# The Isabelle/HOL Algebra Library

Clemens Ballarin (Editor)

With contributions by Jesús Aransay, Clemens Ballarin, Martin Baillon, Paulo Emílio de Vilhena, Stephan Hohe, Florian Kammüller and Lawrence C Paulson May 23, 2024

# **Contents**





2





















```
theory Congruence
 imports
   Main
    "HOL-Library.FuncSet"
begin
```
# <span id="page-12-0"></span>**1 Objects**

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**1.1 Structure with Carrier Set.**

```
record 'a partial_object =
  carrier :: "'a set"
lemma funcset_carrier:
  \mathbb{I} f \in carrier X \to carrier Y; x \in carrier X \parallel \implies f \times f carrier Y''by (fact funcset_mem)
```

```
lemma funcset_carrier':
```
"[ $f \in$  carrier  $A \to$  carrier  $A$ ;  $x \in$  carrier  $A$  ]]  $\implies$   $f \times f \in$  carrier  $A$ " **by** (fact funcset\_mem)

# <span id="page-12-2"></span>**1.2 Structure with Carrier and Equivalence Relation** eq

```
record 'a eq_object = "'a partial_object" +
  eq :: "'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool" (infixl ".=ı " 50)
```
#### **definition**

elem :: "\_ ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool" (**infixl** ".∈*ı* " 50) where "x  $\cdot \in_S A \leftrightarrow (\exists y \in A. x \cdot =_S y)$ "

#### **definition**

set\_eq :: "\_ ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool" (**infixl** "{.=}*ı* " 50) where "A {.=}<sub>S</sub> B  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $(\forall x \in A. x . \in_S B) \land (\forall x \in B. x . \in_S A)$ )"

#### **definition**

eq\_class\_of ::  $" = \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set" ('class'.of i'')}$ where "class\_of<sub>S</sub>  $x = \{y \in \text{carrier } S. \ x :=_S y\}$ "

#### **definition**

eq\_classes :: "\_ ⇒ ('a set) set" ("classes*ı* ") where "classes<sub>S</sub> = {class\_of<sub>S</sub> x | x.  $x \in$  carrier S}"

### **definition**

eq\_closure\_of ::  $" \_ \Rightarrow 'a$  set  $\Rightarrow 'a$  set" ("closure'\_of*i*") where "closure\_of<sub>S</sub> A = {y  $\in$  carrier S. y . $\in$ <sub>S</sub> A}"

#### **definition**

```
eq_is_closed :: "_ \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool" ("is'_closedi")
   where "is_closed<sub>S</sub> A \leftrightarrow A \subseteq carrier S \land closure_of<sub>S</sub> A = A"
abbreviation
  not_eq :: "_ \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool" (infixl ".\neq<sup>2</sup> " 50)
   where "x \div<sub>S</sub> y \equiv \neg(x \cdot =_S y)"
abbreviation
  not_elem :: "_ \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool" (infixl ".\notin i" 50)
   where "x \cdot \notin_S A \equiv \neg(x \cdot \in_S A)"
abbreviation
   set_not_eq :: "_ \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool" (infixl "{.\neq}' " 50)
   where "A \{.\neq\}<sub>S</sub> B \equiv \neg(A \{-\}<sub>S</sub> B)"
locale equivalence =
  fixes S (structure)
  assumes refl [simp, intro]: "x \in carrier S \implies x = x"
     and sym [sym]: "\parallel x \cdot y; x \in carrier S; y \in carrier S \parallel \Rightarrow y \cdot zx"
     and trans [trans]:
         \mathbb{I} \left[ \begin{array}{c} x \end{array} \right] = y; y \in z; x \in carrier S; y \in carrier S; z \in carrier S \right]=⇒ x .= z"
lemma equivalenceI:
  fixes P :: "'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool" and E :: "'a set"
   \text{assumes refl: } "\bigwedge x. \qquad \left[ \begin{array}{c} x \in E \end{array} \right] \implies \text{P x x} "\quad \text{and} \quad \text{sym} \colon "\! \setminus \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}. \quad [\![ \mathbf{x} \in \mathtt{E}; \mathbf{y} \in \mathtt{E} \!] \Longrightarrow \mathtt{P} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y} \Longrightarrow \mathtt{P} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x}"and trans: "\bigwedge x y z. \left[\begin{array}{l} x \in E; y \in E; z \in E \end{array}\right] \Longrightarrow P x y \Longrightarrow P y z
\implies P x z"
  shows "equivalence \parallel carrier = E, eq = P \parallel"
  unfolding equivalence_def using assms
  by (metis eq_object.select_convs(1) partial_object.select_convs(1))
locale partition =
  fixes A :: "'a set" and B :: "('a set) set"
   assumes unique_class: "\wedgea. a ∈ A \implies \exists!b ∈ B. a ∈ b"
      and incl: "\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies b \subseteq A"
lemma equivalence_subset:
  assumes "equivalence L" "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "equivalence (L(\vert \text{ carrier} := A \vert))"
proof -
  interpret L: equivalence L
     by (simp add: assms)
  show ?thesis
     by (unfold_locales, simp_all add: L.sym assms rev_subsetD, meson L.trans
assms(2) contra_subsetD)
qed
```

```
lemma elemI:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "a' ∈ A" "a .= a'"
  shows "a .∈ A"
  unfolding elem_def using assms by auto
lemma (in equivalence) elem_exact:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier S" "a ∈ A"
  shows "a .∈ A"
  unfolding elem_def using assms by auto
lemma elemE:
  fixes S (structure)
  assumes "a .∈ A"
    and "\wedge a'. [a' \in A; a . = a'] \implies P''shows "P"
  using assms unfolding elem_def by auto
lemma (in equivalence) elem_cong_l [trans]:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier S" "a' ∈ carrier S" "A ⊆ carrier S"
    and "a' .= a" "a .∈ A"
  shows "a' .∈ A"
  using assms by (meson elem_def trans subsetCE)
lemma (in equivalence) elem_subsetD:
  assumes "A ⊆ B" "a .∈ A"
  shows "a .∈ B"
  using assms by (fast intro: elemI elim: elemE dest: subsetD)
lemma (in equivalence) mem_imp_elem [simp, intro]:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier S"
  shows "x \in A \implies x \in A"
  using assms unfolding elem_def by blast
lemma set_eqI:
  fixes R (structure)
  \text{assumes} "\text{/a. a} \in A \implies a \in B"
    and "\wedge b. b \in B \implies b. \in A"shows "A {.=} B"
  using assms unfolding set_eq_def by auto
lemma set_eqI2:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "\wedge a. a \in A \implies \exists b \in B. a = b"and "\wedge b. b \in B \implies \exists a \in A. b = a"
```

```
shows "A {.=} B"
  using assms by (simp add: set_eqI elem_def)
lemma set_eqD1:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "A {:=} A'" and "a \in A"
  shows "∃ a'∈A'. a .= a'"
  using assms by (simp add: set_eq_def elem_def)
lemma set_eqD2:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "A {.=} A'" and "a' ∈ A'"
  shows "∃ a∈A. a' .= a"
  using assms by (simp add: set_eq_def elem_def)
lemma set_eqE:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "A {.=} B"
    and "\parallel \forall a \in A. a .\in B; \forall b \in B. b .\in A \parallel \implies P''shows "P"
  using assms unfolding set_eq_def by blast
lemma set_eqE2:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "A {.=} B"
    and "\lceil \forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. a .= b; \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. b .= a \lceil \implies P"
  shows "P"
  using assms unfolding set_eq_def by (simp add: elem_def)
lemma set_eqE':
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "A {.=} B" "a ∈ A" "b ∈ B"
    and "\wedgea' b'. [a' ∈ A; b' ∈ B ]] \implies b .= a' \implies a .= b' \implies P"
  shows "P"
  using assms by (meson set_eqE2)
lemma (in equivalence) eq_elem_cong_r [trans]:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier S" "A' ⊆ carrier S" "A {.=} A'"
  shows "[a \in \text{carrier } S] \implies a \in A \implies a \in A'"
  using assms by (meson elemE elem_cong_l set_eqE subset_eq)
lemma (in equivalence) set_eq_sym [sym]:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier S" "B ⊆ carrier S"
  shows "A {.=} B \implies B {.=} A"
  using assms unfolding set_eq_def elem_def by auto
lemma (in equivalence) equal_set_eq_trans [trans]:
  "[A = B; B {.-} C] \implies A {.-} C"
  by simp
```

```
lemma (in equivalence) set_eq_equal_trans [trans]:
  "[A \{-\} B; B = C] \implies A \{-\} C"by simp
lemma (in equivalence) set_eq_trans_aux:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier S" "B ⊆ carrier S" "C ⊆ carrier S"
    and "A {.=} B" "B {.=} C"
  \texttt{shows} "\textsf{/a. a} \in \texttt{A} \implies \texttt{a.} \in \texttt{C"}using assms by (simp add: eq_elem_cong_r subset_iff)
corollary (in equivalence) set_eq_trans [trans]:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier S" "B ⊆ carrier S" "C ⊆ carrier S"
    and "A {.=} B" " B {.=} C"
  shows "A {.=} C"
proof (intro set_eqI)
  show "
V
a. a ∈ A =⇒ a .∈ C" using set_eq_trans_aux assms by blast
next
  \begin{split} \text{show }\text{ ``}\text{\textbackslash$b. b $\in$ C}\implies \text{b }\text{ .}\in \text{ A'' using set\_eq\_trans\_aux set\_eq\_sym assms} \end{split}by blast
qed
lemma (in equivalence) is_closedI:
  \text{assumes closed: } \text{``}\text{/}\text{x y. } \text{[} \text{x .= y; x }\in \text{A; y }\in \text{ carrier S]} \implies \text{y }\in \text{A"}and S: "A ⊆ carrier S"
  shows "is_closed A"
  unfolding eq is closed def eq closure of def elem def
  using S
  by (blast dest: closed sym)
lemma (in equivalence) closure_of_eq:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier S" "x ∈ closure_of A"
  shows "[x' \in \text{carrier S}; x := x'] \implies x' \in \text{closure_of A}"
  using assms elem_cong_l sym unfolding eq_closure_of_def by blast
lemma (in equivalence) is_closed_eq [dest]:
  assumes "is_closed A" "x ∈ A"
  shows "[x = x<sup>2</sup>; x' \in carrier S \in \mathbb{R}^n] \implies x' \in \mathbb{R}^nusing assms closure_of_eq [where A = A] unfolding eq_is_closed_def
by simp
corollary (in equivalence) is_closed_eq_rev [dest]:
  assumes "is_closed A" "x' ∈ A"
  shows "[x = x'; x \in carrier S] \implies x \in A''using sym is_closed_eq assms by (meson contra_subsetD eq_is_closed_def)
lemma closure_of_closed [simp, intro]:
  fixes S (structure)
```

```
shows "closure_of A ⊆ carrier S"
  unfolding eq_closure_of_def by auto
lemma closure_of_memI:
  fixes S (structure)
  assumes "a .∈ A" "a ∈ carrier S"
  shows "a ∈ closure_of A"
  by (simp add: assms eq_closure_of_def)
lemma closure_ofI2:
  fixes S (structure)
  assumes "a .= a'" and "a' ∈ A" and "a ∈ carrier S"
  shows "a ∈ closure_of A"
  by (meson assms closure_of_memI elem_def)
lemma closure_of_memE:
  fixes S (structure)
  assumes "a ∈ closure_of A"
     and "[a \in carrier S; a .\in A] \implies P"
  shows "P"
  using eq_closure_of_def assms by fastforce
lemma closure_ofE2:
  fixes S (structure)
  assumes "a ∈ closure_of A"
     and "\bigwedgea'. [a ∈ carrier S; a' ∈ A; a .= a']] \implies P"
  shows "P"
  using assms by (meson closure of memE elemE)
lemma (in partition) equivalence_from_partition:
  "equivalence ( \text{ carrier = A, eq = } (\lambda x y. y \in (THE b. b \in B \land x \in b)) )"
     unfolding partition_def equivalence_def
proof (auto)
  let ?f = "\lambda x. THE b. b \in B \wedge x \in b"show "\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies x \in ?f x"
     using unique_class by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) theI')
   \texttt{show } " \texttt{{\textbackslash}} x \texttt{ y.} \ \llbracket \ \texttt{x} \in \texttt{A} ; \ \texttt{y} \in \texttt{A} \ \rrbracket \implies \texttt{y} \in \texttt{?f} \ \texttt{x} \implies \texttt{x} \in \texttt{?f} \ \texttt{y"}using unique_class by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) the_equality)
   \texttt{show }\texttt{"}\texttt{/\texttt{X}}\texttt{ y z. } \llbracket \texttt{ x } \in \texttt{A};\texttt{ y } \in \texttt{A};\texttt{ z } \in \texttt{A} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \texttt{ y } \in \texttt{?f} \texttt{ x} \Longrightarrow \texttt{ z } \in \texttt{?f} \texttt{ y } \Longrightarrowz \in ?f x"
     using unique_class by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) the_equality)
qed
lemma (in partition) partition_coverture: "UB = A"
  by (meson Sup_le_iff UnionI unique_class incl subsetI subset_antisym)
lemma (in partition) disjoint_union:
  assumes "b1 ∈ B" "b2 ∈ B"
```

```
and "b1 ∩ b2 \neq {}"
  shows "b1 = b2"
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume "b1 \neq b2"
  obtain a where "a ∈ A" "a ∈ b1" "a ∈ b2"
     using assms(2-3) incl by blast
  thus False using unique class \langle b1 \neq b2 \rangle assms(1) assms(2) by blast
qed
lemma partitionI:
  fixes A :: "'a set" and B :: "('a set) set"
  assumes "UB = A"
     and "\wedgeb1 b2. [b1 ∈ B; b2 ∈ B ] \Rightarrow b1 ∩ b2 ≠ {} \Rightarrow b1 = b2"
  shows "partition A B"
proof
  show "\bigwedgea. a ∈ A \implies \exists !b. b ∈ B \land a ∈ b"
  proof (rule ccontr)
     fix a assume "a \in A" "\sharp!b. b \in B \land a \in b"
     then obtain b1 b2 where "b1 ∈ B" "a ∈ b1"
                              and "b2 \in B" "a \in b2" "b1 \neq b2" using assms(1)
by blast
    thus False using assms(2) by blast
  qed
next
  \text{show } \sqrt{\ } \text{b. } \text{b} \in \text{B} \implies \text{b} \subseteq \text{A} \sqrt{\ } \text{using } \text{assms(1) by blast}qed
lemma (in partition) remove_elem:
  assumes "b \in B"shows "partition (A - b) (B - \{b\})"
proof
  show "\bigwedgea. a ∈ A - b \Longrightarrow ∃!b'. b' ∈ B - {b} ∧ a ∈ b'"
     using unique_class by fastforce
next
  \text{show } \sqrt{\ } \mathsf{b'}. \mathsf{b'} \in \mathsf{B} - \{\mathsf{b}\} \implies \mathsf{b'} \subseteq \mathsf{A} - \mathsf{b''}using assms unique_class incl partition_axioms partition_coverture
by fastforce
qed
lemma disjoint_sum:
  "[[ finite B; finite A; partition A B] \implies (\sum b \in B. \sum a \in b. f a) = (\sum a \in A.
f a)"
proof (induct arbitrary: A set: finite)
  case empty thus ?case using partition.unique_class by fastforce
next
  case (insert b B')
  have "(\sum b \in (insert b B'). \sum a \in b. f a) = (\sum a \in b. f a) + (\sum b \in B'. \sum a \in b.
f a)"
    by (simp add: insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(2))
```

```
also have "... = (\sum a \in b. f a) + (\sum a \in (A - b). f a)"
    using partition.remove_elem[of A "insert b B'" b] insert.hyps insert.prems
    by (metis Diff_insert_absorb finite_Diff insert_iff)
  finally show "(\sum b \in (insert b B'). \sum a \in b. f a) = (\sum a \in A. f a)"
    using partition.remove_elem[of A "insert b B'" b] insert.prems
    by (metis add.commute insert_iff partition.incl sum.subset_diff)
qed
lemma (in partition) disjoint_sum:
  assumes "finite A"
 shows "(\sum b \in B. \sum a \in b. f a) = (\sum a \in A. f a)"
proof -
 have "finite B"
    by (simp add: assms finite_UnionD partition_coverture)
  thus ?thesis using disjoint_sum assms partition_axioms by blast
qed
lemma (in equivalence) set_eq_insert_aux:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier S"
    and "x ∈ carrier S" "x' ∈ carrier S" "x .= x'"
    and "y ∈ insert x A"
 shows "y .∈ insert x' A"
  by (metis assms(1) assms(4) assms(5) contra_subsetD elemI elem_exact
insert_iff)
corollary (in equivalence) set_eq_insert:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier S"
    and "x \in carrier S" "x' \in carrier S" "x \cdot = x'"
  shows "insert x A {.=} insert x' A"
  by (meson set_eqI assms set_eq_insert_aux sym equivalence_axioms)
lemma (in equivalence) set_eq_pairI:
  assumes xx': "x .= x'"
    and carr: "x ∈ carrier S" "x' ∈ carrier S" "y ∈ carrier S"
  shows "{x, y} {.=} {x', y}"
  using assms set_eq_insert by simp
lemma (in equivalence) closure_inclusion:
 assumes "A ⊆ B"
 shows "closure_of A ⊆ closure_of B"
  unfolding eq_closure_of_def using assms elem_subsetD by auto
lemma (in equivalence) classes_small:
  assumes "is_closed B"
    and "A ⊆ B"
  shows "closure_of A ⊆ B"
  by (metis assms closure inclusion eq is closed def)
lemma (in equivalence) classes_eq:
```
20

```
assumes "A ⊆ carrier S"
 shows "A {.=} closure_of A"
  using assms by (blast intro: set_eqI elem_exact closure_of_memI elim:
closure_of_memE)
lemma (in equivalence) complete_classes:
 assumes "is_closed A"
 shows "A = closure_of A"
  using assms by (simp add: eq_is_closed_def)
lemma (in equivalence) closure_idem_weak:
  "closure_of (closure_of A) {.=} closure_of A"
  by (simp add: classes_eq set_eq_sym)
lemma (in equivalence) closure_idem_strong:
 assumes "A ⊆ carrier S"
 shows "closure_of (closure_of A) = closure_of A"
 using assms closure_of_eq complete_classes is_closedI by auto
lemma (in equivalence) classes_consistent:
 assumes "A ⊆ carrier S"
 shows "is_closed (closure_of A)"
  using closure_idem_strong by (simp add: assms eq_is_closed_def)
lemma (in equivalence) classes_coverture:
  "Uclasses = carrier S"
proof
  \textbf{show} "\bigcup \textbf{classes} \subseteq \textbf{carrier} \ \textbf{S}"
    unfolding eq_classes_def eq_class_of_def by blast
next
  show "carrier S ⊆ \bigcup classes" \text{unfolding} eq_classes_def eq_class_of_def
 proof
    fix x assume "x ∈ carrier S"
    hence "x ∈ {y ∈ carrier S. x .= y}" using refl by simp
    thus "x \in \bigcup \{\{y \in \text{carrier } S. \ x \ = y\} \ | x. \ x \in \text{carrier } S\}" by blast
 qed
qed
lemma (in equivalence) disjoint_union:
  assumes "class1 ∈ classes" "class2 ∈ classes"
    and "class1 ∩ class2 \neq {}"
    shows "class1 = class2"
proof -
 obtain x y where x: "x \in carrier S" "class1 = class of x"
               and y: "y \in carrier S" "class2 = class_of y"using assms(1-2) unfolding eq_classes_def by blast
  obtain z where z: "z ∈ carrier S" "z ∈ class1 ∩ class2"
    using assms classes_coverture by fastforce
  hence "x .= z ∧ y .= z" using x y unfolding eq_class_of_def by blast
```

```
hence "x .= y" using x y z trans sym by meson
 hence "class_of x = class_of y"
    unfolding eq_class_of_def using local.sym trans x y by blast
 thus ?thesis using x y by simp
qed
lemma (in equivalence) partition_from_equivalence:
  "partition (carrier S) classes"
proof (intro partitionI)
  show "Uclasses = carrier S" using classes_coverture by simp
next
  show "
V
class1 class2. [[ class1 ∈ classes; class2 ∈ classes ]] =⇒
                           class1 ∩ class2 \neq {} \implies class1 = class2"
    using disjoint_union by simp
qed
lemma (in equivalence) disjoint_sum:
 assumes "finite (carrier S)"
 shows "(\sum c \in \text{classes.} \sum x \in c. f x) = (\sum x \in ( \text{carrier S}). f x)"
proof -
 have "finite classes"
    unfolding eq_classes_def using assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using disjoint_sum assms partition_from_equivalence by
blast
qed
```
**end**

**theory** Order **imports** Congruence **begin**

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>**2 Orders**

# <span id="page-21-1"></span>**2.1 Partial Orders**

```
record 'a gorder = "'a eq_object" +
  le :: "['a, 'a] => bool" (infixl "\sqsubseteq'' 50)
abbreviation inv_gorder :: "_ ⇒ 'a gorder" where
  "inv_gorder L ≡
   (| carrier = carrier L,
     eq = (. =_{L}),
     le = (\lambda x y. y \subseteq_L x) |"
lemma inv_gorder_inv:
  "inv_gorder (inv_gorder L) = L"
```
**by** simp

```
locale weak_partial_order = equivalence L for L (structure) +
   assumes le_refl [intro, simp]:
         "x \in carrier L \implies x \subseteq x"
      and weak_le_antisym [intro]:
         \mathbb{Y}[x \subseteq y; y \subseteq x; x \in \text{carrier L}; y \in \text{carrier L}] \implies x := y"
      and le_trans [trans]:
         \mathbb{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{Y}; \mathbb{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{X} \in carrier L; \mathbb{Y} \in carrier \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z} carrier \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrowx \subseteq z"
      and le_cong:
         \mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{I}}[x := y; z := w; x \in \text{carrier L}; y \in \text{carrier L}; z \in \text{carrier L}; w∈ carrier L]] =⇒
         x \subseteq z \leftrightarrow y \subseteq w"
```
#### **definition**

lless :: "[\_, 'a, 'a] => bool" (infixl " $\Box$ *i* " 50) where " $x \sqsubset_L y \leftrightarrow x \sqsubseteq_L y \land x \neq_L y$ "

# <span id="page-22-0"></span>**2.1.1 The order relation**

```
context weak_partial_order
begin
lemma le_cong_l [intro, trans]:
  \mathbb{I}[x := y; y \sqsubseteq z; x \in \text{carrier L}; y \in \text{carrier L}; z \in \text{carrier L}] \implies x\sqsubseteq z"by (auto intro: le_cong [THEN iffD2])
lemma le_cong_r [intro, trans]:
  \lVert x \sqsubseteq y; y .= z; x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L; z \in carrier L] \Longrightarrow x\sqsubseteq z"
  by (auto intro: le_cong [THEN iffD1])
lemma weak_refl [intro, simp]: "[x := y; x \in \text{carrier L}; y \in \text{carrier}L \rrbracket \implies x \sqsubseteq y"by (simp add: le_cong_l)
```
#### **end**

```
lemma weak_llessI:
  fixes R (structure)
  \textbf{assumes} "x \sqsubseteq y" \textbf{and} "¬(x .= y)"
  shows "x \sqsubset y"
  using assms unfolding lless_def by simp
lemma lless_imp_le:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "x \rvert y"
```

```
shows "x \subseteq y"
  using assms unfolding lless_def by simp
lemma weak_lless_imp_not_eq:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "x \nightharpoonup y"
  shows "\neg (x := \gamma)"
  using assms unfolding lless_def by simp
lemma weak_llessE:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes p: "x \rvert y" and e: "\lvert x \rvert \rvert x \rvert y; ¬ (x \rvert z \rvert y) \lvert y \rvert \rvert \rvert yshows "P"
  using p by (blast dest: lless_imp_le weak_lless_imp_not_eq e)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) lless_cong_l [trans]:
  assumes xx': "x .= x'"
    and xy: "x" \sqsubset y"and carr: "x ∈ carrier L" "x' ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \nightharpoondown y"
  using assms unfolding lless_def by (auto intro: trans sym)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) lless_cong_r [trans]:
  assumes xy: "x \sqsubset y"and yy': "y = y'"
    and carr: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "y' ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \nightharpoondown y"
  using assms unfolding lless_def by (auto intro: trans sym)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) lless_antisym:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier L" "b ∈ carrier L"
    and "a \sqsubset b" "b \sqsubset a"
  shows "P"
  using assms
  by (elim weak_llessE) auto
lemma (in weak_partial_order) lless_trans [trans]:
  assumes "a \sqsubset b" "b \sqsubset c"and carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier L" "b ∈ carrier L" "c ∈ carrier L"
  shows "a \sqsubset c"
  using assms unfolding lless_def by (blast dest: le_trans intro: sym)
lemma weak_partial_order_subset:
  assumes "weak_partial_order L" "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "weak_partial_order (L(| carrier := A |))"
proof -
  interpret L: weak_partial_order L
    by (simp add: assms)
```

```
interpret equivalence "(L\parallel carrier := A \parallel)"
    by (simp add: L.equivalence_axioms assms(2) equivalence_subset)
  show ?thesis
    apply (unfold_locales, simp_all)
    using assms(2) apply auto[1]
    using assms(2) apply auto[1]
    apply (meson L.le_trans assms(2) contra_subsetD)
    apply (meson L.le_cong assms(2) subsetCE)
  done
qed
```
#### <span id="page-24-0"></span>**2.1.2 Upper and lower bounds of a set**

```
definition
  Upper :: "[-, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set"where "Upper L A = {u. (∀x. x ∈ A ∩ carrier L \longrightarrow x \sqsubseteq_L u)} ∩ carrier
T II
definition
  Lower :: "[-, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set"where "Lower L A = {1. (\forall x. x \in A \cap carrier L \rightarrow 1 ⊆_L x)} ∩ carrier
L<sup>0</sup>lemma Lower_dual [simp]:
  "Lower (inv_gorder L) A = Upper L A"
  by (simp add:Upper_def Lower_def)
lemma Upper_dual [simp]:
  "Upper (inv_gorder L) A = Lower L A"
  by (simp add:Upper_def Lower_def)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) equivalence_dual: "equivalence (inv_gorder
L)"
  by (rule equivalence.intro) (auto simp: intro: sym trans)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) dual_weak_order: "weak_partial_order (inv_gorder
L)"
  by intro_locales (auto simp add: weak_partial_order_axioms_def le_cong
intro: equivalence_dual le_trans)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) dual_eq_iff [simp]: "A {.=}<sub>inv_gorder</sub> L
A' \leftrightarrow A \{-\} A'by (auto simp: set_eq_def elem_def)
lemma dual_weak_order_iff:
  "weak_partial_order (inv_gorder A) ←→ weak_partial_order A"
proof
  assume "weak_partial_order (inv_gorder A)"
  then interpret dpo: weak_partial_order "inv_gorder A"
```

```
rewrites "carrier (inv_gorder A) = carrier A"
  and "le (inv_gorder A) = (\lambda \times y). le A y x)"
  and "eq (inv_gorder A) = eq A"
    by (simp_all)
  show "weak_partial_order A"
    by (unfold_locales, auto intro: dpo.sym dpo.trans dpo.le_trans)
next
  assume "weak_partial_order A"
  thus "weak_partial_order (inv_gorder A)"
    by (metis weak_partial_order.dual_weak_order)
qed
lemma Upper_closed [iff]:
  "Upper L A ⊆ carrier L"
  by (unfold Upper_def) clarify
lemma Upper_memD [dest]:
  fixes L (structure)
  shows "[u \in Upper L A; x \in A; A \subseteq carrier L] \implies x \subseteq u \land u \in carrier
L<sub>II</sub>by (unfold Upper_def) blast
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Upper_elemD [dest]:
  "[u .∈ Upper L A; u ∈ carrier L; x \in A; A \subseteq carrier L] \implies x \subseteq u"
  unfolding Upper_def elem_def
  by (blast dest: sym)
lemma Upper_memI:
  fixes L (structure)
  shows "[!! y. y \in A \implies y \subseteq x; x \in carrier L] \implies x \in Upper L A"
  by (unfold Upper_def) blast
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Upper_elemI:
  "[!! y. y \in A \implies y \subseteq x; x \in carrier L] \implies x \in Upper L A"
  unfolding Upper_def by blast
lemma Upper_antimono:
  "A \subseteq B \implies \text{Upper } L B \subseteq \text{Upper } L A"
  by (unfold Upper_def) blast
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Upper_is_closed [simp]:
  "A \subseteq carrier L \implies is_closed (Upper L A)"
  by (rule is_closedI) (blast intro: Upper_memI)+
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Upper_mem_cong:
  assumes "a' ∈ carrier L" "A ⊆ carrier L" "a .= a'" "a ∈ Upper L A"
  shows "a' ∈ Upper L A"
  by (metis assms Upper closed Upper is closed closure of eq complete classes)
```

```
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Upper_semi_cong:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "A {.=} A'"
  shows "Upper L A \subseteq Upper L A'"
  unfolding Upper_def
   by clarsimp (meson assms equivalence.refl equivalence_axioms le_cong
set_eqD2 subset_eq)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Upper_cong:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "A' ⊆ carrier L" "A {.=} A'"
  shows "Upper L A = Upper L A'"
  using assms by (simp add: Upper_semi_cong set_eq_sym subset_antisym)
lemma Lower_closed [intro!, simp]:
  "Lower L A ⊆ carrier L"
  by (unfold Lower_def) clarify
lemma Lower_memD [dest]:
  fixes L (structure)
  shows "[\mathbb{I} ∈ Lower L A; \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}; A \subseteq carrier L] \implies 1 \subseteq x \land 1 \in carrier
L<sub>II</sub>by (unfold Lower_def) blast
lemma Lower_memI:
  fixes L (structure)
  shows "[!! y. y \in A \implies x \subseteq y; x \in carrier L] \implies x \in Lower L A"
  by (unfold Lower_def) blast
lemma Lower_antimono:
  "A \subseteq B \implies Lower L B \subseteq Lower L A"
  by (unfold Lower_def) blast
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Lower_is_closed [simp]:
  "A \subseteq carrier L \implies is_closed (Lower L A)"
  by (rule is_closedI) (blast intro: Lower_memI dest: sym)+
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Lower_mem_cong:
  assumes "a' ∈ carrier L" "A ⊆ carrier L" "a .= a'" "a ∈ Lower L A"
  shows "a' ∈ Lower L A"
  by (meson assms Lower_closed Lower_is_closed is_closed_eq subsetCE)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) Lower_cong:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "A' ⊆ carrier L" "A {.=} A'"
  shows "Lower L A = Lower L A'"
  unfolding Upper_dual [symmetric]
  by (rule weak_partial_order.Upper_cong [OF dual_weak_order]) (simp_all
add: assms)
Jacobson: Theorem 8.1
lemma Lower_empty [simp]:
```

```
"Lower L {} = carrier L"
by (unfold Lower_def) simp
```

```
lemma Upper_empty [simp]:
  "Upper L {} = carrier L"
 by (unfold Upper_def) simp
```

```
2.1.3 Least and greatest, as predicate
```

```
definition
  least :: "[-, 'a, 'a \text{ set}] => bool"
  where "least L 1 A \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq carrier L \land 1 \in A \land (\forall x\inA. 1 \sqsubseteq_L x)"
definition
  greatest :: "[-, 'a, 'a set] => bool"where "greatest L g A \longleftrightarrow A ⊂ carrier L \land g \in A \land (\forall x\inA. x \sqsubset<sub>L</sub> g)"
Could weaken these to 1 \in \text{carrier } L \wedge 1 \in A and g \in \text{carrier } L \wedge g \in \text{C}A.
lemma least_dual [simp]:
  "least (inv_gorder L) x A = greatest L x A"
  by (simp add:least_def greatest_def)
lemma greatest_dual [simp]:
  "greatest (inv gorder L) x A = least L x A"
  by (simp add:least_def greatest_def)
lemma least_closed [intro, simp]:
  "least L 1 A \implies 1 \in carrier L"
  by (unfold least_def) fast
lemma least_mem:
  "least L 1 A \implies 1 \in A"
  by (unfold least_def) fast
lemma (in weak_partial_order) weak_least_unique:
  "[least L x A; least L y A] \implies x .= y"
  by (unfold least_def) blast
lemma least_le:
  fixes L (structure)
  shows "[least L x A; a \in A] \implies x \subseteq a"
  by (unfold least_def) fast
lemma (in weak_partial_order) least_cong:
  \mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{I}}[x := x' ; x \in \text{carrier L}; x' \in \text{carrier L}; \text{ is closed A} \implies \text{least L } xA = least L x' A"
  unfolding least_def
  by (meson is_closed_eq is_closed_eq_rev le_cong local.refl subset_iff)
```

```
abbreviation is_lub :: "[_, 'a, 'a set] => bool"
where "is_lub L x A \equiv least L x (Upper L A)"
least is not congruent in the second parameter for A {.=} A'
lemma (in weak_partial_order) least_Upper_cong_l:
 assumes "x .= x'"
    and "x ∈ carrier L" "x' ∈ carrier L"
    and "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "least L x (Upper L A) = least L x' (Upper L A)"
  apply (rule least_cong) using assms by auto
lemma (in weak_partial_order) least_Upper_cong_r:
 assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "A' ⊆ carrier L" "A {.=} A'"
 shows "least L x (Upper L A) = least L x (Upper L A')"
 using Upper_cong assms by auto
lemma least_UpperI:
 fixes L (structure)
 assumes above: "!! x \cdot x \in A \implies x \subseteq s"
    and below: "!! y. y \in Upper L A \implies s \sqsubseteq y"
    and L: "A ⊆ carrier L" "s ∈ carrier L"
 shows "least L s (Upper L A)"
proof -
 have "Upper L A ⊆ carrier L" by simp
 moreover from above L have "s ∈ Upper L A" by (simp add: Upper_def)
 moreover from below have "\forall x \in \text{Upper L A. s } \subseteq x" by fast
 ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: least_def)
qed
lemma least_Upper_above:
 fixes L (structure)
 shows "[least L s (Upper L A); x \in A; A \subseteq carrier L] \implies x \subseteq s"
 by (unfold least_def) blast
lemma greatest_closed [intro, simp]:
  "greatest L 1 A \implies 1 \in carrier L"
  by (unfold greatest_def) fast
lemma greatest_mem:
  "greatest L 1 A \implies 1 \in A"
 by (unfold greatest_def) fast
lemma (in weak_partial_order) weak_greatest_unique:
  "[greatest L x A; greatest L y A] \implies x .= y"
 by (unfold greatest_def) blast
lemma greatest_le:
 fixes L (structure)
```

```
shows "[greatest L x A; a \in A] \implies a \subseteq x"
  by (unfold greatest_def) fast
lemma (in weak_partial_order) greatest_cong:
  \mathbb{I}[x := x' ; x \in \text{carrier L}; x' \in \text{carrier L}; \text{ is closed A}] \impliesgreatest L \times A = greatest L \times' A''unfolding greatest_def
  by (meson is closed eq rev le cong r local.sym subset eq)
abbreviation is_glb :: "[-, 'a, 'a set] \Rightarrow \text{bool"}where "is_glb L x A \equiv greatest L x (Lower L A)"
greatest is not congruent in the second parameter for A \{-}\} A'lemma (in weak_partial_order) greatest_Lower_cong_l:
  assumes "x := x"and "x ∈ carrier L" "x' ∈ carrier L"
  shows "greatest L x (Lower L A) = greatest L x' (Lower L A)"
proof -
  have "∀ A. is_closed (Lower L (A ∩ carrier L))"
    by simp
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Lower def assms greatest cong)
qed
lemma (in weak_partial_order) greatest_Lower_cong_r:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "A' ⊆ carrier L" "A {.=} A'"
  shows "greatest L x (Lower L A) = greatest L x (Lower L A')"
  using Lower_cong assms by auto
lemma greatest_LowerI:
  fixes L (structure)
  assumes below: "!! x. x \in A \implies i \subseteq x"
    and above: "!! y. y \in Lower L A \implies y \sqsubseteq i"
    and L: "A ⊆ carrier L" "i ∈ carrier L"
  shows "greatest L i (Lower L A)"
proof -
  have "Lower L A ⊆ carrier L" by simp
  moreover from below L have "i ∈ Lower L A" by (simp add: Lower_def)
  moreover from above have "\forall x \in \text{Lower L A. } x \subseteq i" by fast
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: greatest_def)
qed
lemma greatest_Lower_below:
  fixes L (structure)
  shows "[greatest L i (Lower L A); x \in A; A \subseteq carrier L] \implies i \subseteq x"
  by (unfold greatest_def) blast
```
#### <span id="page-30-0"></span>**2.1.4 Intervals**

```
definition
  at_least_at_most :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow 'a => 'a => 'a set" ("(1\{\ldots\}i)")
  where \P\{1 \ldots u\}_A = \{x \in \text{carrier } A. \quad 1 \sqsubseteq_A x \land x \sqsubseteq_A u\}"
context weak_partial_order
begin
  lemma at_least_at_most_upper [dest]:
     "x \in \{a \ldots b\} \implies x \sqsubseteq b"by (simp add: at_least_at_most_def)
  lemma at_least_at_most_lower [dest]:
     "x \in \{a \ldots b\} \implies a \sqsubseteq x"by (simp add: at_least_at_most_def)
  lemma at_least_at_most_closed: "{|a..b|} ⊆ carrier L"
     by (auto simp add: at_least_at_most_def)
  lemma at least at most member [intro]:
     \mathbb{T}[\![x \in \text{carrier L}; a \sqsubseteq x; x \sqsubseteq b]\!] \Longrightarrow x \in \{\![a..b]\!]"
     by (simp add: at_least_at_most_def)
end
```
#### <span id="page-30-1"></span>**2.1.5 Isotone functions**

```
definition isotone :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme ⇒ ('b, 'd) gorder_scheme
\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow bool"
  where
   "isotone A B f ≡
    weak_partial_order A ∧ weak_partial_order B ∧
    (\forall x \in \text{carrier A. } \forall y \in \text{carrier A. } x \subseteq_A y \longrightarrow f x \subseteq_B f y)"
lemma isotoneI [intro?]:
  fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'b"
  assumes "weak_partial_order L1"
               "weak_partial_order L2"
               \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} (\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} ) with \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} arrier \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\implies f x \sqsubseteq<sub>L2</sub> f y)"
  shows "isotone L1 L2 f"
  using assms by (auto simp add:isotone_def)
abbreviation Monotone :: "('a, 'b) gorder scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool"
("Monoı ")
   where "Monotone L f ≡ isotone L L f"
lemma use_iso1:
   "[isotone A A f; x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A; x \subseteq_A y] \implies
```

```
f x \sqsubseteq_A f y"
  by (simp add: isotone_def)
lemma use_iso2:
  "[isotone A B f; x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A; x \subseteq_A y] \impliesf x \sqsubseteq_B f y''by (simp add: isotone_def)
lemma iso_compose:
  "[f \in carrier A \rightarrow carrier B; isotone A B f; g \in carrier B \rightarrow carrier
C; isotone B C g \impliesisotone A C (g \circ f)"
  by (simp add: isotone_def, safe, metis Pi_iff)
```

```
lemma (in weak_partial_order) inv_isotone [simp]:
  "isotone (inv_gorder A) (inv_gorder B) f = isotone A B f"
 by (auto simp add:isotone_def dual_weak_order dual_weak_order_iff)
```
#### <span id="page-31-0"></span>**2.1.6 Idempotent functions**

```
definition idempotent ::
  "('a, 'b) gorder_scheme ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool" ("Idemı ") where
  "idempotent L f \equiv \forall x \in \text{carrier L. f (f x)}. = [ f x"
```
**lemma** (**in** weak\_partial\_order) idempotent: "[Idem f;  $x \in$  carrier L]  $\implies$  f (f x) .= f x" **by** (auto simp add: idempotent\_def)

#### <span id="page-31-1"></span>**2.1.7 Order embeddings**

```
definition order_emb :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow ('b, 'd) gorder_scheme
\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow bool"
  where
   "order_emb A B f \equiv weak_partial_order A
                         ∧ weak_partial_order B
                         \land (∀x∈carrier A. ∀y∈carrier A. f x \sqsubseteq_R f y \leftrightarrow x \sqsubseteq_Ay )"
```
lemma order\_emb\_isotone: "order\_emb A B f ⇒ isotone A B f" **by** (auto simp add: isotone\_def order\_emb\_def)

#### <span id="page-31-2"></span>**2.1.8 Commuting functions**

```
definition commuting :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow'a) ⇒ bool" where
"commuting A f g = (\forall x \in \text{carrier A.} (f \circ g) x := A (g \circ f) x)"
```
#### <span id="page-31-3"></span>**2.2 Partial orders where** eq **is the Equality**

```
locale partial order = weak partial order +
```

```
assumes eq_is_equal: "(.=) = (-)"begin
declare weak_le_antisym [rule del]
lemma le_antisym [intro]:
  \mathbb{Y}[x \subseteq y; y \subseteq x; x \in \text{carrier L}; y \in \text{carrier L}] \implies x = y"
  using weak_le_antisym unfolding eq_is_equal .
lemma lless_eq:
  "x \sqsubset y \leftrightarrow x \sqsubseteq y \land x \neq y"unfolding lless_def by (simp add: eq_is_equal)
lemma set_eq_is_eq: "A {.=} B \leftrightarrow A = B"
  by (auto simp add: set_eq_def elem_def eq_is_equal)
end
lemma (in partial_order) dual_order:
  "partial_order (inv_gorder L)"
proof -
  interpret dwo: weak_partial_order "inv_gorder L"
    by (metis dual_weak_order)
  show ?thesis
    by (unfold_locales, simp add:eq_is_equal)
qed
lemma dual_order_iff:
  "partial order (inv gorder A) \longleftrightarrow partial order A"
proof
  assume assm:"partial_order (inv_gorder A)"
  then interpret po: partial_order "inv_gorder A"
  rewrites "carrier (inv_gorder A) = carrier A"
  and "le (inv_gorder A) = (\lambda \times y). le A y x)"
  and "eq (inv_gorder A) = eq A"
    by (simp_all)
  show "partial_order A"
    apply (unfold_locales, simp_all add: po.sym)
    apply (metis po.trans)
    apply (metis po.weak_le_antisym, metis po.le_trans)
    apply (metis (full_types) po.eq_is_equal, metis po.eq_is_equal)
  done
next
  assume "partial_order A"
  thus "partial_order (inv_gorder A)"
    by (metis partial_order.dual_order)
qed
```
Least and greatest, as predicate

```
lemma (in partial_order) least_unique:
  "[least L x A; least L y A] \implies x = y"
  using weak_least_unique unfolding eq_is_equal .
```

```
lemma (in partial_order) greatest_unique:
  "[greatest L x A; greatest L y A] \implies x = y"
  using weak_greatest_unique unfolding eq_is_equal .
```
# <span id="page-33-0"></span>**2.3 Bounded Orders**

```
definition
  top :: " => 'a" ("\negr') where
  T_L = (SOME x. greatest L x (carrier L))"
definition
 bottom :: "_ => 'a" ("⊥ı ") where
  "L_L = (SOME x. least L x (carrier L))"locale weak_partial_order_bottom = weak_partial_order L for L (structure)
+
 assumes bottom_exists: "∃ x. least L x (carrier L)"
begin
lemma bottom_least: "least L ⊥ (carrier L)"
proof -
 obtain x where "least L x (carrier L)"
   by (metis bottom_exists)
 thus ?thesis
    by (auto intro:someI2 simp add: bottom_def)
qed
lemma bottom_closed [simp, intro]:
 "⊥ ∈ carrier L"
 by (metis bottom_least least_mem)
lemma bottom_lower [simp, intro]:
  "x \in carrier L \implies \bot \sqsubseteq x"by (metis bottom_least least_le)
end
locale weak_partial_order_top = weak_partial_order L for L (structure)
+
 assumes top_exists: "∃ x. greatest L x (carrier L)"
begin
lemma top_greatest: "greatest L \top (carrier L)"
proof -
```

```
obtain x where "greatest L x (carrier L)"
    by (metis top_exists)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto intro:someI2 simp add: top_def)
qed
lemma top_closed [simp, intro]:
  "T \in \text{carrier L"by (metis greatest_mem top_greatest)
lemma top_higher [simp, intro]:
  "x \in carrier L \implies x \subseteq \top"
  by (metis greatest_le top_greatest)
```
**end**

# <span id="page-34-0"></span>**2.4 Total Orders**

```
locale weak_total_order = weak_partial_order +
  assumes total: "[x \in \text{carrier L}; y \in \text{carrier L}] \Rightarrow x \subseteq y \lor y \subseteq x"
```
Introduction rule: the usual definition of total order

```
lemma (in weak_partial_order) weak_total_orderI:
  assumes total: "!!x y. [\![x \in \text{carrier L}; y \in \text{carrier L}]\!] \implies x \sqsubseteq y \lor y\sqsubseteq x"
  shows "weak_total_order L"
  by unfold_locales (rule total)
```
# <span id="page-34-1"></span>**2.5 Total orders where** eq **is the Equality**

```
locale total_order = partial_order +
  assumes total_order_total: "[x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L] \implies x \subseteqy \lor y \sqsubseteq x"
```
**sublocale** total\_order < weak?: weak\_total\_order **by** unfold\_locales (rule total\_order\_total)

Introduction rule: the usual definition of total order

```
lemma (in partial_order) total_orderI:
  assumes total: "!!x y. [x \in \text{carrier L}; y \in \text{carrier L}] \implies x \subseteq y \lor y\sqsubseteq x"
  shows "total_order L"
  by unfold_locales (rule total)
```
**end**

**theory** Lattice

**imports** Order **begin**

# <span id="page-35-0"></span>**3 Lattices**

# <span id="page-35-1"></span>**3.1 Supremum and infimum**

```
definition
   sup :: "[_, 'a set] => 'a" ("|_| i<sup>"</sup> [90] 90)
   where "\bigsqcup_{L} A = (SOME x. least L x (Upper L A))"definition
   inf :: "[_, 'a set] => 'a" ("\lceil \frac{i}{n} \rceil" [90] 90)
   where "\bigcap_{L} A = (SOME x. greatest L x (Lower L A))"
definition supr ::
   "('a, 'b) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a "
   where "supr L A f = \bigsqcup_{L} (f' \cap A)"
definition infi ::
   "('a, 'b) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a "
   where "infi L A f = \bigcap_{L} (f \cdot A)"
syntax
  "_inf1" :: "('a, 'b) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow pttrns \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a" ("(3IINFi
\ldots/ \ldots \ldots [0, 10] 10)
  "_inf" :: "('a, 'b) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a"
("(3IINFı _:_./ _)" [0, 0, 10] 10)
  "_sup1" :: "('a, 'b) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow pttrns \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a" ("(3SSUPi
\_./\_)" [0, 10] 10)
  "_sup" :: "('a, 'b) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a"
("(3SSUPı _:_./ _)" [0, 0, 10] 10)
translations
  "IINF<sub>I</sub> x. B" == "CONST infi L CONST UNIV (\frac{9}{6}x. B)"
  "IINF<sub>L</sub> x:A. B'' == "CONST infi L A (\%x. B)''"SSUP<sub>L</sub> x. B" == "CONST supr L CONST UNIV (\frac{9}{6}x. B)"
  "SSUP<sub>I</sub> x:A. B" == "CONST supr L A (\frac{9}{6}x. B)"
definition
   join :: "[-, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a" (infixl "L|_i" 65)where "x \sqcup_L y = \bigsqcup_L \{x, y\}"
definition
  meet :: "[, 'a, 'a] => 'a" (infixl "\sqcap t" 70)
   where "x \sqcap_L y = \bigcap_L \{x, y\}"
definition
  LEAST_FP :: "('a, 'b) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a" ("LFP<sub>2</sub>") where
```
"LEAST\_FP L f =  $\bigcap_L$  {u ∈ carrier L. f u  $\sqsubseteq_L$  u}" — least fixed point **definition**

GREATEST FP:: "('a, 'b) gorder scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a" ("GFP*i*") **where** "GREATEST\_FP L f =  $\bigsqcup_L$  {u  $\in$  carrier L. u  $\sqsubseteq_L$  f u}" — greatest fixed point

## **3.2 Dual operators**

```
lemma sup_dual [simp]:
  "\Box inv_gorder LA = \BoxLA"
  by (simp add: sup_def inf_def)
lemma inf_dual [simp]:
  "\Box inv_gorder LA = \Box LA"
  by (simp add: sup_def inf_def)
lemma join_dual [simp]:
  "p \sqcup_{\text{inv\_gorder}} L q = p \sqcap_L q"
  by (simp add:join_def meet_def)
lemma meet_dual [simp]:
  "p \sqcap_{\text{inv}} gorder L q = p \sqcup_L q"
  by (simp add:join_def meet_def)
lemma top_dual [simp]:
  "\top_{\text{inv\_gorder L}} = \bot_L"
  by (simp add: top_def bottom_def)
lemma bottom_dual [simp]:
  "\perpinv_gorder L = \top_L"
  by (simp add: top_def bottom_def)
lemma LFP_dual [simp]:
  "LEAST_FP (inv_gorder L) f = GREATEST_FP L f"
  by (simp add:LEAST_FP_def GREATEST_FP_def)
lemma GFP_dual [simp]:
  "GREATEST_FP (inv_gorder L) f = LEAST_FP L f"
  by (simp add:LEAST_FP_def GREATEST_FP_def)
3.3 Lattices
locale weak_upper_semilattice = weak_partial_order +
  assumes sup_of_two_exists:
    "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> \exists s. least L s (Upper L {x,
y})"
```
**locale** weak\_lower\_semilattice = weak\_partial\_order +

```
assumes inf_of_two_exists:
    "[| x ∈ carrier L; y ∈ carrier L |] ==> ∃ s. greatest L s (Lower L
{x, y}"
locale weak lattice = weak upper semilattice + weak lower semilattice
lemma (in weak_lattice) dual_weak_lattice:
  "weak lattice (inv gorder L)"
proof -
 interpret dual: weak_partial_order "inv_gorder L"
    by (metis dual_weak_order)
 show ?thesis
 proof qed (simp_all add: inf_of_two_exists sup_of_two_exists)
qed
```
### **3.3.1 Supremum**

```
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) joinI:
  "[| !!l. least L 1 (Upper L {x, y}) ==> P l; x \in carrier L; y \in carrier
L |]
  \Rightarrow P (x \perp y)"
proof (unfold join_def sup_def)
  assume L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
    and P: "!!1. least L 1 (Upper L \{x, y\}) ==> P 1"
  with sup_of_two_exists obtain s where "least L s (Upper L {x, y})"
by fast
  with L show "P (SOME l. least L l (Upper L {x, y}))"
    by (fast intro: someI2 P)
qed
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) join_closed [simp]:
  "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> x \sqcup y \in carrier L"
  by (rule joinI) (rule least_closed)
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) join_cong_l:
  assumes carr: "x ∈ carrier L" "x' ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
    and xx': "x .= x'"
  shows "x \cup y .= x' \cup y''proof (rule joinI, rule joinI)
  fix a b
  from xx' carr
      have seq: "\{x, y\} \{.\} \{x', y\}" by (rule set_eq_pairI)
  assume leasta: "least L a (Upper L {x, y})"
  assume "least L b (Upper L \{x', y\})"
  with carr
      have leastb: "least L b (Upper L {x, y})"
      by (simp add: least_Upper_cong_r[OF _ _ seq])
```

```
from leasta leastb
      show "a .= b" by (rule weak_least_unique)
qed (rule carr)+
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) join_cong_r:
  assumes carr: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "y' ∈ carrier L"
    and yy': "y = y'"
 shows "x \cup y .= x \cup y'"
proof (rule joinI, rule joinI)
  fix a b
 have "\{x, y\} = \{y, x\}" by fast
  also from carr yy'
      have "{y, x} {.=} {y', x}" by (intro set_eq_pairI)
 also have \lceil \{y', x\} \rceil = \{x, y'\} by fast
 finally
      have seq: "{x, y} {.=} {x, y'}" .
 assume leasta: "least L a (Upper L {x, y})"
 assume "least L b (Upper L \{x, y'\})"
  with carr
      have leastb: "least L b (Upper L {x, y})"
      by (simp add: least_Upper_cong_r[OF _ _ seq])
  from leasta leastb
      show "a .= b" by (rule weak_least_unique)
qed (rule carr)+
lemma (in weak_partial_order) sup_of_singletonI:
  "x \in carrier L ==> least L x (Upper L {x})"
 by (rule least_UpperI) auto
lemma (in weak_partial_order) weak_sup_of_singleton [simp]:
  "x \in \text{carrier } L \implies \bigcup \{x\}. = x"
 unfolding sup_def
 by (rule someI2) (auto intro: weak_least_unique sup_of_singletonI)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) sup_of_singleton_closed [simp]:
  "x \in carrier L \implies \bigsqcup \{x\} \in carrier L"unfolding sup_def
 by (rule someI2) (auto intro: sup_of_singletonI)
Condition on A: supremum exists.
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) sup_insertI:
  "[| !!s. least L s (Upper L (insert x A)) ==> P s;
  least L a (Upper L A); x \in carrier L; A \subseteq carrier L |]
  ==> P (| (insert x A))"
proof (unfold sup_def)
  assume L: "x ∈ carrier L" "A ⊆ carrier L"
    and P: "!!l. least L l (Upper L (insert x A)) ==> P l"
```

```
and least_a: "least L a (Upper L A)"
 from L least_a have La: "a ∈ carrier L" by simp
 from L sup_of_two_exists least_a
  obtain s where least_s: "least L s (Upper L {a, x})" by blast
 show "P (SOME l. least L l (Upper L (insert x A)))"
 proof (rule someI2)
    show "least L s (Upper L (insert x A))"
    proof (rule least_UpperI)
      fix z
      assume "z ∈ insert x A"
      then show "z \subseteq s"
      proof
        assume "z = x" then show ?thesis
          by (simp add: least_Upper_above [OF least_s] L La)
      next
        assume "z \in A"with L least_s least_a show ?thesis
          by (rule_tac le_trans [where y = a]) (auto dest: least_Upper_above)
      qed
    next
      fix y
      assume y: "y ∈ Upper L (insert x A)"
      show "s \subseteq y"
      proof (rule least_le [OF least_s], rule Upper_memI)
        fix z
        assume z: "z \in \{a, x\}"
        then show "z \nightharpoonup y"
        proof
          have y': "y ∈ Upper L A"
            by (meson Upper_antimono in_mono subset_insertI y)
          assume "z = a"
          with y' least_a show ?thesis by (fast dest: least_le)
        next
          assume "z \in \{x\}"with y L show ?thesis by blast
        qed
      qed (rule Upper_closed [THEN subsetD, OF y])
    next
      from L show "insert x A ⊆ carrier L" by simp
      from least_s show "s ∈ carrier L" by simp
    qed
  qed (rule P)
qed
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) finite_sup_least:
  "[| finite A; A \subseteq carrier L; A \neq {} |] ==> least L (\BoxA) (Upper L A)"
proof (induct set: finite)
 case empty
 then show ?case by simp
```

```
next
  case (insert x A)
 show ?case
 proof (cases A = \{\}")
    case True
    with insert show ?thesis
      by simp (simp add: least cong [OF weak sup of singleton] sup of singletonI)
 next
    case False
    with insert have "least L (F
A) (Upper L A)" by simp
    with _ show ?thesis
      by (rule sup_insertI) (simp_all add: insert [simplified])
 qed
qed
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) finite_sup_insertI:
 assumes P: "!!l. least L l (Upper L (insert x A)) ==> P l"
    and xA: "finite A" "x ∈ carrier L" "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "P (\Box (insert x A))"
proof (cases 'A = \{\}'')
  case True with P and xA show ?thesis
    by (simp add: finite_sup_least)
next
  case False with P and xA show ?thesis
    by (simp add: sup insertI finite sup least)
qed
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) finite_sup_closed [simp]:
  "[| finite A; A \subseteq carrier L; A \neq {} |] ==> \bigsqcup A \in carrier L"
proof (induct set: finite)
 case empty then show ?case by simp
next
  case insert then show ?case
    by - (rule finite_sup_insertI, simp_all)
qed
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) join_left:
  "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> x \sqsubseteq x \sqcup y"
 by (rule joinI [folded join_def]) (blast dest: least_mem)
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) join_right:
  "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> y \subseteq x \sqcup y"
  by (rule joinI [folded join_def]) (blast dest: least_mem)
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) sup_of_two_least:
  "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> least L (\bigcup \{x, y\}) (Upper L
{x, y}"
proof (unfold sup_def)
```

```
assume L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
  with sup_of_two_exists obtain s where "least L s (Upper L {x, y})"
by fast
  with L show "least L (SOME z. least L z (Upper L {x, y})) (Upper L
\{x, v\}"
  by (fast intro: someI2 weak_least_unique)
qed
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) join_le:
  assumes sub: "x \subseteq z" "y \subseteq z"
    and x: "x ∈ carrier L" and y: "y ∈ carrier L" and z: "z ∈ carrier
L<sup>0</sup>shows "x \cup y \subseteq z"
proof (rule joinI [OF _ x y])
  fix s
  assume "least L s (Upper L \{x, y\})"
  with sub z show "s \subseteq z" by (fast elim: least_le intro: Upper_memI)
qed
lemma (in weak_lattice) weak_le_iff_meet:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \sqsubseteq y \longleftrightarrow (x \sqcup y) .= y"
  by (meson assms(1) assms(2) join_closed join_le join_left join_right
le_cong_r local.le_refl weak_le_antisym)
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) weak_join_assoc_lemma:
  assumes L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \cup (y \cup z) .= \bigcup \{x, y, z\}"
proof (rule finite_sup_insertI)
  — The textbook argument in Jacobson I, p 457
  fix s
  assume sup: "least L s (Upper L {x, y, z})"
  show "x \cup (y \cup z) .= s"
  proof (rule weak_le_antisym)
    from sup L show "x \cup (y \cup z) \subseteq s"
      by (fastforce intro!: join_le elim: least_Upper_above)
  next
    from sup L show "s \subseteq x \cup (y \cup z)"
    by (erule_tac least_le)
      (blast intro!: Upper_memI intro: le_trans join_left join_right join_closed)
  qed (simp_all add: L least_closed [OF sup])
qed (simp_all add: L)
Commutativity holds for =.
lemma join_comm:
  fixes L (structure)
```
**shows** " $x \cup y = y \cup x$ "

**by** (unfold join\_def) (simp add: insert\_commute)

```
lemma (in weak_upper_semilattice) weak_join_assoc:
 assumes L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
 shows "(x \cup y) \cup z .= x \cup (y \cup z)"
proof -
 have "(x \cup y) \cup z = z \cup (x \cup y)" by (simp only: join_comm)
  also from L have "... .= F
{z, x, y}" by (simp add: weak_join_assoc_lemma)
  also from L have "... = \bigcup \{x, y, z\}" by (simp add: insert_commute)
  also from L have "... x \sqcup (y \sqcup z)" by (simp add: weak join assoc_lemma
[symmetric])
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: L)
qed
```
#### **3.3.2 Infimum**

```
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) meetI:
 "[| !!i. greatest L i (Lower L \{x, y\}) ==> P i;
 x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |]
 \Rightarrow P (x \cap y)"
proof (unfold meet_def inf_def)
 assume L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
    and P: "!!g. greatest L g (Lower L \{x, y\}) ==> P g"
  with inf_of_two_exists obtain i where "greatest L i (Lower L {x, y})"
by fast
  with L show "P (SOME g. greatest L g (Lower L {x, y}))"
  by (fast intro: someI2 weak_greatest_unique P)
qed
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) meet_closed [simp]:
 "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> x \cap y \in carrier L"
 by (rule meetI) (rule greatest_closed)
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) meet_cong_l:
  assumes carr: "x ∈ carrier L" "x' ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
    and xx': "x .= x'"
 shows "x \sqcap y := x' \sqcap y"
proof (rule meetI, rule meetI)
 fix a b
  from xx' carr
      have seq: "{x, y} {.=} {x', y}" by (rule set_eq_pairI)
 assume greatesta: "greatest L a (Lower L {x, y})"
  assume "greatest L b (Lower L \{x', y\})"
  with carr
      have greatestb: "greatest L b (Lower L {x, y})"
      by (simp add: greatest_Lower_cong_r[OF _ _ seq])
  from greatesta greatestb
      show "a .= b" by (rule weak_greatest_unique)
```

```
qed (rule carr)+
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) meet_cong_r:
 assumes carr: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "y' ∈ carrier L"
    and yy': "y = y'"
 shows "x \sqcap y .= x \sqcap y"
proof (rule meetI, rule meetI)
  fix a b
  have \forall{x, y} = {y, x}<sup>"</sup> by fast
 also from carr yy'
      have "{y, x} {.=} {y', x}" by (intro set_eq_pairI)
 also have "\{y', x\} = \{x, y'\}" by fast
  finally
      have seq: "\{x, y\} \{.\}} \{x, y'\}" .
 assume greatesta: "greatest L a (Lower L {x, y})"
 assume "greatest L b (Lower L \{x, y'\})"
  with carr
      have greatestb: "greatest L b (Lower L {x, y})"
      by (simp add: greatest_Lower_cong_r[OF _ _ seq])
  from greatesta greatestb
      show "a .= b" by (rule weak_greatest_unique)
qed (rule carr)+
lemma (in weak_partial_order) inf_of_singletonI:
  "x \in carrier L ==> greatest L x (Lower L {x})"
  by (rule greatest_LowerI) auto
lemma (in weak_partial_order) weak_inf_of_singleton [simp]:
  "x \in \text{carrier } L \implies \bigcap \{x\}. = x"
 unfolding inf_def
 by (rule someI2) (auto intro: weak_greatest_unique inf_of_singletonI)
lemma (in weak_partial_order) inf_of_singleton_closed:
  "x \in carrier L \implies \bigcap \{x\} \in carrier L"unfolding inf_def
 by (rule someI2) (auto intro: inf_of_singletonI)
Condition on A: infimum exists.
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) inf_insertI:
  "[| !!i. greatest L i (Lower L (insert x A)) ==> P i;
  greatest L a (Lower L A); x \in carrier L; A \subseteq carrier L |]
  \Rightarrow P (\bigcap (insert x A))"
proof (unfold inf_def)
  assume L: "x ∈ carrier L" "A ⊆ carrier L"
    and P: "!!g. greatest L g (Lower L (insert x A)) ==> P g"
    and greatest_a: "greatest L a (Lower L A)"
  from L greatest_a have La: "a ∈ carrier L" by simp
```

```
from L inf_of_two_exists greatest_a
 obtain i where greatest_i: "greatest L i (Lower L {a, x})" by blast
 show "P (SOME g. greatest L g (Lower L (insert x A)))"
 proof (rule someI2)
    show "greatest L i (Lower L (insert x A))"
    proof (rule greatest_LowerI)
      fix z
      assume "z ∈ insert x A"
      then show "i \sqsubset z"
      proof
        assume "z = x" then show ?thesis
          by (simp add: greatest_Lower_below [OF greatest_i] L La)
      next
        assume "z ∈ A"
        with L greatest_i greatest_a show ?thesis
          by (rule_tac le_trans [where y = a]) (auto dest: greatest_Lower_below)
      qed
    next
      fix y
      assume y: "y ∈ Lower L (insert x A)"
      show "y \subseteq i"
      proof (rule greatest_le [OF greatest_i], rule Lower_memI)
        fix z
        assume z: "z ∈ {a, x}"
        then show "y \subseteq z"
        proof
          have y': "y ∈ Lower L A"
            by (meson Lower antimono in mono subset insertI y)
          assume "z = a"
          with y' greatest_a show ?thesis by (fast dest: greatest_le)
        next
          assume "z \in \{x\}"
          with y L show ?thesis by blast
        qed
      qed (rule Lower_closed [THEN subsetD, OF y])
    next
      from L show "insert x A ⊆ carrier L" by simp
      from greatest_i show "i ∈ carrier L" by simp
    qed
 qed (rule P)
qed
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) finite_inf_greatest:
  "[| finite A; A \subseteq carrier L; A \neq {} |] ==> greatest L (\bigcap A) (Lower
L A<sup>"</sup>
proof (induct set: finite)
 case empty then show ?case by simp
next
 case (insert x A)
```

```
show ?case
  proof (cases "A = {}")
    case True
    with insert show ?thesis
      by simp (simp add: greatest_cong [OF weak_inf_of_singleton]
        inf_of_singleton_closed inf_of_singletonI)
 next
    case False
    from insert show ?thesis
    proof (rule_tac inf_insertI)
      from False insert show "greatest L (\bigcap A) (Lower L A)" by simp
    qed simp_all
 qed
qed
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) finite_inf_insertI:
 assumes P: "!!i. greatest L i (Lower L (insert x A)) ==> P i"
    and xA: "finite A" "x ∈ carrier L" "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "P (\bigcap (insert x A))"
proof (cases A = \{\}")
  case True with P and xA show ?thesis
    by (simp add: finite_inf_greatest)
next
  case False with P and xA show ?thesis
    by (simp add: inf_insertI finite_inf_greatest)
qed
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) finite_inf_closed [simp]:
  "[| finite A; A \subseteq carrier L; A \neq {} |] ==> \bigcap A \in carrier L"
proof (induct set: finite)
 case empty then show ?case by simp
next
 case insert then show ?case
    by (rule_tac finite_inf_insertI) (simp_all)
qed
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) meet_left:
  "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> x \cap y \subseteq x"
 by (rule meetI [folded meet_def]) (blast dest: greatest_mem)
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) meet_right:
  "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> x \cap y \subseteq y"
  by (rule meetI [folded meet_def]) (blast dest: greatest_mem)
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) inf_of_two_greatest:
  "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==>
  greatest L (\bigcap \{x, y\}) (Lower L \{x, y\})"
proof (unfold inf_def)
 assume L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
```

```
with inf_of_two_exists obtain s where "greatest L s (Lower L {x, y})"
by fast
  with L
  show "greatest L (SOME z. greatest L z (Lower L \{x, y\})) (Lower L \{x, x\}y})"
  by (fast intro: someI2 weak_greatest_unique)
qed
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) meet_le:
  assumes sub: "z \subseteq x" "z \subseteq y"
    and x: "x ∈ carrier L" and y: "y ∈ carrier L" and z: "z ∈ carrier
L<sup>0</sup>shows "z \sqsubseteq x \sqcap y"
proof (rule meetI [OF _ x y])
  fix i
  assume "greatest L i (Lower L {x, y})"
  with sub z show "z \subseteq i" by (fast elim: greatest_le intro: Lower_memI)
qed
lemma (in weak_lattice) weak_le_iff_join:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow x = (x \cap y)"
  by (meson assms(1) assms(2) local.le_refl local.le_trans meet_closed
meet_le meet_left meet_right weak_le_antisym weak_refl)
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) weak_meet_assoc_lemma:
  assumes L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \cap (y \cap z) .= \bigcap \{x, y, z\}"
proof (rule finite_inf_insertI)
The textbook argument in Jacobson I, p 457
  fix i
  assume inf: "greatest L i (Lower L {x, y, z})"
  show "x \cap (y \cap z) .= i"
  proof (rule weak_le_antisym)
    from inf L show "i \subseteq x \sqcap (y \sqcap z)"
      by (fastforce intro!: meet_le elim: greatest_Lower_below)
  next
    from inf L show "x \sqcap (y \sqcap z) \sqsubseteq i"
    by (erule_tac greatest_le)
      (blast intro!: Lower_memI intro: le_trans meet_left meet_right meet_closed)
  qed (simp_all add: L greatest_closed [OF inf])
qed (simp_all add: L)
lemma meet_comm:
  fixes L (structure)
  shows "x \sqcap y = y \sqcap x"
  by (unfold meet_def) (simp add: insert_commute)
```

```
lemma (in weak_lower_semilattice) weak_meet_assoc:
 assumes L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
 shows "(x \cap y) \cap z .= x \cap (y \cap z)"
proof -
 have "(x \cap y) \cap z = z \cap (x \cap y)" by (simp only: meet_comm)
  also from L have "... \Box = \Box {z, x, y}" by (simp add: weak_meet_assoc_lemma)
  also from L have "... = \bigcap {x, y, z}" by (simp add: insert_commute)
 also from L have "... \cdot = x \cap (y \cap z)" by (simp add: weak_meet_assoc_lemma
[symmetric])
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: L)
qed
Total orders are lattices.
sublocale weak total order ⊂ weak?: weak lattice
proof
 fix x y
 assume L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
 show "∃ s. least L s (Upper L {x, y})"
 proof -
   note total L
    moreover
    {
      assume "x \subseteq y"
      with L have "least L y (Upper L {x, y})"
        by (rule_tac least_UpperI) auto
    }
    moreover
    {
      assume "y \sqsubset x"
      with L have "least L x (Upper L {x, y})"
        by (rule_tac least_UpperI) auto
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 qed
next
 fix x y
 assume L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
 show "\exists i. greatest L i (Lower L {x, y})"
 proof -
   note total L
    moreover
    {
      assume "y \subseteq x"
      with L have "greatest L y (Lower L {x, y})"
        by (rule_tac greatest_LowerI) auto
    }
    moreover
    {
```

```
assume "x \subseteq y"
      with L have "greatest L x (Lower L {x, y})"
        by (rule_tac greatest_LowerI) auto
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
```
# **3.4 Weak Bounded Lattices**

```
locale weak bounded lattice =
  weak_lattice +
  weak_partial_order_bottom +
  weak_partial_order_top
begin
lemma bottom_meet: "x \in carrier L \implies \perp \cap x .= \perp"
  by (metis bottom_least least_def meet_closed meet_left weak_le_antisym)
lemma bottom_join: "x \in \text{carrier } L \implies \bot \sqcup x := x"
  by (metis bottom_least join_closed join_le join_right le_refl least_def
weak_le_antisym)
lemma bottom_weak_eq:
   \lnot \lbrack\!\lbrack\:\; b\,\in \, \text{carrier}\,\, \mathrm{L}\,;\, \bigwedge \,\, \mathrm{x} \,.\,\, \mathrm{x}\,\in \, \text{carrier}\,\, \mathrm{L} \implies \mathrm{b}\,\subseteq \,\mathrm{x}\,\, \rbrack \implies \mathrm{b}\,\,:=\, \bot\!\!\; \vdashby (metis bottom_closed bottom_lower weak_le_antisym)
lemma top_join: "x \in \text{carrier } L \implies \top \sqcup x := \top"
  by (metis join_closed join_left top_closed top_higher weak_le_antisym)
lemma top_meet: "x \in \text{carrier } L \implies \top \sqcap x := x"
  by (metis le_refl meet_closed meet_le meet_right top_closed top_higher
weak_le_antisym)
{\rm lemma} top_weak_eq: "\llbracket t \in carrier L; \bigwedge\, x. \mathrm{x}\, \in carrier \mathrm{L}\, \Longrightarrow\, \mathrm{x}\, \sqsubseteq\, \mathrm{t}\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow t .= ⊤"
  by (metis top_closed top_higher weak_le_antisym)
```
### **end**

**sublocale** weak\_bounded\_lattice ⊆ weak\_partial\_order **..**

## **3.5 Lattices where** eq **is the Equality**

```
locale upper_semilattice = partial_order +
  assumes sup_of_two_exists:
    "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> \exists s. least L s (Upper L {x,
y})"
```
**sublocale** upper\_semilattice ⊆ weak?: weak\_upper\_semilattice

```
by unfold_locales (rule sup_of_two_exists)
locale lower_semilattice = partial_order +
  assumes inf_of_two_exists:
    "[| x \in carrier L; y \in carrier L |] ==> \exists s. greatest L s (Lower L
{x, y}"
sublocale lower semilattice ⊂ weak?: weak lower semilattice
  by unfold_locales (rule inf_of_two_exists)
locale lattice = upper_semilattice + lower_semilattice
sublocale lattice ⊆ weak_lattice ..
lemma (in lattice) dual_lattice:
  "lattice (inv gorder L)"
proof -
  interpret dual: weak_lattice "inv_gorder L"
    by (metis dual_weak_lattice)
  show ?thesis
    apply (unfold_locales)
    apply (simp_all add: inf_of_two_exists sup_of_two_exists)
    apply (rule eq_is_equal)
  done
qed
lemma (in lattice) le_iff_join:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow x = (x \cap y)"
  by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) eq_is_equal weak_le_iff_join)
lemma (in lattice) le_iff_meet:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow (x \cup y) = y"
  by (simp add: assms eq_is_equal weak_le_iff_meet)
Total orders are lattices.
sublocale total_order ⊆ weak?: lattice
  by standard (auto intro: weak.weak.sup_of_two_exists weak.weak.inf_of_two_exists)
Functions that preserve joins and meets
definition join_pres :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow ('b, 'd) gorder_scheme
⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
"join_pres X Y f \equiv lattice X \wedge lattice Y \wedge (\forall x \in carrier X. \forall y \in carrier
X. f (x \cup_X y) = f x \cup_Y f y"
definition meet_pres :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme ⇒ ('b, 'd) gorder_scheme
⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
```

```
"meet_pres X Y f \equiv lattice X \wedge lattice Y \wedge (\forall x \in carrier X. \forall y \in carrier
X. f (x \sqcap_X y) = f x \sqcap_Y f y"
lemma join_pres_isotone:
  assumes "f ∈ carrier X → carrier Y" "join_pres X Y f"
  shows "isotone X Y f"
proof (rule isotoneI)
  show "weak_partial_order X" "weak_partial_order Y"
      using assms unfolding join_pres_def lattice_def upper_semilattice_def
lower semilattice def
      by (meson partial_order.axioms(1))+
   \texttt{show } \|\texttt{\textbackslash} \mathbf{x} \texttt{ y. } \| \texttt{x} \in \texttt{carrier } \texttt{ X}; \texttt{ y} \in \texttt{carrier } \texttt{ X}; \texttt{ x } \sqsubseteq_{\texttt{X}} \texttt{ y} \| \implies \texttt{ f } \texttt{x} \sqsubseteq_{\texttt{Y}} \texttt{ f } \texttt{ y}''by (metis (no_types, lifting) PiE assms join_pres_def lattice.le_iff_meet)
qed
lemma meet_pres_isotone:
  assumes "f ∈ carrier X → carrier Y" "meet_pres X Y f"
  shows "isotone X Y f"
proof (rule isotoneI)
  show "weak_partial_order X" "weak_partial_order Y"
      using assms unfolding meet_pres_def lattice_def upper_semilattice_def
lower_semilattice_def
      by (meson partial_order.axioms(1))+
   \texttt{show } \|\texttt{\textbackslash} \mathbf{x} \texttt{ y. } \| \texttt{x} \in \texttt{carrier } \texttt{ X}; \texttt{ y} \in \texttt{carrier } \texttt{ X}; \texttt{ x } \sqsubseteq_{\texttt{X}} \texttt{ y} \| \implies \texttt{ f } \texttt{x} \sqsubseteq_{\texttt{Y}} \texttt{ f } \texttt{ y}''by (metis (no_types, lifting) PiE assms lattice.le_iff_join meet_pres_def)
qed
```
### **3.6 Bounded Lattices**

```
locale bounded_lattice =
  lattice +
  weak_partial_order_bottom +
  weak_partial_order_top
sublocale bounded_lattice ⊆ weak_bounded_lattice ..
context bounded_lattice
begin
lemma bottom_eq:
  \lnot [ b \in carrier L; \bigwedge x \cdot x \in carrier L \implies b \sqsubseteq x ] \implies b = \bot"
  by (metis bottom_closed bottom_lower le_antisym)
{\rm lemma} top_eq: "[ t \in carrier L; \bigwedge {\rm x}. {\rm x} \in carrier {\rm L} \implies {\rm x} \sqsubseteq t \rVert \impliest = T"by (metis le_antisym top_closed top_higher)
end
```

```
hide_const (open) Lattice.inf
hide_const (open) Lattice.sup
```
**end**

