (fg o Inl, fg o Inr)])
          (auto simp: FinFunc-osum fun-eq-iff split: sum.splits)
      qed (auto simp: FinFunc-osum o-def)
    qed
    moreover have compat ?L ?R ?f
    unfolding compat-def rst.Field-oexp rs.Field-oexp rt.Field-oexp oprod-def
    unfolding rst.oexp-def Let-def rs.oexp-def rt.oexp-def
    by (fastforce simp: Field-osum FinFunc-osum o-def split: sum.splits
      dest: max-fun-diff-eq-Inl max-fun-diff-eq-Inr)
    ultimately have iso ?L ?R ?f using r s t
    by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oexp-Well-order oprod-Well-order osum-Well-order)
    thus ?L =_o ?R using r s t unfolding ordIso-def
      by (auto intro: oexp-Well-order oprod-Well-order osum-Well-order)
  qed

```

**definition** rev-curr f b = (if b ∈ Field t then λa. f (a, b) else undefined)

```

lemma rev-curr-FinFunc:
  assumes Field: Field r ≠ {}
  shows rev-curr ‘(FinFunc r (s *_o t)) = FinFunc (r  $\hat{o}$  s) t
  proof safe
    interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
    interpret rst: wo-rel2 r  $\hat{o}$  s t by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order[OF r s], rule t)
    fix g assume g: g ∈ FinFunc r (s *_o t)
    hence finite (rst.SUPP (rev-curr g))  $\forall x \in$  Field t. finite (rs.SUPP (rev-curr g x))
    unfolding FinFunc-def Field-oprod rs.Field-oexp Func-def fin-support-def support-def
      rs.zero-oexp[OF Field] rev-curr-def by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff rs.const-def elim!: finite-surj)
    with g show rev-curr g ∈ FinFunc (r  $\hat{o}$  s) t

```

```

unfolding FinFunc-def Field-oprod rs.Field-oexp Func-def
  by (auto simp: rev-curr-def fin-support-def)
next
  interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
  interpret rst: wo-rel2 r  $\hat{o}$  s t by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order[OF r s],
    rule t)
  fix fg assume *: fg  $\in$  FinFunc (r  $\hat{o}$  s) t
  let ?g =  $\lambda(a, b)$ . if (a, b)  $\in$  Field (s *o t) then fg b a else undefined
  show fg  $\in$  rev-curr ‘FinFunc r (s *o t)
  proof (rule image-eqI[of - - ?g])
  show fg = rev-curr ?g
  proof
    fix x
    from * show fg x = rev-curr ?g x
  unfolding FinFunc-def rs.Field-oexp Func-def rev-curr-def Field-oprod by
  auto
  qed
next
  have **:  $(\bigcup g \in fg \text{ 'Field } t. rs.SUPP g) =$ 
     $(\bigcup g \in fg \text{ 'Field } t - \{rs.const\}. rs.SUPP g)$ 
  unfolding support-def by auto
  from * have ***:  $\forall g \in fg \text{ 'Field } t. \text{finite } (rs.SUPP g) \text{ finite } (rst.SUPP fg)$ 
  unfolding rs.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def Option.these-def
  by force+
  hence finite (fg ‘Field t – {rs.const}) using *
  unfolding support-def rs.zero-oexp[OF Field] FinFunc-def Func-def
    by (elim finite-surj[of - - fg]) (fastforce simp: image-iff Option.these-def)
  with *** have finite  $((\bigcup g \in fg \text{ 'Field } t. rs.SUPP g) \times rst.SUPP fg)$ 
    by (subst **) (auto intro!: finite-cartesian-product)
  with * show ?g  $\in$  FinFunc r (s *o t)
  unfolding Field-oprod rs.Field-oexp FinFunc-def Func-def fin-support-def
  Option.these-def
    support-def rs.zero-oexp[OF Field] by (auto elim!: finite-subset[rotated])
  qed
qed

lemma rev-curr-app-FinFunc[elim!]:
   $[f \in FinFunc r (s *o t); z \in Field t] \implies \text{rev-curr } f z \in FinFunc r s$ 
  unfolding rev-curr-def FinFunc-def Func-def Field-oprod fin-support-def support-def
  by (auto elim: finite-surj)

lemma max-fun-diff-oprod:
  assumes Field: Field r  $\neq \{\}$  and f  $\neq g$  f  $\in$  FinFunc r (s *o t) g  $\in$  FinFunc r (s *o t)
  defines m  $\equiv$  wo-rel.max-fun-diff t (rev-curr f) (rev-curr g)
  shows wo-rel.max-fun-diff (s *o t) f g =
    (wo-rel.max-fun-diff s (rev-curr f m) (rev-curr g m), m)
  proof –

```

```

interpret st: wo-rel s *o t by unfold-locales (rule oprod-Well-order[OF s t])
interpret s: wo-rel s by unfold-locales (rule s)
interpret t: wo-rel t by unfold-locales (rule t)
interpret r-st: wo-rel2 r s *o t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule oprod-Well-order[OF
s t])
interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
interpret rst: wo-rel2 r ^o s t by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order[OF r s],
rule t)
from fun-unequal-in-support[OF assms(2), of Field (s *o t) Field r Field r]
assms(3,4)
have diff1: rev-curr f ≠ rev-curr g
  rev-curr f ∈ FinFunc (r ^o s) t rev-curr g ∈ FinFunc (r ^o s) t using
rev-curr-FinFunc[OF Field]
  unfolding fun-eq-iff rev-curr-def[abs-def] FinFunc-def support-def Field-oprod
  by auto fast
hence diff2: rev-curr f m ≠ rev-curr g m rev-curr f m ∈ FinFunc r s rev-curr g
m ∈ FinFunc r s
  using rst.max-fun-diff[OF diff1] assms(3,4) rst.max-fun-diff-in unfolding
m-def by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding st.max-fun-diff-def
  proof (intro st.maxim-equality, unfold st.isMaxim-def Field-oprod, safe)
    show s.max-fun-diff (rev-curr f m) (rev-curr g m) ∈ Field s
    using rs.max-fun-diff-in[OF diff2] by auto
next
  show m ∈ Field t using rst.max-fun-diff-in[OF diff1] unfolding m-def by
auto
next
  assume f (s.max-fun-diff (rev-curr f m) (rev-curr g m), m) =
    g (s.max-fun-diff (rev-curr f m) (rev-curr g m), m)
  (is f (?x, m) = g (?x, m))
hence rev-curr f m ?x = rev-curr g m ?x unfolding rev-curr-def by auto
  with rs.max-fun-diff[OF diff2] show False by auto
next
  fix x y assume f (x, y) ≠ g (x, y) x ∈ Field s y ∈ Field t
  thus ((x, y), (s.max-fun-diff (rev-curr f m) (rev-curr g m), m)) ∈ s *o t
    using rst.max-fun-diff-in[OF diff1] rs.max-fun-diff-in[OF diff2] diff1 diff2
    rs.max-fun-diff-max[OF diff1, of y] rs.max-fun-diff-le-eq[OF - diff2, of x]
    unfolding oprod-def m-def rev-curr-def fun-eq-iff by (auto intro: s.in-notinI)
qed
qed

lemma oexp-oexp: (r ^o s) ^o t =o r ^o (s *o t) (is ?R =o ?L)
proof (cases r = {})
  case True
  interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
  interpret rst: wo-rel2 r ^o s t by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order[OF r s],
rule t)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases s = {} ∨ t = {})

```

```

case True with ⟨r = {}⟩ show ?thesis
  by (auto simp: oexp-empty[OF oexp-Well-order[OF Well-order-empty s]]
    intro!: ordIso-transitive[OF ordIso-symmetric[OF oone-ordIso oone-ordIso]]
    ordIso-transitive[OF oone-ordIso-oexp[OF ordIso-symmetric[OF oone-ordIso t] oone-ordIso]])
next
  case False
  hence s *o t ≠ {} unfolding oprod-def Field-def by fastforce
  with False show ?thesis
    using ⟨r = {}⟩ ozero-ordIso
    by (auto simp add: s t oprod-Well-order ozero-def)
qed
next
  case False
  hence Field: Field r ≠ {} by (metis Field-def Range-empty-iff Un-empty)
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
    interpret r-st: wo-rel2 r s *o t by unfold-locales (rule r, rule oprod-Well-order[OF s t])
    interpret rs: wo-rel2 r s by unfold-locales (rule r, rule s)
    interpret rst: wo-rel2 r ^o s t by unfold-locales (rule oexp-Well-order[OF r s], rule t)
    have bij: bij-betw rev-curr (Field ?L) (Field ?R)
    unfolding bij-betw-def r-st.Field-oexp rst.Field-oexp Field-oprod proof (intro conjI)
      show inj-on rev-curr (FinFunc r (s *o t))
      unfolding inj-on-def FinFunc-def Func-def Field-oprod rs.Field-oexp rev-curr-def[abs-def]
        by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff) metis
      show rev-curr ` (FinFunc r (s *o t)) = FinFunc (r ^o s) t by (rule rev-curr-FinFunc[OF Field])
    qed
    moreover
    have compat ?L ?R rev-curr
      unfolding compat-def proof safe
      fix fg1 fg2 assume fg: (fg1, fg2) ∈ r ^o (s *o t)
      show (rev-curr fg1, rev-curr fg2) ∈ r ^o s ^o t
      proof (cases fg1 = fg2)
        assume fg1 ≠ fg2
        with fg show ?thesis
          using rst.max-fun-diff-in[of rev-curr fg1 rev-curr fg2]
            max-fun-diff-oprod[OF Field, of fg1 fg2] rev-curr-FinFunc[OF Field, symmetric]
          unfolding r-st.Field-oexp rs.Field-oexp rst.Field-oexp unfolding r-st.oexp-def
            rst.oexp-def
          by (auto simp: rs.oexp-def Let-def) (auto simp: rev-curr-def[abs-def])
        next
        assume fg1 = fg2
        with fg bij show ?thesis unfolding r-st.Field-oexp rs.Field-oexp rst.Field-oexp
          bij-betw-def

```

```

    by (auto simp: r-st.oexp-def rst.oexp-def)
qed
qed
ultimately have iso ?L ?R rev-curr using r s t
by (subst iso-iff3) (auto intro: oexp-Well-order oprod-Well-order)
thus ?L =o ?R using r s t unfolding ordIso-def
by (auto intro: oexp-Well-order oprod-Well-order)
qed
qed
end
end

```

## 10 Cardinal-Order Relations

```

theory Cardinal-Order-Relation
imports Wellorder-Constructions
begin

declare
card-order-on-well-order-on[simp]
card-of-card-order-on[simp]
card-of-well-order-on[simp]
Field-card-of[simp]
card-of-Card-order[simp]
card-of-Well-order[simp]
card-of-least[simp]
card-of-unique[simp]
card-of-mono1[simp]
card-of-mono2[simp]
card-of-cong[simp]
card-of-Field-ordIso[simp]
card-of-underS[simp]
ordLess-Field[simp]
card-of-empty[simp]
card-of-empty1 [simp]
card-of-image[simp]
card-of-singl-ordLeq[simp]
Card-order-singl-ordLeq[simp]
card-of-Pow[simp]
Card-order-Pow[simp]
card-of-Plus1[simp]
Card-order-Plus1 [simp]
card-of-Plus2[simp]
Card-order-Plus2[simp]
card-of-Plus-mono1 [simp]
card-of-Plus-mono2[simp]
card-of-Plus-mono[simp]

```

```

card-of-Plus-cong2[simp]
card-of-Plus-cong[simp]
card-of-Un-Plus-ordLeq[simp]
card-of-Times1[simp]
card-of-Times2[simp]
card-of-Times3[simp]
card-of-Times-mono1[simp]
card-of-Times-mono2[simp]
card-of-ordIso-finite[simp]
card-of-Times-same-infinite[simp]
card-of-Times-infinite-simps[simp]
card-of-Plus-infinite1[simp]
card-of-Plus-infinite2[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]
infinite-cartesian-product[simp]
cardSuc-Card-order[simp]
cardSuc-greater[simp]
cardSuc-ordLeq[simp]
cardSuc-ordLeq-ordLess[simp]
cardSuc-mono-ordLeq[simp]
cardSuc-invar-ordIso[simp]
card-of-cardSuc-finite[simp]
cardSuc-finite[simp]
card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite-Field[simp]
curr-in[intro, simp]

```

## 10.1 Cardinal of a set

**lemma** *card-of-inj-rel*: **assumes** *INJ*:  $\bigwedge x y y'. \llbracket (x,y) \in R; (x,y') \in R \rrbracket \implies y = y'$   
**shows**  $|\{y. \exists x. (x,y) \in R\}| \leq_o |\{x. \exists y. (x,y) \in R\}|$

**proof**–

```

let ?Y = {y. ∃ x. (x,y) ∈ R} let ?X = {x. ∃ y. (x,y) ∈ R}
let ?f = λy. SOME x. (x,y) ∈ R
have ?f ` ?Y ≤= ?X by (auto dest: someI)
moreover have inj-on ?f ?Y
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) assms inj-onI mem-Collect-eq)
ultimately show |?Y| ≤_o |?X| using card-of-ordLeq by blast
qed

```

**lemma** *card-of-unique2*:  $\llbracket \text{card-order-on } B r; \text{bij-betw } f A B \rrbracket \implies r =_o |A|$   
**using** *card-of-ordIso* *card-of-unique ordIso-equivalence* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *internalize-card-of-ordLess2*:  
 $(|A| <_o |C|) = (\exists B < C. |A| =_o |B| \wedge |B| <_o |C|)$   
**using** *internalize-card-of-ordLess*[of *A* | *C*] *Field-card-of*[of *C*] **by** *auto*

**lemma** *Card-order-oMax*:  
**assumes** *finite R* **and**  $R \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } r$

```

shows Card-order (omax R)
by (simp add: assms omax-in)

lemma Card-order-omax2:
assumes finite I and I ≠ {}
shows Card-order (omax {|A i| | i. i ∈ I})
proof-
let ?R = {|A i| | i. i ∈ I}
have finite ?R and ?R ≠ {} using assms by auto
moreover have ∀ r∈?R. Card-order r
  using card-of-Card-order by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by(rule Card-order-omax)
qed

```

## 10.2 Cardinals versus set operations on arbitrary sets