**theory** Complete\_Lattice **imports** Lattice **begin**

==> P ( $\bigcup A$ )"

# **4 Complete Lattices**

```
locale weak_complete_lattice = weak_partial_order +
  assumes sup_exists:
    "[| A \subseteq carrier L |] ==> \exists s. least L s (Upper L A)"
    and inf_exists:
    "[| A \subseteq carrier L |] ==> \exists i. greatest L i (Lower L A)"
sublocale weak_complete_lattice ⊆ weak_lattice
proof
  fix x y
  assume a: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
  thus "\exists s. is_lub L s \{x, y\}"
    by (rule_tac sup_exists[of "{x, y}"], auto)
  from a show "∃ s. is_glb L s {x, y}"
    by (rule_tac inf_exists[of "{x, y}"], auto)
qed
Introduction rule: the usual definition of complete lattice
```

```
lemma (in weak_partial_order) weak_complete_latticeI:
  assumes sup_exists:
    "!!A. [ | A \subseteq \text{carrier L} | ] ==> \exists s. \text{ least L s (Upper L A)}"and inf_exists:
    "!!A. [| A \subseteq carrier L |] ==> \exists i. greatest L i (Lower L A)"
  shows "weak_complete_lattice L"
  by standard (auto intro: sup_exists inf_exists)
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) dual_weak_complete_lattice:
  "weak complete lattice (inv_gorder L)"
proof -
  interpret dual: weak_lattice "inv_gorder L"
    by (metis dual_weak_lattice)
  show ?thesis
    by (unfold_locales) (simp_all add:inf_exists sup_exists)
qed
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) supI:
  "[| !!l. least L 1 (Upper L A) ==> P 1; A \subseteq carrier L |]
```

```
proof (unfold sup_def)
 assume L: "A ⊆ carrier L"
    and P: "!!l. least L l (Upper L A) ==> P l"
  with sup_exists obtain s where "least L s (Upper L A)" by blast
  with L show "P (SOME l. least L l (Upper L A))"
 by (fast intro: someI2 weak_least_unique P)
qed
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) sup_closed [simp]:
  "A \subseteq carrier L ==> \bigcup A \in carrier L"
 by (rule supI) simp_all
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) sup_cong:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "B ⊆ carrier L" "A {.=} B"
  shows "\Box A = \Box B"
proof -
  have "A x. is_lub L x A \leftrightarrow is_lub L x B"by (rule least_Upper_cong_r, simp_all add: assms)
  moreover have "
F
B ∈ carrier L"
    by (simp add: assms(2))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: sup_def)
qed
sublocale weak_complete_lattice ⊆ weak_bounded_lattice
 apply (unfold_locales)
 apply (metis Upper empty empty subsetI sup exists)
 apply (metis Lower empty empty subsetI inf exists)
done
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) infI:
  "[| !!i. greatest L i (Lower L A) ==> P i; A \subseteq carrier L |]
  \Rightarrow P (\bigcap A)"
proof (unfold inf_def)
  assume L: "A ⊆ carrier L"
    and P: "!!1. greatest L 1 (Lower L A) == P 1"with inf_exists obtain s where "greatest L s (Lower L A)" by blast
  with L show "P (SOME l. greatest L l (Lower L A))"
 by (fast intro: someI2 weak_greatest_unique P)
qed
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) inf_closed [simp]:
  "A \subseteq carrier L ==> \bigcap A \in carrier L"
 by (rule infI) simp_all
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) inf_cong:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "B ⊆ carrier L" "A {.=} B"
  shows "\bigcap A .= \bigcap B"
proof -
```

```
have "\wedge x. is_glb L x A \longleftrightarrow is_glb L x B"
    by (rule greatest_Lower_cong_r, simp_all add: assms)
  \text{moreover have } \mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 arrier L"
    by (simp add: assms(2))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: inf_def)
qed
theorem (in weak_partial_order) weak_complete_lattice_criterion1:
  assumes top_exists: "∃ g. greatest L g (carrier L)"
    and inf_exists:
       "\bigwedgeA. [| A \subseteq carrier L; A \neq {} |] ==> \existsi. greatest L i (Lower L
A)"
  shows "weak_complete_lattice L"
proof (rule weak_complete_latticeI)
  from top_exists obtain top where top: "greatest L top (carrier L)"
..
  fix A
  assume L: "A ⊆ carrier L"
  let ?B = "Upper L A"
  from L top have "top ∈ ?B" by (fast intro!: Upper_memI intro: greatest_le)
  then have B_{non\_empty}: "?B \neq {}" by fast
  have B_L: "?B ⊆ carrier L" by simp
  from inf_exists [OF B_L B_non_empty]
  obtain b where b_inf_B: "greatest L b (Lower L ?B)" ..
  then have bcarr: "b ∈ carrier L"
    by auto
  have "least L b (Upper L A)"
  proof (rule least_UpperI)
    \text{show } \sqrt[n]{x}. \; x \in A \implies x \subseteq b"
      by (meson L Lower_memI Upper_memD b_inf_B greatest_le subsetD)
    \texttt{show }\texttt{"\bigwedge} y. y \in \texttt{Upper } L \ \mathtt{A} \implies \mathtt{b} \sqsubseteq y"by (meson B_L b_inf_B greatest_Lower_below)
  qed (use bcarr L in auto)
  then show "∃ s. least L s (Upper L A)" ..
next
  fix A
  assume L: "A ⊆ carrier L"
  show "∃i. greatest L i (Lower L A)"
    by (metis L Lower_empty inf_exists top_exists)
qed
Supremum
declare (in partial_order) weak_sup_of_singleton [simp del]
lemma (in partial_order) sup_of_singleton [simp]:
  "x \in \text{carrier L} \implies \bigsqcup \{x\} = x"
  using weak_sup_of_singleton unfolding eq_is_equal .
```

```
lemma (in upper_semilattice) join_assoc_lemma:
 assumes L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \cup (y \cup z) = \bigcup \{x, y, z\}"
 using weak_join_assoc_lemma L unfolding eq_is_equal .
lemma (in upper_semilattice) join_assoc:
 assumes L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
 shows "(x \cup y) \cup z = x \cup (y \cup z)"
  using weak_join_assoc L unfolding eq_is_equal .
Infimum
declare (in partial_order) weak_inf_of_singleton [simp del]
lemma (in partial_order) inf_of_singleton [simp]:
  "x \in \text{carrier } L \implies \bigcap \{x\} = x"
 using weak_inf_of_singleton unfolding eq_is_equal .
Condition on A: infimum exists.
lemma (in lower_semilattice) meet_assoc_lemma:
 assumes L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
  shows "x \cap (y \cap z) = \bigcap \{x, y, z\}"
  using weak_meet_assoc_lemma L unfolding eq_is_equal .
lemma (in lower_semilattice) meet_assoc:
```

```
assumes L: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
shows "(x \cap y) \cap z = x \cap (y \cap z)"
using weak_meet_assoc L unfolding eq_is_equal .
```
# **4.1 Infimum Laws**

```
context weak_complete_lattice
begin
lemma inf_glb:
 assumes "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "greatest L (\bigcap A) (Lower L A)"
proof -
 obtain i where "greatest L i (Lower L A)"
    by (metis assms inf_exists)
 thus ?thesis
    by (metis inf_def someI_ex)
qed
lemma inf_lower:
 assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "x ∈ A"
  shows "\sqcap A \sqsubseteq x"by (metis assms greatest_Lower_below inf_glb)
lemma inf_greatest:
```

```
assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
            "(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies z \sqsubseteq x)"shows "z \sqsubseteq \bigcap \mathbb{A}"
  by (metis Lower_memI assms greatest_le inf_glb)
{\bf lemma} weak_inf_empty [simp]: "\bigsqcup {\{ } .= \top"
  by (metis Lower_empty empty_subsetI inf_glb top_greatest weak_greatest_unique)
lemma weak_inf_carrier [simp]: "\bigcapcarrier L .= ⊥"
  by (metis bottom_weak_eq inf_closed inf_lower subset_refl)
lemma weak_inf_insert [simp]:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier L" "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "\Box insert a A .= a \Box \Box A"
proof (rule weak_le_antisym)
  show "\Box insert a A \sqsubseteq a \Box \Box A"
    by (simp add: assms inf_lower local.inf_greatest meet_le)
  show aA: "a \sqcap \bigcap A \in carrier L"
    using assms by simp
  show "a \sqcap \bigcap A \sqsubseteq \bigcap \text{insert a } A"
    apply (rule inf_greatest)
    using assms apply (simp_all add: aA)
    by (meson aA inf_closed inf_lower local.le_trans meet_left meet_right
subsetCE)
  show "\Box insert a A \in carrier L"
    using assms by (force intro: le_trans inf_closed meet_right meet_left
inf_lower)
qed
```
### **4.2 Supremum Laws**

```
lemma sup_lub:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "least L (\bigcup A) (Upper L A)"
    by (metis Upper_is_closed assms least_closed least_cong supI sup_closed
sup_exists weak_least_unique)
lemma sup_upper:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "x ∈ A"
  shows "\mathbf{x} \subseteq \bigsqcup \mathbf{A}"
  by (metis assms least_Upper_above supI)
lemma sup_least:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier L" "z ∈ carrier L"
            "(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies x \sqsubseteq z)"shows "\bigsqcup A \sqsubseteq z"by (metis Upper_memI assms least_le sup_lub)
lemma weak_sup_empty [simp]: "||{} .= ⊥"
```

```
by (metis Upper_empty bottom_least empty_subsetI sup_lub weak_least_unique)
lemma weak_sup_carrier [simp]: "\]carrier L .= \top"
  by (metis Lower_closed Lower_empty sup_closed sup_upper top_closed top_higher
weak_le_antisym)
lemma weak_sup_insert [simp]:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier L" "A ⊆ carrier L"
  shows "\Boxinsert a A .= a \Box \Box A"
proof (rule weak_le_antisym)
  show aA: "a \sqcup \sqcup A \in carrier L"
    using assms by simp
  show "\bigcup insert a A \sqsubseteq a \sqcup \bigcup A"
    apply (rule sup_least)
    using assms apply (simp_all add: aA)
    by (meson aA join_left join_right local.le_trans subsetCE sup_closed
sup_upper)
  show "a \sqcup \sqcup A \sqsubseteq \sqcup insert a A"
    by (simp add: assms join_le local.sup_least sup_upper)
  show "\Boxinsert a A \in carrier L"
    using assms by (force intro: le_trans inf_closed meet_right meet_left
inf_lower)
qed
```

```
end
```
### **4.3 Fixed points of a lattice**

```
definition "fps L f = {x \in carrier L. f x \cdot =_L x}"
abbreviation "fpl L f \equiv L(|carrier := fps L f)"
lemma (in weak_partial_order)
  use_fps: "x \in fps L f \implies f x .= x"
  by (simp add: fps_def)
lemma fps_carrier [simp]:
  "fps L f ⊆ carrier L"
  by (auto simp add: fps_def)
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) fps_sup_image:
  \begin{aligned} \textrm{assumes\,} \text{ ``f $\in$ carrier L $\rightarrow$ carrier L'' ``A $\subseteq$ fps L f''} \end{aligned}shows "\bigcup (f ' A) =\bigcup A"
proof -
  from assms(2) have AL: "A ⊆ carrier L"
    by (auto simp add: fps_def)
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule sup_cong, simp_all add: AL)
    from assms(1) AL show "f ' A \subset carrier L"
```

```
by auto
     {\tt then\,\,} {\tt have\,\,} *: \ {\tt\,\,}^\prime \!{\tt\,} {\tt\,} \mathsf{A} \subseteq \{{\tt x}\,\in\, {\tt carrier\,\,} {\tt L.\,\,} {\tt f\,\,} {\tt x\,\,}.-{\tt x}\};\; {\tt b}\,\in\,{\tt A}]\implies \exists\, {\tt a}\!\!\in\!\!{\tt f\,\,}' A. b := a"by (meson AL assms(2) image_eqI local.sym subsetCE use_fps)
     from assms(2) show "f ' A {.=} A"
        by (auto simp add: fps_def intro: set_eqI2 [OF _ *])
  qed
qed
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) fps_idem:
  assumes "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L" "Idem f"
  shows "fps L f {.=} f ' carrier L"
proof (rule set_eqI2)
   \texttt{show } " \texttt{/} \texttt{a. a} \in \texttt{fps} \texttt{L} \texttt{f} \implies \exists \texttt{b} \in \texttt{f} \texttt{'} \texttt{ carrier} \texttt{L. a .= b''}using assms by (force simp add: fps_def intro: local.sym)
   \text{show } \text{"}{\textsf{h}}.~\text{b} \in \texttt{f}~\text{`` carrier L} \Longrightarrow \exists \texttt{a} \in \texttt{fps}~\text{L}~\text{f.~b}~\text{.} = \texttt{a} \text{"}using assms by (force simp add: idempotent_def fps_def)
qed
context weak_complete_lattice
begin
lemma weak_sup_pre_fixed_point:
  assumes "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L" "isotone L L f" "A ⊆ fps L f"
   shows "(\bigsqcup_L A) \sqsubseteq_L f (\bigsqcup_L A)"
proof (rule sup_least)
  from assms(3) show AL: "A ⊆ carrier L"
     by (auto simp add: fps_def)
   thus fA: "f (\bigsqcup A) \in carrier L"
     by (simp add: assms funcset_carrier[of f L L])
  fix x
  assume xA: "x ∈ A"
  hence "x ∈ fps L f"
     using assms subsetCE by blast
  hence "f x \rightarrow -L \mathbf{x}"
     by (auto simp add: fps_def)
   moreover have "f x \sqsubseteq_L f (\bigcup_L A)"
     by (meson AL assms(2) subsetCE sup_closed sup_upper use_iso1 xA)
   ultimately show "x \subseteq_L f (\bigcup_L A)"
     by (meson AL fA assms(1) funcset_carrier le_cong local.refl subsetCE
xA)
qed
lemma weak_sup_post_fixed_point:
  assumes "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L" "isotone L L f" "A ⊆ fps L f"
   shows "f (\bigcap_L A) \sqsubseteq_L (\bigcap_L A)"
proof (rule inf_greatest)
  from assms(3) show AL: "A ⊆ carrier L"
     by (auto simp add: fps_def)
```

```
thus fA: "f (\bigcap A) \in carrier L"
    by (simp add: assms funcset_carrier[of f L L])
  fix x
  assume xA: "x ∈ A"
  hence "x \in fps L f"using assms subsetCE by blast
  hence "f x \rightarrow -L x"
    by (auto simp add: fps_def)
  moreover have "f (\bigcap_{L} A) \sqsubseteq_{L} f x"
    by (meson AL assms(2) inf_closed inf_lower subsetCE use_iso1 xA)
  ultimately show "f (\bigcap_{L} A) \sqsubseteq_{L} x"
    by (meson AL assms(1) fA funcset_carrier le_cong_r subsetCE xA)
qed
```
### **4.3.1 Least fixed points**

```
lemma LFP_closed [intro, simp]:
  "LFP f \in \text{carrier L"}by (metis (lifting) LEAST_FP_def inf_closed mem_Collect_eq subsetI)
lemma LFP_lowerbound:
  assumes "x \in carrier L" "f x \subseteq x"
  shows "LFP f \sqsubset x"
  by (auto intro:inf_lower assms simp add:LEAST_FP_def)
lemma LFP_greatest:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier L"
             \sqrt[n]{u}. \llbracket u \in carrier L; f u \sqsubseteq u \rrbracket \implies x \sqsubseteq u)"
  shows "x \sqsubseteq LFP f"by (auto simp add:LEAST_FP_def intro:inf_greatest assms)
lemma LFP_lemma2:
  assumes "Mono f" "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L"
  shows "f (LFP f) \sqsubseteq LFP f"
proof (rule LFP_greatest)
   have f: " \bigwedge x. x \in carrier L \implies f x \in carrier L"using assms by (auto simp add: Pi_def)
  with assms show "f (LFP f) ∈ carrier L"
     by blast
   \text{show }\ ^{\shortparallel }\!\! \backslash \mathfrak{u}. \llbracket \mathfrak{u}\in \text{carrier L}; \text{f}\ \mathfrak{u}\sqsubseteq \mathfrak{u}\rrbracket \implies \text{f}\ \ (\text{LFP}\ \text{f})\ \sqsubseteq\ \mathfrak{u}^{\shortparallel }\!\!by (meson LFP_closed LFP_lowerbound assms(1) f local.le_trans use_iso1)
qed
lemma LFP_lemma3:
  assumes "Mono f" "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L"
  shows "LFP f \sqsubseteq f (LFP f)"
  using assms by (simp add: Pi_def) (metis LFP_closed LFP_lemma2 LFP_lowerbound
assms(2) use_iso2)
```

```
lemma LFP_weak_unfold:
  "[ Mono f; f \in carrier L \rightarrow carrier L ] \implies LFP f .= f (LFP f)"
 by (auto intro: LFP_lemma2 LFP_lemma3 funcset_mem)
lemma LFP_fixed_point [intro]:
 assumes "Mono f" "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L"
 shows "LFP f \in fps L f"
proof -
  have "f (LFP f) ∈ carrier L"
    using assms(2) by blast
  with assms show ?thesis
    by (simp add: LFP_weak_unfold fps_def local.sym)
qed
lemma LFP_least_fixed_point:
 assumes "Mono f" "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L" "x ∈ fps L f"
 shows "LFP f \sqsubseteq x"
 using assms by (force intro: LFP_lowerbound simp add: fps_def)
lemma LFP_idem:
 assumes "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L" "Mono f" "Idem f"
 shows "LFP f .= (f ⊥)"
proof (rule weak_le_antisym)
  from assms(1) show fb: "f ⊥ ∈ carrier L"
    by (rule funcset_mem, simp)
 from assms show mf: "LFP f ∈ carrier L"
    by blast
 show "LFP f \sqsubset f \perp"
 proof -
    have "f (f ⊥) .= f ⊥"
      by (auto simp add: fps_def fb assms(3) idempotent)
    moreover have "f (f ⊥) ∈ carrier L"
      by (rule funcset_mem[of f "carrier L"], simp_all add: assms fb)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: LFP_lowerbound simp add: fb)
 qed
 show "f \bot \sqsubseteq LFP f"
 proof -
    have "f \bot \sqsubseteq f (LFP f)"
      by (auto intro: use_iso1[of _ f] simp add: assms)
    moreover have "... .= LFP f"
      using assms(1) assms(2) fps_def by force
    moreover from assms(1) have "f (LFP f) ∈ carrier L"
      by (auto)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using fb by blast
  qed
qed
```
#### **4.3.2 Greatest fixed points**

```
lemma GFP_closed [intro, simp]:
  "GFP f ∈ carrier L"
  by (auto intro:sup_closed simp add:GREATEST_FP_def)
lemma GFP_upperbound:
  assumes "x \in carrier L" "x \subseteq f x"
  shows "x \sqsubseteq GFP f"
  by (auto intro:sup_upper assms simp add:GREATEST_FP_def)
lemma GFP_least:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier L"
             \mathsf{``}(\mathsf{\Lambda}\mathsf{u} \ldotp \mathsf{u} \in \mathsf{carrier} \mathsf{L}; \mathsf{u} \sqsubseteq \mathsf{f} \mathsf{u} \mathsf{]} \Rightarrow \mathsf{u} \sqsubseteq \mathsf{x})\mathsf{''}shows "GFP f \subseteq x"
  by (auto simp add:GREATEST_FP_def intro:sup_least assms)
lemma GFP_lemma2:
  assumes "Mono f" "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L"
  shows "GFP f \sqsubset f (GFP f)"
proof (rule GFP_least)
   have f: " \bigwedge x. x \in carrier L \implies f x \in carrier L"using assms by (auto simp add: Pi_def)
  with assms show "f (GFP f) ∈ carrier L"
     by blast
   \text{show }\ ^{\shortparallel }\!\! \backslash \mathfrak{u}. \left[\!\!\left[ \mathfrak{u}\in\text{carrier L};\ \mathfrak{u}\subseteq\mathfrak{f}\ \mathfrak{u}\right]\right]\Longrightarrow\mathfrak{u}\subseteq\mathfrak{f}\ \ (\text{GFP f})^{\shortparallel }\!\!by (meson GFP_closed GFP_upperbound le_trans assms(1) f local.le_trans
use_iso1)
qed
lemma GFP_lemma3:
  assumes "Mono f" "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L"
  shows "f (GFP f) \sqsubseteq GFP f"
  by (metis GFP_closed GFP_lemma2 GFP_upperbound assms funcset_mem use_iso2)
lemma GFP_weak_unfold:
  "[ Mono f; f \in carrier L \rightarrow carrier L \parallel \Rightarrow GFP f .= f (GFP f)"
  by (auto intro: GFP_lemma2 GFP_lemma3 funcset_mem)
lemma (in weak_complete_lattice) GFP_fixed_point [intro]:
  assumes "Mono f" "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L"
  shows "GFP f \in fps L f"
  using assms
proof -
  have "f (GFP f) ∈ carrier L"
     using assms(2) by blast
  with assms show ?thesis
     by (simp add: GFP_weak_unfold fps_def local.sym)
qed
```

```
lemma GFP_greatest_fixed_point:
 assumes "Mono f" "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L" "x ∈ fps L f"
 shows "x \sqsubseteq GFP f"using assms
 by (rule_tac GFP_upperbound, auto simp add: fps_def, meson PiE local.sym
weak_refl)
lemma GFP_idem:
 assumes "f ∈ carrier L → carrier L" "Mono f" "Idem f"
 shows "GFP f = (f \top)"
proof (rule weak_le_antisym)
  from assms(1) show fb: "f \top \in carrier L"
   by (rule funcset_mem, simp)
  from assms show mf: "GFP f ∈ carrier L"
    by blast
 show "f \top \sqsubset GFP f"
 proof -
    have "f (f \top) \cdot = f \top"
      by (auto simp add: fps_def fb assms(3) idempotent)
    moreover have "f (f \top) \in carrier L"
      by (rule funcset_mem[of f "carrier L"], simp_all add: assms fb)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (rule_tac GFP_upperbound, simp_all add: fb local.sym)
 qed
 show "GFP f \sqsubseteq f \top"
 proof -
    have "GFP f \sqsubset f (GFP f)"
      by (simp add: GFP_lemma2 assms(1) assms(2))
    moreover have "... \sqsubset f \top"
      by (auto intro: use_iso1[of _ f] simp add: assms)
    moreover from assms(1) have "f (GFP f) \in carrier L"
      by (auto)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using fb local.le_trans by blast
  qed
qed
```

```
end
```
# **4.4 Complete lattices where** eq **is the Equality**

```
locale complete_lattice = partial_order +
  assumes sup_exists:
    "[| A \subseteq carrier L |] ==> \exists s. least L s (Upper L A)"
    and inf_exists:
    "[| A \subseteq carrier L |] ==> \exists i. greatest L i (Lower L A)"
sublocale complete_lattice ⊆ lattice
proof
```

```
fix x y
 assume a: "x ∈ carrier L" "y ∈ carrier L"
 thus "\exists s. is_lub L s \{x, y\}"
    by (rule tac sup exists[of "{x, y}"], auto)
 from a show "\exists s. is glb L s \{x, y\}"
    by (rule_tac inf_exists[of "{x, y}"], auto)
qed
sublocale complete_lattice ⊆ weak?: weak_complete_lattice
 by standard (auto intro: sup_exists inf_exists)
lemma complete_lattice_lattice [simp]:
  assumes "complete_lattice X"
 shows "lattice X"
proof -
 interpret c: complete_lattice X
    by (simp add: assms)
 show ?thesis
    by (unfold_locales)
qed
Introduction rule: the usual definition of complete lattice
lemma (in partial_order) complete_latticeI:
 assumes sup_exists:
    "!!A. [| A \subseteq carrier L |] ==> \exists s. least L s (Upper L A)"
    and inf_exists:
    "!!A. [| A \subseteq carrier L |] ==> \exists i. greatest L i (Lower L A)"
 shows "complete_lattice L"
 by standard (auto intro: sup_exists inf_exists)
theorem (in partial_order) complete_lattice_criterion1:
 assumes top exists: "∃g. greatest L g (carrier L)"
    and inf_exists:
      "!!A. [| A \subseteq carrier L; A \neq {} |] ==> \existsi. greatest L i (Lower L
A)"
 shows "complete_lattice L"
proof (rule complete_latticeI)
 from top_exists obtain top where top: "greatest L top (carrier L)"
..
 fix A
 assume L: "A ⊆ carrier L"
 let ?B = "Upper L A"
 from L top have "top ∈ ?B" by (fast intro!: Upper_memI intro: greatest_le)
 then have B_non_empty: "?B \neq {}" by fast
 have B_L: "?B ⊆ carrier L" by simp
  from inf_exists [OF B_L B_non_empty]
  obtain b where b_inf_B: "greatest L b (Lower L ?B)" ..
  then have bcarr: "b ∈ carrier L"
```

```
by blast
```

```
have "least L b (Upper L A)"
  proof (rule least_UpperI)
     \text{show } \sqrt[n]{x}. \; x \in A \implies x \subseteq b"
       by (meson L Lower_memI Upper_memD b_inf_B greatest_le rev_subsetD)
     \texttt{show }\texttt{"\bigwedge} y. y \in \texttt{Upper } L \ \mathtt{A} \implies \mathtt{b} \sqsubseteq y"by (auto elim: greatest_Lower_below [OF b_inf_B])
  qed (use L bcarr in auto)
  then show "∃ s. least L s (Upper L A)" ..
next
  fix A
  assume L: "A ⊆ carrier L"
  show "∃i. greatest L i (Lower L A)"
  proof (cases 'A = \{\}'')
    case True then show ?thesis
       by (simp add: top_exists)
  next
    case False with L show ?thesis
       by (rule inf_exists)
  qed
qed
4.5 Fixed points
context complete_lattice
begin
lemma LFP_unfold:
  "[ Mono f; f \in carrier L \rightarrow carrier L \parallel \implies LFP f = f (LFP f)"
  using eq_is_equal weak.LFP_weak_unfold by auto
lemma LFP_const:
  "t \in carrier L \implies LFP (\lambda x. t) = t"
  by (simp add: local.le_antisym weak.LFP_greatest weak.LFP_lowerbound)
lemma LFP_id:
  "LFP id = \perp"
  by (simp add: local.le_antisym weak.LFP_lowerbound)
lemma GFP_unfold:
  "[[ Mono f; f \in carrier L \rightarrow carrier L \parallel \Rightarrow GFP f = f (GFP f)"
  using eq_is_equal weak.GFP_weak_unfold by auto
lemma GFP_const:
  "t \in carrier L \implies GFP (\lambda \times . t) = t"
  by (simp add: local.le_antisym weak.GFP_least weak.GFP_upperbound)
lemma GFP_id:
```
"GFP id =  $\top$ "

**using** weak.GFP\_upperbound **by** auto

### **4.6 Interval complete lattices**

**end**

```
context weak_complete_lattice
begin
  lemma at_least_at_most_Sup: "[ \] a \in carrier L; b \in carrier L; a \sqsubseteq b
]] =⇒
F
{|a..b|} .= b"
    by (rule weak_le_antisym [OF sup_least sup_upper]) (auto simp add:
at_least_at_most_closed)
  lemma at least_at_most_Inf: "[ a \in carrier L; b \in carrier L; a \sqsubseteq b
] ⇒ ∏ {a..b} .= a"
    by (rule weak_le_antisym [OF inf_lower inf_greatest]) (auto simp add:
at_least_at_most_closed)
end
lemma weak_complete_lattice_interval:
  assumes "weak_complete_lattice L" "a ∈ carrier L" "b ∈ carrier L" "a
E_L b"
  shows "weak complete lattice (L (| carrier := \{a..b\}_L |)"
proof -
  interpret L: weak_complete_lattice L
    by (simp add: assms)
  interpret weak partial order "L \| carrier := \|a..b\|proof -
    have "{|a..b|<sub>L</sub> ⊆ carrier L"
      by (auto simp add: at_least_at_most_def)
    thus "weak_partial_order (L(\text{carrier} := \{a..b\}_L))"
      by (simp add: L.weak_partial_order_axioms weak_partial_order_subset)
  qed
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix A
    assume a: "A \subseteq \text{carrier } (L(\text{carrier } := {\{a..b\}}_L))"
    show "∃s. is_lub (L(\text{carrier} := \{a..b\}_L)) s A"
    proof (cases A = \{\}")
      case True
      thus ?thesis
        by (rule_tac x="a" in exI, auto simp add: least_def assms)
    next
      case False
      show ?thesis
      proof (intro exI least_UpperI, simp_all)
         show b:"\wedge x. x \in A \implies x \sqsubseteq_L \sqcup_L A"
```

```
using a by (auto intro: L.sup_upper, meson L.at_least_at_most_closed
L.sup_upper subset_trans)
           \texttt{show }\text{``}\bigwedge y. \; y \in \texttt{Upper } (\texttt{L}(\texttt{carrier } := \{\!\!\{\texttt{a..b}\!\!\} \mathtt{L}\!\!\}) \texttt{A} \Longrightarrow \texttt{\textcolor{red}{\sqcup}} \mathtt{L}\mathtt{A} \sqsubseteq_\texttt{L} y\texttt{''}using a L.at_least_at_most_closed by (rule_tac L.sup_least,
auto intro: funcset mem simp add: Upper def)
          from a show *: "A \subseteq \{a \cdot b\}L"
             by auto
           \texttt{show} "\bigsqcup_{\texttt{L}} A \in \{\texttt{a..b}\}_{\texttt{L}}"
          proof (rule_tac L.at_least_at_most_member)
             \texttt{show 1: "L|_LA \in carrier L"}by (meson L.at_least_at_most_closed L.sup_closed subset_trans
*)
             show "a \sqsubseteq_L \bigcup_L A"
                by (meson "*" False L.at_least_at_most_closed L.at_least_at_most_lower
L.le_trans L.sup_upper 1 all_not_in_conv assms(2) subsetD subset_trans)
             \text{show} "\bigsqcup_{\text{L}} A \subseteq_{\text{L}} b"
             proof (rule L.sup_least)
                \texttt{show "A} \subseteq \texttt{carrier L" "} \setminus \texttt{x. x} \in \texttt{A} \implies \texttt{x} \sqsubseteq_{\texttt{L}} \texttt{b"}using * L.at_least_at_most_closed by blast+
             qed (simp add: assms)
          qed
        qed
     qed
     show "∃s. is_glb (L(carrier := {a..b}_{L})) s A"
     proof (cases 'A = \{\}^n)
        case True
        thus ?thesis
          by (rule_tac x="b" in exI, auto simp add: greatest_def assms)
     next
        case False
        show ?thesis
        proof (rule_tac x="\bigcap_L A" in exI, rule greatest_LowerI, simp_all)
           show b:"\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \bigcap_{L} A \sqsubseteq_{L} x"
             using a L.at_least_at_most_closed by (force intro!: L.inf_lower)
           show "
V
y. y ∈ Lower (L(|carrier := {|a..b|}L|)) A =⇒ y vL
d
LA"
              using a L.at_least_at_most_closed by (rule_tac L.inf_greatest,
auto intro: funcset_carrier' simp add: Lower_def)
          from a show *: "A \subseteq \{a \cdot b\}_L"
             by auto
           \text{show } \mathsf{``} \square_{\text{L}} \text{A} \in \ \{\texttt{a..b}\}_{\text{L}} \mathsf{''}proof (rule_tac L.at_least_at_most_member)
             \texttt{show 1: "T_A \in carrier L"}by (meson "*" L.at_least_at_most_closed L.inf_closed subset_trans)
             show "a \sqsubseteq_L \bigcap_L A"
                by (meson "*" L.at_least_at_most_closed L.at_least_at_most_lower
L.inf_greatest assms(2) subsetD subset_trans)
             show "\bigcap_{L} A \subseteq_{L} b"
                by (meson * 1 False L.at_least_at_most_closed L.at_least_at_most_upper
L.inf_lower L.le_trans all_not_in_conv assms(3) subsetD subset_trans)
```

```
qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
```
# **4.7 Knaster-Tarski theorem and variants**

The set of fixed points of a complete lattice is itself a complete lattice

```
theorem Knaster_Tarski:
  assumes "weak complete lattice L" and f: "f \in carrier L \rightarrow carrier
L" and "isotone L L f"
  shows "weak_complete_lattice (fpl L f)" (is "weak_complete_lattice ?L'")
proof -
  interpret L: weak_complete_lattice L
    by (simp add: assms)
  interpret weak_partial_order ?L'
  proof -
    have "{x \in \text{carrier L. f x :=_L x}} \subseteq \text{carrier L"}by (auto)
    thus "weak_partial_order ?L'"
       by (simp add: L.weak_partial_order_axioms weak_partial_order_subset)
  qed
  show ?thesis
  proof (unfold_locales, simp_all)
    fix A
    assume A: "A ⊆ fps L f"
    show "∃ s. is_lub (fpl L f) s A"
    proof
       from A have AL: "A ⊆ carrier L"
         by (meson fps_carrier subset_eq)
       let \wedge w = "L A"have w: "f ([]<sub>L</sub>A) \in carrier L"by (rule funcset_mem[of f "carrier L"], simp_all add: AL assms(2))
       have pf_w: "(\bigsqcup_L A) \sqsubseteq_L f (\bigsqcup_L A)"by (simp add: A L.weak_sup_pre_fixed_point assms(2) assms(3))
       have f_top_chain: "f ' \{?w..\top_L\}_L \subseteq \{?w..\top_L\}_L"
       proof (auto simp add: at_least_at_most_def)
         fix x
         \text{assume b: "x } \in \text{ carrier L" "LLA } \sqsubseteq_L x"from b show fx: "f x ∈ carrier L"
           using assms(2) by blast
         \text{show} "\bigsqcup_{\text{L}} A \subseteq_{\text{L}} f x"
         proof -
           have "\text{'?w} \sqsubseteq_L f ?w"
           proof (rule_tac L.sup_least, simp_all add: AL w)
```

```
fix y
             assume c: "y ∈ A"
             hence y: "y \in fps L f"using A subsetCE by blast
             with assms have "y .-L f y"
             proof -
                from y have "y ∈ carrier L"
                  by (simp add: fps_def)
                moreover hence "f y ∈ carrier L"
                  by (rule_tac funcset_mem[of f "carrier L"], simp_all add:
assms)
                ultimately show ?thesis using y
                  by (rule_tac L.sym, simp_all add: L.use_fps)
             qed
              moreover have "y \subseteq_L \bigcup_L A"
               by (simp add: AL L.sup_upper c(1))
              ultimately show "y \sqsubseteq_L f (\sqcup_L A)"
               by (meson fps_def AL funcset_mem L.refl L.weak_complete_lattice_axioms
assms(2) assms(3) c(1) isotone_def rev_subsetD weak_complete_lattice.sup_closed
weak_partial_order.le_cong)
           qed
           thus ?thesis
             by (meson AL funcset_mem L.le_trans L.sup_closed assms(2)
assms(3) b(1) b(2) use_iso2)
         qed
         show "f x \sqsubset_L T_L"
           by (simp add: fx)
      qed
      let ?L' = "L( carrier := \{?w \cdot .\top_L\}_L )"
      interpret L': weak_complete_lattice ?L'
         by (auto intro: weak_complete_lattice_interval simp add: L.weak_complete_lattice_ax
AL)
      let ?L' = "L(| carrier := fps L f |)"
      show "is_lub ?L'' (LFP<sub>?L'</sub>, f) A"
      proof (rule least_UpperI, simp_all)
         fix x
         assume x: "x ∈ Upper ?L'' A"
         have "LFP<sub>?L</sub>, f \subseteq 2L, x''proof (rule L'.LFP_lowerbound, simp_all)
           \textbf{show } "x \in \{\!\!\{\blacksquare\texttt{LA}..\top\texttt{L}\!\!\} _\texttt{L} \texttt{''}using x by (auto simp add: Upper_def A AL L.at_least_at_most_member
L.sup least rev subsetD)
           with x show "f x \subseteq_L x"
             by (simp add: Upper_def) (meson L.at_least_at_most_closed
```

```
L.use_fps L.weak_refl subsetD f_top_chain imageI)
           qed
           thus " LFP_{?L}, f \sqsubseteq_L x"
              by (simp)
        next
           fix x
           assume xA: "x ∈ A"
           show "x \sqsubset_L LFP<sub>?L</sub>, f"
           proof -
              have "LFP?L' f ∈ carrier ?L'"
                 by blast
              thus ?thesis
                 by (simp, meson AL L.at_least_at_most_closed L.at_least_at_most_lower
L.le_trans L.sup_closed L.sup_upper xA subsetCE)
           qed
        next
           show "A ⊆ fps L f"
              by (simp add: A)
        next
           show "LFP<sub>?L</sub>' f \in fps L f''proof (auto simp add: fps_def)
              have "LFP?L' f ∈ carrier ?L'"
                 by (rule L'.LFP_closed)
              thus c:"LFP<sub>?L</sub>, f \in \text{carrier L"}by (auto simp add: at_least_at_most_def)
              have "LFP?L' f .-?L' f (LFP_{?L}' f)"
              proof (rule "L'.LFP weak unfold", simp all)
                  \text{have } \mathbb{Y} \wedge \mathbb{x}. \lbrack\! \lbrack \mathbb{x} \rbrack \in \text{carrier L}; \lbrack\! \lbrack\!by (meson AL funcset_mem L.le_trans L.sup_closed assms(2)
assms(3) pf_w use_iso2)
                  with f show "f \in \{\blacksquare |_{\text{L}}\text{A}..\top_{\text{L}}\}_{\text{L}} \rightarrow \{\blacksquare |_{\text{L}}\text{A}..\top_{\text{L}}\}_{\text{L}}"
                    by (auto simp add: Pi_def at_least_at_most_def)
                  \textbf{show} "Mono<sub>L(</sub>|carrier := \{\bigsqcup_l A_l \ldots \top_L\}_{L} \in \mathbb{F}^musing L'.weak_partial_order_axioms assms(3)
                    by (auto simp add: isotone_def) (meson L.at_least_at_most_closed
subsetCE)
              qed
              thus "f (LFP_{7L}, f) .=<sub>L</sub> LFP_{7L}, f''by (simp add: L.equivalence_axioms funcset_carrier' c assms(2)
equivalence.sym)
           qed
        qed
     qed
     show "∃ i. is_glb (L(|carrier := fps L f|)) i A"
     proof
        from A have AL: "A ⊆ carrier L"
           by (meson fps_carrier subset_eq)
         let \t?w = "T_L A"
```

```
have w: "f (\bigcap_{L} A) \in carrier L"by (simp add: AL funcset_carrier' assms(2))
        have pf_w: "f (\bigcap_L A) \sqsubseteq_L (\bigcap_L A)"
          by (simp add: A L.weak_sup_post_fixed_point assms(2) assms(3))
       have f_bot_chain: "f ' \{\bot_L..\cdot ?w\}_L \subseteq \{\bot_L..\cdot ?w\}_L"
       proof (auto simp add: at least at most def)
          fix x
          \text{assume } b: "x \in \text{carrier L" "x } \sqsubseteq_L \bigcap_L A''from b show fx: "f x ∈ carrier L"
            using assms(2) by blast
          show "f x \sqsubseteq_L \bigcap_L A''proof -
            have "f ?w E_L ?w"
            proof (rule_tac L.inf_greatest, simp_all add: AL w)
               fix y
               assume c: "y \in A"
               with assms have "y .=L f y"
                  by (metis (no_types, lifting) A funcset_carrier'[OF assms(2)]
L.sym fps_def mem_Collect_eq subset_eq)
                moreover have "\bigcap_{L} A \subseteq_L y"
                  by (simp add: AL L.inf_lower c)
                ultimately show "f (\bigcap_{L} A) \sqsubseteq_{L} y"
                  by (meson AL L.inf_closed L.le_trans c pf_w rev_subsetD
w)
            qed
            thus ?thesis
               by (meson AL L.inf_closed L.le_trans assms(3) b(1) b(2) fx
use_iso2 w)
          qed
          show "⊥<sub>L</sub> ⊆<sub>L</sub> f x"by (simp add: fx)
       qed
       let ?L' = "L\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{carrier} \\ \text{corner} \end{array} \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \pm \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right\}interpret L': weak_complete_lattice ?L'
          by (auto intro!: weak_complete_lattice_interval simp add: L.weak_complete_lattice_a
AL)
       let ?L' = "L(\int carrier := fps L f \int"
       show "is glb ?L'' (GFP_{7L}, f) A"
       proof (rule greatest_LowerI, simp_all)
          fix x
          assume "x ∈ Lower ?L'' A"
          then have x: "\forall y. y \in A \land y \in fps L f \longrightarrow x \sqsubseteq_L y'' "x \in fpsL f"
```

```
by (auto simp add: Lower_def)
           have "x \sqsubseteq_{?L}, GFP<sub>?L</sub>, f"
             unfolding Lower_def
           proof (rule_tac L'.GFP_upperbound; simp)
              \texttt{show} "\texttt{x} \in \{\perp_L . . \sqcap_L A\}L"
                by (meson x A AL L.at_least_at_most_member L.bottom_lower
L.inf greatest contra subsetD fps carrier)
             show "x \sqsubset_L f x"
                using x by (simp add: funcset_carrier' L.sym assms(2) fps_def)
           qed
           thus "x \sqsubseteq_L GFP_{?L}, f"
             by (simp)
        next
           fix x
           assume xA: "x ∈ A"
           show "GFP<sub>?L</sub>, f \sqsubseteq_L x"
           proof -
             have "GFP?L' f ∈ carrier ?L'"
                by blast
             thus ?thesis
                by (simp, meson AL L.at_least_at_most_closed L.at_least_at_most_upper
L.inf_closed L.inf_lower L.le_trans subsetCE xA)
           qed
        next
           show "A \subseteq fps L f"
             by (simp add: A)
        next
           show "GFP_{7L}, f \in fps L f"
           proof (auto simp add: fps_def)
             have "GFP?L' f ∈ carrier ?L'"
                by (rule L'.GFP_closed)
             thus c: "GFP<sub>?L</sub>, f \in carrier L"
                  by (auto simp add: at_least_at_most_def)
             have "GFP?L' f .=?L' f (GFP?L' f)"
             proof (rule "L'.GFP_weak_unfold", simp_all)
                 \text{have } \forall \lambda \text{ } x \in \mathbb{C} \text{ a} arrier L; x \sqsubseteq_L \bigcap_L A \big] \Longrightarrow f \ x \sqsubseteq_L \bigcap_L A"
                   by (meson AL funcset_carrier L.inf_closed L.le_trans assms(2)
assms(3) pf_w use_iso2)
                with assms(2) show "f \in \{\perp_L..\, ?w\}_{\perp} \rightarrow \{\perp_L..\, ?w\}_{\perp}"
                   by (auto simp add: Pi_def at_least_at_most_def)
                 \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{"\(\times\ y.\ [\![x \in {\{\bot_{\text{L}}\}}\ldots{\top_{\text{L}}\mathtt{A}}]_{\text{L}};\ y \in {\{\bot_{\text{L}}\}}\ldots{\top_{\text{L}}\mathtt{A}}]_{\text{L}};\ x \sqsubseteq_{\text{L}} y {\rrbracket} \impliesf x \sqsubseteq_L f y"
                   by (meson L.at_least_at_most_closed subsetD use_iso1 assms(3))
                with L'.weak_partial_order_axioms show "Mono<sub>L(carrier := \{\bot_L..\cdot?w\}_L)</sub>
f"
                   by (auto simp add: isotone_def)
             qed
             thus "f (GFP<sub>?L</sub>, f) :=<sub>L</sub> GFP<sub>?L</sub>, f"
```

```
by (simp add: L.equivalence_axioms funcset_carrier' c assms(2)
equivalence.sym)
         qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
theorem Knaster_Tarski_top:
  assumes "weak_complete_lattice L" "isotone L L f" "f \in carrier L \rightarrowcarrier L"
  shows "\top_{\text{fpl L f}} .=L GFP<sub>L</sub> f"
proof -
  interpret L: weak_complete_lattice L
    by (simp add: assms)
  interpret L': weak_complete_lattice "fpl L f"
    by (rule Knaster_Tarski, simp_all add: assms)
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule L.weak_le_antisym, simp_all)
    show T_{\text{fpl L f}} \subseteq_L \text{GFP}_L f''by (rule L.GFP_greatest_fixed_point, simp_all add: assms L'.top_closed[simplified])
    show "GFP<sub>L</sub> f \sqsubseteq_L \top_{\text{fpl L f}}"
    proof -
      have "GFPL f ∈ fps L f"
         by (rule L.GFP_fixed_point, simp_all add: assms)
      hence "GFP<sub>L</sub> f \in \text{carrier (fpl L f)}"
         by simp
      hence "GFP<sub>L</sub> f \subseteq f_{p1} L f \top_{fp1} L f"
         by (rule L'.top_higher)
      thus ?thesis
         by simp
    qed
    show "\top_{\text{fpl L f}} \in \text{carrier L"}proof -
      have "carrier (fpl L f) ⊆ carrier L"
         by (auto simp add: fps_def)
      with L'.top_closed show ?thesis
         by blast
    qed
  qed
qed
theorem Knaster_Tarski_bottom:
  assumes "weak_complete_lattice L" "isotone L L f" "f ∈ carrier L →
carrier L"
  shows "⊥fpl L f .=L LFPL f"
proof -
  interpret L: weak_complete_lattice L
    by (simp add: assms)
```
```
interpret L': weak_complete_lattice "fpl L f"
    by (rule Knaster_Tarski, simp_all add: assms)
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule L.weak_le_antisym, simp_all)
    show "LFP<sub>L</sub> f \sqsubseteq_L \perp_{\text{fpl}} L f"
      by (rule L.LFP_least_fixed_point, simp_all add: assms L'.bottom_closed[simplified])
    show "\perp_{fp1} L f \sqsubseteq_L LFP<sub>L</sub> f"
    proof -
      have "LFP<sub>L</sub> f \in fps L f"
         by (rule L.LFP_fixed_point, simp_all add: assms)
      hence "LFPL f ∈ carrier (fpl L f)"
         by simp
      hence " \perp_{fp1} L f \sqsubseteq_{fp1} L f LFP_L f"by (rule L'.bottom_lower)
      thus ?thesis
         by simp
    qed
    show "⊥fpl L f ∈ carrier L"
    proof -
      have "carrier (fpl L f) ⊆ carrier L"
         by (auto simp add: fps_def)
      with L'.bottom_closed show ?thesis
         by blast
    qed
  qed
qed
```
If a function is both idempotent and isotone then the image of the function forms a complete lattice

```
theorem Knaster_Tarski_idem:
  assumes "complete lattice L" "f \in carrier L \rightarrow carrier L" "isotone
L L f" "idempotent L f"
 shows "complete lattice (L(|c_1|carrier := f ' carrier L))"
proof -
  interpret L: complete_lattice L
    by (simp add: assms)
 have "fps L f = f ' carrier L"
    using L.weak.fps_idem[OF assms(2) assms(4)]
    by (simp add: L.set_eq_is_eq)
  then interpret L': weak_complete_lattice "(L(|carrier := f ' carrier
L))"
   by (metis Knaster_Tarski L.weak.weak_complete_lattice_axioms assms(2)
assms(3)show ?thesis
    using L'.sup_exists L'.inf_exists
    by (unfold_locales, auto simp add: L.eq_is_equal)
qed
```
**theorem** Knaster\_Tarski\_idem\_extremes:

```
assumes "weak_complete_lattice L" "isotone L L f" "idempotent L f"
"f \in carrier L \rightarrow carrier L"
  shows "\top_{\text{fpl}} L f \cdot=L f (\top_L)" "\bot_{\text{fpl}} L f \cdot=L f (\bot_L)"
proof -
  interpret L: weak_complete_lattice "L"
    by (simp_all add: assms)
  interpret L': weak_complete_lattice "fpl L f"
    by (rule Knaster_Tarski, simp_all add: assms)
  have FA: "fps L f ⊆ carrier L"
    by (auto simp add: fps_def)
  show "\top_{\text{fpl L f}} .=L f (\top_L)"
  proof -
    from FA have "\top_{\text{fpl L f}} \in carrier L"
    proof -
      have T_{fp1} L f \in fps L f"
         using L'.top_closed by auto
      thus ?thesis
         using FA by blast
    qed
    moreover with assms have "f \top_L \in \text{carrier L"}by (auto)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using L.trans[OF Knaster_Tarski_top[of L f] L.GFP_idem[of f]]
      by (simp_all add: assms)
  qed
  show "\perp_{fp1} L f \cdot=L f (\perp_L)"
  proof -
    from FA have "⊥fpl L f ∈ carrier L"
    proof -
      have "\perp_{fp1} L f \in fps L f"using L'.bottom_closed by auto
      thus ?thesis
         using FA by blast
    qed
    moreover with assms have "f \perp_L \in carrier L"
      by (auto)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using L.trans[OF Knaster_Tarski_bottom[of L f] L.LFP_idem[of f]]
      by (simp_all add: assms)
  qed
qed
theorem Knaster_Tarski_idem_inf_eq:
  assumes "weak_complete_lattice L" "isotone L L f" "idempotent L f"
"f \in carrier L \rightarrow carrier L"
           "A ⊆ fps L f"
  shows "\sqcap_{fp1 \ L f} A \cdot =_{L} f (\sqcap_{L} A)"
```

```
proof -
  interpret L: weak_complete_lattice "L"
     by (simp_all add: assms)
  interpret L': weak_complete_lattice "fpl L f"
     by (rule Knaster_Tarski, simp_all add: assms)
  have FA: "fps L f ⊆ carrier L"
     by (auto simp add: fps_def)
  have A: "A ⊆ carrier L"
     using FA assms(5) by blast
   have fA: "f (\bigcap_{L} A) \in fps L f"by (metis (no_types, lifting) A L.idempotent L.inf_closed PiE assms(3)
assms(4) fps_def mem_Collect_eq)
   have \; \texttt{infA:} \; \texttt{``} \textcolor{red}{\bigcap_{fp\!1}} \; \texttt{L} \; \texttt{fA} \, \in \; \texttt{fps} \; \texttt{L} \; \texttt{f''}by (rule L'.inf_closed[simplified], simp add: assms)
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule L.weak_le_antisym)
     \textbf{show} ic: "\Box f\texttt{h} \texttt{L} f\texttt{A} \in carrier \texttt{L}"
        using FA infA by blast
     \textbf{show} fc: "f (\bigcap_{L} A) \in \textbf{carrier L"}using FA fA by blast
     show "f (\bigcap_{L} A) \sqsubseteq_{L} \bigcap_{f \upharpoonright L} L f A"
     proof -
        have \sqrt[m]{x}. x \in A \implies f \cdot (\bigcap_{L} A) \subseteq_{L} x''by (meson A FA L.inf_closed L.inf_lower L.le_trans L.weak_sup_post_fixed_point
assms(2) assms(4) assms(5) fA subsetCE)
        hence "f (\sqcap_L A) \sqsubseteq_{fp1} L f \sqcap_{fp1} L fA"by (rule tac L'.inf greatest, simp all add: fA assms(3,5))
        thus ?thesis
           by (simp)
     qed
     \| \text{show } \|\text{for } L \text{ if } \text{in } (\text{not } \text{in } \mathbb{R}) \|proof -
        \texttt{have } * \colon \, \texttt{``} \textcolor{black}{\bigcap_{\texttt{fpl L}} \, \texttt{fA}} \, \in \, \texttt{carrier L''}using FA infA by blast
        \text{have } " \wedge x \cdot x \in A \implies \bigcap \{p1 \text{ L } fA \sqsubseteq \{p1 \text{ L } f \mid x \in A\}by (rule L'.inf_lower, simp_all add: assms)
        hence \top_{fp1 L fA} \sqsubseteq_L (\top_L A)by (rule_tac L.inf_greatest, simp_all add: A *)
        hence 1: "f(\bigcap_{fp1} L_fA) \sqsubseteq_L f(\bigcap_L A)"by (metis (no_types, lifting) A FA L.inf_closed assms(2) infA
subsetCE use_iso1)
        have 2:"\bigcap_{fp1} L fA \sqsubseteq_L f (\bigcap_{fp1} L fA)"
           by (metis (no_types, lifting) FA L.sym L.use_fps L.weak_complete_lattice_axioms
PiE assms(4) infA subsetCE weak_complete_lattice_def weak_partial_order.weak_refl)
        show ?thesis
           using FA fA infA by (auto intro!: L.le_trans[OF 2 1] ic fc, metis
FA PiE assms(4) subsetCE)
     qed
  qed
```
#### **4.8 Examples**

# **4.8.1 The Powerset of a Set is a Complete Lattice**

```
theorem powerset_is_complete_lattice:
  "complete_lattice \{ \text{carrier} = \text{Pow A}, \text{ eq} = (=), \text{ le } = (\subseteq)\}"
  (is "complete_lattice ?L")
proof (rule partial order.complete latticeI)
  show "partial_order ?L"
    by standard auto
next
  fix B
  assume "B ⊆ carrier ?L"
  then have "least ?L (U B) (Upper ?L B)"
    by (fastforce intro!: least_UpperI simp: Upper_def)
  then show "∃ s. least ?L s (Upper ?L B)" ..
next
  fix B
  assume "B ⊆ carrier ?L"
  then have "greatest ?L (\cap B \cap A) (Lower ?L B)"
```
 $\bigcap$  B is not the infimum of B:  $\bigcap$  {} = UNIV which is in general bigger than A!

```
by (fastforce intro!: greatest_LowerI simp: Lower_def)
  then show "∃ i. greatest ?L i (Lower ?L B)" ..
qed
```
Another example, that of the lattice of subgroups of a group, can be found in Group theory (Section [6.11\)](#page-120-0).

## **4.9 Limit preserving functions**

```
definition weak_sup_pres :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme ⇒ ('b, 'd) gorder_scheme
⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
"weak_sup_pres X Y f \equiv complete_lattice X \land complete_lattice Y \land (\forall A
\subseteq carrier X. A \neq {} \longrightarrow f (\bigsqcup_{X} A) = (\bigsqcup_{Y} (f ' A)))"
definition sup pres :: "('a, 'c) gorder scheme \Rightarrow ('b, 'd) gorder scheme
⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
"sup pres X Y f \equiv complete lattice X \land complete lattice Y \land (\forall A \subseteq carrier
X. f (|X A) = (|Y (f (A)))"
definition weak_inf_pres :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme ⇒ ('b, 'd) gorder_scheme
⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
"weak_inf_pres X Y f \equiv complete_lattice X \land complete_lattice Y \land (\forall A
\subseteq carrier X. A \neq {} \longrightarrow f (\bigcap \chi A) = (\bigcap \gamma (f \lq A)))"
definition inf_pres :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow ('b, 'd) gorder_scheme
⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
```
76

# **qed**

```
"inf_pres X Y f \equiv complete_lattice X \land complete_lattice Y \land (\forall A \subseteq carrier
X. f \left( \bigcap_{X} A \right) = \left( \bigcap_{Y} (f' \cap A) \right)"
lemma weak_sup_pres:
  "sup_pres X Y f \implies weak_sup_pres X Y f''by (simp add: sup_pres_def weak_sup_pres_def)
lemma weak_inf_pres:
  "inf_pres X Y f \implies weak_inf_pres X Y f"
  by (simp add: inf_pres_def weak_inf_pres_def)
lemma sup_pres_is_join_pres:
  assumes "weak_sup_pres X Y f"
  shows "join_pres X Y f"
  using assms by (auto simp: join_pres_def weak_sup_pres_def join_def)
lemma inf_pres_is_meet_pres:
  assumes "weak_inf_pres X Y f"
  shows "meet_pres X Y f"
  using assms by (auto simp: meet_pres_def weak_inf_pres_def meet_def)
```
**end**

```
theory Galois_Connection
 imports Complete_Lattice
begin
```
# **5 Galois connections**

# **5.1 Definition and basic properties**

```
record ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) galcon =
  orderA :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme" ("\chi<sup>'</sup>")
  orderB :: "('b, 'd) gorder_scheme" ("Yı ")
  lower :: "'a \Rightarrow 'b" ("\pi^* \iota")
  upper :: "'b \Rightarrow 'a" ("\pi_* \iota")
type synonym ('a, 'b) galois = "('a, 'b, unit, unit) galcon"
abbreviation "inv_galcon G \equiv (| orderA = inv_gorder \mathcal{Y}_G, orderB = inv_gorder
\mathcal{X}_{G}, lower = upper G, upper = lower G |"
definition comp_galcon :: "('b, 'c) galois \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) galois \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)
galois" (infixr "o<sub>q</sub>" 85)
  where "G \circ_q F = \parallel orderA = orderA F, orderB = orderB G, lower = lower
G \circ lower F, upper = upper F \circ upper G \parallel"
definition id_galcon :: "'a gorder \Rightarrow ('a, 'a) galois" ("I<sub>a</sub>") where
```
"I<sub>g</sub>(A) =  $\langle \rangle$  orderA = A, orderB = A, lower = id, upper = id  $\rangle$ "

## **5.2 Well-typed connections**

```
locale connection =
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes is order A: "partial order \mathcal{X}"
  and is order B: "partial order \mathcal{Y}"
   \quad lower_closure: "\pi^* \in carrier \mathcal{X} \to carrier \mathcal{Y}"
  and upper_closure: "\pi_* \in carrier \mathcal{Y} \to carrier \mathcal{X}"
begin
   {\rm lemma\,\, lower\_closed}\colon "{\rm x}\,\in\, {\rm carrier}\,\,{\mathcal X} \implies \pi^*\,\,{\rm x}\,\in\, {\rm carrier}\,\,{\mathcal Y}"
     using lower_closure by auto
  lemma upper_closed: "y ∈ carrier \mathcal{Y} \implies \pi_* y ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}"
     using upper_closure by auto
```

```
end
```
# **5.3 Galois connections**

```
locale galois_connection = connection +
    \text{assumes galaxies\_property: } "\; [x \in \text{carrier} \; \mathcal{X}; \; y \in \text{carrier} \; \mathcal{Y}]\implies \pi^* \; x\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{V}} y \longleftrightarrow x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* y"
begin
   lemma is_weak_order_A: "weak_partial_order \mathcal{X}"
   proof -
      interpret po: partial_order X
          by (metis is_order_A)
      show ?thesis ..
   qed
   lemma is_weak_order_B: "weak_partial_order Y"
   proof -
      interpret po: partial_order Y
          by (metis is_order_B)
      show ?thesis ..
   qed
    \text{lemma right: } \P[x \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}; \ y \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{Y}; \ \pi^* \ x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y] \implies x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}}\pi_* y"
       by (metis galois_property)
    \text{lemma } \text{ left}: \; \texttt{``}\llbracket \texttt{x} \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}; \; \texttt{y} \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{Y}; \; \texttt{x} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* \; \texttt{y} \rrbracket \implies \pi^* \; \texttt{x}\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y"
       by (metis galois_property)
    lemma deflation: "y ∈ carrier \mathcal{Y} \implies \pi^* (\pi_* y) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y"
```

```
by (metis Pi_iff is_weak_order_A left upper_closure weak_partial_order.le_refl)
  lemma inflation: "\mathbf{x} \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X} \implies \mathbf{x} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* (\pi^* \mathbf{x})"
     by (metis (no_types, lifting) PiE galois_connection.right galois_connection_axioms
is_weak_order_B lower_closure weak_partial_order.le_refl)
  lemma lower_iso: "isotone \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y} \pi^*"
  proof (auto simp add:isotone def)
     show "weak_partial_order \mathcal{X}"
       by (metis is_weak_order_A)
    show "weak_partial_order Y"
       by (metis is_weak_order_B)
     fix x y
     assume a: "x ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}" "y ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}" "x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} y"
     have b: "\pi^* y \in carrier \mathcal{Y}"
       using a(2) lower_closure by blast
     then have "\pi_* (\pi^* y) \in carrier \mathcal{X}"
       using upper_closure by blast
     then have "\mathbf{x} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \pi_* (\pi^* y)"
       by (meson a inflation is_weak_order_A weak_partial_order.le_trans)
     thus "\pi^* x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} \pi^* y"
       by (meson b a(1) Pi_iff galois_property lower_closure upper_closure)
  qed
  lemma upper_iso: "isotone \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{X} \pi_*"
     apply (auto simp add:isotone def)
     apply (metis is weak order B)
     apply (metis is_weak_order_A)
     apply (metis (no_types, lifting) Pi_mem deflation is_weak_order_B
lower_closure right upper_closure weak_partial_order.le_trans)
  done
  lemma lower_comp: "x \in carrier \mathcal{X} \implies \pi^* (\pi_* (\pi^* x)) = \pi^* x"
     by (meson deflation funcset_mem inflation is_order_B lower_closure
lower_iso partial_order.le_antisym upper_closure use_iso2)
  lemma lower_comp': "x \in carrier \mathcal{X} \implies (\pi^* \circ \pi_* \circ \pi^*) \times \pi^* \times \pi^*by (simp add: lower_comp)
  lemma upper_comp: "y \in carrier \mathcal{Y} \implies \pi_* (\pi^* (\pi_* y)) = \pi_* y"
  proof -
     assume a1: "y \in carrier \mathcal{Y}"
     hence f1: "\pi_* y ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}" using upper_closure by blast
     have f2: "\pi^* (\pi_* y) \sqsubseteq y y" using a1 deflation by blast
     have f3: "\pi_* (\pi^* (\pi_* y)) ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}"
       using f1 lower_closure upper_closure by auto
     have "π
∗ (π∗ y) ∈ carrier Y" using f1 lower_closure by blast
     thus "\pi_* (\pi^* (\pi_* y)) = \pi_* y"
       by (meson a1 f1 f2 f3 inflation is_order_A partial_order.le_antisym
```

```
upper_iso use_iso2)
  qed
   lemma upper_comp': "y \in carrier \mathcal{Y} \implies (\pi_* \circ \pi^* \circ \pi_*) y = \pi_* y"
     by (simp add: upper_comp)
   lemma adjoint_idem1: "idempotent \mathcal{Y} (\pi^* o \pi_*)"
     by (simp add: idempotent_def is_order_B partial_order.eq_is_equal
upper_comp)
   lemma adjoint_idem2: "idempotent \mathcal{X} (\pi_* \circ \pi^*)"
     by (simp add: idempotent_def is_order_A partial_order.eq_is_equal
lower_comp)
   lemma fg_iso: "isotone \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{Y} (\pi^* \circ \pi_*)"
     by (metis iso_compose lower_closure lower_iso upper_closure upper_iso)
   lemma gf_iso: "isotone \mathcal{X} \mathcal{X} (\pi_* \circ \pi^*)"
     by (metis iso_compose lower_closure lower_iso upper_closure upper_iso)
   lemma semi_inverse1: "x \in carrier \mathcal{X} \implies \pi^* x = \pi^* (\pi_* (\pi^* x))"
     by (metis lower_comp)
   lemma semi_inverse2: "x \in carrier \mathcal{Y} \implies \pi_* x = \pi_* (\pi^* (\pi_* x))"
     by (metis upper_comp)
  theorem lower by complete lattice:
     assumes "complete lattice \mathcal{V}" "x ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}"
      shows "\pi^*(x) = \bigcap y { y ∈ carrier Y. x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_*(y) }"
  proof -
     interpret Y: complete_lattice Y
        by (simp add: assms)
     show ?thesis
     proof (rule Y.le_antisym)
         show x: "\pi^* x \in carrier \mathcal{Y}"
           using assms(2) lower_closure by blast
         show "\pi^* x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} \bigcap_{\mathcal{Y}} \{y \in \text{ carrier } \mathcal{Y}. x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* y}"
        proof (rule Y.weak.inf_greatest)
           show "{y ∈ carrier \mathcal{Y}. x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* y} \subseteq carrier \mathcal{Y}"
              by auto
           show \pi^* x \in carrier \mathcal{Y}<sup>"</sup> by (fact x)
           fix z
           assume "z ∈ {y ∈ carrier \mathcal{Y}. x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* y}"
           thus "\pi^* x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} z"
              using assms(2) left by auto
        qed
         \mathbf{show} "\bigcap \mathcal{Y} \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{carrier} \ \mathcal{Y}. \ \mathbf{x} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* \ \mathbf{y} \} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} \pi^* \ \mathbf{x}"
        proof (rule Y.weak.inf_lower)
```

```
show "{y ∈ carrier \mathcal{Y}. x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* y} \subseteq carrier \mathcal{Y}"
              by auto
           show "\pi^* x \in {y \in carrier \mathcal{Y}. x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* y}"
          proof (auto)
              show \pi^* x \in carrier \mathcal{Y}<sup>"</sup> by (fact x)
              show "\mathbf{x} \subseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* (\pi^* \mathbf{x})"
                 using assms(2) inflation by blast
          qed
       qed
       \text{show } \P \bigcap \mathcal{y} \{ \text{y} \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{Y}. \ \text{x} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* \ \text{y} \} \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{Y}''by (auto intro: Y.weak.inf_closed)
   qed
qed
theorem upper_by_complete_lattice:
   assumes "complete_lattice \mathcal{X}" "y \in carrier \mathcal{Y}"
    shows "\pi_*(y) = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}. \pi^*(x) \subseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y }"
proof -
   interpret X: complete_lattice X
       by (simp add: assms)
   show ?thesis
   proof (rule X.le_antisym)
       show y: "\pi_* y ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}"
          using assms(2) upper_closure by blast
       show "\pi_* y \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \sqcup_{\mathcal{X}}{x \in carrier \mathcal{X}. \pi^* x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y}"
       proof (rule X.weak.sup_upper)
           show "\{x \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}. \ \pi^* \ x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y\} \subseteq \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}"
              by auto
           show "\pi_* y \in {x \in carrier \mathcal{X}. \pi^* x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y}"
          proof (auto)
              show "\pi_* y ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}" by (fact y)
              show "\pi^* (\pi_* y) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y"
                 by (simp add: assms(2) deflation)
          qed
       qed
       \text{show } \|\bigcup_{\mathcal{X}} \{x \in \text{ carrier } \mathcal{X}. \ \pi^* \ x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y\} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* \ y"proof (rule X.weak.sup_least)
           show "\{x \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}. \ \pi^* \ x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y\} \subseteq \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}"
              by auto
          show "\pi_* y ∈ carrier \mathcal{X}" by (fact y)
          fix z
           \text{assume} "z \in {x \in carrier \mathcal{X}. \pi^* x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y}"
          thus "z \sqsubseteq \chi \pi* y"
              by (simp add: assms(2) right)
       qed
       show "\bigsqcup_{\mathcal{X}} \{x \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}. \ \pi^* \ x \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} y\} \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}"
        by (auto intro: X.weak.sup_closed)
   qed
qed
```

```
lemma dual galois [simp]: " galois connection (| orderA = inv gorder B,
orderB = inv_gorder A, lower = f, upper = g |)
                           = galois_connection ( orderA = A, orderB = B,
lower = g, upper = f \|"
 by (auto simp add: galois connection def galois connection axioms def
connection_def dual_order_iff)
definition lower_adjoint :: "('a, 'c) gorder_scheme \Rightarrow ('b, 'd) gorder_scheme
⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
 "lower_adjoint A B f \equiv \exists g. galois_connection (| orderA = A, orderB =
B, lower = f, upper = g \nvert \nvert"
definition upper adjoint :: "('a, 'c) gorder scheme \Rightarrow ('b, 'd) gorder scheme
⇒ ('b ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool" where
 "upper_adjoint A B g \equiv \exists f. galois_connection (| orderA = A, orderB =
B, lower = f, upper = g |"
lemma lower_adjoint_dual [simp]: "lower_adjoint (inv_gorder A) (inv_gorder
B) f = upper_adjoint B A f"
 by (simp add: lower_adjoint_def upper_adjoint_def)
lemma upper_adjoint_dual [simp]: "upper_adjoint (inv_gorder A) (inv_gorder
B) f = lower adjoint B A f''by (simp add: lower_adjoint_def upper_adjoint_def)
lemma lower type: "lower adjoint A B f \implies f \in carrier A \rightarrow carrier
B"
 by (auto simp add:lower_adjoint_def galois_connection_def galois_connection_axioms_def
connection_def)
lemma upper_type: "upper_adjoint A B g \implies g \in carrier B \rightarrow carrier
A"
 by (auto simp add:upper_adjoint_def galois_connection_def galois_connection_axioms_def
```
## **5.4 Composition of Galois connections**

connection\_def)

**end**

**lemma** id\_galois: "partial\_order  $A \implies$  galois\_connection  $(I_q(A))$ " **by** (simp add: id\_galcon\_def galois\_connection\_def galois\_connection\_axioms\_def connection\_def) **lemma** comp\_galcon\_closed: **assumes** "galois\_connection G" "galois\_connection F" " $\mathcal{Y}_F = \mathcal{X}_G$ " **shows** "galois\_connection (G  $\circ_q$  F)" **proof interpret** F: galois\_connection F

```
by (simp add: assms)
  interpret G: galois_connection G
     by (simp add: assms)
  have "partial_order \mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{G}} <sub>o</sub><sub>g</sub> F"
     by (simp add: F.is_order_A comp_galcon_def)
  moreover have "partial_order \mathcal{Y}_{G \circ g} F"
     by (simp add: G.is_order_B comp_galcon_def)
   moreover have "\pi^*_{\mathsf{G}} \circ \pi^*_{\mathsf{F}} \in carrier \mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{F}} \to carrier \mathcal{Y}_{\mathsf{G}}"
     using F.lower_closure G.lower_closure assms(3) by auto
  moreover have "\pi_{*F} \circ \pi_{*G} \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{Y}_G \to \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}_F"
     using F.upper_closure G.upper_closure assms(3) by auto
  moreover
   \mathtt{have}\, "\wedge x y. \llbracket \mathtt{x}\, \in carrier \mathcal{X}_{\mathtt{F}};\, y \in carrier \mathcal{Y}_{\mathtt{G}}\parallel \implies(\pi^*_{\mathsf{G}} (\pi^*_{\mathsf{F}} \mathbf{x}) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathsf{G}}} \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{x} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{F}}} \pi_{* \mathsf{F}} (\pi_{* \mathsf{G}} \mathbf{y}))"
     by (metis F.galois_property F.lower_closure G.galois_property G.upper_closure
assms(3) Pi_iff)
  ultimately show ?thesis
     by (simp add: comp_galcon_def galois_connection_def galois_connection_axioms_def
connection_def)
qed
lemma comp_galcon_right_unit [simp]: "F \circ_q I<sub>q</sub>(\mathcal{X}_F) = F"
  by (simp add: comp_galcon_def id_galcon_def)
lemma comp galcon left unit [simp]: "I_g(\mathcal{Y}_F) \circ_g F = F"
  by (simp add: comp_galcon_def id_galcon_def)
lemma galois_connectionI:
  assumes
     "partial_order A" "partial_order B"
     "L \in carrier A \rightarrow carrier B" "R \in carrier B \rightarrow carrier A"
     "isotone A B L" "isotone B A R"
      {}^{\shortparallel}\mathsf{\Lambda} x y. [ x \in carrier A; y \in carrier B ] \implies L x \sqsubseteq_{\mathsf{B}} y \longleftrightarrow x \sqsubseteq_{\mathsf{A}} R
y"
  shows "galois_connection \parallel orderA = A, orderB = B, lower = L, upper
= R |)"
  using assms by (simp add: galois_connection_def connection_def galois_connection_axioms_def)
lemma galois_connectionI':
  assumes
     "partial_order A" "partial_order B"
     "L ∈ carrier A \rightarrow carrier B" "R ∈ carrier B \rightarrow carrier A"
     "isotone A B L" "isotone B A R"
      "\bigwedge X. X \in carrier(B) \implies L(R(X)) \sqsubseteq_B X""\bigwedge X. X \in carrier(A) \implies X \sqsubseteq_A R(L(X))"
  shows "galois_connection (| orderA = A, orderB = B, lower = L, upper
= R. \mathbb{N}"
  using assms
```
**by** (auto simp add: galois\_connection\_def connection\_def galois\_connection\_axioms\_def, (meson PiE isotone\_def weak\_partial\_order.le\_trans)+)

# **5.5 Retracts**

```
locale retract = galois_connection +
  assumes retract_property: "x \in carrier \mathcal{X} \implies \pi_* (\pi^* x) \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{X}} x"
begin
  lemma retract_inverse: "x \in carrier \mathcal{X} \implies \pi_* (\pi^* x) = x"
     by (meson funcset_mem inflation is_order_A lower_closure partial_order.le_antisym
retract_axioms retract_axioms_def retract_def upper_closure)
  {\bf lemma \;\; retract\_injective:} "{\bf inj\_on} \pi^* (carrier \mathcal{X})"
     by (metis inj_onI retract_inverse)
end
theorem comp_retract_closed:
  assumes "retract G" "retract F" "\mathcal{Y}_F = \mathcal{X}_G"
  shows "retract (G \circ_q F)"
proof -
  interpret f: retract F
     by (simp add: assms)
  interpret g: retract G
     by (simp add: assms)
  interpret gf: galois_connection "(G \circ_q F)"
     by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) comp_galcon_closed retract.axioms(1))
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix x
     assume "x \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{G} \circ \circ_{\alpha} \mathsf{F}}"
     thus "le \mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{G}\circ_{g}F} (\pi_*_{\mathsf{G}\circ_{g}F} (\pi^*_{\mathsf{G}\circ_{g}F} x)) x"
       using assms(3) f.inflation f.lower_closed f.retract_inverse g.retract_inverse
by (auto simp add: comp_galcon_def)
  qed
qed
```
## **5.6 Coretracts**

```
locale coretract = galois connection +
  \text{assumes correct\_property: "y } \in \text{ carrier } \mathcal{Y} \implies y \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{Y}} \pi^* \ (\pi_* \ y)"
begin
  {\rm lemma\,\,\,correct\_inverse}\colon "y\,\in\, carrier\,\,\mathcal{Y}\implies \pi^*\,\,\,(\pi_*\,\,y)\,\,=\,\,y"
     by (meson coretract_axioms coretract_axioms_def coretract_def deflation
funcset_mem is_order_B lower_closure partial_order.le_antisym upper_closure)
  lemma retract_injective: "inj_on π∗ (carrier Y)"
     by (metis coretract_inverse inj_onI)
end
theorem comp_coretract_closed:
```

```
assumes "coretract G" "coretract F" "\mathcal{Y}_F = \mathcal{X}_G"
  shows "coretract (G \circ_q F)"
proof -
  interpret f: coretract F
     by (simp add: assms)
  interpret g: coretract G
     by (simp add: assms)
  interpret gf: galois connection "(G \circ_q F)"
     by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) comp_galcon_closed coretract.axioms(1))
  show ?thesis
  proof
     fix y
     assume "y ∈ carrier \mathcal{Y}_{G \circ g} F"
     thus "le \mathcal{Y}_{\mathsf{G}\circ_{g} \mathsf{F}} y (\pi^*_{\mathsf{G}\circ_{g} \mathsf{F}} (\pi_*_{\mathsf{G}\circ_{g} \mathsf{F}} y))"
       by (simp add: comp_galcon_def assms(3) f.coretract_inverse g.coretract_property
g.upper_closed)
  qed
qed
```
# **5.7 Galois Bijections**

```
locale galois_bijection = connection +
  assumes lower_iso: "isotone \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y} \pi^*"
  and upper_iso: "isotone \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{X} \pi_*"
  and lower_inv_eq: "x \in carrier \mathcal{X} \implies \pi_* (\pi^* x) = x"
  and upper_inv_eq: "y \in carrier \mathcal{Y} \implies \pi^* (\pi_* y) = y"
begin
  lemma lower_bij: "bij_betw \pi^* (carrier \mathcal{X}) (carrier \mathcal{Y})"
    by (rule bij_betwI[where g="π∗"], auto intro: upper_inv_eq lower_inv_eq
upper_closed lower_closed)
  lemma upper_bij: "bij_betw \pi_* (carrier Y) (carrier X)"
    by (rule bij_betwI[where g="π
∗"], auto intro: upper_inv_eq lower_inv_eq
upper_closed lower_closed)
sublocale gal_bij_conn: galois_connection
  apply (unfold_locales, auto)
  using lower_closed lower_inv_eq upper_iso use_iso2 apply fastforce
  using lower_iso upper_closed upper_inv_eq use_iso2 apply fastforce
done
sublocale gal_bij_ret: retract
  by (unfold_locales, simp add: gal_bij_conn.is_weak_order_A lower_inv_eq
weak partial order.le refl)
sublocale gal_bij_coret: coretract
  by (unfold_locales, simp add: gal_bij_conn.is_weak_order_B upper_inv_eq
weak_partial_order.le_refl)
```
**end**

```
theorem comp_galois_bijection_closed:
  assumes "galois_bijection G" "galois_bijection F" "\mathcal{Y}_F = \mathcal{X}_G"
  shows "galois_bijection (G \circ_q F)"
proof -
  interpret f: galois_bijection F
     by (simp add: assms)
  interpret g: galois_bijection G
     by (simp add: assms)
  interpret gf: galois_connection "(G \circ_q F)"
     by (simp add: assms(3) comp_galcon_closed f.gal_bij_conn.galois_connection_axioms
g.gal_bij_conn.galois_connection_axioms galois_connection.axioms(1))
  show ?thesis
  proof
      show "isotone \mathcal{X}_{\mathsf{G} \circ \circ_{g} F} \mathcal{Y}_{\mathsf{G} \circ_{g} F} \pi^*_{\mathsf{G} \circ_{g} F}"
        by (simp add: comp_galcon_def, metis comp_galcon_def galcon.select_convs(1)
galcon.select_convs(2) galcon.select_convs(3) gf.lower_iso)
     show "isotone \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{G} \circ q} F \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{G} \circ q} F \pi_* \mathbf{G} \circ q F "
        by (simp add: gf.upper_iso)
     fix x
     \begin{array}{rclclclcl} \textbf{assume} & \textbf{``x} & \in & \textbf{carrier} & \mathcal{X}_{\textbf{G} & \circ_{g} & \textbf{F} \textbf{''} \end{array}thus "\pi_*G <sub>○g</sub> F (\pi^*G <sub>○g</sub> F x)<sup>"</sup> = x"
        using assms(3) f.lower_closed f.lower_inv_eq g.lower_inv_eq by (auto
simp add: comp galcon def)
  next
     fix y
     assume "y ∈ carrier \mathcal{Y}_G \circ_g F"
      thus "\pi^*<sub>G</sub> \circ_g F (\pi_*<sub>G</sub> \circ_g F y<sup>j</sup> = y"
        by (simp add: comp_galcon_def assms(3) f.upper_inv_eq g.upper_closed
g.upper_inv_eq)
  qed
qed
end
```

```
theory Group
imports Complete_Lattice "HOL-Library.FuncSet"
begin
```
# **6 Monoids and Groups**

# **6.1 Definitions**

Definitions follow [\[3\]](#page-843-0). **record** 'a monoid = "'a partial\_object" +

```
mult :: "['a, 'a] ⇒ 'a" (infixl "⊗ı " 70)
one :: 'a ("1ı ")
```
#### **definition**

```
m_inv :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme => 'a => 'a" ("invi " [81] 80)
where "inv<sub>G</sub> x = (THE y. y \in carrier G \wedge x \otimes<sub>G</sub> y = 1<sub>G</sub> \wedge y \otimes<sub>G</sub> x = 1<sub>G</sub>)"
```
#### **definition**

Units ::  $"$  => 'a set" — The set of invertible elements where "Units G = {y. y ∈ carrier G  $\wedge$  ( $\exists x \in$  carrier G. x  $\otimes$ <sub>G</sub> y = 1<sub>G</sub>  $\wedge$  y  $\otimes$ <sub>G</sub> x = 1<sub>G</sub>)}"

```
locale monoid =
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes m_closed [intro, simp]:
            \mathbb{I}[x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G] \implies x \otimes y \in \text{carrier } G'and m_assoc:
            \mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{I}}[x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G; z \in \text{carrier } G]=⇒ (x ⊗ y) ⊗ z = x ⊗ (y ⊗ z)"
        and one_closed [intro, simp]: "1 ∈ carrier G"
```

```
and l_one [simp]: "x \in carrier G \implies 1 \otimes x = x"
      and r_one [simp]: "x \in carrier G \implies x \otimes 1 = x"
lemma monoidI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes m_closed:
      "!!x y. [| x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G |] ==> x \otimes y ∈ carrier
C<sup>II</sup>and one_closed: "1 ∈ carrier G"
    and m_assoc:
      "!!x y z. [| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; z \in carrier G |] ==>
      (x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)"
    and l_one: "!!x. x \in carrier G == > 1 \otimes x = x"
    and r_one: "!!x. x \in carrier G == > x \otimes 1 = x"
  shows "monoid G"
  by (fast intro!: monoid.intro intro: assms)
lemma (in monoid) Units_closed [dest]:
  "x \in Units G = = > x \in carrier G"
  by (unfold Units_def) fast
lemma (in monoid) one_unique:
```

```
assumes "u ∈ carrier G"
  and "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier G \implies u \otimes x = x"
shows "u = 1"
using assms(2)[OF one_closed] r_one[OF assms(1)] by simp
```

```
lemma (in monoid) inv_unique:
```

```
assumes eq: "y \otimes x = 1" "x \otimes y' = 1"
    and G: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "y' ∈ carrier G"
 shows "y = y'"
proof -
  from G eq have "y = y \otimes (x \otimes y')" by simp
 also from G have "... = (y \otimes x) \otimes y'" by (simp add: m_assoc)
 also from G eq have "... = y'' by simp
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma (in monoid) Units_m_closed [simp, intro]:
 assumes x: "x ∈ Units G" and y: "y ∈ Units G"
 shows "x ⊗ y ∈ Units G"
proof -
 from x obtain x' where x: "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G" and xinv:
"x \otimes x' = 1" "x' \otimes x = 1"
    unfolding Units_def by fast
 from y obtain y' where y: "y ∈ carrier G" "y' ∈ carrier G" and yinv:
"y ⊗ y' = 1" "y' ⊗ y = 1"
    unfolding Units_def by fast
  from x y xinv yinv have "y' \otimes (x' \otimes x) \otimes y = 1" by simp
 moreover from x y xinv yinv have "x ⊗ (y ⊗ y') ⊗ x' = 1" by simp
 moreover note x y
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding Units_def
    by simp (metis m_assoc m_closed)
qed
lemma (in monoid) Units_one_closed [intro, simp]:
  "1 ∈ Units G"
 by (unfold Units_def) auto
lemma (in monoid) Units_inv_closed [intro, simp]:
  "x \in Units G ==> inv x \in carrier G"
 apply (simp add: Units_def m_inv_def)
 by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) inv_unique the_equality)
lemma (in monoid) Units_l_inv_ex:
  "x \in Units G ==> \exists y \in carrier G. y \otimes x = 1"
 by (unfold Units_def) auto
lemma (in monoid) Units_r_inv_ex:
  "x \in Units G ==> \exists y \in carrier G. x \otimes y = 1"
  by (unfold Units_def) auto
lemma (in monoid) Units_l_inv [simp]:
  "x \in Units G ==> inv x \otimes x = 1"
 apply (unfold Units def m_inv_def, simp)
 by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) inv_unique the_equality)
```

```
lemma (in monoid) Units_r_inv [simp]:
  "x \in Units G ==> x \otimes inv x = 1"
 by (metis (full_types) Units_closed Units_inv_closed Units_l_inv Units_r_inv_ex
inv unique)
lemma (in monoid) inv_one [simp]:
  "inv 1 = 1"
  by (metis Units one closed Units r inv l one monoid.Units inv closed
monoid_axioms)
lemma (in monoid) Units_inv_Units [intro, simp]:
  "x \in Units G \implies inv x \in Units G"proof -
 assume x: "x ∈ Units G"
 show "inv x ∈ Units G"
    by (auto simp add: Units_def
      intro: Units_l_inv Units_r_inv x Units_closed [OF x])
qed
lemma (in monoid) Units_l_cancel [simp]:
  "[| x \in Units G; y \in carrier G; z \in carrier G |] ==>
   (x \otimes y = x \otimes z) = (y = z)"
proof
  assume eq: "x ⊗ y = x ⊗ z"
    and G: "x ∈ Units G" "y ∈ carrier G" "z ∈ carrier G"
 then have "(inv x \otimes x) \otimes y = (inv x \otimes x) \otimes z"
    by (simp add: m_assoc Units_closed del: Units_l_inv)
  with G show "y = z" by simp
next
 assume eq: "y = z"and G: "x ∈ Units G" "y ∈ carrier G" "z ∈ carrier G"
 then show "x \otimes y = x \otimes z" by simp
qed
lemma (in monoid) Units_inv_inv [simp]:
  "x \in Units G ==> inv (inv x) = x''proof -
 assume x: "x ∈ Units G"
  then have "inv x \otimes inv (inv x) = inv x \otimes x" by simp
  with x show ?thesis by (simp add: Units_closed del: Units_l_inv Units_r_inv)
qed
lemma (in monoid) inv_inj_on_Units:
 "inj_on (m_inv G) (Units G)"
proof (rule inj_onI)
 fix x y
 assume G: "x ∈ Units G" "y ∈ Units G" and eq: "inv x = inv y"
 then have "inv (inv x) = inv (inv y)" by simp
  with G \text{ show } "x = y" \text{ by } \text{simp}
```
# **qed**

```
lemma (in monoid) Units_inv_comm:
  assumes inv: "x \otimes y = 1"
    and G: "x \in Units G" "y \in Units G"
  shows "y \otimes x = 1"
proof -
  from G have "x \otimes y \otimes x = x \otimes 1" by (auto simp add: inv Units closed)
  with G show ?thesis by (simp del: r_one add: m_assoc Units_closed)
qed
```

```
lemma (in monoid) carrier_not_empty: "carrier G \neq \{\}"
by auto
```
# **6.2 Groups**

A group is a monoid all of whose elements are invertible.

```
locale group = monoid +
  assumes Units: "carrier G <= Units G"
lemma (in group) is_group [iff]: "group G" by (rule group_axioms)
lemma (in group) is_monoid [iff]: "monoid G"
  by (rule monoid_axioms)
theorem groupI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes m_closed [simp]:
      "!!x y. [| x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G |] ==> x \otimes y ∈ carrier
C<sup>II</sup>and one closed [simp]: "1 \in carrier G"
    and m_assoc:
      "!!x y z. [| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; z \in carrier G |] ==>
      (x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)"
    and l_one [simp]: "!!x. x \in carrier G == > 1 \otimes x = x"
    and l_inv_ex: "!!x. x \in carrier G \implies \exists y \in carrier G. y \otimes x = 1"
  shows "group G"
proof -
  have l_cancel [simp]:
    "!!x y z. [| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; z \in carrier G |] ==>
    (x \otimes y = x \otimes z) = (y = z)"
  proof
    fix x y z
    assume eq: "x ⊗ y = x ⊗ z"
      and G: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "z ∈ carrier G"
    with l_inv_ex obtain x_inv where xG: "x_inv ∈ carrier G"
      and l_inv: "x_inv \otimes x = 1" by fast
    from G eq xG have "(x_inv \otimes x) \otimes y = (x_inv \otimes x) \otimes z"
      by (simp add: m_assoc)
```

```
with G show "y = z" by (simp add: l<sub>_inv</sub>)
  next
    fix x y z
    assume eq: "y = z"and G: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "z ∈ carrier G"
    then show "x \otimes y = x \otimes z" by simp
  qed
  have r_one:
    "!!x. x \in carrier G \implies x \otimes 1 = x"
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x: "x ∈ carrier G"
    with l_inv_ex obtain x_inv where xG: "x_inv ∈ carrier G"
      and l_inv: "x_inv \otimes x = 1" by fast
    from x xG have "x_inv \otimes (x \otimes 1) = x_inv \otimes x"
      by (simp add: m_assoc [symmetric] l_inv)
    with x \times G show "x \otimes 1 = x" by simp
  qed
  have inv_ex:
    "\wedgex. x ∈ carrier G \implies \exists y \in carrier G. y ⊗ x = 1 ∧ x ⊗ y = 1"
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x: "x ∈ carrier G"
    with l_inv_ex obtain y where y: "y ∈ carrier G"
      and l_inv: "y \otimes x = 1" by fast
    from x y have "y \otimes (x \otimes y) = y \otimes 1"
      by (simp add: m_assoc [symmetric] l_inv r_one)
    with x y have r inv: "x \otimes y = 1"
      by simp
    from x y show "\exists y \in \text{carrier } G. y \otimes x = 1 \land x \otimes y = 1"
      by (fast intro: l_inv r_inv)
  qed
  then have carrier_subset_Units: "carrier G ⊆ Units G"
    by (unfold Units_def) fast
  show ?thesis
    by standard (auto simp: r_one m_assoc carrier_subset_Units)
qed
lemma (in monoid) group_l_invI:
  assumes l_inv_ex:
    "!!x. x \in carrier G \implies \exists y \in carrier G. y \otimes x = 1"
  shows "group G"
  by (rule groupI) (auto intro: m_assoc l_inv_ex)
lemma (in group) Units_eq [simp]:
  "Units G = carrier G"
proof
  show "Units G ⊆ carrier G" by fast
next
```

```
show "carrier G \subseteq Units G'' by (rule Units)
qed
lemma (in group) inv_closed [intro, simp]:
  "x \in carrier G \implies inv \times \in carrier G''using Units_inv_closed by simp
lemma (in group) l_inv_ex [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G ==> \exists y \in carrier G. y \otimes x = 1"
  using Units_l_inv_ex by simp
lemma (in group) r_inv_ex [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G ==> \exists y \in carrier G. x \otimes y = 1"
  using Units_r_inv_ex by simp
lemma (in group) l_inv [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G ==> inv x \otimes x = 1"
  by simp
```
# **6.3 Cancellation Laws and Basic Properties**

```
lemma (in group) inv_eq_1_iff [simp]:
  assumes "x \in carrier G" shows "inv<sub>G</sub> x = 1<sub>G</sub> \longleftrightarrow x = 1<sub>G</sub>"
proof -
  have ''x = 1'' if ''inv x = 1''proof -
    have "inv x \otimes x = 1"
       using assms l_inv by blast
    then show "x = 1"using that assms by simp
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by auto
qed
lemma (in group) r_inv [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G ==> x \otimes inv x = 1"
  by simp
lemma (in group) right_cancel [simp]:
  "[| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; z \in carrier G |] ==>
   (y \otimes x = z \otimes x) = (y = z)"
  by (metis inv_closed m_assoc r_inv r_one)
lemma (in group) inv_inv [simp]:
  "x \in \text{carrier } G \implies \text{inv } (\text{inv } x) = x"
  using Units_inv_inv by simp
lemma (in group) inv_inj:
```

```
"inj_on (m_inv G) (carrier G)"
  using inv_inj_on_Units by simp
lemma (in group) inv_mult_group:
  "[| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |] ==> inv (x \otimes y) = inv y \otimes inv x"
proof -
  assume G: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
  then have "inv (x \otimes y) \otimes (x \otimes y) = (inv y \otimes inv x) \otimes (x \otimes y)"
    by (simp add: m_assoc) (simp add: m_assoc [symmetric])
  with G show ?thesis by (simp del: l_inv Units_l_inv)
qed
lemma (in group) inv_comm:
  "[| x \otimes y = 1; x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |] ==> y \otimes x = 1"
  by (rule Units_inv_comm) auto
lemma (in group) inv_equality:
     "[|y \otimes x = 1; x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G|] ==> inv x = y"
  using inv_unique r_inv by blast
lemma (in group) inv_solve_left:
  \lVert a \rVert a \in carrier G; b \rVert carrier G; c \rVert carrier G \rVert \rVert \Rightarrow a = inv b \otimes c
\longleftrightarrow c = b \otimes a"
  by (metis inv_equality l_inv_ex l_one m_assoc r_inv)
lemma (in group) inv_solve_left':
  "[a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; c \in carrier G ] \implies inv b \otimes c = a
\longleftrightarrow c = b \otimes a"
  by (metis inv_equality l_inv_ex l_one m_assoc r_inv)
lemma (in group) inv_solve_right:
  "\mathbb{I} a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; c \in carrier G \mathbb{I} \implies a = b \otimes inv c
\longleftrightarrow b = a \otimes c"
  by (metis inv_equality l_inv_ex l_one m_assoc r_inv)
lemma (in group) inv_solve_right':
  "[a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; c \in carrier G] \implies b \otimes inv c = a \longleftrightarrowb = a \otimes c"
  by (auto simp: m_assoc)
6.4 Power
consts
 pow :: "[('a, 'm) monoid_scheme, 'a, 'b::semiring_1] => 'a" (infixr
"[^]ı " 75)
overloading nat_pow == "pow :: [\cdot, 'a, nat] => 'a"
```
**begin**

**definition** "nat\_pow G a n = rec\_nat  $1_G$  (%u b. b  $\otimes_G$  a) n"

**end**

```
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_closed [intro, simp]:
  "x \in carrier G ==> x [^] (n::nat) \in carrier G"
  by (induct n) (simp_all add: nat_pow_def)
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_0 [simp]:
  "x [\hat{ } ] (0::nat) = 1"
  by (simp add: nat_pow_def)
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_Suc [simp]:
  "x [\hat{\ }] (Suc n) = x [\hat{\ }] n \otimes x"
  by (simp add: nat_pow_def)
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_one [simp]:
  "1 [\hat{ } ] (n::nat) = 1"
  by (induct n) simp_all
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_mult:
  "x \in carrier G \implies x [^] (n::nat) \otimes x [^] m = x [^] (n + m)"
  by (induct m) (simp_all add: m_assoc [THEN sym])
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_comm:
  "x \in carrier G \implies (x [\uparrow] (n::nat)) \otimes (x [\uparrow] (m::nat)) = (x [\uparrow] m)⊗ (x [^] n)"
  using nat pow mult[of x n m] nat pow mult[of x m n] by (simp add: add.commute)
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_Suc2:
  "x \in carrier G \implies x [\hat{ }) (Suc n) = x \otimes (x [\hat{ }) n)"
  using nat_pow_mult[of x 1 n] Suc_eq_plus1[of n]
  by (metis One_nat_def Suc_eq_plus1_left l_one nat.rec(1) nat_pow_Suc
nat_pow_def)
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_pow:
  "x \in carrier G == > (x [\uparrow] n) [\uparrow] m = x [\uparrow] (n * m:nat)"by (induct m) (simp, simp add: nat_pow_mult add.commute)
lemma (in monoid) nat_pow_consistent:
  "x [\hat{ } ] (n :: nat) = x [\hat{ } ] (G (| carrier := H )) n"
  unfolding nat_pow_def by simp
lemma nat_pow_0 [simp]: "x [\hat{\ }]_G (0::nat) = 1<sub>G</sub>"
  by (simp add: nat_pow_def)
lemma nat pow Suc [simp]: "x [^]G (Suc n) = (x [^]G n)\otimesg x"
  by (simp add: nat_pow_def)
lemma (in group) nat_pow_inv:
  assumes "x \in \text{carrier } G" shows "(inv x) \lceil \uparrow \rceil (i :: nat) = inv (x \lceil \uparrow \rceil
```

```
i)"
proof (induction i)
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc i)
  have "(inv x) [\hat{\ }] Suc i = ((inv x) [\hat{\ }] i) \otimes inv x"
    by simp
  also have " ... = (inv (x [\hat{ } ] i)) \otimes inv x"
    by (simp add: Suc.IH Suc.prems)
  also have " ... = inv (x \otimes (x [\hat{r}] i))^"
    by (simp add: assms inv_mult_group)
  also have " ... = inv (x [^] (Suc i))"
    using assms nat_pow_Suc2 by auto
  finally show ?case .
qed
overloading int_pow == "pow :: \left[\right], 'a, int] => 'a"
begin
  definition "int_pow G a z =
   (let p = rec\_nat 1_G (%u b. b \otimes_G a)
    in if z < 0 then inv<sub>G</sub> (p (nat (-z))) else p (nat z))"
end
lemma int_pow_int: "x [\hat{c}]_G (int n) = x [\hat{c}]_G n"
  by(simp add: int_pow_def nat_pow_def)
lemma pow_nat:
  assumes "i≥0"
  shows "x [\hat{\ }]_G nat i = x [\hat{\ }]_G i"
proof (cases i rule: int_cases)
  case (nonneg n)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: int_pow_int)
next
  case (neg n)
  then show ?thesis
    using assms by linarith
qed
lemma int_pow_0 [simp]: "x [^{^{\sim}}]G (0::int) = 1_G"
  by (simp add: int_pow_def)
lemma int_pow_def2: "a [^{^n}]_G z =
   (if z < 0 then inv<sub>G</sub> (a [^{^n}]_G (nat (-z))) else a [^{^n}]_G (nat z))"
  by (simp add: int_pow_def nat_pow_def)
lemma (in group) int_pow_one [simp]:
  "1 \lceil \hat{ } \rceil (z::int) = 1"
  by (simp add: int_pow_def2)
```

```
lemma (in group) int_pow_closed [intro, simp]:
  "x \in carrier G \implies x [\hat{ } ] (i::int) \in carrier G''by (simp add: int_pow_def2)
lemma (in group) int_pow_1 [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G \implies x [\hat{ } ] (1::int) = x''by (simp add: int_pow_def2)
lemma (in group) int_pow_neg:
  "x \in carrier G \implies x [\hat{ } ] (-i::int) = inv (x [\hat{ } ] i)"
  by (simp add: int_pow_def2)
lemma (in group) int_pow_neg_int: "x \in carrier G \implies x [^] -(int n) =
inv (x [\hat{ } ] n)"
  by (simp add: int_pow_neg int_pow_int)
lemma (in group) int_pow_mult:
  assumes "x \in \text{carrier } G" shows "x [\hat{ } ] (i + j::int) = x [\hat{ } ] i \otimes x [\hat{ } ]j"
proof -
  have [simp]: "-i - j = -j - i" by simp
  show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: assms int_pow_def2 inv_solve_left inv_solve_right nat_add_distrib
[symmetric] nat_pow_mult)
qed
lemma (in group) int_pow_inv:
  "x \in carrier G \implies (inv x) [^] (i :: int) = inv (x [^] i)"
  by (metis int_pow_def2 nat_pow_inv)
lemma (in group) int_pow_pow:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows "(x [^{\frown}] (n :: int)) [^{\frown}] (m :: int) = x [^{\frown}] (n * m :: int)"
proof (cases)
  assume n_{ge}: "n \geq 0" thus ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    assume m_{ge}: "m \ge 0" thus ?thesis
      using n_ge nat_pow_pow[OF assms, of "nat n" "nat m"] int_pow_def2
[where G=G]
      by (simp add: mult_less_0_iff nat_mult_distrib)
  next
    assume m_l lt: m_l m_l \geq 0with n_ge show ?thesis
      apply (simp add: int_pow_def2 mult_less_0_iff)
      by (metis assms mult_minus_right n_ge nat_mult_distrib nat_pow_pow)
  qed
next
  assume n_1t: " \neg n \geq 0" thus ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases)
    \text{assume } m\_ge: "m \geq 0"have "inv x [\hat{ } ] (nat m * nat (- n) ) = inv x [\hat{ } ] nat (- (m * n))"
      by (metis (full_types) m_ge mult_minus_right nat_mult_distrib)
    with m_ge n_lt show ?thesis
      by (simp add: int_pow_def2 mult_less_0_iff assms mult.commute nat_pow_inv
nat_pow_pow)
  next
    assume m_l 1t: m_l m_l \geq 0 thus ?thesis
      using n_lt by (auto simp: int_pow_def2 mult_less_0_iff assms nat_mult_distrib_neg
nat_pow_inv nat_pow_pow)
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) int_pow_diff:
  "x \in carrier G \implies x [^] (n - m :: int) = x [^] n \otimes inv (x [^] m)"
  by(simp only: diff_conv_add_uminus int_pow_mult int_pow_neg)
lemma (in group) inj_on_multc: "c \in carrier G \implies inj_on (\lambda x. x \otimes c)
(carrier G)"
  by(simp add: inj_on_def)
lemma (in group) inj_on_cmult: "c ∈ carrier G \implies inj\_on (\lambda x. c \otimes x)
(carrier G)"
  by(simp add: inj_on_def)
lemma (in monoid) group_commutes_pow:
  fixes n::nat
  shows "[x \otimes y = y \otimes x; x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G] \implies x [^] n \otimesy = y \otimes x [^] n"
  apply (induction n, auto)
  by (metis m_assoc nat_pow_closed)
lemma (in monoid) pow_mult_distrib:
  assumes eq: "x ⊗ y = y ⊗ x" and xy: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier
G''shows "(x \otimes y) [^] (n::nat) = x [^] n \otimes y [^] n"
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n)
  have "x \otimes (y \in \hat{\square} \in \mathbb{R}) n \otimes y) = y [\hat{\square} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}]"
    by (simp add: eq group_commutes_pow m_assoc xy)
  then show ?case
    using assms Suc.hyps m_assoc by auto
qed auto
lemma (in group) int_pow_mult_distrib:
  assumes eq: "x \otimes y = y \otimes x" and xy: "x \in carrier G" "y \in carrier
G''
```

```
shows "(x \otimes y) [^] (i::int) = x [^] i \otimes y [^] i"
proof (cases i rule: int_cases)
  case (nonneg n)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis eq int_pow_int pow_mult_distrib xy)
next
  case (neg n)
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding neg
    apply (simp add: xy int_pow_neg_int del: of_nat_Suc)
    by (metis eq inv_mult_group local.nat_pow_Suc nat_pow_closed pow_mult_distrib
xy)
qed
lemma (in group) pow_eq_div2:
  fixes m n :: nat
  assumes x_car: "x ∈ carrier G"
  assumes pow_eq: "x [^] m = x [^] n''shows "x [\hat{\ }] (m - n) = 1"
proof (cases "m < n")
  case False
  have "1 \otimes x [^] m = x [^] m" by (simp add: x_car)
  also have "... = x [\hat{ } ] (m - n) \otimes x [\hat{ } ] n"
    using False by (simp add: nat_pow_mult x_car)
  also have "... = x \lceil \hat{ } \rceil (m - n) \otimes x \lceil \hat{ } \rceil m"
    by (simp add: pow_eq)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (metis nat pow closed one closed right cancel x car)
qed simp
6.5 Submonoids
```

```
locale submonoid =
 fixes H and G (structure)
 assumes subset: "H ⊆ carrier G"
    and m_closed [intro, simp]: "[x \in H; y \in H] \implies x \otimes y \in H"
    and one_closed [simp]: "1 ∈ H"
lemma (in submonoid) is_submonoid:
  "submonoid H G" by (rule submonoid_axioms)
lemma (in submonoid) mem_carrier [simp]:
  "x \in H \implies x \in carrier G"using subset by blast
lemma (in submonoid) submonoid_is_monoid [intro]:
 assumes "monoid G"
 shows "monoid (G(|carrier := H|))"
proof -
```

```
interpret monoid G by fact
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: monoid_def m_assoc)
qed
lemma submonoid_nonempty:
  "~ submonoid {} G"
  by (blast dest: submonoid.one_closed)
lemma (in submonoid) finite_monoid_imp_card_positive:
  "finite (carrier G) ==> 0 < card H''proof (rule classical)
  assume "finite (carrier G)" and a: "~ 0 < card H"
  then have "finite H" by (blast intro: finite_subset [OF subset])
  with is_submonoid a have "submonoid {} G" by simp
  with submonoid_nonempty show ?thesis by contradiction
qed
lemma (in monoid) monoid_incl_imp_submonoid :
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier G"
and "monoid (G(\text{carrier} := H))"
shows "submonoid H G"
proof (intro submonoid.intro[OF assms(1)])
  \mathtt{have\,\,ab\_eq}\,:\, "\bigwedge\, a \mathtt{b.}\, a \in H \implies \mathtt{b}\, \in H \implies a \otimes_{\mathsf{G}} \mathsf{g}_\mathsf{carrier} := H\mathsf{b}\, ^\mathtt{b}\, = a \otimesb" using assms by simp
  \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{"\textcolor{blue}{\textbf{N}}}a b. a \in H \implies b \in H \implies a \otimes b \in carrier (G\texttt{(\textcolor{blue}{G}arrier}\ :=\ \texttt{H}\textcolor{blue}{)})
"
    using assms ab_eq unfolding group_def using monoid.m_closed by fastforce
  thus "\bigwedge a b. a \in H \implies b \in H \implies a \otimes b \in H" by simp
  show "1 ∈ H " using monoid.one_closed[OF assms(2)] assms by simp
qed
lemma (in monoid) inv_unique':
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
  shows "[x \otimes y = 1; y \otimes x = 1] \implies y = inv x"
proof -
  assume "x \otimes y = 1" and l\_inv: "y \otimes x = 1"
  hence unit: "x ∈ Units G"
    using assms unfolding Units_def by auto
  show "y = inv x"
    using inv_unique[OF l_inv Units_r_inv[OF unit] assms Units_inv_closed[OF
unit]] .
qed
lemma (in monoid) m_inv_monoid_consistent:
  assumes "x \in Units (G (| carrier := H |))" and "submonoid H G"
  shows "inv<sub>(G</sub> ( carrier := H )) x = inv x''proof -
```

```
have monoid: "monoid (G \nvert \text{ carrier} := H \nvert)"
    using submonoid.submonoid_is_monoid[OF assms(2) monoid_axioms] .
  obtain y where y: "y ∈ H" "x \otimes y = 1" "y \otimes x = 1"
    using assms(1) unfolding Units_def by auto
 have x: "x ∈ H" and in_carrier: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
    using y(1) submonoid.subset[OF assms(2)] assms(1) unfolding Units_def
by auto
 show ?thesis
    using monoid.inv_unique'[OF monoid, of x y] x y
    using inv unique'[OF in carrier y(2-3)] by auto
qed
```
# **6.6 Subgroups**

```
locale subgroup =
 fixes H and G (structure)
 assumes subset: "H ⊆ carrier G"
    and m_closed [intro, simp]: "[x \in H; y \in H] \implies x \otimes y \in H"
    and one_closed [simp]: "1 ∈ H"
    and m_inv_closed [intro,simp]: "x \in H \implies inv \ x \in H"
lemma (in subgroup) is_subgroup:
  "subgroup H G" by (rule subgroup_axioms)
declare (in subgroup) group.intro [intro]
lemma (in subgroup) mem_carrier [simp]:
  "x \in H \implies x \in carrier G"
 using subset by blast
lemma (in subgroup) subgroup_is_group [intro]:
 assumes "group G"
 shows "group (G(|carrier := H|))"
proof -
 interpret group G by fact
 have "Group.monoid (G(|carrier := H|))"
    by (simp add: monoid_axioms submonoid.intro submonoid.submonoid_is_monoid
subset)
  then show ?thesis
    by (rule monoid.group_l_invI) (auto intro: l_inv mem_carrier)
qed
lemma (in group) triv_subgroup: "subgroup {1} G"
 by (auto simp: subgroup_def)
lemma subgroup_is_submonoid:
  assumes "subgroup H G" shows "submonoid H G"
  using assms by (auto intro: submonoid.intro simp add: subgroup_def)
```

```
lemma (in group) subgroup_Units:
  assumes "subgroup H G" shows "H ⊆ Units (G (| carrier := H |))"
  using group.Units[OF subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF assms group_axioms]]
by simp
lemma (in group) m_inv_consistent [simp]:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "x ∈ H"
  \frac{\text{shows "inv}}{\text{G}} (G) \frac{\text{current:}}{\text{G}} = H) \frac{\text{v}}{\text{G}} = inv x"
  using assms m_inv_monoid_consistent[OF _ subgroup_is_submonoid] subgroup_Units[of
H] by auto
lemma (in group) int_pow_consistent:
  assumes "subgroup H G'' "x \in H''shows "x [\hat{\ }] (n :: int) = x [\hat{\ }] (G [ carrier := H ]) n''proof (cases)
  assume ge: "n ≥ 0"
  hence "x [\hat{\ }] n = x [\hat{\ }] (nat n)"
     using int_pow_def2 [of G] by auto
  also have " \ldots = x \lceil \hat{ } \rceil (G \lceil carrier := H \rceil) \lceil \text{nat n} \rceil"
     using nat_pow_consistent by simp
  also have " ... = x [^](G (| carrier := H |))
n"
     by (metis ge int_nat_eq int_pow_int)
  finally show ?thesis .
next
  assume " \neg n \geq 0" hence 1t: "n < 0" by simp
  hence "x [\hat{\ }] n = inv (x [\hat{\ }] (nat (- n)))"
     using int_pow_def2 [of G] by auto
  also have " ... = (inv x) [^] (nat (- n))"
     by (metis assms nat_pow_inv subgroup.mem_carrier)
  also have " ... = (inv<sub>(G (|</sub> carrier := H |)) <sup>x)</sup> [^]<sub>(G (|</sub> carrier := H |)) <sup>(nat</sup>
(- n)"
     using m_inv_consistent[OF assms] nat_pow_consistent by auto
  also have " \ldots = inv_{(\mathsf{G} \text{ } (\text{ carrier } := \text{ } \text{H} \text{ }))} (x [\text{ }^{\frown}](\mathsf{G} \text{ } (\text{ carrier } := \text{ } \text{H} \text{ })) (nat
(- n))"
     using group.nat_pow_inv[OF subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF assms(1)
is_group]] assms(2) by auto
  also have " \dots = x \lceil \hat{ } \rceil (G \lceil carrier := H \rceil) \sqrt[n]{ }by (simp add: int_pow_def2 lt)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
```
Since H is nonempty, it contains some element x. Since it is closed under inverse, it contains inv x. Since it is closed under product, it contains  $x \otimes$ inv  $x = 1$ .

**lemma** (**in** group) one\_in\_subset: "[| H  $\subseteq$  carrier G; H  $\neq$  {};  $\forall$  a  $\in$  H. inv a  $\in$  H;  $\forall$  a $\in$ H.  $\forall$  b $\in$ H. a  $\otimes$  b ∈ H |]  $\Rightarrow$  1  $\in$  H"

**by** force

A characterization of subgroups: closed, non-empty subset.

```
lemma (in group) subgroupI:
  assumes subset: "H \subseteq carrier G" and non_empty: "H \neq {}"
    and inv: "!!a. a \in H \implies inv a \in H"
    and mult: "!!a b. [a \in H; b \in H] \implies a \otimes b \in H"
  shows "subgroup H G"
proof (simp add: subgroup_def assms)
  show "1 ∈ H" by (rule one_in_subset) (auto simp only: assms)
qed
lemma (in group) subgroupE:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "H ⊆ carrier G"
    and H \neq {\}"
    and "\bigwedge a. a \in H \implies inv a \in H"
    and "\wedgea b. [a ∈ H; b ∈ H ]] \implies a \otimes b ∈ H"
  using assms unfolding subgroup_def[of H G] by auto
declare monoid.one_closed [iff] group.inv_closed [simp]
  monoid.l_one [simp] monoid.r_one [simp] group.inv_inv [simp]
lemma subgroup_nonempty:
  "¬ subgroup {} G"
  by (blast dest: subgroup.one_closed)
lemma (in subgroup) finite_imp_card_positive: "finite (carrier G) =⇒
0 < card H"
  using subset one_closed card_gt_0_iff finite_subset by blast
lemma (in subgroup) subgroup_is_submonoid :
  "submonoid H G"
  by (simp add: submonoid.intro subset)
lemma (in group) submonoid_subgroupI :
  assumes "submonoid H G"
    and "\bigwedge a. a \in H \implies inv a \in H"
  shows "subgroup H G"
  by (metis assms subgroup_def submonoid_def)
lemma (in group) group_incl_imp_subgroup:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier G"
    and "group (G(|carrier := H|))"
  shows "subgroup H G"
proof (intro submonoid_subgroupI[OF monoid_incl_imp_submonoid[OF assms(1)]])
  show "monoid (G(|carrier := H|))" using group_def assms by blast
  \mathtt{have\,\,ab\_eq}\,:\, "\bigwedge\, a \mathtt{b.}\, a \in H \implies \mathtt{b}\, \in H \implies a \otimes_{\mathsf{G}} \mathsf{g}_\mathsf{carrier} := H\mathsf{b}\, ^\mathtt{b}\, = a \otimesb" using assms by simp
```

```
fix a assume aH : "a ∈ H"
   \texttt{have } " \texttt{ inv}_{\texttt{G}(\texttt{carrier} \texttt{ := } \texttt{H} \texttt{)}} \texttt{ a } \in \texttt{carrier } \texttt{G" }using assms aH group.inv_closed[OF assms(2)] by auto
   \text{moreover have } "1_{\mathbb{G}}(\text{carrier := } \mathtt{H}) = 1" \text{ using assays monoid.} \text{one\_closed ab\_eq}one_def by simp
   hence "a <math>\otimes_{G(\text{carrier} := H)} \text{ inv}_{G(\text{carrier} := H)} a= 1"using assms ab_eq aH group.r_inv[OF assms(2)] by simp
   \text{hence} "a \otimes \texttt{inv}_{\texttt{G}(\texttt{carrier} \texttt{ := } \texttt{H} \texttt{)}} a= \texttt{1"}using aH assms group.inv_closed[OF assms(2)] ab_eq by simp
   ultimately have "inv<sub>G</sub>(| \text{carrier} := H |) a = inv a"
      by (metis aH assms(1) contra_subsetD group.inv_inv is_group local.inv_equality)
   moreover have "inv<sub>G(carrier := H)</sub> a \in H"
      using aH group.inv_closed[OF assms(2)] by auto
   ultimately show "inv a \in H" by auto
qed
```
# **6.7 Direct Products**

```
definition
  DirProd :: " \Rightarrow \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) monoid" (infixr "\times\times" 80) where
  "G \times\times H =
    \int \text{Carrier} = \text{carrier } G \times \text{carrier } H,
      mult = (\lambda(g, h) (g', h'). (g \otimes_G g', h \otimes_H h')),
      one = (1_G, 1_H)"
lemma DirProd_monoid:
  assumes "monoid G" and "monoid H"
  shows "monoid (G ×× H)"
proof -
  interpret G: monoid G by fact
  interpret H: monoid H by fact
  from assms
  show ?thesis by (unfold monoid_def DirProd_def, auto)
qed
```
Does not use the previous result because it's easier just to use auto.

```
lemma DirProd_group:
 assumes "group G" and "group H"
 shows "group (G \times \times H)"
proof -
 interpret G: group G by fact
 interpret H: group H by fact
 show ?thesis by (rule groupI)
     (auto intro: G.m_assoc H.m_assoc G.l_inv H.l_inv
           simp add: DirProd_def)
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma carrier_DirProd [simp]: "carrier (G ×× H) = carrier G × carrier
H"
```

```
by (simp add: DirProd_def)
lemma one_DirProd [simp]: "1_G \times \times H = (1_G, 1_H)"
  by (simp add: DirProd_def)
lemma mult_DirProd [simp]: "(g, h) \otimes<sub>(G ×× H</sub>) (g', h') = (g \otimes<sub>G</sub> g', h
⊗H h')"
  by (simp add: DirProd_def)
lemma mult_DirProd': "x \otimes<sub>(G \times\times H)</sub> y = (fst x \otimes<sub>G</sub> fst y, snd x \otimesH snd
y)"
  by (subst mult_DirProd [symmetric]) simp
lemma DirProd_assoc: "(G \times \times H \times \times I) = (G \times \times (H \times \times I))"
  by auto
lemma inv_DirProd [simp]:
  assumes "group G" and "group H"
  assumes g: "g ∈ carrier G"
      and h: "h ∈ carrier H"
  shows "m_inv (G \times \times H) (g, h) = (inv<sub>G</sub> g, inv<sub>H</sub> h)"
proof -
  interpret G: group G by fact
  interpret H: group H by fact
  interpret Prod: group "G ×× H"
    by (auto intro: DirProd_group group.intro group.axioms assms)
  show ?thesis by (simp add: Prod.inv_equality g h)
qed
lemma DirProd_subgroups :
  assumes "group G"
    and "subgroup H G"
    and "group K"
    and "subgroup I K"
  shows "subgroup (H \times I) (G \times \times K)"
proof (intro group.group_incl_imp_subgroup[OF DirProd_group[OF assms(1)assms(3)]])
  have "H ⊆ carrier G" "I ⊆ carrier K" using subgroup.subset assms by
blast+
  thus "(H × I) ⊆ carrier (G ×× K)" unfolding DirProd_def by auto
  have "Group.group ((G(|carrier := H)) \times \times (K(|carrier := I)))"
    using DirProd_group[OF subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF assms(2)assms(1)]
         subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF assms(4)assms(3)]].
  moreover have "((G(|carrier := H)) \times \times (K(|carrier := I))) = ((G \times \timesK)(\| \text{carrier} := H \times I \|)"
    unfolding DirProd_def using assms by simp
  ultimately show "Group.group ((G \times \times K)(\text{carrier} := H \times I))" by simp
qed
```
## **6.8 Homomorphisms (mono and epi) and Isomorphisms**

```
definition
  hom :: "_ => _ => ('a => 'b) set" where
  "hom G H =
    {h. h ∈ carrier G → carrier H ∧
       (\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. \forall y \in \text{carrier } G. h (x \otimes_G y) = h x \otimes_H h y)"
lemma homI:
  "\lbrack\!\lbrack \bigwedge x.\; x \in \text{carrier } G \implies h \; x \in \text{carrier } H;\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G\rrbracket \implies h (x \otimesg y) = h x \otimesH h y\rrbracket\implies h \in hom G H"
  by (auto simp: hom_def)
lemma hom_carrier: "h ∈ hom G H =⇒ h ' carrier G ⊆ carrier H"
  by (auto simp: hom_def)
lemma hom_in_carrier: "[n \in hom G H; x \in carrier G \Rightarrow h x \in carrier
H"
  by (auto simp: hom_def)
lemma hom_compose:
  \lVert \cdot \rVert f \in hom G H; g \in hom H I \rVert \implies g \circ f \in hom G I"
  unfolding hom_def by (auto simp add: Pi_iff)
lemma (in group) hom_restrict:
  \text{assumes }\n "h \in hom G H" \text{and }\n "\bigwedge g. g \in \text{carrier }\nG \implies h g = t g" \text{shows}"t ∈ hom G H"
  using assms unfolding hom_def by (auto simp add: Pi_iff)
lemma (in group) hom_compose:
  "[|h ∈ hom G H; i ∈ hom H I|] ==> compose (carrier G) i h ∈ hom G I"
by (fastforce simp add: hom_def compose_def)
lemma (in group) restrict_hom_iff [simp]:
  "(\lambdax. if x \in carrier G then f x else g x) \in hom G H \longleftrightarrow f \in hom G H"
  by (simp add: hom_def Pi_iff)
definition iso :: "_ => _ => ('a => 'b) set"
  where "iso G H = {h. h \in hom G H \land bij betw h (carrier G) (carrier
HHV"
definition is_iso :: "_ ⇒ _ ⇒ bool" (infixr "∼=" 60)
  where "G \cong H = (\text{iso } G H \neq {\{\})"
definition mon where "mon G H = \{f \in \text{hom } G H. \text{ inj}_on f \text{ (carrier } G\}"
definition epi where "epi G H = {f ∈ hom G H. f ' (carrier G) = carrier
H}"
```

```
lemma isoI:
  \lVert h \rVert = \lVert h \rVert and G H; bij_betw h (carrier G) (carrier H)] \Rightarrow h \in iso G H"
  by (auto simp: iso_def)
lemma is isoI: "h ∈ iso G H \implies G \cong H"
  using is_iso_def by auto
lemma epi_iff_subset:
   "f \in epi G G' \longleftrightarrow f \in hom G G' \land carrier G' \subseteq f ' carrier G"
  by (auto simp: epi_def hom_def)
lemma iso_iff_mon_epi: "f \in iso G H \longleftrightarrow f \in mon G H \land f \in epi G H"
  by (auto simp: iso_def mon_def epi_def bij_betw_def)
lemma iso_set_refl: "(\lambda x. x) \in iso G G"
  by (simp add: iso_def hom_def inj_on_def bij_betw_def Pi_def)
lemma id_iso: "id ∈ iso G G"
  by (simp add: iso_def hom_def inj_on_def bij_betw_def Pi_def)
corollary iso_refl [simp]: "G "using iso_set_refl unfolding is_iso_def by auto
lemma iso_iff:
   "h ∈ iso G H \longleftrightarrow h ∈ hom G H \land h ' (carrier G) = carrier H \land inj_on
h (carrier G)"
  by (auto simp: iso_def hom_def bij_betw_def)
lemma iso_imp_homomorphism:
   "h \in iso G H \implies h \in hom G H"
  by (simp add: iso_iff)
lemma trivial_hom:
   "group H \implies (\lambda x. one H) \in hom G H"by (auto simp: hom_def Group.group_def)
lemma (in group) hom_eq:
  \text{assumes} "f \in hom G H" "\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier} \ G \implies f' x = f x''shows "f' ∈ hom G H"
  using assms by (auto simp: hom_def)
lemma (in group) iso_eq:
  \text{assumes} "f \in iso G H" "\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier} \; \mathbb{G} \implies \text{f'} \; x = \text{f} \; x"
  shows "f' ∈ iso G H"
  using assms by (fastforce simp: iso_def inj_on_def bij_betw_def hom_eq
image_iff)
lemma (in group) iso_set_sym:
  assumes "h ∈ iso G H"
```

```
shows "inv_into (carrier G) h ∈ iso H G"
proof -
  have h: "h ∈ hom G H" "bij_betw h (carrier G) (carrier H)"
    using assms by (auto simp add: iso_def bij_betw_inv_into)
  then have HG: "bij_betw (inv_into (carrier G) h) (carrier H) (carrier
G)"
    by (simp add: bij_betw_inv_into)
  have "inv into (carrier G) h \in hom H G"
    unfolding hom_def
  proof safe
    \texttt{show } * \colon \, \texttt{``}\texttt{/x. x} \in \texttt{carrier H} \implies \texttt{inv\_into} \texttt{ (carrier G) } \texttt{h x} \in \texttt{carrier}G''by (meson HG bij_betwE)
    show "inv_into (carrier G) h (x \otimesH y) = inv_into (carrier G) h x
⊗ inv_into (carrier G) h y"
      if "x ∈ carrier H" "y ∈ carrier H" for x y
    proof (rule inv_into_f_eq)
      show "inj_on h (carrier G)"
        using bij_betw_def h(2) by blast
      show "inv_into (carrier G) h x ⊗ inv_into (carrier G) h y ∈ carrier
G''by (simp add: * that)
      show "h (inv_into (carrier G) h x \otimes inv_into (carrier G) h y) =
x \otimes_H y''using h bij_betw_inv_into_right [of h] unfolding hom_def by (simp
add: "*" that)
    qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Group.iso_def bij_betw_inv_into h)
qed
corollary (in group) iso_sym: "G \cong H \implies H \cong G"
  using iso_set_sym unfolding is_iso_def by auto
lemma iso_set_trans:
  "[h \in Group.iso G H; i \in Group.iso H I] \implies i \circ h \in Group.iso G I"
  by (force simp: iso_def hom_compose intro: bij_betw_trans)
corollary iso_trans [trans]: "[G \cong H; H \cong I] \implies G \cong I"
  using iso_set_trans unfolding is_iso_def by blast
lemma iso_same_card: "G \cong H \implies card (carrier G) = card (carrier H)"
  using bij_betw_same_card unfolding is_iso_def iso_def by auto
lemma iso finite: "G \cong H \implies finite(carrier G) \longleftrightarrow finite(carrier H)"
  by (auto simp: is iso def iso def bij betw finite)
lemma mon_compose:
```

```
\mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{I}}[f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{I}}[f \by (auto simp: mon_def intro: hom_compose comp_inj_on inj_on_subset
[OF _ hom_carrier])
lemma mon_compose_rev:
    \lVert f \rVert \in hom G H; g \in hom H K; (g \circ f) \in mon G K\rVert \implies f \in mon G H"
  using inj on imageI2 by (auto simp: mon def)
lemma epi_compose:
    \mathbb{I}^{\mathsf{f}}[f \in epi G H; g \in epi H K\mathbb{I} \implies (g \circ f) \in epi G K\mathbb{I}^{\mathsf{f}}using hom_compose by (force simp: epi_def hom_compose simp flip: image_image)
lemma epi_compose_rev:
    "[f \in \text{hom } G H; g \in \text{hom } H K; (g \circ f) \in \text{epi } G K] \implies g \in \text{epi } H K"
  by (fastforce simp: epi_def hom_def Pi_iff image_def set_eq_iff)
lemma iso_compose_rev:
    \mathbb{C} [f \in hom G H; g \in hom H K; (g \circ f) \in iso G K] \implies f \in mon G H \land g
∈ epi H K"
  unfolding iso_iff_mon_epi using mon_compose_rev epi_compose_rev by blast
lemma epi_iso_compose_rev:
  assumes "f ∈ epi G H" "g ∈ hom H K" "(g ◦ f) ∈ iso G K"
  shows "f \in iso G H \land g \in iso H K"
proof
  show "f \in iso G H"
     by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms epi_def iso_compose_rev iso_iff_mon_epi
mem Collect eq)
  then have "f ∈ hom G H ∧ bij_betw f (carrier G) (carrier H)"
     using Group.iso_def ‹ f ∈ Group.iso G H› by blast
  then have "bij_betw g (carrier H) (carrier K)"
     using Group.iso_def assms(3) bij_betw_comp_iff by blast
  then show "g ∈ iso H K"
     using Group.iso_def assms(2) by blast
qed
lemma mon_left_invertible:
    \lVert f \rVert \in hom G H; \bigwedge x. x \in carrier G \implies g(f \ x) = x \rVert \implies f \in mon G H"
  by (simp add: mon_def inj_on_def) metis
lemma epi_right_invertible:
    \lVert \mathbb{g} \in hom H G; f \in carrier G \rightarrow carrier H; \bigwedge \mathbb{X}. \mathbb{X} \in carrier G \Longrightarrow \mathbb{g}(f)x) = x \Rightarrow g \in epi H G"
  by (force simp: Pi_iff epi_iff_subset image_subset_iff_funcset subset_iff)
lemma (in monoid) hom_imp_img_monoid:
  assumes "h \in \text{hom } G H"
  shows "monoid (H ( carrier := h ' (carrier G), one := h 1_G ))" (is "monoid
?h_img")
```
```
proof (rule monoidI)
  show "1<sub>?himg</sub> ∈ carrier ?himg"by auto
next
  fix x y z assume "x ∈ carrier ?h_img" "y ∈ carrier ?h_img" "z ∈ carrier
?h_img"
  then obtain g1 g2 g3
    where g1: "g1 \in carrier G" "x = h g1"and g2: "g2 \in \text{carrier } G" "y = h g2"
       and g3: "g3 ∈ carrier G" "z = h g3"
    using image_iff[where ?f = h and ?A = "carrier G"] by auto
  have aux_lemma:
     "\bigwedgea b. [a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G ] \implies h a \otimes_{(?h\_img)} h b = h
(a ⊗ b)"
    using assms unfolding hom_def by auto
  show "x \otimes (?h_img) 1 (?h_img) = x"
    using aux_lemma[OF g1(1) one_closed] g1(2) r_one[OF g1(1)] by simp
  show "1_{?h\_img}) ⊗<sub>(?h_img)</sub> x = x"
    using aux_lemma[OF one_closed g1(1)] g1(2) l_one[OF g1(1)] by simp
  have "x \otimes<sub>(?h_img)</sub> y = h (g1 \otimes g2)"
    using aux_lemma g1 g2 by auto
  thus "x \otimes (?h_img) y \in carrier ?h_img"
    \lim_{x \to 0} g1(1) g2(1) by simp
  have "(x \otimes<sub>(?h_img</sub>) y) \otimes<sub>(?h_img</sub>) z = h ((g1 \otimes g2) \otimes g3)"
    using aux_lemma g1 g2 g3 by auto
  also have " ... = h (g1 ⊗ (g2 ⊗ g3))"
    using m_assoc[OF g1(1) g2(1) g3(1)] by simp
  also have " ... = x \otimes_{(\text{?h\_img})} (y \otimes_{(\text{?h\_img})} z)"
    using aux_lemma g1 g2 g3 by auto
  finally show "(x ⊗<sub>(?h_img)</sub> y) ⊗<sub>(?h_img)</sub> z = x ⊗<sub>(?h_img)</sub> (y ⊗<sub>(?h_img)</sub>
z)" .
qed
lemma (in group) hom_imp_img_group:
  assumes "h ∈ hom G H"
  shows "group (H (| carrier := h ' (carrier G), one := h 1_G |)" (is "group
?h_img")
proof -
  interpret monoid ?h_img
    using hom_imp_img_monoid[OF assms] .
  show ?thesis
  proof (unfold_locales)
    show "carrier ?h_img ⊆ Units ?h_img"
    proof (auto simp add: Units_def)
```

```
have aux_lemma:
          "\landg1 g2. [| g1 ∈ carrier G; g2 ∈ carrier G ] \implies h g1 \otimesH h g2
= h (g1 ⊗ g2)"
          using assms unfolding hom_def by auto
        fix g1 assume g1: "g1 ∈ carrier G"
        thus "∃g2 ∈ carrier G. (h g2) \otimesH (h g1) = h 1 ∧ (h g1) \otimesH (h g2)
= h 1"using aux_lemma[OF g1 inv_closed[OF g1]]
                  aux_lemma[OF inv_closed[OF g1] g1]
                  inv_closed by auto
     qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) iso_imp_group:
  \text{assumes } "G \cong H" \text{ and } "monoid H"shows "group H"
proof -
  obtain \varphi where phi: "\varphi \in \mathsf{iso} \ G \ H" "inv_into (carrier G) \varphi \in \mathsf{iso} \ HG''using iso_set_sym assms unfolding is_iso_def by blast
  define \psi where psi_def: "\psi = inv_into (carrier G) \varphi"
  have surj: "ϕ ' (carrier G) = (carrier H)" "ψ ' (carrier H) = (carrier
G)"
    and inj: "inj_on \varphi (carrier G)" "inj_on \psi (carrier H)"
    \text{and } \text{phi\_hom}\colon \mathord{\text{``}}\text{/}}g1 g2. \llbracket g1 \in carrier G; g2 \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies \varphi (g1
\otimes g2) = (\varphi g1) \otimesH (\varphi g2)"
    \texttt{and psi\_hom}\colon "\texttt{/h1 h2}\colon\lbrack\;\;\;\;\text{h1}\;\in\;\texttt{carrier H}\;;\;\;\;\text{h2}\;\in\;\texttt{carrier H}\;\rbrack\implies\psi\;\;\texttt{(h1)}\otimes<sub>H</sub> h2) = (\psi h1) \otimes (\psi h2)"
   using phi psi_def unfolding iso_def bij_betw_def hom_def by auto
  have phi_one: "\varphi 1 = 1<sub>H</sub>"
  proof -
     have "(\varphi \ 1) \otimes_H 1_H = (\varphi \ 1) \otimes_H (\varphi \ 1)"
        by (metis assms(2) image_eqI monoid.r_one one_closed phi_hom r_one
surj(1))thus ?thesis
       by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) Units_eq Units_one_closed assms(2)
f_inv_into_f imageI
             monoid.l_one monoid.one_closed phi_hom psi_def r_one surj)
  qed
  have "carrier H ⊆ Units H"
  proof
     fix h assume h: "h ∈ carrier H"
     let ?inv h = "\varphi (inv (\psi h))"have "h \otimes_H ?inv_h = \varphi (\psi h) \otimes_H ?inv_h"
```

```
by (simp add: f_inv_into_f h psi_def surj(1))
    also have " ... = \varphi ((\psi h) \otimes inv (\psi h))"
      by (metis h imageI inv_closed phi_hom surj(2))
    also have " \ldots = \varphi 1"
      by (simp add: h inv_into_into psi_def surj(1))
    finally have 1: "h \otimes<sub>H</sub> ?inv_h = 1<sub>H</sub>"
      using phi_one by simp
    have "?inv_h \otimes_H h = ?inv_h \otimes_H \varphi (\psi h)"
      by (simp add: f_inv_into_f h psi_def surj(1))
    also have " ... = \varphi (inv (\psi h) \otimes (\psi h))"
      by (metis h imageI inv_closed phi_hom surj(2))
    also have " \ldots = \varphi \; 1"
      by (simp add: h inv_into_into psi_def surj(1))
    finally have 2: "?inv_h \otimes_H h = 1_H"
      using phi_one by simp
    thus "h ∈ Units H" unfolding Units_def using 1 2 h surj by fastforce
  qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding group_def group_axioms_def using assms(2) by
simp
qed
corollary (in group) iso_imp_img_group:
  assumes "h ∈ iso G H"
  shows "group (H ( one := h 1 ))"
proof -
  let ?h_img = "H (| carrier := h ' (carrier G), one := h 1 |)"
  have "h ∈ iso G ?h_img"
    using assms unfolding iso_def hom_def bij_betw_def by auto
  hence "G \cong ?h<sub>_</sub>img"
    unfolding is_iso_def by auto
  hence "group ?h_img"
    using iso_imp_group[of ?h_img] hom_imp_img_monoid[of h H] assms un-
folding iso_def by simp
  moreover have "carrier H = carrier ?h_img"
    using assms unfolding iso_def bij_betw_def by simp
  hence "H ( one := h 1 ) = ?h_img"
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
```
## **6.8.1 HOL Light's concept of an isomorphism pair**

```
definition group_isomorphisms
  where
 "group_isomorphisms G H f g \equivf \in hom G H \land g \in hom H G \land(\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. g(f x) = x) \land
```

```
(\forall y \in \text{carrier H. } f(g y) = y)"
lemma group_isomorphisms_sym: "group_isomorphisms G H f g \implies group_isomorphisms
H G g f"
  by (auto simp: group isomorphisms def)
lemma group isomorphisms imp iso: "group isomorphisms G H f g \implies f \iniso G H"
by (auto simp: iso_def inj_on_def image_def group_isomorphisms_def hom_def
bij betw def Pi iff, metis+)
lemma (in group) iso_iff_group_isomorphisms:
  "f \in iso G H \longleftrightarrow (\existsg. group_isomorphisms G H f g)"
proof safe
  show "∃ g. group_isomorphisms G H f g" if "f ∈ Group.iso G H"
    unfolding group isomorphisms def
  proof (intro exI conjI)
    let ?g = "inv_into (carrier G) f"
    show "∀ x∈carrier G. ?g (f x) = x"
      by (metis (no_types, lifting) Group.iso_def bij_betw_inv_into_left
mem_Collect_eq that)
    show "∀ y∈carrier H. f (?g y) = y"
      by (metis (no_types, lifting) Group.iso_def bij_betw_inv_into_right
mem_Collect_eq that)
  qed (use Group.iso_def iso_set_sym that in ‹ blast+› )
next
  fix g
  assume "group_isomorphisms G H f g"
  then show "f \in Group.iso G H"
    by (auto simp: iso_def group_isomorphisms_def hom_in_carrier intro:
bij_betw_byWitness)
qed
```
## **6.8.2 Involving direct products**

```
lemma DirProd_commute_iso_set:
  shows "(\lambda(x,y). (y,x)) \in iso (G \times \times H) (H \times \times G)"
  by (auto simp add: iso def hom def inj on def bij betw def)
corollary DirProd_commute_iso :
\text{``(G }\times\times H) \cong (H \times \times G)\text{''}using DirProd_commute_iso_set unfolding is_iso_def by blast
lemma DirProd_assoc_iso_set:
  shows "(\lambda(x,y,z), (x,(y,z))) \in iso (G \times \times H \times \times I) (G \times \times (H \times \times I))"
by (auto simp add: iso_def hom_def inj_on_def bij_betw_def)
lemma (in group) DirProd_iso_set_trans:
  assumes "g ∈ iso G G2"
```

```
and "h ∈ iso H I"
  shows "(\lambda(x,y). (g x, h y)) \in iso (G \times \times H) (G2 \times \times I)"
proof-
  have "(\lambda(x,y). (g x, h y)) \in hom (G \times \times H) (G2 \times \times I)"
    using assms unfolding iso_def hom_def by auto
  moreover have " inj_on (\lambda(x,y). (g x, h y)) (carrier (G \times \times H))"
    using assms unfolding iso_def DirProd_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def
by auto
  moreover have "(\lambda(x, y). (g x, h y)) ' carrier (G \times \times H) = carrier
(G2 \times x \times I)"using assms unfolding iso_def bij_betw_def image_def DirProd_def by
fastforce
  ultimately show "(\lambda(x,y). (g x, h y)) \in iso (G \times \times H) (G2 \times \times I)"
    unfolding iso_def bij_betw_def by auto
qed
corollary (in group) DirProd_iso_trans :
  assumes "G \cong G2" and "H \cong I"
  shows "G \times \times H \cong G2 \times \times I"
  using DirProd_iso_set_trans assms unfolding is_iso_def by blast
lemma hom_pairwise: "f ∈ hom G (DirProd H K) ←→ (fst ◦ f) ∈ hom G H
\wedge (snd \circ f) \in hom G K"
  apply (auto simp: hom_def mult_DirProd' dest: Pi_mem)
   apply (metis Product_Type.mem_Times_iff comp_eq_dest_lhs funcset_mem)
  by (metis mult_DirProd prod.collapse)
lemma hom_paired:
   "(\lambda x. (f x,g x)) \in \text{hom } G (\text{DirProd } H K) \longleftrightarrow f \in \text{hom } G H \wedge g \in \text{hom } GG K"
  by (simp add: hom_pairwise o_def)
lemma hom_paired2:
  assumes "group G" "group H"
  shows "(\lambda(x,y). (f x,g y)) \in hom (DirProd G H) (DirProd G' H') \longleftrightarrowf \in \text{hom } G G' \wedge g \in \text{hom } H H'"
  using assms
  by (fastforce simp: hom_def Pi_def dest!: group.is_monoid)
lemma iso_paired2:
  assumes "group G" "group H"
  shows "(\lambda(x,y). (f x,g y)) \in iso (DirProd G H) (DirProd G' H') \longleftrightarrowf \in iso G G' \wedge g \in iso H H''using assms
  by (fastforce simp add: iso_def inj_on_def bij_betw_def hom_paired2
image_paired_Times
       times_eq_iff group_def monoid.carrier not empty)
lemma hom_of_fst:
```

```
assumes "group H"
  shows "(f ⊙ fst) \in hom (DirProd G H) K \longleftrightarrow f \in hom G K"
proof -
  interpret group H
    by (rule assms)
  show ?thesis
    using one closed by (auto simp: hom def Pi def)
qed
lemma hom_of_snd:
  assumes "group G"
  shows "(f ⊙ snd) \in hom (DirProd G H) K \longleftrightarrow f \in hom H K"
proof -
  interpret group G
    by (rule assms)
  show ?thesis
    using one_closed by (auto simp: hom_def Pi_def)
qed
```
## **6.9 The locale for a homomorphism between two groups**

Basis for homomorphism proofs: we assume two groups G and H, with a homomorphism h between them

```
locale group_hom = G?: group G + H?: group H for G (structure) and H (structure)
+
  fixes h
  assumes homh [simp]: "h ∈ hom G H"
declare group_hom.homh [simp]
lemma (in group_hom) hom_mult [simp]:
  "[| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |] ==> h (x \otimes_G y) = h x \otimes_H h y''proof -
  assume "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
  with homh [unfolded hom_def] show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in group_hom) hom_closed [simp]:
  "x \in \text{carrier } G \implies h \times F \text{ carrier } H"
proof -
  assume "x ∈ carrier G"
  with homh [unfolded hom_def] show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in group_hom) one_closed: "h 1 ∈ carrier H"
  by simp
lemma (in group_hom) hom_one [simp]: "h 1 = 1_H"
proof -
```

```
have "h 1 \otimesH 1H = h 1 \otimesH h 1"
    by (simp add: hom_mult [symmetric] del: hom_mult)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis H.Units_eq H.Units_l_cancel H.one_closed local.one_closed)
qed
lemma hom_one:
  assumes "h ∈ hom G H" "group G" "group H"
  shows "h (one G) = one H"
  apply (rule group_hom.hom_one)
  by (simp add: assms group_hom_axioms_def group_hom_def)
lemma hom_mult:
  "[h ∈ hom G H; x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G] \implies h (x \otimes g y) = h x \otimes H
h y"
  by (auto simp: hom_def)
lemma (in group_hom) inv_closed [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G ==> h (inv x) \in carrier H"
  by simp
lemma (in group_hom) hom_inv [simp]:
  assumes "x \in \text{carrier } G" shows "h (inv x) = inv<sub>H</sub> (h x)"
proof -
  have "h x \otimes_H h (inv x) = h x \otimes_H inv<sub>H</sub> (h x)"
    using assms by (simp flip: hom_mult)
  with assms show ?thesis by (simp del: H.r_inv H.Units_r_inv)
qed
lemma (in group) int_pow_is_hom:
  "x \in carrier G \implies (([^]) x) \in hom \parallel carrier = UNIV, mult = (+), one
= 0::int \| G "
  unfolding hom_def by (simp add: int_pow_mult)
lemma (in group_hom) img_is_subgroup: "subgroup (h ' (carrier G)) H"
  apply (rule subgroupI)
  apply (auto simp add: image_subsetI)
  apply (metis G.inv_closed hom_inv image_iff)
  by (metis G.monoid_axioms hom_mult image_eqI monoid.m_closed)
lemma (in group_hom) subgroup_img_is_subgroup:
  assumes "subgroup I G"
  shows "subgroup (h ' I) H"
proof -
  have "h \in hom (G ( carrier := I )) H"
    using G.subgroupE[OF assms] subgroup.mem_carrier[OF assms] homh
    unfolding hom_def by auto
  hence "group_hom (G \nvert \text{ carrier} := I \nvert)) H h"
```

```
using subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF assms G.is_group] is_group
     unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def by simp
  thus ?thesis
     using group hom.img is subgroup[of "G (| carrier := I |)" H h] by simp
qed
lemma (in subgroup) iso_subgroup:
  assumes "group G" "group F"
  assumes "\varphi \in iso G F"
  shows "subgroup (\varphi \cdot H) F"
  by (metis assms Group.iso_iff group_hom.intro group_hom_axioms_def group_hom.subgroup_img
subgroup_axioms)
lemma (in group_hom) induced_group_hom:
  assumes "subgroup I G"
  shows "group hom (G (| carrier := I |)) (H (| carrier := h ' I |)) h"
proof -
  have "h \in hom (G \parallel carrier := I \parallel)) (H \parallel carrier := h ' I \parallel)"
     using homh subgroup.mem_carrier[OF assms] unfolding hom_def by auto
  thus ?thesis
     unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def
     using subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF assms G.is_group]
            subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF subgroup_img_is_subgroup[OF assms]
is_group] by simp
qed
An isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism of subgroups.
lemma iso_restrict:
  assumes "\varphi \in iso G F"
  assumes groups: "group G" "group F"
  assumes HG: "subgroup H G"
  shows "(restrict \varphi H) \in iso (G(|carrier := H)) (F(|carrier := \varphi ' H))"
proof -
  have "\bigwedge x y. [x \in H; y \in H; x \otimes_G y \in H] \implies \varphi (x \otimes_G y) = \varphi x \otimes_F \varphiy"
     by (meson assms hom_mult iso_imp_homomorphism subgroup.mem_carrier)
  \text{moreover have }\text{``}\text{/}\text{x y. } \llbracket \text{x }\in \text{H}; \text{ y }\in \text{H}; \text{ x } \otimes_{\mathsf{G}} \text{ y }\notin \text{H} \rrbracket \implies \varphi \text{ x } \otimes_{\mathsf{F}} \varphi \text{ y } =undefined"
     by (simp add: HG subgroup.m_closed)
  \text{moreover have }\|\wedge x\|y.\|x\in\mathbb{H};\;y\in\mathbb{H};\;\varphi\|x\cdot y\|\implies x=y''by (smt (verit, ccfv_SIG) assms group.iso_iff_group_isomorphisms group_isomorphisms_def
subgroup.mem_carrier)
  ultimately show ?thesis
     by (auto simp: iso_def hom_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def)
qed
lemma (in group) canonical_inj_is_hom:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "group hom (G ( carrier := H ()) G id"
```
116

```
unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def hom_def
  using subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF assms is_group]
           is_group subgroup.subset[OF assms] by auto
lemma (in group_hom) hom_nat_pow:
   "x \in carrier G \implies h(x [\uparrow](n :: nat)) = (h x) [\uparrow]_H n"
  by (induction n) auto
lemma (in group_hom) hom_int_pow:
   "x \in carrier G \implies h(x [\uparrow](n :: int)) = (h x) [\uparrow]_H n"using hom_nat_pow by (simp add: int_pow_def2)
lemma hom_nat_pow:
   \mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{I}}[h \in \text{hom } G H; x \in \text{carrier } G; \text{ group } G; \text{ group } H] \implies h (x [\hat{\ }]_G (n ::nat)) = (h x) [\hat{=}]\hat{=} n"
  by (simp add: group_hom.hom_nat_pow group_hom_axioms_def group_hom_def)
lemma hom_int_pow:
  \lVert \cdot \rVert \lVert 
int)) = (h x) [\hat{ } ]_{H} n"
  by (simp add: group_hom.hom_int_pow group_hom_axioms.intro group_hom_def)
```
## **6.10 Commutative Structures**