```

lemma card-of-set-type[simp]: |UNIV::'a set| <o |UNIV::'a set set|
  using card-of-Pow[of UNIV::'a set] by simp

lemma card-of-Un1[simp]: |A| ≤o |A ∪ B|
  by fastforce

lemma card-of-diff[simp]: |A - B| ≤o |A|
  by fastforce

lemma subset-ordLeq-strict:
assumes A ≤ B and |A| <o |B|
shows A < B
using assms ordLess-irreflexive by blast

corollary subset-ordLeq-diff:
assumes A ≤ B and |A| <o |B|
shows B - A ≠ {}
using assms subset-ordLeq-strict by blast

lemma card-of-empty4:
{|{}|::'b set|} <o |A::'a set| = (A ≠ {})
by (metis card-of-empty card-of-ordLess2 image-is-empty not-ordLess-ordLeq)

lemma card-of-empty5:
|A| <o |B| ⟹ B ≠ {}
using card-of-empty not-ordLess-ordLeq by blast

lemma Well-order-card-of-empty:
Well-order r ⟹ |{}| ≤o r
by simp

lemma card-of-UNIV[simp]:
|A :: 'a set| ≤o |UNIV :: 'a set|

```

by *simp*

```
lemma card-of-UNIV2[simp]:
  Card-order r ==> (r :: 'a rel) ≤o |UNIV :: 'a set|
  using card-of-UNIV[of Field r] card-of-Field-ordIso
    ordIso-symmetric ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast

lemma card-of-Pow-mono[simp]:
  assumes |A| ≤o |B|
  shows |Pow A| ≤o |Pow B|
proof-
  obtain f where inj-on f A ∧ f ` A ≤ B
  using assms card-of-ordLeq[of A B] by auto
  hence inj-on (image f) (Pow A) ∧ (image f) ` (Pow A) ≤ (Pow B)
    by (auto simp: inj-on-image-Pow image-Pow-mono)
  thus ?thesis using card-of-ordLeq[of Pow A] by metis
qed

lemma ordIso-Pow-mono[simp]:
  assumes r ≤o r'
  shows |Pow(Field r)| ≤o |Pow(Field r')|
  using assms card-of-mono2 card-of-Pow-mono by blast

lemma card-of-Pow-cong[simp]:
  assumes |A| =o |B|
  shows |Pow A| =o |Pow B|
  by (meson assms card-of-Pow-mono ordIso-iff-ordLeq)

lemma ordIso-Pow-cong[simp]:
  assumes r =o r'
  shows |Pow(Field r)| =o |Pow(Field r')|
  using assms card-of-cong card-of-Pow-cong by blast

corollary Card-order-Plus-empty1:
  Card-order r ==> r =o |(Field r) <+> {}|
  using card-of-Plus-empty1 card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-equivalence by blast

corollary Card-order-Plus-empty2:
  Card-order r ==> r =o |{} <+> (Field r)|
  using card-of-Plus-empty2 card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-equivalence by blast

lemma card-of-Un2[simp]:
  shows |A| ≤o |B ∪ A|
  by fastforce

lemma Un-Plus-bij-betw:
  assumes A Int B = {}
  shows ∃f. bij-betw f (A ∪ B) (A <+> B)
proof-
```

```

have bij-betw ( $\lambda c. \text{if } c \in A \text{ then } \text{Inl } c \text{ else } \text{Inr } c$ ) ( $A \cup B$ ) ( $A <+> B$ )
  using assms unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def by auto
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso:
  assumes A Int B = {}
  shows |A ∪ B| =o |A <+> B|
  by (meson Un-Plus-bij-betw assms card-of-ordIso)

```

```

lemma card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso1:
  |A ∪ B| =o |A <+> (B - A)|
  using card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso[of A B - A] by auto

```

```

lemma card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso2:
  |A ∪ B| =o |(A - B) <+> B|
  using card-of-Un-Plus-ordIso[of A - B B] by auto

```

```

lemma card-of-Times-singl1: |A| =o |A × {b}|
proof-
  have bij-betw fst (A × {b}) A unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def by force
  thus ?thesis using card-of-ordIso ordIso-symmetric by blast
qed

```

```

corollary Card-order-Times-singl1:
  Card-order r ==> r =o |(Field r) × {b}|
  using card-of-Times-singl1[of - b] card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-equivalence by blast

```

```

lemma card-of-Times-singl2: |A| =o |\{b\} × A|
proof-
  have bij-betw snd (\{b\} × A) A
  unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def by force
  thus ?thesis using card-of-ordIso ordIso-symmetric by blast
qed

```

```

corollary Card-order-Times-singl2:
  Card-order r ==> r =o |\{a\} × (Field r)|
  using card-of-Times-singl2[of - a] card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-equivalence by blast

```

```

lemma card-of-Times-assoc: |(A × B) × C| =o |A × B × C|
proof -
  let ?f =  $\lambda((a,b),c). (a,(b,c))$ 
  have A × B × C ⊆ ?f ' ((A × B) × C)
  proof
    fix x assume x ∈ A × B × C
    then obtain a b c where *: a ∈ A b ∈ B c ∈ C x = (a, b, c) by blast
    let ?x = ((a, b), c)
    from * have ?x ∈ (A × B) × C x = ?f ?x by auto
    thus x ∈ ?f ' ((A × B) × C) by blast
  qed

```

```

qed
hence bij-betw ?f ((A × B) × C) (A × B × C)
  unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def by auto
  thus ?thesis using card-of-ordIso by blast
qed

lemma card-of-Times-cong1[simp]:
assumes |A| =o |B|
shows |A × C| =o |B × C|
using assms by (simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq)

lemma card-of-Times-cong2[simp]:
assumes |A| =o |B|
shows |C × A| =o |C × B|
using assms by (simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq)

lemma card-of-Times-mono[simp]:
assumes |A| ≤o |B| and |C| ≤o |D|
shows |A × C| ≤o |B × D|
using assms card-of-Times-mono1[of A B C] card-of-Times-mono2[of C D B]
ordLeq-transitive[of |A × C|] by blast

corollary ordLeq-Times-mono:
assumes r ≤o r' and p ≤o p'
shows |(Field r) × (Field p)| ≤o |(Field r') × (Field p')|
using assms card-of-mono2[of r r'] card-of-mono2[of p p'] card-of-Times-mono
by blast

corollary ordIso-Times-cong1[simp]:
assumes r =o r'
shows |(Field r) × C| =o |(Field r') × C|
using assms card-of-cong card-of-Times-cong1 by blast

corollary ordIso-Times-cong2:
assumes r =o r'
shows |A × (Field r)| =o |A × (Field r')|
using assms card-of-cong card-of-Times-cong2 by blast

lemma card-of-Times-cong[simp]:
assumes |A| =o |B| and |C| =o |D|
shows |A × C| =o |B × D|
using assms
by (auto simp: ordIso-iff-ordLeq)

corollary ordIso-Times-cong:
assumes r =o r' and p =o p'
shows |(Field r) × (Field p)| =o |(Field r') × (Field p')|
using assms card-of-cong[of r r'] card-of-cong[of p p'] card-of-Times-cong by
blast

```

```

lemma card-of-Sigma-mono2:
  assumes inj-on f (I:'i set) and f ` I  $\leq$  (J:'j set)
  shows |SIGMA i : I. (A:'j  $\Rightarrow$  'a set) (f i)|  $\leq_o$  |SIGMA j : J. A j|
proof-
  let ?LEFT = SIGMA i : I. A (f i)
  let ?RIGHT = SIGMA j : J. A j
  obtain u where u-def: u = ( $\lambda(i:'i, a:'a). (f i, a)$ ) by blast
  have inj-on u ?LEFT  $\wedge$  u ?LEFT  $\leq$  ?RIGHT
    using assms unfolding u-def inj-on-def by auto
  thus ?thesis using card-of-ordLeq by blast
qed

lemma card-of-Sigma-mono:
  assumes INJ: inj-on f I and IM: f ` I  $\leq$  J and
    LEQ:  $\forall j \in J. |A j| \leq_o |B j|$ 
  shows |SIGMA i : I. A (f i)|  $\leq_o$  |SIGMA j : J. B j|
proof-
  have  $\forall i \in I. |A(f i)| \leq_o |B(f i)|$ 
    using IM LEQ by blast
  hence |SIGMA i : I. A (f i)|  $\leq_o$  |SIGMA i : I. B (f i)|
    using card-of-Sigma-mono1[of I] by metis
  moreover have |SIGMA i : I. B (f i)|  $\leq_o$  |SIGMA j : J. B j|
    using INJ IM card-of-Sigma-mono2 by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed

lemma ordLeq-Sigma-mono1:
  assumes  $\forall i \in I. p i \leq_o r i$ 
  shows |SIGMA i : I. Field(p i)|  $\leq_o$  |SIGMA i : I. Field(r i)|
  using assms by (auto simp: card-of-Sigma-mono1)

lemma ordLeq-Sigma-mono:
  assumes inj-on f I and f ` I  $\leq$  J and
     $\forall j \in J. p j \leq_o r j$ 
  shows |SIGMA i : I. Field(p(f i))|  $\leq_o$  |SIGMA j : J. Field(r j)|
  using assms card-of-mono2 card-of-Sigma-mono [off I J  $\lambda i. Field(p i)$ ] by metis

lemma ordIso-Sigma-cong1:
  assumes  $\forall i \in I. p i =_o r i$ 
  shows |SIGMA i : I. Field(p i)| =_o |SIGMA i : I. Field(r i)|
  using assms by (auto simp: card-of-Sigma-cong1)

lemma ordLeq-Sigma-cong:
  assumes bij-betw f I J and
     $\forall j \in J. p j =_o r j$ 
  shows |SIGMA i : I. Field(p(f i))| =_o |SIGMA j : J. Field(r j)|
  using assms card-of-cong card-of-Sigma-cong
    [off I J  $\lambda j. Field(p j) \lambda j. Field(r j)$ ] by blast

```

```

lemma card-of-UNION-Sigma2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i j. \llbracket \{i,j\} \leq I; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies A \ i \text{ Int } A \ j = \{\}$ 
  shows  $|\bigcup_{i \in I. A \ i}| =_o |\Sigma I A|$ 
proof-
  let ?L =  $\bigcup_{i \in I. A \ i}$  let ?R =  $\Sigma I A$ 
  have  $|\ ?L| \leq_o |\ ?R|$  using card-of-UNION-Sigma .
  moreover have  $|\ ?R| \leq_o |\ ?L|$ 
proof-
  have inj-on snd ?R
    unfolding inj-on-def using assms by auto
  moreover have snd ` ?R \leq ?L by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using card-of-ordLeq by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by(simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq)
qed

corollary Plus-into-Times:
  assumes A2:  $a1 \neq a2 \wedge \{a1,a2\} \leq A$  and B2:  $b1 \neq b2 \wedge \{b1,b2\} \leq B$ 
  shows  $\exists f. \text{inj-on } f (A \times B) \wedge f ` (A \times B) \leq A \times B$ 
  using assms by (auto simp: card-of-Plus-Times card-of-ordLeq)

corollary Plus-into-Times-types:
  assumes A2:  $(a1::'a) \neq a2$  and B2:  $(b1::'b) \neq b2$ 
  shows  $\exists (f::'a + 'b \Rightarrow 'a * 'b). \text{inj } f$ 
  using assms Plus-into-Times[of a1 a2 UNIV b1 b2 UNIV]
  by auto

corollary Times-same-infinite-bij-betw:
  assumes  $\neg \text{finite } A$ 
  shows  $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f (A \times A) A$ 
  using assms by (auto simp: card-of-ordIso)

corollary Times-same-infinite-bij-betw-types:
  assumes INF:  $\neg \text{finite} (\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set})$ 
  shows  $\exists (f::('a * 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f$ 
  using assms Times-same-infinite-bij-betw[of UNIV::'a set]
  by auto

corollary Times-infinite-bij-betw:
  assumes INF:  $\neg \text{finite } A$  and NE:  $B \neq \{\}$  and INJ:  $\text{inj-on } g B \wedge g ` B \leq A$ 
  shows  $(\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f (A \times B) A) \wedge (\exists h. \text{bij-betw } h (B \times A) A)$ 
proof-
  have  $|B| \leq_o |A|$  using INJ card-of-ordLeq by blast
  thus ?thesis using INF NE
    by (auto simp: card-of-ordIso card-of-Times-infinite)
qed

corollary Times-infinite-bij-betw-types:

```

**assumes**  $\neg\text{finite}(\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set})$  **and**  $\text{inj}(g::'b \Rightarrow 'a)$   
**shows**  $(\exists(f::('b * 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f) \wedge (\exists(h::('a * 'b) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } h)$   
**using assms** Times-infinite-bij-betw[of UNIV::'a set UNIV::'b set g]  
**by** auto

**lemma** card-of-Times-ordLeq-infinite:  
 $\llbracket \neg\text{finite } C; |A| \leq o |C|; |B| \leq o |C| \rrbracket \implies |A \times B| \leq o |C|$   
**by** (simp add: card-of-Sigma-ordLeq-infinite)

**corollary** Plus-infinite-bij-betw:  
**assumes** INF:  $\neg\text{finite } A$  **and** INJ:  $\text{inj-on } g B \wedge g`B \leq A$   
**shows**  $(\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f (A <+> B) A) \wedge (\exists h. \text{bij-betw } h (B <+> A) A)$   
**proof-**  
**have**  $|B| \leq o |A|$  **using** INJ card-of-ordLeq **by** blast  
**thus** ?thesis **using** INF  
**by** (auto simp: card-of-ordIso)  
**qed**

**corollary** Plus-infinite-bij-betw-types:  
**assumes**  $\neg\text{finite}(\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set})$  **and**  $\text{inj}(g::'b \Rightarrow 'a)$   
**shows**  $(\exists(f::('b + 'a) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } f) \wedge (\exists(h::('a + 'b) \Rightarrow 'a). \text{bij } h)$   
**using assms** Plus-infinite-bij-betw[of UNIV::'a set g UNIV::'b set]  
**by** auto

**lemma** card-of-Un-infinite:  
**assumes** INF:  $\neg\text{finite } A$  **and** LEQ:  $|B| \leq o |A|$   
**shows**  $|A \cup B| = o |A| \wedge |B \cup A| = o |A|$   
**by** (simp add: INF LEQ card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite-Field ordIso-iff-ordLeq)

**lemma** card-of-Un-infinite-simps[simp]:  
 $\llbracket \neg\text{finite } A; |B| \leq o |A| \rrbracket \implies |A \cup B| = o |A|$   
 $\llbracket \neg\text{finite } A; |B| \leq o |A| \rrbracket \implies |B \cup A| = o |A|$   
**using** card-of-Un-infinite **by** auto

**lemma** card-of-Un-diff-infinite:  
**assumes** INF:  $\neg\text{finite } A$  **and** LESS:  $|B| < o |A|$   
**shows**  $|A - B| = o |A|$   
**proof-**  
**obtain** C **where** C-def:  $C = A - B$  **by** blast  
**have**  $|A \cup B| = o |A|$   
**using** assms ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso card-of-Un-infinite **by** blast  
**moreover have**  $C \cup B = A \cup B$  **unfolding** C-def **by** auto  
**ultimately have** 1:  $|C \cup B| = o |A|$  **by** auto

```
{assume *:  $|C| \leq o |B|$ 
  moreover
  {assume **: finite B
    hence finite C
      using card-of-ordLeq-finite * by blast}}
```

```

    hence False using ** INF card-of-ordIso-finite 1 by blast
}
hence  $\neg$ finite  $B$  by auto
ultimately have False
    using card-of-Un-infinite 1 ordIso-equivalence(1,3) LESS not-ordLess-ordIso
by metis
}
hence 2:  $|B| \leq o |C|$  using card-of-Well-order ordLeq-total by blast
{assume *: finite  $C$ 
    hence finite  $B$  using card-of-ordLeq-finite 2 by blast
    hence False using * INF card-of-ordIso-finite 1 by blast
}
hence  $\neg$ finite  $C$  by auto
hence  $|C| = o |A|$ 
    using card-of-Un-infinite 1 2 ordIso-equivalence(1,3) by metis
thus ?thesis unfolding C-def .
qed

```

**corollary** Card-order-Un-infinite:

```

assumes INF:  $\neg$ finite(Field  $r$ ) and CARD: Card-order  $r$  and
LEQ:  $p \leq o r$ 
shows  $|(\text{Field } r) \cup (\text{Field } p)| = o r \wedge |(\text{Field } p) \cup (\text{Field } r)| = o r$ 
proof-
have  $|(\text{Field } r \cup \text{Field } p)| = o |\text{Field } r| \wedge$ 
 $|\text{Field } p \cup \text{Field } r| = o |\text{Field } r|$ 
using assms by (auto simp: card-of-Un-infinite)
thus ?thesis
using assms card-of-Field-ordIso[of  $r$ ]
ordIso-transitive[of  $|\text{Field } r \cup \text{Field } p|$ ]
ordIso-transitive[of  $-|\text{Field } r|$ ] by blast
qed

```

**corollary** subset-ordLeq-diff-infinite:

```

assumes INF:  $\neg$ finite  $B$  and SUB:  $A \leq B$  and LESS:  $|A| < o |B|$ 
shows  $\neg$ finite  $(B - A)$ 
using assms card-of-Un-diff-infinite card-of-ordIso-finite by blast

```

**lemma** card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite[simp]:

```

assumes INF:  $\neg$ finite  $C$  and
LESS1:  $|A| < o |C|$  and LESS2:  $|B| < o |C|$ 
shows  $|A \times B| < o |C|$ 
proof(cases  $A = \{\} \vee B = \{\}$ )
assume Case1:  $A = \{\} \vee B = \{\}$ 
hence  $A \times B = \{\}$  by blast
moreover have  $C \neq \{\}$  using
    LESS1 card-of-empty5 by blast
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp: card-of-empty4)
next
assume Case2:  $\neg(A = \{\} \vee B = \{\})$ 

```

```

{assume *:  $|C| \leq o |A \times B|$ 
  hence  $\neg\text{finite}(A \times B)$  using INF card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast
  hence 1:  $\neg\text{finite} A \vee \neg\text{finite} B$  using finite-cartesian-product by blast
{assume Case21:  $|A| \leq o |B|$ 
  hence  $\neg\text{finite} B$  using 1 card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast
  hence  $|A \times B| = o |B|$  using Case2 Case21
    by (auto simp: card-of-Times-infinite)
  hence False using LESS2 not-ordLess-ordLeq * ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
}
moreover
{assume Case22:  $|B| \leq o |A|$ 
  hence  $\neg\text{finite} A$  using 1 card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast
  hence  $|A \times B| = o |A|$  using Case2 Case22
    by (auto simp: card-of-Times-infinite)
  hence False using LESS1 not-ordLess-ordLeq * ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
}
ultimately have False using ordLeq-total card-of-Well-order[of A]
  card-of-Well-order[of B] by blast
}
thus ?thesis using ordLess-or-ordLeq[of |A × B| |C|]
  card-of-Well-order[of A × B] card-of-Well-order[of C] by auto
qed

lemma card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite-Field[simp]:
  assumes INF:  $\neg\text{finite}(\text{Field } r)$  and r: Card-order r and
    LESS1:  $|A| < o r$  and LESS2:  $|B| < o r$ 
  shows  $|A \times B| < o r$ 
proof-
  let ?C = Field r
  have 1:  $r = o |\mathcal{C}| \wedge |\mathcal{C}| = o r$  using r card-of-Field-ordIso
    ordIso-symmetric by blast
  hence  $|A| < o |\mathcal{C}| \quad |B| < o |\mathcal{C}|$ 
    using LESS1 LESS2 ordLess-ordIso-trans by blast+
  hence  $|A \times B| < o |\mathcal{C}|$  using INF
    card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite by blast
  thus ?thesis using 1 ordLess-ordIso-trans by blast
qed

lemma ordLeq-finite-Field:
  assumes r ≤ o s and finite (Field s)
  shows finite (Field r)
  by (metis assms card-of-mono2 card-of-ordLeq-infinite)

lemma ordIso-finite-Field:
  assumes r = o s
  shows finite (Field r) ←→ finite (Field s)
  by (metis assms ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-finite-Field)

```

### 10.3 Cardinals versus set operations involving infinite sets

```

lemma finite-iff-cardOf-nat:
  finite A = ( |A| <o |UNIV :: nat set| )
  by (meson card-of-Well-order infinite-iff-card-of-nat not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess)

lemma finite-ordLess-infinite2[simp]:
  assumes finite A and  $\neg$ finite B
  shows |A| <o |B|
  by (meson assms card-of-Well-order card-of-ordLeq-finite not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess)

lemma infinite-card-of-insert:
  assumes  $\neg$ finite A
  shows |insert a A| =o |A|
proof-
  have iA: insert a A = A  $\cup$  {a} by simp
  show ?thesis
    using infinite-imp-bij-betw2[OF assms] unfolding iA
    by (metis bij-betw-inv card-of-ordIso)
qed

lemma card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite1:
  assumes  $\neg$ finite B and |A| <o |B|
  shows |\{a\} Un A| <o |B|
  by (metis assms card-of-Un-ordLess-infinite finite.emptyI finite-insert finite-ordLess-infinite2)

lemma card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite:
  assumes  $\neg$ finite B
  shows |A| <o |B|  $\longleftrightarrow$  |\{a\} Un A| <o |B|
  using assms card-of-Un-singl-ordLess-infinite1[of B A]
  using card-of-Un2 ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast

```

### 10.4 Cardinals versus lists

The next is an auxiliary operator, which shall be used for inductive proofs of facts concerning the cardinality of *List* :

```

definition nlists :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set
  where nlists A n  $\equiv$  {l. set l  $\leq$  A  $\wedge$  length l = n}

lemma lists-UNION-nlists: lists A = ( $\bigcup$  n. nlists A n)
  unfolding lists-eq-set nlists-def by blast

lemma card-of-lists: |A|  $\leq$ o |lists A|
proof-
  let ?h =  $\lambda$  a. [a]
  have inj-on ?h A  $\wedge$  ?h ` A  $\leq$  lists A
    unfolding inj-on-def lists-eq-set by auto
    thus ?thesis by (metis card-of-ordLeq)
qed

```

```

lemma nlists-0: nlists A 0 = {[]}
  unfolding nlists-def by auto

lemma nlists-not-empty:
  assumes A ≠ {}
  shows nlists A n ≠ {}
  proof (induction n)
    case (Suc n)
    then obtain a and l where a ∈ A ∧ l ∈ nlists A n using assms by auto
    hence a # l ∈ nlists A (Suc n) unfolding nlists-def by auto
    then show ?case by auto
  qed (simp add: nlists-0)

lemma card-of-nlists-Succ: |nlists A (Suc n)| =o |A × (nlists A n)|
  proof –
    let ?B = A × (nlists A n) let ?h = λ(a,l). a # l
    have inj-on ?h ?B ∧ ?h ` ?B ≤ nlists A (Suc n)
      unfolding inj-on-def nlists-def by auto
    moreover have nlists A (Suc n) ≤ ?h ` ?B
      proof clarify
        fix l assume l ∈ nlists A (Suc n)
        hence 1: length l = Suc n ∧ set l ≤ A unfolding nlists-def by auto
        then obtain a and l' where 2: l = a # l' by (auto simp: length-Suc-conv)
        hence a ∈ A ∧ set l' ≤ A ∧ length l' = n using 1 by auto
        thus l ∈ ?h ` ?B using 2 unfolding nlists-def by auto
      qed
      ultimately have bij-betw ?h ?B (nlists A (Suc n))
        unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
      thus ?thesis using card-of-ordIso ordIso-symmetric by blast
    qed

lemma card-of-nlists-infinite:
  assumes ¬finite A
  shows |nlists A n| ≤o |A|
  proof (induction n)
    case 0
    have A ≠ {} using assms by auto
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: nlists-0)
  next
    case (Suc n)
    have |nlists A (Suc n)| =o |A × (nlists A n)|
      using card-of-nlists-Succ by blast
    moreover
    have nlists A n ≠ {} using assms nlists-not-empty[of A] by blast
    hence |A × (nlists A n)| =o |A|
      using Suc assms by auto
    ultimately show ?case
  qed

```

```

using ordIso-transitive ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
qed

lemma Card-order-lists: Card-order  $r \implies r \leq o |lists(Field r)|$ 
using card-of-lists card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordIso-symmetric by
blast

lemma Union-set-lists:  $\bigcup (set ` (lists A)) = A$ 
proof -
{ fix a assume  $a \in A$ 
hence  $set [a] \leq A \wedge a \in set [a]$  by auto
hence  $\exists l. set l \leq A \wedge a \in set l$  by blast }
then show ?thesis by force
qed

lemma inj-on-map-lists:
assumes inj-on f A
shows inj-on (map f) (lists A)
using assms Union-set-lists[of A] inj-on-mapI[of f lists A] by auto

lemma map-lists-mono:
assumes  $f ` A \leq B$ 
shows (map f) ` (lists A)  $\leq$  lists B
using assms by force

lemma map-lists-surjective:
assumes  $f ` A = B$ 
shows (map f) ` (lists A) = lists B
by (metis assms lists-image)

lemma bij-betw-map-lists:
assumes bij-betw f A B
shows bij-betw (map f) (lists A) (lists B)
using assms unfolding bij-betw-def
by(auto simp: inj-on-map-lists map-lists-surjective)

lemma card-of-lists-mono[simp]:
assumes  $|A| \leq o |B|$ 
shows  $|lists A| \leq o |lists B|$ 
proof-
obtain f where inj-on f A  $\wedge$   $f ` A \leq B$ 
using assms card-of-ordLeq[of A B] by auto
hence inj-on (map f) (lists A)  $\wedge$  (map f) ` (lists A)  $\leq$  (lists B)
by (auto simp: inj-on-map-lists map-lists-mono)
thus ?thesis using card-of-ordLeq[of lists A] by metis
qed

lemma ordIso-lists-mono:

```

```

assumes  $r \leq_o r'$ 
shows  $|lists(Field\ r)| \leq_o |lists(Field\ r')|$ 
using assms card-of-mono2 card-of-lists-mono by blast

lemma card-of-lists-cong[simp]:
assumes  $|A| =_o |B|$ 
shows  $|lists\ A| =_o |lists\ B|$ 
by (meson assms card-of-lists-mono ordIso-iff-ordLeq)

lemma card-of-lists-infinite[simp]:
assumes  $\neg finite\ A$ 
shows  $|lists\ A| =_o |A|$ 
proof-
have  $|lists\ A| \leq_o |A|$  unfolding lists-UNION-nlists
by (rule card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[OF assms - ballI[OF card-of-nlists-infinite[OF assms]]])
(metis infinite-iff-card-of-nat assms)
thus ?thesis using card-of-lists ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
qed

lemma Card-order-lists-infinite:
assumes Card-order r and not finite(Field r)
shows  $|lists(Field\ r)| =_o r$ 
using assms card-of-lists-infinite card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-transitive by blast

lemma ordIso-lists-cong:
assumes  $r =_o r'$ 
shows  $|lists(Field\ r)| =_o |lists(Field\ r')|$ 
using assms card-of-cong card-of-lists-cong by blast

corollary lists-infinite-bij-betw:
assumes  $\neg finite\ A$ 
shows  $\exists f. bij\_betw\ f\ (lists\ A)\ A$ 
using assms card-of-lists-infinite card-of-ordIso by blast

corollary lists-infinite-bij-betw-types:
assumes  $\neg finite(\text{UNIV} :: 'a\ set)$ 
shows  $\exists (f::'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a). bij\ f$ 
using assms lists-infinite-bij-betw by fastforce

```

## 10.5 Cardinals versus the finite powerset operator

```

lemma card-of-Fpow[simp]:  $|A| \leq_o |Fpow\ A|$ 
proof-
let ?h =  $\lambda a. \{a\}$ 
have inj-on ?h A  $\wedge$   $?h ` A \leq Fpow\ A$ 
unfolding inj-on-def Fpow-def by auto
thus ?thesis using card-of-ordLeq by metis
qed

```

```

lemma Card-order-Fpow: Card-order  $r \implies r \leq_o |Fpow(Field\ r)|$ 
  using card-of-Fpow card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordIso-symmetric by
    blast

lemma image-Fpow-surjective:
  assumes  $f`A = B$ 
  shows  $(image\ f)`(Fpow\ A) = Fpow\ B$ 
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge C. [C \subseteq f`A; finite\ C] \implies C \in (\lambda f`X. X \subseteq A \wedge finite\ X)$ 
      by (simp add: finite-subset-image image-iff)
    then show ?thesis
      using assms by (force simp add: Fpow-def)
  qed

lemma bij-betw-image-Fpow:
  assumes bij-betw  $f A B$ 
  shows bij-betw  $(image\ f)(Fpow\ A)(Fpow\ B)$ 
  using assms unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (auto simp: inj-on-image-Fpow image-Fpow-surjective)

lemma card-of-Fpow-mono[simp]:
  assumes  $|A| \leq_o |B|$ 
  shows  $|Fpow\ A| \leq_o |Fpow\ B|$ 
  proof -
    obtain  $f$  where inj-on  $f A \wedge f`A \leq B$ 
      using assms card-of-ordLeq[of A B] by auto
    hence inj-on  $(image\ f)(Fpow\ A) \wedge (image\ f)`(Fpow\ A) \leq (Fpow\ B)$ 
      by (auto simp: inj-on-image-Fpow image-Fpow-mono)
    thus ?thesis using card-of-ordLeq[of Fpow A] by auto
  qed

lemma ordIso-Fpow-mono:
  assumes  $r \leq_o r'$ 
  shows  $|Fpow(Field\ r)| \leq_o |Fpow(Field\ r')|$ 
  using assms card-of-mono2 card-of-Fpow-mono by blast

lemma card-of-Fpow-cong[simp]:
  assumes  $|A| =_o |B|$ 
  shows  $|Fpow\ A| =_o |Fpow\ B|$ 
  by (meson assms card-of-Fpow-mono ordIso-iff-ordLeq)

lemma ordIso-Fpow-cong:
  assumes  $r =_o r'$ 
  shows  $|Fpow(Field\ r)| =_o |Fpow(Field\ r')|$ 
  using assms card-of-cong card-of-Fpow-cong by blast

lemma card-of-Fpow-lists:  $|Fpow\ A| \leq_o |lists\ A|$ 
  proof -

```

```

have set ` (lists A) = Fpow A
  unfolding lists-eq-set Fpow-def using finite-list finite-set by blast
  thus ?thesis using card-of-ordLeq2[of Fpow A] Fpow-not-empty[of A] by blast
qed

lemma card-of-Fpow-infinite[simp]:
  assumes ¬finite A
  shows |Fpow A| =o |A|
  using assms card-of-Fpow-lists card-of-lists-infinite card-of-Fpow
    ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast

corollary Fpow-infinite-bij-betw:
  assumes ¬finite A
  shows ∃f. bij-betw f (Fpow A) A
  using assms card-of-Fpow-infinite card-of-ordIso by blast

```

## 10.6 The cardinal $\omega$ and the finite cardinals

### 10.6.1 First as well-orders