Naming convention: multiplicative structures that are commutative are called *commutative*, additive structures are called *Abelian*.

```
locale comm_monoid = monoid +
  assumes m_comm: "[[x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G]] =⇒ x ⊗ y = y ⊗ x"
lemma (in comm_monoid) m_lcomm:
  \lVert x \rVert = 0 carrier \lVert x \rVert = 0 arrier \lVert x \rVert = 0 arrier \lVert x \rVert = 0 arrier
   x \otimes (y \otimes z) = y \otimes (x \otimes z)"
proof -
  assume xyz: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "z ∈ carrier G"
  from xyz have "x \otimes (y \otimes z) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z" by (simp add: m_assoc)
  also from xyz have "... = (y \otimes x) \otimes z" by (simp add: m_comm)
  also from xyz have "... = y \otimes (x \otimes z)" by (simp add: m_assoc)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemmas (in comm_monoid) m_ac = m_assoc m_comm m_lcomm
lemma comm_monoidI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes m_closed:
       "!!x y. [| x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G |] ==> x \otimes y ∈ carrier
G''and one_closed: "1 ∈ carrier G"
    and m_assoc:
```

```
"!!x y z. [| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; z \in carrier G |] ==>
       (x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)"
     and l_one: "!!x. x \in carrier G \implies 1 \otimes x = x"
     and m_comm:
       "!!x y. [| x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G |] ==> x \otimes y = y \otimes x"
  shows "comm_monoid G"
  using l_one
     by (auto intro!: comm_monoid.intro comm_monoid_axioms.intro monoid.intro
                intro: assms simp: m_closed one_closed m_comm)
lemma (in monoid) monoid_comm_monoidI:
  assumes m_comm:
       "!!x y. [| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |] ==> x \otimes y = y \otimes x"
  shows "comm_monoid G"
  by (rule comm_monoidI) (auto intro: m_assoc m_comm)
lemma (in comm_monoid) submonoid_is_comm_monoid :
  assumes "submonoid H G"
  shows "comm_monoid (G(|carrier := H|))"
proof (intro monoid.monoid_comm_monoidI)
  show "monoid (G(\text{carrier} := H))"
     using submonoid.submonoid_is_monoid assms comm_monoid_axioms comm_monoid_def
by blast
  \texttt{show }\texttt{"}\bigwedge\!\texttt{x}\texttt{ y. } \texttt{x} \in \texttt{carrier } (\texttt{G} \|\texttt{carrier} \texttt{ := } \texttt{H}\|) \implies \texttt{y} \in \texttt{carrier } (\texttt{G} \|\texttt{carrier} \texttt{ := } \texttt{H}\|):= H\parallel)
          \implies x \otimes_{\mathsf{G}} (carrier := H) \mathsf{y} = y \otimes_{\mathsf{G}} (carrier := H) \mathsf{x}"
     by simp (meson assms m_comm submonoid.mem_carrier)
qed
locale comm_group = comm_monoid + group
lemma (in group) group_comm_groupI:
  assumes m_comm: "!!x y. [| x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G |] ==> x \otimesy = y \otimes x''shows "comm_group G"
  by standard (simp_all add: m_comm)
lemma comm_groupI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes m_closed:
       "!!x y. [| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |] ==> x \otimes y \in carrier
G"
     and one_closed: "1 ∈ carrier G"
     and m_assoc:
       "!!x y z. [| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; z \in carrier G |] ==>
       (x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)"
    and m_comm:
       "!!x y. [| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |] ==> x \otimes y = y \otimes x"
     and 1 one: "!!x. x \in carrier G ==> 1 \otimes x = x"
```

```
and l_inv_ex: "!!x. x \in carrier G ==> \exists y \in carrier G. y \otimes x = 1"
  shows "comm_group G"
  by (fast intro: group.group_comm_groupI groupI assms)
lemma comm_groupE:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes "comm_group G"
   \texttt{shows}\text{ ``\textbf{X}''} y. \texttt{[\![} \text{ $x \in$ carrier $G$; $y \in$ carrier $G$ \texttt{]}\implies \texttt{X} \otimes \texttt{y} \in$ carrier $G$}G''and "1 ∈ carrier G"
     \text{and} "\bigwedge \!\! \times y z. \llbracket x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; z \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies(x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)"
     \quad \text{ and } " \text{/x y. } [\![ \text{ x } \in \text{ carrier } \texttt{G}; \text{ y } \in \text{ carrier } \texttt{G} \text{ } ] \Longrightarrow \text{ x } \otimes \text{ y } \texttt{ = y } \otimes \text{ x} \texttt{''}and "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier G \implies 1 \otimes x = x"
     \quad \text{ and } \ ^{\shortparallel }\mathcal{N} \text{x. } \ \text{x. } \in \text{ carrier } \mathbb{G} \implies \exists \, \text{y. } \in \text{ carrier } \mathbb{G. } \ \text{y. } \otimes \ \text{x. } = \ 1^{\shortparallel}apply (simp_all add: group.axioms assms comm_group.axioms comm_monoid.m_comm
comm_monoid.m_ac(1))
  by (simp_all add: Group.group.axioms(1) assms comm_group.axioms(2) monoid.m_closed
group.r_inv_ex)
lemma (in comm_group) inv_mult:
  "[| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |] ==> inv (x \otimes y) = inv x \otimes inv y''by (simp add: m_ac inv_mult_group)
lemma (in comm_monoid) nat_pow_distrib:
  fixes n::nat
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
  shows "(x \otimes y) [^] n = x [^] n \otimes y [^] n"
  by (simp add: assms pow_mult_distrib m_comm)
lemma (in comm_group) int_pow_distrib:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
  shows "(x \otimes y) [^] (i::int) = x [^] i \otimes y [^] i"
  by (simp add: assms int_pow_mult_distrib m_comm)
lemma (in comm_monoid) hom_imp_img_comm_monoid:
  assumes "h ∈ hom G H"
  shows "comm_monoid (H (| carrier := h ' (carrier G), one := h 1_G |))"
(is "comm_monoid ?h_img")
proof (rule monoid.monoid_comm_monoidI)
  show "monoid ?h_img"
     using hom_imp_img_monoid[OF assms] .
next
  fix x y assume "x ∈ carrier ?h_img" "y ∈ carrier ?h_img"
  then obtain g1 g2
     where g1: "g1 ∈ carrier G" "x = h g1"
       and g2: "g2 \in carrier G" "y = h g2"
     by auto
  have "x \otimes<sub>(?h_img)</sub> y = h (g1 \otimes g2)"
```
119

```
using g1 g2 assms unfolding hom_def by auto
  also have " ... = h (g2 ⊗ g1)"
    using m_comm[OF g1(1) g2(1)] by simp
  also have " ... = y \otimes_{(\gamma_{h\_img})} x''using g1 g2 assms unfolding hom_def by auto
  finally show "x \otimes(?h_img) y = y \otimes(?h_img) x" .
qed
lemma (in comm_group) hom_group_mult:
  assumes "f ∈ hom H G" "g ∈ hom H G"
 shows "(\lambda x. f x \otimes_{G} g x) \in \text{hom } H G"
    using assms by (auto simp: hom_def Pi_def m_ac)
lemma (in comm_group) hom_imp_img_comm_group:
  assumes "h ∈ hom G H"
  shows "comm_group (H ( carrier := h ' (carrier G), one := h 1<sub>G</sub> ()"
  unfolding comm_group_def
  using hom_imp_img_group[OF assms] hom_imp_img_comm_monoid[OF assms]
by simp
lemma (in comm_group) iso_imp_img_comm_group:
  assumes "h ∈ iso G H"
  shows "comm_group (H ( one := h 1_G ))"
proof -
  let ?h<sub>_</sub>img = "H (| carrier := h ' (carrier G), one := h 1 |)"
  have "comm_group ?h_img"
    using hom_imp_img_comm_group[of h H] assms unfolding iso_def by auto
  moreover have "carrier H = carrier ?h_img"
    using assms unfolding iso_def bij_betw_def by simp
  hence "H \parallel one := h 1 \parallel = ?h_img"
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in comm_group) iso_imp_comm_group:
  assumes "G ≅ H" "monoid H"
  shows "comm_group H"
proof -
  obtain h where h: "h ∈ iso G H"
    using assms(1) unfolding is_iso_def by auto
  hence comm_gr: "comm_group (H ( one := h 1 ))"
    using iso_imp_img_comm_group[of h H] by simp
  hence \sqrt[m]{x}. x \in carrier H \implies h \sqrt{1} \otimes_H x = x"
    using monoid.l_one[of "H (| one := h 1 |)"] unfolding comm_group_def
comm_monoid_def by simp
  moreover have "h 1 ∈ carrier H"
    using h one_closed unfolding iso_def hom_def by auto
  ultimately have "h 1 = 1_H"
    using monoid.one_unique[OF assms(2), of "h 1"] by simp
```

```
hence "H = H ( one := h 1 )"
      by simp
   thus ?thesis
      using comm_gr by simp
qed
lemma (in group) incl_subgroup:
   assumes "subgroup J G"
      and "subgroup I (G(carrier:=J))"
   shows "subgroup I G" unfolding subgroup_def
proof
   have H1: "I ⊆ carrier (G(|carrier:=J|))" using assms(2) subgroup.subset
by blast
   also have H2: "...⊆J" by simp
   also have "...⊆(carrier G)" by (simp add: assms(1) subgroup.subset)
   finally have H: "I ⊆ carrier G" by simp
   \texttt{have } " (\texttt{/x y. [x \in I ; y \in I] } \Rightarrow x \otimes y \in I) " using <code>assms(2) by (auto) \in I) \Rightarrow (x \simp add: subgroup_def)
   thus "I \subseteq carrier G \land (\forall x y. x \in I \longrightarrow y \in I \longrightarrow x \otimes y \in I)" us-
ing H by blast
   have K: "1 ∈ I" using assms(2) by (auto simp add: subgroup_def)
   have "(\bigwedge x. x \in I \implies inv x \in I)" using assumes subgroup.m_inv_closedH
      by (metis H1 H2 m_inv_consistent subsetCE)
   thus "1 ∈ I ∧ (\forall x. x \in I \longrightarrow inv x \in I)" using K by blast
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_incl:
   assumes "subgroup I G" and "subgroup J G" and "I ⊆ J"
```

```
shows "subgroup I (G ( carrier := J ))"
  using group.group_incl_imp_subgroup[of "G ( carrier := J )" I]
        assms(1-2)[THEN subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF _ group_axioms]]
assms(3) by auto
```
# **6.11 The Lattice of Subgroups of a Group**

```
theorem (in group) subgroups_partial_order:
  "partial_order |carrier = {H. subgroup H G}, eq = (=), le = (\subseteq) ||"
  by standard simp_all
lemma (in group) subgroup_self:
  "subgroup (carrier G) G"
  by (rule subgroupI) auto
lemma (in group) subgroup_imp_group:
  "subgroup H G ==> group (G(|\text{carrier := } H|))"
  by (erule subgroup.subgroup_is_group) (rule group_axioms)
```

```
lemma (in group) subgroup_mult_equality:
  "[[ subgroup H G; h1 \in H; h2 \in H ]] \implies h1 \otimes G ( carrier := H ) h2 = h1
⊗ h2"
  unfolding subgroup_def by simp
theorem (in group) subgroups_Inter:
  \text{assumes subgr: } "(\text{H. H} \in \text{A} \implies \text{subgroup H G})"and not_empty: "A \neq {\}"
  shows "subgroup (\bigcap A) G"
proof (rule subgroupI)
  from subgr [THEN subgroup.subset] and not_empty
  show "
T
A ⊆ carrier G" by blast
next
  from subgr [THEN subgroup.one_closed]
  \text{show } \P \cap \text{A} \neq \{ \}^{\P} by \text{blast}next
  fix x assume "x \in \bigcap A"
  with subgr [THEN subgroup.m_inv_closed]
  show "inv x \in \bigcap A" by blast
next
  fix x y assume "x \in \bigcap A" "y \in \bigcap A"
  with subgr [THEN subgroup.m_closed]
  show "x \otimes y \in \bigcap A" by blast
qed
lemma (in group) subgroups_Inter_pair :
  assumes "subgroup I G" "subgroup J G" shows "subgroup (I∩J) G"
  using subgroups Inter[ where ?A = "{I,J}"] assms by auto
theorem (in group) subgroups_complete_lattice:
  "complete_lattice (|carrier = {H. subgroup H G}, eq = (=), le = (\subseteq))"
    (is "complete_lattice ?L")
proof (rule partial_order.complete_lattice_criterion1)
  show "partial_order ?L" by (rule subgroups_partial_order)
next
  have "greatest ?L (carrier G) (carrier ?L)"
    by (unfold greatest_def) (simp add: subgroup.subset subgroup_self)
  then show "∃ G. greatest ?L G (carrier ?L)" ..
next
  fix A
  assume L: "A \subseteq carrier ?L" and non_empty: "A \neq {}"
  then have Int_subgroup: "subgroup (\bigcap A) G"
    by (fastforce intro: subgroups_Inter)
  have "greatest ?L (\bigcap A) (Lower ?L A)" (is "greatest _ ?Int _")
  proof (rule greatest_LowerI)
    fix H
    assume H: "H ∈ A"
    with L have subgroupH: "subgroup H G" by auto
```

```
from subgroupH have groupH: "group (G (|carrier := H|))" (is "group
?H")
      by (rule subgroup_imp_group)
    from groupH have monoidH: "monoid ?H"
      by (rule group.is_monoid)
    from H have Int_subset: "?Int ⊆ H" by fastforce
    then show "le ?L ?Int H" by simp
  next
    fix H
    assume H: "H ∈ Lower ?L A"
    with L Int_subgroup show "le ?L H ?Int"
      by (fastforce simp: Lower_def intro: Inter_greatest)
 next
   show "A ⊆ carrier ?L" by (rule L)
  next
    show "?Int ∈ carrier ?L" by simp (rule Int_subgroup)
 qed
 then show "∃ I. greatest ?L I (Lower ?L A)" ..
qed
```
# **6.12 The units in any monoid give rise to a group**

Thanks to Jeremy Avigad. The file Residues.thy provides some infrastructure to use facts about the unit group within the ring locale.

```
definition units_of :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme \Rightarrow 'a monoid"
   where "units_of G =
       \int \text{Carrier} = \text{Units } G, \text{Group.mond.mult} = \text{Group.monoid.mult } G, one =
one G|)"
lemma (in monoid) units_group: "group (units_of G)"
proof -
   \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{"\bigwedge} \mathtt{x}\ \mathtt{y}\ \mathtt{z}. \llbracket \mathtt{x}\ \in \mathtt{Units}\ \mathtt{G};\ \mathtt{y}\ \in \mathtt{Units}\ \mathtt{G};\ \mathtt{z}\ \in \mathtt{Units}\ \mathtt{G} \rrbracket \implies \mathtt{x}\ \otimes\ \mathtt{y}\ \otimes\ \mathtt{z}.z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)"
      by (simp add: Units_closed m_assoc)
   \text{moreover have }\text{"}\bigwedge\!\!\text{x.}\text{ }x\in\text{Units }\texttt{G}\implies\exists\,\texttt{y}\!\in\!\text{Units }\texttt{G.}\text{ }y\,\otimes\,\texttt{x = 1"}using Units_l_inv by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis
      unfolding units_of_def
      by (force intro!: groupI)
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) units_comm_group: "comm_group (units_of G)"
proof -
   \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{``}\bigwedge\!\!\!\!\!\!\!x\ \ \texttt{y.}\ \ \llbracket \mathrm{x}\ \in \mathtt{carrier}\ \ (\mathtt{units\_of}\ \ \mathtt{G})\,;\ \ \mathrm{y}\ \in \mathtt{carrier}\ \ (\mathtt{units\_of}\ \ \mathtt{G})\rrbracket\implies x \otimesunits_of G y = y \otimesunits_of G x"
      by (simp add: Units_closed m_comm units_of_def)
   then show ?thesis
      by (rule group.group_comm_groupI [OF units_group]) auto
qed
```

```
lemma units_of_carrier: "carrier (units_of G) = Units G"
  by (auto simp: units_of_def)
lemma units_of_mult: "mult (units_of G) = mult G"
  by (auto simp: units_of_def)
lemma units of one: "one (units of G) = one G"
  by (auto simp: units_of_def)
lemma (in monoid) units_of_inv:
  assumes "x ∈ Units G"
  shows "m_inv (units_of G) x = m_inv G x"
  by (simp add: assms group.inv_equality units_group units_of_carrier
units_of_mult units_of_one)
lemma units_of_units [simp] : "Units (units_of G) = Units G"
  unfolding units_of_def Units_def by force
lemma (in group) surj_const_mult: "a \in carrier G \implies (\lambda x. a \otimes x) ' carrier
G = carrier G"
  apply (auto simp add: image_def)
  by (metis inv_closed inv_solve_left m_closed)
lemma (in group) l_cancel_one [simp]: "x \in carrier G \implies a \in carrier
G \implies x \otimes a = x \leftrightarrow a = one G"
  by (metis Units eq Units 1 cancel monoid.r one monoid axioms one closed)
lemma (in group) r_cancel_one [simp]: "x \in carrier G \implies a \in carrier
G \implies a \otimes x = x \leftrightarrow a = one G"
  by (metis monoid.l_one monoid_axioms one_closed right_cancel)
lemma (in group) l_cancel_one' [simp]: "x ∈ carrier G =⇒ a ∈ carrier
G \implies x = x \otimes a \longleftrightarrow a = one G"
  using l_cancel_one by fastforce
lemma (in group) r_cancel_one' [simp]: "x \in carrier G \implies a \in carrier
G \implies x = a \otimes x \leftrightarrow a = one G''using r_cancel_one by fastforce
declare pow_nat [simp]
end
theory FiniteProduct
imports Group
begin
```
# **6.13 Product Operator for Commutative Monoids**

## **6.13.1 Inductive Definition of a Relation for Products over Sets**

Instantiation of locale LC of theory Finite\_Set is not possible, because here we have explicit typing rules like  $x \in \text{carrier } G$ . We introduce an explicit argument for the domain D.

```
inductive_set
  foldSetD :: "['a set, 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow ('b set * 'a) set"
  for D :: "'a set" and f :: "'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a" and e :: 'a
  where
    emptyI [intro]: "e \in D \implies ({}, e) \in foldSetD D f e"
  | insertI [intro]: "[x \notin A; f x y \in D; (A, y) \in \text{foldSetD D f } e]] \implies(insert x A, f x y) \in foldSetD D f e"
inductive_cases empty_foldSetDE [elim!]: "({}, x) \in foldSetD D f e"
definition
  foldD :: "['a set, 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'b set] \Rightarrow 'a"
  where "foldD D f e A = (THE x. (A, x) \in foldSetD D f e)"
lemma foldSetD closed: "(A, z) \in foldSetD D f e \implies z \in D"
  by (erule foldSetD.cases) auto
lemma Diff1_foldSetD:
  \lfloor \cdot \rfloor (A - {x}, y) \in foldSetD D f e; x \in A; f x y \in D \implies(A, f x y) \in foldSetD D f e"
  by (metis Diff_insert_absorb foldSetD.insertI mk_disjoint_insert)
lemma foldSetD_imp_finite [simp]: "(A, x) \in foldSetD D f e \implies finite
A"
  by (induct set: foldSetD) auto
lemma finite_imp_foldSetD:
  "[finite A; e \in D; \bigwedge x y. [x \in A; y \in D] \implies f x y \in D]
    \implies \exists x. (A, x) \in \text{foldSetD D f e"}proof (induct set: finite)
  case empty then show ?case by auto
next
  case (insert x F)
  then obtain y where y: "(F, y) ∈ foldSetD D f e" by auto
  with insert have "y \in D" by (auto dest: foldSetD closed)
  with y and insert have "(insert x F, f x y) \in foldSetD D f e"
    by (intro foldSetD.intros) auto
  then show ?case ..
qed
lemma foldSetD_backwards:
  assumes "A \neq {\}" "(A, z) \in foldSetD D f e"
```
shows " $\exists x \ y. \ x \in A \land (A - \{ x \}, y) \in \text{foldSetD D f e } \land z = f x y$ " **using** assms(2) **by** (cases) (simp add: assms(1), metis Diff\_insert\_absorb insertI1)

#### **6.13.2 Left-Commutative Operations**

```
locale LCD =
  fixes B :: "'b set"
  and D :: "'a set"
  and f :: "'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a" (infixl "·" 70)
  assumes left_commute:
    \mathbb{I}[x \in B; y \in B; z \in D] \implies x \cdot (y \cdot z) = y \cdot (x \cdot z)and f_closed [simp, intro!]: "!!x y. \llbracket x \in B; y \in D \rrbracket \implies f x y \in D"
lemma (in LCD) foldSetD closed [dest]: "(A, z) \in foldSetD D f e \implies z
∈ D"
  by (erule foldSetD.cases) auto
lemma (in LCD) Diff1_foldSetD:
  \mathbb{I} [(A - {x}, y) \in foldSetD D f e; x \in A; A \subseteq B] \implies(A, f x y) \in foldSetD D f e"
  by (meson Diff1_foldSetD f_closed local.foldSetD_closed subsetCE)
lemma (in LCD) finite_imp_foldSetD:
  "[finite A; A \subseteq B; e \in D] \implies \exists x. (A, x) \in foldSetD D f e"
proof (induct set: finite)
  case empty then show ?case by auto
next
  case (insert x F)
  then obtain y where y: "(F, y) \in foldSetD D f e" by auto
  with insert have "y ∈ D" by auto
  with y and insert have "(insert x F, f x y) \in foldSetD D f e"
    by (intro foldSetD.intros) auto
  then show ?case ..
qed
lemma (in LCD) foldSetD_determ_aux:
  assumes "e \in D" and A: "card A < n" "A \subseteq B" "(A, x) \in foldSetD D f
e''''(A, y) \in foldSetD D f e''shows "y = x"
  using A
proof (induction n arbitrary: A x y)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  then consider "card A = n" | "card A < n"
```

```
by linarith
  then show ?case
  proof cases
    case 1
    show ?thesis
      using foldSetD.cases [OF \prec (A, x) \in foldSetD D (\cdot) e<sup>></sup>]
    proof cases
      case 1
      then show ?thesis
         using \langle (A, y) \rangle \in \text{foldSetD} \cup (\cdot) e> by auto
    next
      case (2 x' A' y')
      note A' = this
      show ?thesis
        using foldSetD.cases [OF ‹ (A,y) ∈ foldSetD D (·) e› ]
      proof cases
        case 1
         then show ?thesis
           using \langle (A, x) \rangle \in \text{foldSetD} D (\cdot) e> by auto
      next
        case (2 x'' A'' y'')
        note A'' = this
        show ?thesis
         proof (cases "x' = x'")
           case True
           show ?thesis
           proof (cases "y' = y'")
             case True
             then show ?thesis
               using A' A'' \langle x' = x'' \rangle by (blast elim!: equalityE)
           next
             case False
             then show ?thesis
               using A' A'<sup>'</sup> \langle x' \rangle = x'<sup>'</sup>
               by (metis ‹ card A = n› Suc.IH Suc.prems(2) card_insert_disjoint
foldSetD_imp_finite insert_eq_iff insert_subset lessI)
           qed
        next
           case False
           then have *: "A' - {x''} = A'' - {x'}" "x'' \in A'" "x' \in A''"
             using A' A'' by fastforce+
           then have ''A' = insert x'' A'' - {x'}^"using \langle x' \notin A' \rangle by blast
           then have card: "card A' \leq card A''"
             using A' A'' * by (metis card_Suc_Diff1 eq_refl foldSetD_imp_finite)
           obtain u where u: ( A' - \{x' \}), u) \in foldSetD D (·) e"
             using finite imp foldSetD [of "A' - {x''}"] A' Diff_insert
‹ A ⊆ B› ‹ e ∈ D› by fastforce
           have "y' = f x'' u"
```

```
using Diff1_foldSetD [OF u] ‹ x'' ∈ A'› ‹ card A = n› A' Suc.IH
‹ A ⊆ B› by auto
           then have "(A' - \{x'\}, u) \in \text{foldSetD D f } e"
              using "*"(1) u by auto
           then have "y'' = f x' u"
              using A'' by (metis * ‹ card A = n› A'(1) Diff1_foldSetD Suc.IH
‹ A ⊆ B›
                  card card Suc Diff1 card insert disjoint foldSetD imp_finite
insert_subset le_imp_less_Suc)
           then show ?thesis
              using A' A''
              by (metis \langle A \subseteq B \rangle \langle y' = x' \rangle \cdot u \rangle insert_subset left_commute
local.foldSetD_closed u)
         qed
       qed
    qed
  next
    case 2 with Suc show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
lemma (in LCD) foldSetD_determ:
  \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{A}, x) \in \text{foldSetD D f e}; (A, y) \in \text{foldSetD D f e}; e \in \mathbb{D}; A \subseteq \mathbb{B}\implies y = x"
  by (blast intro: foldSetD_determ_aux [rule_format])
lemma (in LCD) foldD_equality:
  \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{C}} (A, y) \in foldSetD D f e; e \in D; A \subseteq B \Rightarrow foldD D f e A = y"
  by (unfold foldD_def) (blast intro: foldSetD_determ)
lemma foldD_empty [simp]:
  "e \in D \implies foldD D f e {} = e"
  by (unfold foldD_def) blast
lemma (in LCD) foldD_insert_aux:
  "\[x \notin A; x \in B; e \in D; A \subseteq B]\Rightarrow ((insert x A, v) \in foldSetD D f e) \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. (A, y) \in foldSetD
D f e \wedge v = f x y)"
  apply auto
  by (metis Diff_insert_absorb f_closed finite_Diff foldSetD.insertI foldSetD_determ
foldSetD_imp_finite insert_subset local.finite_imp_foldSetD local.foldSetD_closed)
lemma (in LCD) foldD_insert:
  assumes "finite A''''x \notin A''''x \in B''''e \in D''''A \subseteq B''shows "foldD D f e (insert x A) = f x (foldD D f e A)"
proof -
  have "(THE v. \exists v. (A, v) \in foldSetD D (·) e \land v = x · v) = x · (THE
y. (A, y) \in \text{foldSetD} D (\cdot) e"
    by (rule the_equality) (use assms foldD_def foldD_equality foldD_def
```
128

```
finite_imp_foldSetD in ‹ metis+› )
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding foldD_def using assms by (simp add: foldD_insert_aux)
qed
lemma (in LCD) foldD_closed [simp]:
  "[finite A; e \in D; A \subset B] \implies foldD D f e A \in D"
proof (induct set: finite)
  case empty then show ?case by simp
next
  case insert then show ?case by (simp add: foldD_insert)
qed
lemma (in LCD) foldD_commute:
  "[finite A; x \in B; e \in D; A \subseteq B] \impliesf \times (foldD \ D \ f \ e \ A) = foldD \ D \ f \ (f \times e) \ A''by (induct set: finite) (auto simp add: left_commute foldD_insert)
lemma Int_mono2:
  "\llbracket A \subseteq C; B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies A Int B \subseteq C"by blast
lemma (in LCD) foldD_nest_Un_Int:
  "[finite A; finite C; e \in D; A \subseteq B; C \subseteq B] \impliesfoldD D f (foldD D f e C) A = foldD D f (foldD D f e (A Int C)) (A
UnC)"
proof (induction set: finite)
  case (insert x F)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: foldD_insert foldD_commute Int_insert_left insert_absorb
Int_mono2)
qed simp
lemma (in LCD) foldD_nest_Un_disjoint:
  "[finite A; finite B; A Int B = {}; e \in D; A \subseteq B; C \subseteq B]]
    \implies foldD D f e (A Un B) = foldD D f (foldD D f e B) A"
  by (simp add: foldD_nest_Un_Int)
— Delete rules to do with foldSetD relation.
declare foldSetD_imp_finite [simp del]
  empty_foldSetDE [rule del]
  foldSetD.intros [rule del]
declare (in LCD)
  foldSetD_closed [rule del]
Commutative Monoids
```
We enter a more restrictive context, with f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a instead of 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a.

```
locale ACeD =
  fixes D :: "'a set"
    and f :: "'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a" (infixl "·" 70)
    and e :: 'a
  assumes ident [simp]: "x \in D \implies x \cdot e = x"
    and commute: "[x \in D; y \in D] \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x"
    and assoc: "[x \in D; y \in D; z \in D] \implies (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)"
    and e closed [simp]: "e \in D"
    and f_closed [simp]: "\|x \in D; y \in D\| \implies x \cdot y \in D"
lemma (in ACeD) left_commute:
  \mathbb{I}[x \in D; y \in D; z \in D] \implies x \cdot (y \cdot z) = y \cdot (x \cdot z)proof -
  assume D: "x ∈ D" "y ∈ D" "z ∈ D"
  then have "x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (y \cdot z) \cdot x" by (simp add: commute)
  also from D have "... = y \cdot (z \cdot x)" by (simp add: assoc)
  also from D have "z · x = x · z" by (simp add: commute)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemmas (in ACeD) AC = assoc commute left_commute
lemma (in ACeD) left_ident [simp]: "x \in D \implies e \cdot x = x"
proof -
  assume "x \in D"
  then have "x \cdot e = x" by (rule ident)
  with \langle x \in D \rangle show ?thesis by (simp add: commute)
qed
lemma (in ACeD) foldD_Un_Int:
  "[finite A; finite B; A \subseteq D; B \subseteq D] \impliesfoldD D f e A \cdot foldD D f e B =foldD D f e (A Un B) · foldD D f e (A Int B)"
proof (induction set: finite)
  case empty
  then show ?case
    by(simp add: left_commute LCD.foldD_closed [OF LCD.intro [of D]])
next
  case (insert x F)
  then show ?case
    by(simp add: AC insert_absorb Int_insert_left Int_mono2
                   LCD.foldD_insert [OF LCD.intro [of D]]
                   LCD.foldD_closed [OF LCD.intro [of D]])
qed
lemma (in ACeD) foldD_Un_disjoint:
  "[finite A; finite B; A Int B = {}; A \subseteq D; B \subseteq D] \impliesfoldD D f e (A Un B) = foldD D f e A · foldD D f e B"
  by (simp add: foldD_Un_Int
```
left\_commute LCD.foldD\_closed [OF LCD.intro [of D]])

#### **6.13.3 Products over Finite Sets**

```
definition
  finprod :: "[('b, 'm) monoid_scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'b"
  where "finprod G f A =
   (if finite A
    then foldD (carrier G) (mult G \circ f) 1<sub>G</sub> A
    else 1_G)"
syntax
  "_finprod" :: "index \Rightarrow idt \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b"
       (" (3 \otimes \_\_ \in \_ \_ )" [1000, 0, 51, 10] 10)translations
  "\bigotimes<sub>G</sub>i∈A. b" \rightleftharpoons "CONST finprod G (%i. b) A"
  — Beware of argument permutation!
lemma (in comm_monoid) finprod_empty [simp]:
  "finprod G f \} = 1"by (simp add: finprod_def)
lemma (in comm_monoid) finprod_infinite[simp]:
  "\neg finite A \implies finprod G f A = 1"
  by (simp add: finprod_def)
declare funcsetI [intro]
  funcset_mem [dest]
context comm_monoid begin
lemma finprod_insert [simp]:
  assumes "finite F" "a \notin F" "f \in F \rightarrow carrier G" "f a \in carrier G"
  shows "finprod G f (insert a F) = f a \otimes finprod G f F"
proof -
  have "finprod G f (insert a F) = foldD (carrier G) ((⊗) ◦ f) 1 (insert
a F)"
    by (simp add: finprod_def assms)
  also have "... = ((⊗) ◦ f) a (foldD (carrier G) ((⊗) ◦ f) 1 F)"
    by (rule LCD.foldD_insert [OF LCD.intro [of "insert a F"]])
       (use assms in ‹ auto simp: m_lcomm Pi_iff› )
  also have "... = f a \otimes finprod G f F''using ‹ finite F› by (auto simp add: finprod_def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
{\rm lemma\ \ through\ G\ of\ A} = {\rm lemma\ \ through\ C} and {\rm Cap\ } \ {\rm mod\ }\ {\rm G}\ {\rm of\ }1"
proof (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct)
```

```
case empty show ?case by simp
next
  case (insert a A)
  have "(\lambda i. 1) \in A \rightarrow carrier G" by auto
  with insert show ?case by simp
qed simp
lemma finprod one [simp]: "(\emptyseti∈A. 1) = 1"
  by (simp add: finprod_one_eqI)
lemma finprod_closed [simp]:
  fixes A
  assumes f: "f \in A \rightarrow carrier G"
  shows "finprod G f A ∈ carrier G"
using f
proof (induct A rule: infinite finite induct)
  case empty show ?case by simp
next
  case (insert a A)
  then have a: "f a ∈ carrier G" by fast
  from insert have A: "f ∈ A → carrier G" by fast
  from insert A a show ?case by simp
qed simp
lemma funcset_Int_left [simp, intro]:
  "\mathbb{F} \in A \to C; f \in B \to C \mathbb{F} \implies f \in A Int B \to C''by fast
lemma funcset_Un_left [iff]:
  "(f \in A Un B \rightarrow C) = (f \in A \rightarrow C \land f \in B \rightarrow C)"
  by fast
lemma finprod_Un_Int:
  "[finite A; finite B; g \in A \rightarrow carrier G; g \in B \rightarrow carrier G] \impliesfinprod G g (A Un B) \otimes finprod G g (A Int B) =
     finprod G g A ⊗ finprod G g B"
— The reversed orientation looks more natural, but LOOPS as a simprule!
proof (induct set: finite)
  case empty then show ?case by simp
next
  case (insert a A)
  then have a: "g a \in carrier G" by fast
  from insert have A: "g \in A \rightarrow carrier G" by fast
  from insert A a show ?case
    by (simp add: m_ac Int_insert_left insert_absorb Int_mono2)
qed
lemma finprod_Un_disjoint:
  "[finite A; finite B; A Int B = \{\};
```

```
g \in A \rightarrow carrier G; g \in B \rightarrow carrier G]
   =⇒ finprod G g (A Un B) = finprod G g A ⊗ finprod G g B"
  by (metis Pi_split_domain finprod_Un_Int finprod_closed finprod_empty
r_one)
lemma finprod_multf [simp]:
  \lVert f \rVert \in A \rightarrow carrier G; g \in A \rightarrow carrier G\rVert \Rightarrowfinprod G (\lambdax. f x \otimes g x) A = (finprod G f A \otimes finprod G g A)"
proof (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct)
  case empty show ?case by simp
next
  case (insert a A) then
  have fA: "f ∈ A → carrier G" by fast
  from insert have fa: "f a ∈ carrier G" by fast
  from insert have gA: "g \in A \rightarrow carrier G" by fast
  from insert have ga: "g a ∈ carrier G" by fast
  from insert have fgA: "(\%x. f x \otimes g x) \in A \rightarrow carrier G"
    by (simp add: Pi_def)
  show ?case
    by (simp add: insert fA fa gA ga fgA m_ac)
qed simp
lemma finprod_cong':
  "\[A = B; g \in B \rightarrow carrier G;!!i. i \in B \implies f i = g i \Rightarrow finprod G f A = finprod G g B"
proof -
  assume prems: "A = B'' "g \in B \rightarrow carrier G''"!!i. i \in B \implies f i = g i"
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases "finite B")
    case True
    then have "!!A. [A = B; g \in B \rightarrow carrier G;
      !!i. i \in B \implies f i = g i \implies f inprod G f A = finprod G g B"
    proof induct
      case empty thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (insert x B)
      then have "finprod G f A = finprod G f (insert x B)" by simp
      also from insert have "... = f x \otimes finprod G f B"
      proof (intro finprod_insert)
         show "finite B" by fact
      next
        show "x \notin B" by fact
      next
         assume "x \notin B" "!!i. i \in insert x B \implies f i = g i"
           "g \in insert x B \rightarrow carrier G"
         thus "f \in B \rightarrow carrier G" by fastforce
      next
         assume "x \notin B" "!!i. i \in insert x B \implies f i = g i"
```

```
"g \in insert x B \rightarrow carrier G"
          thus "f x ∈ carrier G" by fastforce
        qed
        also from insert have "... = g \times \emptyset finprod G g \cdot B'' by fastforce
        also from insert have "... = finprod G g (insert x B)"
        by (intro finprod_insert [THEN sym]) auto
        finally show ?case .
     qed
     with prems show ?thesis by simp
  next
     case False with prems show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
lemma finprod_cong:
  "\[A = B; f \in B \rightarrow carrier G = True;\bigwedge\mathbb{i}. \mathbb{i}\,\in\,\mathbb{B} =simp=> f \mathbb{i}\,=\,\mathbb{g}\,\,\mathbb{i}] \implies \mathbb{j} finprod \mathbb{G}\,\,\mathbb{f}\, A = finprod \mathbb{G}\,\,\mathbb{g}\,\,\mathbb{B}"
  by (rule finprod_cong') (auto simp add: simp_implies_def)
```
Usually, if this rule causes a failed congruence proof error, the reason is that the premise  $g \in B \rightarrow$  carrier G cannot be shown. Adding Pi\_def to the simpset is often useful. For this reason, finprod\_cong is not added to the simpset by default.

```
end
```

```
declare funcsetI [rule del]
  funcset mem [rule del]
context comm_monoid begin
lemma finprod_0 [simp]:
  "f \in {0::nat} \rightarrow carrier G \Longrightarrow finprod G f {..0} = f 0"
  by (simp add: Pi_def)
lemma finprod_0':
  "f \in {..n} \rightarrow carrier G \implies (f 0) \otimes finprod G f {Suc 0..n} = finprod
G f {..n}"
proof -
  assume A: "f \in \{.\n} n} \rightarrow carrier G"
  hence "(f 0) ⊗ finprod G f {Suc 0..n} = finprod G f \{.\,0\} ⊗ finprod
G f {Suc 0..n}"
    using finprod_0[of f] by (simp add: funcset_mem)
  also have " ... = finprod G f ({..0} ∪ {Suc 0..n})"
    using finprod_Un_disjoint[of "{..0}" "{Suc 0..n}" f] A by (simp add:
funcset_mem)
  also have " ... = finprod G f {..n}"
    by (simp add: atLeastAtMost_insertL atMost_atLeast0)
  finally show ?thesis .
```
#### **qed**

```
lemma finprod_Suc [simp]:
  "f \in {..Suc n} \rightarrow carrier G \Longrightarrowfinprod G f {..Suc n} = (f (Suc n) \otimes finprod G f {..n})"
by (simp add: Pi_def atMost_Suc)
lemma finprod_Suc2:
  "f \in {...Suc n} \rightarrow carrier G \Longrightarrowfinprod G f {..Suc n} = (finprod G (%i. f (Suc i)) {..n} \otimes f 0)"
proof (induct n)
  case 0 thus ?case by (simp add: Pi_def)
next
  case Suc thus ?case by (simp add: m_assoc Pi_def)
qed
lemma finprod_Suc3:
  assumes "f ∈ {..n :: nat} → carrier G"
  shows "finprod G f {.. n} = (f n) \otimes finprod G f {..< n}"
proof (cases "n = 0")
  case True thus ?thesis
   using assms atMost_Suc by simp
next
  case False
  then obtain k where "n = Suc k"
    using not0_implies_Suc by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using finprod_Suc[of f k] assms atMost_Suc lessThan_Suc_atMost by
simp
qed
lemma finprod_reindex:
  "f \in (h ' A) \rightarrow carrier G \Longrightarrowinj_on h A \implies finprod G f (h ' A) = finprod G (\lambdax. f (h x)) A"
proof (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct)
  case (infinite A)
  hence "¬ finite (h ' A)"
    using finite_imageD by blast
  with ‹ ¬ finite A› show ?case by simp
qed (auto simp add: Pi_def)
lemma finprod_const:
  assumes a [simp]: "a ∈ carrier G"
    shows "finprod G (\lambda x. a) A = a [^] card A"
proof (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct)
  case (insert b A)
  show ?case
  proof (subst finprod_insert[OF insert(1-2)])
    show "a \otimes (\otimes x∈A. a) = a [^] card (insert b A)"
```

```
by (insert insert, auto, subst m_comm, auto)
  qed auto
qed auto
lemma finprod_singleton:
  assumes i_in_A: "i ∈ A" and fin_A: "finite A" and f_Pi: "f ∈ A \rightarrowcarrier G"
  shows "(\bigotimes j \in A. if i = j then f j else 1) = f i"
  using i_in_A finprod_insert [of "A - {i}" i "(\lambda); if i = j then f j
else 1)"]
    fin_A f_Pi finprod_one [of "A - {i}"]
    finprod_cong [of "A - {i}" "A - {i}" "(\lambda j). if i = j then f j else
1)" "(\lambda i. 1)"]
  unfolding Pi_def simp_implies_def by (force simp add: insert_absorb)
lemma finprod_singleton_swap:
  assumes i_in_A: "i ∈ A" and fin_A: "finite A" and f_Pi: "f ∈ A \rightarrowcarrier G"
  shows "(\bigotimes j \in A. if j = i then f j else 1) = f i"
  using finprod_singleton [OF assms] by (simp add: eq_commute)
lemma finprod_mono_neutral_cong_left:
  assumes "finite B"
    and "A ⊆ B"
    and 1: "\bigwedgei. i ∈ B - A \implies h i = 1"
    and gh: "\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies g x = h x"
    and h: "h \in B \rightarrow carrier G"
  shows "finprod G g A = finprod G h B"
proof-
  have eq: "A ∪ (B - A) = B" using ‹ A ⊆ B› by blast
  have d: "A ∩ (B - A) = {}" using \langle A \subseteq B \rangle by blast
  from ‹ finite B› ‹ A ⊆ B› have f: "finite A" "finite (B - A)"
    by (auto intro: finite_subset)
  have "h ∈ A → carrier G" "h ∈ B - A → carrier G"
    using assms by (auto simp: image_subset_iff_funcset)
  moreover have "finprod G g A = finprod G h A \otimes finprod G h (B - A)"
  proof -
    have "finprod G h (B - A) = 1"
      using "1" finprod_one_eqI by blast
    moreover have "finprod G g A = finprod G h A"
      using ‹ h ∈ A → carrier G› finprod_cong' gh by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: ‹ h ∈ A → carrier G› )
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: finprod_Un_disjoint [OF f d, unfolded eq])
qed
```
**lemma** finprod\_mono\_neutral\_cong\_right:

```
assumes "finite B"
     \text{and ``A} \subseteq \texttt{B''} "\textstyle{\bigwedge} \texttt{i}. \texttt{i} \in \texttt{B - A} \implies \texttt{g} \texttt{i} = 1" "\textstyle{\bigwedge} \texttt{x}. \texttt{x} \in \texttt{A} \implies \texttt{g} \texttt{x} = \texttt{h}x'' "g \in B \rightarrow carrier G"
  shows "finprod G \nsubseteq B = finprod G h A"
  using assms by (auto intro!: finprod_mono_neutral_cong_left [symmetric])
lemma finprod_mono_neutral_cong:
  assumes [simp]: "finite B" "finite A"
     \text{and } * \colon "\bigwedge\text{i}$. i \in \text{B - A} \Longrightarrow \text{h i = 1" "\bigwedge\text{i}$. i \in \text{A - B} \Longrightarrow \text{g i = 1"}and gh: "\bigwedge x. x \in A \cap B \implies g x = h x"
     and g: "g ∈ A → carrier G"
     and h: "h ∈ B → carrier G"
 shows "finprod G g A = finprod G h B"
proof-
  have "finprod G g A = finprod G g (A \cap B)"
     by (rule finprod_mono_neutral_cong_right) (use assms in auto)
  also have "\dots = finprod G h (A \cap B)"
     by (rule finprod_cong) (use assms in auto)
  also have "... = finprod G h B"by (rule finprod_mono_neutral_cong_left) (use assms in auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
end
lemma (in comm_group) power_order_eq_one:
  assumes fin [simp]: "finite (carrier G)"
     and a [simp]: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a [^] card(carrier G) = one G"
proof -
  have "(\bigotimes x \in \text{carrier } G. x) = (\bigotimes x \in \text{carrier } G. a \otimes x)"
     by (subst (2) finprod_reindex [symmetric],
       auto simp add: Pi_def inj_on_cmult surj_const_mult)
  also have "... = (⊗x∈carrier G. a) ⊗ (⊗x∈carrier G. x)"
     by (auto simp add: finprod_multf Pi_def)
  also have "(Qx \in \text{carrier } G. a) = a [\hat{ } ] \text{ card}( \text{carrier } G)"
     by (auto simp add: finprod_const)
  finally show ?thesis
     by auto
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) finprod_UN_disjoint:
  assumes
     "finite I" "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies finite (A i)" "pairwise (\lambdai j. disjnt (A
i) (A j)) I"
     "\bigwedgei x. i \in I \implies x \in A i \implies g x \in carrier G"
\mathbf{s}hows "finprod G g (\bigcup (A \lq I)) = finprod G (\lambdai. finprod G g (A i)) I"
```

```
using assms
proof (induction set: finite)
  case empty
  then show ?case
    by force
next
  case (insert i I)
  then show ?case
    unfolding pairwise_def disjnt_def
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subst finprod_Un_disjoint)
         apply (fastforce intro!: funcsetI finprod_closed)+
    done
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) finprod_Union_disjoint:
  "[finite C; \bigwedge A. A \in C \implies finite A \wedge (\forall x \in A. f x \in carrier G); pairwise
disjnt C] \impliesfinprod G f (\bigcup C) = finprod G (finprod G f) C''by (frule finprod_UN_disjoint [of C id f]) auto
```
#### **end**

**theory** Coset **imports** Group **begin**

# **7 Cosets and Quotient Groups**

where " $set\_inv_G$  H =  $(\bigcup h \in H. \{inv_G h\})$ "

```
definition
  r_coset :: "[_, 'a set, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a set" (infixl "#>i" 60)
   where "H #><sub>G</sub> a = (\bigcup h \in H. {h \otimes_G a})"
definition
  l_coset :: "[_, 'a, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set" (infixl "<#1' 60)
   where "a \lt^*<sub>G</sub> H = (\bigcuph\inH. {a \otimes<sub>G</sub> h})"
definition
  RCOSETS :: "[<sub>1</sub>, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a set)set" ("rcosets<sup>1</sup> [81] 80)
   where "rcosets<sub>G</sub> H = (\bigcup a \in \text{carrier } G. \{H \neq a\})"
definition
  set_mult :: "[_, 'a set ,'a set] ⇒ 'a set" (infixl "<#>ı " 60)
   where "H \langle#><sub>G</sub> K = (Uh∈H. Uk∈K. {h ⊗<sub>G</sub> k})"
definition
  SET_INV :: "[_,'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set" ("set'_inv<sub>2</sub> _" [81] 80)
```

```
locale normal = subgroup + group +
  assumes coset eq: "(\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. H \#> x = x \leq H)"
abbreviation
  normal rel :: "['a set, ('a, 'b) monoid scheme] \Rightarrow bool" (infixl "\triangleleft"
60) where
  "H \lhd G \equiv normal H G"
lemma (in comm_group) subgroup_imp_normal: "subgroup A G \implies A \vartriangleleft G"
  by (simp add: normal_def normal_axioms_def l_coset_def r_coset_def m_comm
subgroup.mem_carrier)
lemma l_coset_eq_set_mult:
  fixes G (structure)
  shows "x \lt # H = \{x\} \lt #> H"
  unfolding l_coset_def set_mult_def by simp
lemma r_coset_eq_set_mult:
  fixes G (structure)
  shows "H #> x = H <#> {x}"
  unfolding r_coset_def set_mult_def by simp
lemma (in subgroup) rcosets_non_empty:
  assumes "R ∈ rcosets H"
  shows "R \neq \{\}"
proof -
  obtain g where "g \in \text{carrier } G" "R = H #> g"
    using assms unfolding RCOSETS_def by blast
  hence "1 \otimes g \in R"
    using one_closed unfolding r_coset_def by blast
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma (in group) diff_neutralizes:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "R ∈ rcosets H"
  \texttt{shows} "\texttt{\textbackslash} \texttt{r1} r2. \lbrack\!\lbrack r1 \in R; r2 \in R \rbrack\!\rbrack \implies r1 \otimes (inv r2) \in H"
proof -
  fix r1 r2 assume r1: "r1 ∈ R" and r2: "r2 ∈ R"
  obtain g where g: "g \in carrier G" "R = H #> g"using assms unfolding RCOSETS_def by blast
  then obtain h1 h2 where h1: "h1 ∈ H" "r1 = h1 ⊗ g"
                         and h2: "h2 ∈ H" "r2 = h2 ⊗ g"
    using r1 r2 unfolding r_coset_def by blast
  hence "r1 ⊗ (inv r2) = (h1 ⊗ g) ⊗ ((inv g) ⊗ (inv h2))"
    using inv mult group is group assms(1) g(1) subgroup.mem carrier by
fastforce
  also have " ... = (h1 \otimes (g \otimes inv g) \otimes inv h2)"
```

```
using h1 h2 assms(1) g(1) inv_closed m_closed monoid.m_assoc
          monoid_axioms subgroup.mem_carrier
  proof -
    have "h1 ∈ carrier G"
      by (meson subgroup.mem_carrier assms(1) h1(1))
    moreover have "h2 ∈ carrier G"
      by (meson subgroup.mem_carrier assms(1) h2(1))
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using g(1) inv_closed m_assoc m_closed by presburger
  qed
  finally have "r1 \otimes inv r2 = h1 \otimes inv h2"
    using assms(1) g(1) h1(1) subgroup.mem_carrier by fastforce
  thus "r1 \otimes inv r2 \in H" by (metis assms(1) h1(1) h2(1) subgroup_def)
qed
```
**lemma** mono\_set\_mult: " $\parallel$  H  $\subseteq$  H'; K  $\subseteq$  K'  $\parallel$   $\implies$  H  $\lt$  # $\gt$ <sub>G</sub> K  $\subseteq$  H'  $\lt$  # $\gt$ <sub>G</sub> K'"

**unfolding** set\_mult\_def **by** (simp add: UN\_mono)

## **7.1 Stable Operations for Subgroups**

```
lemma set_mult_consistent [simp]:
  "N \langle 4 \rangle (G ( carrier := H )) K = N \langle 4 \rangle K"
  unfolding set_mult_def by simp
lemma r_coset_consistent [simp]:
  "I #><sub>G</sub> \int carrier := H \int h = I #><sub>G</sub> h"
  unfolding r_coset_def by simp
lemma l_coset_consistent [simp]:
  "h \lt^{\#}G ( carrier := H ) I = h \lt^{\#}G I"
  unfolding l_coset_def by simp
```
## **7.2 Basic Properties of set multiplication**

```
lemma (in group) setmult_subset_G:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" "K ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "H <#> K ⊆ carrier G" using assms
 by (auto simp add: set_mult_def subsetD)
lemma (in monoid) set_mult_closed:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" "K ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "H <#> K ⊆ carrier G"
 using assms by (auto simp add: set_mult_def subsetD)
lemma (in group) set_mult_assoc:
 assumes "M ⊆ carrier G" "H ⊆ carrier G" "K ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "(M <#> H) <#> K = M <#> (H <#> K)"
proof
```

```
show "(M \leq #) H) \leq #) K \subseteq M \leq #) (H \leq #) K)"
  proof
    fix x assume "x ∈ (M <#> H) <#> K"
    then obtain m h k where x: "m \in M" "h \in H" "k \in K" "x = (m \otimes h)
⊗ k"
      unfolding set_mult_def by blast
    hence "x = m \otimes (h \otimes k)"
      using assms m_assoc by blast
    thus "x \in M \leq 2 (H \leq 2 K)"
      unfolding set_mult_def using x by blast
  qed
next
  show "M <#> (H <#> K) ⊂ (M <#> H) <#> K"
  proof
    fix x assume "x \in M \iff (H \iff K)"
    then obtain m h k where x: "m \in M" "h \in H" "k \in K" "x = m \otimes (h \otimesk)"
      unfolding set_mult_def by blast
    hence "x = (m ⊗ h) ⊗ k"
      using assms m_assoc rev_subsetD by metis
    thus "x \in (M \leq \#> H) \leq \#> K"
      unfolding set_mult_def using x by blast
  qed
qed
```
## **7.3 Basic Properties of Cosets**

```
lemma (in group) coset_mult_assoc:
 assumes "M ⊆ carrier G" "g ∈ carrier G" "h ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(M \neq g) \neq h = M \neq g (g \otimes h)"
  using assms by (force simp add: r_coset_def m_assoc)
lemma (in group) coset_assoc:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "H ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "x <# (H #> y) = (x <# H) #> y"
 using set_mult_assoc[of "{x}" H "{y}"]
 by (simp add: l_coset_eq_set_mult r_coset_eq_set_mult assms)
lemma (in group) coset_mult_one [simp]: "M ⊆ carrier G ==> M #> 1 =
M"
by (force simp add: r_coset_def)
lemma (in group) coset_mult_inv1:
 assumes "M #> (x \otimes (inv y)) = M"
    and "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "M ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "M \neq x = M \neq y" using assms
  by (metis coset_mult_assoc group.inv_solve_right is_group subgroup_def
subgroup_self)
```

```
lemma (in group) coset_mult_inv2:
  assumes "M #> x = M #> y"
    and "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "M ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "M #> (x \otimes (inv y)) = M " using assms
  by (metis group.coset_mult_assoc group.coset_mult_one inv_closed is_group
r_inv)
lemma (in group) coset_join1:
  assumes "H #> x = H"
    and "x ∈ carrier G" "subgroup H G"
  shows "x ∈ H"
  using assms r_coset_def l_one subgroup.one_closed sym by fastforce
lemma (in group) solve_equation:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "x \in H" "y \in H"
  shows "\exists h \in H. y = h \otimes x"
proof -
  have "y = (y \otimes (inv x)) \otimes x" using assms
    by (simp add: m_assoc subgroup.mem_carrier)
  moreover have "y ⊗ (inv x) ∈ H" using assms
    by (simp add: subgroup_def)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma (in group_hom) inj_on_one_iff:
   "inj on h (carrier G) ← (\forall x. x \in carrier G \longrightarrow h x = one H \longrightarrow x
= one G)"
using G.solve_equation G.subgroup_self by (force simp: inj_on_def)
lemma inj_on_one_iff':
   \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{P}}[h \in \text{hom } G \text{ H}; \text{ group } G; \text{ group } H] \implies \text{inj\_on } h \text{ (carrier } G) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x.x \in carrier G \longrightarrow h \times = one H \longrightarrow x = one G)"
  using group_hom.inj_on_one_iff group_hom.intro group_hom_axioms.intro
by blast
lemma mon_iff_hom_one:
   "[group G; group H] \implies f \in mon G H \leftarrow f \in hom G H \land (\forall x. x \in carrier
G \wedge f x = 1_H \longrightarrow x = 1_G"
  by (auto simp: mon_def inj_on_one_iff')
lemma (in group_hom) iso_iff: "h ∈ iso G H ←→ carrier H ⊆ h ' carrier
G \wedge (\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. h x = 1_H \rightarrow x = 1)"
  by (auto simp: iso_def bij_betw_def inj_on_one_iff)
lemma (in group) repr_independence:
  assumes "y ∈ H #> x" "x ∈ carrier G" "subgroup H G"
  shows "H #> x = H #> y" using assms
by (auto simp add: r_coset_def m_assoc [symmetric]
                      subgroup.subset [THEN subsetD]
```

```
subgroup.m_closed solve_equation)
lemma (in group) coset_join2:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "subgroup H G" "x ∈ H"
  shows "H \# \times = H" using assms
  — Alternative proof is to put x = 1 in repr_independence.
by (force simp add: subgroup.m closed r coset def solve equation)
lemma (in group) coset_join3:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "subgroup H G" "x ∈ H"
  shows "x <# H = H"
proof
  have "\wedgeh. h ∈ H \implies x \otimes h ∈ H" using assms
    by (simp add: subgroup.m_closed)
  thus "x <# H ⊆ H" unfolding l_coset_def by blast
next
  have "\bigwedge h. h \in H \implies x \otimes ((inv x) \otimes h) = h''by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms group.inv_closed group.inv_solve_left
is_group
               monoid.m_closed monoid_axioms subgroup.mem_carrier)
  moreover have "\Lambda h. h \in H \implies (inv x) \otimes h \in H"
    by (simp add: assms subgroup.m_closed subgroup.m_inv_closed)
  ultimately show "H ⊆ x <# H" unfolding l_coset_def by blast
qed
lemma (in monoid) r_coset_subset_G:
  "[\parallel H \subset carrier G; x \in carrier G \parallel \implies H #> x \subset carrier G"
by (auto simp add: r_coset_def)
lemma (in group) rcosI:
  "[[ h ∈ H; H ⊆ carrier G; x ∈ carrier G ]] =⇒ h ⊗ x ∈ H #> x"
by (auto simp add: r_coset_def)
lemma (in group) rcosetsI:
     \mathbb{H} \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G\mathbb{I} \implies H #> x \in rcosets H"
by (auto simp add: RCOSETS_def)
lemma (in group) rcos_self:
  \lVert x \rVert x \in carrier G; subgroup H G \rVert \implies x \in H #> x"
  by (metis l_one rcosI subgroup_def)
Opposite of "repr_independence"
lemma (in group) repr_independenceD:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "y ∈ carrier G"
    and "H #> x = H #> y"
  shows "y \in H \neq x"
  using assms by (simp add: rcos_self)
```
Elements of a right coset are in the carrier

```
lemma (in subgroup) elemrcos_carrier:
 assumes "group G" "a ∈ carrier G"
   and "a' ∈ H #> a"
 shows "a' ∈ carrier G"
 by (meson assms group.is_monoid monoid.r_coset_subset_G subset subsetCE)
lemma (in subgroup) rcos_const:
 assumes "group G'' "h \in H''shows "H #> h = H"
  using group.coset_join2[OF assms(1), of h H]
 by (simp add: assms(2) subgroup_axioms)
lemma (in subgroup) rcos_module_imp:
 assumes "group G" "x ∈ carrier G"
    and "x' ∈ H #> x"
 shows "(x' \otimes inv x) \in H''proof -
  obtain h where h: "h \in H'' "x' = h \otimes x''using assms(3) unfolding r_coset_def by blast
  hence "x' ⊗ inv x = h"
    by (metis assms elemrcos_carrier group.inv_solve_right mem_carrier)
  thus ?thesis using h by blast
qed
lemma (in subgroup) rcos_module_rev:
 assumes "group G" "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G"
    and "(x' \otimes inv x) \in H"
 shows "x' \in H \neq x"
proof -
 obtain h where h: "h \in H'' "x' ⊗ inv x = h''using assms(4) unfolding r_coset_def by blast
 hence "x' = h ⊗ x"
    by (metis assms group.inv_solve_right mem_carrier)
  thus ?thesis using h unfolding r_coset_def by blast
qed
Module property of right cosets
lemma (in subgroup) rcos_module:
  assumes "group G" "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(x' \in H \# > x) = (x' \otimes inv x \in H)"
  using rcos_module_rev rcos_module_imp assms by blast
Right cosets are subsets of the carrier.
lemma (in subgroup) rcosets_carrier:
 assumes "group G" "X ∈ rcosets H"
 shows "X ⊆ carrier G"
  using assms elemrcos_carrier singletonD
  subset_eq unfolding RCOSETS_def by force
```
Multiplication of general subsets
```
lemma (in comm_group) mult_subgroups:
  assumes HG: "subgroup H G" and KG: "subgroup K G"
  shows "subgroup (H <#> K) G"
proof (rule subgroup.intro)
  show "H <#> K ⊆ carrier G"
    by (simp add: setmult_subset_G assms subgroup.subset)
next
  have "1 ⊗ 1 ∈ H <#> K"
    unfolding set_mult_def using assms subgroup.one_closed by blast
  thus "1 \in H \iff K" by simp
next
  show "\bigwedge x. x \in H \iff K \implies inv \ x \in H \iff K"
  proof -
    fix x assume "x ∈ H <#> K"
    then obtain h k where hk: "h ∈ H" "k ∈ K" "x = h ⊗ k"
      unfolding set_mult_def by blast
    hence "inv x = (inv k) \otimes (inv h)"
      by (meson inv_mult_group assms subgroup.mem_carrier)
    hence "inv x = (inv h) \otimes (inv k)"
      by (metis hk inv_mult assms subgroup.mem_carrier)
    thus "inv x ∈ H <#> K"
      unfolding set_mult_def using hk assms
      by (metis (no_types, lifting) UN_iff singletonI subgroup_def)
  qed
next
  \textbf{show } \sqrt[m]{x} \text{ y. } x \in H \iff K \implies y \in H \iff K \implies x \otimes y \in H \iff K''proof -
    fix x y assume "x \in H \iff K" "v \in H \iff K"
    then obtain h1 k1 h2 k2 where h1k1: "h1 ∈ H" "k1 ∈ K" "x = h1 ⊗
k1"and h2k2: "h2 ∈ H" "k2 ∈ K" "y = h2 ⊗ k2"
      unfolding set_mult_def by blast
    with KG HG have carr: "k1 ∈ carrier G" "h1 ∈ carrier G" "k2 ∈ carrier
G" "h2 ∈ carrier G"
        by (meson subgroup.mem_carrier)+
    have "x \otimes y = (h1 \otimes k1) \otimes (h2 \otimes k2)"
      using h1k1 h2k2 by simp
    also have " ... = h1 ⊗ (k1 ⊗ h2) ⊗ k2"
        by (simp add: carr comm_groupE(3) comm_group_axioms)
    also have " ... = h1 ⊗ (h2 ⊗ k1) ⊗ k2"
      by (simp add: carr m_comm)
    finally have "x ⊗ y = (h1 ⊗ h2) ⊗ (k1 ⊗ k2)"
      by (simp add: carr comm_groupE(3) comm_group_axioms)
    thus "x \otimes y \in H \iff K" unfolding set mult def
      using subgroup.m_closed[OF assms(1) h1k1(1) h2k2(1)]
            subgroup.m_closed[OF assms(2) h1k1(2) h2k2(2)] by blast
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma (in subgroup) lcos_module_rev:
 assumes "group G" "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G"
    and "(inv x ⊗ x') ∈ H"
 shows "x' \in x \leq \# H"
proof -
 obtain h where h: "h \in H" "inv x \otimes x' = h"
    using assms(4) unfolding l_coset_def by blast
 hence "x' = x \otimes h"
    by (metis assms group.inv_solve_left mem_carrier)
  thus ?thesis using h unfolding l_coset_def by blast
qed
```
#### **7.4 Normal subgroups**

```
lemma normal_imp_subgroup: "H \lhd G \implies subgroup H G"
  by (rule normal.axioms(1))
lemma (in group) normalI:
  "subgroup H G \implies (\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. H \#> x = x \iff H) \implies H \vartriangleleft G"by (simp add: normal_def normal_axioms_def is_group)
lemma (in normal) inv_op_closed1:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" and "h ∈ H"
  shows "(inv x) ⊗ h ⊗ x ∈ H"
proof -
  have "h ⊗ x ∈ x <# H"
    using assms coset_eq assms(1) unfolding r_coset_def by blast
  then obtain h' where "h' ∈ H" "h \otimes x = x \otimes h'"
    unfolding l_coset_def by blast
  thus ?thesis by (metis assms inv_closed l_inv l_one m_assoc mem_carrier)
qed
lemma (in normal) inv_op_closed2:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" and "h ∈ H"
  shows "x ⊗ h ⊗ (inv x) ∈ H"
  using assms inv_op_closed1 by (metis inv_closed inv_inv)
lemma (in comm_group) normal_iff_subgroup:
  "N \lhd G \leftrightarrow subgroup N G"
proof
  assume "subgroup N G"
  then show ''N \leq G''by unfold locales (auto simp: subgroupE subgroup.one closed l coset def
r_coset_def m_comm subgroup.mem_carrier)
qed (simp add: normal_imp_subgroup)
```
Alternative characterization of normal subgroups

```
lemma (in group) normal_inv_iff:
    " (N < G) =
```

```
(subgroup N G \land (\forall x \in carrier G. \forall h \in N. x \otimes h \otimes (inv x) \in N))"
        (is "_ = ?rhs")
proof
  assume N: "N < G"show ?rhs
     by (blast intro: N normal.inv_op_closed2 normal_imp_subgroup)
next
  assume ?rhs
  hence sg: "subgroup N G"
     and closed: "\x. x∈carrier G =⇒ \forall h∈N. x \otimes h \otimes inv x ∈ N" by auto
  hence sb: "N ⊆ carrier G" by (simp add: subgroup.subset)
  show "N \lhd G"proof (intro normalI [OF sg], simp add: l_coset_def r_coset_def, clarify)
     fix x
     assume x: "x ∈ carrier G"
     show "(\bigcup h \in N. {h ⊗ x}) = (\bigcup h \in N. {x ⊗ h})"
     proof
        \text{show } "(\bigcup h \in N. \{h \otimes x\}) \subseteq (\bigcup h \in N. \{x \otimes h\})"
        proof clarify
          fix n
          assume n: "n ∈ N"
           \texttt{show } "n \ \otimes \ x \ \in \ (\bigcup h \in \mathbb{N}. \ \ \{x \ \otimes \ h\})"
          proof
             from closed [of "inv x"]
             show "inv x \otimes n \otimes x \in N" by (simp add: x n)
             show "n \otimes x \in {x \otimes (inv x \otimes n \otimes x)}"
                by (simp add: x n m_assoc [symmetric] sb [THEN subsetD])
          qed
        qed
     next
        \text{show } \text{``}(\bigcup h \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ {x } \otimes h}) \subseteq (\bigcup h \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ {h } \otimes x})"
        proof clarify
          fix n
          assume n: "n ∈ N"
           \texttt{show } "x \otimes n \in (\bigcup h \in \mathbb{N}. \ \ \texttt{\{h} \otimes x\})"proof
             show "x ⊗ n ⊗ inv x ∈ N" by (simp add: x n closed)
             show "x ⊗ n ∈ {x ⊗ n ⊗ inv x ⊗ x}"
                by (simp add: x n m_assoc sb [THEN subsetD])
          qed
        qed
     qed
  qed
qed
corollary (in group) normal_invI:
   assumes "subgroup N G" and "\bigwedge x h. [[ x \in carrier G; h \in N ]] \implies x \otimesh \otimes inv x \in N''shows "N \triangleleft G"
```

```
using assms normal_inv_iff by blast
corollary (in group) normal_invE:
  assumes ^{\prime\prime}N \triangleleft G<sup>"</sup>
  shows "subgroup N G" and "
V
x h. [[ x ∈ carrier G; h ∈ N ]] =⇒ x ⊗ h
⊗ inv x ∈ N"
  using assms normal_inv_iff apply blast
  by (simp add: assms normal.inv_op_closed2)
lemma (in group) one_is\_normal: "{1} < G"using normal_invI triv_subgroup by force
The intersection of two normal subgroups is, again, a normal subgroup.
lemma (in group) normal_subgroup_intersect:
  assumes "M \triangleleft G" and "N \triangleleft G" shows "M \cap N \triangleleft G"
  using assms normal_inv_iff subgroups_Inter_pair by force
Being a normal subgroup is preserved by surjective homomorphisms.
lemma (in normal) surj_hom_normal_subgroup:
  assumes \varphi: "group hom G F \varphi"
  assumes ϕsurj: "ϕ ' (carrier G) = carrier F"
  shows "(\varphi \circ H) \vartriangleleft F''proof (rule group.normalI)
  show "group F"
    using \varphi group_hom.axioms(2) by blast
next
  show "subgroup (\varphi ' H) F"
    using \varphi group_hom.subgroup_img_is_subgroup subgroup_axioms by blast
next
  show "∀ x∈carrier F. \varphi ' H #><sub>F</sub> x = x <#<sub>F</sub> \varphi ' H"
  proof
    fix f
    assume f: "f ∈ carrier F"
    with \varphisurj obtain g where g: "g ∈ carrier G" "f = \varphi g" by auto
    hence "\varphi ' H #>F f = \varphi ' H #>F \varphi g" by simp
    also have "... = (\lambda x. (\varphi x) \otimes_F (\varphi g)) ' H"
       unfolding r_coset_def image_def by auto
    also have "... = (\lambda x. \varphi (x \otimes g)) ' H"
       using subset g \varphi group hom.hom mult unfolding image def by fastforce
    also have "\ldots = \varphi ' (H \#> g)"
       using \varphi unfolding r_coset_def by auto
    also have "... = \varphi ' (g \lt # H)"
       by (metis coset_eq g(1))
    also have "... = (\lambda x. \varphi (g \otimes x)) ' H"
       using \varphi unfolding l_coset_def by auto
    also have "... = (\lambda x. (\varphi g) \otimes_F (\varphi x)) ' H"
       using subset g \varphi group_hom.hom_mult by fastforce
    also have "... = \varphi g \lt^{\#_F} \varphi ' H"
       unfolding l_coset_def image_def by auto
```

```
also have "... = f \lt^{\#_F} \varphi ' H"
        using g by simp
      finally show "\varphi ' H #><sub>F</sub> f = f <#<sub>F</sub> \varphi ' H".
   qed
qed
```
Being a normal subgroup is preserved by group isomorphisms.

```
lemma iso_normal_subgroup:
  assumes \varphi: "\varphi \in iso G F" "group G" "group F" "H \vartriangleleft G"
  shows "(\varphi \circ H) \triangleleft F"
  by (meson assms Group.iso iff group hom axioms def group hom def normal.surj hom normal s
```
The set product of two normal subgroups is a normal subgroup.

```
lemma (in group) setmult_lcos_assoc:
  \texttt{H} \subseteq carrier G; K \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G]
       \implies (x <# H) <#> K = x <# (H <#> K)"
  by (force simp add: l_coset_def set_mult_def m_assoc)
```
## **7.5 More Properties of Left Cosets**

```
lemma (in group) l_repr_independence:
  assumes "y ∈ x <# H" "x ∈ carrier G" and HG: "subgroup H G"
  shows "x \leq # H = y \leq # H"
proof -
  obtain h' where h': "h' ∈ H" "y = x \otimes h'"
    using assms(1) unfolding l_coset_def by blast
  hence "x ⊗ h = y ⊗ ((inv h') ⊗ h)" if "h ∈ H" for h
  proof -
    have "h' ∈ carrier G"
      by (meson HG h'(1) subgroup.mem_carrier)
    moreover have "h ∈ carrier G"
      by (meson HG subgroup.mem_carrier that)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (metis assms(2) h'(2) inv_closed inv_solve_right m_assoc m_closed)
  qed
  hence " \wedge xh. xh \in x \iff H \implies xh \in y \iff H''unfolding l_coset_def by (metis (no_types, lifting) UN_iff HG h'(1)
subgroup_def)
  moreover have "\Lambda h. h \in H \implies y \otimes h = x \otimes (h' \otimes h)"
    using h' by (meson assms(2) HG m_assoc subgroup.mem_carrier)
  hence "∧yh. yh ∈ y <# H =⇒ yh ∈ x <# H"
    unfolding l_coset_def using subgroup.m_closed[OF HG h'(1)] by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma (in group) lcos_m_assoc:
  \lVert M \rVert \leq carrier G; g \in carrier G; h \in carrier G \rVert \Rightarrow g \iff (h \lt \# M) =
(g \otimes h) <# M"
```

```
by (force simp add: l_coset_def m_assoc)
```

```
lemma (in group) <math>lcos\_mult\_one: "M \subseteq carrier G \implies 1 \leq H M = M"by (force simp add: l_coset_def)
lemma (in group) l_coset_subset_G:
  "[H \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G \Vert \implies x \leq \# H \subseteq carrier G"
by (auto simp add: l_coset_def subsetD)
lemma (in group) l_coset_carrier:
  "[y \in x <# H; x \in carrier G; subgroup H G ] \implies y \in carrier G"
  by (auto simp add: l_coset_def m_assoc subgroup.subset [THEN subsetD]
subgroup.m_closed)
lemma (in group) l_coset_swap:
  assumes "y ∈ x <# H" "x ∈ carrier G" "subgroup H G"
  shows "x \in y \leq # H"
  using assms(2) l_repr_independence[OF assms] subgroup.one_closed[OF
assms(3)]
  unfolding l_coset_def by fastforce
lemma (in group) subgroup_mult_id:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "H <#> H = H"
proof
  show "H <#> H ⊂ H"
    unfolding set_mult_def using subgroup.m_closed[OF assms] by (simp
add: UN subset iff)
  show "H \subset H \lt#> H"
  proof
    fix x assume x: "x \in H" thus "x \in H \iff H" unfolding set_mult_def
      using subgroup.m_closed[OF assms subgroup.one_closed[OF assms] x]
subgroup.one_closed[OF assms]
      using assms subgroup.mem_carrier by force
  qed
qed
7.5.1 Set of Inverses of an r_coset.
lemma (in normal) rcos_inv:
  assumes x: "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows "set_inv (H \# > x) = H \# > (inv x)"
proof (simp add: r_coset_def SET_INV_def x inv_mult_group, safe)
  fix h
  assume h: "h ∈ H"
  \texttt{show "inv x} \otimes \texttt{inv h} \in (\bigcup{\texttt{j}}{\in} \texttt{H}. \ \ {\texttt{\{j}}} \, \otimes \texttt{inv x}\})"
  proof
    show "inv x \otimes inv h \otimes x \in H"
      by (simp add: inv_op_closed1 h x)
```
show "inv x  $\otimes$  inv h ∈ {inv x  $\otimes$  inv h  $\otimes$  x  $\otimes$  inv x}"

```
by (simp add: h x m_assoc)
   qed
   \texttt{show "h} \ \ \otimes \ \texttt{inv} \ \ \texttt{x} \ \in \ (\bigcup{\texttt{j}}{\in} \texttt{H}. \ \ \texttt{\{inv} \ \ \texttt{x} \ \ \otimes \ \texttt{inv} \ \ \texttt{j}})"
   proof
      show "x \otimes inv h \otimes inv x \in H"
          by (simp add: inv_op_closed2 h x)
      show "h \otimes inv x \in {inv x \otimes inv (x \otimes inv h \otimes inv x)}"
          by (simp add: h x m_assoc [symmetric] inv_mult_group)
   qed
qed
```
#### **7.5.2 Theorems for** <#> **with** #> **or** <#**.**

```
lemma (in group) setmult_rcos_assoc:
  "[H \subseteq carrier G; K \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G] \impliesH \leq # (K \neq x) = (H \leq # K) \neq x"using set_mult_assoc[of H K "{x}"] by (simp add: r_coset_eq_set_mult)
lemma (in group) rcos_assoc_lcos:
  \lVert \mathbb{H} \subseteq carrier G; K \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G\rVert \Longrightarrow(H #> x) <#> K = H <#> (x <# K)"
  using set_mult_assoc[of H "{x}" K]
  by (simp add: l_coset_eq_set_mult r_coset_eq_set_mult)
lemma (in normal) rcos_mult_step1:
  \mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{I}}[x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G] \implies(H \# > x) <#> (H \# > y) = (H \iff (x \iff H)) \# > y"by (simp add: setmult_rcos_assoc r_coset_subset_G
                    subset l_coset_subset_G rcos_assoc_lcos)
lemma (in normal) rcos_mult_step2:
      \mathbb{I}[x] \in \mathsf{carrier} \; \mathsf{G}; \; y \in \mathsf{carrier} \; \mathsf{G}\implies (H <#> (x <# H)) #> y = (H <#> (H #> x)) #> y"
by (insert coset_eq, simp add: normal_def)
lemma (in normal) rcos_mult_step3:
      \mathbb{I}[x] \in \mathsf{carrier} \; \mathsf{G}; \; y \in \mathsf{carrier} \; \mathsf{G}\implies (H \iff (H \#> x)) \#> y = H \#> (x \otimes y)"
by (simp add: setmult_rcos_assoc coset_mult_assoc
                 subgroup_mult_id normal.axioms subset normal_axioms)
lemma (in normal) rcos_sum:
      \mathbf{f}[x] \in \mathsf{carrier} \; \mathsf{G}; \; y \in \mathsf{carrier} \; \mathsf{G}\implies (H #> x) <#> (H #> y) = H #> (x \otimes y)"
by (simp add: rcos_mult_step1 rcos_mult_step2 rcos_mult_step3)
lemma (in normal) rcosets_mult_eq: "M ∈ rcosets H =⇒ H <#> M = M"
   — generalizes subgroup_mult_id
  by (auto simp add: RCOSETS_def subset
```
#### **7.5.3 An Equivalence Relation**

```
definition
  r_congruent :: "[('a,'b)monoid_scheme, 'a set] ⇒ ('a*'a)set" ("rcongı
_")
  where "rcong<sub>G</sub> H = {(x,y). x \in carrier G \land y \in carrier G \land inv<sub>G</sub> x \otimes_Gy ∈ H}"
```

```
lemma (in subgroup) equiv_rcong:
   assumes "group G"
   shows "equiv (carrier G) (rcong H)"
proof -
  interpret group G by fact
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro equivI)
    have "rcong H ⊆ carrier G × carrier G"
      by (auto simp add: r_congruent_def)
    thus "refl_on (carrier G) (rcong H)"
      by (auto simp add: r_congruent_def refl_on_def)
  next
    show "sym (rcong H)"
    proof (simp add: r_congruent_def sym_def, clarify)
      fix x y
      assume [simp]: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
         and "inv x \otimes y \in H"
      hence "inv (inv x \otimes y) \in H" by simp
      thus "inv y \otimes x \in H" by (simp add: inv_mult_group)
    qed
  next
    show "trans (rcong H)"
    proof (simp add: r_congruent_def trans_def, clarify)
      fix x y z
      assume [simp]: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "z ∈ carrier G"
         and "inv x \otimes y \in H" and "inv y \otimes z \in H"
      hence "(inv x \otimes y) \otimes (inv y \otimes z) \in H" by simp
      hence "inv x \otimes (y \otimes inv y) \otimes z \in H"
        by (simp add: m_assoc del: r_inv Units_r_inv)
      thus "inv x \otimes z \in H" by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
```
Equivalence classes of rcong correspond to left cosets. Was there a mistake in the definitions? I'd have expected them to correspond to right cosets.

```
lemma (in subgroup) l_coset_eq_rcong:
 assumes "group G"
```

```
assumes a: "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a <# H = (rcong H) '' {a}"
proof -
 interpret group G by fact
 show ?thesis by (force simp add: r_congruent_def l_coset_def m_assoc
[symmetric] a )
qed
```
## **7.5.4 Two Distinct Right Cosets are Disjoint**

```
lemma (in group) rcos_equation:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  assumes p: "ha ⊗ a = h ⊗ b" "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "h ∈ H"
"ha ∈ H" "hb ∈ H"
  \texttt{shows} "\texttt{hb} \otimes \texttt{a} \in (\bigcup \texttt{h} \in \texttt{H}. \ \ \texttt{\{h} \otimes \texttt{b}\})"
proof -
  interpret subgroup H G by fact
  from p show ?thesis
    by (rule_tac UN_I [of "hb ⊗ ((inv ha) ⊗ h)"]) (auto simp: inv_solve_left
m_assoc)
qed
lemma (in group) rcos_disjoint:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "pairwise disjnt (rcosets H)"
proof -
  interpret subgroup H G by fact
  show ?thesis
    unfolding RCOSETS_def r_coset_def pairwise_def disjnt_def
    by (blast intro: rcos_equation assms sym)
qed
```
# **7.6 Further lemmas for** r\_congruent

```
The relation is a congruence
lemma (in normal) congruent_rcong:
 shows "congruent2 (rcong H) (rcong H) (λa b. a ⊗ b <# H)"
proof (intro congruent2I[of "carrier G" _ "carrier G" _] equiv_rcong is_group)
 fix a b c
 assume abroong: ((a, b) \in \text{rcong H})and ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
 from abrcong
      have acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
        and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
        and abH: "inv a \otimes b \in H"
      unfolding r_congruent_def
      by fast+
```

```
note carr = acarr bcarr ccarr
  from ccarr and abH
      have "inv c ⊗ (inv a ⊗ b) ⊗ c ∈ H" by (rule inv_op_closed1)
  moreover
      from carr and inv_closed
      have "inv c \otimes (inv a \otimes b) \otimes c = (inv c \otimes inv a) \otimes (b \otimes c)"
      by (force cong: m_assoc)
  moreover
      from carr and inv_closed
      have "... = (inv (a \otimes c)) \otimes (b \otimes c)"
      by (simp add: inv_mult_group)
  ultimately
      have "(inv (a \otimes c)) \otimes (b \otimes c) \in H" by simp
  from carr and this
     have "(b ⊗ c) ∈ (a ⊗ c) <# H"
     by (simp add: lcos_module_rev[OF is_group])
  from carr and this and is_subgroup
     show "(a \otimes c) <# H = (b \otimes c) <# H" by (intro l_repr_independence,
simp+)
next
  fix a b c
  assume abroong: ((a, b) \in \text{rcong H})and ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
  from ccarr have "c ∈ Units G" by simp
  hence cinvc one: "inv c \otimes c = 1" by (rule Units l inv)
  from abrcong
      have acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
       and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
       and abH: "inv a \otimes b \in H"
      by (unfold r_congruent_def, fast+)
  note carr = acarr bcarr ccarr
  from carr and inv_closed
     have "inv a \otimes b = inv a \otimes (1 \otimes b)" by simp
  also from carr and inv_closed
      have "... = inv a \otimes (inv c \otimes c) \otimes b" by simp
  also from carr and inv_closed
      have "... = (inv a \otimes inv c) \otimes (c \otimes b)" by (force cong: m_assoc)
  also from carr and inv_closed
      have "... = inv (c \otimes a) \otimes (c \otimes b)" by (simp add: inv mult group)
  finally
      have "inv a \otimes b = inv (c \otimes a) \otimes (c \otimes b)".
  from abH and this
      have "inv (c \otimes a) \otimes (c \otimes b) \in H" by simp
```

```
from carr and this
     have "(c ⊗ b) ∈ (c ⊗ a) <# H"
     by (simp add: lcos_module_rev[OF is_group])
 from carr and this and is_subgroup
     show "(c \otimes a) <# H = (c \otimes b) <# H" by (intro l_repr_independence,
simp+)
qed
```
## **7.7 Order of a Group and Lagrange's Theorem**

```
definition
  order :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme \Rightarrow nat"
  where "order S = card (carrier S)"
lemma iso_same_order:
  assumes "\varphi \in iso G H"
  shows "order G = order H"
  by (metis assms is_isoI iso_same_card order_def order_def)
lemma (in monoid) order_gt_0_iff_finite: "0 < order G ←→ finite (carrier
G)"
  by(auto simp add: order_def card_gt_0_iff)
lemma (in group) order_one_triv_iff:
  shows "(order G = 1) = (carrier G = \{1\})"
  by (metis One nat def card.empty card Suc eq empty iff one closed order def
singleton iff)
lemma (in group) rcosets_part_G:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "\bigcup (rcosets H) = carrier G"
proof -
  interpret subgroup H G by fact
  show ?thesis
    unfolding RCOSETS_def r_coset_def by auto
qed
lemma (in group) cosets_finite:
     \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{C}} [\mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}] rosets H; H \subseteq carrier G; finite (carrier G) \Rightarrow finite
c"
  unfolding RCOSETS_def
  by (auto simp add: r_coset_subset_G [THEN finite_subset])
The next two lemmas support the proof of card_cosets_equal.
lemma (in group) inj_on_f:
```

```
assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" and a: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "inj_on (\lambda y. y \otimes inv a) (H #> a)"
proof
  fix x y
```

```
assume "x ∈ H #> a" "y ∈ H #> a" and xy: "x ⊗ inv a = y ⊗ inv a"
 then have "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
    using assms r_coset_subset_G by blast+
  with xy a show "x = y"
    by auto
qed
lemma (in group) inj_on_g:
    \mathbb{H} \subseteq carrier G; a \in carrier G] \implies inj_on (\lambday. y \otimes a) H"
by (force simp add: inj_on_def subsetD)
lemma (in group) card_cosets_equal:
 assumes "R ∈ rcosets H" "H ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "∃f. bij betw f H R"
proof -
 obtain g where g: "g \in carrier G" "R = H #> g"using assms(1) unfolding RCOSETS_def by blast
 let ?f = "\lambda h. h \otimes g"have \sqrt[m]{r}. r \in R \implies \exists h \in H. ?f h = r"
 proof -
    fix r assume "r \in R"
    then obtain h where "h \in H" "r = h \otimes g"
      using g unfolding r_coset_def by blast
    thus "\exists h \in H. ?f h = r" by blast
  qed
 hence "R ⊆ ?f ' H" by blast
 moreover have "?f ' H ⊆ R"
    using g unfolding r_coset_def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using inj_on_g unfolding bij_betw_def
    using assms(2) g(1) by auto
qed
corollary (in group) card_rcosets_equal:
 assumes "R ∈ rcosets H" "H ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "card H = card R"
 using card_cosets_equal assms bij_betw_same_card by blast
corollary (in group) rcosets_finite:
  assumes "R ∈ rcosets H" "H ⊆ carrier G" "finite H"
 shows "finite R"
  using card_cosets_equal assms bij_betw_finite is_group by blast
```

```
lemma (in group) rcosets_subset_PowG:
     "subgroup H G \implies rcosets H \subseteq Pow(carrier G)"
```

```
using rcosets_part_G by auto
proposition (in group) lagrange_finite:
  assumes "finite(carrier G)" and HG: "subgroup H G"
  shows "card(rcosets H) * card(H) = order(G)"
proof -
  have "card H * card (rcosets H) = card (\bigcup (rcosets H))"
  proof (rule card_partition)
    \texttt{show}\text{ ``\text{\textbackslash}c1 c2. } [\texttt{c1}\in \texttt{rcosets} \text{ }\texttt{H}; \text{ } \texttt{c2}\in \texttt{rcosets} \text{ }\texttt{H}; \text{ } \texttt{c1}\neq \texttt{c2}] \implies \texttt{c1}\,\capc2 = {}using HG rcos_disjoint by (auto simp: pairwise_def disjnt_def)
  qed (auto simp: assms finite_UnionD rcosets_part_G card_rcosets_equal
subgroup.subset)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: HG mult.commute order_def rcosets_part_G)
qed
theorem (in group) lagrange:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "card (rcosets H) * card H = order G"
proof (cases "finite (carrier G)")
  case True thus ?thesis using lagrange_finite assms by simp
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases "finite H")
    case False thus ?thesis using ‹ infinite (carrier G)› by (simp add:
order_def)
  next
    case True
    have "infinite (rcosets H)"
    proof
      assume "finite (rcosets H)"
      hence finite_rcos: "finite (rcosets H)" by simp
       hence "card ( \bigcup (rcosets H) ) = ( \sum R \in (rcosets H) . card R )"using card_Union_disjoint[of "rcosets H"] ‹ finite H› rcos_disjoint[OF
assms(1)]rcosets_finite[where ?H = H] by (simp add: assms subgroup.subset)
      hence "order G = (\sum R \in (r \cosets H) \cdot \text{card } R)"
         by (simp add: assms order_def rcosets_part_G)
      hence "order G = (\sum R \in (r \cosets H) \cdot \text{card } H)"
         using card_rcosets_equal by (simp add: assms subgroup.subset)
      hence "order G = (card H) * (card (rcosets H))" by simp
      hence 'order G \neq 0' using finite\_rcos 'finite H<sup>></sup> assms ex_in\_conv'rcosets_part_G subgroup.one_closed by
fastforce
      thus False using ‹ infinite (carrier G)› order_gt_0_iff_finite by
blast
    qed
```

```
thus ?thesis using ‹ infinite (carrier G)› by (simp add: order_def)
qed
```
**qed**

The cardinality of the right cosets of the trivial subgroup is the cardinality of the group itself:

```
corollary (in group) card_rcosets_triv:
 assumes "finite (carrier G)"
 shows "card (rcosets {1}) = order G"
 using lagrange triv_subgroup by fastforce
```
## **7.8 Quotient Groups: Factorization of a Group**

```
definition
  FactGroup :: "[('a, 'b) \text{ monoid scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ monoid} \text{''} (infixl
"Mod" 65)
    — Actually defined for groups rather than monoids
   where "FactGroup G H = |carrier = rcosets<sub>G</sub> H, mult = set_mult G, one
= H\parallel"
lemma (in normal) setmult_closed:
     "[K1 \in rcosets H; K2 \in rcosets H] \implies K1 <#> K2 \in rcosets H"
by (auto simp add: rcos_sum RCOSETS_def)
lemma (in normal) setinv_closed:
     "K \in rcosets H \implies set inv K \in rcosets H"
by (auto simp add: rcos_inv RCOSETS_def)
lemma (in normal) rcosets_assoc:
     "\[M1 \in \text{crossets H}; M2 \in \text{crossets H}; M3 \in \text{crossets H}=⇒ M1 <#> M2 <#> M3 = M1 <#> (M2 <#> M3)"
  by (simp add: group.set mult assoc is group rcosets carrier)
lemma (in subgroup) subgroup_in_rcosets:
  assumes "group G"
  shows "H ∈ rcosets H"
proof -
  interpret group G by fact
  from _ subgroup_axioms have "H #> 1 = H"
    by (rule coset_join2) auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: RCOSETS_def)
qed
lemma (in normal) rcosets_inv_mult_group_eq:
     "M \in rcosets H \implies set_inv M \lt H M = H''by (auto simp add: RCOSETS_def rcos_inv rcos_sum subgroup.subset normal.axioms
normal_axioms)
```

```
theorem (in normal) factorgroup_is_group: "group (G Mod H)"
proof -
  have "\x. x ∈ rcosets H =⇒ ∃y∈rcosets H. y <#> x = H"
    using rcosets_inv_mult_group_eq setinv_closed by blast
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding FactGroup_def
    by (intro groupI)
      (auto simp: setmult closed subgroup in rcosets rcosets assoc rcosets mult eq)
qed
lemma carrier_FactGroup: "carrier(G Mod N) = (\lambda x. r \cos \theta \sin \theta) ' carrier
G"
 by (auto simp: FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def)
lemma one_FactGroup [simp]: "one(G Mod N) = N"
  by (auto simp: FactGroup_def)
lemma mult_FactGroup [simp]: "monoid.mult (G Mod N) = set_mult G"
 by (auto simp: FactGroup_def)
lemma (in normal) inv_FactGroup:
 assumes "X ∈ carrier (G Mod H)"
 shows "inv<sub>G</sub> Mod H X = set_inv X''proof -
  have X: "X ∈ rcosets H"
    using assms by (simp add: FactGroup_def)
 moreover have "set inv X \leq x > X = H"
    using X by (simp add: normal.rcosets_inv_mult_group_eq normal_axioms)
  moreover have "Group.group (G Mod H)"
    using normal.factorgroup_is_group normal_axioms by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: FactGroup_def group.inv_equality normal.setinv_closed
normal_axioms)
qed
The coset map is a homomorphism from G to the quotient group G Mod H
lemma (in normal) r_coset_hom_Mod:
  "(\lambda a. H \# > a) \in hom G (G Mod H)"
  by (auto simp add: FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def Pi_def hom_def rcos_sum)
lemma (in comm_group) set_mult_commute:
 assumes "N ⊆ carrier G" "x ∈ rcosets N" "y ∈ rcosets N"
 shows "x <#> y = y <#> x"
 using assms unfolding set_mult_def RCOSETS_def
 by auto (metis m_comm r_coset_subset_G subsetCE)+
lemma (in comm_group) abelian_FactGroup:
  assumes "subgroup N G" shows "comm_group(G Mod N)"
```

```
proof (rule group.group_comm_groupI)
  have "N \triangleleft G"by (simp add: assms normal_iff_subgroup)
  then show "Group.group (G Mod N)"
    by (simp add: normal.factorgroup_is_group)
  fix x :: "'a set" and y :: "'a set"
  assume "x \in \text{carrier} (G Mod N)" "y \in \text{carrier} (G Mod N)"
  then show "x \otimesG Mod N y = y \otimesG Mod N x"
    by (metis FactGroup_def assms mult_FactGroup partial_object.simps(1)
set mult commute subgroup def)
qed
lemma FactGroup_universal:
  assumes "h \in hom G H" "N < G"and h: "\bigwedge x y. [x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; r_coset G N x = r_coset
G N y \Rightarrow h x = h y"
  obtains g
  where "g ∈ hom (G Mod N) H" "\wedge x. x ∈ carrier G \Rightarrow g(r_coset G N x)
= h x''proof -
  obtain g where g: "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier G \implies h \times g(r \cdot coset \times g \times x)"
    using h function_factors_left_gen [of "λx. x ∈ carrier G" "r_coset
G N" h] by blast
  show thesis
  proof
    show "g \in \text{hom} (G Mod N) H"
    proof (rule homI)
      show "g (u \otimesG Mod N v) = g u \otimesH g v"
        if "u ∈ carrier (G Mod N)" "v ∈ carrier (G Mod N)" for u v
      proof -
        from that
        obtain x y where xy: "x ∈ carrier G" "u = r_coset G N x" "y ∈
carrier G'''''' = r \csc G N y''by (auto simp: carrier_FactGroup)
        then have "h (x \otimes<sub>G</sub> y) = h x \otimes<sub>H</sub> h y"
            by (metis hom_mult [OF ‹ h ∈ hom G H› ])
        then show ?thesis
           by (metis Coset.mult_FactGroup xy ‹ N ⊲ G> g group.subgroup_self
normal.axioms(2) normal.rcos_sum subgroup_def)
      qed
    qed (use ‹ h ∈ hom G H› in ‹ auto simp: carrier_FactGroup Pi_iff hom_def
simp flip: g› )
  qed (auto simp flip: g)
qed
lemma (in normal) FactGroup_pow:
```

```
fixes k::nat
```

```
assumes "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "pow (FactGroup G H) (r_coset G H a) k = r_coset G H (pow G a
k)"
proof (induction k)
 case 0
 then show ?case
    by (simp add: r_coset_def)
next
  case (Suc k)
 then show ?case
    by (simp add: assms rcos_sum)
qed
lemma (in normal) FactGroup_int_pow:
 fixes k::int
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "pow (FactGroup G H) (r_coset G H a) k = r_coset G H (pow G a
\mathbf{k}) "
 by (metis Group.group.axioms(1) image_eqI is_group monoid.nat_pow_closed
int_pow_def2 assms
         FactGroup_pow carrier_FactGroup inv_FactGroup rcos_inv)
```
## **7.9 The First Isomorphism Theorem**

The quotient by the kernel of a homomorphism is isomorphic to the range of that homomorphism.

```
definition
  kernel :: "('a, 'm) monoid_scheme \Rightarrow ('b, 'n) monoid_scheme \Rightarrow ('a
\Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a set"
    — the kernel of a homomorphism
  where "kernel G H h = {x. x \in carrier G \wedge h x = 1H}"
lemma (in group_hom) subgroup_kernel: "subgroup (kernel G H h) G"
  by (auto simp add: kernel_def group.intro intro: subgroup.intro)
The kernel of a homomorphism is a normal subgroup
lemma (in group_hom) normal_kernel: "(kernel G H h) < G"apply (simp only: G.normal_inv_iff subgroup_kernel)
  apply (simp add: kernel_def)
  done
lemma iso_kernel_image:
  assumes "group G" "group H"
  shows "f \in iso G H \longleftrightarrow f \in hom G H \land kernel G H f = {1<sub>G</sub>} \land f \land carrier
G = carrier H"
    (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof (intro iffI conjI)
  assume f: ?lhs
```

```
show "f \in \text{hom } G H"
     using Group.iso_iff f by blast
  show "kernel G H f = \{1_G\}"
    using assms f Group.group def hom one
     by (fastforce simp add: kernel_def iso_iff_mon_epi mon_iff_hom_one
set eq iff)
  show "f ' carrier G = carrier H"
     by (meson Group.iso_iff f)
next
  assume ?rhs
  with assms show ?lhs
    by (auto simp: kernel_def iso_def bij_betw_def inj_on_one_iff')
qed
lemma (in group_hom) FactGroup_nonempty:
  assumes "X ∈ carrier (G Mod kernel G H h)"
  shows "X \neq {\}"
  using assms unfolding FactGroup_def
  by (metis group_hom.subgroup_kernel group_hom_axioms partial_object.simps(1)
subgroup.rcosets_non_empty)
lemma (in group_hom) FactGroup_universal_kernel:
  \overline{a} assumes "N \triangleleft G" and h: "N \subseteq kernel G H h"
  \text{obtains } \text{g where } " \text{g } \in \text{ hom } (\text{G Mod N}) \text{ H} " \text{ ''}\text{/\text{x}}.\ \text{ x } \in \text{ carrier } \text{G} \implies \text{g(r\_coset)}G N x) = h x''proof -
  have "h x = h v"
    if "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "r_coset G N x = r_coset G N y"
for x y
  proof -
     have "x \otimes<sub>G</sub> inv<sub>G</sub> y \in N"
       using \langle N \langle G \rangle group.rcos_self normal.axioms(2) normal_imp_subgroup
           subgroup.rcos_module_imp that by metis
     with h have xy: "x \otimes<sub>G</sub> inv<sub>G</sub> y \in kernel G H h"
       by blast
     have "h x \otimes<sub>H</sub> inv<sub>H</sub>(h y) = h (x \otimes<sub>G</sub> inv<sub>G</sub> y)"
       by (simp add: that)
     also have "\dots = 1_H"
       using xy by (simp add: kernel_def)
     finally have "h x \otimes<sub>H</sub> inv<sub>H</sub>(h y) = 1<sub>H</sub>".
     then show ?thesis
       using H.inv_equality that by fastforce
  qed
  with FactGroup_universal [OF homh \langle N \langle G \rangle] that show thesis
     by metis
qed
```

```
lemma (in group_hom) FactGroup_the_elem_mem:
 assumes X: "X ∈ carrier (G Mod (kernel G H h))"
 shows "the_elem (h'X) ∈ carrier H"
proof -
 from X
 obtain g where g: "g ∈ carrier G"
             and ''X = kernel G H h # g"
    by (auto simp add: FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def)
  hence "h ' X = \{h \ g\}" by (auto simp add: kernel_def r_coset_def g intro!:
imageI)
 thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: g)
qed
lemma (in group_hom) FactGroup_hom:
     "(\lambdaX. the_elem (h'X)) \in hom (G Mod (kernel G H h)) H"
proof -
 have "the_elem (h ' (X \le x)) = the_elem (h ' X) \otimes_H the_elem (h '
Y')"
    if X: "X \in carrier (G Mod kernel G H h)" and X': "X' \in carrier (G
Mod kernel G H h)" for X X'
 proof -
    obtain g and g'
      where "g ∈ carrier G" and "g' ∈ carrier G"
        and "X = kernel G H h #> g" and "X' = kernel G H h #> g'"
      using X X' by (auto simp add: FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def)
    hence all: "\forall x \in X. h x = h g" "\forall x \in X'. h x = h g'"
      and Xsub: "X ⊆ carrier G" and X'sub: "X' ⊆ carrier G"
      by (force simp add: kernel def r coset def image def)+
    hence "h ' (X \leq x) = \{h g \otimes_H h g' \}" using X X'by (auto dest!: FactGroup_nonempty intro!: image_eqI
          simp add: set_mult_def
          subsetD [OF Xsub] subsetD [OF X'sub])
   then show "the_elem (h ' (X \leq x)) = the_elem (h ' X) \otimes_H the_elem
(h ' X')"
      by (auto simp add: all FactGroup_nonempty X X' the_elem_image_unique)
 qed
 then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: hom_def FactGroup_the_elem_mem normal.factorgroup_is_group
[OF normal_kernel] group.axioms monoid.m_closed)
qed
Lemma for the following injectivity result
lemma (in group_hom) FactGroup_subset:
 assumes "g ∈ carrier G" "g' ∈ carrier G" "h g = h g'"
 shows "kernel G H h \#> g \subseteq kernel G H h \#> g'"
  unfolding kernel_def r_coset_def
proof clarsimp
```

```
with assms show "∃x. x ∈ carrier G \land h x = 1<sub>H</sub> \land y \otimes g = x \otimes g'"
    by (rule_tac x="y ⊗ g ⊗ inv g'" in exI) (auto simp: G.m_assoc)
qed
lemma (in group_hom) FactGroup_inj_on:
     "inj_on (\lambda X. the_elem (h ' X)) (carrier (G Mod kernel G H h))"
proof (simp add: inj on def, clarify)
 fix X and X'
  assume X: "X ∈ carrier (G Mod kernel G H h)"
     and X': "X' \in \text{carrier} (G Mod kernel G H h)"
 then
  obtain g and g'
           where gX: "g ∈ carrier G" "g' ∈ carrier G"
              "X = kernel G H h #> g" "X' = kernel G H h #> g'"
    by (auto simp add: FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def)
  hence all: "∀ x∈X. h x = h g" "∀ x∈X'. h x = h g'"
    by (force simp add: kernel_def r_coset_def image_def)+
  assume "the_elem (h' \ X) = the-elem (h' \ X')"
 hence h: "h g = h g'"
    by (simp add: all FactGroup_nonempty X X' the_elem_image_unique)
 show "X=X'" by (rule equalityI) (simp_all add: FactGroup_subset h gX)
qed
```
If the homomorphism h is onto H, then so is the homomorphism from the quotient group

```
lemma (in group_hom) FactGroup_onto:
  assumes h: "h ' carrier G = carrier H"
  shows "(λX. the_elem (h ' X)) ' carrier (G Mod kernel G H h) = carrier
H"
proof
  show "(\lambda X. the_elem (h ' X)) ' carrier (G Mod kernel G H h) \subseteq carrier
H"
    by (auto simp add: FactGroup the elem mem)
  show "carrier H \subseteq (\lambda X. the elem (h \land X)) ' carrier (G Mod kernel G
H h)"
  proof
    fix y
    assume y: "y ∈ carrier H"
    with h obtain g where g: "g ∈ carrier G" "h g = y"
      by (blast elim: equalityE)
    hence "(∪x∈kernel G H h #> g. {h x}) = {y}"
      by (auto simp add: y kernel_def r_coset_def)
    with g show "y \in (\lambda X. the elem (h ' X)) ' carrier (G Mod kernel G
H h<sup>"</sup>
      apply (auto intro!: bexI image_eqI simp add: FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def)
      apply (subst the_elem_image_unique)
      apply auto
      done
  qed
```
If h is a homomorphism from G onto H, then the quotient group G Mod kernel G H h is isomorphic to H.

```
theorem (in group_hom) FactGroup_iso_set:
  "h ' carrier G = carrier H
   \implies (\lambdaX. the_elem (h'X)) \in iso (G Mod (kernel G H h)) H"
by (simp add: iso_def FactGroup_hom FactGroup_inj_on bij_betw_def
              FactGroup onto)
corollary (in group_hom) FactGroup_iso :
  "h ' carrier G = carrier H
   =⇒ (G Mod (kernel G H h))∼= H"
  using FactGroup_iso_set unfolding is_iso_def by auto
lemma (in group_hom) trivial_hom_iff:
  "h ' (carrier G) = { 1_H } \longleftrightarrow kernel G H h = carrier G"
  unfolding kernel_def using one_closed by force
lemma (in group_hom) trivial_ker_imp_inj:
 assumes "kernel G H h = { 1 }"
 shows "inj_on h (carrier G)"
proof (rule inj_onI)
  fix g1 g2 assume A: "g1 ∈ carrier G" "g2 ∈ carrier G" "h g1 = h g2"
 hence "h (g1 \otimes (inv g2)) = 1_H" by simp
 hence "g1 \otimes (inv g2) = 1"
    using A assms unfolding kernel_def by blast
 thus "g1 = g2"
    using A G.inv_equality G.inv_inv by blast
qed
lemma (in group_hom) inj_iff_trivial_ker:
 shows "inj_on h (carrier G) \longleftrightarrow kernel G H h = { 1 }"
proof
 assume inj: "inj_on h (carrier G)" show "kernel G H h = \{ 1 \}"
    unfolding kernel_def
  proof (auto)
    fix a assume "a \in carrier G" "h a = 1_H" thus "a = 1''using inj hom_one unfolding inj_on_def by force
 qed
next
 show "kernel G H h = { 1 } \implies inj on h (carrier G)"
    using trivial_ker_imp_inj by simp
qed
lemma (in group_hom) induced_group_hom':
  assumes "subgroup I G" shows "group_hom (G (| carrier := I |)) H h"
proof -
```
**qed**

```
have "h \in hom (G \parallel carrier := I \parallel) H"
    using homh subgroup.subset[OF assms] unfolding hom_def by (auto, meson
hom_mult subsetCE)
  thus ?thesis
    using subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF assms G.group_axioms] group_axioms
    unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def by auto
qed
lemma (in group_hom) inj_on_subgroup_iff_trivial_ker:
  assumes "subgroup I G"
  shows "inj_on h I \longleftrightarrow kernel (G (| carrier := I |)) H h = { 1 }"
  using group_hom.inj_iff_trivial_ker[OF induced_group_hom'[OF assms]]
by simp
lemma set_mult_hom:
  assumes "h ∈ hom G H" "I ⊆ carrier G" and "J ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "h ' (I <#><sub>G</sub> J) = (h ' I) <#><sub>H</sub> (h ' J)"
proof
  show "h ' (I \leq \# \geq_G J) \subseteq (h ' I) \leq \# \geq_H (h ' J)"
  proof
    fix a assume "a \in h ' (I \langle#><sub>G</sub> J)"
    then obtain i j where i: "i ∈ I" and j: "j ∈ J" and "a = h (i \otimesG)
j)"
       unfolding set_mult_def by auto
    hence "a = (h i) ⊗<sub>H</sub> (h j)"
       using assms unfolding hom_def by blast
    thus "a \in (h<sup>'</sup> I) \langle#><sub>H</sub> (h<sup>'</sup> J)"
       using i and j unfolding set_mult_def by auto
  qed
next
  show "(h ' I) \langle \# \rangle_H (h ' J) \subseteq h ' (I \langle \# \rangle_G J)"
  proof
    \operatorname{fix}a assume "a ∈ (h ' I) <#>H (h ' J)"
    then obtain i j where i: "i \in I" and j: "j \in J" and "a = (h i)
⊗H (h j)"
       unfolding set_mult_def by auto
    hence "a = h (i \otimes<sub>G</sub> j)"
       using assms unfolding hom_def by fastforce
    thus "a \in h ' (I \langle#><sub>G</sub> J)"
       using i and j unfolding set_mult_def by auto
  qed
qed
corollary coset_hom:
  assumes "h ∈ hom G H" "I ⊆ carrier G" "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "h ' (a \lt^*<sub>G</sub> I) = h a \lt^*<sub>H</sub> (h ' I)" and "h ' (I #\gt<sub>G</sub> a) = (h ' I)
#>H h a"
  unfolding l_coset_eq_set_mult r_coset_eq_set_mult using assms set_mult_hom[OF
assms(1)] by auto
```

```
corollary (in group_hom) set_mult_ker_hom:
  assumes "I ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "h ' (I <#> (kernel G H h)) = h ' I" and "h ' ((kernel G H h)
\langle 4 \rangle I) = h \langle I"
proof -
  have ker_in_carrier: "kernel G H h ⊆ carrier G"
    unfolding kernel_def by auto
  have "h ' (kernel G H h) = \{ 1_H \}"
    unfolding kernel_def by force
  moreover have "h ' I ⊆ carrier H"
    using assms by auto
  hence "(h ' I) \langle \# \rangle_{H} { 1<sub>H</sub> } = h ' I" and "{ 1<sub>H</sub> } \langle \# \rangle_{H} (h ' I) = h '
I"
    unfolding set_mult_def by force+
  ultimately show "h ' (I \leq \#) (kernel G H h)) = h ' I" and "h ' ((kernel
G H h) \langle  # \rangle I) = h ' I"
    using set_mult_hom[OF homh assms ker_in_carrier] set_mult_hom[OF homh
ker_in_carrier assms] by simp+
qed
```
## **7.9.1 Trivial homomorphisms**

```
definition trivial_homomorphism where
 "trivial_homomorphism G H f = f \in hom G H \land (\forall x \in carrier G. f x =
one H)"
lemma trivial_homomorphism_kernel:
   "trivial_homomorphism G H f \longleftrightarrow f \in hom G H \land kernel G H f = carrier
G"
  by (auto simp: trivial_homomorphism_def kernel_def)
lemma (in group) trivial_homomorphism_image:
   "trivial homomorphism G H f \longleftrightarrow f \in hom G H \land f ' carrier G = {one
H<sup>"</sup>
  by (auto simp: trivial_homomorphism_def) (metis one_closed rev_image_eqI)
```
# **7.10 Image kernel theorems**

**lemma** group\_Int\_image\_ker: **assumes** f: "f  $\in$  hom G H" **and** g: "g  $\in$  hom H K" **and** "inj\_on (g ◦ f) (carrier G)" "group G" "group H" "group K" shows "(f ' carrier G) ∩ (kernel H K g) =  $\{1_H\}$ " **proof have** "(f ' carrier G) ∩ (kernel H K g)  $\subseteq$   $\{1_H\}$ " **using** assms **apply** (clarsimp simp: kernel\_def o\_def) **by** (metis group.is\_monoid hom\_one inj\_on\_eq\_iff monoid.one\_closed) **moreover have** "one H ∈ f ' carrier G"

```
by (metis f ‹ group G› ‹ group H› group.is_monoid hom_one image_iff
monoid.one_closed)
  moreover have "one H ∈ kernel H K g"
    unfolding kernel_def using Group.group_def ‹ group H› ‹ group K› g
hom_one by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by blast
qed
lemma group_sum_image_ker:
  assumes f: "f \in hom G H" and g: "g \in hom H K" and eq: "(g \circ f) ' (carrier
G) = carrier K"
     and "group G" "group H" "group K"
  shows "set_mult H (f ' carrier G) (kernel H K g) = carrier H" (is "?lhs
= ?rhs")
proof
  show "?lhs ⊆ ?rhs"
    apply (clarsimp simp: kernel_def set_mult_def)
    by (meson ‹ group H› f group.is_monoid hom_in_carrier monoid.m_closed)
  have "∃x∈carrier G. ∃z. z ∈ carrier H \land g z = 1<sub>K</sub> \land y = f x \otimes<sub>H</sub> z"
    if y: "y ∈ carrier H" for y
  proof -
    have "g y ∈ carrier K"
       using g hom_carrier that by blast
    with assms obtain x where x: "x \in carrier G" "(g \circ f) x = g y"
       by (metis image_iff)
    with assms have invf: "inv<sub>H</sub> f x \otimes<sub>H</sub> y \in carrier H"
       by (metis group.subgroup_self hom_carrier image_subset_iff subgroup_def
y)
    moreover
    have "g (inv<sub>H</sub> f x \otimes<sub>H</sub> y) = 1<sub>K</sub>"
    proof -
       have "inv_H f x \in carrier H"by (meson ‹ group H› f group.inv_closed hom_carrier image_subset_iff
x(1)then have "g (inv<sub>H</sub> f x \otimes<sub>H</sub> y) = g (inv<sub>H</sub> f x) \otimes<sub>K</sub> g y"
         by (simp add: hom_mult [OF g] y)
       also have "... = inv<sub>K</sub> (g (f x)) \otimes_K g y"
         using assms x(1)
         by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) group_hom.hom_inv group_hom.intro
group_hom_axioms.intro hom_carrier image_subset_iff)
       also have "... = 1_K"
         using ‹ g y ∈ carrier K› assms(6) group.l_inv x(2) by fastforce
       finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    moreover
    have "y = f x \otimes<sub>H</sub> (inv<sub>H</sub> f x \otimes<sub>H</sub> y)"
      using x y
```

```
by (meson ‹ group H› invf f group.inv_solve_left' hom_in_carrier)
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using x y by force
  qed
  then show "?rhs ⊆ ?lhs"
    by (auto simp: kernel def set mult def)
qed
lemma group_sum_ker_image:
  assumes f: "f \in hom G H" and g: "g \in hom H K" and eq: "(g \circ f) ' (carrier
G) = carrier K"
     and "group G" "group H" "group K"
   shows "set_mult H (kernel H K g) (f ' carrier G) = carrier H" (is "?lhs
= ?rhs")
proof
  show "?lhs ⊆ ?rhs"
    apply (clarsimp simp: kernel_def set_mult_def)
    by (meson ‹ group H› f group.is_monoid hom_in_carrier monoid.m_closed)
  have "∃w∈carrier H. ∃x ∈ carrier G. g w = 1<sub>K</sub> \land y = w \otimes<sub>H</sub> f x"
    if y: "y ∈ carrier H" for y
  proof -
    have "g y ∈ carrier K"
      using g hom_carrier that by blast
    with assms obtain x where x: "x \in carrier G" "(g \circ f) x = g y"
      by (metis image_iff)
    with assms have carr: "(y \otimes_H inv_H f x) \in carrier H''by (metis group.subgroup_self hom_carrier image_subset_iff subgroup_def
y)
    moreover
    have "g (y \otimesH invH f x) = 1_K"
    proof -
      have "inv_H f x \in carrier H"by (meson ‹ group H› f group.inv_closed hom_carrier image_subset_iff
x(1)then have "g (y \otimesH invH f x) = g y \otimesK g (invH f x)"
        by (simp add: hom_mult [OF g] y)
      also have "... = g y \otimes_K inv<sub>K</sub> (g (f x))"
        using assms x(1)
        by (metis group_hom.hom_inv group_hom_axioms.intro group_hom_def
hom_in_carrier)
      also have "\dots = 1_K"
        using \langle g \ y \in \text{carrier } K \rangle assms(6) group.1_inv x(2)
        by (simp add: group.r_inv)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    moreover
    have "y = (y \otimes_H inv<sub>H</sub> f x) \otimes_H f x"
```

```
using x y by (meson ‹ group H› carr f group.inv_solve_right hom_carrier
image_subset_iff)
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using x y by force
 qed
  then show "?rhs ⊆ ?lhs"
    by (force simp: kernel def set mult def)
qed
lemma group_semidirect_sum_ker_image:
 assumes "(g \circ f) ∈ iso G K" "f ∈ hom G H" "g ∈ hom H K" "group G" "group
H" "group K"
 shows "(kernel H K g) ∩ (f ' carrier G) = \{1_H\}"
        "kernel H K g \langle \# \rangleH (f ' carrier G) = carrier H"
  using assms
 by (simp_all add: iso_iff_mon_epi group_Int_image_ker group_sum_ker_image
epi_def mon_def Int_commute [of "kernel H K g"])
lemma group_semidirect_sum_image_ker:
 assumes f: "f ∈ hom G H" and g: "g ∈ hom H K" and iso: "(g \circ f) ∈
iso G K"
     and "group G" "group H" "group K"
   shows "(f ' carrier G) ∩ (kernel H K g) = \{1_H\}"
          "f ' carrier G \leq \#F (kernel H K g) = carrier H"
  using group Int image ker [OF f g] group sum image ker [OF f g] assms
 by (simp_all add: iso_def bij_betw_def)
```
# **7.11 Factor Groups and Direct product**

```
lemma (in group) DirProd_normal :
  assumes "group K"
     and H \lhd G''and "N < K"shows H \times N \triangleleft G \times \times K"
proof (intro group.normal_invI[OF DirProd_group[OF group_axioms assms(1)]])
  show sub : "subgroup (H \times N) (G \times \times K)"
     using DirProd_subgroups[OF group_axioms normal_imp_subgroup[OF assms(2)]assms(1)
            normal_imp_subgroup[OF assms(3)]].
   \textbf{show } \text{ ``\textsf{A}}\text{ x } \text{h. } \text{ x } \in \text{ carrier } (\text{G} \times \times \text{K}) \implies \text{h} \in \text{H} \times \text{N} \implies \text{ x } \otimes_{\text{G} \times \times \text{K}} \text{h } \otimes_{\text{G} \times \times \text{K}}inv_{G\times\times K} x \in H\times N''proof-
     fix x h assume xGK : "x ∈ carrier (G \times \times K)" and hHN : " h ∈ H \times\overline{M}"
     hence hGK : "h ∈ carrier (G ×× K)" using subgroup.subset[OF sub]
by auto
     from xGK obtain x1 x2 where x1x2 :"x1 ∈ carrier G" "x2 ∈ carrier
K''''x = (x1, x2)''unfolding DirProd_def by fastforce
```
**from** hHN **obtain** h1 h2 where h1h2 : "h1  $\in$  H" "h2  $\in$  N" "h = (h1,h2)" **unfolding** DirProd\_def **by** fastforce **hence** h1h2GK : "h1 ∈ carrier G" "h2 ∈ carrier K" **using** normal\_imp\_subgroup subgroup.subset assms **by** blast+ have "inv<sub>G</sub>  $\times \times$  K x = (inv<sub>G</sub> x1, inv<sub>K</sub> x2)" **using** inv\_DirProd[OF group\_axioms assms(1) x1x2(1)x1x2(2)] x1x2 **by** auto **hence** "x  $\otimes$   $G \times \times K$  h  $\otimes$   $G \times \times K$  inv<sub>G</sub>  $\times \times K$  x = (x1  $\otimes$  h1  $\otimes$  inv x1,x2  $\otimes$ K h2  $\otimes$ K inv<sub>K</sub> x2)" **using** h1h2 x1x2 h1h2GK **by** auto **moreover have** "x1 ⊗ h1 ⊗ inv x1 ∈ H" "x2 ⊗<sub>K</sub> h2 ⊗<sub>K</sub> inv<sub>K</sub> x2 ∈ N" **using** assms x1x2 h1h2 assms **by** (simp\_all add: normal.inv\_op\_closed2) **hence** "(x1 ⊗ h1 ⊗ inv x1, x2 ⊗<sub>K</sub> h2 ⊗<sub>K</sub> inv<sub>K</sub> x2)∈ H × N" by auto **ultimately show** "  $x \otimes_{G} x \times K$  h  $\otimes_{G} x \times K$  inv<sub>G</sub>  $x \times K$   $x \in H$   $\times$  N" by auto **qed qed lemma** (**in** group) FactGroup\_DirProd\_multiplication\_iso\_set : **assumes** "group K" and  $H \lhd G''$ and  $"N \triangleleft K"$ shows "( $\lambda$  (X, Y). X  $\times$  Y)  $\in$  iso ((G Mod H)  $\times\times$  (K Mod N)) (G  $\times\times$  K Mod  $H \times N$ " **proofhave** R :" $(\lambda(X, Y), X \times Y) \in$  carrier (G Mod H)  $\times$  carrier (K Mod N)  $\rightarrow$  carrier (G  $\times\times$  K Mod H  $\times$  N)" **unfolding** r\_coset\_def Sigma\_def DirProd\_def FactGroup\_def RCOSETS\_def **by** force **moreover have** "(∀ x∈carrier (G Mod H). ∀ y∈carrier (K Mod N). ∀ xa∈carrier (G Mod H).  $\forall$  ya∈carrier (K Mod N). (x <#> xa) × (y <#>x ya) = x  $\times$  y  $\langle$   $\sharp$ ><sub>G</sub>  $\times$   $\times$  K xa  $\times$  ya)" **unfolding** set\_mult\_def **by** force **moreover have** "(∀ x∈carrier (G Mod H). ∀ y∈carrier (K Mod N). ∀ xa∈carrier (G Mod H).  $\forall$  ya∈carrier (K Mod N). x × y = xa × ya  $\rightarrow$  x = xa  $\wedge$  y = ya)" **unfolding** FactGroup\_def **using** times\_eq\_iff subgroup.rcosets\_non\_empty **by** (metis assms(2) assms(3) normal\_def partial\_object.select\_convs(1)) **moreover have** " $(\lambda(X, Y), X \times Y)$  ' (carrier (G Mod H)  $\times$  carrier (K  $Mod N$ ) = carrier (G  $\times\times$  K Mod H  $\times$  N)" **proof** -  $\mathtt{have}\; \, 1\colon\; \mathord{``}\bigwedge\!\! \mathord{\mathbf x} \; \mathtt{a} \; \mathtt{b}. \; \mathord{[\![\mathbf a$} \in \mathtt{carrier}\; \mathord{(\mathbf G$} \; \mathtt{Mod}\; \mathtt{H})\,; \; \mathtt{b} \in \mathtt{carrier}\; \mathord{(\mathbf K}\; \mathtt{Mod}\; \mathtt{N})\mathord{]\!]} \implies$  $a \times b \in$  carrier (G  $\times \times$  K Mod H  $\times$  N)" **using** R **by** force  $have 2: " \bigwedge z. z \in carrier (G \times\!\times K Mod H \times N) \implies \exists x \in carrier (G Mod$ H).  $\exists y \in \text{carrier } (K \text{ Mod } N)$ . z = x × y"

```
unfolding DirProd_def FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def r_coset_def by force
    show ?thesis
      unfolding image_def by (auto simp: intro: 1 2)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding iso_def hom_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def by simp
qed
corollary (in group) FactGroup_DirProd_multiplication_iso_1 :
  assumes "group K"
    and H \lhd G''and \mathbb{N} \leq K''shows " ((G Mod H) \times \times (K Mod N)) \cong (G \times \times K Mod H \times N)"
  unfolding is_iso_def using FactGroup_DirProd_multiplication_iso_set
assms by auto
corollary (in group) FactGroup_DirProd_multiplication_iso_2 :
  assumes "group K"
    and H \lhd G''and "N \triangleleft K"shows "(G \times \times K Mod H \times N) \cong ((G Mod H) \times \times (K Mod N))"
  using FactGroup_DirProd_multiplication_iso_1 group.iso_sym assms
        DirProd_group[OF normal.factorgroup_is_group normal.factorgroup_is_group]
  by blast
```
#### **7.11.1 More Lemmas about set multiplication**

A group multiplied by a subgroup stays the same

```
lemma (in group) set_mult_carrier_idem:
 assumes "subgroup H G"
 shows "(carrier G) <#> H = carrier G"
proof
  show "(carrier G)<#>H ⊆ carrier G"
    unfolding set_mult_def using subgroup.subset assms by blast
next
 have " (carrier G) #> 1 = carrier G" unfolding set_mult_def r_coset_def
group_axioms by simp
 moreover have "(carrier G) #> 1 ⊆ (carrier G) <#> H" unfolding set_mult_def
r_coset_def
    using assms subgroup.one_closed[OF assms] by blast
  ultimately show "carrier G \subset (carrier G) \iff H'' by simp
qed
Same lemma as above, but everything is included in a subgroup
lemma (in group) set_mult_subgroup_idem:
 assumes HG: "subgroup H G" and NG: "subgroup N (G (| carrier := H |))"
 shows "H <#> N = H"
  using group.set_mult_carrier_idem[OF subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF HG
group_axioms] NG] by simp
```
A normal subgroup is commutative with set multiplication

```
lemma (in group) commut_normal:
 assumes "subgroup H G" and "N<G"
 shows "H<#>N = N<#>H"
proof-
  have aux1: "{H <#> N} = {Uh∈H. h <# N }" unfolding set_mult_def l_coset_def
by auto
  also have "... = {Uh∈H. N #> h }" using assms normal.coset_eq subgroup.mem_carrier
by fastforce
  moreover have aux2: "{N <#> H} = {U h∈H. N #> h }"unfolding set_mult_def
r_coset_def by auto
 ultimately show "H<#>N = N<#>H" by simp
qed
```
Same lemma as above, but everything is included in a subgroup

```
lemma (in group) commut_normal_subgroup:
  assumes "subgroup H G" and "N \triangleleft (G( carrier := H ))"
    and "subgroup K (G \mid \text{carrier} := H \mid)"
  shows "K <#> N = N <#> K"
  by (metis assms(2) assms(3) group.commut_normal normal.axioms(2) set_mult_consistent)
```
#### **7.11.2 Lemmas about intersection and normal subgroups**

Mostly by Jakob von Raumer

```
lemma (in group) normal_inter:
 assumes "subgroup H G"
   and "subgroup K G"
   and H1\triangleleft G(carrier := H)"
 shows "(H1∩K)\lhd(G(|carrier:= (H∩K)))"
proof-
  define HK and H1K and GH and GHK
    where "HK = H∩K" and "H1K=H1∩K" and "GH =G(|carrier := H|)" and "GHK
= (G(|carrier:= (H∩K)|))"
 show "H1K<GHK"
 proof (intro group.normal_invI[of GHK H1K])
    show "Group.group GHK"
      using GHK_def subgroups_Inter_pair subgroup_imp_group assms by blast
 next
    have H1K_incl:"subgroup H1K (G(|carrier:= (H∩K)|))"
    proof(intro subgroup_incl)
      show "subgroup H1K G"
        using assms normal imp subgroup subgroups Inter pair incl subgroup
H1K_def by blast
    next
      show "subgroup (H∩K) G" using HK_def subgroups_Inter_pair assms
by auto
   next
```

```
have "H1 ⊆ (carrier (G(|carrier:=H|)))"
        using assms(3) normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.subset by blast
      also have "... ⊆ H" by simp
      thus "H1K ⊆H∩K"
        using H1K_def calculation by auto
    qed
    thus "subgroup H1K GHK" using GHK_def by simp
  next
    \mathsf{show} "\bigwedge x h. x∈carrier GHK \implies h∈H1K \implies x \otimes_{\mathsf{GHK}} h \otimes_{\mathsf{GHK}} inv_{\mathsf{GHK}} x∈
H1K"
    proof-
      have invHK: "[y \in HK] \implies inv_{GHK} y = inv_{GH} y"
        using m_inv_consistent assms HK_def GH_def GHK_def subgroups_Inter_pair
by simp
      have multHK : "[[x∈HK;y∈HK]] =⇒ x ⊗(G(|carrier:=HK|))
y = x ⊗ y"
        using HK_def by simp
      fix x assume p: "x∈carrier GHK"
      fix h assume p2 : "h:H1K"
      have "carrier(GHK)⊆HK"
        using GHK_def HK_def by simp
      hence xHK:"x∈HK" using p by auto
      hence invx: "inv_{GHK} x = inv_{GH} x"
        using invHK assms GHK_def HK_def GH_def m_inv_consistent subgroups_Inter_pair
by simp
      have "H1⊆carrier(GH)"
        using assms GH_def normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.subset by blast
      hence hHK:"h∈HK"
        using p2 H1K_def HK_def GH_def by auto
      hence xhx_egal : "x \otimesGHK h \otimesGHK invGHKX = x \otimesGH h \otimesGH invGH x"
        using invx invHK multHK GHK_def GH_def by auto
      have xH:"x∈carrier(GH)"
        using xHK HK_def GH_def by auto
      have hH:"h∈carrier(GH)"
        using hHK HK_def GH_def by auto
      have "(∀ x∈carrier (GH). ∀ h∈H1. x ⊗GH h ⊗GH invGH x ∈ H1)"
        using assms GH_def normal.inv_op_closed2 by fastforce
      hence INCL_1 : "x \otimesGH h \otimesGH invGH x \in H1"
        using xH H1K_def p2 by blast
      have " x \otimes_{GH} h \otimes_{GH} invGH x \in HK"
        using assms HK_def subgroups_Inter_pair hHK xHK
        by (metis GH_def inf.cobounded1 subgroup_def subgroup_incl)
      hence " x \otimes_{GH} h \otimes_{GH} inv_{GH} x \in K" using HK_def by simp
      hence " x ⊗GH h ⊗GH invGH x ∈ H1K" using INCL_1 H1K_def by auto
      thus "x ⊗GHK h ⊗GHK invGHK x ∈ H1K" using xhx_egal by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) normal_Int_subgroup:
```

```
assumes "subgroup H G"
    and "N \lhd G"shows "(N∩H) \triangleleft (G(carrier := H))"
proof -
  define K where "K = carrier G"
  have "G(|carrier := K|) = G" using K_def by auto
  moreover have "subgroup K G" using K_def subgroup_self by blast
  moreover have "normal N (G (carrier :=K))" using assms K def by simp
  ultimately have "N ∩ H \lhd G(|carrier := K ∩ H)"
    using normal_inter[of K H N] assms(1) by blast
  moreover have "K ∩ H = H" using K_def assms subgroup.subset by blast
  ultimately show "normal (N∩H) (G(|carrier := H|))"
 by auto
qed
lemma (in group) normal_restrict_supergroup:
  assumes "subgroup S G'' "N < G'' "N \subseteq S''shows "\mathbb{N} \triangleleft (\mathbb{G}(\text{carrier} := \mathbb{S}))"
  by (metis assms inf.absorb_iff1 normal_Int_subgroup)
A subgroup relation survives factoring by a normal subgroup.
lemma (in group) normal_subgroup_factorize:
  assumes "N \leq G" and "N \subseteq H" and "subgroup H G"
  shows "subgroup (rcosetsG(|carrier := H|)
N) (G Mod N)"
proof -
  interpret GModN: group "G Mod N"
    using assms(1) by (rule normal.factorgroup_is_group)
  have "N \leq G(carrier := H)"
    using assms by (metis normal_restrict_supergroup)
  hence grpHN: "group (G(|carrier := H|) Mod N)"
    by (rule normal.factorgroup_is_group)
  have "(<#><sub>G(|carrier:=H|) = (λU K. (∪h∈U. ∪k∈K. {h ⊗<sub>G(|carrier := H|)</sub> k}))"</sub>
    using set_mult_def by metis
  moreover have "... = (\lambdaU K. (\bigcup h \in U. \bigcup k \in K. {h \otimes_{G} k}))"
    by auto
  moreover have "(<#>) = (λU K. (∪h∈U. ∪k∈K. {h ⊗ k}))"
    using set_mult_def by metis
  ultimately have "(<#>G(|carrier:=H|)
) = (<#>G)"
    by simp
  with grpHN have "group ((G Mod N)(|carrier := (rcosets<sub>G(|carrier := H))</sub>
N)|))"
    unfolding FactGroup_def by auto
  moreover have "r\text{cosets}_{\text{G}}<sub>(carrier := H)</sub> N ⊆ carrier (G Mod N)"
    unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def r_coset_def using assms(3) subgroup.subset
    by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using GModN.group_incl_imp_subgroup by blast
```
A normality relation survives factoring by a normal subgroup.

**qed**

```
lemma (in group) normality_factorization:
  assumes NG: "N < G" and MH: "N \subseteq H" and HG: "H < G"\textbf{shows} "(rcosets<sub>G(carrier := H)</sub> \text{N}) \lhd (G Mod N)"
proof -
  from assms(1) interpret GModN: group "G Mod N" by (metis normal.factorgroup_is_group)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding GModN.normal_inv_iff
  proof (intro conjI strip)
    \textbf{show "subgroup (cosets}_{\texttt{G}(\texttt{carrier} := \texttt{H})}^{\texttt{N}}) (G Mod N)"
      using assms normal_imp_subgroup normal_subgroup_factorize by force
  next
    fix U V
    assume U: "U ∈ carrier (G Mod N)" and V: "V ∈ rcosets<sub>G</sub>(|carrier := H))
N"
    then obtain g where g: "g \in \text{carrier } G" "U = N #> g"unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def by auto
    from V obtain h where h: "h \in H" "V = N #> h"
      unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def r_coset_def by auto
    hence hG: "h ∈ carrier G"
      using HG normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.mem_carrier by force
    hence ghG: "g ⊗ h ∈ carrier G"
      using g m_closed by auto
    from g h have "g \otimes h \otimes inv g \in H"
      using HG normal_inv_iff by auto
    moreover have "U <#> V <#> \text{inv}_\text{G Mod N} U = N #> (g \otimes h \otimes inv g)"
    proof -
      from g U have "inv<sub>G</sub> M_{\text{odd N}} U = N #> inv g"
         using NG normal.inv_FactGroup normal.rcos_inv by fastforce
      hence "U <#> V <#> invG Mod N U = (N #> g) <#> (N #> h) <#> (N #>
inv g)"
         using g h by simp
      also have "... = N #> (g \otimes h \otimes inv g)"
         using g hG NG inv_closed ghG normal.rcos_sum by force
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    ultimately show "U \otimesG Mod N V \otimesG Mod N invG Mod N U ∈ rcosets<sub>G(carrier</sub> := H)
N"
      unfolding RCOSETS_def r_coset_def by auto
  qed
qed
Factorizing by the trivial subgroup is an isomorphism.
lemma (in group) trivial_factor_iso:
  shows "the_elem \in iso (G Mod \{1\}) G"
proof -
```
**have** "group\_hom G G  $(\lambda x. x)$ "

```
unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def hom_def using is_group
by simp
 moreover have "(\lambda x. x) ' carrier G = carrier G"
    by simp
  moreover have "kernel G G (\lambda x, x) = \{1\}"
    unfolding kernel_def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using group_hom.FactGroup_iso_set
    by force
qed
```
And the dual theorem to the previous one: Factorizing by the group itself gives the trivial group

```
lemma (in group) self_factor_iso:
  shows "(\lambdaX. the_elem ((\lambdax. 1) ' X)) \in iso (G Mod (carrier G)) (G( carrier
:= \{1\} ()"
proof -
  have "group (G(\text{carrier} := \{1\}))"
    by (metis subgroup_imp_group triv_subgroup)
  hence "group_hom G (G(|carrier := {1}|) (\lambdax. 1)"
    unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def hom_def using is_group
by auto
  moreover have "(\lambda x. 1) ' carrier G = carrier (G(carrier := {1}))"
    by auto
  moreover have "kernel G (G(|carrier := {1}|) (\lambdax. 1) = carrier G"
    unfolding kernel_def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using group_hom.FactGroup_iso_set
    by force
```

```
qed
```
Factoring by a normal subgroups yields the trivial group iff the subgroup is the whole group.

```
lemma (in normal) fact_group_trivial_iff:
  assumes "finite (carrier G)"
 shows "(carrier (G Mod H) = \{1_{G\text{ Mod H}}\}\) \longleftrightarrow (H = carrier G)"
proof
 assume "carrier (G Mod H) = \{1_G \text{ Mod } H\}"
  moreover have "order (G Mod H) * card H = order G"
    by (simp add: FactGroup def lagrange order def subgroup axioms)
  ultimately have "card H = order G" unfolding order_def by auto
 thus "H = carrier G"
    by (simp add: assms card subset eq order def subset)
next
  assume "H = carrier G"
  with assms is_subgroup lagrange
 have "card (rcosets H) * order G = order G"
    by (simp add: order_def)
  then have "card (rcosets H) = 1"
    using assms order_gt_0_iff_finite by auto
  hence "order (G Mod H) = 1"
```

```
unfolding order_def FactGroup_def by auto
  thus "carrier (G Mod H) = \{1_G \text{ Mod } H\}"
    using factorgroup_is_group by (metis group.order_one_triv_iff)
qed
```
The union of all the cosets contained in a subgroup of a quotient group acts as a represenation for that subgroup.

```
lemma (in normal) factgroup_subgroup_union_char:
  assumes "subgroup A (G Mod H)"
   shows "(\bigcup A) = \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \nRightarrow x \in A\}"
proof
   \texttt{show}\n\ \textsf{``} \bigcup \texttt{A} \ \subseteq \ \texttt{\{x} \ \in \ \texttt{carrier} \ \ \texttt{G}.\ \ \texttt{H}\ \ \texttt{\#>}\ \ \texttt{x} \ \in \ \texttt{A} \} \textsf{''}proof
     fix x
     \text{assume } \text{x}: "x \in \bigcup A"then obtain a where a: "a \in A" "x \in a" and xx: "x \in a carrier G"
        using subgroup.subset assms by (force simp add: FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def
r_coset_def)
     from assms a obtain y where y: "y ∈ carrier G" "a = H #> y"
        using subgroup.subset unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def by force
     with a have "x \in H #> y" by simp
     hence "H #> y = H #> x" using y is_subgroup repr_independence by
auto
     with y(2) a(1) have "H #> x \in A"
        by auto
     with xx show "x \in \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \neq x \in A\}" by simp
  qed
next
   \texttt{show} "\{ \texttt{x} \in \texttt{carrier} \; \texttt{G}. \; \texttt{H} \; \texttt{\#>} \; \texttt{x} \in \texttt{A} \} \subseteq \bigcup \texttt{A}"
     using rcos_self subgroup_axioms by auto
qed
lemma (in normal) factgroup subgroup union subgroup:
  assumes "subgroup A (G Mod H)"
   shows "subgroup (UA) G"
proof -
  have "subgroup \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \neq x \in A\} G"
  proof
     show "\{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \neq x \in A\} \subseteq \text{carrier } G" by auto
  next
     fix x y
     assume xy: "x \in \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \neq x \in A\}" "y \in \{x \in \text{carrier } G.H # \times \in A}"
     then have "(H \#> x) <\#> (H \#> y) \in A"
        using subgroup.m_closed assms unfolding FactGroup_def by fastforce
     hence "H \#> (x \otimes y) \in A"
        using xy rcos_sum by force
     with xy show "x ⊗ y ∈ {x ∈ carrier G. H #> x ∈ A}" by blast
  next
```

```
have "H \#> 1 \in A"
      using assms subgroup.one_closed subset by fastforce
    with assms one_closed show "1 \in {x \in carrier G. H #> x \in A}" by
simp
  next
    fix x
    assume x: "x \in \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \neq x \in A\}"
    hence invx: "inv x \in \text{carrier } G" using inv closed by simp
    from assms x have "set_inv (H #> x) ∈ A" using subgroup.m_inv_closed
      using inv_FactGroup subgroup.mem_carrier by fastforce
    with invx show "inv x \in \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \#> x \in A\}"
      using rcos_inv x by force
  qed
  with assms factgroup_subgroup_union_char show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in normal) factgroup_subgroup_union_normal:
  assumes 'A \triangleleft (G \text{ Mod } H)"
  shows "\bigcup A \prec G"
proof -
  have "\{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \neq x \in A\} \triangleleft G"
    unfolding normal_def normal_axioms_def
  proof (intro conjI strip)
    from assms show "subgroup \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \nRightarrow x \in A\} G"
      by (metis (full_types) factgroup_subgroup_union_char factgroup_subgroup_union_subgroup
normal imp subgroup)
  next
    interpret Anormal: normal A "(G Mod H)" using assms by simp
    show "\{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \neq x \in A\} \neq x = x \leq f \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \neq x\}x ∈ A}" if x: "x ∈ carrier G" for x
    proof -
      { fix y
         assume y: "y ∈ {x ∈ carrier G. H #> x ∈ A} #> x"
         then obtain x' where x': "x' \in carrier G" "H #> x' \in A" "y =x' ⊗ x"
           unfolding r_coset_def by auto
         from x(1) have Hx: "H #> x \in carrier (G Mod H)"
           unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def by force
         with x' have "(inv<sub>G Mod H</sub> (H #> x)) \otimes<sub>G Mod H</sub> (H #> x') \otimes<sub>G Mod</sub> H
(H \# > x) \in A''using Anormal.inv_op_closed1 by auto
         hence "(set_inv (H #> x)) <#> (H #> x') <#> (H #> x) ∈ A"
           using inv_FactGroup Hx unfolding FactGroup_def by auto
         hence "(H #> (inv x)) <#> (H #> x') <#> (H #> x) ∈ A"
           using x(1) by (metis rcos_inv)
         hence "H #> (inv x ⊗ x' ⊗ x) ∈ A"
           by (metis inv closed m closed rcos sum x'(1) x(1))
         moreover have "inv x ⊗ x' ⊗ x ∈ carrier G"
           using x x' by (metis inv_closed m_closed)
```

```
ultimately have xcoset: "x ⊗ (inv x ⊗ x' ⊗ x) ∈ x <# {x ∈ carrier
G. H #> x \in A<sup>"</sup>
           unfolding l_coset_def using x(1) by auto
         have "x \otimes (inv x \otimes x' \otimes x) = (x \otimes inv x) \otimes x' \otimes x"
           by (metis Units_eq Units_inv_Units m_assoc m_closed x'(1) x(1))
         also have "\ldots = v"
           by (simp add: x x')
         finally have "x \otimes (inv x \otimes x' \otimes x) = y''.
         with xcoset have "y \in x \leq # {x \in carrier G. H #> x \in A}" by auto}
      moreover
      { fix y
         assume y: "y \in x \leq # {x \in carrier G. H #> x \in A}"
         then obtain x' where x': "x' ∈ carrier G" "H #> x' ∈ A" "y =
x ⊗ x'" unfolding l_coset_def by auto
         from x(1) have invx: "inv x \in carrier G''by (rule inv_closed)
         hence Hinvx: "H #> (inv x) \in carrier (G Mod H)"
           unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def by force
         with x' have "(inv<sub>G Mod H</sub> (H #> inv x)) ⊗<sub>G Mod H</sub> (H #> x') ⊗<sub>G Mod H</sub>
(H #> inv x) \in A"
           using invx Anormal.inv_op_closed1 by auto
         hence "(set_inv (H #> inv x)) <#> (H #> x') <#> (H #> inv x) ∈
A"
           using inv_FactGroup Hinvx unfolding FactGroup_def by auto
         hence "H #> (x ⊗ x' ⊗ inv x) ∈ A"
           by (simp add: rcos inv rcos sum x x'(1))
         moreover have "x \otimes x' \otimes inv x \in carrier G" using x \times x' by (metis
inv_closed m_closed)
         ultimately have xcoset: "(x \otimes x' \otimes inv x) \otimes x \in \{x \in carrierG. H #> x \in A} #> x"
           unfolding r_coset_def using invx by auto
         have "(x ⊗ x' ⊗ inv x) ⊗ x = (x ⊗ x') ⊗ (inv x ⊗ x)"
           by (metis Units_eq Units_inv_Units m_assoc m_closed x'(1) x(1))
         also have "\dots = y"
           by (simp add: x x')
         finally have "x \otimes x' \otimes y' inv x \otimes x = y''.
         with xcoset have "y \in \{x \in \text{carrier } G. H \nRightarrow x \in A\} \nRightarrow x" by auto
}
      ultimately show ?thesis
         by auto
    qed
  qed auto
  with assms show ?thesis
    by (metis (full_types) factgroup_subgroup_union_char normal_imp_subgroup)
qed
lemma (in normal) factgroup subgroup union factor:
  assumes "subgroup A (G Mod H)"
  \textbf{shows} "A = \texttt{rcosets}_{G(\texttt{carrier}~:=\bigcup A)} \texttt{H}"
```
**using** assms subgroup.mem\_carrier factgroup\_subgroup\_union\_char **by** (fastforce simp: RCOSETS\_def FactGroup\_def)

# **8 Flattening the type of group carriers**

Flattening here means to convert the type of group elements from 'a set to 'a. This is possible whenever the empty set is not an element of the group. By Jakob von Raumer

```
definition flatten where
  "flatten (G::('a set, 'b) monoid scheme) rep = (carrier=(rep ' (carrier))G)),
      monoid.mult=(\lambda x y. rep ((the_inv_into (carrier G) rep x) \otimesG (the_inv_into
(carrier G) rep y))),
      one=rep 1_G )"
lemma flatten_set_group_hom:
  assumes group: "group G"
  assumes inj: "inj_on rep (carrier G)"
 shows "rep \in hom G (flatten G rep)"
  by (force simp add: hom def flatten def inj the inv into f f)
lemma flatten_set_group:
 assumes "group G" "inj_on rep (carrier G)"
 shows "group (flatten G rep)"
proof (rule groupI)
 fix x y
 assume "x ∈ carrier (flatten G rep)" and "y ∈ carrier (flatten G rep)"
 then show "x ⊗flatten G rep y ∈ carrier (flatten G rep)"
    using assms group.surj_const_mult the_inv_into_f_f by (fastforce simp:
flatten def)
next
  show "1_{\text{flatten }G \text{ rep}} \in \text{carrier } (\text{flatten }G \text{ rep})"
    unfolding flatten_def by (simp add: assms group.is_monoid)
next
 fix x y z
 assume "x ∈ carrier (flatten G rep)" "y ∈ carrier (flatten G rep)"
"z ∈ carrier (flatten G rep)"
 then show "x \otimesflatten G rep y \otimesflatten G rep z = x \otimesflatten G rep (y
⊗flatten G rep z)"
    by (auto simp: assms flatten_def group.is_monoid monoid.m_assoc monoid.m_closed
the inv into f f)
next
  fix x
 assume x: "x ∈ carrier (flatten G rep)"
 then show "1flatten G rep \otimes flatten G rep X = X''by (auto simp: assms group.is_monoid the_inv_into_f_f flatten_def)
 then have "∃ y∈carrier G. rep (y ⊗G z) = rep 1G" if "z ∈ carrier G"
for z
```

```
by (metis ‹ group G› group.l_inv_ex that)
  with assms x show "∃y∈carrier (flatten G rep). y \otimes<sub>flatten G rep</sub> x =
1flatten G rep"
    by (auto simp: flatten_def the_inv_into_f_f)
qed
lemma (in normal) flatten_set_group_mod_inj:
 shows "inj on (\lambdaU. SOME g. g \in U) (carrier (G Mod H))"
proof (rule inj_onI)
 fix U V
 assume U: "U ∈ carrier (G Mod H)" and V: "V ∈ carrier (G Mod H)"
 then obtain g h where g: "U = H \neq g''" g \in \text{carrier } G'' and h: "V =H #> h" "h ∈ carrier G"
    unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def by auto
 hence notempty: "U \neq {\{\}}" "V \neq {\{\}}"
    by (metis empty_iff is_subgroup rcos_self)+
  assume "(SOME g. g \in U) = (SOME g. g \in V)"
  with notempty have "(SOME g, g \in U) \in U \cap V"
    by (metis IntI ex_in_conv someI)
  thus "U = V"by (metis Int_iff g h is_subgroup repr_independence)
qed
lemma (in normal) flatten_set_group_mod:
 shows "group (flatten (G Mod H) (\lambdaU. SOME g. g \in U))"
 by (simp add: factorgroup is group flatten set group flatten set group mod inj)
lemma (in normal) flatten_set_group_mod_iso:
 shows "(\lambdaU. SOME g. g \in U) \in iso (G Mod H) (flatten (G Mod H) (\lambdaU.
SOME g. g \in U)"
proof -
 have "(\lambdaU. SOME g. g \in U) \in hom (G Mod H) (flatten (G Mod H) (\lambdaU. SOME
g. g \in U)"
    using factorgroup_is_group flatten_set_group_hom flatten_set_group_mod_inj
by blast
 moreover
 have "inj_on (λU. SOME g. g ∈ U) (carrier (G Mod H))"
    using flatten_set_group_mod_inj by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: iso_def bij_betw_def flatten_def)
qed
end
theory Exponent
imports Main "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Primes"
begin
```
# **9 Sylow's Theorem**

The Combinatorial Argument Underlying the First Sylow Theorem

```
needed in this form to prove Sylow's theorem
```

```
corollary (in algebraic_semidom) div_combine:
  "[prime_elem p; \neg p \hat{ } Suc r dvd n; p \hat{ } (a + r) dvd n * k] \Rightarrow p \hat{ } a
dvd k"
  by (metis add_Suc_right mult.commute prime_elem_power_dvd_cases)
lemma exponent_p_a_m_k_equation:
  fixes p :: nat
  assumes "0 < m" "0 < k" "p \neq 0" "k < p<sup>\sim</sup>a"
    shows "multiplicity p (p^a * m - k) = multiplicity p (p^a - k)"
proof (rule multiplicity_cong [OF iffI])
  fix r
  assume *: "p ^ r dvd p ^ a * m - k"
  show "p ^ r dvd p ^ a - k"
  proof -
    have "k \leq p \hat{i} a * m" using assms
      by (meson nat dvd not less dvd triv left leI mult pos pos order.strict trans)
    then have "r \le a"
      by (meson "*" ‹ 0 < k› ‹ k < p^a› dvd_diffD1 dvd_triv_left leI less_imp_le_nat
nat dvd not_less power_le_dvd)
    then have "p^r dvd p^a * m" by (simp add: le_imp_power_dvd)
    thus ?thesis
      by (meson \langle k \leq p \rangle a * m<sup>></sup> \langle r \leq a \rangle * dvd_diffD1 dvd_diff_nat le_imp_power_dvd)
  qed
next
  fix r
  assume *: "p ^ r dvd p ^ a - k"
  with assms have "r ≤ a"
    by (metis diff_diff_cancel less_imp_le_nat nat_dvd_not_less nat_le_linear
power_le_dvd zero_less_diff)
  show "p \hat{r} r dvd p \hat{r} a * m - k"
  proof -
    have "p^r dvd p^a*m"
      by (simp add: ‹ r ≤ a› le_imp_power_dvd)
    then show ?thesis
      by (meson assms * dvd_diffD1 dvd_diff_nat le_imp_power_dvd less_imp_le_nat
‹ r ≤ a› )
  qed
qed
lemma p_not_div_choose_lemma:
  fixes p :: nat
  {\rm assumes\,\, eq} \colon "\bigwedge {\rm i}. Suc {\rm i} < K \implies multiplicity {\rm p} (Suc i) = multiplicity
p (Suc (j + i)"
      and "k < K" and p: "prime p"
```

```
shows "multiplicity p (j + k choose k) = 0"
  using ‹ k < K›
proof (induction k)
  case 0 then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc k)
  then have *: "(Suc (i+k) choose Suc k) > 0" by simp
  then have "multiplicity p ((Suc (j+k) choose Suc k) * Suc k) = multiplicity
p (Suc k)"
    by (subst Suc_times_binomial_eq [symmetric], subst prime_elem_multiplicity_mult_distrib)
       (insert p Suc.prems, simp_all add: eeq [symmetric] Suc.IH)
  with p * show ?case
    by (subst (asm) prime_elem_multiplicity_mult_distrib) simp_all
qed
The lemma above, with two changes of variables
lemma p_not_div_choose:
  assumes "k \le K" and "k \le n"
      \quad \text{ and } \text{ eq} \colon "\bigwedge{\text{j}} \colon [\![0 \leq j;\ j \leq k]\!] \implies \text{multiplicity } p \text{ (n - k + (K - j)) = }multiplicity p (K - j)" "prime p"
    shows "multiplicity p (n choose k) = 0"
apply (rule p_not_div_choose_lemma [of K p "n-k" k, simplified assms nat_minus_add_max
max_absorb1])
apply (metis add_Suc_right eeq diff_diff_cancel order_less_imp_le zero_less_Suc
zero_less_diff)
apply (rule TrueI)+
done
proposition const_p_fac:
 assumes "m>0" and prime: "prime p"
 shows "multiplicity p (p^a * m choose p^a) = multiplicity p m"
proof-
  from assms have p: "0 < p ^ a" "0 < p^a * m" "p^a ≤ p^a * m"
    by (auto simp: prime_gt_0_nat)
 have *: "multiplicity p ((p^a * m - 1) choose (p^a - 1)) = 0"
    apply (rule p_not_div_choose [where K = "p^a"])
    using p exponent_p_a_m_k_equation by (auto simp: diff_le_mono prime)
  have "multiplicity p ((p \t a * m \t choose p \t a) * p \t a) = a + multiplicationp m"
  proof -
    have "(p ^ a * m choose p ^ a) * p ^ a = p ^ a * m * (p ^ a * m -
1 choose (p \hat{a} - 1)"
      (is "_ = ?rhs") using prime
      by (subst times_binomial_minus1_eq [symmetric]) (auto simp: prime_gt_0_nat)
    also from p have "p \hat{a} - Suc 0 \leq p \hat{a} * m - Suc 0" by linarith
    with prime * p have "multiplicity p ?rhs = multiplicity p (p ^ a
* m)"
      by (subst prime_elem_multiplicity_mult_distrib) auto
    also have "\ldots = a + multiplicity p m"
```

```
using prime p by (subst prime_elem_multiplicity_mult_distrib) simp_all
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
 then show ?thesis
    using prime p by (subst (asm) prime_elem_multiplicity_mult_distrib)
simp_all
qed
end
theory Sylow
 imports Coset Exponent
begin
See also [4].
The combinatorial argument is in theory Exponent.
lemma le_extend_mult: "[0 < c; a \le b] \implies a \le b * c"
 for c :: nat
 by (metis divisors zero dvd triv left leI less le trans nat dvd not less
zero_less_iff_neq_zero)
locale sylow = group +
 fixes p and a and m and calM and RelM
 assumes prime_p: "prime p"
    and order_G: "order G = (p^a a) * m"
    and finite_G[iff]: "finite (carrier G)"
 defines "calM \equiv {s. s \subseteq carrier G \land card s = p<sup>2</sup>a}"
    and "RelM \equiv {(N1, N2). N1 ∈ calM \land N2 ∈ calM \land (\exists g ∈ carrier G.
N1 = N2 #> g) }"
begin
lemma RelM_subset: "RelM ⊆ calM × calM"
 by (auto simp only: RelM_def)
lemma RelM_refl_on: "refl_on calM RelM"
 by (auto simp: refl_on_def RelM_def calM_def) (blast intro!: coset_mult_one
[symmetric])
lemma RelM_sym: "sym RelM"
proof (unfold sym_def RelM_def, clarify)
 fix y g
 assume "y ∈ calM"
    and g: "g ∈ carrier G"
 then have "y = y #> g #> (\text{inv } g)"
    by (simp add: coset_mult_assoc calM_def)
  then show "∃g'∈carrier G. y = y #> g #> g'"
    by (blast intro: g)
qed
```

```
lemma RelM_trans: "trans RelM"
 by (auto simp add: trans_def RelM_def calM_def coset_mult_assoc)
lemma RelM_equiv: "equiv calM RelM"
  using RelM_subset RelM_refl_on RelM_sym RelM_trans by (intro equivI)
lemma M_subset_calM_prep: "M' \in calM // RelM \implies M' \subset calM"
  unfolding RelM_def by (blast elim!: quotientE)
end
9.1 Main Part of the Proof
locale sylow_central = sylow +
 fixes H and M1 and M
 assumes M_in_quot: "M ∈ calM // RelM"
    and not_dvd_M: "¬ (p ^ Suc (multiplicity p m) dvd card M)"
    and M1_in_M: "M1 ∈ M"
  defines "H \equiv {g. g \in carrier G \wedge M1 #> g = M1}"
begin
lemma M_subset_calM: "M ⊆ calM"
 by (rule M_in_quot [THEN M_subset_calM_prep])
lemma \ card M1: "card M1 = p^a"using M1_in_M M_subset_calM calM_def by blast
lemma exists_x_in_M1: "∃ x. x ∈ M1"
  using prime_p [THEN prime_gt_Suc_0_nat] card_M1
  by (metis Suc_lessD card_eq_0_iff empty_subsetI equalityI gr_implies_not0
nat_zero_less_power_iff subsetI)
lemma M1_subset_G [simp]: "M1 ⊆ carrier G"
 using M1_in_M M_subset_calM calM_def mem_Collect_eq subsetCE by blast
lemma M1_inj_H: "∃ f ∈ H→M1. inj_on f H"
proof -
 from exists_x_in_M1 obtain m1 where m1M: "m1 ∈ M1"..
 have m1: "m1 ∈ carrier G"
    by (simp add: m1M M1_subset_G [THEN subsetD])
 show ?thesis
 proof
    show "inj on (\lambda z \in H. m1 \otimes z) H"
      by (simp add: H_def inj_on_def m1)
    show "restrict ((\otimes) m1) H \in H \rightarrow M1"
    proof (rule restrictI)
      fix z
      assume zH: "z \in H"
```

```
show "m1 ⊗ z ∈ M1"
      proof -
        from zH
        have zG: "z ∈ carrier G" and M1zeq: "M1 #> z = M1"
         by (auto simp add: H_def)
       show ?thesis
         by (rule subst [OF M1zeq]) (simp add: m1M zG rcosI)
      qed
   qed
 qed
qed
```

```
end
```
### 9.2 Discharging the Assumptions of sylow central

```
context sylow
begin
lemma EmptyNotInEquivSet: "{} ∉ calM // RelM"
 by (blast elim!: quotientE dest: RelM_equiv [THEN equiv_class_self])
lemma existsM1inM: "M \in calM // RelM \implies \existsM1. M1 \in M"
  using RelM_equiv equiv_Eps_in by blast
lemma zero_less_o_G: "0 < order G"
 by (simp add: order def card gt 0 iff carrier not empty)
lemma zero_less_m: "m > 0"
  using zero_less_o_G by (simp add: order_G)
lemma card_calM: "card calM = (p^a) * m choose p^a"
 by (simp add: calM_def n_subsets order_G [symmetric] order_def)
lemma zero_less_card_calM: "card calM > 0"
 by (simp add: card_calM zero_less_binomial le_extend_mult zero_less_m)
lemma max_p_div_calM: "¬ (p ^ Suc (multiplicity p m) dvd card calM)"
proof
  assume "p ^ Suc (multiplicity p m) dvd card calM"
  with zero_less_card_calM prime_p
 have "Suc (multiplicity p m) ≤ multiplicity p (card calM)"
    by (intro multiplicity_geI) auto
 then have "multiplicity p m < multiplicity p (card calM)" by simp
  also have "multiplicity p m = multiplicity p (card calM)"
    by (simp add: const_p_fac prime_p zero_less_m card_calM)
 finally show False by simp
qed
```

```
lemma finite_calM: "finite calM"
 unfolding calM_def by (rule finite_subset [where B = "Pow (carrier
G)"]) auto
lemma lemma_A1: "∃M ∈ calM // RelM. ¬ (p <sup>^</sup> Suc (multiplicity p m) dvd
card M)"
 using RelM_equiv equiv_imp_dvd_card finite_calM max_p_div_calM by blast
end
9.2.1 Introduction and Destruct Rules for H
context sylow_central
 by (simp add: H_def)
 by (simp add: H_def)
```

```
begin
lemma H I: "[g \in \text{carrier } G; M1 #> g = M1] \implies g \in H"
lemma H_into_carrier_G: "x \in H \implies x \in carrier G"
lemma in_H_imp_eq: "g \in H \implies M1 \neq g = M1"
  by (simp add: H_def)
lemma H_m_closed: "\llbracket x \in H; y \in H \rrbracket \implies x \otimes y \in H"
  by (simp add: H_def coset_mult_assoc [symmetric])
lemma H_not_empty: "H \neq {}"
  by (force simp add: H_def intro: exI [of _ 1])
lemma H_is_subgroup: "subgroup H G"
proof (rule subgroupI)
  show "H ⊆ carrier G"
     using H_into_carrier_G by blast
  \texttt{show } " \texttt{/} \texttt{a. a} \in \texttt{H} \implies \texttt{inv a} \in \texttt{H} "by (metis H_I H_into_carrier_G H_m_closed M1_subset_G Units_eq Units_inv_closed
Units_inv_inv coset_mult_inv1 in_H_imp_eq)
  \texttt{show } " \texttt{/} \texttt{a} \texttt{ b.} \texttt{[a} \in \texttt{H}; \texttt{ b} \in \texttt{H} \texttt{]} \implies \texttt{a} \otimes \texttt{ b} \in \texttt{H} \texttt{''}by (blast intro: H_m_closed)
qed (use H_not_empty in auto)
lemma rcosetGM1g_subset_G: "[g \in carrier G; x \in M1 #> g] \implies x \in carrier
G"
  by (blast intro: M1_subset_G [THEN r_coset_subset_G, THEN subsetD])
lemma finite_M1: "finite M1"
  by (rule finite_subset [OF M1_subset_G finite_G])
lemma finite_rcosetGM1g: "g \in carrier G \implies finite (M1 #> g)"
```

```
using rcosetGM1g_subset_G finite_G M1_subset_G cosets_finite rcosetsI
by blast
lemma M1 cardeq rcosetGM1g: "g \in carrier G \implies card (M1 #> g) = card
M1"
 by (metis M1 subset G card rcosets equal rcosetsI)
lemma M1_RelM_rcosetGM1g:
 assumes "g ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(M1, M1 \# > g) \in RelM"proof -
 have "M1 #> g ⊆ carrier G"
   by (simp add: assms r_coset_subset_G)
 moreover have "card (M1 \# > g) = p \hat{a}"
   using assms by (simp add: card_M1 M1_cardeq_rcosetGM1g)
  moreover have "∃ h∈carrier G. M1 = M1 #> g #> h"
   by (metis assms M1_subset_G coset_mult_assoc coset_mult_one r_inv_ex)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: RelM_def calM_def card_M1)
qed
```

```
end
```
### **9.3 Equal Cardinalities of** M **and the Set of Cosets**