```

lemma Field-natLess: Field natLess = (UNIV::nat set)
  by (auto simp: Field-def natLess-def)

lemma natLeq-well-order-on: well-order-on UNIV natLeq
  using natLeq-Well-order Field-natLeq by auto

lemma natLeq-wo-rel: wo-rel natLeq
  unfolding wo-rel-def using natLeq-Well-order .

lemma natLeq-ofilter-less: ofilter natLeq {0 ..< n}
proof -
  have ∀ t<n. t ∈ Field natLeq
    by (simp add: Field-natLeq)
  moreover have ∀ x<n. ∀ t. (t, x) ∈ natLeq → t < n
    by (simp add: natLeq-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: natLeq-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def under-def)
qed

lemma natLeq-ofilter-leq: ofilter natLeq {0 .. n}
  by (metis (no-types) atLeastLessThanSuc-atLeastAtMost natLeq-ofilter-less)

lemma natLeq-UNIV-ofilter: wo-rel.ofilter natLeq UNIV
  using natLeq-wo-rel Field-natLeq wo-rel.Field-ofilter[of natLeq] by auto

lemma closed-nat-set-iff:
  assumes ∀(m::nat). n ∈ A ∧ m ≤ n → m ∈ A
  shows A = UNIV ∨ (∃ n. A = {0 ..< n})
proof-
  {assume A ≠ UNIV hence ∃ n. n ∉ A by blast

```

```

moreover obtain n where n-def:  $n = (\text{LEAST } n. n \notin A)$  by blast
ultimately have 1:  $n \notin A \wedge (\forall m. m < n \rightarrow m \in A)$ 
  using LeastI-ex[of  $\lambda n. n \notin A$ ] n-def Least-le[of  $\lambda n. n \notin A$ ] by fastforce
then have  $A = \{0 ..< n\}$ 
proof(auto simp: 1)
  fix m assume *:  $m \in A$ 
  {assume  $n \leq m$  with assms * have  $n \in A$  by blast
    hence False using 1 by auto
  }
  thus  $m < n$  by fastforce
qed
hence  $\exists n. A = \{0 ..< n\}$  by blast
}
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma natLeq-ofilter-iff:
  ofilter natLeq  $A = (A = \text{UNIV} \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 ..< n\}))$ 
proof(rule iffI)
  assume ofilter natLeq A
  hence  $\forall m n. n \in A \wedge m \leq n \rightarrow m \in A$  using natLeq-wo-rel
    by(auto simp: natLeq-def wo-rel.ofilter-def under-def)
  thus  $A = \text{UNIV} \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 ..< n\})$  using closed-nat-set-iff by blast
next
  assume  $A = \text{UNIV} \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 ..< n\})$ 
  thus ofilter natLeq A
    by(auto simp: natLeq-ofilter-less natLeq-UNIV-ofilter)
qed

lemma natLeq-under-leq: under natLeq  $n = \{0 .. n\}$ 
  unfolding under-def natLeq-def by auto

lemma natLeq-on-ofilter-less-eq:
   $n \leq m \implies \text{wo-rel.ofilter}(\text{natLeq-on } m) \{0 ..< n\}$ 
  by (auto simp: natLeq-on-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def Field-natLeq-on under-def)

lemma natLeq-on-ofilter-iff:
  wo-rel.ofilter(natLeq-on m)  $A = (\exists n \leq m. A = \{0 ..< n\})$ 
proof(rule iffI)
  assume *: wo-rel.ofilter(natLeq-on m) A
  hence 1:  $A \leq \{0 ..< m\}$ 
    by (auto simp: natLeq-on-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def under-def Field-natLeq-on)
  hence  $\forall n1 n2. n2 \in A \wedge n1 \leq n2 \rightarrow n1 \in A$ 
    using * by(fastforce simp add: natLeq-on-wo-rel wo-rel.ofilter-def under-def)
  hence  $A = \text{UNIV} \vee (\exists n. A = \{0 ..< n\})$  using closed-nat-set-iff by blast
  thus  $\exists n \leq m. A = \{0 ..< n\}$  using 1 atLeastLessThan-less-eq by blast
next
  assume ( $\exists n \leq m. A = \{0 ..< n\}$ )
  thus wo-rel.ofilter(natLeq-on m) A by (auto simp: natLeq-on-ofilter-less-eq)

```

qed

**corollary** *natLeq-on-ofilter*:

*filter(natLeq-on n) {0 ..< n}*  
**by** (auto simp: natLeq-on-ofilter-less-eq)

**lemma** *natLeq-on-ofilter-less*:

**assumes** *n < m* **shows** *ofilter (natLeq-on m) {0 .. n}*

**proof** –

**have** *Suc n ≤ m*  
**using** assms **by** simp  
**then show** ?thesis  
**using** natLeq-on-ofilter-iff **by** auto

qed

**lemma** *natLeq-on-ordLess-natLeq*: *natLeq-on n < o natLeq*

**proof** –

**have** well-order *natLeq*  
**using** Field-natLeq natLeq-Well-order **by** auto  
**moreover have**  $\bigwedge n$ . well-order-on {na. na < n} (natLeq-on n)  
**using** Field-natLeq-on natLeq-on-Well-order **by** presburger  
**ultimately show** ?thesis  
**by** (simp add: Field-natLeq Field-natLeq-on finite-ordLess-infinite)

qed

**lemma** *natLeq-on-injective*:

*natLeq-on m = natLeq-on n*  $\implies$  *m = n*  
**using** Field-natLeq-on[of m] Field-natLeq-on[of n]  
*atLeastLessThan-injective*[of m n, unfolded atLeastLessThan-def] **by** blast

**lemma** *natLeq-on-injective-ordIso*:

*(natLeq-on m =o natLeq-on n) = (m = n)*

**proof**(auto simp: natLeq-on-Well-order ordIso-reflexive)

**assume** *natLeq-on m =o natLeq-on n*  
**then obtain** f **where** bij-betw f {x. x < m} {x. x < n}  
**using** Field-natLeq-on unfolding ordIso-def iso-def[abs-def] **by** auto  
**thus** *m = n* **using** atLeastLessThan-injective2[of f m n]  
**unfolding** atLeast-0 atLeastLessThan-def lessThan-def Int-UNIV-left **by** blast

qed

### 10.6.2 Then as cardinals

**lemma** *ordIso-natLeq-infinite1*:

*|A| =o natLeq*  $\implies$  *¬finite A*  
**by** (meson finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq not-ordLess-ordIso)

**lemma** *ordIso-natLeq-infinite2*:

*natLeq =o |A|*  $\implies$  *¬finite A*  
**using** ordIso-imp-ordLeq infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq **by** blast

```

lemma ordIso-natLeq-on-imp-finite:
   $|A| =o \text{natLeq-on } n \implies \text{finite } A$ 
  unfolding ordIso-def iso-def[abs-def]
  by (auto simp: Field-natLeq-on bij-betw-finite)

lemma natLeq-on-Card-order: Card-order (natLeq-on n)
proof -
  { fix r assume well-order-on {x. x < n} r
    hence natLeq-on n  $\leq_o r$ 
    using finite-atLeastLessThan natLeq-on-well-order-on
      finite-well-order-on-ordIso ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
  }
  then show ?thesis
  unfolding card-order-on-def using Field-natLeq-on natLeq-on-Well-order by
  presburger
qed

corollary card-of-Field-natLeq-on:
   $|\text{Field}(\text{natLeq-on } n)| =o \text{natLeq-on } n$ 
  using Field-natLeq-on natLeq-on-Card-order
    Card-order-iff-ordIso-card-of[of natLeq-on n]
    ordIso-symmetric[of natLeq-on n] by blast

corollary card-of-less:
   $|\{0 .. < n\}| =o \text{natLeq-on } n$ 
  using Field-natLeq-on card-of-Field-natLeq-on
  unfolding atLeast-0 atLeastLessThan-def lessThan-def Int-UNIV-left by auto

lemma natLeq-on-ordLeq-less-eq:
   $((\text{natLeq-on } m) \leq_o (\text{natLeq-on } n)) = (m \leq n)$ 
proof
  assume natLeq-on m  $\leq_o \text{natLeq-on } n$ 
  then obtain f where inj-on f {x. x < m}  $\wedge$  f ` {x. x < m}  $\leq \{x. x < n\}$ 
  unfolding ordLeq-def using
    embed-inj-on[OF natLeq-on-Well-order[of m], of natLeq-on n, unfolded Field-natLeq-on]
    embed-Field Field-natLeq-on by blast
  thus m  $\leq n$  using atLeastLessThan-less-eq2
  unfolding atLeast-0 atLeastLessThan-def lessThan-def Int-UNIV-left by blast
next
  assume m  $\leq n$ 
  hence inj-on id {0..<m}  $\wedge$  id ` {0..<m}  $\leq \{0..<n\}$  unfolding inj-on-def by
  auto
  hence  $|\{0..<m\}| \leq_o |\{0..<n\}|$  using card-of-ordLeq by blast
  thus natLeq-on m  $\leq_o \text{natLeq-on } n$ 
  using card-of-less ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordIso-symmetric by
  blast
qed

```

```

lemma natLeq-on-ordLeq-less:
  ((natLeq-on m) <_o (natLeq-on n)) = (m < n)
  using not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess[OF natLeq-on-Well-order natLeq-on-Well-order, of
n m]
  natLeq-on-ordLeq-less-eq[of n m] by linarith

lemma ordLeq-natLeq-on-imp-finite:
  assumes |A| ≤_o natLeq-on n
  shows finite A
proof-
  have |A| ≤_o |{0 .. < n}|
    using assms card-of-less ordIso-symmetric ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
    thus ?thesis by (auto simp: card-of-ordLeq-finite)
qed

```

### 10.6.3 "Backward compatibility" with the numeric cardinal operator for finite sets

```

lemma finite-card-of-iff-card2:
  assumes FIN: finite A and FIN': finite B
  shows (|A| ≤_o |B|) = (card A ≤ card B)
  using assms card-of-ordLeq[of A B] inj-on-iff-card-le[of A B] by blast

lemma finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on:
  assumes finite A
  shows |A| =_o natLeq-on (card A)
proof-
  obtain h where bij-betw h A {0 .. < card A}
  using assms ex-bij-betw-finite-nat by blast
  thus ?thesis using card-of-ordIso card-of-less ordIso-equivalence by blast
qed

lemma finite-iff-card-of-natLeq-on:
  finite A = (exists n. |A| =_o natLeq-on n)
  using finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on[of A]
  by(auto simp: ordIso-natLeq-on-imp-finite)

lemma finite-card-of-iff-card:
  assumes FIN: finite A and FIN': finite B
  shows (|A| =_o |B|) = (card A = card B)
  using assms card-of-ordIso[of A B] bij-betw-iff-card[of A B] by blast

lemma finite-card-of-iff-card3:
  assumes FIN: finite A and FIN': finite B
  shows (|A| <_o |B|) = (card A < card B)
proof-
  have (|A| <_o |B|) = (~(|B| ≤_o |A|)) by simp
  also have ... = (~(card B ≤ card A))
  using assms by(simp add: finite-card-of-iff-card2)

```

**also have** ... = ( $\text{card } A < \text{card } B$ ) **by auto**  
**finally show** ?thesis .

qed

**lemma** *card-Field-natLep-on*:  
 $\text{card}(\text{Field}(\text{natLep-on } n)) = n$   
**using** *Field-natLep-on card-atLeastLessThan* **by auto**

## 10.7 The successor of a cardinal

**lemma** *embed-implies-ordIso-Restr*:  
**assumes** WELL: Well-order  $r$  **and** WELL': Well-order  $r'$  **and** EMB: embed  $r' r f$   
**shows**  $r' =_o \text{Restr } r (f' (\text{Field } r'))$   
**using** assms *embed-implies-iso-Restr Well-order-Restr unfolding ordIso-def* **by blast**

**lemma** *cardSuc-mono-ordLess[simp]*:  
**assumes** CARD: Card-order  $r$  **and** CARD': Card-order  $r'$   
**shows**  $(\text{cardSuc } r <_o \text{cardSuc } r') = (r <_o r')$   
**proof**–  
**have** 0: Well-order  $r \wedge$  Well-order  $r' \wedge$  Well-order( $\text{cardSuc } r$ )  $\wedge$  Well-order( $\text{cardSuc } r'$ )  
**using** assms **by auto**  
**thus** ?thesis  
**using** *not-ordLep-iff-ordLess not-ordLep-iff-ordLess[of r r']*  
**using** *cardSuc-mono-ordLep[of r' r]* assms **by blast**  
qed

**lemma** *cardSuc-natLep-on-Suc*:  
 $\text{cardSuc}(\text{natLep-on } n) =_o \text{natLep-on}(\text{Suc } n)$   
**proof**–  
**obtain**  $r r' p$  **where**  $r\text{-def: } r = \text{natLep-on } n$  **and**  
 $r'\text{-def: } r' = \text{cardSuc}(\text{natLep-on } n)$  **and**  
 $p\text{-def: } p = \text{natLep-on}(\text{Suc } n)$  **by blast**  
**have** CARD: Card-order  $r \wedge$  Card-order  $r' \wedge$  Card-order  $p$  **unfolding**  $r\text{-def } r'\text{-def } p\text{-def}$   
**using** *cardSuc-ordLess-ordLep natLep-on-Card-order cardSuc-Card-order* **by blast**  
**hence** WELL: Well-order  $r \wedge$  Well-order  $r' \wedge$  Well-order  $p$   
**unfolding** *card-order-on-def* **by force**  
**have** FIELD:  $\text{Field } r = \{0..< n\} \wedge \text{Field } p = \{0..< (\text{Suc } n)\}$   
**unfolding**  $r\text{-def } p\text{-def Field-natLep-on atLeast-0 atLeastLessThan-def lessThan-def}$   
**by simp**  
**hence** FIN: finite( $\text{Field } r$ ) **by force**  
**have**  $r <_o r'$  **using** CARD **unfolding**  $r\text{-def } r'\text{-def}$  **using** *cardSuc-greater* **by blast**  
**hence**  $|\text{Field } r| <_o r'$  **using** CARD *card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-ordLess-trans* **by**

```

blast
  hence LESS: |Field r| < o |Field r'|
    using CARD card-of-Field-ordIso ordLess-ordIso-trans ordIso-symmetric by
blast

have r' ≤ o p using CARD unfolding r-def r'-def p-def
  using natLeq-on-ordLeq-less cardSuc-ordLess-ordLeq by blast
moreover have p ≤ o r'
proof-
  {assume r' < o p
  then obtain f where 0: embedS r' p f unfolding ordLess-def by force
  let ?q = Restr p (f ` Field r')
  have 1: embed r' p f using 0 unfolding embedS-def by force
  hence 2: f ` Field r' < {0..<(Suc n)}
    using WELL FIELD 0 by (auto simp: embedS-iff)
  have wo-rel.ofilter p (f ` Field r') using embed-Field-ofilter 1 WELL by blast
  then obtain m where m ≤ Suc n and 3: f ` (Field r') = {0..<m}
    unfolding p-def by (auto simp: natLeq-on-ofilter-iff)
  hence 4: m ≤ n using 2 by force

  have bij-betw f (Field r') (f ` (Field r'))
    using WELL embed-inj-on[OF - 1] unfolding bij-betw-def by blast
  moreover have finite(f ` (Field r')) using 3 finite-atLeastLessThan[of 0 m]
by force
ultimately have 5: finite (Field r') ∧ card(Field r') = card (f ` (Field r'))
  using bij-betw-same-card bij-betw-finite by metis
hence card(Field r') ≤ card(Field r) using 3 4 FIELD by force
hence |Field r'| ≤ o |Field r| using FIN 5 finite-card-of-iff-card2 by blast
hence False using LESS not-ordLess-ordLeq by auto
}
thus ?thesis using WELL CARD by fastforce
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq unfolding r'-def p-def by
blast
qed

lemma card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite[simp]:
assumes ¬finite C and |A| ≤ o |C| and |B| ≤ o |C|
shows |A <+> B| ≤ o |C|
by (simp add: assms)

lemma card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite[simp]:
assumes ¬finite C and |A| ≤ o |C| and |B| ≤ o |C|
shows |A Un B| ≤ o |C|
using assms card-of-Plus-ordLeq-infinite card-of-Un-Plus-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive
by metis

```

## 10.8 Others