Injections between  $M$  and  $\tau$ cosets $_G$  H show that their cardinalities are equal.

```
lemma ElemClassEquiv: "[equiv A r; C \in A // r] \implies \forall x \in C. \forall y \in C. (x,
y) ∈ r"
 unfolding equiv_def quotient_def sym_def trans_def by blast
context sylow_central
begin
lemma M_elem_map: "M2 ∈ M =⇒ ∃ g. g ∈ carrier G ∧ M1 #> g = M2"
  using M1_in_M M_in_quot [THEN RelM_equiv [THEN ElemClassEquiv]]
 by (simp add: RelM_def) (blast dest!: bspec)
lemmas M_elem_map_carrier = M_elem_map [THEN someI_ex, THEN conjunct1]
lemmas M_elem_map_eq = M_elem_map [THEN someI_ex, THEN conjunct2]
lemma M_funcset_rcosets_H:
  "(\lambda x \in M. H #> (SOME g. g \in carrier G \wedge M1 #> g = x)) \in M \rightarrow rcosets
H"
 by (metis (lifting) H_is_subgroup M_elem_map_carrier rcosetsI restrictI
subgroup.subset)
lemma inj_M_GmodH: "∃ f ∈ M → rcosets H. inj_on f M"
proof
```

```
let ?inv = "\lambdax. SOME g. g \in carrier G \wedge M1 #> g = x"
  show "inj_on (λx∈M. H #> ?inv x) M"
  proof (rule inj_onI, simp)
    fix x y
    assume eq: "H #> ?inv x = H #> ?inv y" and xy: "x \in M" "y \in M"
    have "x = M1 #> ?inv x"
      by (simp add: M elem map eq \langle x \in M \rangle)
    also have "... = M1 #> ?inv y"
    proof (rule coset_mult_inv1 [OF in_H_imp_eq [OF coset_join1]])
      show "H #> ?inv x \otimes inv (?inv y) = H"
        by (simp add: H_into_carrier_G M_elem_map_carrier xy coset_mult_inv2
eq subsetI)
    qed (simp_all add: H_is_subgroup M_elem_map_carrier xy)
    also have "... = y"
      using M_elem_map_eq ‹ y ∈ M› by simp
    finally show "x=y" .
  qed
  show "(\lambda x \in M. H #> ?inv x) \in M \rightarrow rcosets H"
    by (rule M_funcset_rcosets_H)
qed
```
#### **end**

#### **9.3.1 The Opposite Injection**

**context** sylow\_central

```
begin
lemma H_elem_map: "H1 ∈ rcosets H \implies \exists g. g \in \text{carrier } G \wedge H \nRightarrow g =H1"
  by (auto simp: RCOSETS_def)
lemmas H_elem_map_carrier = H_elem_map [THEN someI_ex, THEN conjunct1]
lemmas H_elem_map_eq = H_elem_map [THEN someI_ex, THEN conjunct2]
lemma rcosets_H_funcset_M:
  \vee (\lambdaC \in rcosets H. M1 #> (SOME g. g \in carrier G \wedge H #> g = C)) \in rcosets
H \rightarrow M''using in_quotient_imp_closed [OF RelM_equiv M_in_quot _ M1_RelM_rcosetGM1g]
  by (simp add: M1_in_M H_elem_map_carrier RCOSETS_def)
lemma inj_GmodH_M: "∃ g ∈ rcosets H→M. inj_on g (rcosets H)"
proof
  let ?inv = "\lambdax. SOME g. g \in carrier G \wedge H #> g = x"
  show "inj_on (λC∈rcosets H. M1 #> ?inv C) (rcosets H)"
  proof (rule inj_onI, simp)
    fix x y
    assume eq: "M1 #> ?inv x = M1 #> ?inv y" and xy: "x \in rcosets H"
```

```
"y ∈ rcosets H"
    have "x = H #> ?inv x"
      by (simp add: H_elem_map_eq ‹ x ∈ rcosets H› )
    also have "... = H #> ?inv y"
    proof (rule coset mult inv1 [OF coset join2])
      show "?inv x ⊗ inv (?inv y) ∈ carrier G"
        by (simp add: H_elem_map_carrier ‹ x ∈ rcosets H› ‹ y ∈ rcosets
H› )
      then show "(?inv x) \otimes inv (?inv y) \in H"
        by (simp add: H_I H_elem_map_carrier xy coset_mult_inv2 eq)
      show "H ⊆ carrier G"
        by (simp add: H_is_subgroup subgroup.subset)
    qed (simp_all add: H_is_subgroup H_elem_map_carrier xy)
    also have "... = y"
      by (simp add: H_elem_map_eq ‹ y ∈ rcosets H› )
    finally show "x=y" .
  qed
  show "(\lambdaC∈rcosets H. M1 #> ?inv C) \in rcosets H \rightarrow M"
    using rcosets_H_funcset_M by blast
qed
lemma calM_subset_PowG: "calM ⊆ Pow (carrier G)"
  by (auto simp: calM_def)
lemma finite_M: "finite M"
  by (metis M_subset_calM finite_calM rev_finite_subset)
lemma cardMeqIndexH: "card M = card (rcosets H)"
  using inj_M_GmodH inj_GmodH_M
  by (blast intro: card_bij finite_M H_is_subgroup rcosets_subset_PowG
[THEN finite_subset])
lemma index_lem: "card M * card H = order G"
  by (simp add: cardMeqIndexH lagrange H_is_subgroup)
lemma \ card_H_{eq}: "card H = p^a"proof (rule antisym)
  show "p^a \leq card H"
  proof (rule dvd_imp_le)
    show "p ^ a dvd card H"
      apply (rule div_combine [OF prime_imp_prime_elem[OF prime_p] not_dvd_M])
      by (simp add: index_lem multiplicity_dvd order_G power_add)
    show "0 < card H"
      by (blast intro: subgroup.finite_imp_card_positive H_is_subgroup)
  qed
next
  show "card H < p^a"
    using M1_inj_H card_M1 card_inj finite_M1 by fastforce
```

```
qed
end
```

```
lemma (in sylow) sylow_thm: "\existsH. subgroup H G \land card H = p^a"
proof -
  obtain M where M: "M \in \text{callM} // RelM" "\neg (p \hat{D} Suc (multiplicity p m)
dvd card M)"
    using lemma_A1 by blast
  then obtain M1 where "M1 ∈ M"
    by (metis existsM1inM)
  define H where "H \equiv {g. g ∈ carrier G \land M1 #> g = M1}"
  with M ‹ M1 ∈ M›
  interpret sylow_central G p a m calM RelM H M1 M
    by unfold_locales (auto simp add: H_def calM_def RelM_def)
  show ?thesis
    using H_is_subgroup card_H_eq by blast
qed
```
Needed because the locale's automatic definition refers to semigroup G and Group.group\_axioms G rather than simply to Group.group G.

```
lemma sylow_eq: "sylow G p a m ←→ group G ∧ sylow_axioms G p a m"
 by (simp add: sylow_def group_def)
```
### **9.4 Sylow's Theorem**

```
theorem sylow_thm:
  "[prime p; group G; order G = (p^a) * m; finite (carrier G)]
    \implies \exists H. subgroup H G \land card H = p^a"
  by (rule sylow.sylow_thm [of G p a m]) (simp add: sylow_eq sylow_axioms_def)
```
**end**

**theory** Bij **imports** Group **begin**

# **10 Bijections of a Set, Permutation and Automorphism Groups**

```
definition
```

```
Bij :: "'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) set"
     — Only extensional functions, since otherwise we get too many.
   where "Bij S = extensional S \cap {f. bij_betw f S S}"
definition
```

```
BijGroup :: "'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) monoid"
```

```
where "BijGroup S =
  (|carrier = Bij S,
   mult = \lambdag \in Bij S. \lambdaf \in Bij S. compose S g f,
   one = \lambda x \in S. x<sup>"</sup>
```

```
declare Id_compose [simp] compose_Id [simp]
```

```
lemma Bij_imp_extensional: "f \in Bij S \implies f \in extensional S"
  by (simp add: Bij_def)
```

```
lemma Bij_imp_funcset: "f \in Bij S \implies f \in S \rightarrow S"
  by (auto simp add: Bij_def bij_betw_imp_funcset)
```
## **10.1 Bijections Form a Group**

```
lemma restrict_inv_into_Bij: "f \in Bij S \implies (\lambdax \in S. (inv_into S f)
x) \in Bij S''by (simp add: Bij_def bij_betw_inv_into)
lemma id_Bij: "(λx∈S. x) ∈ Bij S "
 by (auto simp add: Bij_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def)
lemma compose_Bij: "[[x ∈ Bij S; y ∈ Bij S]] =⇒ compose S x y ∈ Bij S"
 by (auto simp add: Bij_def bij_betw_compose)
lemma Bij_compose_restrict_eq:
     "f \in Bij S \implies compose S (restrict (inv_into S f) S) f = (\lambdax\inS.
x)"
 by (simp add: Bij_def compose_inv_into_id)
theorem group_BijGroup: "group (BijGroup S)"
 apply (simp add: BijGroup_def)
 apply (rule groupI)
     apply (auto simp: compose_Bij id_Bij Bij_imp_funcset Bij_imp_extensional
compose_assoc [symmetric])
 apply (blast intro: Bij_compose_restrict_eq restrict_inv_into_Bij)
 done
```
### **10.2 Automorphisms Form a Group**

**lemma** Bij inv into mem: "[ $f \in$  Bij S;  $x \in S$ ]  $\implies$  inv into S f  $x \in S$ " **by** (simp add: Bij\_def bij\_betw\_def inv\_into\_into) **lemma** Bij\_inv\_into\_lemma:  $\text{assumes eq: } \sqrt[n]{x} \text{ y. } \llbracket x \in \text{S}; \text{ y} \in \text{S} \rrbracket \implies \text{h(g x y)} = \text{g (h x) (h y)}$ and hg: "h ∈ Bij S" "g ∈ S  $\rightarrow$  S  $\rightarrow$  S" and "x ∈ S" "y ∈ S" shows "inv\_into S h  $(g \times y) = g$  (inv\_into S h x) (inv\_into S h y)" **proof have** "h ' S = S"

```
by (metis (no_types) Bij_def Int_iff assms(2) bij_betw_def mem_Collect_eq)
  with ‹ x ∈ S› ‹ y ∈ S› have "∃ x'∈S. ∃ y'∈S. x = h x' ∧ y = h y'"
    by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using assms
    by (auto simp add: Bij_def bij_betw_def eq [symmetric] inv_f_f funcset_mem
[THEN funcset_mem])
qed
definition
  auto :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) set"
  where "auto G = hom G G \cap Bij (carrier G)"
definition
  AutoGroup :: "('a, 'c) monoid scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) monoid"
  where "AutoGroup G = BijGroup (carrier G) (carrier := auto G)"
lemma (in group) id_in_auto: "(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } G. x) \in \text{auto } G"
  by (simp add: auto_def hom_def restrictI group.axioms id_Bij)
lemma (in group) mult_funcset: "mult G \in carrier G \to carrier G \to carrier
G"
  by (simp add: Pi_I group.axioms)
lemma (in group) restrict_inv_into_hom:
      "[h \in hom G G; h \in Bij (carrier G)]]
       \Rightarrow restrict (inv into (carrier G) h) (carrier G) \in hom G G"
  by (simp add: hom def Bij inv into mem restrictI mult funcset
                 group.axioms Bij_inv_into_lemma)
lemma inv_BijGroup:
     "f \in Bij S \implies m_inv (BijGroup S) f = (\lambdax \in S. (inv_into S f) x)"
apply (rule group.inv_equality [OF group_BijGroup])
apply (simp_all add:BijGroup_def restrict_inv_into_Bij Bij_compose_restrict_eq)
done
lemma (in group) subgroup_auto:
      "subgroup (auto G) (BijGroup (carrier G))"
proof (rule subgroup.intro)
  show "auto G ⊆ carrier (BijGroup (carrier G))"
    by (force simp add: auto_def BijGroup_def)
next
  fix x y
  assume "x ∈ auto G" "y ∈ auto G"
  thus "x \otimesBijGroup (carrier G) y \in auto G"
    by (force simp add: BijGroup def is group auto def Bij imp funcset
                         group.hom_compose compose_Bij)
```

```
next
  show "1_{\text{Bi}<sup>i</sup>Group (carrier G) \in auto G" by (simp add: BijGroup_def id_in_auto)
next
  fix x
  assume "x ∈ auto G"
  thus "inv<sub>BijGroup (carrier G) x \in auto G"</sub>
    by (simp del: restrict_apply
        add: inv_BijGroup auto_def restrict_inv_into_Bij restrict_inv_into_hom)
qed
theorem (in group) AutoGroup: "group (AutoGroup G)"
by (simp add: AutoGroup_def subgroup.subgroup_is_group subgroup_auto
               group_BijGroup)
```
**end**

**theory** Ring **imports** FiniteProduct **begin**

# **11 The Algebraic Hierarchy of Rings**

### **11.1 Abelian Groups**

```
record 'a ring = "'a monoid" +
 zero :: 'a ("0ı ")
 add :: "['a, 'a] ⇒ 'a" (infixl "⊕ı " 65)
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
add_monoid :: "('a, 'm) ring_scheme \Rightarrow ('a, 'm) monoid_scheme"
  where "add_monoid R \equiv \emptyset carrier = carrier R, mult = add R, one = zero
R, \ldots = (undefined :: 'm) \vert \vert"
```
Derived operations.

```
definition
```

```
a_inv :: "[('a, 'm) ring_scheme, 'a ] \Rightarrow 'a" ("\ominus i _" [81] 80)
where "a_inv R = m_inv (add_monoid R)"
```

```
definition
```

```
a minus :: "[(a, 'm) ring scheme, 'a, 'a] => 'a" ("(\ominus i )" [65,66]
65)
```
where " $x \ominus_R y = x \oplus_R (\ominus_R y)$ "

**definition** add\_pow :: "[\_, ('b :: semiring\_1), 'a]  $\Rightarrow$  'a" ("[\_]  $\cdot i$  \_" [81, 81] 80) **where** "add\_pow R k a = pow (add\_monoid R) a k"

```
locale abelian_monoid =
 fixes G (structure)
 assumes a_comm_monoid:
     "comm_monoid (add_monoid G)"
```
### **definition**

```
finsum :: "[('b, 'm) ring scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'b" where
"finsum G = finprod (add monoid G)"
```
# **syntax**

```
"_finsum" :: "index \Rightarrow idt \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b"
        ("3 + ... )" [1000, 0, 51, 10] 10)translations
   "\bigoplus gi∈A. b" \rightleftharpoons "CONST finsum G (\lambdai. b) A"
   — Beware of argument permutation!
```

```
locale abelian_group = abelian_monoid +
 assumes a_comm_group:
    "comm_group (add_monoid G)"
```
### **11.2 Basic Properties**

```
lemma abelian_monoidI:
  fixes R (structure)
   \text{assumes }\text{ ``\text{\textbackslash}} x \text{ y. } \llbracket \text{ x } \in \text{ carrier }\text{R}; \text{ y } \in \text{ carrier }\text{R} \rrbracket \implies \text{ x } \oplus \text{ y } \in \text{ carrier}R"
         and "0 ∈ carrier R"
         \text{and} "\bigwedgex y z. \left[\right. x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \left.\right]\implies(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)"
         and "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier R \implies 0 \oplus x = x"
         and "\wedgex y. [x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R ]] \implies x \oplus y = y \oplus x"
   shows "abelian_monoid R"
   by (auto intro!: abelian_monoid.intro comm_monoidI intro: assms)
lemma abelian_monoidE:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "abelian_monoid R"
   \texttt{shows}\text{ ``\textbf{X}''} y. \texttt{[\![} \text{ $x \in$ carrier \texttt{ $R$}; \texttt{ $y \in$ carrier \texttt{ $R$} }\texttt{]}\implies \texttt{ $x \oplus y \in$ carrier \texttt{R"
      and "0 ∈ carrier R"
      \text{and} "\bigwedge \!\! x y z. \llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)"
      and "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier R \implies 0 \oplus x = x"
      and "\bigwedge x y. [x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R ]] \implies x \oplus y = y \oplus x"
   using assms unfolding abelian_monoid_def comm_monoid_def comm_monoid_axioms_def
monoid_def by auto
```

```
lemma abelian_groupI:
```

```
fixes R (structure)
   \text{assumes }\text{ ``\text{\textbackslash$x y. }[\![\;x\;\in\;text{carrier R};\;y\;\in\;text{carrier R}]\implies x\;\oplus\;y\;\in\;text{carrier}R"
        and "0 ∈ carrier R"
        \text{and} "\bigwedgex y z. \left[\right. x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \left.\right]\implies(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)"
        and "\wedgex y. [x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R ]] \implies x \oplus y = y \oplus x"
        and "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier R \implies 0 \oplus x = x"
        \quad \text{ and } \text{ ''}\text{/x. } \text{x } \in \text{ carrier } \text{R} \implies \exists \text{ y } \in \text{ carrier } \text{R. } \text{ y } \oplus \text{ x = 0''}shows "abelian_group R"
  by (auto intro!: abelian_group.intro abelian_monoidI
        abelian_group_axioms.intro comm_monoidI comm_groupI
     intro: assms)
lemma abelian_groupE:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "abelian_group R"
   \texttt{shows}\text{ ``\textbf{X}''} y. \texttt{[\![} \text{ $x \in$ carrier \texttt{ $R$}; \texttt{ $y \in$ carrier \texttt{ $R$} }\texttt{]}\implies \texttt{ $x \oplus y \in$ carrier \texttt{R"
     and "0 ∈ carrier R"
      \text{and} "\bigwedge \!\! x y z. \llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)"
      and "\wedgex y. [x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R ] \implies x \oplus y = y \oplus x"
      and "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier R \implies 0 \oplus x = x"
      \quad \text{ and } \text{ ''}\text{/x. } \text{x } \in \text{ carrier } \text{R} \implies \exists \text{ y } \in \text{ carrier } \text{R. } \text{ y } \oplus \text{ x = 0''}using abelian_group.a_comm_group assms comm_groupE by fastforce+
lemma (in abelian_monoid) a_monoid:
   "monoid (add_monoid G)"
by (rule comm_monoid.axioms, rule a_comm_monoid)
lemma (in abelian_group) a_group:
  "group (add_monoid G)"
  by (simp add: group_def a_monoid)
     (simp add: comm_group.axioms group.axioms a_comm_group)
lemmas monoid_record_simps = partial_object.simps monoid.simps
Transfer facts from multiplicative structures via interpretation.
sublocale abelian_monoid <
         add: monoid "(add_monoid G)"
  rewrites "carrier (add_monoid G) = carrier G"
         and "mult (add_monoid G) = add G"
         and "one (add_monoid G) = zero G"
         and "(\lambdaa k. pow (add monoid G) a k) = (\lambdaa k. add pow G k a)"
  by (rule a_monoid) (auto simp add: add_pow_def)
context abelian_monoid
begin
```

```
lemmas a_closed = add.m_closed
lemmas zero_closed = add.one_closed
lemmas a_assoc = add.m_assoc
lemmas l_zero = add.l_one
lemmas r_zero = add.r_one
lemmas minus_unique = add.inv_unique
end
sublocale abelian_monoid <
 add: comm_monoid "(add_monoid G)"
 rewrites "carrier (add_monoid G) = carrier G"
       and "mult (add_monoid G) = add G"
       and "one (add_monoid G) = zero G"
      and "finprod (add_monoid G) = finsum G"
      and "pow (add_monoid G) = (\lambda a \nk. add\_pow G k a)"
 by (rule a_comm_monoid) (auto simp: finsum_def add_pow_def)
context abelian_monoid begin
lemmas a_comm = add.m_comm
lemmas a_lcomm = add.m_lcomm
lemmas a_ac = a_assoc a_comm a_lcomm
lemmas finsum_empty = add.finprod_empty
lemmas finsum_insert = add.finprod_insert
lemmas finsum_zero = add.finprod_one
lemmas finsum_closed = add.finprod_closed
lemmas finsum_Un_Int = add.finprod_Un_Int
lemmas finsum_Un_disjoint = add.finprod_Un_disjoint
lemmas finsum_addf = add.finprod_multf
lemmas finsum_cong' = add.finprod_cong'
lemmas finsum_0 = add.finprod_0
lemmas finsum_Suc = add.finprod_Suc
lemmas finsum_Suc2 = add.finprod_Suc2
lemmas finsum_infinite = add.finprod_infinite
```

```
lemmas finsum_cong = add.finprod_cong
```
Usually, if this rule causes a failed congruence proof error, the reason is that the premise  $g \in B \rightarrow$  carrier G cannot be shown. Adding Pi\_def to the simpset is often useful.

**lemmas** finsum\_reindex = add.finprod\_reindex

**lemmas** finsum\_singleton = add.finprod\_singleton

```
sublocale abelian_group <
        add: group "(add_monoid G)"
  rewrites "carrier (add_monoid G) = carrier G"<br>and "mult (add monoid G) = add G"
       and "mult (add_monoid G) = add G"<br>and "one (add monoid G) = zero G
       and "one (add_monoid G) = zero G"<br>and "m inv (add monoid G) = a inv G
                      (add monoid G) = a inv G"and "pow (add\_monoid G) = (\lambda a k. add\_pow G k a)"
  by (rule a_group) (auto simp: m_inv_def a_inv_def add_pow_def)
context abelian_group
begin
lemmas a_inv_closed = add.inv_closed
lemma minus_closed [intro, simp]:
  "[| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |] ==> x \in y \in carrier G"
  by (simp add: a_minus_def)
lemmas l_neg = add.l_inv [simp del]
lemmas r_neg = add.r_inv [simp del]
lemmas minus_minus = add.inv_inv
lemmas a_inv_inj = add.inv_inj
lemmas minus_equality = add.inv_equality
end
sublocale abelian group <
   add: comm_group "(add_monoid G)"
  rewrites "carrier (add_monoid G) = carrier G"
       and "mult (add_monoid G) = add G"
       and "one (add_monoid G) = zero G"
       and "m_inv (add_monoid G) = a_inv G"
       and "finprod (add_monoid G) = finsum G"
       and "pow (add monoid G) = (\lambda a \nk. add pow G k a)"
  by (rule a_comm_group) (auto simp: m_inv_def a_inv_def finsum_def add_pow_def)
lemmas (in abelian_group) minus_add = add.inv_mult
Derive an abelian_group from a comm_group
lemma comm_group_abelian_groupI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes cg: "comm_group (add_monoid G)"
  shows "abelian_group G"
proof -
  interpret comm_group "(add_monoid G)"
```
**end**

**by** (rule cg)

**show** "abelian\_group G" **..**

```
199
```
### **11.3 Rings: Basic Definitions**

**qed**

```
locale semiring = abelian_monoid R + monoid R for R (structure) +
  assumes l_distr: "\mathbb{I} \times \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \mathbb{I} \implies(x \oplus y) \otimes z = x \otimes z \oplus y \otimes z"
       and r distr: "[x \in \text{carrier R}; y \in \text{carrier R}; z \in \text{carrier R} ]\impliesz \otimes (x \oplus y) = z \otimes x \oplus z \otimes y"
       and l_null[simp]: "x \in carrier R \implies 0 \otimes x = 0"
       and r_null[simp]: "x \in carrier R \implies x \otimes 0 = 0"
locale ring = abelian_group R + monoid R for R (structure) +
  assumes "[x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R ] \implies (x \oplus y)
⊗ z = x ⊗ z ⊕ y ⊗ z"
       and "[x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \rVert \Rightarrow z \otimes (x \cdot y)\oplus y) = z \otimes x \oplus z \otimes y"
locale cring = ring + comm_monoid R
locale "domain" = cring +
  assumes one_not_zero [simp]: "1 \neq 0"
       and integral: "[a \otimes b = 0; a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R ]] \impliesa = 0 \lor b = 0"
locale field = "domain" +
  assumes field Units: "Units R = carrier R - {0}"
11.4 Rings
lemma ringI:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "abelian_group R"
       and "monoid R"
        and "\bigwedgex y z. \left[\begin{array}{l} x\in\text{carrier R};\; y\in\text{carrier R};\; z\in\text{carrier R }\right]\implies(x \oplus y) \otimes z = x \otimes z \oplus y \otimes z"\text{and} "\bigwedgex y z. \left[\right. x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \left.\right]\impliesz \otimes (x \oplus y) = z \otimes x \oplus z \otimes y"
  shows "ring R"
  by (auto intro: ring.intro
     abelian_group.axioms ring_axioms.intro assms)
lemma ringE:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "ring R"
  shows "abelian_group R"
     and "monoid R"
     \text{and} "\bigwedge \!\! x y z. \llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies(x \oplus y) \otimes z = x \otimes z \oplus y \otimes z"
```

```
and "\bigwedgex y z. \left[\begin{array}{l} x\in\text{carrier R};\; y\in\text{carrier R};\; z\in\text{carrier R }\right]\impliesz ⊗ (x ⊕ y) = z ⊗ x ⊕ z ⊗ y"
  using assms unfolding ring_def ring_axioms_def by auto
context ring begin
lemma is_abelian_group: "abelian_group R" ..
lemma is_monoid: "monoid R"
  by (auto intro!: monoidI m_assoc)
end
thm monoid_record_simps
lemmas ring_record_simps = monoid_record_simps ring.simps
lemma cringI:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes abelian_group: "abelian_group R"
    and comm_monoid: "comm_monoid R"
     and l_distr: "\bigwedge x y z. \bigl[ x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier
R \parallel \Rightarrow(x \oplus y) \otimes z = x \otimes z \oplus y \otimes z"
  shows "cring R"
proof (intro cring.intro ring.intro)
  show "ring_axioms R"
    — Right-distributivity follows from left-distributivity and commutativity.
  proof (rule ring_axioms.intro)
    fix x y z
    assume R: "x ∈ carrier R" "y ∈ carrier R" "z ∈ carrier R"
    note [simp] = comm_monoid.axioms [OF comm_monoid]
       abelian_group.axioms [OF abelian_group]
       abelian_monoid.a_closed
    from R have "z \otimes (x \oplus y) = (x \oplus y) \otimes z"
       by (simp add: comm_monoid.m_comm [OF comm_monoid.intro])
    also from R have "... = x \otimes z \oplus y \otimes z" by (simp add: 1_distr)
    also from R have "... = z \otimes x \oplus z \otimes y"
       by (simp add: comm_monoid.m_comm [OF comm_monoid.intro])
    finally show "z \otimes (x \oplus y) = z \otimes x \oplus z \otimes y".
  qed (rule l_distr)
qed (auto intro: cring.intro
  abelian_group.axioms comm_monoid.axioms ring_axioms.intro assms)
lemma cringE:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "cring R"
  shows "comm_monoid R"
     \text{and} "\bigwedge \!\! x y z. \llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; z \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies
```

```
(x \oplus y) \otimes z = x \otimes z \oplus y \otimes z"
  using assms cring_def by auto (simp add: assms cring.axioms(1) ringE(3))
lemma (in cring) is_cring:
  "cring R" by (rule cring_axioms)
lemma (in ring) minus zero [simp]: "\oplus 0 = 0"
  by (simp add: a_inv_def)
```
#### **11.4.1 Normaliser for Rings**

```
lemma (in abelian_group) r_neg1:
  "[x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G ] \implies (\ominus x) \oplus (x \oplus y) = y"
proof -
  assume G: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
  then have "(\theta \times \theta \times \theta) \oplus \theta = \theta"
    by (simp only: l_neg l_zero)
  with G show ?thesis by (simp add: a_ac)
qed
lemma (in abelian_group) r_neg2:
  "[x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G \rVert \implies x \oplus ((\ominus x) \oplus y) = y''proof -
  assume G: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
  then have "(x \oplus \ominus x) \oplus y = y"
    by (simp only: r_neg l_zero)
  with G show ?thesis
    by (simp add: a_ac)
qed
```

```
context ring begin
```
The following proofs are from Jacobson, Basic Algebra I, pp. 88–89.

```
sublocale semiring
proof -
  note [simp] = ring_axioms[unfolded ring_def ring_axioms_def]
  show "semiring R"
  proof (unfold_locales)
    fix x
     assume R: "x ∈ carrier R"
     then have "0 \otimes x \oplus 0 \otimes x = (0 \oplus 0) \otimes x"
       by (simp del: l_zero r_zero)
     also from R have "... = 0 \otimes x \oplus 0" by simp
     finally have "0 \otimes x \oplus 0 \otimes x = 0 \otimes x \oplus 0".
     with R show "0 \otimes x = 0" by (simp del: r zero)
     from R have "x \otimes 0 \oplus x \otimes 0 = x \otimes (0 \oplus 0)"
       by (simp del: l_zero r_zero)
     also from R have "... = x \otimes 0 \oplus 0" by simp
     finally have "x \otimes 0 \oplus x \otimes 0 = x \otimes 0 \oplus 0".
```

```
with R show "x \otimes 0 = 0" by (simp del: r_zero)
  qed auto
qed
lemma l_minus:
  "[x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R ] \implies (\ominus x) \otimes y = \ominus (x \otimes y)"
proof -
  assume R: "x ∈ carrier R" "y ∈ carrier R"
  then have "(\ominus x) \otimes y \oplus x \otimes y = (\ominus x \oplus x) \otimes y" by (simp add: l_distr)
  also from R have "\ldots = 0" by (simp add: l_{\text{neg}})
  finally have "(\ominus x) \otimes y \oplus x \otimes y = 0".
  with R have "(\ominus x) \otimes y \oplus x \otimes y \oplus \ominus (x \otimes y) = 0 \oplus \ominus (x \otimes y)" by
simp
  with R show ?thesis by (simp add: a_assoc r_neg)
qed
lemma r_minus:
  "[x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R ] \implies x \otimes (\ominus y) = \ominus (x \otimes y)"
proof -
  assume R: "x ∈ carrier R" "y ∈ carrier R"
  then have "x \otimes (\ominus y) \oplus x \otimes y = x \otimes (\ominus y \oplus y)" by (simp add: r_distr)
  also from R have "\ldots = 0" by (simp add: l_{\text{neg}})
  finally have "x \otimes (\ominus y) \oplus x \otimes y = 0".
  with R have "x \otimes (\ominus y) \oplus x \otimes y \oplus \ominus (x \otimes y) = 0 \oplus \ominus (x \otimes y)" by
simp
  with R show ?thesis by (simp add: a_assoc r_neg )
qed
end
lemma (in abelian_group) minus_eq: "x \oplus y = x \oplus (\ominus y)"
  by (rule a_minus_def)
Setup algebra method: compute distributive normal form in locale contexts
ML_file ‹ ringsimp.ML›
attribute_setup algebra = ‹
  Scan.lift ((Args.add >> K true || Args.del >> K false) --| Args.colon
|| Scan.succeed true)
    -- Scan.lift Args.name -- Scan.repeat Args.term
    \gg (fn ((b, n), ts) => if b then Ringsimp.add_struct (n, ts) else
Ringsimp.del_struct (n, ts))
› "theorems controlling algebra method"
method_setup algebra = ‹
  Scan.succeed (SIMPLE_METHOD' o Ringsimp.algebra_tac)
› "normalisation of algebraic structure"
lemmas (in semiring) semiring_simprules
```

```
[algebra ring "zero R" "add R" "a_inv R" "a_minus R" "one R" "mult R"]
=
  a_closed zero_closed m_closed one_closed
  a assoc l zero a comm m assoc l one l distr r zero
  a_lcomm r_distr l_null r_null
lemmas (in ring) ring_simprules
  [algebra ring "zero R" "add R" "a_inv R" "a_minus R" "one R" "mult R"]
=
  a_closed zero_closed a_inv_closed minus_closed m_closed one_closed
  a_assoc l_zero l_neg a_comm m_assoc l_one l_distr minus_eq
  r_zero r_neg r_neg2 r_neg1 minus_add minus_minus minus_zero
  a_lcomm r_distr l_null r_null l_minus r_minus
lemmas (in cring)
  [algebra del: ring "zero R" "add R" "a_inv R" "a_minus R" "one R" "mult
R''] =
  _
lemmas (in cring) cring_simprules
  [algebra add: cring "zero R" "add R" "a_inv R" "a_minus R" "one R" "mult
R"] =
  a_closed zero_closed a_inv_closed minus_closed m_closed one_closed
  a_assoc l_zero l_neg a_comm m_assoc l_one l_distr m_comm minus_eq
  r_zero r_neg r_neg2 r_neg1 minus_add minus_minus minus_zero
  a lcomm m_lcomm r_distr l_null r_null l_minus r_minus
lemma (in semiring) nat_pow_zero:
  "(n::nat) \neq 0 \implies 0 [^] n = 0"
  by (induct n) simp_all
context semiring begin
lemma one_zeroD:
  assumes onezero: "1 = 0"
  shows "carrier R = \{0\}"
proof (rule, rule)
  fix x
  assume xcarr: "x ∈ carrier R"
  from xcarr have "x = x \otimes 1" by simp
  with onezero have "x = x \otimes 0" by simp
  with xcarr have "x = 0" by simp
  then show "x \in \{0\}" by fast
qed fast
lemma one_zeroI:
  assumes carrzero: "carrier R = {0}"
  shows "1 = 0"
proof -
```

```
from one_closed and carrzero
       show "1 = 0" by simp
qed
lemma carrier_one_zero: "(carrier R = \{0\}) = (1 = 0)"
  using one_zeroD by blast
lemma carrier one not zero: "(carrier R \neq {0}) = (1 \neq 0)"
  by (simp add: carrier_one_zero)
end
Two examples for use of method algebra
lemma
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  assumes "ring R" "cring S"
  assumes RS: "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "c ∈ carrier S" "d ∈ carrier
S<sup>''</sup>shows "a \oplus (\ominus (a \oplus (\ominus b))) = b \land c \otimes_S d = d \otimes_S c"
proof -
  interpret ring R by fact
  interpret cring S by fact
  from RS show ?thesis by algebra
qed
lemma
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "ring R"
  assumes R: "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "a \ominus (a \ominus b) = b"
proof -
  interpret ring R by fact
  from R show ?thesis by algebra
qed
11.4.2 Sums over Finite Sets
lemma (in semiring) finsum_ldistr:
  "[ finite A; a \in carrier R; f: A \rightarrow carrier R ] \Longrightarrow(\bigoplus i \in A. (f i)) \otimes a = (\bigoplus i \in A. ((f i) \otimes a))"
proof (induct set: finite)
  case empty then show ?case by simp
next
  case (insert x F) then show ?case by (simp add: Pi_def l_distr)
qed
lemma (in semiring) finsum_rdistr:
  "[ finite A; a \in carrier R; f: A \rightarrow carrier R ]] \Longrightarrow\mathtt{a}\ \otimes\ (\bigoplus\ \mathtt{i}\in\mathtt{A}. (f i)) = (\bigoplus\ \mathtt{i}\in\mathtt{A}. (a \otimes (f i)))"
```

```
proof (induct set: finite)
  case empty then show ?case by simp
next
  case (insert x F) then show ?case by (simp add: Pi_def r_distr)
qed
A quick detour
lemma add_pow_int_ge: "(k :: int) \geq 0 \implies [k] \cdotR a = [nat k] \cdotR a"
  by (simp add: add_pow_def int_pow_def nat_pow_def)
lemma add pow int 1t: "(k :: int) < 0 \implies [ k ] \cdotR a = \ominusR ([ nat (- k)
] ·R a)"
  by (simp add: int_pow_def nat_pow_def a_inv_def add_pow_def)
corollary (in semiring) add_pow_ldistr:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "([(k :: nat)] \cdot a) \otimes b = [k] \cdot (a \otimes b)"
proof -
  have "([k] · a) \otimes b = (\bigoplus i \in {.. < k}. a) \otimes b"
    using add.finprod_const[OF assms(1), of "{..<k}"] by simp
  also have " ... = (\bigoplus i \in \{..\langle k \rangle.\ (a \otimes b))"
    using finsum_ldistr[of "{..<k}" b "λx. a"] assms by simp
  also have " ... = [k] · (a ⊗ b)"
    using add.finprod_const[of "a ⊗ b" "{..<k}"] assms by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
corollary (in semiring) add_pow_rdistr:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "a \otimes ([(k :: nat)] · b) = [k] · (a \otimes b)"
proof -
  have "a \otimes ([k] · b) = a \otimes (\bigoplus i \in {..< k}. b)"
    using add.finprod_const[OF assms(2), of "{..<k}"] by simp
  also have " ... = (\bigoplus i \in \{..\langle k \rangle\}, (a \otimes b))"
    using finsum_rdistr[of "{..<k}" a "λx. b"] assms by simp
  also have " \dots = [k] \cdot (a \otimes b)"
    using add.finprod_const[of "a ⊗ b" "{..<k}"] assms by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma (in ring) add_pow_ldistr_int:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "([(k : : int)] \cdot a) ⊗ b = [k] \cdot (a \otimes b)"
proof (cases "k \geq 0")
  case True thus ?thesis
    using add_pow_int_ge[of k R] add_pow_ldistr[OF assms] by auto
next
```

```
case False thus ?thesis
    using add_pow_int_lt[of k R a] add_pow_int_lt[of k R "a ⊗ b"]
          add_pow_ldistr[OF assms, of "nat (- k)"] assms l_minus by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) add_pow_rdistr_int:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
 shows "a \otimes ([(k :: int)] · b) = [k] · (a \otimes b)"
proof (cases "k \geq 0")
  case True thus ?thesis
   using add_pow_int_ge[of k R] add_pow_rdistr[OF assms] by auto
next
 case False thus ?thesis
    using add_pow_int_lt[of k R b] add_pow_int_lt[of k R "a ⊗ b"]
          add_pow_rdistr[OF assms, of "nat (- k)"] assms r_minus by auto
qed
```
### **11.5 Integral Domains**

```
context "domain" begin
lemma zero_not_one [simp]: "0 \neq 1"
  by (rule not_sym) simp
lemma integral_iff:
  "[[ a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R \parallel \implies (a \otimes b = 0) = (a = 0 \vee b = 0)"
proof
  assume "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "a ⊗ b = 0"
  then show "a = 0 \vee b = 0" by (simp add: integral)
next
  assume "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "a = 0 ∨ b = 0"
  then show "a \otimes b = 0" by auto
qed
lemma m_lcancel:
  assumes prem: "a \neq 0"
    and R: "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "c ∈ carrier R"
  shows "(a \otimes b = a \otimes c) = (b = c)"
proof
  assume eq: "a ⊗ b = a ⊗ c"
  with R have "a \otimes (b \ominus c) = 0" by algebra
  with R have "a = 0 \vee (b \ominus c) = 0" by (simp add: integral_iff)
  with prem and R have "b \ominus c = 0" by auto
  with R have "b = b \ominus (b \ominus c)" by algebra
  also from R have "b \ominus (b \ominus c) = c" by algebra
  finally show "b = c" .
next
  assume "b = c" then show "a \otimes b = a \otimes c" by simp
qed
```

```
lemma m_rcancel:
  assumes prem: "a \neq 0"
    and R: "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "c ∈ carrier R"
  shows conc: "(b \otimes a = c \otimes a) = (b = c)"
proof -
  from prem and R have "(a \otimes b = a \otimes c) = (b = c)" by (rule m lcancel)
  with R show ?thesis by algebra
qed
```

```
end
```
### **11.6 Fields**

Field would not need to be derived from domain, the properties for domain follow from the assumptions of field

```
lemma (in field) is_ring: "ring R"
  using ring_axioms .
lemma fieldE :
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "field R"
  shows "cring R"
    and one_not_zero : "1 \neq 0"and integral: "\bigwedgea b. \llbracket a \otimes b = 0; a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R \rrbracket\implies a = 0 \lor b = 0"
  and field_Units: "Units R = carrier R - \{0\}"
  using assms unfolding field_def field_axioms_def domain_def domain_axioms_def
by simp_all
lemma (in cring) cring_fieldI:
  assumes field_Units: "Units R = carrier R - \{0\}"
  shows "field R"
proof
  from field_Units have "0 \notin Units R" by fast
  moreover have "1 ∈ Units R" by fast
  ultimately show "1 \neq 0" by force
next
  fix a b
  assume acarr: "a ∈ carrier R"
    and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier R"
    and ab: "a ⊗ b = 0"
  show "a = 0 \vee b = 0"
  proof (cases "a = 0", simp)
    assume "a \neq 0"
    with field_Units and acarr have aUnit: "a ∈ Units R" by fast
    from bcarr have "b = 1 \otimes b" by algebra
    also from aUnit acarr have "... = (inv a \otimes a) \otimes b" by simp
    also from acarr bcarr aUnit[THEN Units_inv_closed]
```

```
have "... = (inv a) \otimes (a \otimes b)" by algebra
    also from ab and acarr bcarr aUnit have "... = (inv a) ⊗ 0" by simp
    also from aUnit[THEN Units_inv_closed] have "... = 0" by algebra
    finally have \mathbb{R}^n \cdot \mathbb{R} = 0.
    then show "a = 0 \vee b = 0" by simp
  qed
qed (rule field_Units)
```
Another variant to show that something is a field

```
lemma (in cring) cring_fieldI2:
  assumes notzero: "0 \neq 1"
     \quad and <code>invex: "\bigwedgea. [</code>a\in <code>carrier R;</code> a \neq 0] \Longrightarrow \exists <code>b\incarrier R.</code> a \otimes <code>b</code>
= 1<sup>"</sup>
  shows "field R"
proof -
  have *: "carrier R - {0} ⊆ {y ∈ carrier R. ∃ x∈carrier R. x ⊗ y = 1
\wedge y \otimes x = 1}"
  proof (clarsimp)
    fix x
     assume xcarr: "x \in carrier R" and "x \neq 0"
    obtain y where ycarr: "y ∈ carrier R" and xy: "x \otimes y = 1"
       using \langle x \rangle \neq 0 invex xcarr by blast
     with ycarr and xy show "\exists y \in \text{carrier R. } y \otimes x = 1 \land x \otimes y = 1"
       using m_comm xcarr by fastforce
  qed
  show ?thesis
     apply (rule cring_fieldI, simp add: Units_def)
     using *
     using group_l_invI notzero set_diff_eq by auto
qed
```
## **11.7 Morphisms**

```
definition
  ring_hom :: "[('a, 'm) ring_scheme, ('b, 'n) ring_scheme] => ('a =>'b) set"
   where "ring_hom R S =
      {h. h ∈ carrier R → carrier S ∧
         (\forall x \ y. x \in carrier R \land y \in carrier R \rightarrowh (x ⊗<sub>R</sub> y) = h x ⊗<sub>S</sub> h y ∧ h (x ⊕<sub>R</sub> y) = h x ⊕<sub>S</sub> h y) ∧
        h 1_R = 1_S"
lemma ring_hom_memI:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
   \text{assumes }\n\mathsf{``}\bigwedge\mathsf{x}.\mathsf{x} \in \text{carrier } \mathsf{R} \implies \mathsf{h} \mathsf{x} \in \text{carrier } \mathsf{S}\n\mathsf{''}\text{and} "\bigwedgex y. \llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies h (x \otimes y) = h
x \otimes_S h y''\text{and} "\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies h (x \oplus y) = h
x ⊕S h y"
```

```
and "h 1 = 1_S"
  shows "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
  by (auto simp add: ring_hom_def assms Pi_def)
lemma ring_hom_memE:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  assumes "h \in ring hom R S"
  \texttt{shows}\text{ ``\textbf{/x}}\ldotp\text{ $x\in$ carrier $\textbf{R}\implies$ h $x\in$ carrier $\texttt{S}''$}\text{and} "\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies h (x \otimes y) = h x
⊗S h y"
     \text{and} "\bigwedge\!\!{}^{\,}x y. \left[\begin{array}{l} {x}\in \text{carrier R}; \ {y}\in \text{carrier R} \end{array}\right]\implies h \left(\begin{array}{l} {x}\oplus\ {y}\end{array}\right) = h \text{x}⊕S h y"
    and "h 1 = 1s"
  using assms unfolding ring_hom_def by auto
lemma ring_hom_closed:
  "[h \in ring_hom R S; x \in carrier R \Rightarrow h x \in carrier S"
  by (auto simp add: ring_hom_def funcset_mem)
lemma ring_hom_mult:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  shows "[h \in \text{ring\_hom } R S; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R] \implies h (x)\otimes y) = h x \otimess h y"
     by (simp add: ring_hom_def)
lemma ring_hom_add:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  shows "[h \in ring hom R S; x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R ]] \implies h (x
\oplus y) = h x \oplusg h y"
     by (simp add: ring_hom_def)
lemma ring_hom_one:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  shows "h \in ring_hom R S \implies h 1 = 1<sub>S</sub>"
  by (simp add: ring_hom_def)
lemma ring_hom_zero:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  assumes "h ∈ ring_hom R S" "ring R" "ring S"
  shows "h 0 = 0_S"
proof -
  have "h 0 = h \theta \oplus_S h \theta"
     using ring_hom_add[OF assms(1), of 0 0] assms(2)
     by (simp add: ring.ring_simprules(2) ring.ring_simprules(15))
  thus ?thesis
     by (metis abelian_group.l_neg assms ring.is_abelian_group ring.ring_simprules(18)
ring.ring simprules(2) ring hom closed)
qed
```

```
locale ring_hom_cring =
  R?: cring R + S?: cring S for R (structure) and S (structure) + fixes
h
  assumes homh [simp, intro]: "h \in ring hom R S"
  notes hom_closed [simp, intro] = ring_hom_closed [OF homh]
    and hom_mult [simp] = ring_hom_mult [OF homh]
    and hom add [simp] = ring hom add [OF homh]
    and hom one [simp] = ring hom one [OF homh]
lemma (in ring_hom_cring) hom_zero [simp]: "h 0 = 0_S"
proof -
  have "h 0 \oplus_{S} h 0 = h 0 \oplus_{S} 0_{S}"
    by (simp add: hom_add [symmetric] del: hom_add)
  then show ?thesis by (simp del: S.r_zero)
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_cring) hom_a_inv [simp]:
  "x \in carrier R \implies h \ (\ominus \ x) = \ominus_S h \ x"
proof -
  assume R: "x ∈ carrier R"
  then have "h x \oplus_S h (\ominus x) = h x \oplus_S (\ominus_S h x)"
    by (simp add: hom_add [symmetric] R.r_neg S.r_neg del: hom_add)
  with R show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_cring) hom_finsum [simp]:
  assumes "f: A → carrier R"
  shows "h (\bigoplus i \in A. f i) = (\bigoplus S i \in A. (h o f) i)"
  using assms by (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct, auto simp: Pi_def)
lemma (in ring_hom_cring) hom_finprod:
  assumes "f: A → carrier R"
  shows "h (\otimes i ∈ A. f i) = (\otimes<sub>S</sub> i ∈ A. (h o f) i)"
  using assms by (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct, auto simp: Pi_def)
declare ring_hom_cring.hom_finprod [simp]
lemma id_ring_hom [simp]: "id ∈ ring_hom R R"
  by (auto intro!: ring hom memI)
lemma ring_hom_trans:
  \lVert \cdot \rVert f \in ring_hom R S; g \in ring_hom S T \rVert \implies g \circ f \in ring_hom R T"
  by (rule ring_hom_memI) (auto simp add: ring_hom_closed ring_hom_mult
ring_hom_add ring_hom_one)
```

```
11.8 Jeremy Avigad's More_Finite_Product material
```
**lemma** (in cring) sum\_zero\_eq\_neg: " $x \in$  carrier  $R \implies y \in$  carrier  $R \implies$  $x \oplus y = 0 \implies x = \ominus y$ "

```
by (metis minus_equality)
lemma (in domain) square_eq_one:
  fixes x
  assumes [simp]: "x ∈ carrier R"
    and "x ⊗ x = 1"
  shows "x = 1 \vee x = \bigoplus 1"
proof -
  have "(x \oplus 1) \otimes (x \oplus \ominus 1) = x \otimes x \oplus \ominus 1"
    by (simp add: ring_simprules)
  also from \langle x \otimes x = 1 \rangle have "... = 0"
    by (simp add: ring_simprules)
  finally have "(x \oplus 1) \otimes (x \oplus \ominus 1) = 0".
  then have "(x \oplus 1) = 0 \vee (x \oplus \ominus 1) = 0"
    by (intro integral) auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis add.inv_closed add.inv_solve_right assms(1) l_zero one_closed
zero_closed)
qed
lemma (in domain) inv_eq_self: "x \in Units R \implies x = inv \times x = 1V \times = \Theta 1"
  by (metis Units_closed Units_l_inv square_eq_one)
The following translates theorems about groups to the facts about the units
of a ring. (The list should be expanded as more things are needed.)
lemma (in ring) finite_ring_finite_units [intro]: "finite (carrier R)
\implies finite (Units R)"
  by (rule finite_subset) auto
lemma (in monoid) units_of_pow:
  fixes n :: nat
  shows "x ∈ Units G \implies x [^]<sub>units_of G</sub> n = x [^]<sub>G</sub> n"
  apply (induct n)
  apply (auto simp add: units_group group.is_monoid
    monoid.nat_pow_0 monoid.nat_pow_Suc units_of_one units_of_mult)
  done
lemma (in cring) units_power_order_eq_one:
  "finite (Units R) \implies a \in Units R \implies a [^] card(Units R) = 1"
  by (metis comm_group.power_order_eq_one units_comm_group units_of_carrier
units_of_one units_of_pow)
```
### **11.9 Jeremy Avigad's** More\_Ring **material**

```
lemma (in cring) field_intro2:
   \text{assumes } "0_R \neq 1_R" \text{ and un: } "\bigwedge\mathbf{x}. \; \mathbf{x} \in \text{carrier } R - \{0_R\} \implies \mathbf{x} \in \text{Units}R"
   shows "field R"
```

```
proof unfold_locales
  show "1 \neq 0" using assms by auto
  show "[a \otimes b = 0; a \in carrier R;
            b \in carrier R\implies a = 0 \lor b = 0<sup>"</sup> for a b
    by (metis un Units_l_cancel insert_Diff_single insert_iff r_null zero_closed)
qed (use assms in ‹ auto simp: Units_def› )
lemma (in monoid) inv_char:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "x ⊗ y = 1" "y ⊗ x = 1"
  shows "inv x = y"
  using assms inv_unique' by auto
lemma (in comm_monoid) comm_inv_char: "x \in carrier G \implies y \in carrier
G \implies x \otimes y = 1 \implies inv x = y''by (simp add: inv_char m_comm)
lemma (in ring) inv_neg_one [simp]: "inv (\ominus 1) = \ominus 1"
  by (simp add: inv_char local.ring_axioms ring.r_minus)
lemma (in monoid) inv_eq_imp_eq [dest!]: "inv x = inv y \implies x \in Units
G \implies y \in Units G \implies x = y"
  by (metis Units_inv_inv)
lemma (in ring) Units_minus_one_closed [intro]: "\oplus 1 \in Units R"
  by (simp add: Units_def) (metis add.l_inv_ex local.minus_minus minus_equality
one closed r minus r one)
lemma (in ring) inv eq neg one eq: "x ∈ Units R \implies inv x = \ominus 1 \leftrightarrowx = \ominus 1"
  by (metis Units_inv_inv inv_neg_one)
lemma (in monoid) inv_eq_one_eq: "x \in Units G \implies inv x = 1 \leftrightarrow x =1"
  by (metis Units_inv_inv inv_one)
end
```
**theory** Module **imports** Ring **begin**

# **12 Modules over an Abelian Group**

### **12.1 Definitions**

```
record ('a, 'b) module = "'b ring" +
  smult :: "['a, 'b] => 'b" (infixl "\odot i" 70)
```

```
locale module = R?: cring + M?: abelian_group M for M (structure) +
  assumes smult_closed [simp, intro]:
       "[| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==> a \odot_M x \in carrier M"
    and smult_l_distr:
       "[| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==>
       (a \oplus b) \odot_M x = a \odot_M x \oplus_M b \odot_M x''and smult_r_distr:
       "[| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M; y \in carrier M |] ==>
       a ⊙<sub>M</sub> (x \oplus<sub>M</sub> y) = a ⊙<sub>M</sub> x \oplus<sub>M</sub> a ⊙<sub>M</sub> y"
    and smult_assoc1:
       "[| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==>
       (a \otimes b) \odot_M x = a \odot_M (b \odot_M x)"
    and smult_one [simp]:
       "x \in \text{carrier } M \implies 1 \odot_M x = x"
locale algebra = module + cring M +
  assumes smult_assoc2:
       "[| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M; y \in carrier M |] ==>
       (a \odot_M x) \otimes_M y = a \odot_M (x \otimes_M y)"
lemma moduleI:
  fixes R (structure) and M (structure)
  assumes cring: "cring R"
    and abelian_group: "abelian_group M"
    and smult_closed:
       "!!a x. [| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==> a \odot_M x \in carrier
M"
    and smult_l_distr:
       "!!a b x. [| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==>
       (a \oplus b) \odot_M x = (a \odot_M x) \oplus_M (b \odot_M x)"
    and smult_r_distr:
       "!!a x y. [| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M; y \in carrier M |] ==>
       a \odot_M (x \oplus_M y) = (a \odot_M x) \oplus_M (a \odot_M y)"
    and smult_assoc1:
       "!!a b x. [| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==>
       (a \otimes b) \odot_M x = a \odot_M (b \odot_M x)"
    and smult_one:
       "!!x. x \in carrier M \implies 1 \bigcirc_M x = x"
  shows "module R M"
  by (auto intro: module.intro cring.axioms abelian_group.axioms
    module_axioms.intro assms)
lemma algebraI:
  fixes R (structure) and M (structure)
  assumes R_cring: "cring R"
    and M_cring: "cring M"
    and smult_closed:
       "!!a x. [| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==> a \odot_M x \in carrier
```

```
M"
    and smult_l_distr:
      "!!a b x. [| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==>
       (a \oplus b) \odot_M x = (a \odot_M x) \oplus_M (b \odot_M x)"
    and smult r distr:
       "!!a x y. [| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M; y \in carrier M |] ==>
      a \odot_M (x \oplus_M y) = (a \odot_M x) \oplus_M (a \odot_M y)"
    and smult_assoc1:
      "!!a b x. [| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==>
       (a \otimes b) \odot_M x = a \odot_M (b \odot_M x)"
    and smult_one:
      "!!x. x \in carrier M ==> (one R) \bigcirc_M x = x''and smult_assoc2:
      "!!a x y. [| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M; y \in carrier M |] ==>
       (a \odot_M x) \otimes_M y = a \odot_M (x \otimes_M y)"
  shows "algebra R M"
  apply intro_locales
              apply (rule cring.axioms ring.axioms abelian_group.axioms
comm_monoid.axioms assms)+
      apply (rule module_axioms.intro)
           apply (simp add: smult_closed)
          apply (simp add: smult_l_distr)
        apply (simp add: smult_r_distr)
       apply (simp add: smult_assoc1)
      apply (simp add: smult_one)
     apply (rule cring.axioms ring.axioms abelian_group.axioms comm_monoid.axioms
assms)+
  apply (rule algebra_axioms.intro)
  apply (simp add: smult_assoc2)
  done
lemma (in algebra) R_cring: "cring R" ..
lemma (in algebra) M_cring: "cring M" ..
lemma (in algebra) module: "module R M"
```

```
by (auto intro: moduleI R_cring is_abelian_group smult_l_distr smult_r_distr
smult_assoc1)
```
#### **12.2 Basic Properties of Modules**

**lemma** (**in** module) smult\_l\_null [simp]: " $x \in$  carrier M = > 0  $\odot_M x = 0_M$ " **proofassume** M : "x ∈ carrier M" **note** facts = M smult\_closed [OF R.zero\_closed] from facts have "0  $\odot_M$  x = (0  $\oplus$  0)  $\odot_M$  x " **using** smult\_l\_distr **by** auto also have "... =  $0 \odot_M x \oplus_M 0 \odot_M x$ "

```
using smult_l_distr[of 0 0 x] facts by auto
  finally show "0 \odot_M x = 0_M" using facts
     by (metis M.add.r_cancel_one')
qed
lemma (in module) smult_r_null [simp]:
  "a \in carrier R = > a \odot_M O<sub>M</sub> = O<sub>M</sub>"
proof -
  assume R: "a ∈ carrier R"
  note facts = R smult_closed
  from facts have "a \odot_M O<sub>M</sub> = (a \odot_M O<sub>M</sub> \oplus_M a \odot_M O<sub>M</sub>) \oplus_M \ominus_M (a \odot_M O<sub>M</sub>)"
     by (simp add: M.add.inv_solve_right)
  also from R have "... = a \odot_M (0_M \oplus_M 0_M) \oplus_M \ominus_M (a \odot_M 0_M)"
     by (simp add: smult_r_distr del: M.l_zero M.r_zero)
  also from facts have "\dots = 0_M"
     by (simp add: M.r_neg)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma (in module) smult_l_minus:
\mathbb{M} \left[ a \in \text{carrier R}; x \in \text{carrier M} \right] \Longrightarrow (\ominus a) \odot_M x = \ominus_M (a \odot_M x)^{\mathbb{M}}proof-
  assume RM: "a ∈ carrier R" "x ∈ carrier M"
  from RM have a_smult: "a \odot_M x \in carrier M'' by simp
  from RM have ma_smult: "\ominusa \odot<sub>M</sub> x \in carrier M" by simp
  note facts = RM a_smult ma_smult
  from facts have "(\ominus a) \odot_M x = (\ominus a \odot_M x \oplus_M a \odot_M x) \oplus_M \ominus_M (a \odot_M x)"
     by (simp add: M.add.inv_solve_right)
  also from RM have "... = (\ominus a \oplus a) \odot_M x \oplus_M \ominus_M (a \odot_M x)"
     by (simp add: smult_l_distr)
  also from facts smult_1_null have "... = \bigoplus_{M} (a \bigcirc_{M} x)"
     by (simp add: R.l_neg)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma (in module) smult_r_minus:
  "[| a \in carrier R; x \in carrier M |] ==> a \odot_M (\ominus_M x) = \ominus_M (a \odot_M x)"
proof -
  assume RM: "a ∈ carrier R" "x ∈ carrier M"
  note facts = RM smult_closed
  from facts have "a \odot_M (\ominus_M x) = (a \odot_M \ominus_M x ⊕M a \odot_M x) \oplus_M \ominus_M (a \odot_M x)"
     by (simp add: M.add.inv_solve_right)
  also from RM have "... = a \odot_M (\ominus_M x \oplus_M x) \oplus_M \ominus_M (a \odot_M x)"
     by (simp add: smult_r_distr)
  also from facts smult_1_null have "... = \bigoplus_{M} (a \bigcirc_M x)^{n}by (metis M.add.inv_closed M.add.inv_solve_right M.l_neg R.zero_closed
r_null smult_assoc1)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
```
```
lemma (in module) finsum_smult_ldistr:
  "[ finite A; a \in carrier R; f: A \rightarrow carrier M ] \Longrightarrowa \odot_{\mathsf{M}} (\bigoplus_{\mathsf{M}} i \in A. (f i)) = (\bigoplus_{\mathsf{M}} i \in A. ( a \odot_{\mathsf{M}} (f i)))"
proof (induct set: finite)
  case empty then show ?case
     by (metis M.finsum_empty M.zero_closed R.zero_closed r_null smult_assoc1
smult 1 null)
next
  case (insert x F) then show ?case
     by (simp add: Pi_def smult_r_distr)
qed
```
# **12.3 Submodules**

```
locale submodule = subgroup H "add_monoid M" for H and R :: "('a, 'b)
ring_scheme" and M (structure)
+ assumes smult_closed [simp, intro]:
       \mathbb{M} = carrier R; x \in \mathbb{H} \Rightarrow a \odot_{\mathbb{M}} x \in \mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{M}}lemma (in module) submoduleI :
  assumes subset: "H ⊆ carrier M"
    and zero: "0_M \in H"and a_inv: "!!a. a \in H \implies \ominus_M a \in H"
     and add : "\wedge a b. [a ∈ H ; b ∈ H] \implies a \oplus_M b ∈ H"
     and smult_closed : "\bigwedge a x. [a \in carrier R; x \in H] \implies a \odot_M x \in H"
  shows "submodule H R M"
  apply (intro submodule.intro subgroup.intro)
  using assms unfolding submodule_axioms_def
  by (simp_all add : a_inv_def)
lemma (in module) submoduleE :
  assumes "submodule H R M"
  shows "H ⊆ carrier M"
    and "H \neq {}"
     and "\wedge a. a \in H \implies \ominus_M a \in H"and "\wedgea b. [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ H] \implies a \odot<sub>M</sub> b ∈ H"
     and "\wedge a b. [a \in H ; b \in H] \implies a \oplus<sub>M</sub> b \in H"
     and "\wedge x. x \in H \implies (a_inv M x) \in H"
  using group.subgroupE[of "add_monoid M" H, OF _ submodule.axioms(1)[OF
assms]] a_comm_group
         submodule.smult closed[OF assms]
  unfolding comm_group_def a_inv_def
  by auto
```
**lemma** (**in** module) carrier\_is\_submodule :

```
"submodule (carrier M) R M"
  apply (intro submoduleI)
  using a_comm_group group.inv_closed unfolding comm_group_def a_inv_def
group_def monoid_def
  by auto
lemma (in submodule) submodule_is_module :
  assumes "module R M"
  shows "module R (M(\text{carrier} := H))"
proof (auto intro! : moduleI abelian_group.intro)
  show "cring (R)" using assms unfolding module_def by auto
  show "abelian_monoid (M(|\text{carrier} := H|))"
    using comm_monoid.submonoid_is_comm_monoid[OF _ subgroup_is_submonoid]
assms
    unfolding abelian_monoid_def module_def abelian_group_def
    by auto
  thus "abelian_group_axioms (M(|carrier := H|))"
    using subgroup_is_group assms
    unfolding abelian_group_axioms_def comm_group_def abelian_monoid_def
module_def abelian_group_def
    by auto
  show "\bigwedge z. z \in H \implies 1_R \odot z = z"
    using subgroup.subset[OF subgroup_axioms] module.smult_one[OF assms]
    by auto
  fix a b x y assume a : "a \in carrier R" and b : "b \in carrier R" and
x : "x ∈ H" and y : "y ∈ H"
  show "(a \bigoplus_R b) \odot x = a \odot x \oplus b \odot x"
    using a b x module.smult 1 distr[OF assms] subgroup.subset[OF subgroup axioms]
    by auto
  show "a \odot (x \oplus y) = a \odot x \oplus a \odot y"
    using a x y module.smult_r_distr[OF assms] subgroup.subset[OF subgroup_axioms]
    by auto
  show "a \otimes_R b \odot x = a \odot (b \odot x)"
    using a b x module.smult_assoc1[OF assms] subgroup.subset[OF subgroup_axioms]
    by auto
qed
lemma (in module) module_incl_imp_submodule :
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier M"
    and "module R (M(<i>|</i>|carrier := H<i>|</i>))"shows "submodule H R M"
  apply (intro submodule.intro)
  using add.group_incl_imp_subgroup[OF assms(1)] assms module.axioms(2)[OF
assms(2)]
        module.smult_closed[OF assms(2)]
  unfolding abelian group def abelian group axioms def comm group def
submodule_axioms_def
  by simp_all
```
**end**

**theory** AbelCoset **imports** Coset Ring **begin**

# **12.4 More Lifting from Groups to Abelian Groups**

### **12.4.1 Definitions**

Hiding <+> from HOL.Sum\_Type until I come up with better syntax here

```
no_notation Sum_Type.Plus (infixr "<+>" 65)
```
#### **definition**

a\_r\_coset :: "[\_, 'a set, 'a] ⇒ 'a set" (**infixl** "+>*ı* " 60) **where** "a\_r\_coset G = r\_coset (add\_monoid G)"

#### **definition**

a\_l\_coset :: "[\_, 'a, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  'a set" (infixl "<+ $i$ " 60) **where** "a\_l\_coset G = l\_coset (add\_monoid G)"

#### **definition**

A\_RCOSETS :: " $[$ , 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  ('a set)set" ("a'\_rcosets<sup>*i*</sup> \_" [81] 80) **where** "A\_RCOSETS G H = RCOSETS (add\_monoid G) H"

#### **definition**

set\_add :: "[\_, 'a set ,'a set] ⇒ 'a set" (**infixl** "<+>*ı* " 60) **where** "set\_add G = set\_mult (add\_monoid G)"

#### **definition**

A\_SET\_INV :: "[\_,'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  'a set" ("a'\_set'\_inv<sub>2</sub> \_" [81] 80) **where** "A\_SET\_INV G H = SET\_INV (add\_monoid G) H"

#### **definition**

```
a_r_congruent :: "[('a,'b)ring_scheme, 'a set] ⇒ ('a*'a)set" ("racongı ")
where "a_r_congruent G = r_congruent (add_monoid G)"
```
#### **definition**

A\_FactGroup :: "[('a,'b) ring\_scheme, 'a set] ⇒ ('a set) monoid" (**infixl** "A' Mod" 65) — Actually defined for groups rather than monoids

**where** "A\_FactGroup G H = FactGroup (add\_monoid G) H"

#### **definition**

```
a_kernel :: "('a, 'm) ring_scheme \Rightarrow ('b, 'n) ring_scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow'b) \Rightarrow 'a set"
```

```
— the kernel of a homomorphism (additive)
  where "a_kernel G H h = kernel (add_monoid G) (add_monoid H) h"
locale abelian_group_hom = G?: abelian_group G + H?: abelian_group H
     for G (structure) and H (structure) +
  fixes h
  assumes a_group_hom: "group_hom (add_monoid G) (add_monoid H) h"
lemmas a_r_coset_defs =
  a_r_coset_def r_coset_def
lemma a_r_coset_def':
  fixes G (structure)
  \textbf{shows} "H +> \texttt{a} \equiv \bigcup \texttt{h} \in \texttt{H}. \{\texttt{h} \oplus \texttt{a}\}"
  unfolding a_r_coset_defs by simp
lemmas a_l_coset_defs =
  a_l_coset_def l_coset_def
lemma a_l_coset_def':
  fixes G (structure)
  shows "a <+ H \equiv \bigcup h∈H. {a \oplus h}"
  unfolding a_l_coset_defs by simp
lemmas A_RCOSETS_defs =
  A_RCOSETS_def RCOSETS_def
lemma A_RCOSETS_def':
  fixes G (structure)
  \textbf{shows} "a_rcosets \texttt{H} \equiv \bigcup \texttt{a} \in \texttt{carrier} \texttt{G}. \{ \texttt{H} \texttt{ +> a} \}"
  unfolding A_RCOSETS_defs by (fold a_r_coset_def, simp)
lemmas set_add_defs =
  set_add_def set_mult_def
lemma set_add_def':
  fixes G (structure)
  shows "H <+> K \equiv \bigcup h \in H. \bigcup k \in K. {h \oplus k}"
  unfolding set_add_defs by simp
lemmas A_SET_INV_defs =
  A_SET_INV_def SET_INV_def
lemma A_SET_INV_def':
  fixes G (structure)
  \textbf{shows} "a_set_inv \texttt{H} \equiv \bigcup \texttt{h} \in \texttt{H}. \{\ominus\ \texttt{h}\}"
  unfolding A_SET_INV_defs by (fold a_inv_def)
```
#### **12.4.2 Cosets**

```
sublocale abelian group <
        add: group "(add_monoid G)"
  rewrites "carrier (add_monoid G) = carrier G"
       and " mult (add_monoid G) = add G"
       and " one (add_monoid G) = zero G"
       and " m_inv (add_monoid G) = a_inv G"
       and "finprod (add_monoid G) = finsum G"
       and "r_coset (add_monoid G) = a_r_coset G"
       and "l_coset (add_monoid G) = a_l_coset G"
       and "(\lambda a \nk. pow (add_monoid G) a k) = (\lambda a \nk. add_pow G k a)"
  by (rule a_group)
     (auto simp: m_inv_def a_inv_def finsum_def a_r_coset_def a_l_coset_def
add_pow_def)
context abelian_group
begin
thm add.coset_mult_assoc
lemmas a_repr_independence' = add.repr_independence
end
lemma (in abelian_group) a_coset_add_assoc:
     "[| M \subseteq carrier G; g \in carrier G; h \in carrier G |]
      ==> (M \leftrightarrow g) +> h = M \leftrightarrow (g \oplus h)"
by (rule group.coset_mult_assoc [OF a_group,
    folded a r coset def, simplified monoid record simps])
thm abelian_group.a_coset_add_assoc
lemma (in abelian_group) a_coset_add_zero [simp]:
  M \subseteq \text{carrier } G \implies M \leftrightarrow 0 = Mby (rule group.coset_mult_one [OF a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_coset_add_inv1:
     "[| M +> (x \oplus (\ominus y)) = M; x \in carrier G ; y \in carrier G;
         M \subset \text{carrier } G \mid ] \implies M \leftrightarrow x = M \leftrightarrow y"by (rule group.coset mult inv1 [OF a group,
    folded a_r_coset_def a_inv_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_coset_add_inv2:
     "[| M +> x = M +> y; x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G; M \subseteq carrier
G |]
      \Rightarrow M \leftrightarrow (x \oplus (\ominus y)) = M"
by (rule group.coset_mult_inv2 [OF a_group,
```

```
folded a_r_coset_def a_inv_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_coset_join1:
     "[| H +> x = H; x \in carrier G; subgroup H (add monoid G) |] ==>
x \in H''by (rule group.coset_join1 [OF a_group,
    folded a r coset def, simplified monoid record simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_solve_equation:
    "[subgroup H (add_monoid G); x \in H; y \in H] \implies \exists h \in H. y = h \oplus x"
by (rule group.solve_equation [OF a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_repr_independence:
  "[y \in H \rightarrow x; x \in carrier G; subgroup H (add_monoid G) ] \impliesH \leftrightarrow x = H \leftrightarrow y''using a_repr_independence' by (simp add: a_r_coset_def)
lemma (in abelian_group) a_coset_join2:
     \mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{I}}[x \in \text{carrier } G; \text{ subgroup } H \text{ (add\_monoid } G); x \in H] \implies H \leftrightarrow x = H''by (rule group.coset_join2 [OF a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_monoid) a_r_coset_subset_G:
     "[| H \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G |] ==> H \leftrightarrow x \subseteq carrier G"
by (rule monoid.r coset subset G [OF a monoid,
    folded a r coset def, simplified monoid record simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_rcosI:
     "[| h \in H; H \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G|] ==> h \oplus x \in H +> x''by (rule group.rcosI [OF a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_rcosetsI:
     \mathbb{H} \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G\mathbb{I} \implies H +> x \in a_rcosets H"
by (rule group.rcosetsI [OF a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def A_RCOSETS_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
Really needed?
```
**lemma** (**in** abelian\_group) a\_transpose\_inv: "[|  $x \oplus y = z$ ;  $x \in$  carrier G;  $y \in$  carrier G;  $z \in$  carrier G |]  $\Rightarrow$   $(\ominus x) \oplus z = y$ " **using** r\_neg1 **by** blast

#### **12.4.3 Subgroups**

```
locale additive_subgroup =
 fixes H and G (structure)
  assumes a_subgroup: "subgroup H (add_monoid G)"
```

```
lemma (in additive_subgroup) is_additive_subgroup:
 shows "additive_subgroup H G"
by (rule additive_subgroup_axioms)
lemma additive_subgroupI:
 fixes G (structure)
 assumes a_subgroup: "subgroup H (add_monoid G)"
 shows "additive_subgroup H G"
by (rule additive_subgroup.intro) (rule a_subgroup)
lemma (in additive_subgroup) a_subset:
     "H ⊆ carrier G"
by (rule subgroup.subset[OF a_subgroup,
    simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in additive_subgroup) a_closed [intro, simp]:
     "\llbracket x \in H; y \in H \rrbracket \implies x \oplus y \in H"by (rule subgroup.m_closed[OF a_subgroup,
    simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in additive_subgroup) zero_closed [simp]:
     "0 ∈ H"
by (rule subgroup.one_closed[OF a_subgroup,
    simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in additive_subgroup) a_inv_closed [intro,simp]:
     "x \in H \implies \ominus x \in H"by (rule subgroup.m_inv_closed[OF a_subgroup,
    folded a_inv_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
```
#### **12.4.4 Additive subgroups are normal**

```
Every subgroup of an abelian_group is normal
locale abelian_subgroup = additive_subgroup + abelian_group G +
 assumes a_normal: "normal H (add_monoid G)"
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) is_abelian_subgroup:
 shows "abelian_subgroup H G"
by (rule abelian_subgroup_axioms)
lemma abelian_subgroupI:
  assumes a_normal: "normal H (add_monoid G)"
      and a_comm: "!!x y. [| x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G |] ==> x \oplus_Gy = y \oplus_G x''shows "abelian_subgroup H G"
proof -
 interpret normal "H" "(add_monoid G)"
   by (rule a_normal)
```

```
show "abelian_subgroup H G"
    by standard (simp add: a_comm)
qed
lemma abelian_subgroupI2:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes a_comm_group: "comm_group (add_monoid G)"
      and a_subgroup: "subgroup H (add_monoid G)"
  shows "abelian_subgroup H G"
proof -
  interpret comm_group "(add_monoid G)"
    by (rule a_comm_group)
  interpret subgroup "H" "(add_monoid G)"
    by (rule a_subgroup)
  have "(Uxa∈H. {xa ⊕ x}) = (Uxa∈H. {x ⊕ xa})" <b>if "x ∈ carrier G" for
x
  proof -
    have "H ⊆ carrier G"
      using a_subgroup that unfolding subgroup_def by simp
    with that show "(\bigcup h \in H. {h \oplus_G x}) = (\bigcup h \in H. {x \oplus_G h})"
      using m_comm [simplified] by fastforce
  qed
  then show "abelian_subgroup H G"
    by unfold_locales (auto simp: r_coset_def l_coset_def)
qed
lemma abelian_subgroupI3:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes "additive_subgroup H G"
    and "abelian_group G"
  shows "abelian_subgroup H G"
  using assms abelian_subgroupI2 abelian_group.a_comm_group additive_subgroup_def
by blast
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_coset_eq:
     "(\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. H \leftrightarrow x = x \leftrightarrow H)"
by (rule normal.coset_eq[OF a_normal,
    folded a_r_coset_def a_l_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_inv_op_closed1:
  shows "[x \in carrier G; h \in H] \implies (\ominus x) \oplus h \oplus x \in H"
by (rule normal.inv_op_closed1 [OF a_normal,
    folded a_inv_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_inv_op_closed2:
  shows "[x \in carrier G; h \in H] \implies x \oplus h \oplus (\ominus x) \in H"
by (rule normal.inv_op_closed2 [OF a_normal,
    folded a_inv_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
```

```
lemma (in abelian_group) a_lcos_m_assoc:
  \lVert M \rVert \leq carrier G; g \in carrier G; h \in carrier G \rVert \Rightarrow g \leftrightarrow (h <+ M) =
(g \oplus h) <+ M"
by (rule group.lcos_m_assoc [OF a_group,
    folded a_l_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_lcos_mult_one:
     "M \subseteq carrier G \implies 0 \iff M = M"
by (rule group.lcos_mult_one [OF a_group,
    folded a_l_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_l_coset_subset_G:
  "[ H \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G ] \implies x \lt + H \subseteq carrier G"
by (rule group.l_coset_subset_G [OF a_group,
    folded a l coset def, simplified monoid record simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_l_coset_swap:
     \mathbb{I}[\![y \in x \iff \mathbb{H} \colon x \in \mathsf{carrier} \; \mathbb{G} \colon \mathsf{subgroup} \; \mathbb{H} \; (\mathsf{add\_monoid} \; \mathbb{G}) \mathbb{H} \implies x \in \mathsf{const}y \leq + H''by (rule group.l_coset_swap [OF a_group,
    folded a_l_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_l_coset_carrier:
     "[| y \in x <+ H; x \in carrier G; subgroup H (add monoid G) |] ==>
y ∈ carrier G"
by (rule group.l_coset_carrier [OF a_group,
    folded a l coset def, simplified monoid record simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_l_repr_imp_subset:
  assumes "y ∈ x <+ H" "x ∈ carrier G" "subgroup H (add_monoid G)"
  shows "y <+ H ⊆ x <+ H"
  by (metis (full_types) a_l_coset_defs(1) add.l_repr_independence assms
set_eq_subset)
lemma (in abelian_group) a_l_repr_independence:
  assumes y: "y \in x \le + H" and x: "x \in carrier G" and sb: "subgroup H
(add_monoid G)"
  shows "x \leftarrow H = y \leftarrow H"
apply (rule group.l_repr_independence [OF a_group,
    folded a_l_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
apply (rule y)
apply (rule x)
apply (rule sb)
done
lemma (in abelian_group) setadd_subset_G:
     \lVert \mathbb{H} \subseteq carrier G; K \subseteq carrier G\rVert \implies H \iff K \subseteq carrier G\lVertby (rule group.setmult_subset_G [OF a_group,
```

```
folded set_add_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) subgroup_add_id: "subgroup H (add_monoid G)
=⇒ H <+> H = H"
by (rule group.subgroup_mult_id [OF a_group,
    folded set_add_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_inv:
  assumes x: "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a_set_inv (H \leftrightarrow x) = H \leftrightarrow (\ominus x)"
by (rule normal.rcos_inv [OF a_normal,
  folded a_r_coset_def a_inv_def A_SET_INV_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
(rule x)
lemma (in abelian_group) a_setmult_rcos_assoc:
     \mathbb{H} \subseteq carrier G; K \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G]
      =⇒ H <+> (K +> x) = (H <+> K) +> x"
by (rule group.setmult_rcos_assoc [OF a_group,
    folded set_add_def a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_rcos_assoc_lcos:
     \texttt{H} \subseteq carrier G; K \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G]
      =⇒ (H +> x) <+> K = H <+> (x <+ K)"
by (rule group.rcos_assoc_lcos [OF a_group,
     folded set_add_def a_r_coset_def a_l_coset_def, simplified monoid record simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_sum:
     \mathbb{Y}[\![x\in \mathsf{carrier}\; \mathsf{G};\; y\in \mathsf{carrier}\; \mathsf{G}]\!]\implies (H +> x) <+> (H +> y) = H +> (x \oplus y)"
by (rule normal.rcos_sum [OF a_normal,
    folded set_add_def a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) rcosets_add_eq:
  "M \in a_rcosets H \implies H \iff M = M"
  — generalizes subgroup_mult_id
by (rule normal.rcosets_mult_eq [OF a_normal,
    folded set_add_def A_RCOSETS_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
```
## **12.4.5 Congruence Relation**

```
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_equiv_rcong:
   shows "equiv (carrier G) (racong H)"
by (rule subgroup.equiv_rcong [OF a_subgroup a_group,
    folded a_r_congruent_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_l_coset_eq_rcong:
 assumes a: "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a <+ H = racong H '' {a}"
by (rule subgroup.l_coset_eq_rcong [OF a_subgroup a_group,
```

```
folded a_r_congruent_def a_l_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
(rule a)
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_equation:
  shows
     "[ha \oplus a = h \oplus b; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G;
        h \in H; ha \in H; hb \in H]
       \implies hb \oplus a \in (\bigcup h\in H. {h \oplus b})"
by (rule group.rcos_equation [OF a_group a_subgroup,
    folded a r congruent def a l coset def, simplified monoid record simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_disjoint: "pairwise disjnt (a_rcosets
H)"
by (rule group.rcos_disjoint [OF a_group a_subgroup,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_self:
  shows "x \in carrier G \implies x \in H \leftrightarrow x"
by (rule group.rcos_self [OF a_group _ a_subgroup,
    folded a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcosets_part_G:
  shows "\bigcup (a_rcosets H) = carrier G"
by (rule group.rcosets_part_G [OF a_group a_subgroup,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_cosets_finite:
     \texttt{``[c \in a \texttt{rcosets} \texttt{H} ; \texttt{H} \subseteq \texttt{carrier} \texttt{G}; \texttt{ finite (carrier} \texttt{G})]}\Rightarrow \texttt{finite}c<sub>||</sub>by (rule group.cosets_finite [OF a_group,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group) a_card_cosets_equal:
     \mathbb{C} \in a_rcosets H; H \subseteq carrier G; finite(carrier G)]
      \implies card c = card H"
  by (simp add: A_RCOSETS_defs(1) add.card_rcosets_equal)
lemma (in abelian_group) rcosets_subset_PowG:
     "additive_subgroup H G \implies a_rcosets H \subseteq Pow(carrier G)"
by (rule group.rcosets_subset_PowG [OF a_group,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def, simplified monoid_record_simps],
    rule additive_subgroup.a_subgroup)
theorem (in abelian_group) a_lagrange:
     "[[finite(carrier G); additive_subgroup H G]]
      \implies card(a_rcosets H) * card(H) = order(G)"
by (rule group.lagrange [OF a_group,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def, simplified monoid_record_simps order_def, folded
order_def])
```
227

(fast intro!: additive\_subgroup.a\_subgroup)+

#### **12.4.6 Factorization**

```
lemmas A_FactGroup_defs = A_FactGroup_def FactGroup_def
lemma A_FactGroup_def':
  fixes G (structure)
  shows "G A_Mod H \equiv (carrier = a_rcosets<sub>G</sub> H, mult = set_add G, one =
H\mathbb{D}"
unfolding A_FactGroup_defs
by (fold A_RCOSETS_def set_add_def)
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_setmult_closed:
     "[K1 \in a rcosets H; K2 \in a rcosets H]] \implies K1 <+> K2 \in a rcosets H"
by (rule normal.setmult_closed [OF a_normal,
    folded A RCOSETS def set add def, simplified monoid record simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_setinv_closed:
     "K \in a_rcosets H \implies a_set_inv K \in a_rcosets H"
by (rule normal.setinv_closed [OF a_normal,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def A_SET_INV_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcosets_assoc:
     \texttt{M1} \in \texttt{a\_rcosets} \ \texttt{H}; \ \texttt{M2} \in \texttt{a\_rcosets} \ \texttt{H}; \ \texttt{M3} \in \texttt{a\_rcosets} \ \texttt{H}=⇒ M1 <+> M2 <+> M3 = M1 <+> (M2 <+> M3)"
by (rule normal.rcosets_assoc [OF a_normal,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def set_add_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_subgroup_in_rcosets:
     H \in \mathbf{a}_r resets H"
by (rule subgroup.subgroup in rcosets [OF a subgroup a group,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcosets_inv_mult_group_eq:
     M \in a_rcosets H \implies a_set_inv M \iff M = H''by (rule normal.rcosets_inv_mult_group_eq [OF a_normal,
    folded A_RCOSETS_def A_SET_INV_def set_add_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
theorem (in abelian_subgroup) a_factorgroup_is_group:
  "group (G A_Mod H)"
by (rule normal.factorgroup_is_group [OF a_normal,
    folded A_FactGroup_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
Since the Factorization is based on an abelian subgroup, is results in a com-
mutative group
```
**theorem** (**in** abelian\_subgroup) a\_factorgroup\_is\_comm\_group: "comm\_group (G A\_Mod H)"

```
proof -
  have "Group.comm_monoid_axioms (G A_Mod H)"
    apply (rule comm_monoid_axioms.intro)
    apply (auto simp: A_FactGroup_def FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def a_normal
add.m_comm normal.rcos_sum)
    done
  then show ?thesis
    by (intro comm_group.intro comm_monoid.intro) (simp_all add: a_factorgroup_is_group
group.is_monoid)
qed
lemma add_A_FactGroup [simp]: "X \otimes<sub>(G A Mod H)</sub> X' = X <+><sub>G</sub> X'"
by (simp add: A_FactGroup_def set_add_def)
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_inv_FactGroup:
     "X \in carrier (G A_Mod H) \implies inv<sub>G</sub> A Mod H X = a_set_inv X"
by (rule normal.inv_FactGroup [OF a_normal,
    folded A_FactGroup_def A_SET_INV_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
The coset map is a homomorphism from G to the quotient group G Mod H
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_r_coset_hom_A_Mod:
  "(\lambda a. H \rightarrow a) \in hom (add monoid G) (G A Mod H)"
by (rule normal.r_coset_hom_Mod [OF a_normal,
    folded A_FactGroup_def a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
```
The isomorphism theorems have been omitted from lifting, at least for now

### **12.4.7 The First Isomorphism Theorem**

The quotient by the kernel of a homomorphism is isomorphic to the range of that homomorphism.

```
lemmas a_kernel_defs =
  a kernel def kernel def
lemma a_kernel_def':
  "a_kernel R S h = {x \in carrier R. h x = 0_S}"
by (rule a_kernel_def[unfolded kernel_def, simplified ring_record_simps])
```
#### **12.4.8 Homomorphisms**

```
lemma abelian_group_homI:
 assumes "abelian_group G"
 assumes "abelian_group H"
 assumes a_group_hom: "group_hom (add_monoid G)
                                  (add_monoid H) h"
 shows "abelian_group_hom G H h"
proof -
  interpret G: abelian_group G by fact
```

```
interpret H: abelian_group H by fact
 show ?thesis
    by (intro abelian_group_hom.intro abelian_group_hom_axioms.intro
        G.abelian group axioms H.abelian group axioms a group hom)
qed
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) is_abelian_group_hom:
  "abelian group hom G H h"
 ..
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) hom_add [simp]:
  "[| x \in carrier G; y \in carrier G |]
        ==> h (x \oplus_G y) = h x \oplus_H h y"
by (rule group_hom.hom_mult[OF a_group_hom,
    simplified ring_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) hom_closed [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G \implies h \times f carrier H"
by (rule group_hom.hom_closed[OF a_group_hom,
    simplified ring_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) zero_closed [simp]:
  "h 0 \in carrier H"
 by simp
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) hom_zero [simp]:
  "h 0 = 0<sub>H</sub>"
by (rule group hom.hom one<sup>[OF</sup> a group hom,
    simplified ring_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) a_inv_closed [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G ==> h (\ominusx) \in carrier H"
 by simp
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) hom_a_inv [simp]:
  "x \in carrier G \implies h (\ominus x) = \ominus_H (h x)"
by (rule group_hom.hom_inv[OF a_group_hom,
    folded a_inv_def, simplified ring_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) additive_subgroup_a_kernel:
  "additive_subgroup (a_kernel G H h) G"
  by (simp add: additive_subgroup.intro a_group_hom a_kernel_def group_hom.subgroup_kernel)
The kernel of a homomorphism is an abelian subgroup
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) abelian_subgroup_a_kernel:
  "abelian_subgroup (a_kernel G H h) G"
  apply (rule abelian_subgroupI)
```

```
apply (simp add: G.abelian_group_axioms abelian_subgroup.a_normal abelian_subgroupI3
additive subgroup a kernel)
```

```
apply (simp add: G.a_comm)
  done
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) A_FactGroup_nonempty:
 assumes X: "X ∈ carrier (G A_Mod a_kernel G H h)"
 shows "X \neq {\}"
by (rule group hom.FactGroup nonempty[OF a group hom,
    folded a kernel def A FactGroup def, simplified ring record simps])
(rule X)
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) FactGroup_the_elem_mem:
  assumes X: "X ∈ carrier (G A_Mod (a_kernel G H h))"
 shows "the_elem (h'X) ∈ carrier H"
by (rule group_hom.FactGroup_the_elem_mem[OF a_group_hom,
    folded a_kernel_def A_FactGroup_def, simplified ring_record_simps])
(rule X)
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) A_FactGroup_hom:
     "(\lambdaX. the_elem (h'X)) \in hom (G A_Mod (a_kernel G H h))
          (add_monoid H)"
by (rule group_hom.FactGroup_hom[OF a_group_hom,
    folded a_kernel_def A_FactGroup_def, simplified ring_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) A_FactGroup_inj_on:
     "inj_on (\lambda X. the_elem (h ' X)) (carrier (G A_Mod a_kernel G H h))"
by (rule group hom.FactGroup inj on[OF a group hom,
    folded a kernel def A FactGroup def, simplified ring record simps])
If the homomorphism h is onto H, then so is the homomorphism from the
quotient group
lemma (in abelian_group_hom) A_FactGroup_onto:
 assumes h: "h ' carrier G = carrier H"
 shows "(\lambda X. the_elem (h ' X)) ' carrier (G A_M) Mod a_kernel G H h) =
carrier H"
by (rule group_hom.FactGroup_onto[OF a_group_hom,
    folded a_kernel_def A_FactGroup_def, simplified ring_record_simps])
(rule h)
If h is a homomorphism from G onto H, then the quotient group G Mod kernel
G H h is isomorphic to H.
theorem (in abelian_group_hom) A_FactGroup_iso_set:
  "h ' carrier G = carrier H
   \implies (\lambdaX. the_elem (h'X)) \in iso (G A_Mod (a_kernel G H h)) (add_monoid
H)"
by (rule group_hom.FactGroup_iso_set[OF a_group_hom,
    folded a_kernel_def A_FactGroup_def, simplified ring_record_simps])
corollary (in abelian_group_hom) A_FactGroup_iso :
  "h ' carrier G = carrier H
```

```
\implies (G A_Mod (a_kernel G H h)) ≅ (add_monoid H)"
  using A_FactGroup_iso_set unfolding is_iso_def by auto
12.4.9 Cosets
Not eveything from CosetExt.thy is lifted here.
lemma (in additive_subgroup) a_Hcarr [simp]:
 assumes hH: "h ∈ H"
 shows "h ∈ carrier G"
by (rule subgroup.mem_carrier [OF a_subgroup,
    simplified monoid_record_simps]) (rule hH)
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_elemrcos_carrier:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
     and a': "a' ∈ H +> a"
 shows "a' ∈ carrier G"
by (rule subgroup.elemrcos_carrier [OF a_subgroup a_group,
    folded a r coset def, simplified monoid record simps]) (rule acarr,
rule a')
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_const:
 assumes hH: "h \in H"
 shows "H +> h = H"
by (rule subgroup.rcos_const [OF a_subgroup a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def, simplified monoid_record_simps]) (rule hH)
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_module_imp:
  assumes xcarr: "x ∈ carrier G"
      and x'cos: "x' ∈ H +> x"
 shows "(x' \oplus \ominus x) \in H"
by (rule subgroup.rcos_module_imp [OF a_subgroup a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def a_inv_def, simplified monoid_record_simps]) (rule
xcarr, rule x'cos)
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_module_rev:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G"
     and " (x' \oplus \ominus x) \in H"shows "x' ∈ H +> x"
using assms
by (rule subgroup.rcos_module_rev [OF a_subgroup a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def a_inv_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_module:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(x' \in H \rightarrow x) = (x' \oplus \ominus x \in H)"
using assms
by (rule subgroup.rcos_module [OF a_subgroup a_group,
    folded a_r_coset_def a_inv_def, simplified monoid_record_simps])
```

```
— variant
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcos_module_minus:
  assumes "ring G"
  assumes carr: "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G"
  shows "(x^2 \in H \rightarrow x) = (x^2 \in H)"
proof -
  interpret G: ring G by fact
  from carr
  have "(x' \in H \rightarrow x) = (x' \oplus \ominus x \in H)" by (rule a_rcos_module)
  with carr
  show "(x' \in H \rightarrow x) = (x' \ominus x \in H)"
    by (simp add: minus_eq)
qed
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_repr_independence':
  assumes "y ∈ H +> x" "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows "H \leftrightarrow x = H \leftrightarrow y"
  using a_repr_independence a_subgroup assms by blast
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_repr_independenceD:
  assumes "y \in carrier G" "H +> x = H +> y"
  shows "y \in H \leftrightarrow x"
  by (simp add: a_rcos_self assms)
```

```
lemma (in abelian_subgroup) a_rcosets_carrier:
  "X \in a rcosets H \implies X \subseteq carrier G"
  using a_rcosets_part_G by auto
```
### **12.4.10 Addition of Subgroups**

```
lemma (in abelian_monoid) set_add_closed:
 assumes "A ⊆ carrier G" "B ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "A <+> B ⊆ carrier G"
 by (simp add: assms add.set_mult_closed set_add_defs(1))
lemma (in abelian_group) add_additive_subgroups:
 assumes subH: "additive_subgroup H G"
    and subK: "additive_subgroup K G"
 shows "additive_subgroup (H <+> K) G"
 unfolding set_add_def
  using add.mult_subgroups additive_subgroup_def subH subK
 by (blast intro: additive_subgroup.intro)
```
**end**

**theory** Ideal

**imports** Ring AbelCoset **begin**

# **13 Ideals**

## **13.1 Definitions**

### **13.1.1 General definition**

```
locale ideal = additive_subgroup I R + ring R for I and R (structure) +
  assumes I_l_closed: "[a ∈ I; x ∈ carrier R] \implies x \otimes a ∈ I"
      and I_r_closed: "[a ∈ I; x \in carrier R] \implies a \otimes x \in I"
sublocale ideal ⊆ abelian_subgroup I R
proof (intro abelian_subgroupI3 abelian_group.intro)
 show "additive subgroup I R"
    by (simp add: is_additive_subgroup)
 show "abelian_monoid R"
    by (simp add: abelian_monoid_axioms)
  show "abelian_group_axioms R"
    using abelian_group_def is_abelian_group by blast
qed
lemma (in ideal) is_ideal: "ideal I R"
 by (rule ideal_axioms)
lemma idealI:
 fixes R (structure)
 assumes "ring R"
 assumes a_subgroup: "subgroup I (add_monoid R)"
    and I_l_closed: "\bigwedgea x. [a ∈ I; x ∈ carrier R] \implies x \otimes a ∈ I"
    and I_r_closed: "\bigwedgea x. [a ∈ I; x ∈ carrier R] \implies a \otimes x ∈ I"
 shows "ideal I R"
proof -
 interpret ring R by fact
 show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: ideal.intro ideal_axioms.intro additive_subgroupI a_subgroup
ring_axioms I_l_closed I_r_closed)
qed
```
#### **13.1.2 Ideals Generated by a Subset of** carrier R

```
definition genideal :: " \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set" ("Idl<sub>1</sub> " [80] 79)
   where "genideal R S = \bigcap {I. ideal I R \land S \subseteq I}"
```
### **13.1.3 Principal Ideals**

```
locale principalideal = ideal +
  assumes generate: "∃ i ∈ carrier R. I = Idl {i}"
```

```
lemma (in principalideal) is_principalideal: "principalideal I R"
 by (rule principalideal_axioms)
lemma principalidealI:
 fixes R (structure)
 assumes "ideal I R"
    and generate: "\exists i \in \text{carrier R. I = Idl } \{i\}"
 shows "principalideal I R"
proof -
 interpret ideal I R by fact
 show ?thesis
    by (intro principalideal.intro principalideal_axioms.intro)
      (rule is_ideal, rule generate)
qed
lemma (in ideal) rcos_const_imp_mem:
 assumes "i ∈ carrier R" and "I +> i = I" shows "i ∈ I"
  using additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF ideal_axioms]]
assms
 by (force simp add: a_r_coset_def')
lemma (in ring) a_rcos_zero:
 assumes "ideal I R" "i \in I" shows "I +> i = I"
 using abelian subgroupI3[OF ideal.axioms(1) is abelian group]
  by (simp add: abelian_subgroup.a_rcos_const assms)
lemma (in ring) ideal_is_normal:
 assumes "ideal I R" shows "I \triangleleft (add_monoid R)"
  using abelian_subgroup.a_normal[OF abelian_subgroupI3[OF ideal.axioms(1)]]
        abelian_group_axioms assms
 by auto
lemma (in ideal) a_rcos_sum:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R" and "b ∈ carrier R" shows "(I +> a) <+> (I
+> b) = I +> (a \oplus b)"
  using normal.rcos_sum[OF ideal_is_normal[OF ideal_axioms]] assms
  unfolding set_add_def a_r_coset_def by simp
lemma (in ring) set_add_comm:
 assumes "I ⊆ carrier R" "J ⊆ carrier R" shows "I <+> J = J <+> I"
```

```
proof -
  { fix I J assume "I ⊆ carrier R" "J ⊆ carrier R" hence "I <+> J ⊆
J <+> I"
      using a_comm unfolding set_add_def' by (auto, blast) }
 thus ?thesis
    using assms by auto
qed
```
#### **13.1.4 Maximal Ideals**

```
locale maximalideal = ideal +
  assumes I_notcarr: "carrier R \neq I"
    and I_maximal: "[ideal J R; I \subseteq J; J \subseteq carrier R] \implies (J = I) \vee (J
= carrier R)"
lemma (in maximalideal) is_maximalideal: "maximalideal I R"
  by (rule maximalideal_axioms)
lemma maximalidealI:
  fixes R
  assumes "ideal I R"
    and I_notcarr: "carrier R \neq I"
    and I_maximal: "\bigwedge J. [ideal J R; I \subseteq J; J \subseteq carrier R] \implies (J = I)
\vee (J = carrier R)"
  shows "maximalideal I R"
proof -
  interpret ideal I R by fact
  show ?thesis
    by (intro maximalideal.intro maximalideal_axioms.intro)
       (rule is_ideal, rule I_notcarr, rule I_maximal)
qed
```
#### **13.1.5 Prime Ideals**

```
locale primeideal = ideal + cring +
  assumes I_notcarr: "carrier R \neq I"
    and I_prime: "[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; a \otimes b ∈ I] \implies a ∈
I ∨ b ∈ I"
lemma (in primeideal) primeideal: "primeideal I R"
  by (rule primeideal_axioms)
lemma primeidealI:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "ideal I R"
    and "cring R"
    and I_notcarr: "carrier R \neq I"
    and I_prime: "\bigwedgea b. [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; a \otimes b ∈ I] \impliesa \in I \vee b \in I''shows "primeideal I R"
```

```
proof -
  interpret ideal I R by fact
  interpret cring R by fact
  show ?thesis
    by (intro primeideal.intro primeideal_axioms.intro)
      (rule is_ideal, rule is_cring, rule I_notcarr, rule I_prime)
qed
lemma primeidealI2:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "additive_subgroup I R"
    and "cring R"
    and I_l_closed: "\bigwedgea x. [a ∈ I; x ∈ carrier R] \implies x \otimes a ∈ I"
    and I_r_closed: "\bigwedgea x. [a ∈ I; x ∈ carrier R] \implies a \otimes x ∈ I"
    and I_notcarr: "carrier R \neq I"
    and I_prime: "\bigwedgea b. [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; a \otimes b ∈ I] \impliesa ∈ I ∨ b ∈ I"
  shows "primeideal I R"
proof -
  interpret additive_subgroup I R by fact
  interpret cring R by fact
  show ?thesis apply intro_locales
    apply (intro ideal_axioms.intro)
    apply (erule (1) I_l_closed)
    apply (erule (1) I_r_closed)
    by (simp add: I_notcarr I_prime primeideal_axioms.intro)
qed
```
### **13.2 Special Ideals**

```
lemma (in ring) zeroideal: "ideal {0} R"
  by (intro idealI subgroup.intro) (simp_all add: ring_axioms)
lemma (in ring) oneideal: "ideal (carrier R) R"
 by (rule idealI) (auto intro: ring_axioms add.subgroupI)
lemma (in "domain") zeroprimeideal: "primeideal {0} R"
proof -
 have "carrier R \neq \{0\}"
    by (simp add: carrier_one_not_zero)
 then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) domain_axioms domain_def integral primeidealI
singleton iff zeroideal)
qed
```
# **13.3 General Ideal Properties**

```
lemma (in ideal) one_imp_carrier:
 assumes I_one_closed: "1 ∈ I"
 shows "I = carrier R"
```

```
proof
  show "carrier R ⊆ I"
    using I_r_closed assms by fastforce
  show "I ⊆ carrier R"
    by (rule a_subset)
qed
lemma (in ideal) Icarr:
  assumes iI: "i \in I"
  shows "i ∈ carrier R"
  using iI by (rule a_Hcarr)
lemma (in ring) quotient_eq_iff_same_a_r_cos:
  assumes "ideal I R" and "a ∈ carrier R" and "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "a \ominus b \in I \longleftrightarrow I +> a = I +> b"
proof
  assume "I +> a = I +> b"
  then obtain i where "i \in I" and "0 \oplus a = i \oplus b"
    using additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF assms(1)]]
assms(2)
    unfolding a_r_coset_def' by blast
  hence "a \oplus b = i"using assms(2-3) by (metis a_minus_def add.inv_solve_right assms(1)
ideal.Icarr l_zero)
  with \langle i \in I \rangle show "a \ominus b \in I"
    by simp
next
  assume "a \oplus b \in I"
  then obtain i where "i \in I" and "a = i \oplus b"
    using ideal.Icarr[OF assms(1)] assms(2-3)
    by (metis a_minus_def add.inv_solve_right)
  hence "I +> a = (I +> i) +> b"
    using ideal.Icarr[OF assms(1)] assms(3)
    by (simp add: a_coset_add_assoc subsetI)
  with \langle i \in I \rangle show "I +> a = I +> b"
    using a_rcos_zero[OF assms(1)] by simp
qed
```
# **13.4 Intersection of Ideals**

**Intersection of two ideals** The intersection of any two ideals is again an ideal in R **lemma** (**in** ring) i\_intersect: **assumes** "ideal I R" **assumes** "ideal J R" **shows** "ideal (I ∩ J) R" **proof interpret** ideal I R **by** fact **interpret** ideal J R **by** fact

```
have IJ: "I ∩ J ⊆ carrier R"
   by (force simp: a_subset)
 show ?thesis
   apply (intro idealI subgroup.intro)
    apply (simp_all add: IJ ring_axioms I_l_closed assms ideal.I_l_closed
ideal.I_r_closed flip: a_inv_def)
    done
qed
The intersection of any Number of Ideals is again an Ideal in R
lemma (in ring) i_Intersect:
```

```
\text{assumes Sideals: "}\wedge\text{I. I }\in \text{S} \implies \text{ideal I } \text{R} \text{" and notempty: "S $\neq$ $\{\} \text{"}}shows "ideal (\bigcap S) R"
proof -
  { fix x y J
    assume "∀I∈S. x \in I'' "∀I∈S. y \in I'' and JS: "J \in S"
    interpret ideal J R by (rule Sideals[OF JS])
    have "x ⊕ y ∈ J"
      by (simp add: JS ‹ ∀ I∈S. x ∈ I› ‹ ∀ I∈S. y ∈ I› ) }
  moreover
    have "0 ∈ J" if "J ∈ S" for J
      by (simp add: that Sideals additive_subgroup.zero_closed ideal.axioms(1))
  moreover
  { fix x J
    assume "∀ I∈S. x ∈ I" and JS: "J ∈ S"
    interpret ideal J R by (rule Sideals[OF JS])
    have "\ominus x \in J"by (simp add: JS \langle \forall I \in S. \; x \in I \rangle) }
  moreover
  { fix x y J
    assume "∀ I∈S. x ∈ I" and ycarr: "y ∈ carrier R" and JS: "J ∈ S"
    interpret ideal J R by (rule Sideals[OF JS])
    have "y ⊗ x ∈ J" "x ⊗ y ∈ J"
      using I_l_closed I_r_closed JS \forall I∈S. x \in I > ycarr by blast+ }
  moreover
  { fix x
    assume "∀ I∈S. x ∈ I"
    obtain I0 where I0S: "I0 ∈ S"
      using notempty by blast
    interpret ideal I0 R by (rule Sideals[OF I0S])
    have "x ∈ I0"
      by (simp add: I0S ‹ ∀ I∈S. x ∈ I› )
    with a_subset have "x \in carrier R" by fast \}ultimately show ?thesis
    by unfold_locales (auto simp: Inter_eq simp flip: a_inv_def)
qed
```
# **13.5 Addition of Ideals**

```
lemma (in ring) add_ideals:
  assumes idealI: "ideal I R" and idealJ: "ideal J R"
 shows "ideal (I <+> J) R"
proof (rule ideal.intro)
 show "additive_subgroup (I <+> J) R"
   by (intro ideal.axioms[OF idealI] ideal.axioms[OF idealJ] add_additive_subgroups)
 show "ring R"
   by (rule ring_axioms)
 show "ideal axioms (I <+> J) R"
 proof -
    { fix x i j
      assume xcarr: "x ∈ carrier R" and iI: "i ∈ I" and jJ: "j ∈ J"
      from xcarr ideal.Icarr[OF idealI iI] ideal.Icarr[OF idealJ jJ]
      have "∃ h∈I. ∃ k∈J. (i ⊕ j) ⊗ x = h ⊕ k"
        by (meson iI ideal.I_r_closed idealJ jJ l_distr local.idealI)
}
   moreover
    { fix x i j
      assume xcarr: "x ∈ carrier R" and iI: "i ∈ I" and jJ: "j ∈ J"
      from xcarr ideal.Icarr[OF idealI iI] ideal.Icarr[OF idealJ jJ]
      have "\exists h \in I. \exists k \in J. x ⊗ (i \oplus j) = h \oplus k"
        by (meson iI ideal.I_l_closed idealJ jJ local.idealI r_distr)
}
    ultimately show "ideal_axioms (I <+> J) R"
      by (intro ideal_axioms.intro) (auto simp: set_add_defs)
 qed
qed
13.6 Ideals generated by a subset of carrier R
genideal generates an ideal
lemma (in ring) genideal_ideal:
 assumes Scarr: "S ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "ideal (Idl S) R"
unfolding genideal_def
proof (rule i_Intersect, fast, simp)
  from oneideal and Scarr
 show "\existsI. ideal I R \land S \leq I" by fast
qed
lemma (in ring) genideal_self:
 assumes "S ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "S ⊆ Idl S"
 unfolding genideal_def by fast
```

```
lemma (in ring) genideal_self':
 assumes carr: "i ∈ carrier R"
```

```
shows "i \in Idl {i}"
 by (simp add: genideal_def)
genideal generates the minimal ideal
lemma (in ring) genideal_minimal:
 assumes "ideal I R" "S \subseteq I"
 shows "Idl S \subseteq I"
 unfolding genideal_def by rule (elim InterD, simp add: assms)
Generated ideals and subsets
lemma (in ring) Idl_subset_ideal:
 assumes Iideal: "ideal I R"
    and Hcarr: "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "(Idl H \subseteq I) = (H \subseteq I)"
proof
 assume a: "Idl H ⊆ I"
 from Hcarr have "H \subset Idl H" by (rule genideal self)
 with a show "H ⊆ I" by simp
next
 fix x
 assume "H ⊆ I"
  with Iideal have "I ∈ {I. ideal I R ∧ H ⊆ I}" by fast
 then show "Idl H ⊆ I" unfolding genideal_def by fast
qed
lemma (in ring) subset_Idl_subset:
 assumes Icarr: "I ⊆ carrier R"
    and HI: "H \subseteq I"shows "Idl H ⊆ Idl I"
proof -
  from Icarr have Iideal: "ideal (Idl I) R"
    by (rule genideal_ideal)
  from HI and Icarr have "H ⊆ carrier R"
   by fast
  with Iideal have "(H \subseteq Idl I) = (Idl H \subseteq Idl I)"
    by (rule Idl_subset_ideal[symmetric])
  then show "Idl H ⊆ Idl I"
    by (meson HI Icarr genideal_self order_trans)
qed
lemma (in ring) Idl_subset_ideal':
 assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier R" and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier R"
 shows "Idl {a} \subseteq Idl {b} \longleftrightarrow a \in Idl {b}"
proof -
  have "Idl {a} ⊆ Idl {b} ←→ {a} ⊆ Idl {b}"
    by (simp add: Idl_subset_ideal acarr bcarr genideal_ideal)
  also have "\dots \leftrightarrow a \in Id1 {b}"
    by blast
 finally show ?thesis .
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring) genideal_zero: "Idl {0} = {0}"
proof
  show "Idl \{0\} \subseteq \{0\}"
     by (simp add: genideal_minimal zeroideal)
  show "{0} \subset \text{Idl} {0}"
     by (simp add: genideal_self')
qed
lemma (in ring) genideal_one: "Idl {1} = carrier R"
proof -
  interpret ideal "Idl {1}" "R" by (rule genideal_ideal) fast
  show "Idl {1} = carrier R"
     using genideal_self' one_imp_carrier by blast
qed
Generation of Principal Ideals in Commutative Rings
definition cgenideal :: "_ \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set" ("PIdl<sub>2</sub> _" [80] 79)
  where "cgenideal R a = \{x \otimes_R a \mid x. x \in carrier R\}"
genhideal (?) really generates an ideal
lemma (in cring) cgenideal_ideal:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier R"
  shows "ideal (PIdl a) R"
  unfolding cgenideal_def
proof (intro subgroup.intro idealI[OF ring_axioms], simp_all)
  show "\{x \otimes a \mid x. x \in \text{carrier R}\} \subseteq \text{carrier R}"
     by (blast intro: acarr)
   show "\wedgex y. [∃u. x = u ⊗ a ∧ u ∈ carrier R; ∃x. y = x ⊗ a ∧ x ∈
carrier R]]
                   =⇒ ∃ v. x ⊕ y = v ⊗ a ∧ v ∈ carrier R"
     by (metis assms cring.cring_simprules(1) is_cring l_distr)
  show "\exists x. 0 = x \otimes a \wedge x \in carrier R"
     by (metis assms l_null zero_closed)
   show "\bigwedge x. ∃u. x = u \otimes a \wedge u \in carrier R
                \Rightarrow \exists v. inv<sub>add_monoid</sub> R x = v \otimes a \wedge v \in carrier R"
     by (metis a_inv_def add.inv_closed assms l_minus)
   \texttt{show}\text{ ``\textcircled{\char'135}how\text{ ``\emph{\char'135}hX}.\;\;\texttt{[]}\texttt{x.}\;\;\texttt{b = x $\otimes$ a $\wedge$ x $\in$ carrier $R$},\; \texttt{x $\in$ carrier $R$}]\;\implies \exists z. \; x \; \otimes \; b = z \; \otimes \; a \; \wedge \; z \in \text{carrier } R"
     by (metis assms m_assoc m_closed)
   \texttt{show}\text{ ``\text{\textbackslash}b x.} \text{ [}\exists \texttt{x.}\texttt{ } \texttt{b = x} \text{ }\otimes \texttt{ a } \texttt{ } \wedge \texttt{ } \texttt{x} \texttt{ } \in \texttt{carrier}\texttt{ } \texttt{R} \texttt{]}\implies \exists z. b \otimes x = z \otimes a \wedge z \in carrier R"
     by (metis assms m_assoc m_comm m_closed)
qed
lemma (in ring) cgenideal_self:
  assumes icarr: "i ∈ carrier R"
  shows "i ∈ PIdl i"
```

```
unfolding cgenideal_def
proof simp
 from icarr have "i = 1 ⊗ i"
   by simp
  with icarr show "\exists x. i = x \otimes i \wedge x \in carrier R"
    by fast
qed
cgenideal is minimal
lemma (in ring) cgenideal_minimal:
 assumes "ideal J R"
 assumes aJ: "a ∈ J"
 shows "PIdl a ⊆ J"
proof -
 interpret ideal J R by fact
 show ?thesis
    unfolding cgenideal_def
    using I_l_closed aJ by blast
qed
lemma (in cring) cgenideal_eq_genideal:
 assumes icarr: "i ∈ carrier R"
 shows "PIdl i = Idl \{i\}"
proof
 show "PIdl i \subseteq Idl \{i\}"
   by (simp add: cgenideal_minimal genideal_ideal genideal_self' icarr)
 show "Idl {i} ⊆ PIdl i"
    by (simp add: cgenideal_ideal cgenideal_self genideal_minimal icarr)
qed
lemma (in cring) cgenideal_eq_rcos: "PIdl i = carrier R #> i"
  unfolding cgenideal_def r_coset_def by fast
lemma (in cring) cgenideal_is_principalideal:
  assumes "i ∈ carrier R"
 shows "principalideal (PIdl i) R"
proof -
 have "∃ i'∈carrier R. PIdl i = Idl {i'}"
    using cgenideal_eq_genideal assms by auto
 then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: cgenideal_ideal assms principalidealI)
qed
```
# **13.7 Union of Ideals**

```
lemma (in ring) union_genideal:
  assumes idealI: "ideal I R" and idealJ: "ideal J R"
  shows "Idl (I \cup J) = I \leftrightarrow J"
proof
```

```
show "Idl (I ∪ J) ⊆ I <+> J"
  proof (rule ring.genideal_minimal [OF ring_axioms])
    show "ideal (I <+> J) R"
      by (rule add_ideals[OF idealI idealJ])
    have "\bigwedge x. x \in I \implies \exists x a \in I. \exists x b \in J. x = xa \oplus xb''by (metis additive_subgroup.zero_closed ideal.Icarr idealJ ideal_def
local.idealI r zero)
    moreover have "\bigwedge x. x \in J \implies \exists x a \in I. \exists x b \in J. x = xa \oplus xb''by (metis additive_subgroup.zero_closed ideal.Icarr idealJ ideal_def
l_zero local.idealI)
    ultimately show "I ∪ J \subseteq I <+> J"
      by (auto simp: set_add_defs)
  qed
next
  show "I <+> J ⊆ Idl (I ∪ J)"
    by (auto simp: set_add_defs genideal_def additive_subgroup.a_closed
ideal_def subsetD)
qed
```
## **13.8 Properties of Principal Ideals**

The zero ideal is a principal ideal

```
corollary (in ring) zeropideal: "principalideal {0} R"
  using genideal_zero principalidealI zeroideal by blast
```
The unit ideal is a principal ideal

```
corollary (in ring) onepideal: "principalideal (carrier R) R"
  using genideal_one oneideal principalidealI by blast
```
Every principal ideal is a right coset of the carrier

```
lemma (in principalideal) rcos_generate:
 assumes "cring R"
 shows "\exists x \in I. I = carrier R #> x"
proof -
 interpret cring R by fact
  from generate obtain i where icarr: "i ∈ carrier R" and I1: "I = Idl
{i}"
    by fast+
 then have "I = PIdl i"
    by (simp add: cgenideal_eq_genideal)
  moreover have "i ∈ I"
    by (simp add: I1 genideal_self' icarr)
  moreover have "PIdl i = carrier R #> i"
    unfolding cgenideal_def r_coset_def by fast
  ultimately show "\exists x \in I. I = carrier R #> x"
    by fast
```
This next lemma would be trivial if placed in a theory that imports QuotRing, but it makes more sense to have it here (easier to find and coherent with the previous developments).

```
lemma (in cring) cgenideal_prod:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "(PIdl a) \iff (PIdl b) = PIdl (a \otimes b)"
proof -
  have "(carrier R #> a) <#> (carrier R #> b) = carrier R #> (a ⊗ b)"
  proof
    show "(carrier R #> a) <#> (carrier R #> b) \subset carrier R #> a \otimes b"
    proof
      fix x assume "x \in (carrier R #> a) \langle x \rangle (carrier R #> b)"
      then obtain r1 r2 where r1: "r1 ∈ carrier R" and r2: "r2 ∈ carrier
R''and "x = (r1 \otimes a) \otimes (r2 \otimes b)"
        unfolding set_mult_def r_coset_def by blast
      hence "x = (r1 \otimes r2) \otimes (a \otimes b)"
        by (simp add: assms local.ring_axioms m_lcomm ring.ring_simprules(11))
      thus "x \in carrier R #> a \otimes b"
        unfolding r_coset_def using r1 r2 assms by blast
    qed
  next
    show "carrier R #> a ⊗ b ⊆ (carrier R #> a) <#> (carrier R #> b)"
    proof
      fix x assume "x ∈ carrier R #> a ⊗ b"
      then obtain r where r: "r \in carrier R" "x = r \otimes (a \otimes b)"
        unfolding r_coset_def by blast
      hence "x = (r \otimes a) \otimes (1 \otimes b)"
        using assms by (simp add: m_assoc)
      thus "x \in (carrier R #> a) <#> (carrier R #> b)"
        unfolding set_mult_def r_coset_def using assms r by blast
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using cgenideal_eq_rcos[of a] cgenideal_eq_rcos[of b] cgenideal_eq_rcos[of
"a ⊗ b"] by simp
qed
```
# **13.9 Prime Ideals**

```
lemma (in ideal) primeidealCD:
  assumes "cring R"
  assumes notprime: "¬ primeideal I R"
  shows "carrier R = I ∨ (∃ a b. a ∈ carrier R ∧ b ∈ carrier R ∧ a ⊗
b \in I \wedge a \notin I \wedge b \notin I)"
proof (rule ccontr, clarsimp)
  interpret cring R by fact
  assume InR: "carrier R \neq I"
    and "∀ a. a ∈ carrier R \longrightarrow (∀b. a ⊗ b ∈ I \longrightarrow b ∈ carrier R \longrightarrow
```

```
a \in I \vee b \in I<sup>"</sup>
  then have I_prime: "\bigwedge a b. [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; a \otimes b ∈
I \rVert \Rightarrow a \in I \lor b \in I''by simp
  have "primeideal I R"
    by (simp add: I_prime InR is_cring is_ideal primeidealI)
  with notprime show False by simp
qed
lemma (in ideal) primeidealCE:
  assumes "cring R"
  assumes notprime: "¬ primeideal I R"
  obtains "carrier R = I"
    | "∃a b. a ∈ carrier R \land b ∈ carrier R \land a \otimes b ∈ I \land a \notin I \land b
∈/ I"
proof -
  interpret R: cring R by fact
  assume "carrier R = I ==> thesis"
    and "∃a b. a \in carrier R \land b \in carrier R \land a \otimes b \in I \land a \notin I \landb \notin I \implies \text{thesis}"
  then show thesis using primeidealCD [OF R.is_cring notprime] by blast
qed
If {0} is a prime ideal of a commutative ring, the ring is a domain
lemma (in cring) zeroprimeideal_domainI:
  assumes pi: "primeideal {0} R"
  shows "domain R"
proof (intro domain.intro is_cring domain_axioms.intro)
  show "1 \neq 0"
    using genideal_one genideal_zero pi primeideal.I_notcarr by force
  show "a = 0 ∨ b = 0" if ab: "a ⊗ b = 0" and carr: "a ∈ carrier R"
"b ∈ carrier R" for a b
  proof -
    interpret primeideal "{0}" "R" by (rule pi)
    show "a = 0 \vee b = 0"
      using I_prime ab carr by blast
  qed
qed
corollary (in cring) domain_eq_zeroprimeideal: "domain R = primeideal {0}
```
# **13.10 Maximal Ideals**

R"

```
lemma (in ideal) helper_I_closed:
 assumes carr: "a ∈ carrier R" "x ∈ carrier R" "y ∈ carrier R"
    and axI: "a \otimes x \in I"
 shows "a ⊗ (x ⊗ y) ∈ I"
```
**using** domain.zeroprimeideal zeroprimeideal\_domainI **by** blast

```
proof -
  from axI and carr have "(a \otimes x) \otimes y \in I"
     by (simp add: I_r_closed)
  also from carr have "(a \otimes x) \otimes y = a \otimes (x \otimes y)"
     by (simp add: m_assoc)
  finally show "a \otimes (x \otimes y) \in I".
qed
lemma (in ideal) helper_max_prime:
  assumes "cring R"
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier R"
  shows "ideal {x∈carrier R. a \otimes x \in I} R"
proof -
  interpret cring R by fact
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule idealI, simp_all)
     show "ring R"
        by (simp add: local.ring_axioms)
     show "subgroup \{x \in \text{carrier R. a } \otimes x \in I\} (add_monoid R)"
        by (rule subgroup.intro) (auto simp: r_distr acarr r_minus simp
flip: a_inv_def)
     \textbf{show}\text{ ``}\text{\textbackslash }b x. \text{[\texttt{b}\in \texttt{carrier}\text{ }\texttt{R}\text{ }\wedge\text{ a }\otimes\text{ } \text{b}\in \texttt{I};\text{ } \text{x}\in \texttt{carrier}\text{ }\texttt{R}]\text{]}\implies a \otimes (x \otimes b) \in I"
        using acarr helper_I_closed m_comm by auto
     \textbf{show}\text{ ``}\text{\textbackslash }b x. \text{[\texttt{b}\in \texttt{carrier}\text{ }\texttt{R}\text{ }\wedge\text{ a }\otimes\text{ } \text{b}\in \texttt{I};\text{ } \text{x}\in \texttt{carrier}\text{ }\texttt{R}]\text{]}\implies a \otimes (b \otimes x) \in I"
        by (simp add: acarr helper_I_closed)
  qed
qed
In a cring every maximal ideal is prime
lemma (in cring) maximalideal_prime:
  assumes "maximalideal I R"
  shows "primeideal I R"
proof -
  interpret maximalideal I R by fact
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule ccontr)
     assume neg: "¬ primeideal I R"
     then obtain a b where acarr: "a ∈ carrier R" and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier
R"
        and abI: "a \otimes b \in I" and anI: "a \notin I" and bnI: "b \notin I"
        using primeidealCE [OF is_cring]
        by (metis I_notcarr)
     define J where "J = {x∈carrier R. a \otimes x \in I}"
     from is_cring and acarr have idealJ: "ideal J R"
        unfolding J_def by (rule helper_max_prime)
     have IsubJ: "I ⊆ J"
        using I_l_closed J_def a_Hcarr acarr by blast
```

```
from abI and acarr bcarr have "b ∈ J"
      unfolding J_def by fast
    with bnI have JnI: "J \neq I" by fast
    have "1 \notin J"
      unfolding J_def by (simp add: acarr anI)
    then have Jncarr: "J \neq carrier R" by fast
    interpret ideal J R by (rule idealJ)
    have "J = I ∨ J = carrier R"
      by (simp add: I_maximal IsubJ a_subset is_ideal)
    with JnI and Jncarr show False by simp
  qed
qed
```
# **13.11 Derived Theorems**

A non-zero cring that has only the two trivial ideals is a field

```
lemma (in cring) trivialideals_fieldI:
  assumes carrnzero: "carrier R \neq \{0\}"
    and haveideals: "\{I. ideal I R} = \{\{0\},\ carrier R}"
  shows "field R"
proof (intro cring_fieldI equalityI)
  show "Units R \subseteq carrier R - \{0\}"
    by (metis Diff_empty Units_closed Units_r_inv_ex carrnzero l_null
one_zeroD subsetI subset_Diff_insert)
  show "carrier R - \{0\} \subseteq Units R"
  proof
    fix x
    assume xcarr': "x \in carrier R - \{0\}"
    then have xcarr: "x \in carrier R" and xnZ: "x \neq 0" by auto
    from xcarr have xIdl: "ideal (PIdl x) R"
      by (intro cgenideal_ideal) fast
    have "PIdl x \neq \{0\}"
      using xcarr xnZ cgenideal_self by blast
    with haveideals have "PIdl x = carrier R"
      by (blast intro!: xIdl)
    then have "1 \in PIdl x" by simp
    then have "\exists y. 1 = y \otimes x \land y \in carrier R"
      unfolding cgenideal_def by blast
    then obtain y where ycarr: " y \in carrier R" and ylinv: "1 = y \otimesx"
      by fast
    have "\exists y \in \text{carrier R. } y \otimes x = 1 \land x \otimes y = 1"
      using m_comm xcarr ycarr ylinv by auto
    with xcarr show "x ∈ Units R"
      unfolding Units_def by fast
  qed
qed
lemma (in field) all ideals: "{I. ideal I R} = \{\{0\}, carrier R}"
```

```
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix I
 assume a: "I ∈ {I. ideal I R}"
 then interpret ideal I R by simp
 show "I \in {{0}, carrier R}"
 proof (cases "∃a. a \in I - \{0\}")
    case True
    then obtain a where aI: "a \in I" and anZ: "a \neq 0"
      by fast+
    have aUnit: "a ∈ Units R"
      by (simp add: aI anZ field_Units)
    then have a: "a \otimes inv a = 1" by (rule Units_r_inv)
    from aI and aUnit have "a ⊗ inv a ∈ I"
      by (simp add: I_r_closed del: Units_r_inv)
    then have oneI: "1 \in I" by (simp add: a[symmetric])
    have "carrier R ⊆ I"
      using oneI one_imp_carrier by auto
    with a_subset have "I = carrier R" by fast
    then show "I \in {{0}, carrier R}" by fast
  next
    case False
    then have IZ: "\bigwedge a. a \in I \implies a = 0" by simp
    have a: "I ⊆ {0}"
      using False by auto
    have "0 \in I" by simp
    with a have "I = \{0\}" by fast
    then show "I \in {{0}, carrier R}" by fast
  qed
qed (auto simp: zeroideal oneideal)
— "Jacobson Theorem 2.2"
lemma (in cring) trivialideals_eq_field:
 assumes carrnzero: "carrier R \neq \{0\}"
 shows "(\{I. ideal I R} = \{\{0\},\} carrier R}) = field R"
 by (fast intro!: trivialideals_fieldI[OF carrnzero] field.all_ideals)
Like zeroprimeideal for domains
lemma (in field) zeromaximalideal: "maximalideal {0} R"
proof (intro maximalidealI zeroideal)
  from one_not_zero have "1 \notin {0}" by simp
  with one_closed show "carrier R \neq \{0\}" by fast
next
 fix J
 assume Jideal: "ideal J R"
 then have "J \in \{I. \text{ ideal } I \text{ R}\}" by fast
  with all_ideals show "J = {0} \lor J = carrier R"
    by simp
qed
```

```
lemma (in cring) zeromaximalideal_fieldI:
 assumes zeromax: "maximalideal {0} R"
 shows "field R"
proof (intro trivialideals_fieldI maximalideal.I_notcarr[OF zeromax])
 have "J = carrier R" if Jn0: "J \neq {0}" and idealJ: "ideal J R" for J
 proof -
    interpret ideal J R by (rule idealJ)
   have "{0} ⊆ J"
      by force
    from zeromax idealJ this a_subset
   have "J = {0} ∨ J = carrier R"
      by (rule maximalideal.I_maximal)
    with Jn0 show "J = carrier R"
      by simp
  qed
  then show "\{I. ideal I R} = \{\{0\},\ carrier R}"
    by (auto simp: zeroideal oneideal)
qed
lemma (in cring) zeromaximalideal_eq_field: "maximalideal {0} R = field
R"
  using field.zeromaximalideal zeromaximalideal_fieldI by blast
end
```

```
theory RingHom
imports Ideal
begin
```
# **14 Homomorphisms of Non-Commutative Rings**

```
Lifting existing lemmas in a ring_hom_ring locale
locale ring hom ring = R?: ring R + S?: ring S
    for R (structure) and S (structure) +
 fixes h
 assumes homh: "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
 notes hom_mult [simp] = ring_hom_mult [OF homh]
    and hom_one [simp] = ring_hom_one [OF homh]
sublocale ring_hom_cring ⊆ ring: ring_hom_ring
 by standard (rule homh)
sublocale ring_hom_ring ⊆ abelian_group?: abelian_group_hom R S
proof
 show "h ∈ hom (add_monoid R) (add_monoid S)"
    using homh by (simp add: hom_def ring_hom_def)
```
**qed**

```
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) is_ring_hom_ring:
  "ring hom ring R S h"
  by (rule ring_hom_ring_axioms)
lemma ring hom ringI:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  assumes "ring R" "ring S"
  assumes hom_closed: "!!x. x \in carrier R ==> h x \in carrier S"
      and compatible_mult: "\bigwedge x y. [| x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R |]
\Rightarrow h (x \otimes y) = h x \otimess h y"
      and compatible_add: "\bigwedge x y. [| x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R |]
\Rightarrow h (x \oplus y) = h x \opluss h y"
      and compatible_one: "h 1 = 1_S"
  shows "ring_hom_ring R S h"
proof -
  interpret ring R by fact
  interpret ring S by fact
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
      unfolding ring_hom_def
      by (auto simp: compatible_mult compatible_add compatible_one hom_closed)
  qed
qed
lemma ring hom ringI2:
  assumes "ring R" "ring S"
  assumes h: "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
  shows "ring_hom_ring R S h"
proof -
  interpret R: ring R by fact
  interpret S: ring S by fact
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
      using h .
  qed
qed
lemma ring_hom_ringI3:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  assumes "abelian_group_hom R S h" "ring R" "ring S"
  assumes compatible_mult: "\bigwedge x y. [| x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R |]
==> h (x \otimes y) = h x \otimesg h y"
      and compatible one: "h 1 = 1_S"
  shows "ring_hom_ring R S h"
proof -
```

```
interpret abelian_group_hom R S h by fact
 interpret R: ring R by fact
 interpret S: ring S by fact
 show ?thesis
 proof
   show "h \in ring hom R S"
      unfolding ring hom def by (auto simp: compatible one compatible mult)
  qed
qed
lemma ring_hom_cringI:
 assumes "ring_hom_ring R S h" "cring R" "cring S"
 shows "ring_hom_cring R S h"
proof -
 interpret ring_hom_ring R S h by fact
 interpret R: cring R by fact
 interpret S: cring S by fact
 show ?thesis
 proof
   show "h \in \text{ring} hom R S"
      by (simp add: homh)
 qed
qed
```
# **14.1 The Kernel of a Ring Homomorphism**

```
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) kernel_is_ideal: "ideal (a_kernel R S h) R"
 apply (rule idealI [OF R.ring_axioms])
    apply (rule additive_subgroup.a_subgroup[OF additive_subgroup_a_kernel])
   apply (auto simp: a_kernel_def')
  done
```
Elements of the kernel are mapped to zero

**lemma** (**in** abelian\_group\_hom) kernel\_zero [simp]: "i ∈ a\_kernel R S h  $\implies$  h i = 0<sub>S</sub>" **by** (simp add: a\_kernel\_defs)

### **14.2 Cosets**

Cosets of the kernel correspond to the elements of the image of the homomorphism

```
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) rcos_imp_homeq:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier R"
      and xrcos: "x ∈ a_kernel R S h +> a"
 shows "h x = h a"
proof -
 interpret ideal "a_kernel R S h" "R" by (rule kernel_is_ideal)
 from xrcos
```
```
have "∃ i ∈ a_kernel R S h. x = i ⊕ a" by (simp add: a_r_coset_defs)
  from this obtain i
      where iker: "i ∈ a_kernel R S h"
        and x: "x = i \oplus a"by fast+
  note carr = acarr iker[THEN a_Hcarr]
  from x
      have "h x = h (i \oplus a)" by simp
  also from carr
      have "... = h i \bigoplus<sub>S</sub> h a" by simp
  also from iker
     have "... = 0_S \oplus_S h a'' by simp
  also from carr
      have "\ldots = h a" by simp
  finally
      show "h x = h a" .
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) homeq_imp_rcos:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier R"
      and xcarr: "x ∈ carrier R"
      and hx: "h x = h a"
  shows "x ∈ a_kernel R S h +> a"
proof -
  interpret ideal "a_kernel R S h" "R" by (rule kernel_is_ideal)
  note carr = acarr xcarr
  note hcarr = acarr[THEN hom_closed] xcarr[THEN hom_closed]
  from hx and hcarr
      have a: "h x \oplus_S \ominus_Sh a = 0_S" by algebra
  from carr
      have "h x \oplus_S \ominus_S h a = h (x \oplus \ominus a)" by simp
  from a and this
      have b: "h (x \oplus \ominus a) = 0_S" by simp
  from carr have "x \oplus \ominus a \in carrier R" by simp
  from this and b
      have "x \oplus \ominus a \in a_kernel R S h"
      unfolding a_kernel_def'
      by fast
  from this and carr
      show "x \in a_kernel R S h +> a" by (simp add: a_rcos_module_rev)
qed
corollary (in ring_hom_ring) rcos_eq_homeq:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier R"
```

```
shows "(a_kernel R S h) +> a = \{x \in \text{carrier R. h } x = h a\}"
proof -
  interpret ideal "a_kernel R S h" "R" by (rule kernel_is_ideal)
  show ?thesis
    using assms by (auto simp: intro: homeq_imp_rcos rcos_imp_homeq a_elemrcos_carrier)
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) hom_nat_pow:
  "x \in carrier R \implies h(x [\uparrow](n :: nat)) = (h x) [\uparrow]_S n"
  by (induct n) (auto)
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) inj_on_domain:
  assumes "inj_on h (carrier R)"
  shows "domain S ⇒ domain R"
proof -
  assume A: "domain S" show "domain R"
  proof
    have "h 1 = 1_S \wedge h 0 = 0_S" by simp
    hence "h 1 \neq h 0"
      using domain.one_not_zero[OF A] by simp
    thus "1 \neq 0"
      using assms unfolding inj_on_def by fastforce
  next
    fix a b
    assume a: "a ∈ carrier R"
       and b: "b ∈ carrier R"
    have "h (a \otimes b) = (h a) \otimes_S (h b)" by (simp add: a b)also have " ... = (h b) \otimes_S (h a)" using a b A cringE(1)[of S]
      by (simp add: cring.cring_simprules(14) domain_def)
    also have " \dots = h (b \otimes a)" by (simp add: a b)
    finally have "h (a \otimes b) = h (b \otimes a)".
    thus "a \otimes b = b \otimes a"
      using assms a b unfolding inj_on_def by simp
    assume ab: "a ⊗ b = 0"
    hence "h (a \otimes b) = 0_S" by simp
    hence "(h a) \otimes_S (h b) = 0_S" using a b by simp
    hence "h a = 0_S \vee h b = 0_S" using a b domain.integral [OF A] by
simp
    thus "a = 0 \vee b = 0"
      using a b assms unfolding inj_on_def by force
  qed
qed
end
theory UnivPoly
```
254

**imports** Module RingHom **begin**

# **15 Univariate Polynomials**

Polynomials are formalised as modules with additional operations for extracting coefficients from polynomials and for obtaining monomials from coefficients and exponents (record up\_ring). The carrier set is a set of bounded functions from Nat to the coefficient domain. Bounded means that these functions return zero above a certain bound (the degree). There is a chapter on the formalisation of polynomials in the PhD thesis [\[1\]](#page-843-0), which was implemented with axiomatic type classes. This was later ported to Locales.