```

lemma under-mono[simp]:
  assumes Well-order r and (i,j) ∈ r
  shows under r i ⊆ under r j
  using assms unfolding under-def order-on-defs trans-def by blast

lemma underS-under:
  assumes i ∈ Field r
  shows underS r i = under r i - {i}
  using assms unfolding underS-def under-def by auto

lemma relChain-under:
  assumes Well-order r
  shows relChain r (λ i. under r i)
  using assms unfolding relChain-def by auto

lemma card-of-infinite-diff-finite:
  assumes ¬finite A and finite B
  shows |A - B| =o |A|
  by (metis assms card-of-Un-diff-infinite finite-ordLess-infinite2)

lemma infinite-card-of-diff-singl:
  assumes ¬finite A
  shows |A - {a}| =o |A|
  by (metis assms card-of-infinite-diff-finite finite.emptyI finite-insert)

lemma card-of-vimage:
  assumes B ⊆ range f
  shows |B| ≤o |f - ` B|
  by (metis Int-absorb2 assms image-vimage-eq order-refl surj-imp-ordLeq)

lemma surj-card-of-vimage:
  assumes surj f
  shows |B| ≤o |f - ` B|
  by (metis assms card-of-vimage subset-UNIV)

definition Bpow where
  Bpow r A ≡ {X . X ⊆ A ∧ |X| ≤o r}

lemma Bpow-empty[simp]:
  assumes Card-order r
  shows Bpow r {} = {{}}
  using assms unfolding Bpow-def by auto

lemma singl-in-Bpow:
  assumes rc: Card-order r
  and r: Field r ≠ {} and a: a ∈ A
  shows {a} ∈ Bpow r A

```

```

proof-
  have  $|\{a\}| \leq_o r$  using  $r$   $rc$  by auto
  thus  $?thesis$  unfolding  $Bpow\text{-def}$  using  $a$  by auto
qed

lemma ordLeq-card-Bpow:
  assumes  $rc$ : Card-order  $r$  and  $r$ : Field  $r \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $|A| \leq_o |Bpow r A|$ 
proof-
  have inj-on  $(\lambda a. \{a\}) A$  unfolding inj-on-def by auto
  moreover have  $(\lambda a. \{a\})` A \subseteq Bpow r A$ 
    using singl-in-Bpow[OF assms] by auto
  ultimately show  $?thesis$  unfolding card-of-ordLeq[symmetric] by blast
qed

lemma infinite-Bpow:
  assumes  $rc$ : Card-order  $r$  and  $r$ : Field  $r \neq \{\}$ 
  and  $A$ :  $\neg$ finite  $A$ 
  shows  $\neg$ finite  $(Bpow r A)$ 
  using ordLeq-card-Bpow[OF rc r]
  by (metis A card-of-ordLeq-infinite)

definition Func-option where
  Func-option  $A B \equiv$ 
   $\{f. (\forall a. f a \neq None \longleftrightarrow a \in A) \wedge (\forall a \in A. \text{case } f a \text{ of } Some b \Rightarrow b \in B \mid None \Rightarrow True)\}$ 

lemma card-of-Func-option-Func:
   $|Func\text{-option } A B| =_o |Func A B|$ 
proof (rule ordIso-symmetric, unfold card-of-ordIso[symmetric], intro exI)
  let  $?F = \lambda f a. \text{if } a \in A \text{ then } Some (f a) \text{ else } None$ 
  show bij-betw  $?F (Func A B)$   $(Func\text{-option } A B)$ 
    unfolding bij-betw-def unfolding inj-on-def proof (intro conjI ballI impI)
    fix  $f g$  assume  $f: f \in Func A B$  and  $g: g \in Func A B$  and  $eq: ?F f = ?F g$ 
    show  $f = g$ 
    proof (rule ext)
      fix  $a$ 
      show  $f a = g a$ 
        by (smt (verit) Func-def eq f g mem-Collect-eq option.inject)
    qed
next
  show  $?F` Func A B = Func\text{-option } A B$ 
  proof safe
    fix  $f$  assume  $f: f \in Func\text{-option } A B$ 
    define  $g$  where [abs-def]:  $g a = (\text{case } f a \text{ of } Some b \Rightarrow b \mid None \Rightarrow undefined)$ 
  for  $a$ 
    have  $g \in Func A B$ 
      using  $f$  unfolding  $g\text{-def}$  Func-def Func-option-def by force+
      moreover have  $f = ?F g$ 

```

```

proof(rule ext)
  fix a show f a = ?F g a
    using f unfolding Func-option-def g-def by (cases a ∈ A) force+
  qed
  ultimately show f ∈ ?F ‘(Func A B) by blast
  qed(unfold Func-def Func-option-def, auto)
qed
qed

definition Pfunc where
  Pfunc A B ≡
  {f. (∀ a. f a ≠ None → a ∈ A) ∧
    (∀ a. case f a of None ⇒ True | Some b ⇒ b ∈ B)}

lemma Func-Pfunc:
  Func-option A B ⊆ Pfunc A B
  unfolding Func-option-def Pfunc-def by auto

lemma Pfunc-Func-option:
  Pfunc A B = (⋃ A' ∈ Pow A. Func-option A' B)
proof safe
  fix f assume f: f ∈ Pfunc A B
  show f ∈ (⋃ A' ∈ Pow A. Func-option A' B)
  proof (intro UN-I)
    let ?A' = {a. f a ≠ None}
    show ?A' ∈ Pow A using f unfolding Pow-def Pfunc-def by auto
    show f ∈ Func-option ?A' B using f unfolding Func-option-def Pfunc-def
  by auto
  qed
next
  fix f A' assume f ∈ Func-option A' B and A' ⊆ A
  thus f ∈ Pfunc A B unfolding Func-option-def Pfunc-def by auto
qed

lemma card-of-Func-mono:
  fixes A1 A2 :: 'a set and B :: 'b set
  assumes A12: A1 ⊆ A2 and B: B ≠ {}
  shows |Func A1 B| ≤o |Func A2 B|
proof–
  obtain bb where bb: bb ∈ B using B by auto
  define F where [abs-def]: F f1 a =
    (if a ∈ A2 then (if a ∈ A1 then f1 a else bb) else undefined) for f1 :: 'a ⇒ 'b
  and a
  show ?thesis unfolding card-of-ordLeq[symmetric]
  proof(intro exI[of - F] conjI)
    show inj-on F (Func A1 B) unfolding inj-on-def
  proof safe
    fix f g assume f: f ∈ Func A1 B and g: g ∈ Func A1 B and eq: F f = F g

```

```

show f = g
proof(rule ext)
fix a show f a = g a
by (smt (verit) A12 F-def Func-def eq f g mem-Collect-eq subsetD)
qed
qed
qed(insert bb, unfold Func-def F-def, force)
qed

lemma card-of-Func-option-mono:
fixes A1 A2 :: 'a set and B :: 'b set
assumes A12: A1 ⊆ A2 and B: B ≠ {}
shows |Func-option A1 B| ≤o |Func-option A2 B|
by (metis card-of-Func-mono[OF A12 B] card-of-Func-option-Func
ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordIso-symmetric)

lemma card-of-Pfunc-Pow-Func-option:
assumes B ≠ {}
shows |Pfunc A B| ≤o |Pow A × Func-option A B|
proof-
have |Pfunc A B| =o |⋃ A' ∈ Pow A. Func-option A' B| (is - =o ?K)
unfolding Pfunc-Func-option by(rule card-of-refl)
also have ?K ≤o |Sigma (Pow A) (λ A'. Func-option A' B)| using card-of-UNION-Sigma
.
also have |Sigma (Pow A) (λ A'. Func-option A' B)| ≤o |Pow A × Func-option
A B|
by (simp add: assms card-of-Func-option-mono card-of-Sigma-mono1)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma Bpow-ordLeq-Func-Field:
assumes rc: Card-order r and r: Field r ≠ {} and A: ¬finite A
shows |Bpow r A| ≤o |Func (Field r) A|
proof-
let ?F = λ f. {x | x a. f a = x ∧ a ∈ Field r}
{fix X assume X ∈ Bpow r A - {{}}
hence XA: X ⊆ A and |X| ≤o r
and X: X ≠ {} unfolding Bpow-def by auto
hence |X| ≤o |Field r| by (metis Field-card-of card-of-mono2)
then obtain F where 1: X = F ` (Field r)
using card-of-ordLeq2[OF X] by metis
define f where [abs-def]: f i = (if i ∈ Field r then F i else undefined) for i
have ∃ f ∈ Func (Field r) A. X = ?F f
apply (intro bexI[of - f]) using 1 XA unfolding Func-def f-def by auto
}
hence Bpow r A - {{}} ⊆ ?F ` (Func (Field r) A) by auto
hence |Bpow r A - {{}}| ≤o |Func (Field r) A|
by (rule surj-imp-ordLeq)
moreover

```

```

{have 2:  $\neg\text{finite } (\text{Bpow } r A)$  using infinite-Bpow[OF rc r A] .
  have  $|\text{Bpow } r A| =_o |\text{Bpow } r A - \{\{\}\}|$ 
    by (metis 2 infinite-card-of-diff-singl ordIso-symmetric)
}
ultimately show ?thesis by (metis ordIso-ordLeq-trans)
qed

lemma empty-in-Func[simp]:
   $B \neq \{\} \implies (\lambda x. \text{undefined}) \in \text{Func } \{\} B$ 
  by simp

lemma Func-mono[simp]:
  assumes  $B1 \subseteq B2$ 
  shows  $\text{Func } A B1 \subseteq \text{Func } A B2$ 
  using assms unfolding Func-def by force

lemma Pfunc-mono[simp]:
  assumes  $A1 \subseteq A2$  and  $B1 \subseteq B2$ 
  shows  $\text{Pfunc } A B1 \subseteq \text{Pfunc } A B2$ 
  using assms unfolding Pfunc-def
  by (force split: option.split-asm option.split)

lemma card-of-Func-UNIV-UNIV:
   $|\text{Func } (\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}) (\text{UNIV}::'b \text{ set})| =_o |\text{UNIV}::('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set}|$ 
  using card-of-Func-UNIV[of UNIV::'b set] by auto

lemma ordLeq-Func:
  assumes  $\{b1, b2\} \subseteq B$   $b1 \neq b2$ 
  shows  $|A| \leq_o |\text{Func } A B|$ 
  unfolding card-of-ordLeq[symmetric] proof(intro exI conjI)
  let ?F =  $\lambda x. a. \text{if } a \in A \text{ then } (\text{if } a = x \text{ then } b1 \text{ else } b2) \text{ else undefined}$ 
  show inj-on ?F A using assms unfolding inj-on-def fun-eq-iff by auto
  show ?F ` A  $\subseteq \text{Func } A B$  using assms unfolding Func-def by auto
qed

lemma infinite-Func:
  assumes A:  $\neg\text{finite } A$  and B:  $\{b1, b2\} \subseteq B$   $b1 \neq b2$ 
  shows  $\neg\text{finite } (\text{Func } A B)$ 
  using ordLeq-Func[OF B] by (metis A card-of-ordLeq-finite)

```

## 10.9 Infinite cardinals are limit ordinals

```

lemma card-order-infinite-isLimOrd:
  assumes c: Card-order r and i:  $\neg\text{finite } (\text{Field } r)$ 
  shows isLimOrd r
proof-
  have 0: wo-rel r and 00: Well-order r
  using c unfolding card-order-on-def wo-rel-def by auto
  hence rr: Refl r by (metis wo-rel.REFL)

```

```

show ?thesis unfolding wo-rel.isLimOrd-def[OF 0] wo-rel.isSuccOrd-def[OF 0]

proof safe
fix j assume j ∈ Field r and ∀ i ∈ Field r. (i, j) ∈ r
then show False
by (metis Card-order-trans c i infinite-Card-order-limit)
qed
qed

lemma insert-Chain:
assumes Refl r C ∈ Chains r and i ∈ Field r and ∀ j. j ∈ C ⇒ (j, i) ∈ r ∨
(i, j) ∈ r
shows insert i C ∈ Chains r
using assms unfolding Chains-def by (auto dest: refl-onD)

lemma Collect-insert: {R j | j. j ∈ insert j1 J} = insert (R j1) {R j | j. j ∈ J}
by auto

lemma Field-init-seg-of[simp]:
Field init-seg-of = UNIV
unfolding Field-def init-seg-of-def by auto

lemma refl-init-seg-of[intro, simp]: refl init-seg-of
unfolding refl-on-def Field-def by auto

lemma regularCard-all-ex:
assumes r: Card-order r regularCard r
and As: ∀ i j b. b ∈ B ⇒ (i, j) ∈ r ⇒ P i b ⇒ P j b
and Bsub: ∀ b ∈ B. ∃ i ∈ Field r. P i b
and cardB: |B| < o r
shows ∃ i ∈ Field r. ∀ b ∈ B. P i b
proof-
let ?As = λi. {b ∈ B. P i b}
have ∃ i ∈ Field r. B ≤ ?As i
apply(rule regularCard-UNION) using assms unfolding relChain-def by auto
thus ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma relChain-stabilize:
assumes rc: relChain r As and AsB: (∪ i ∈ Field r. As i) ⊆ B and Br: |B| < o r
and ir: ¬finite (Field r) and cr: Card-order r
shows ∃ i ∈ Field r. As (succ r i) = As i
proof(rule econtr, auto)
have 0: wo-rel r and 00: Well-order r
unfolding wo-rel-def by (metis card-order-on-well-order-on cr) +
have L: isLimOrd r using ir cr by (metis card-order-infinite-isLimOrd)
have AsBs: (∪ i ∈ Field r. As (succ r i)) ⊆ B
using AsB L by (simp add: 0 Sup-le-iff wo-rel.isLimOrd-succ)

```

```

assume As-s:  $\forall i \in \text{Field } r. As(\text{succ } r i) \neq As i$ 
have 1:  $\forall i j. (i,j) \in r \wedge i \neq j \rightarrow As i \subset As j$ 
proof safe
fix i j assume 1:  $(i, j) \in r \wedge i \neq j$  and Asij:  $As i = As j$ 
hence rij:  $(\text{succ } r i, j) \in r$  by (metis 0 wo-rel.succ-smallest)
hence  $As(\text{succ } r i) \subseteq As j$  using rc unfolding relChain-def by auto
moreover
{ have  $(i, \text{succ } r i) \in r$ 
  by (meson 0 1(1) FieldI1 L wo-rel.isLimOrd-aboveS wo-rel.succ-in)
  hence  $As i \subset As(\text{succ } r i)$  using As-s rc rij unfolding relChain-def Field-def
by auto
}
ultimately show False unfolding Asij by auto
qed (insert rc, unfold relChain-def, auto)
hence  $\forall i \in \text{Field } r. \exists a. a \in As(\text{succ } r i) - As i$ 
using wo-rel.succ-in[OF 0] AsB
by(metis 0 card-order-infinite-isLimOrd cr ir psubset-imp-ex-mem
wo-rel.isLimOrd-aboveS wo-rel.succ-diff)
then obtain f where f:  $\forall i \in \text{Field } r. f i \in As(\text{succ } r i) - As i$  by metis
have inj-on f (Field r) unfolding inj-on-def
proof safe
fix i j assume ij:  $i \in \text{Field } r \wedge j \in \text{Field } r$  and fij:  $f i = f j$ 
show i = j
proof(cases rule: wo-rel.cases-Total3[OF 0], safe)
assume (i, j) ∈ r and ijd: i ≠ j
hence rij:  $(\text{succ } r i, j) \in r$  by (metis 0 wo-rel.succ-smallest)
hence  $As(\text{succ } r i) \subseteq As j$  using rc unfolding relChain-def by auto
thus i = j using ij ijd fij f by auto
next
assume (j, i) ∈ r and ijd: i ≠ j
hence rij:  $(\text{succ } r j, i) \in r$  by (metis 0 wo-rel.succ-smallest)
hence  $As(\text{succ } r j) \subseteq As i$  using rc unfolding relChain-def by auto
thus j = i using ij ijd fij f by fastforce
qed(insert ij, auto)
qed
moreover have f ` (Field r) ⊆ B using f AsBs by auto
moreover have |B| < o |Field r| using Br cr by (metis card-of-unique ord-
Less-ordIso-trans)
ultimately show False unfolding card-of-ordLess[symmetric] by auto
qed

```

## 10.10 Regular vs. stable cardinals

```

lemma stable-cardSuc:
assumes CARD: Card-order r and INF: ¬finite (Field r)
shows stable(cardSuc r)
using infinite-cardSuc-regularCard regularCard-stable
by (metis CARD INF cardSuc-Card-order cardSuc-finite)

```

```

lemma stable-ordIso:
  assumes r =o r'
  shows stable r = stable r'
  by (metis assms ordIso-symmetric stable-ordIso1)

lemma stable-nat: stable |UNIV::nat set|
  using stable-natLeq card-of-nat stable-ordIso by auto

Below, the type of "A" is not important – we just had to choose an appropriate type to make "A" possible. What is important is that arbitrarily large infinite sets of stable cardinality exist.

lemma infinite-stable-exists:
  assumes CARD:  $\forall r \in R. \text{Card-order } (r :: 'a \text{ rel})$ 
  shows  $\exists (A :: (\text{nat} + 'a \text{ set})\text{set}).$ 
         $\neg\text{finite } A \wedge \text{stable } |A| \wedge (\forall r \in R. r <_o |A|)$ 
proof-
  have  $\exists (A :: (\text{nat} + 'a \text{ set})\text{set}).$ 
     $\neg\text{finite } A \wedge \text{stable } |A| \wedge |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| <_o |A|$ 
  proof(cases finite (UNIV::'a set))
    case True
    let ?B = UNIV::nat set
    have  $\neg\text{finite}(\text{Field } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|)$  using finite-Plus-iff by blast
    moreover
    have  $\text{stable } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$  using stable-natLeq card-of-nat stable-ordIso1 by blast
    hence  $\text{stable } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| <_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$  using stable-ordIso card-of-Plus-empty1 by blast
    moreover
    have  $\neg\text{finite}(\text{Field } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|) \wedge \text{finite}(\text{Field } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|)$  using True by simp
    hence  $|\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| <_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$  by (simp add: finite-ordLess-infinite)
    hence  $|\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| <_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$  using card-of-Plus-empty1 ordLess-ordIso-trans
    by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case False
    hence  $\neg\text{finite}(\text{Field } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|)$  by simp
    let ?B = Field(cardSuc |UNIV::'a set|)
    have  $0: |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| = o |\{\}| <_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$  using card-of-Plus-empty2 by blast
    have  $1: \neg\text{finite } ?B$  using False card-of-cardSuc-finite by blast
    hence  $2: \neg\text{finite}(\{\} <_o ?B)$  using 0 card-of-ordIso-finite by blast
    have  $|\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| = o \text{ cardSuc } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$ 
      using card-of-Card-order cardSuc-Card-order card-of-Field-ordIso by blast
    moreover have  $\text{stable}(\text{cardSuc } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|)$ 
      using stable-cardSuc * card-of-Card-order by blast
    ultimately have  $\text{stable } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$  using stable-ordIso by blast
    hence  $3: \text{stable } |\{\}| <_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$  using stable-ordIso 0 by blast
    have  $|\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| <_o \text{ cardSuc } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$ 
      using card-of-Card-order cardSuc-greater by blast
    moreover have  $|\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| = o \text{ cardSuc } |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$ 
      using card-of-Card-order cardSuc-Card-order card-of-Field-ordIso by blast
    ultimately have  $|\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}| <_o |\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}|$ 
  
```

```

    using ordIso-symmetric ordLess-ordIso-trans by blast
  hence |UNIV::'a set| <o |{} <+> ?B| using 0 ordLess-ordIso-trans by blast
  thus ?thesis using 2 3 by blast
qed
thus ?thesis using CARD card-of-UNIV2 ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast
qed

corollary infinite-regularCard-exists:
assumes CARD:  $\forall r \in R. Card\text{-}order (r::'a rel)$ 
shows  $\exists (A :: (nat + 'a set) set).$ 
 $\neg\text{finite } A \wedge \text{regularCard } |A| \wedge (\forall r \in R. r <o |A|)$ 
using infinite-stable-exists[OF CARD] stable-regularCard by (metis Field-card-of
card-of-card-order-on)

end

```

## 11 Cardinal Arithmetic

```

theory Cardinal-Arithmetic
imports Cardinal-Order-Relation
begin

```

### 11.1 Binary sum

```

lemma csum-Cnotzero2:
Cnotzero r2  $\implies$  Cnotzero (r1 +c r2)
unfolding csum-def
by (metis Cnotzero-imp-not-empty Field-card-of Plus-eq-empty-conv card-of-card-order-on
czeroE)

lemma single-cone:
|{x}| =o cone
proof -
let ?f =  $\lambda x. ()$ 
have bij-betw ?f {x} {{}} unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
thus ?thesis unfolding cone-def using card-of-ordIso by blast
qed

lemma cone-Cnotzero: Cnotzero cone
by (simp add: cone-not-czero Card-order-cone)

lemma cone-ordLeq-ctwo: cone  $\leq_o$  ctwo
unfolding cone-def ctwo-def card-of-ordLeq[symmetric] by auto

lemma csum-czero1: Card-order r  $\implies$  r +c czero =o r
unfolding czero-def csum-def Field-card-of
by (rule ordIso-transitive[OF ordIso-symmetric[OF card-of-Plus-empty1] card-of-Field-ordIso])

lemma csum-czero2: Card-order r  $\implies$  czero +c r =o r

```

**unfolding** *czero-def csum-def Field-card-of*  
**by** (*rule ordIso-transitive[OF ordIso-symmetric[OF card-of-Plus-empty2] card-of-Field-ordIso]*)

## 11.2 Product

**lemma** *Times-cprod: |A × B| =o |A| \*c |B|*  
**by** (*simp only: cprod-def Field-card-of card-of-refl*)

**lemma** *card-of-Times-singleton:*

**fixes** *A :: 'a set*  
**shows** *|A × {x}| =o |A|*

**proof –**

**define** *f :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a where f = (λ(a, b). a)*  
**have** *A ⊆ f ` (A × {x})* **unfolding** *f-def* **by** (*auto simp: image-iff*)  
**hence** *bij-betw f (A × {x}) A* **unfolding** *bij-betw-def inj-on-deff-def* **by** *fastforce*  
**thus** *?thesis using card-of-ordIso* **by** *blast*

**qed**

**lemma** *cprod-assoc: (r \*c s) \*c t =o r \*c s \*c t*  
**unfolding** *cprod-def Field-card-of* **by** (*rule card-of-Times-assoc*)

**lemma** *cprod-czero: r \*c czero =o czero*  
**unfolding** *cprod-def czero-def Field-card-of* **by** (*simp add: card-of-empty-ordIso*)

**lemma** *cprod-cone: Card-order r ⇒ r \*c cone =o r*  
**unfolding** *cprod-def cone-def Field-card-of* **by** (*metis (no-types) card-of-Field-ordIso card-of-Times-singleton ordIso-transitive*)

**lemma** *ordLeq-cprod1: [Card-order p1; Cnotzero p2] ⇒ p1 ≤o p1 \*c p2*  
**unfolding** *cprod-def* **by** (*metis Card-order-Times1 czeroI*)

## 11.3 Exponentiation

**lemma** *cexp-czero: r ^c czero =o cone*  
**unfolding** *cexp-def czero-def Field-card-of Func-empty* **by** (*rule single-cone*)

**lemma** *Pow-cexp-ctwo:*

*|Pow A| =o ctwo ^c |A|*  
**by** (*simp add: card-of-Pow-Func cexp-def ctwo-def*)

**lemma** *Cnotzero-cexp:*

**assumes** *Cnotzero q*  
**shows** *Cnotzero (q ^c r)*  
**proof –**  
**have** *Field q ≠ {}*  
**by** (*metis Card-order-iff-ordIso-card-of assms(1) czero-def*)  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: card-of-ordIso-czero-iff-empty cexp-def*)  
**qed**

```

lemma Cinfinitc-ctwo-cexp:
  Cinfinitc r  $\implies$  Cinfinitc (ctwo  $\wedge_c$  r)
  unfolding ctwo-def cexp-def cfinite-def Field-card-of
  by (rule conjI, rule infinite-Func, auto)

lemma cone-ordLeq-iff-Field:
  assumes cone  $\leq_o$  r
  shows Field r  $\neq \{\}$ 
  by (metis assms card-of-empty3 card-of-mono2 cone-Cnotzero czeroI)

lemma cone-ordLeq-cexp: cone  $\leq_o$  r1  $\implies$  cone  $\leq_o$  r1  $\wedge_c$  r2
  by (simp add: cexp-def cone-def Func-non-emp cone-ordLeq-iff-Field)

lemma Card-order-czero: Card-order czero
  by (simp only: card-of-Card-order czero-def)

lemma cexp-mono2'':
  assumes p2  $\leq_o$  r2
  and n1: Cnotzero q
  and n2: Card-order p2
  shows q  $\wedge_c$  p2  $\leq_o$  q  $\wedge_c$  r2
  proof (cases p2 =o (czero :: 'a rel))
    case True
      hence q  $\wedge_c$  p2 =o q  $\wedge_c$  (czero :: 'a rel) using n1 n2 cexp-cong2 Card-order-czero
      by blast
      also have q  $\wedge_c$  (czero :: 'a rel) =o cone using cexp-czero by blast
      also have cone  $\leq_o$  q  $\wedge_c$  r2 using cone-ordLeq-cexp cone-ordLeq-Cnotzero n1 by
      blast
      finally show ?thesis .
  next
    case False thus ?thesis using assms cexp-mono2' czeroI by metis
  qed

lemma csum-cexp: [Cinfinitc r1; Cinfinitc r2; Card-order q; ctwo  $\leq_o$  q]  $\implies$ 
  q  $\wedge_c$  r1 +c q  $\wedge_c$  r2  $\leq_o$  q  $\wedge_c$  (r1 +c r2)
  apply (rule csum-cfinite-bound)
    apply (metis cexp-mono2' cfinite-def finite.emptyI ordLeq-csum1)
    apply (metis cexp-mono2' cfinite-def finite.emptyI ordLeq-csum2)
  by (simp-all add: Card-order-cexp Cinfinitc-csum1 Cinfinitc-cexp cfinite-cexp)

lemma csum-cexp': [Cinfinitc r; Card-order q; ctwo  $\leq_o$  q]  $\implies$  q +c r  $\leq_o$  q  $\wedge_c$  r
  apply (rule csum-cfinite-bound)
    apply (metis Cinfinitc-Cnotzero ordLeq-cexp1)
    apply (metis ordLeq-cexp2)
    apply blast+
  by (metis Cinfinitc-cexp)

lemma card-of-Sigma-ordLeq-Cinfinitc:
  [Cinfinitc r; |I|  $\leq_o$  r;  $\forall i \in I. |A\ i| \leq_o r$ ]  $\implies$  |SIGMA i : I. A\ i|  $\leq_o$  r

```

**unfolding** *c infinite-def* **by** (*blast intro: card-of-Sigma-ordLeq-infinite-Field*)

```

lemma Cinfinite-ordLess-cexp:
  assumes r: Cinfinite r
  shows r <_o r  $\hat{<}_c r$ 
proof -
  have r <_o ctwo  $\hat{<}_c r$  using r by (simp only: ordLess-ctwo-cexp)
  also have ctwo  $\hat{<}_c r \leq_o r$   $\hat{<}_c r$ 
    by (rule cexp-mono1[OF ctwo-ordLeq-Cinfinite]) (auto simp: r ctwo-not-czero
Card-order-ctwo)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma infinite-ordLeq-cexp:
  assumes Cinfinite r
  shows r ≤_o r  $\hat{<}_c r$ 
  by (rule ordLess-imp-ordLeq[OF Cinfinite-ordLess-cexp[OF assms]])

lemma czero-cexp: Cnotzero r  $\implies$  czero  $\hat{<}_c r =_o \text{czero}$ 
  by (metis Cnotzero-imp-not-empty cexp-def czero-def card-of-empty-ordIso Field-card-of
Func-is-emp)

lemma Func-singleton:
  fixes x :: 'b and A :: 'a set
  shows  $|\text{Func } A \{x\}| =_o |\{x\}|$ 
proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
  define f where [abs-def]: f y a = (if y = x ∧ a ∈ A then x else undefined) for
y a
  have Func A {x} ⊆ f`{x} unfolding f-def Func-def by (force simp: fun-eq-iff)
  hence bij-betw f {x} (Func A {x})
    unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def f-def Func-def by (auto split: if-split-asm)
    thus  $|\{x\}| =_o |\text{Func } A \{x\}|$  using card-of-ordIso by blast
qed

lemma cone-cexp: cone  $\hat{<}_c r =_o \text{cone}$ 
  unfolding cexp-def cone-def Field-card-of by (rule Func-singleton)

lemma card-of-Func-squared:
  fixes A :: 'a set
  shows  $|\text{Func } (\text{UNIV :: bool set}) A| =_o |A \times A|$ 
proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
  define f where f =  $(\lambda(x::'a,y) \ b. \text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then undefined else if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y)$ 
  have Func (UNIV :: bool set) A ⊆ f`(A × A) unfolding f-def Func-def
    by (auto simp: image-iff fun-eq-iff split: option.splits if-split-asm) blast
  hence bij-betw f (A × A) (Func (UNIV :: bool set) A)
    unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def f-def Func-def by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)
    thus  $|A \times A| =_o |\text{Func } (\text{UNIV :: bool set}) A|$  using card-of-ordIso by blast
qed
```

```

lemma cexp-ctwo:  $r \hat{\wedge}_c \text{ctwo} =_o r *_c r$ 
  unfolding cexp-def ctwo-def cprod-def Field-card-of by (rule card-of-Func-squared)

lemma card-of-Func-Plus:
  fixes A :: 'a set and B :: 'b set and C :: 'c set
  shows  $|\text{Func}(A <+> B) C| =_o |\text{Func } A C \times \text{Func } B C|$ 
  proof (rule ordIso-symmetric)
    define f where  $f = (\lambda(g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c, h :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c). ab. \text{case } ab \text{ of } \text{Inl } a \Rightarrow g a | \text{Inr } b \Rightarrow h b)$ 
    define f' where  $f' = (\lambda(f :: ('a + 'b) \Rightarrow 'c). (\lambda a. f(\text{Inl } a), \lambda b. f(\text{Inr } b)))$ 
    have  $f' (\text{Func } A C \times \text{Func } B C) \subseteq \text{Func}(A <+> B) C$ 
      unfolding Func-def f-def by (force split: sum.splits)
    moreover have  $f' (\text{Func}(A <+> B) C) \subseteq \text{Func } A C \times \text{Func } B C$  unfolding Func-def f'-def by force
    moreover have  $\forall a \in \text{Func } A C \times \text{Func } B C. f'(f a) = a$  unfolding f'-def f-def Func-def by auto
    moreover have  $\forall a' \in \text{Func}(A <+> B) C. f(f' a') = a'$  unfolding f'-def f-def Func-def
      by (auto split: sum.splits)
    ultimately have bij-betw f (Func A C × Func B C) (Func (A <+> B) C)
      by (intro bij-betw-byWitness[of - f' f])
    thus  $|\text{Func } A C \times \text{Func } B C| =_o |\text{Func}(A <+> B) C|$  using card-of-ordIso
  by blast
qed

lemma cexp-csum:  $r \hat{\wedge}_c (s +_c t) =_o r \hat{\wedge}_c s *_c r \hat{\wedge}_c t$ 
  unfolding cexp-def cprod-def csum-def Field-card-of by (rule card-of-Func-Plus)

```

## 11.4 Powerset

```

definition cpow where  $\text{cpow } r = |\text{Pow}(\text{Field } r)|$ 

lemma card-order-cpow: card-order r  $\implies$  card-order (cpow r)
  by (simp only: cpow-def Field-card-order Pow-UNIV card-of-card-order-on)

lemma cpow-greater-eq: Card-order r  $\implies$   $r \leq_o \text{cpow } r$ 
  by (rule ordLess-imp-ordLeq) (simp only: cpow-def Card-order-Pow)

lemma Cinfinite-cpow: Cinfinite r  $\implies$  Cinfinite (cpow r)
  unfolding cpow-def cinfinite-def by simp

lemma Card-order-cpow: Card-order (cpow r)
  unfolding cpow-def by (rule card-of-Card-order)

lemma cardSuc-ordLeq-cpow: Card-order r  $\implies$  cardSuc r  $\leq_o \text{cpow } r$ 
  unfolding cpow-def by (metis Card-order-Pow cardSuc-ordLess-ordLeq card-of-Card-order)

lemma cpow-cexp-ctwo: cpow r =_o ctwo  $\hat{\wedge}_c r$ 

```

**unfolding** *cpow-def* *ctwo-def* *cexp-def* *Field-card-of* **by** (*rule card-of-Pow-Func*)

## 11.5 Inverse image

```
lemma vimage-ordLeq:
  assumes |A| ≤o k and ∀ a ∈ A. |vimage f {a}| ≤o k and Cinfinite k
  shows |vimage f A| ≤o k
proof–
  have vimage f A = (⋃ a ∈ A. vimage f {a}) by auto
  also have |⋃ a ∈ A. vimage f {a}| ≤o k
    using UNION-Cinfinite-bound[OF assms] .
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

## 11.6 Maximum

**definition** *cmax* **where**

```
cmax r s =
  (if cinfinite r ∨ cinfinite s then czero +c r +c s
   else natLeq-on (max (card (Field r)) (card (Field s))) +c czero)
```

```
lemma cmax-com: cmax r s =o cmax s r
unfoldng cmax-def
by (auto simp: max.commute intro: csum-cong2[OF csum-com] csum-cong2[OF
czero-ordIso])
```

```
lemma cmax1:
  assumes Card-order r Card-order s s ≤o r
  shows cmax r s =o r
  unfoldng cmax-def
  proof (split if-splits, intro conjI impI)
  assume cinfinite r ∨ cinfinite s
  hence Cinf: Cinfinite r using assms(1,3) by (metis cinfinite-mono)
  have czero +c r +c s =o r +c s by (rule csum-czero2[OF Card-order-csum])
  also have r +c s =o r by (rule csum-absorb1[OF Cinf assms(3)])
  finally show czero +c r +c s =o r .
next
  assume ¬(cinfinite r ∨ cinfinite s)
  hence fin: finite (Field r) and finite (Field s) unfoldng cinfinite-def by simp-all
  moreover
  { from assms(2) have |Field s| =o s by (rule card-of-Field-ordIso)
    also from assms(3) have s ≤o r .
    also from assms(1) have r =o |Field r| by (rule ordIso-symmetric[OF card-of-Field-ordIso])
      finally have |Field s| ≤o |Field r| .
  }
  ultimately have card (Field s) ≤ card (Field r) by (subst sym[OF finite-card-of-iff-card2])
  hence max (card (Field r)) (card (Field s)) = card (Field r) by (rule max-absorb1)
  hence natLeq-on (max (card (Field r)) (card (Field s))) +c czero =
    natLeq-on (card (Field r)) +c czero by simp
  also have ... =o natLeq-on (card (Field r)) by (rule csum-czero1[OF natLeq-on-Card-order])
```

```

also have natLeq-on (card (Field r)) =o |Field r|
  by (rule ordIso-symmetric[OF finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on[OF fin]])
also from assms(1) have |Field r| =o r by (rule card-of-Field-ordIso)
finally show natLeq-on (max (card (Field r)) (card (Field s))) +c czero =o r .
qed

lemma cmax2:
assumes Card-order r Card-order s r ≤o s
shows cmax r s =o s
by (metis assms cmax1 cmax-com ordIso-transitive)

context
fixes r s
assumes r: Cfinite r
and   s: Cfinite s
begin

lemma cmax-csum: cmax r s =o r +c s
by (simp add: Card-order-csum cmax-def csum-czero2 r)

lemma cmax-cprod: cmax r s =o r *c s
proof (cases r ≤o s)
case True
hence cmax r s =o s by (metis cmax2 r s)
also have s =o r *c s by (metis Cfinite-Cnotzero True cprod-infinite2' ordIso-symmetric r s)
finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
hence s ≤o r by (metis ordLeq-total r s card-order-on-def)
hence cmax r s =o r by (metis cmax1 r s)
also have r =o r *c s by (metis Cfinite-Cnotzero ‹s ≤o r› cprod-infinite1' ordIso-symmetric r s)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

lemma Card-order-cmax:
assumes r: Card-order r and s: Card-order s
shows Card-order (cmax r s)
unfolding cmax-def by (auto simp: Card-order-csum)

lemma ordLeq-cmax:
assumes r: Card-order r and s: Card-order s
shows r ≤o cmax r s ∧ s ≤o cmax r s
by (meson card-order-on-def cmax1 cmax2 ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-total ordLeq-transitive r s)

```

```

lemmas ordLeq-cmax1 = ordLeq-cmax[THEN conjunct1] and
ordLeq-cmax2 = ordLeq-cmax[THEN conjunct2]

lemma finite-cmax:
assumes r: Card-order r and s: Card-order s
shows finite (Field (cmax r s))  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite (Field r)  $\wedge$  finite (Field s)
by (meson card-order-on-def cmax1 cmax2 ordIso-finite-Field ordLeq-finite-Field
ordLeq-total r s)

end

```

## 12 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders

```

theory Wellorder-Extension
imports Main Order-Union
begin

```

### 12.1 Extending Well-founded Relations to Wellorders

A *downset* (also lower set, decreasing set, initial segment, or downward closed set) is closed w.r.t. smaller elements.

```

definition downset-on where
downset-on A r = ( $\forall x y. (x, y) \in r \wedge y \in A \longrightarrow x \in A$ )

```

```

lemma downset-onI:
( $\bigwedge x y. (x, y) \in r \implies y \in A \implies x \in A$ )  $\implies$  downset-on A r
by (auto simp: downset-on-def)

```

```

lemma downset-onD:
downset-on A r  $\implies$  ( $x, y \in r \implies y \in A \implies x \in A$ )
unfolding downset-on-def by blast

```

Extensions of relations w.r.t. a given set.

```

definition extension-on where
extension-on A r s = ( $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. (x, y) \in s \longrightarrow (x, y) \in r$ )

```

```

lemma extension-onI:
( $\bigwedge x y. [x \in A; y \in A; (x, y) \in s] \implies (x, y) \in r$ )  $\implies$  extension-on A r s
by (auto simp: extension-on-def)

```

```

lemma extension-onD:
extension-on A r s  $\implies$  ( $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies (x, y) \in s \implies (x, y) \in r$ )
by (auto simp: extension-on-def)

```

```

lemma downset-on-Union:
assumes  $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies$  downset-on (Field r) p

```

```

shows downset-on (Field ( $\bigcup R$ ))  $p$ 
using assms by (auto intro: downset-onI dest: downset-onD)

```

```

lemma chain-subset-extension-on-Union:
assumes chain $\subseteq$   $R$  and  $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies$  extension-on (Field  $r$ )  $r p$ 
shows extension-on (Field ( $\bigcup R$ )) ( $\bigcup R$ )  $p$ 
using assms
by (simp add: chain-subset-def extension-on-def)
      (metis (no-types) mono-Field subsetD)

```

```

lemma downset-on-empty [simp]: downset-on {}  $p$ 
by (auto simp: downset-on-def)

```

```

lemma extension-on-empty [simp]: extension-on {}  $p q$ 
by (auto simp: extension-on-def)

```

Every well-founded relation can be extended to a wellorder.

```

theorem well-order-extension:
assumes wf  $p$ 
shows  $\exists w. p \subseteq w \wedge$  Well-order  $w$ 
proof –
  let ?K = { $r. \text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{downset-on} (\text{Field } r) p \wedge \text{extension-on} (\text{Field } r) r p$ }
  define  $I$  where  $I = \text{init-seg-of} \cap ?K \times ?K$ 
  have I-init:  $I \subseteq \text{init-seg-of}$  by (simp add: I-def)
  then have subch:  $\bigwedge R. R \in \text{Chains } I \implies \text{chain}_{\subseteq} R$ 
    by (auto simp: init-seg-of-def chain-subset-def Chains-def)
  have Chains-wo:  $\bigwedge R r. R \in \text{Chains } I \implies r \in R \implies$ 
    Well-order  $r \wedge \text{downset-on} (\text{Field } r) p \wedge \text{extension-on} (\text{Field } r) r p$ 
    by (simp add: Chains-def I-def) blast
  have FI: Field  $I = ?K$  by (auto simp: I-def init-seg-of-def Field-def)
  then have 0: Partial-order  $I$ 
    by (auto simp: partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def antisym-def antisym-init-seg-of
refl-on-def
      trans-def I-def elim: trans-init-seg-of)
  have  $\bigcup R \in ?K \wedge (\forall r \in R. (r, \bigcup R) \in I)$  if  $R \in \text{Chains } I$  for  $R$ 
  proof –
    from that have Ris:  $R \in \text{Chains init-seg-of}$  using mono-Chains [OF I-init]
    by blast
    have subch:  $\text{chain}_{\subseteq} R$  using ‹ $R \in \text{Chains } I$ › I-init
      by (auto simp: init-seg-of-def chain-subset-def Chains-def)
    have  $\forall r \in R. \text{Refl } r$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{trans } r$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{antisym } r$  and
       $\forall r \in R. \text{Total } r$  and  $\forall r \in R. \text{wf } (r - \text{Id})$  and
       $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{downset-on} (\text{Field } r) p$  and
       $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{extension-on} (\text{Field } r) r p$ 
      using Chains-wo [OF ‹ $R \in \text{Chains } I$ ›] by (simp-all add: order-on-defs)
    have Refl ( $\bigcup R$ ) using ‹ $\forall r \in R. \text{Refl } r$ › unfolding refl-on-def by fastforce
    moreover have trans ( $\bigcup R$ )
      by (rule chain-subset-trans-Union [OF subch ‹ $\forall r \in R. \text{trans } r$ ›])

```

```

moreover have antisym ( $\bigcup R$ )
  by (rule chain-subset-antisym-Union [OF subch  $\forall r \in R. \text{antisym } r$ ])
moreover have Total ( $\bigcup R$ )
  by (rule chain-subset-Total-Union [OF subch  $\forall r \in R. \text{Total } r$ ])
moreover have wf (( $\bigcup R$ ) - Id)
proof -
  have ( $\bigcup R$ ) - Id =  $\bigcup \{r - Id \mid r, r \in R\}$  by blast
  with  $\forall r \in R. \text{wf } (r - Id)$  wf-Union-wf-init-segs [OF Chains-inits-DiffI [OF
Ris]]
    show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
ultimately have Well-order ( $\bigcup R$ ) by (simp add: order-on-defs)
moreover have  $\forall r \in R. r$  initial-segment-of  $\bigcup R$  using Ris
  by (simp add: Chains-init-seg-of-Union)
moreover have downset-on (Field ( $\bigcup R$ )) p
  by (rule downset-on-Union [OF  $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{downset-on } (\text{Field } r) p$ ])
moreover have extension-on (Field ( $\bigcup R$ )) ( $\bigcup R$ ) p
  by (rule chain-subset-extension-on-Union [OF subch  $\bigwedge r. r \in R \implies \text{extension-on } (\text{Field } r) r p$ ])
ultimately show ?thesis
  using mono-Chains [OF I-init] and  $\langle R \in \text{Chains } I \rangle$ 
  by (simp (no-asm) add: I-def del: Field-Union) (metis Chains-wo)
qed
then have 1:  $\exists u \in \text{Field } I. \forall r \in R. (r, u) \in I$  if  $R \in \text{Chains } I$  for  $R$ 
  using that by (subst FI) blast

```

Zorn's Lemma yields a maximal wellorder  $m$ .

```

from Zorns-po-lemma [OF 0 1] obtain m :: ('a × 'a) set
  where Well-order m and downset-on (Field m) p and extension-on (Field m)
m p and
  max:  $\forall r. \text{Well-order } r \wedge \text{downset-on } (\text{Field } r) p \wedge \text{extension-on } (\text{Field } r) r p \wedge$ 
     $(m, r) \in I \implies r = m$ 
  by (auto simp: FI)
have Field p ⊆ Field m
proof (rule ccontr)
  let ?Q = Field p - Field m
  assume  $\neg (Field p \subseteq Field m)$ 
  with assms [unfolded wf-eq-minimal, THEN spec, of ?Q]
    obtain x where  $x \in Field p$  and  $x \notin Field m$  and
      min:  $\forall y. (y, x) \in p \implies y \notin ?Q$  by blast

```

Add  $x$  as topmost element to  $m$ .

```

let ?s = {(y, x) | y. y ∈ Field m}
let ?m = insert (x, x) m ∪ ?s
have Fm: Field ?m = insert x (Field m) by (auto simp: Field-def)
have Refl m and trans m and antisym m and Total m and wf (m - Id)
  using ⟨Well-order m⟩ by (simp-all add: order-on-defs)

```

We show that the extension is a wellorder.

```

have Refl ?m using <Refl m> Fm by (auto simp: refl-on-def)
moreover have trans ?m using <trans m> <xnotin Field m>
  unfolding trans-def Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse [symmetric]
by blast
moreover have antisym ?m using <antisym m> <xnotin Field m>
  unfolding antisym-def Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse [symmetric]
by blast
moreover have Total ?m using <Total m> Fm by (auto simp: Relation.total-on-def)
moreover have wf (?m - Id)
proof -
  have wf ?s using <xnotin Field m>
    by (simp add: wf-eq-minimal Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse
[symmetric]) metis
  thus ?thesis using <wf (m - Id)> <xnotin Field m>
    wf-subset [OF <wf ?s> Diff-subset]
    by (fastforce intro!: wf-Un simp add: Un-Diff Field-def)
qed
ultimately have Well-order ?m by (simp add: order-on-defs)
moreover have extension-on (Field ?m) ?m p
  using <extension-on (Field m) m p> <downset-on (Field m) p>
  by (subst Fm) (auto simp: extension-on-def dest: downset-onD)
moreover have downset-on (Field ?m) p
  apply (subst Fm)
  using <downset-on (Field m) p> and min
  unfolding downset-on-def Field-def by blast
moreover have (m, ?m) ∈ I
  using <Well-order m> and <Well-order ?m> and
  <downset-on (Field m) p> and <downset-on (Field ?m) p> and
  <extension-on (Field m) m p> and <extension-on (Field ?m) ?m p> and
  <Refl m> and <xnotin Field m>
  by (auto simp: I-def init-seg-of-def refl-on-def)
ultimately
— This contradicts maximality of m:
show False using max and <xnotin Field m> unfolding Field-def by blast
qed
have p ⊆ m
  using <Field p ⊆ Field m> and <extension-on (Field m) m p>
  unfolding Field-def extension-on-def by auto fast
  with <Well-order m> show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

Every well-founded relation can be extended to a total wellorder.

```

corollary total-well-order-extension:
assumes wf p
shows ∃ w. p ⊆ w ∧ Well-order w ∧ Field w = UNIV
proof -
  from well-order-extension [OF assms] obtain w
  where p ⊆ w and wo: Well-order w by blast
  let ?A = UNIV - Field w

```

```

from well-order-on [of ?A] obtain w' where wo': well-order-on ?A w' ..
have [simp]: Field w' = ?A using well-order-on-Well-order [OF wo'] by simp
have *: Field w ∩ Field w' = {} by simp
let ?w = w ∪o w'
have p ⊆ ?w using ⟨p ⊆ w⟩ by (auto simp: Osum-def)
moreover have Well-order ?w using Osum-Well-order [OF * wo] and wo' by
simp
moreover have Field ?w = UNIV by (simp add: Field-Osum)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

corollary well-order-on-extension:
assumes wf p and Field p ⊆ A
shows ∃ w. p ⊆ w ∧ well-order-on A w
proof -
  from total-well-order-extension [OF ⟨wf p⟩] obtain r
    where p ⊆ r and wo: Well-order r and univ: Field r = UNIV by blast
    let ?r = {(x, y). x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A ∧ (x, y) ∈ r}
    from ⟨p ⊆ r⟩ have p ⊆ ?r using ⟨Field p ⊆ A⟩ by (auto simp: Field-def)
    have Refl r trans r antisym r Total r wf (r - Id)
      using ⟨Well-order r⟩ by (simp-all add: order-on-defs)
    have refl-on A ?r using ⟨Refl r⟩ by (auto simp: refl-on-def univ)
    moreover have trans ?r using ⟨trans r⟩
      unfolding trans-def by blast
    moreover have antisym ?r using ⟨antisym r⟩
      unfolding antisym-def by blast
    moreover have total-on A ?r using ⟨Total r⟩ by (simp add: total-on-def univ)
    moreover have wf (?r - Id) by (rule wf-subset [OF ⟨wf(r - Id)⟩]) blast
    ultimately have well-order-on A ?r by (simp add: order-on-defs)
    with ⟨p ⊆ ?r⟩ show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

```

## 13 Theory of Ordinals and Cardinals

```

theory Cardinals
imports Ordinal-Arithmetic Cardinal-Arithmetic Wellorder-Extension
begin

end

```

## 14 Sets Strictly Bounded by an Infinite Cardinal

```

theory Bounded-Set
imports Cardinals
begin

```

```

typedef ('a, 'k) bset (- set[-] [22, 21] 21) =
  {A :: 'a set. |A| < o natLeq + c |UNIV :: 'k set|}
morphisms set-bset Abs-bset
  by (rule exI[of - {}]) (auto simp: card-of-empty4 csum-def)

setup-lifting type-definition-bset

lift-definition map-bset :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'b set['k] is image
  using card-of-image ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast

lift-definition rel-bset :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'b set['k] ⇒ bool is rel-set
  .

lift-definition bempty :: 'a set['k] is {}
  by (auto simp: card-of-empty4 csum-def)

lift-definition binser t :: 'a ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ 'a set['k] is insert
  using infinite-card-of-insert ordIso-ordLess-trans Field-card-of Field-natLeq UNIV-Plus-UNIV
  csum-def finite-Plus-UNIV-iff finite-insert finite-ordLess-infinite2 infinite-UNIV-nat
  by metis

definition bsingleton where
  bsingleton x = binser x bempty

lemma set-bset-to-set-bset: |A| < o natLeq + c |UNIV :: 'k set| ⇒
  set-bset (the-inv set-bset A :: 'a set['k]) = A
  apply (rule f-the-inv-into-f[unfolded inj-on-def])
  apply (simp add: set-bset-inject range-eqI Abs-bset-inverse[symmetric])
  apply (rule range-eqI Abs-bset-inverse[symmetric] CollectI)+
  .

lemma rel-bset-aux-infinite:
  fixes a :: 'a set['k] and b :: 'b set['k]
  shows (∀t ∈ set-bset a. ∃u ∈ set-bset b. R t u) ∧ (∀u ∈ set-bset b. ∃t ∈ set-bset
  a. R t u) ↔
    ((BNF-Def.Grp {a. set-bset a ⊆ {(a, b). R a b}} (map-bset fst))⁻¹⁻¹ OO
     BNF-Def.Grp {a. set-bset a ⊆ {(a, b). R a b}} (map-bset snd)) a b (is ?L ↔
     ?R)
proof
  assume ?L
  define R' :: ('a × 'b) set['k]
  where R' = the-inv set-bset (Collect (case-prod R) ∩ (set-bset a × set-bset b))
  (is - = the-inv set-bset ?L')
  have |?L'| < o natLeq + c |UNIV :: 'k set|
  unfolding csum-def Field-natLeq
  by (intro ordLeq-ordLess-trans[OF card-of-mono1[OF Int-lower2]]
    card-of-Times-ordLess-infinite)

```

```

(simp, (transfer, simp add: csum-def Field-natLeq)+)
hence *: set-bset R' = ?L' unfolding R'-def by (intro set-bset-to-set-bset)
show ?R unfolding Grp-def relcompp.simps conversep.simps
proof (intro CollectI case-prodI exI[of - a] exI[of - b] exI[of - R'] conjI refl)
  from * show a = map-bset fst R' using conjunct1[OF ‹?L›]
  by (transfer, auto simp add: image-def Int-def split: prod.splits)
  from * show b = map-bset snd R' using conjunct2[OF ‹?L›]
  by (transfer, auto simp add: image-def Int-def split: prod.splits)
qed (auto simp add: *)
next
assume ?R thus ?L unfolding Grp-def relcompp.simps conversep.simps
  by transfer force
qed

bnf 'a set['k]
map: map-bset
sets: set-bset
bd: natLeq +c card-suc | UNIV :: 'k set|
wits: bempty
rel: rel-bset
proof -
  show map-bset id = id by (rule ext, transfer) simp
next
fix f g
show map-bset (f o g) = map-bset f o map-bset g by (rule ext, transfer) auto
next
fix X f g
assume ⋀z. z ∈ set-bset X ⟹ f z = g z
then show map-bset f X = map-bset g X by transfer force
next
fix f
show set-bset o map-bset f = (.) f o set-bset by (rule ext, transfer) auto
next
fix X :: 'a set['k]
have |set-bset X| <_o natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'k set| by transfer blast
then show |set-bset X| <_o natLeq +c card-suc |UNIV :: 'k set|
  by (rule ordLess-ordLeq-trans[OF - csum-mono2[OF ordLess-imp-ordLeq[OF
card-suc-greater[OF card-of-card-order-on]]]]))
next
fix R S
show rel-bset R OO rel-bset S ≤ rel-bset (R OO S)
  by (rule predicate2I, transfer) (auto simp: rel-set-OO[symmetric])
next
fix R :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
show rel-bset R = ((λx y. ∃z. set-bset z ⊆ {(x, y)}. R x y) ∧
  map-bset fst z = x ∧ map-bset snd z = y) :: 'a set['k] ⇒ 'b set['k] ⇒ bool)
  by (simp add: rel-bset-def map-fun-def o-def rel-set-def
  rel-bset-aux-infinite[unfolded OO-Grp-alt])
next

```

```

fix x
assume x ∈ set-bset bempty
then show False by transfer simp
qed (simp-all add: card-order-bd-fun Cinfinite-bd-fun regularCard-bd-fun)

lemma map-bset-bempty[simp]: map-bset f bempty = bempty
by transfer auto

lemma map-bset-binser[t simp]: map-bset f (binser x X) = binser (fx) (map-bset
f X)
by transfer auto

lemma map-bset-bsingleton: map-bset f (bsingleton x) = bsingleton (fx)
unfolding bsingleton-def by simp

lemma bempty-not-binser: bempty ≠ binser x X binser x X ≠ bempty
by (transfer, auto)+

lemma bempty-not-bsingleton[simp]: bempty ≠ bsingleton x bsingleton x ≠ bempty
unfolding bsingleton-def by (simp-all add: bempty-not-binser)

lemma bsingleton-inj[simp]: bsingleton x = bsingleton y ↔ x = y
unfolding bsingleton-def by transfer auto

lemma rel-bsingleton[simp]:
rel-bset R (bsingleton x1) (bsingleton x2) = R x1 x2
unfolding bsingleton-def
by transfer (auto simp: rel-set-def)

lemma rel-bset-bsingleton[simp]:
rel-bset R (bsingleton x1) = (λX. X ≠ bempty ∧ (∀x2∈set-bset X. R x1 x2))
rel-bset R X (bsingleton x2) = (X ≠ bempty ∧ (∀x1∈set-bset X. R x1 x2))
unfolding bsingleton-def fun-eq-iff
by (transfer, force simp add: rel-set-def)+

lemma rel-bset-bempty[simp]:
rel-bset R bempty X = (X = bempty)
rel-bset R Y bempty = (Y = bempty)
by (transfer, simp add: rel-set-def)+

definition bset-of-option where
bset-of-option = case-option bempty bsingleton

lift-definition bgraph :: ('a ⇒ 'b option) ⇒ ('a × 'b) set['a set] is
λf. {(a, b). f a = Some b}
proof -
fix f :: 'a ⇒ 'b option
have |{(a, b). f a = Some b}| ≤o |UNIV :: 'a set|

```

```

by (rule surj-imp-ordLeq[of - λx. (x, the (f x))]) auto
also have |UNIV :: 'a set| <o |UNIV :: 'a set set|
  by simp
also have |UNIV :: 'a set set| ≤o natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'a set set|
  by (rule ordLeq-csum2) simp
finally show |{(a, b). f a = Some b}| <o natLeq +c |UNIV :: 'a set set| .
qed

lemma rel-bset-False[simp]: rel-bset (λx y. False) x y = (x = bempty ∧ y = bempty)
  by transfer (auto simp: rel-set-def)

lemma rel-bset-of-option[simp]:
  rel-bset R (bset-of-option x1) (bset-of-option x2) = rel-option R x1 x2
  unfolding bset-of-option-def bsingleton-def[abs-def]
  by transfer (auto simp: rel-set-def split: option.splits)

lemma rel-bgraph[simp]:
  rel-bset (rel-prod (=) R) (bgraph f1) (bgraph f2) = rel-fun (=) (rel-option R) f1
f2
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp: rel-fun-def rel-option-iff rel-set-def split: option.splits)
  using option.collapse apply fastforce+
done

lemma set-bset-bsingleton[simp]:
  set-bset (bsingleton x) = {x}
  unfolding bsingleton-def by transfer auto

lemma bininsert-absorb[simp]: bininsert a (bininsert a x) = bininsert a x
  by transfer simp

lemma map-bset-eq-bempty-iff[simp]: map-bset f X = bempty ↔ X = bempty
  by transfer auto

lemma map-bset-eq-bsingleton-iff[simp]:
  map-bset f X = bsingleton x ↔ (set-bset X ≠ {}) ∧ (∀ y ∈ set-bset X. f y = x))
  unfolding bsingleton-def by transfer auto

lift-definition bCollect :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a set['a set] is Collect
  apply (rule ordLeq-ordLess-trans[OF card-of-mono1[OF subset-UNIV]])
  apply (rule ordLess-ordLeq-trans[OF card-of-set-type])
  apply (rule ordLeq-csum2[OF card-of-Card-order])
done

lift-definition bmember :: 'a ⇒ 'a set['k] ⇒ bool is (∈) .

lemma bmember-bCollect[simp]: bmember a (bCollect P) = P a
  by transfer simp

```

```

lemma bset-eq-iff:  $A = B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{bmember } a A = \text{bmember } a B)$ 
  by transfer auto

locale bset-lifting
begin

declare bset.rel-eq[relator-eq]
declare bset.rel-mono[relator-mono]
declare bset.rel-compp[symmetric, relator-distr]
declare bset.rel-transfer[transfer-rule]

lemma bset-quot-map[quot-map]: Quotient R Abs Rep T  $\implies$ 
  Quotient (rel-bset R) (map-bset Abs) (map-bset Rep) (rel-bset T)
  unfolding Quotient-alt-def5 bset.rel-Grp[of UNIV, simplified, symmetric]
    bset.rel-conversep[symmetric] bset.rel-compp[symmetric]
  by (auto elim: bset.rel-mono-strong)

lemma set-relator-eq-onp [relator-eq-onp]:
  rel-bset (eq-onp P) = eq-onp ( $\lambda A. \text{Ball} (\text{set-bset } A) P$ )
  unfolding fun-eq-iff eq-onp-def by transfer (auto simp: rel-set-def)

end

end

```