### **15.1 The Constructor for Univariate Polynomials**

Functions with finite support.

```
locale bound =
  fixes z :: 'a
    and n :: nat
    and f :: "nat => 'a"
  assumes bound: "!!m. n < m \implies f m = z"
declare bound.intro [intro!]
  and bound.bound [dest]
lemma bound_below:
  assumes bound: "bound z m f" and nonzero: "f n \neq z" shows "n \leq m"
proof (rule classical)
  assume "¬ ?thesis"
  then have "m < n" by arith
  with bound have "f n = z" ..
  with nonzero show ?thesis by contradiction
qed
record ('a, 'p) up_ring = "('a, 'p) module" +
  monom :: "['a, nat] => 'p"
  coeff :: \lceil \cdot \rceil'p, nat\rceil \Rightarrow 'a"
definition
  up :: "('a, 'm) ring_scheme =&>(nat =& 'a) set"where "up R = {f. f ∈ UNIV \rightarrow carrier R \land (\existsn. bound O<sub>R</sub> n f)}"
definition UP :: "('a, 'm) ring_scheme => ('a, nat => 'a) up_ring"
  where "UP R = (|
   carrier = up R,
   mult = (\lambda p \in up R. \lambda q \in up R. \lambda n. \bigoplus_{R} i \in \{\ldots n\}. p i \otimes_{R} q (n-i)),one = (\lambda i. if i=0 then 1_R else 0_R),
```

```
zero = (\lambda i. 0_R),
add = (\lambda p \in up R. \lambda q \in up R. \lambda i. p i \oplus_R q i),
smult = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier R. } \lambda p \in up R. \lambda i. a \otimes_R p i),
monom = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier R. } \lambda n \text{ i. if } i=n \text{ then a else } 0_R),
coeff = (\lambda p \in up R. \lambda n. p n)"
```
Properties of the set of polynomials up.

```
lemma mem_upI [intro]:
  "[| \Lambdan. f n ∈ carrier R; \existsn. bound (zero R) n f |] ==> f ∈ up R"
  by (simp add: up_def Pi_def)
lemma mem_upD [dest]:
  "f \in up R ==> f n \in carrier R"
  by (simp add: up_def Pi_def)
context ring
begin
lemma bound_upD [dest]: "f \in up R \implies \exists n. bound 0 n f" by (simp add:
up_def)
lemma up_one_closed: "(\lambda n. if n = 0 then 1 else 0) \in up R" using up_def
by force
lemma up_smult_closed: "[| a \in carrier R; p \in up R |] ==> (\lambdai. a \otimes p
i) ∈ up R" by force
lemma up_add_closed:
  "[| p \in up R; q \in up R |] ==> (\lambda i. p i \oplus q i) \in up R"
proof
  fix n
  assume "p \in \text{up } R" and "q \in \text{up } R"
  then show "p n \oplus q n \in carrier R"
    by auto
next
  assume UP: "p ∈ up R" "q ∈ up R"
  show "\existsn. bound 0 n (\lambdai. p i \oplus q i)"
  proof -
    from UP obtain n where boundn: "bound 0 n p" by fast
    from UP obtain m where boundm: "bound 0 m q" by fast
    have "bound 0 (max n m) (\lambdai. p i \oplus q i)"
    proof
      fix i
      assume "max n m < i"
      with boundn and boundm and UP show "p i \oplus q i = 0" by fastforce
    qed
    then show ?thesis ..
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma up_a_inv_closed:
  "p \in up \, R \implies (\lambda i. \, \ominus (p \, i)) \in up \, R"proof
  assume R: "p ∈ up R"
  then obtain n where "bound 0 n p" by auto
  then have "bound 0 n (\lambda i. \ominus p i)"
    by (simp add: bound_def minus_equality)
  then show "\existsn. bound 0 n (\lambdai. \ominus p i)" by auto
qed auto
lemma up_minus_closed:
  "[| p \in up R; q \in up R |] ==> (\lambda i. p i \ominus q i) \in up R"
  unfolding a_minus_def
  using mem_upD [of p R] mem_upD [of q R] up_add_closed up_a_inv_closed
by auto
lemma up_mult_closed:
  "[| p \in up R; q \in up R |] ==>
  (\lambda n. \bigoplus i \in \{...n\}. p i \otimes q (n-i)) \in up R"proof
  fix n
  assume "p \in up R" "q \in up R"
  then show "(\bigoplus i \in \{\ldots n\}. p i \otimes q (n-i)) \in carrier R"
    by (simp add: mem_upD funcsetI)
next
  assume UP: "p \in up R" "q \in up R"show "∃n. bound 0 n (\lambdan. \bigoplus i ∈ {..n}. p i ⊗ q (n-i))"
  proof -
    from UP obtain n where boundn: "bound 0 n p" by fast
    from UP obtain m where boundm: "bound 0 m q" by fast
    have "bound 0 (n + m) (\lambda n. \bigoplus i \in \{\ldots n\}. p i \otimes q (n - i))"
    proof
      fix k assume bound: "n + m < k"
      {
        fix i
         have "p i \otimes q (k-i) = 0"
         proof (cases "n < i")
           case True
           with boundn have "p i = 0" by auto
           moreover from UP have "q (k-i) ∈ carrier R" by auto
           ultimately show ?thesis by simp
         next
           case False
           with bound have "m < k-i" by arith
           with boundm have "q (k-i) = 0" by auto
           moreover from UP have "p i \in carrier R" by auto
           ultimately show ?thesis by simp
         qed
```

```
}
      then show "(\bigoplus i \in \{..k\}. p i \otimes q (k-i)) = 0"
         by (simp add: Pi_def)
    qed
    then show ?thesis by fast
  qed
qed
```

```
end
```

```
15.2 Effect of Operations on Coefficients
locale UP =
  fixes R (structure) and P (structure)
  defines P_def: "P == UP R"
\text{locale } \text{UP} _{r} ring = \text{UP} + \text{R}?: ring R
locale UP_cring = UP + R?: cring R
sublocale UP_cring < UP_ring
  by intro_locales [1] (rule P_def)
locale UP_domain = UP + R?: "domain" R
sublocale UP domain < UP cring
  by intro locales [1] (rule P def)
context UP
begin
Temporarily declare P \equiv \text{UP} R as simp rule.
declare P_def [simp]
lemma up_eqI:
  assumes prem: "!!n. coeff P p n = coeff P q n" and R: "p ∈ carrier
P" "q ∈ carrier P"
  shows "p = q"
proof
  fix x
  from prem and R show "p x = q x" by (simp add: UP_def)
qed
lemma coeff_closed [simp]:
  "p ∈ carrier P ==> coeff P p n ∈ carrier R" by (auto simp add: UP_def)
end
context UP_ring
```
#### **begin**

```
lemma coeff_monom [simp]:
  "a \in carrier R ==> coeff P (monom P a m) n = (if m=n then a else 0)"
proof -
  assume R: "a ∈ carrier R"
  then have "(\lambda n. if n = m then a else 0) \in up R"
    using up_def by force
  with R show ?thesis by (simp add: UP_def)
qed
lemma coeff_zero [simp]: "coeff P 0<sub>P</sub> n = 0<sup>II</sup> by (auto simp add: UP_def)
lemma coeff_one [simp]: "coeff P 1p n = (if n=0 then 1 else 0)"
  using up_one_closed by (simp add: UP_def)
lemma coeff_smult [simp]:
  "[| a \in carrier R; p \in carrier P |] = > coeff P (a \odotp p) n = a \otimes coeff
P p n"
  by (simp add: UP_def up_smult_closed)
lemma coeff_add [simp]:
  "[| p ∈ carrier P; q ∈ carrier P |] ==> coeff P (p ⊕P q) n = coeff
P p n ⊕ coeff P q n"
  by (simp add: UP_def up_add_closed)
lemma coeff_mult [simp]:
  "[| p \in carrier P; q \in carrier P |] ==> coeff P (p \otimes_{p} q) n = (\bigoplus i \in{...n}. coeff P p i \otimes coeff P q (n-i)"
  by (simp add: UP_def up_mult_closed)
```
**end**

#### **15.3 Polynomials Form a Ring.**

```
context UP_ring
begin
```
Operations are closed over P.

```
lemma UP_mult_closed [simp]:
 "[| p ∈ carrier P; q ∈ carrier P |] ==> p ⊗P q ∈ carrier P" by (simp
add: UP_def up_mult_closed)
lemma UP_one_closed [simp]:
 "1P ∈ carrier P" by (simp add: UP_def up_one_closed)
lemma UP_zero_closed [intro, simp]:
```

```
"0P ∈ carrier P" by (auto simp add: UP_def)
lemma UP_a_closed [intro, simp]:
  "[| p \in carrier P; q \in carrier P |] ==> p \oplus_{p} q \in carrier P" by (simp
add: UP_def up_add_closed)
lemma monom_closed [simp]:
  "a ∈ carrier R ==> monom P a n ∈ carrier P" by (auto simp add: UP_def
up_def Pi_def)
lemma UP_smult_closed [simp]:
  "[| a \in carrier R; p \in carrier P |] ==> a \odot p p \in carrier P" by (simp
add: UP_def up_smult_closed)
end
declare (in UP) P_def [simp del]
Algebraic ring properties
context UP_ring
begin
lemma UP_a_assoc:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P" "r ∈ carrier P"
  shows "(p \oplus p q) \oplus p r = p \oplus p (q \oplus p r)" by (rule up_eqI, simp add:
a_assoc R, simp_all add: R)
lemma UP_l_zero [simp]:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P"
  shows "0_P \oplus_P P = P'' by (rule up_eqI, simp_all add: R)
lemma UP_l_neg_ex:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P"
  shows "\exists q \in \text{carrier } P. q \oplus p p = 0p"
proof -
  let ?q = "\lambda i. \ominus (p i)"from R have closed: "?q ∈ carrier P"
    by (simp add: UP_def P_def up_a_inv_closed)
  from R have coeff: "!!n. coeff P ?q n = \ominus (coeff P p n)"
    by (simp add: UP_def P_def up_a_inv_closed)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show "?q \oplus<sub>P</sub> p = 0<sub>P</sub>"
      by (auto intro!: up_eqI simp add: R closed coeff R.l_neg)
  qed (rule closed)
qed
lemma UP_a_comm:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
```

```
shows "p \oplusp q = q \oplusp p" by (rule up_eqI, simp add: a_comm R, simp all
add: R)
lemma UP_m_assoc:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P" "r ∈ carrier P"
  shows "(p \otimes_{P} q) \otimes_{P} r = p \otimes_{P} (q \otimes_{P} r)"
proof (rule up_eqI)
  fix n
  {
    fix k and a b c :: "nat=>'a"
    assume R: "a ∈ UNIV → carrier R" "b ∈ UNIV → carrier R"
      "c \in UNIV \rightarrow carrier R"then have "k \leq n ==(\bigoplus j \in {\{\dots k\}}. (\bigoplus i \in {\{\dots j\}}. a i ⊗ b (j-i)) ⊗ c (n-j)) =
       (\bigoplus j ∈ {..k}. a j ⊗ (\bigoplus i ∈ {..k-j}. b i ⊗ c (n-j-i)))"
      (is "_ =⇒ ?eq k")
    proof (induct k)
      case 0 then show ?case by (simp add: Pi_def m_assoc)
    next
      case (Suc k)
      then have "k <= n" by arith
      from this R have "?eq k" by (rule Suc)
      with R show ?case
         by (simp cong: finsum_cong
              add: Suc_diff_le Pi_def l_distr r_distr m_assoc)
            (simp cong: finsum_cong add: Pi_def a_ac finsum_ldistr m_assoc)
    qed
  }
  with R show "coeff P ((p \otimes_{p} q) \otimes_{p} r) n = coeff P (p \otimes_{p} (q \otimes_{p} r))n"
    by (simp add: Pi_def)
qed (simp_all add: R)
lemma UP_r_one [simp]:
  assumes R: "p \in \text{carrier } P" shows "p \otimes_{P} 1_{P} = p"
proof (rule up_eqI)
  fix n
  show "coeff P (p \otimes p 1p) n = coeff P p n"
  proof (cases n)
    case 0
    {
      with R show ?thesis by simp
    }
  next
    case Suc
    {
      fix nn assume Succ: "n = Suc nn"
      have "coeff P (p \otimes_p 1_p) (Suc nn) = coeff P p (Suc nn)"
```

```
proof -
         have "coeff P (p \otimesp 1<sub>P</sub>) (Suc nn) = (\bigoplus i \in \{.\ldots\} suc nn}. coeff P
p i ⊗ (if Suc nn ≤ i then 1 else 0))" using R by simp
        also have "... = coeff P p (Suc nn) \otimes (if Suc nn \leq Suc nn then
1 else 0) \oplus (\bigoplusi\in \{ \ldotsnn\}. coeff P p i \otimes (if Suc nn \leq i then 1 else 0))"
           using finsum_Suc [of "(\lambda i):nat. coeff P p i \otimes (if Suc nn \leqi then 1 else 0))" "nn"] unfolding Pi_def using R by simp
         also have "... = coeff P p (Suc nn) \otimes (if Suc nn \leq Suc nn then
1 else 0)"
        proof -
           have "(\bigoplus i \in \{...nn\}. coeff P p i \otimes (if Suc nn \leq i then 1 else
0)) = (\bigoplus i \in \{ \dots nn \}. 0)"
             using finsum_cong [of "{..nn}" "{..nn}" "(λi::nat. coeff P
p i \otimes (if Suc nn \leq i then 1 else 0))" "(\lambdai::nat. 0)"] using R
             unfolding Pi_def by simp
           also have "\ldots = 0" by simp
           finally show ?thesis using r_zero R by simp
         qed
         also have "\ldots = coeff P p (Suc nn)" using R by simp
        finally show ?thesis by simp
      qed
      then show ?thesis using Succ by simp
    }
  qed
qed (simp_all add: R)
lemma UP_l_one [simp]:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P"
  shows "1_P \otimes_P p = p"
proof (rule up_eqI)
  fix n
  show "coeff P (1_p \otimes_p p) n = coeff P p n"
  proof (cases n)
    case 0 with R show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case Suc with R show ?thesis
      by (simp del: finsum_Suc add: finsum_Suc2 Pi_def)
  qed
qed (simp_all add: R)
lemma UP_l_distr:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P" "r ∈ carrier P"
  shows "(p ⊕p q) \otimesp r = (p \otimesp r) ⊕p (q \otimesp r)"
  by (rule up_eqI) (simp add: l_distr R Pi_def, simp_all add: R)
lemma UP_r_distr:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P" "r ∈ carrier P"
  shows "r \otimesp (p \oplusp q) = (r \otimesp p) \oplusp (r \otimesp q)"
  by (rule up_eqI) (simp add: r_distr R Pi_def, simp_all add: R)
```

```
theorem UP_ring: "ring P"
 by (auto intro!: ringI abelian_groupI monoidI UP_a_assoc)
    (auto intro: UP_a_comm UP_l_neg_ex UP_m_assoc UP_l_distr UP_r_distr)
```
# **15.4 Polynomials Form a Commutative Ring.**

```
context UP_cring
begin
lemma UP_m_comm:
  assumes R1: "p ∈ carrier P" and R2: "q ∈ carrier P" shows "p ⊗P q
= q \otimes_{\mathsf{P}} p"
proof (rule up_eqI)
  fix n
  {
    fix k and a b :: "nat=>'a"
    assume R: "a ∈ UNIV → carrier R" "b ∈ UNIV → carrier R"
    then have "k \le n ==(\bigoplus i \in \{..k\}. a i ⊗ b (n-i)) = (\bigoplus i \in \{..k\}. a (k-i) ⊗ b (i+n-k))"
      (is " _ \Rightarrow ?eq k")proof (induct k)
      case 0 then show ?case by (simp add: Pi_def)
    next
      case (Suc k) then show ?case
        by (subst (2) finsum_Suc2) (simp add: Pi_def a_comm)+
    qed
  }
  note l = this
  from R1 R2 show "coeff P (p \otimesp q) n = coeff P (q \otimesp p) n"
    unfolding coeff_mult [OF R1 R2, of n]
    unfolding coeff_mult [OF R2 R1, of n]
    using l [of "(λi. coeff P p i)" "(λi. coeff P q i)" "n"] by (simp
add: Pi_def m_comm)
qed (simp_all add: R1 R2)
```
# **15.5 Polynomials over a commutative ring for a commutative ring**

```
theorem UP_cring:
  "cring P" using UP_ring unfolding cring_def by (auto intro!: comm_monoidI
UP_m_assoc UP_m_comm)
```
**end**

**context** UP\_ring **begin**

```
lemma UP_a_inv_closed [intro, simp]:
  "p \in carrier P ==> \ominus p \in carrier P"
  by (rule abelian_group.a_inv_closed [OF ring.is_abelian_group [OF UP_ring]])
lemma coeff_a_inv [simp]:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P"
  shows "coeff P (\ominus_{\mathsf{P}} \mathsf{p}) \mathsf{n} = \ominus (coeff P p n)"
proof -
  from R coeff_closed UP_a_inv_closed have
    "coeff P (\ominus_P p) n = \ominus coeff P p n \oplus (coeff P p n \oplus coeff P (\ominus_P p)n)"
    by algebra
  also from R have "\dots = \bigoplus (coeff P p n)"
    by (simp del: coeff_add add: coeff_add [THEN sym]
      abelian_group.r_neg [OF ring.is_abelian_group [OF UP_ring]])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
end
sublocale UP_ring < P?: ring P using UP_ring .
sublocale UP_cring < P?: cring P using UP_cring .
15.6 Polynomials Form an Algebra
context UP_ring
```

```
begin
lemma UP_smult_l_distr:
  "[| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R; p \in carrier P |] ==>
  (a \oplus b) \odotp p = a \odotp p \oplus p b \odotp p"
  by (rule up_eqI) (simp_all add: R.l_distr)
lemma UP_smult_r_distr:
  "[| a \in carrier R; p \in carrier P; q \in carrier P |] ==>
  a \odotp (p \oplusp q) = a \odotp p \oplusp a \odotp q"
  by (rule up_eqI) (simp_all add: R.r_distr)
lemma UP_smult_assoc1:
       "[| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R; p \in carrier P |] ==>
       (a \otimes b) \odotp p = a \odotp (b \odotp p)"
  by (rule up_eqI) (simp_all add: R.m_assoc)
lemma UP_smult_zero [simp]:
       "p \in \text{carrier } P \implies 0 \text{ Op } p = 0p"
  by (rule up_eqI) simp_all
lemma UP_smult_one [simp]:
```

```
"p \in \text{carrier} P ==> 1 \odot p p = p"
by (rule up_eqI) simp_all
```

```
lemma UP_smult_assoc2:
  "[| a \in carrier R; p \in carrier P; q \in carrier P |] ==>
  (a \bigcirc_P p) \otimes_P q = a \bigcirc_P (p \otimes_P q)^*by (rule up_eqI) (simp_all add: R.finsum_rdistr R.m_assoc Pi_def)
```
Interpretation of lemmas from algebra.

```
lemma (in UP_cring) UP_algebra:
 "algebra R P" by (auto intro!: algebraI R.cring_axioms UP_cring UP_smult_l_distr
UP smult r distr
   UP_smult_assoc1 UP_smult_assoc2)
```
**sublocale** UP\_cring < algebra R P **using** UP\_algebra **.**

# **15.7 Further Lemmas Involving Monomials**

```
context UP_ring
begin
lemma monom_zero [simp]:
  "monom P 0 n = 0_P" by (simp add: UP<sub>def</sub> P<sub>def</sub>)
lemma monom_mult_is_smult:
  assumes R: "a ∈ carrier R" "p ∈ carrier P"
  shows "monom P a 0 \otimes_{P} p = a \odot_{P} p"
proof (rule up_eqI)
  fix n
  show "coeff P (monom P a 0 \otimesp p) n = coeff P (a \odotp p) n"
  proof (cases n)
    case 0 with R show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case Suc with R show ?thesis
      using R.finsum_Suc2 by (simp del: R.finsum_Suc add: Pi_def)
  qed
qed (simp_all add: R)
lemma monom_one [simp]:
  "monom P 1 0 = 1_P"
  by (rule up_eqI) simp_all
lemma monom_add [simp]:
  "[| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R |] ==>
  monom P (a \oplus b) n = monom P a n \oplus p monom P b n"
  by (rule up_eqI) simp_all
```

```
lemma monom_one_Suc:
  "monom P 1 (Suc n) = monom P 1 n \otimes p monom P 1 1"
proof (rule up_eqI)
  fix k
  show "coeff P (monom P 1 (Suc n)) k = coeff P (monom P 1 n \otimesp monom
P 1 1) k"
  proof (cases "k = Suc n")
    case True show ?thesis
    proof -
      fix m
      from True have less_add_diff:
        "!!i. [| n < i; i <= n + m |] ==> n + m - i < m" by arith
      from True have "coeff P (monom P 1 (Suc n)) k = 1" by simp
      also from True
      have "... = (\bigoplus i \in \{..\leq n\} \cup \{n\}. coeff P (monom P 1 n) i \otimescoeff P (monom P 1 1) (k - i)"
        by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: Pi_def)
      also have "... = (\bigoplus i \in \{...n\}. coeff P (monom P 1 n) i \otimescoeff P (monom P 1 1) (k - i))"
        by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_singleton)
      also from True
      have "... = (Li ∈ {..n} ∪ {n<..k}. coeff P (monom P 1 n) i ⊗
        coeff P (monom P 1 1) (k - i)"
        by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: R.finsum_Un_disjoint ivl_disj_int_one
          order_less_imp_not_eq Pi_def)
      also from True have "... = coeff P (monom P 1 n \otimes p monom P 1 1)
k"
        by (simp add: ivl_disj_un_one)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  next
    case False
    note neq = False
    let ?s =
      "\lambdai. (if n = i then 1 else 0) \otimes (if Suc 0 = k - i then 1 else 0)"
    from neg have "coeff P (monom P 1 (Suc n)) k = 0" by simp
    also have "... = (\bigoplusi ∈ {..k}. ?s i)"
    proof -
      have f1: "(\bigoplus i \in \{..\{n\}.\; ?s i) = 0"
        by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: Pi_def)
      from neq have f2: "(\bigoplus i \in \{n\}. ?s i) = 0"
        by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: Pi_def) arith
      have f3: "n < k ==> (\bigoplus i \in \{n\{k..k\}, ?s i) = 0"
        by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: order_less_imp_not_eq Pi_def)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases "k < n")
        case True then show ?thesis by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add:
Pi def)
      next
```

```
case False then have n_le_k: "n <= k" by arith
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases "n = k")
          case True
          then have "0 = (\bigoplus i ∈ {... <n} ∪ {n}. ?s i)"
             by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: Pi_def)
          also from True have "... = (\bigoplus i \in \{..k\}. ?s i)"
             by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_singleton)
          finally show ?thesis .
        next
          case False with n_le_k have n_less_k: "n < k" by arith
          with neq have "0 = (\bigoplus i \in \{..\le n\} \cup \{n\}. ?s i)"
             by (simp add: R.finsum_Un_disjoint f1 f2 Pi_def del: Un_insert_right)
          also have "... = (\bigoplus i \in \{..n\}. ?s i)"
             by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_singleton)
          also from n_{less_k} neq have "... = (\bigoplus i \in \{...n\} \cup \{n < ...k\}.?s i)"
             by (simp add: R.finsum_Un_disjoint f3 ivl_disj_int_one Pi_def)
          also from n_{less} have "... = (\bigoplus i \in \{..k\}. ?s i)"
             by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_one)
          finally show ?thesis .
        qed
      qed
    qed
    also have "... = coeff P (monom P 1 n \otimesp monom P 1 1) k" by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed (simp_all)
lemma monom_one_Suc2:
  "monom P 1 (Suc n) = monom P 1 1 \otimes p monom P 1 n"
proof (induct n)
  case 0 show ?case by simp
next
  case Suc
  {
    fix k:: nat
    assume hypo: "monom P 1 (Suc k) = monom P 1 1 \otimes p monom P 1 k"
    then show "monom P 1 (Suc (Suc k)) = monom P 1 1 \otimes p monom P 1 (Suc
k)"
    proof -
      have lhs: "monom P 1 (Suc (Suc k)) = monom P 1 1 \otimes p monom P 1 k
⊗P monom P 1 1"
        unfolding monom_one_Suc [of "Suc k"] unfolding hypo ..
      note cl = monom_closed [OF R.one_closed, of 1]
      note clk = monom_closed [OF R.one_closed, of k]
      have rhs: "monom P 1 1 \otimesp monom P 1 (Suc k) = monom P 1 1 \otimesp monom
P 1 k ⊗<sub>P</sub> monom P 1 1"
        unfolding monom_one_Suc [of k] unfolding sym [OF m_assoc [OF
```

```
cl clk cl]] ..
      from lhs rhs show ?thesis by simp
    qed
  }
qed
The following corollary follows from lemmas monom P_1 (Suc ?n) = monom P
1 ?n \otimesp monom P 1 1 and monom P 1 (Suc ?n) = monom P 1 1 \otimesp monom P
1 ?n, and is trivial in UP_cring
corollary monom one comm: shows "monom P 1 k \otimesP monom P 1 1 = monom P
1 1 \otimes p monom P 1 k"
  unfolding monom_one_Suc [symmetric] monom_one_Suc2 [symmetric] ..
```
**lemma** monom\_mult\_smult:

```
"[| a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R |] = > monom P (a \otimes b) n = a \odotp monom
P b n"
 by (rule up_eqI) simp_all
lemma monom_one_mult:
  "monom P 1 (n + m) = monom P 1 n \otimes p monom P 1 m"
proof (induct n)
  case 0 show ?case by simp
next
 case Suc then show ?case
    unfolding add_Suc unfolding monom_one_Suc unfolding Suc.hyps
    using m_assoc monom_one_comm [of m] by simp
qed
```

```
lemma monom one mult comm: "monom P 1 n \otimesp monom P 1 m = monom P 1 m
\otimes<sub>P</sub> monom P 1 n"
  unfolding monom_one_mult [symmetric] by (rule up_eqI) simp_all
```

```
lemma monom_mult [simp]:
  assumes a_in_R: "a ∈ carrier R" and b_in_R: "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "monom P (a \otimes b) (n + m) = monom P a n \otimesp monom P b m"
proof (rule up_eqI)
  fix k
  show "coeff P (monom P (a \otimes b) (n + m)) k = coeff P (monom P a n \otimesp
monom P b m) k"
  proof (cases n + m = k)
    case True
     {
       show ?thesis
         unfolding True [symmetric]
            coeff_mult [OF monom_closed [OF a_in_R, of n] monom_closed [OF
b_in_R, of m], of "n + m"coeff_monom [OF a_in_R, of n] coeff_monom [OF b_in_R, of m]
         using R.finsum_cong [of \lceil (\cdot \cdot \cdot n + m) \rceil \rceil \cdot \lceil (\cdot \cdot \cdot n + m) \rceil \rceil \cdot \lceil (\cdot \cdot \cdot (m + m)) \rceil
```

```
= i then a else 0) \otimes (if m = n + m - i then b else 0))"
```

```
"(\lambdai. if n = i then a \otimes b else 0)"]
          a_in_R b_in_R
        unfolding simp_implies_def
        using R.finsum_singleton [of n \lceil'.. n + m}" \lceil'(\lambdai. a \otimes b)\lceil']
        unfolding Pi_def by auto
    }
  next
    case False
    {
      show ?thesis
        unfolding coeff_monom [OF R.m_closed [OF a_in_R b_in_R], of "n
+ m" k] apply (simp add: False)
        unfolding coeff_mult [OF monom_closed [OF a_in_R, of n] monom_closed
[OF b_in_R, of m], of k]
        unfolding coeff_monom [OF a_in_R, of n] unfolding coeff_monom
[OF b_in_R, of m] using False
        using R.finsum_cong [of "{..k}" "{..k}" "(λi. (if n = i then a
else 0) \otimes (if m = k - i then b else 0))" "(\lambdai. 0)"]
        unfolding Pi_def simp_implies_def using a_in_R b_in_R by force
    }
  qed
qed (simp_all add: a_in_R b_in_R)
lemma monom_a_inv [simp]:
  "a \in carrier R ==> monom P (\ominus a) n = \ominusp monom P a n"
  by (rule up_eqI) auto
lemma monom_inj:
  "inj on (\lambda a. monom P a n) (carrier R)"
proof (rule inj_onI)
  fix x y
  assume R: "x ∈ carrier R" "y ∈ carrier R" and eq: "monom P x n = monom
P y n"
  then have "coeff P (monom P x n) n = coeff P (monom P y n) n" by simp
  with R show "x = y" by simp
qed
```
### **15.8 The Degree Function**

```
definition
  deg :: "[(a, 'm) ring_scheme, nat => 'a] => nat"
  where "deg R p = (LEAST n. bound 0<sub>R</sub> n (coeff (UP R) p))"
context UP_ring
begin
lemma deg_aboveI:
```

```
"[| (!!m. n < m ==> coeff P p m = 0); p \in carrier P |] ==> deg R p <=
n"
  by (unfold deg_def P_def) (fast intro: Least_le)
lemma deg_aboveD:
  assumes "deg R p < m" and "p \in carrier P"
  shows "coeff P p m = 0"
proof -
  from \langle p \rangle \in carrier P \rangle obtain n where "bound 0 n (coeff P p)"
    by (auto simp add: UP_def P_def)
  then have "bound 0 (deg R p) (coeff P p)"
    by (auto simp: deg_def P_def dest: LeastI)
  from this and ‹ deg R p < m› show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma deg_belowI:
  assumes non_zero: "n \neq 0 \implies coeff P p n \neq 0"
    and R: "p ∈ carrier P"
  shows "n ≤ deg R p"
— Logically, this is a slightly stronger version of deg_aboveD
proof (cases "n=0")
  case True then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case False then have "coeff P p n \neq 0" by (rule non zero)
  then have " \neg deg R p \leq n" by (fast dest: deg aboveD intro: R)
  then show ?thesis by arith
qed
lemma lcoeff_nonzero_deg:
  assumes deg: "deg R p \neq 0" and R: "p \in carrier P"
  shows "coeff P p (deg R p) \neq 0"
proof -
  from R obtain m where "deg R p \le m" and m coeff: "coeff P p m \ne 0"
  proof -
    have minus: "\bigwedge(n::nat) m. n \neq 0 \implies (n - Suc 0 < m) = (n \leq m)"
      by arith
    from deg have "deg R p - 1 < (LEAST n. bound 0 n (coeff P p))"
      by (unfold deg_def P_def) simp
    then have "¬ bound 0 (deg R p - 1) (coeff P p)" by (rule not_less_Least)
    then have "\existsm. deg R p - 1 < m \land coeff P p m \neq 0"
      by (unfold bound_def) fast
    then have "∃m. deg R p \leq m \land coeff P p m \neq 0" by (simp add: deg
minus)
    then show ?thesis by (auto intro: that)
  qed
  with deg belowI R have "deg R p = m" by fastforce
  with m_coeff show ?thesis by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma lcoeff_nonzero_nonzero:
  assumes deg: "deg R p = 0" and nonzero: "p \neq 0p" and R: "p \in carrier
P"
  shows "coeff P p 0 \neq 0"
proof -
  have "\existsm. coeff P p m \neq 0"
  proof (rule classical)
    assume "¬ ?thesis"
    with R have "p = 0<sup>"</sup> by (auto intro: up_eqI)
    with nonzero show ?thesis by contradiction
  qed
  then obtain m where coeff: "coeff P p m \neq 0" ..
  from this and R have "m \leq deg R p" by (rule deg_belowI)
  then have \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}" by (simp add: deg)
  with coeff show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma lcoeff_nonzero:
  assumes neq: "p \neq 0<sup>p</sup>" and R: "p \in carrier P"
  shows "coeff P p (deg R p) \neq 0"
proof (cases "deg R p = 0")
  case True with neq R show ?thesis by (simp add: lcoeff_nonzero_nonzero)
next
  case False with neq R show ?thesis by (simp add: lcoeff_nonzero_deg)
qed
lemma deg_eqI:
  "[|\bigwedge m. n \le m \implies coeff P p m = 0;
      \mathcal{N}n. n \neq 0 \implies coeff P p n \neq 0; <code>p \in carrier P |] ==> deg R p =</code>
n"
by (fast intro: le_antisym deg_aboveI deg_belowI)
Degree and polynomial operations
lemma deg_add [simp]:
  "p \in carrier P \implies q \in carrier P \impliesdeg R (p \oplusp q) \leq max (deg R p) (deg R q)"
by(rule deg_aboveI)(simp_all add: deg_aboveD)
lemma deg_monom_le:
  "a ∈ carrier R \implies deg R (monom P a n) \leq n"
  by (intro deg_aboveI) simp_all
lemma deg_monom [simp]:
  "[| a \neq 0; a \in carrier R |] ==> deg R (monom P a n) = n"
  by (fastforce intro: le_antisym deg_aboveI deg_belowI)
lemma deg_const [simp]:
```

```
assumes R: "a ∈ carrier R" shows "deg R (monom P a 0) = 0"
proof (rule le_antisym)
  show "deg R (monom P a 0) \leq 0" by (rule deg_aboveI) (simp_all add:
R)
next
  show "0 \leq deg R (monom P a 0)" by (rule deg_belowI) (simp_all add:
R)
qed
lemma deg_zero [simp]:
  "deg R 0_{P} = 0"
proof (rule le_antisym)
  show "deg R 0_P \le 0" by (rule deg_aboveI) simp_all
next
  show "0 \leq deg R 0_P" by (rule deg_belowI) simp_all
qed
lemma deg_one [simp]:
  "deg R 1_p = 0"
proof (rule le_antisym)
  show "deg R 1_p \le 0" by (rule deg_aboveI) simp_all
next
  show "0 \leq deg R 1_P" by (rule deg_belowI) simp_all
qed
lemma deg_uminus [simp]:
  assumes R: "p \in \text{carrier P}" shows "deg R (\ominus p) = deg R p"
proof (rule le_antisym)
  show "deg R (\ominus_P p) \leq deg R p" by (simp add: deg_aboveI deg_aboveD
R)
next
  show "deg R p \leq deg R (\ominus p p)"
    by (simp add: deg_belowI lcoeff_nonzero_deg
      inj_on_eq_iff [OF R.a_inv_inj, of _ "0", simplified] R)
qed
```
The following lemma is later *overwritten* by the most specific one for domains, deg\_smult.

```
lemma deg_smult_ring [simp]:
 "[| a ∈ carrier R; p ∈ carrier P |] ==>
 deg R (a \odotp p) \leq (if a = 0 then 0 else deg R p)"
 by (cases "a = 0") (simp add: deg_aboveI deg_aboveD)+
end
context UP_domain
begin
```
**lemma** deg\_smult [simp]:

```
assumes R: "a ∈ carrier R" "p ∈ carrier P"
  shows "deg R (a \odotp p) = (if a = 0 then 0 else deg R p)"
proof (rule le_antisym)
  show "deg R (a \odotp p) \leq (if a = 0 then 0 else deg R p)"
    using R by (rule deg_smult_ring)
next
  show "(if a = 0 then 0 else deg R p) \leq deg R (a \odot p p)"
  proof (cases "a = 0")
  qed (simp, simp add: deg_belowI lcoeff_nonzero_deg integral_iff R)
qed
end
context UP_ring
begin
lemma deg_mult_ring:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
  shows "deg R (p \otimesp q) \leq deg R p + deg R q"
proof (rule deg_aboveI)
  fix m
  assume boundm: "deg R p + deg R q < m"
  {
    fix k i
    assume boundk: "deg R p + deg R q < k"
    then have "coeff P p i \otimes coeff P q (k - i) = 0"
    proof (cases "deg R p < i")
      case True then show ?thesis by (simp add: deg_aboveD R)
    next
      case False with boundk have "deg R q \lt k - i" by arith
      then show ?thesis by (simp add: deg_aboveD R)
    qed
  }
  with boundm R show "coeff P (p \otimes_{p} q) m = 0" by simp
qed (simp add: R)
end
context UP_domain
begin
lemma deg_mult [simp]:
  "[| p \neq 0p; q \neq 0p; p \in carrier P; q \in carrier P |] ==>
  deg R (p \otimesp q) = deg R p + deg R q"
proof (rule le_antisym)
  assume "p ∈ carrier P" " q ∈ carrier P"
  then show "deg R (p \otimes p q) \leq deg R p + deg R q" by (rule deg_mult_ring)
next
  let ?s = "(\lambda i. \text{coeff } P \text{ } p \text{ } i \otimes \text{coeff } P \text{ } q \text{ } (\text{deg } R \text{ } p + \text{deg } R \text{ } q - \text{ } i))"
```

```
assume R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P" and nz: "p \neq 0p" "q \neq 0p"
  have less_add_diff: "!!(k::nat) n m. k < n ==> m < n + m - k" by arith
  show "deg R p + deg R q \leq deg R (p \otimes p q)"
  proof (rule deg_belowI, simp add: R)
    have "(Li ∈ {.. deg R p + deg R q}. ?s i)
       = (Li ∈ {..< deg R p} ∪ {deg R p .. deg R p + deg R q}. ?s i)"
       by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_one)
    also have "... = (\bigoplus i \in \{ \text{deg } R p \dots \text{ deg } R p + \text{deg } R q \}. ?s i)"
       by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: R.finsum_Un_disjoint ivl_disj_int_one
         deg_aboveD less_add_diff R Pi_def)
    also have "...= (\bigoplus i \in \{ \text{deg } R \text{ } p \} \cup \{ \text{deg } R \text{ } p \leq \ldots \text{ deg } R \text{ } p + \text{deg } R \text{ } q \}.?s i)"
       by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_singleton)
    also have "... = coeff P p (deg R p) \otimes coeff P q (deg R q)"
       by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: deg_aboveD R Pi_def)
    finally have "(\bigoplus i \in \{..\ \deg R \ p + \deg R \ q\}. ?s i)
       = coeff P p (deg R p) ⊗ coeff P q (deg R q)" .
    with nz show "(\bigoplus i \in {.. deg R p + deg R q}. ?s i) \neq 0"
       by (simp add: integral_iff lcoeff_nonzero R)
  qed (simp add: R)
qed
end
The following lemmas also can be lifted to UP_ring.
context UP_ring
begin
lemma coeff_finsum:
  assumes fin: "finite A"
  shows "p \in A \rightarrow carrier P ==>
    coeff P (finsum P p A) k = (\bigoplus i \in A. coeff P (p i) k)"
  using fin by induct (auto simp: Pi_def)
lemma up_repr:
  assumes R: "p ∈ carrier P"
  shows "(\bigoplus p \, i \in \{\text{..deg } R \, p\}. monom P (coeff P p i) i) = p"
proof (rule up_eqI)
  let ?s = "(\lambda i. \text{mom } P \text{ (coeff } P \text{ p } i) i)"fix k
  from R have RR: "!!i. (if i = k then coeff P p i else 0) \in carrier
R"
    by simp
  \textbf{show} "coeff P (\bigoplus \limits_{P} i \in \{\text{..deg R p}\}\text{. } ?s i) k = \text{coeff } P p k"
  proof (cases "k \leq deg R p")
    case True
     hence "coeff P (\bigoplus p i \in \{\ldots deg R p\}. ?s i) k =coeff P (\bigoplus p \, i \in \{\ldots k\} \cup \{k\lt \ldots \deg R \, p\}. ?s i) k"
       by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_one)
```

```
also from True
    have "... = coeff P (\bigoplus p i \in {..k}. ?s i) k"
      by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: R.finsum_Un_disjoint
         ivl_disj_int_one order_less_imp_not_eq2 coeff_finsum R RR Pi_def)
    also
    have "... = coeff P (⊕p i ∈ {..<k} ∪ {k}. ?s i) k"
      by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_singleton)
    also have "... = coeff P p k"
      by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: coeff_finsum deg_aboveD R RR Pi_def)
    finally show ?thesis .
  next
    case False
    hence "coeff P (\bigoplus p i \in \{\ldots deg R p\}. ?s i) k =coeff P (\bigoplus p \, i \in \{\, .\, {\text{deg R p}}\} \cup \{\text{deg R p}\},\, ?s \, i) \, k"by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_singleton)
    also from False have "... = coeff P p k"
      by (simp cong: R.finsum_cong add: coeff_finsum deg_aboveD R Pi_def)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed (simp_all add: R Pi_def)
lemma up_repr_le:
  "[| deg R p \leq n; p \in carrier P |] ==>
  (\bigoplus p \ i \in \{\ldots n\}. monom P (coeff P p i) i) = p"
proof -
  let ?s = "(\lambda i. \text{mom } P \text{ (coeff } P \text{ p } i) i)"assume R: "p \in carrier P" and "deg R p \leq n"
  then have "finsum P ?s \{.\n\} = finsum P ?s \{(..\deg R p) \cup \{ \deg R p \}.n})"
    by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_one)
  also have "... = finsum P ?s {..deg R p}"
    by (simp cong: P.finsum_cong add: P.finsum_Un_disjoint ivl_disj_int_one
      deg aboveD R Pi def)
  also have "... = p" using R by (rule up_repr)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

```
end
```
## **15.9 Polynomials over Integral Domains**

```
lemma domainI:
  assumes cring: "cring R"
    and one_not_zero: "one R \neq zero R"
    and integral: "\bigwedgea b. [| mult R a b = zero R; a \in carrier R;
      b \in carrier R |] ==> a = zero R \vee b = zero R"
 shows "domain R"
  by (auto intro!: domain.intro domain_axioms.intro cring.axioms assms
    del: disjCI)
```

```
context UP_domain
begin
lemma UP_one_not_zero:
  "1_P \neq 0_P"proof
  assume "1_p = 0_p"
  hence "coeff P 1_p 0 = (coeff P 0_p 0)" by simp
  hence "1 = 0" by simp
  with R.one_not_zero show "False" by contradiction
qed
lemma UP_integral:
  "[| p \otimesp q = 0p; p \in carrier P; q \in carrier P |] ==> p = 0p \vee q = 0p"
proof -
  fix p q
  assume pq: "p \otimesp q = 0p" and R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
  show "p = 0_P \vee q = 0_P"
  proof (rule classical)
    assume c: "\neg (p = 0<sub>p</sub> \vee q = 0<sub>p</sub>)"
    with R have "deg R p + deg R q = deg R (p \otimes q)" by simp
    also from pq have "... = 0" by simp
    finally have "deg R p + deg R q = 0" .
    then have f1: "deg R p = 0 \land deg R q = 0" by simp
    from f1 R have "p = (\bigoplus p \ i \in \{..\,0\}. monom P (coeff P p i) i)"
      by (simp only: up_repr_le)
    also from R have "... = monom P (coeff P p 0) 0" by simp
    finally have p: "p = monom P (coeff P p 0) 0" .
    from f1 R have "q = (\bigoplus p \, i \in \{\ldots 0\}). monom P (coeff P q i) i)"
      by (simp only: up_repr_le)
    also from R have "... = monom P (coeff P q 0) 0" by simp
    finally have q: "q = monom P (coeff P q 0) 0" .
    from R have "coeff P p 0 \otimes coeff P q 0 = coeff P (p \otimes p q) 0" by
simp
    also from pq have "... = 0" by simp
    finally have "coeff P \notin \mathbb{Q} coeff P \notin \mathbb{Q} = 0".
    with R have "coeff P p 0 = 0 \vee coeff P q 0 = 0"
      by (simp add: R.integral_iff)
    with p q show "p = 0_P \vee q = 0_P" by fastforce
  qed
qed
theorem UP_domain:
  "domain P"
  by (auto intro!: domainI UP_cring UP_one_not_zero UP_integral del: disjCI)
```
Interpretation of theorems from domain.

```
sublocale UP_domain < "domain" P
  by intro_locales (rule domain.axioms UP_domain)+
15.10 The Evaluation Homomorphism and Universal Prop-
         erty
lemma (in abelian_monoid) boundD_carrier:
  "[| bound 0 n f; n < m |] ==> f m \in carrier G"
  by auto
context ring
begin
theorem diagonal_sum:
  "[| f \in {..n + m::nat} \rightarrow carrier R; g \in {..n + m} \rightarrow carrier R |] ==>
  (\bigoplus k \in \{...n + m\}. \bigoplus i \in \{..k\}. f i ⊗ g (k - i)) =
  (\bigoplus k \in \{...n + m\}. \bigoplus i \in \{...n + m - k\}. f k \otimes g i)"
proof -
  assume Rf: "f \in {..n + m} \rightarrow carrier R" and Rg: "g \in {..n + m} \rightarrowcarrier R"
  {
    fix j
    have "j <= n + m ==>
       (\bigoplus k \in \{\ldots j\}. \bigoplus i \in \{\ldots k\}. f i \otimes g (k - i)) =
       (\bigoplus k \in \{\ldots\} \cdot \bigoplus i \in \{\ldots j - k\} \cdot f k \otimes g i)"
    proof (induct j)
      case 0 from Rf Rg show ?case by (simp add: Pi_def)
    next
      case (Suc j)
      have R6: "!!i k. [| k <= j; i <= Suc j - k |] ==> g i ∈ carrier
R"
         using Suc by (auto intro!: funcset_mem [OF Rg])
      have R8: "!!i k. [| k <= Suc j; i <= k |] ==> g (k - i) ∈ carrier
R"
         using Suc by (auto intro!: funcset_mem [OF Rg])
      have R9: "!!i k. [| k <= Suc j |] ==> f k ∈ carrier R"
         using Suc by (auto intro!: funcset mem [OF Rf])
      have R10: "!!i k. [| k <= Suc j; i <= Suc j - k |] ==> g i ∈ carrier
R"
         using Suc by (auto intro!: funcset_mem [OF Rg])
      have R11: "g 0 ∈ carrier R"
         using Suc by (auto intro!: funcset_mem [OF Rg])
      from Suc show ?case
         by (simp cong: finsum_cong add: Suc_diff_le a_ac
           Pi_def R6 R8 R9 R10 R11)
    qed
  }
  then show ?thesis by fast
qed
```
277

```
theorem cauchy_product:
  assumes bf: "bound 0 n f" and bg: "bound 0 m g"
    and Rf: "f \in {..n} \rightarrow carrier R" and Rg: "g \in {..m} \rightarrow carrier R"
  shows "(\bigoplus k \in \{...n + m\}). \bigoplus i \in \{..k\}). f i \otimes g (k - i)) =
     (\bigoplus i ∈ {..n}. f i) ⊗ (\bigoplus i ∈ {..m}. g i)"
proof -
  have f: "!!x. f x ∈ carrier R"
  proof -
    fix x
    show "f x ∈ carrier R"
       using Rf bf boundD_carrier by (cases "x <= n") (auto simp: Pi_def)
  qed
  have g: "!!x. g x ∈ carrier R"
  proof -
    fix x
    show "g x ∈ carrier R"
       using Rg bg boundD_carrier by (cases "x <= m") (auto simp: Pi_def)
  qed
  from f g have "(\bigoplus k \in \{\dots n + m\}. \bigoplus i \in \{\dots k\}. f i \otimes g (k - i)) =
       (\bigoplus k \in \{...n + m\}. \bigoplus i \in \{...n + m - k\}. f k \otimes g i)"
    by (simp add: diagonal_sum Pi_def)
  also have "... = (\bigoplus k \in \{.\n\} \cup \{n \leq \ldots n + m\}, \bigoplus i \in \{.\n\} \cup \{n + m - k\}.f k \otimes g i)"
    by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_one)
  also from f g have "... = (\bigoplus k \in \{.\n\}. \bigoplus i \in \{.\n\} + m - k}. f k \otimesg i)"
    by (simp cong: finsum_cong
       add: bound.bound [OF bf] finsum Un disjoint ivl disj int one Pi def)
  also from f g
  have "... = (\bigoplus k \in \{...n\}. \bigoplus i \in \{...m\} \cup \{m<..n + m - k}. f k \otimes g i)"
    by (simp cong: finsum_cong add: ivl_disj_un_one le_add_diff Pi_def)
  also from f g have "... = (\bigoplus k \in \{.\n\}. \bigoplus i \in \{..\n\}. f k \otimes g i)"
    by (simp cong: finsum_cong
       add: bound.bound [OF bg] finsum_Un_disjoint ivl_disj_int_one Pi_def)
  also from f g have "... = (\bigoplus i \in \{...\} \text{). } f \text{ i) ⊗ (\bigoplus i \in \{...\} \text{). } g \text{ i})"
    by (simp add: finsum_ldistr diagonal_sum Pi_def,
       simp cong: finsum_cong add: finsum_rdistr Pi_def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
end
lemma (in UP_ring) const_ring_hom:
  "(\lambdaa. monom P a 0) \in ring_hom R P"
  by (auto intro!: ring_hom_memI intro: up_eqI simp: monom_mult_is_smult)
```
### **definition**

```
eval :: "[('a, 'm) ring_scheme, ('b, 'n) ring_scheme,
```

```
'a => 'b, 'b, nat => 'a] => 'b"
  where "eval R S phi s = (\lambda p \in \text{carrier (UP R}).\bigoplussi ∈ {..deg R p}. phi (coeff (UP R) p i) \otimess s [^]s i)"
context UP
begin
lemma eval on carrier:
  fixes S (structure)
  shows "p ∈ carrier P ==>
  eval R S phi s p = (\bigoplus_S i \in \{..\text{deg R p}\}. phi (coeff P p i) \otimes_S s [^{\uparrow}]_Si)"
  by (unfold eval_def, fold P_def) simp
lemma eval_extensional:
  "eval R S phi p \in extensional (carrier P)"
  by (unfold eval_def, fold P_def) simp
```
The universal property of the polynomial ring

```
locale UP_pre_univ_prop = ring_hom_cring + UP_cring
```

```
locale UP_univ_prop = UP_pre_univ_prop +
 fixes s and Eval
  assumes indet_img_carrier [simp, intro]: "s ∈ carrier S"
  defines Eval_def: "Eval == eval R S h s"
```
JE: I have moved the following lemma from Ring.thy and lifted then to the locale ring\_hom\_ring from ring\_hom\_cring.

JE: I was considering using it in eval\_ring\_hom, but that property does not hold for non commutative rings, so maybe it is not that necessary.

```
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) hom_finsum [simp]:
  "f \in A \rightarrow carrier R \Longrightarrowh (finsum R f A) = finsum S (h \circ f) A"
 by (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct, auto simp: Pi_def)
context UP_pre_univ_prop
begin
theorem eval_ring_hom:
 assumes S: "s ∈ carrier S"
 shows "eval R S h s ∈ ring_hom P S"
proof (rule ring_hom_memI)
 fix p
 assume R: "p ∈ carrier P"
 then show "eval R S h s p \in carrier S"
    by (simp only: eval_on_carrier) (simp add: S Pi_def)
```

```
next
  fix p q
  assume R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
  then show "eval R S h s (p \oplus p q) = eval R S h s p \oplus s eval R S h s
q"
  proof (simp only: eval_on_carrier P.a_closed)
    from S R have
       "(\bigoplus s i∈{..deg R (p \oplusp q)}. h (coeff P (p \oplusp q) i) \otimess s [^]s i)
=
       (
L
S i∈{..deg R (p ⊕P q)} ∪ {deg R (p ⊕P q)<..max (deg R p) (deg
R q).
         h (coeff P (p \oplusp q) i) \otimess s [^]s i)"
       by (simp cong: S.finsum_cong
         add: deg_aboveD S.finsum_Un_disjoint ivl_disj_int_one Pi_def del:
coeff_add)
    also from R have "... =
          (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i 
\in {..max (deg R p) (deg R q)}.
            h (coeff P (p \oplusp q) i) ⊗g s [^]g i)"
       by (simp add: ivl_disj_un_one)
    also from R S have "... =
       (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub>i∈{..max (deg R p) (deg R q)}. h (coeff P p i) ⊗<sub>S</sub> s [^]<sub>S</sub> i)
⊕S
       (\bigoplus si∈{..max (deg R p) (deg R q)}. h (coeff P q i) ⊗s s [^]s i)"
       by (simp cong: S.finsum_cong
         add: S.l_distr deg_aboveD ivl_disj_int_one Pi_def)
    also have "... =
          (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i ∈ {..deg R p} ∪ {deg R p<..max (deg R p) (deg R q)}.
           h (coeff P p i) \otimes_S s [^]s i) \oplus_S(\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i ∈ {..deg R q} ∪ {deg R q<..max (deg R p) (deg R q)}.
            h (coeff P q i) \otimes_S s [^]g i)"
       by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_one max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
    also from R S have "... =
       (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i ∈ {..deg R p}. h (coeff P p i) ⊗<sub>S</sub> s [^]<sub>S</sub> i) ⊕<sub>S</sub>
       (\bigoplusg i ∈ {..deg R q}. h (coeff P q i) \otimesg s [^]g i)"
       by (simp cong: S.finsum_cong
         add: deg_aboveD S.finsum_Un_disjoint ivl_disj_int_one Pi_def)
    finally show
       "(\bigoplus si \in {..deg R (p \oplusp q)}. h (coeff P (p \oplusp q) i) \otimess s [^]s
i) =(\bigoplus s i \in \{..\text{deg R p}\}\). h (coeff P p i) ⊗<sub>S</sub> s [^]<sub>S</sub> i) ⊕<sub>S</sub>
       (\bigoplus s i \in \{\text{..deg } R q\}. h (coeff P q i) \otimes_S s [\hat{ }]_S i)".
  qed
next
  show "eval R S h s 1<sub>P</sub> = 1<sub>S</sub>"
    by (simp only: eval_on_carrier UP_one_closed) simp
next
  fix p q
  assume R: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
```

```
q"
  proof (simp only: eval_on_carrier UP_mult_closed)
     from R S have
        "(\bigopluss i ∈ {..deg R (p \otimesp q)}. h (coeff P (p \otimesp q) i) \otimess s [^]s
i) =
        (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i ∈ {..deg R (p \otimes<sub>P</sub> q)} ∪ {deg R (p \otimes<sub>P</sub> q)<..deg R p + deg
R q.
          h (coeff P (p \otimesp q) i) \otimess s [^]s i)"
        by (simp cong: S.finsum_cong
          add: deg_aboveD S.finsum_Un_disjoint ivl_disj_int_one Pi_def
           del: coeff_mult)
     also from R have "... =
        (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i ∈ {..deg R p + deg R q}. h (coeff P (p ⊗p q) i) ⊗<sub>S</sub> s [^]<sub>S</sub>
i)"
        by (simp only: ivl_disj_un_one deg_mult_ring)
     also from R S have "... =
        (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i \in {..deg R p + deg R q}.
            \bigopluss k ∈ {..i}.
              h (coeff P p k) \otimes_S h (coeff P q (i - k)) \otimes_S(s \space [\hat{ } ]_S \space k \otimes_S s \space [\hat{ } ]_S \space (i - k)))"
        by (simp cong: S.finsum_cong add: S.nat_pow_mult Pi_def
          S.m_ac S.finsum_rdistr)
     also from R S have "... =
        (\bigoplus S i∈{..deg R p}. h (coeff P p i) ⊗S s [^]S i) ⊗S
        (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i∈{..deg R q}. h (coeff P q i) \otimes<sub>S</sub> s [^]<sub>S</sub> i)"
        by (simp add: S.cauchy_product [THEN sym] bound.intro deg_aboveD
S.m_ac
          Pi_def)
     finally show
        "(\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i \in {..deg R (p \otimes<sub>P</sub> q)}. h (coeff P (p \otimes<sub>P</sub> q) i) \otimes<sub>S</sub> s [^]<sub>S</sub>
i) =
        (\bigoplus S i ∈ {..deg R p}. h (coeff P p i) ⊗S s [^]S i) ⊗S
        (\bigoplus<sub>S</sub> i ∈ {..deg R q}. h (coeff P q i) \otimes<sub>S</sub> s [^]<sub>S</sub> i)".
  qed
qed
```
The following lemma could be proved in UP\_cring with the additional assumption that h is closed.

```
lemma (in UP_pre_univ_prop) eval_const:
  "[| s \in carrier S; r \in carrier R |] ==> eval R S h s (monom P r 0) =
h r"
 by (simp only: eval_on_carrier monom_closed) simp
```
Further properties of the evaluation homomorphism.

The following proof is complicated by the fact that in arbitrary rings one might have  $1 = 0$ .

```
lemma (in UP_pre_univ_prop) eval_monom1:
 assumes S: "s ∈ carrier S"
```
shows "eval R S h s (monom P  $1 1$ ) = s" **proof** (simp only: eval\_on\_carrier monom\_closed R.one\_closed) **from** S **have** "( $\bigoplus$  s i∈{..deg R (monom P 1 1)}. h (coeff P (monom P 1 1) i)  $\otimes$ s s  $[^{\hat{}}]_S$  i) =  $(\bigoplus$ <sub>S</sub> i∈{..deg R (monom P 1 1)}  $\cup$  {deg R (monom P 1 1)<..1}. h (coeff P (monom P 1 1) i)  $\otimes_S$  s [^]s i)" **by** (simp cong: S.finsum\_cong del: coeff\_monom add: deg\_aboveD S.finsum\_Un\_disjoint ivl\_disj\_int\_one Pi\_def) **also have** "... =  $(\bigoplus_S i \in \{...1\}$ . h (coeff P (monom P 1 1) i)  $\otimes_S s$  [^]<sub>S</sub> i)" **by** (simp only: ivl\_disj\_un\_one deg\_monom\_le R.one\_closed) **also have** "... = s" **proof** (cases " $s = 0s$ ") **case** True **then show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: Pi\_def) **next case** False **then show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: S Pi\_def) **qed finally show** " $(\bigoplus$ <sub>S</sub> i  $\in$  {..deg R (monom P 1 1)}. h (coeff P (monom P 1 1) i)  $\otimes_S$  s  $[^{\uparrow}]_S$  i) = s". **qed end** Interpretation of ring homomorphism lemmas. sublocale UP\_univ\_prop < ring\_hom\_cring P S Eval **unfolding** Eval\_def **by** unfold\_locales (fast intro: eval\_ring\_hom) **lemma** (**in** UP\_cring) monom\_pow: **assumes** R: "a ∈ carrier R" shows "(monom P a n)  $[\hat{ }]$ P m = monom P (a  $[\hat{ }]$  m)  $(n * m)$ " **proof** (induct m) **case** 0 **from** R **show** ?case **by** simp **next case** Suc **with** R **show** ?case **by** (simp del: monom\_mult add: monom\_mult [THEN sym] add.commute) **qed lemma** (**in** ring\_hom\_cring) hom\_pow [simp]: " $x \in$  carrier  $R ==$  h (x [^] n) = h x [^]g (n::nat)" **by** (induct n) simp\_all **lemma** (**in** UP\_univ\_prop) Eval\_monom: "r  $\in$  carrier R ==> Eval (monom P r n) = h r  $\otimes_S$  s [^]<sub>S</sub> n" **proof assume** R: "r ∈ carrier R" **from** R have "Eval (monom P r n) = Eval (monom P r 0  $\otimes$  p (monom P 1 1)

```
by (simp del: monom_mult add: monom_mult [THEN sym] monom_pow)
  also
  from R eval_monom1 [where s = s, folded Eval_def]
  have "... = h r \otimes_S s [\hat{\ }]_S n"
    by (simp add: eval_const [where s = s, folded Eval_def])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma (in UP_pre_univ_prop) eval_monom:
  assumes R: "r ∈ carrier R" and S: "s ∈ carrier S"
  shows "eval R S h s (monom P r n) = h r \otimes_S s [\hat{ }]_S n"
proof -
  interpret UP_univ_prop R S h P s "eval R S h s"
    using UP_pre_univ_prop_axioms P_def R S
    by (auto intro: UP_univ_prop.intro UP_univ_prop_axioms.intro)
  from R
  show ?thesis by (rule Eval_monom)
qed
lemma (in UP_univ_prop) Eval_smult:
  "[| r \in carrier R; p \in carrier P |] ==> Eval (r \odotp p) = h r \otimes<sub>S</sub> Eval
p"
proof -
  assume R: "r ∈ carrier R" and P: "p ∈ carrier P"
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: monom mult is smult [THEN sym]
      eval const [where s = s, folded Eval def])
qed
lemma ring_hom_cringI:
  assumes "cring R"
    and "cring S"
    and "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
  shows "ring_hom_cring R S h"
  by (fast intro: ring_hom_cring.intro ring_hom_cring_axioms.intro
    cring.axioms assms)
context UP_pre_univ_prop
begin
lemma UP_hom_unique:
  assumes "ring_hom_cring P S Phi"
  assumes Phi: "Phi (monom P_1 (Suc 0)) = s"
      "!!r. r \in carrier R ==> Phi (monom P r 0) = h r"
  assumes "ring_hom_cring P S Psi"
  assumes Psi: "Psi (\text{monom } P \ 1 \ (\text{Suc } 0)) = s"
     "!!r. r \in carrier R ==> Psi (monom P r 0) = h r"
    and P: "p ∈ carrier P" and S: "s ∈ carrier S"
  shows "Phi p = Psi p"
```

```
proof -
  interpret ring_hom_cring P S Phi by fact
  interpret ring_hom_cring P S Psi by fact
  have "Phi p =
      Phi (\bigoplusp i \in {..deg R p}. monom P (coeff P p i) O \otimesp monom P 11 [^{\hat{}}]<sub>P</sub> i)"
    by (simp add: up repr P monom mult [THEN sym] monom pow del: monom mult)
  also
  have "... =
      Psi (\bigoplusp i∈{..deg R p}. monom P (coeff P p i) O \otimesp monom P 1 1
[\hat{\ }]_{p} i)"
    by (simp add: Phi Psi P Pi_def comp_def)
  also have "... = Psi p"
    by (simp add: up_repr P monom_mult [THEN sym] monom_pow del: monom_mult)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma ring_homD:
  assumes Phi: "Phi ∈ ring_hom P S"
  shows "ring_hom_cring P S Phi"
  by unfold_locales (rule Phi)
theorem UP_universal_property:
  assumes S: "s ∈ carrier S"
  shows "∃ !Phi. Phi ∈ ring_hom P S ∩ extensional (carrier P) ∧
    Phi (monom P 1 1) = s \wedge(\forall r \in \text{carrier R. Phi (monom P r 0) = h r})"
  using S eval_monom1
  apply (auto intro: eval_ring_hom eval_const eval_extensional)
  apply (rule extensionalityI)
  apply (auto intro: UP_hom_unique ring_homD)
  done
```
JE: The following lemma was added by me; it might be even lifted to a simpler locale

```
context monoid
begin
```

```
lemma nat_pow_eone[simp]: assumes x_in_G: "x ∈ carrier G" shows "x
[\hat{ } ] (1::nat) = x"using nat_pow_Suc [of x 0] unfolding nat_pow_0 [of x] unfolding l_one
[OF x_in_G] by simp
```
**end**

**context** UP\_ring **begin**

**abbreviation** lcoeff :: "(nat =>'a) => 'a" **where** "lcoeff p == coeff P p (deg R p)"

```
lemma lcoeff_nonzero2: assumes p_in_R: "p ∈ carrier P" and p_not_zero:
"p \neq 0<sub>P</sub>" shows "lcoeff p \neq 0"
  using lcoeff_nonzero [OF p_not_zero p_in_R] .
```
### **15.11 The long division algorithm: some previous facts.**

```
lemma coeff_minus [simp]:
  assumes p: "p ∈ carrier P" and q: "q ∈ carrier P"
  shows "coeff P (p \ominus p q) n = coeff P p n \ominus coeff P q n"
  by (simp add: a_minus_def p q)
lemma lcoeff_closed [simp]: assumes p: "p ∈ carrier P" shows "lcoeff
p ∈ carrier R"
  using coeff_closed [OF p, of "deg R p"] by simp
lemma deg_smult_decr: assumes a_in_R: "a ∈ carrier R" and f_in_P: "f
\in carrier P" shows "deg R (a \odotp f) \leq deg R f"
  using deg_smult_ring [OF a_in_R f_in_P] by (cases "a = 0", auto)
lemma coeff_monom_mult: assumes R: "c ∈ carrier R" and P: "p ∈ carrier
P"
  shows "coeff P (monom P c n \otimesp p) (m + n) = c \otimes (coeff P p m)"
proof -
  have "coeff P (monom P c n \otimesp p) (m + n) = (\bigoplus i\in{..m + n}. (if n =
i then c else 0) \otimes coeff P p (m + n - i))"
    unfolding coeff_mult [OF monom_closed [OF R, of n] P, of "m + n"]
unfolding coeff_monom [OF R, of n] by simp
  also have "(\bigoplus i \in \{...m + n\}). (if n = i then c else 0) \otimes coeff P p (m
+ n - i) =
    (\bigoplus i \in \{...\,m + n\}). (if n = i then c \otimes c oeff P p (m + n - i) else 0))"
    using R.finsum_cong [of "{..m + n}" "{..m + n}" "(λi::nat. (if n
= i then c else 0) \otimes coeff P p (m + n - i))"
      "(\lambdai::nat. (if n = i then c \otimes coeff P p (m + n - i) else 0))"]
    using coeff_closed [OF P] unfolding Pi_def simp_implies_def using
R by auto
  also have "... = c \otimes c coeff P p m" using R.finsum_singleton [of n "{..m
+ n}" "(\lambda i. c \otimes \text{coeff } P p (m + n - i))"]
    unfolding Pi_def using coeff_closed [OF P] using P R by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma deg_lcoeff_cancel:
  assumes p_in_P: "p ∈ carrier P" and q_in_P: "q ∈ carrier P" and r_in_P:
"r ∈ carrier P"
```
and deg r nonzero: "deg R  $r \neq 0$ "

and deg\_R\_p: "deg R  $p \le$  deg R  $r$ " and deg\_R\_q: "deg R q  $\le$  deg R  $r$ " and coeff\_R\_p\_eq\_q: "coeff P p (deg R r) =  $\ominus_R$  (coeff P q (deg R r))" shows "deg R (p ⊕p q) < deg R r" **proof** have deg\_le: "deg R (p  $\oplus$ p q)  $\leq$  deg R r" **proof** (rule deg\_aboveI) **fix** m **assume** deg\_r\_le: "deg R r < m" show "coeff P ( $p \oplus p$  q)  $m = 0$ " **proof have** slp: "deg R p < m" **and** "deg R q < m" **using** deg\_R\_p deg\_R\_q **using** deg\_r\_le **by** auto **then have** max\_sl: "max (deg R p) (deg R q) < m" **by** simp then have "deg R  $(p \oplus p q) < m$ " **using** deg\_add  $[OF p_in_P q_in_P]$ **by** arith **with** deg\_R\_p deg\_R\_q **show** ?thesis **using** coeff\_add [OF p\_in\_P q\_in\_P, of m] **using** deg\_aboveD [of "p ⊕P q" m] **using** p\_in\_P q\_in\_P **by** simp **qed qed** (simp add: p\_in\_P q\_in\_P) **moreover have deg\_ne:** "deg R (p  $\oplus$  q)  $\neq$  deg R r" **proof** (rule ccontr) **assume** nz: " $\neg$  deg R (p  $\oplus$  p q)  $\neq$  deg R r" **then have** deg eq: "deg R  $(p \oplus p q) = deg R r''$  by simp from deg\_r\_nonzero have r\_nonzero: " $r \neq 0$ P" by (cases "r =  $0$ P", simp\_all) have "coeff P (p  $\oplus$  p q) (deg R r) =  $0_R$ " using coeff add [OF p in P q\_in\_P, of "deg R r"] **using** coeff\_R\_p\_eq\_q **using** coeff\_closed [OF p\_in\_P, of "deg R r"] coeff\_closed [OF q\_in\_P, of "deg R r"] **by** algebra **with** lcoeff\_nonzero [OF r\_nonzero r\_in\_P] **and** deg\_eq **show** False **using** lcoeff\_nonzero [of "p ⊕P q"] **using** p\_in\_P q\_in\_P using deg\_r\_nonzero by (cases "p  $\oplus$ p q  $\neq$  0p", auto) **qed ultimately show** ?thesis **by** simp **qed lemma** monom\_deg\_mult: **assumes** f\_in\_P: "f ∈ carrier P" **and** g\_in\_P: "g ∈ carrier P" **and** deg\_le: "deg R  $g \le$  deg R  $f$ " **and** a\_in\_R: "a ∈ carrier R" shows "deg R (g  $\otimes$ p monom P a (deg R f - deg R g))  $\leq$  deg R f" **using** deg\_mult\_ring [OF g\_in\_P monom\_closed [OF a\_in\_R, of "deg R f - deg R g"]] **apply** (cases "a = 0") **using** g\_in\_P **apply** simp **using** deg\_monom [OF \_ a\_in\_R, of "deg R f - deg R g"] **using** deg\_le **by** simp

**lemma** deg\_zero\_impl\_monom:

```
assumes f_in_P: "f \in carrier P" and deg_f: "deg R f = 0"
 shows "f = monom P (coeff P f 0) 0"
 apply (rule up_eqI) using coeff_monom [OF coeff_closed [OF f_in_P],
of 0 0]
 using f_in_P deg_f using deg_aboveD [of f _] by auto
```

```
end
```
### **15.12 The long division proof for commutative rings**

```
context UP_cring
begin
lemma exI3: assumes exist: "Pred x y z"
  shows "∃ x y z. Pred x y z"
  using exist by blast
Jacobson's Theorem 2.14
lemma long_div_theorem:
  assumes g_in_P [simp]: "g ∈ carrier P" and f_in_P [simp]: "f ∈ carrier
P"
  and g_{\text{not\_zero}}: "g \neq 0<sup>p</sup>"
  shows "∃q r (k::nat). (q ∈ carrier P) \land (r ∈ carrier P) \land (lcoeff
g)[^]<sub>R</sub>k ⊙p f = g ⊗p q ⊕p r ∧ (r = 0<sub>P</sub> ∨ deg R r < deg R g)"
  using f_in_P
proof (induct "deg R f" arbitrary: "f" rule: nat_less_induct)
  case (1 f)
  note f_in_P [simp] = "1.prems"
  let ?pred = "(\lambda \ q \ r \ (k::nat).(q ∈ carrier P) ∧ (r ∈ carrier P)
    \wedge (lcoeff g)[^]<sub>R</sub>k ⊙p f = g ⊗p q ⊕p r \wedge (r = 0<sub>P</sub> \vee deg R r < deg R
g))"
  let ?lg = "lcoeff g" and ?lf = "lcoeff f"
  show ?case
  proof (cases "deg R f < deg R g")
    case True
    have "?pred Op f 0" using True by force
    then show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case False then have \deg_{g}\leq \deg_{g} is "deg R g \leq \deg_{g} R f" by simp
    {
      let ?k = "1::nat"
      let ?f1 = "(g \otimesp (monom P (?lf) (deg R f - deg R g))) \oplusp \ominusp (?lg
\odot<sub>p</sub> f)"
      let ?q = "monom P (?1f) (deg R f - deg R g)"have f1_in_carrier: "?f1 ∈ carrier P" and q_in_carrier: "?q ∈ carrier
P" by simp_all
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases "deg R f = 0")
```

```
case True
         {
           have deg_g: "deg R g = 0" using True using deg_g_le_deg_f by
simp
           have "?pred f 0<sub>P</sub> 1"
             using deg_zero_impl_monom [OF g_in_P deg_g]
             using sym [OF monom mult is smult [OF coeff closed [OF g_in P,
of 0] f_in_P]]
             using deg_g by simp
           then show ?thesis by blast
         }
      next
         case False note deg_f_nzero = False
         {
           have exist: "lcoeff g [\hat{ } ] ?k \odotp f = g \otimesp ?q \oplusp \ominus ?f1"
             by (simp add: minus_add r_neg sym [
               OF a_assoc [of "g \otimesp ?q" "\ominusp (g \otimesp ?q)" "lcoeff g \odotp f"]])
           have deg_remainder_l_f: "deg R (\ominus ?f1) < deg R f"
           proof (unfold deg_uminus [OF f1_in_carrier])
             show "deg R ?f1 < deg R f"
             proof (rule deg_lcoeff_cancel)
               show "deg R (\ominus_P (?lg \odot_P f)) \leq deg R f"
                  using deg_smult_ring [of ?lg f]
                  using lcoeff_nonzero2 [OF g_in_P g_not_zero] by simp
               show "deg R (g \otimesp ?q) \leq deg R f"
                  by (simp add: monom_deg_mult [OF f_in_P g_in_P deg_g_le_deg_f,
of ?lf])
               show "coeff P (g \otimesp ?q) (deg R f) = \ominus coeff P (\ominusp (?lg
\odotp f)) (deg R f)"
                  unfolding coeff_mult [OF g_in_P monom_closed
                    [OF lcoeff_closed [OF f_in_P],
                      of "deg R f - deg R g"], of "deg R f"]
                  unfolding coeff_monom [OF lcoeff_closed
                    [OF f_in_P], of "(deg R f - deg R g)"]
                  using R.finsum_cong' [of "{..deg R f}" "{..deg R f}"
                    "(\lambdai. coeff P g i \otimes (if deg R f - deg R g = deg R f
- i then ?lf else 0))"
                    "(\lambdai. if deg R g = i then coeff P g i \otimes ?lf else 0)"]
                  using R.finsum_singleton [of "deg R g" "{.. deg R f}"
"(\lambdai. coeff P g i \otimes ?lf)"]
                  unfolding Pi_def using deg_g_le_deg_f by force
             qed (simp_all add: deg_f_nzero)
           qed
           then obtain q' r' k'
             where rem_desc: "?lg \lceil \hat{ } \rceil (k'::nat) \odot<sub>P</sub> (\ominus<sub>P</sub> ?f1) = g \otimes<sub>P</sub> q'
⊕P r'"
             and rem_deg: "(r' = 0_P \lor deg R r' < deg R g)"
             and q'_in_carrier: "q' ∈ carrier P" and r'_in_carrier: "r'
∈ carrier P"
```
288
```
using "1.hyps" using f1_in_carrier by blast
             show ?thesis
             proof (rule exI3 [of _ "((?lg [^] k') \odotp ?q \oplusp q')" r' "Suc
k'"], intro conjI)
                show "(?lg [\hat{\ }] (Suc k')) \odot \hat{p} f = g \otimes p ((?lg [\hat{\ }] k') \odot p ?q
⊕P q') ⊕P r'"
                proof -
                   have "(?lg [^] (Suc k')) \odot p f = (?lg [^] k') \odot p (g \otimes p
?q \oplusp \ominusp ?f1)"
                      using smult_assoc1 [OF _ _ f_in_P] using exist by simp
                   also have "... = (?lg [^] k') \odot<sub>P</sub> (g \otimes<sub>P</sub> ?q) \oplus<sub>P</sub> ((?lg [^]
k') \odotp (\ominusp ?f1))"
                      using UP_smult_r_distr by simp
                   also have "... = (?lg [^] k') \odot<sub>P</sub> (g \otimes<sub>P</sub> ?q) \oplus<sub>P</sub> (g \otimes<sub>P</sub> q'
⊕P r')"
                      unfolding rem_desc ..
                   also have "... = (?lg [^] k') ⊙p (g \otimesp ?q) ⊕p g \otimesp q' ⊕p
r'"
                      using sym [OF a_assoc [of "?lg [\hat{ } ] k' \odot<sub>P</sub> (g \otimes<sub>P</sub> ?q)" "g
⊗P q'" "r'"]]
                      using r'_in_carrier q'_in_carrier by simp
                   also have "... = (?1g [^] k') \odot<sub>P</sub> (?q \otimes<sub>P</sub> g) \oplus<sub>P</sub> q' \otimes<sub>P</sub> g \oplus<sub>P</sub>
r'"
                      using q'_in_carrier by (auto simp add: m_comm)
                   also have "... = (((?lg [^] k') \odot<sub>P</sub> ?q) \otimes<sub>P</sub> g) \oplus<sub>P</sub> q' \otimes<sub>P</sub> g
⊕P r'"
                      using smult assoc2 q' in carrier "1.prems" by auto
                   also have "... = ((?lg [^]k') \odot<sub>P</sub> ?q \oplus<sub>P</sub> q') \otimes<sub>P</sub> g \oplus<sub>P</sub> r'"
                      using sym [OF l_distr] and q'_in_carrier by auto
                   finally show ?thesis using m_comm q'_in_carrier by auto
                qed
             qed (simp_all add: rem_deg q'_in_carrier r'_in_carrier)
           }
        qed
     }
  qed
qed
```

```
end
```
The remainder theorem as corollary of the long division theorem.

```
context UP_cring
begin
```

```
lemma deg_minus_monom:
 assumes a: "a ∈ carrier R"
 and R_not_trivial: "(carrier R \neq \{0\})"
  shows "deg R (monom P 1_R 1 \ominusp monom P a 0) = 1"
  (is "deg R ?g = 1")
```

```
proof -
 have "deg R ?g ≤ 1"
 proof (rule deg_aboveI)
    fix m
    assume "(1::nat) < m"
    then show "coeff P ?g m = 0"
      using coeff_minus using a by auto algebra
  qed (simp add: a)
  moreover have "deg R ?g \geq 1"
 proof (rule deg_belowI)
    show "coeff P ?g 1 \neq 0"
      using a using R.carrier_one_not_zero R_not_trivial by simp algebra
  qed (simp add: a)
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma lcoeff_monom:
 assumes a: "a \in carrier R" and R_not_trivial: "(carrier R \neq {0})"
 shows "lcoeff (monom P 1_R 1 \oplusp monom P a 0) = 1"
  using deg_minus_monom [OF a R_not_trivial]
  using coeff_minus a by auto algebra
lemma deg_nzero_nzero:
 assumes deg_p_nzero: "deg R p \neq 0"shows "p \neq 0_P"
 using deg_zero deg_p_nzero by auto
lemma deg_monom_minus:
 assumes a: "a ∈ carrier R"
 and R_not_trivial: "carrier R \neq \{0\}"
 shows "deg R (monom P 1_R 1 \ominus_P monom P a 0) = 1"
  (is "deg R ?g = 1")
proof -
 have "deg R ?g ≤ 1"
 proof (rule deg_aboveI)
    fix m: \text{nat assume } "1 \le m" then show "coeff P ?g m = 0"using coeff_minus [OF monom_closed [OF R.one_closed, of 1] monom_closed
[OF a, of 0], of m]
      using coeff_monom [OF R.one_closed, of 1 m] using coeff_monom [OF
a, of 0 m] by auto algebra
  qed (simp add: a)
 moreover have "1 \leq deg R ?g"
 proof (rule deg_belowI)
    show "coeff P ?g 1 \neq 0"
      using coeff_minus [OF monom_closed [OF R.one_closed, of 1] monom_closed
[OF a, of 0], of 1]
      using coeff_monom [OF R.one_closed, of 1 1] using coeff_monom [OF
a, of 0 1]
      using R_not_trivial using R.carrier_one_not_zero
```

```
by auto algebra
  qed (simp add: a)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma eval_monom_expr:
  assumes a: "a ∈ carrier R"
  shows "eval R R id a (monom P 1_R 1 \ominus_P monom P a 0) = 0"
  (is "eval R R id a ?g = _")
proof -
  interpret UP_pre_univ_prop R R id by unfold_locales simp
  have eval_ring_hom: "eval R R id a ∈ ring_hom P R" using eval_ring_hom
[OF a] by simp
  interpret ring_hom_cring P R "eval R R id a" by unfold_locales (rule
eval_ring_hom)
  have mon1_closed: "monom P 1_R 1 \in carrier P"
    and mon0_closed: "monom P a 0 ∈ carrier P"
    and min_mon0_closed: "\ominus<sub>P</sub> monom P a 0 \in carrier P"
    using a R.a_inv_closed by auto
  have "eval R R id a ?g = eval R R id a (monom P 1 1) \ominus eval R R id
a (monom P a 0)"
    by (simp add: a_minus_def mon0_closed)
  also have "... = a \oplus a"
    using eval_monom [OF R.one_closed a, of 1] using eval_monom [OF a
a, of 0] using a by simp
  also have ". . . = 0"
    using a by algebra
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma remainder_theorem_exist:
  assumes f: "f ∈ carrier P" and a: "a ∈ carrier R"
  and R_not_trivial: "carrier R \neq \{0\}"
  shows "∃ q r. (q ∈ carrier P) \land (r ∈ carrier P) \land f = (monom P 1<sub>R</sub>
1 \oplus p monom P a 0) \otimes p q \oplus p r \wedge (deg R r = 0)"
  (is "∃ q r. (q ∈ carrier P) ∧ (r ∈ carrier P) ∧ f = ?g ⊗P q ⊕P r ∧
(\text{deg } R r = 0)")
proof -
  let ?g = "monom P 1_R 1 \ominus_P monom P a 0"
  from deg_minus_monom [OF a R_not_trivial]
  have deg_g_nzero: "deg R ?g \neq 0" by simp
  have "∃q r (k::nat). q \in carrier P \land r \in carrier P \landlcoeff ?g [^] k ⊙p f = ?g \otimesp q \oplusp r \wedge (r = 0p \vee deg R r < deg R
?g)"
    using long_div_theorem [OF _ f deg_nzero_nzero [OF deg_g_nzero]] a
    by auto
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding lcoeff monom [OF a R not trivial]
    unfolding deg_monom_minus [OF a R_not_trivial]
```

```
using smult_one [OF f] using deg_zero by force
qed
lemma remainder_theorem_expression:
  assumes f [simp]: "f ∈ carrier P" and a [simp]: "a ∈ carrier R"
  and q [simp]: "q ∈ carrier P" and r [simp]: "r ∈ carrier P"
  and R not trivial: "carrier R \neq \{0\}"
  and f expr: "f = (monom P 1<sub>R</sub> 1 \oplusp monom P a 0) \otimesp q \oplusp r"
  (is "f = ?g ⊗P q ⊕P r" is "f = ?gq ⊕P r")
    and \text{deg}_r_0: "deg R r = 0"
    shows "r = monom P (eval R R id a f) 0"
proof -
  interpret UP_pre_univ_prop R R id P by standard simp
  have eval_ring_hom: "eval R R id a ∈ ring_hom P R"
    using eval_ring_hom [OF a] by simp
  have "eval R R id a f = eval R R id a ?gq \oplus<sub>R</sub> eval R R id a r"
    unfolding f_expr using ring_hom_add [OF eval_ring_hom] by auto
  also have "... = ((eval R R id a ?g) \otimes (eval R R id a q)) \oplus_R eval R
R id a r"
    using ring_hom_mult [OF eval_ring_hom] by auto
  also have "... = 0 \oplus eval R R id a r"
    unfolding eval_monom_expr [OF a] using eval_ring_hom
    unfolding ring_hom_def using q unfolding Pi_def by simp
  also have ". . . = eval R R id a r"
    using eval_ring_hom unfolding ring_hom_def using r unfolding Pi_def
by simp
  finally have eval_eq: "eval R R id a f = eval R R id a r" by simp
  from deg zero impl_monom [OF r deg_r_0]
  have ''r = \text{monom } P (coeff P r 0) 0" by simp
  with eval_const [OF a, of "coeff P r 0"] eval_eq
  show ?thesis by auto
qed
corollary remainder_theorem:
  assumes f [simp]: "f ∈ carrier P" and a [simp]: "a ∈ carrier R"
  and R not trivial: "carrier R \neq \{0\}"
  shows "∃ q r. (q ∈ carrier P) \land (r ∈ carrier P) \landf = (monom P 1R 1 \ominusp monom P a 0) \otimesp q \oplusp monom P (eval R R id a
f) 0"
  (is "∃ q r. (q ∈ carrier P) ∧ (r ∈ carrier P) ∧ f = ?g ⊗P q ⊕P monom
P (eval R R id a f) 0")
proof -
  from remainder_theorem_exist [OF f a R_not_trivial]
  obtain q r
    where q_r: "q \in \text{carrier } P \land r \in \text{carrier } P \land f = ?g \otimes_P q \oplus_P r"
    and deg_r: "deg R r = 0" by force
  with remainder theorem expression [OF f a _ R not trivial, of q r]
  show ?thesis by auto
qed
```
#### **15.13 Sample Application of Evaluation Homomorphism**

```
lemma UP_pre_univ_propI:
 assumes "cring R"
    and "cring S"
   and "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
 shows "UP_pre_univ_prop R S h"
  using assms
 by (auto intro!: UP_pre_univ_prop.intro ring_hom_cring.intro
    ring_hom_cring_axioms.intro UP_cring.intro)
definition
  INTEG :: "int ring"
  where "INTEG = | \text{carrier} = \text{UNIV}, mult = (*), one = 1, zero = 0, add
= (+) | "
lemma INTEG_cring: "cring INTEG"
 by (unfold INTEG_def) (auto intro!: cringI abelian_groupI comm_monoidI
    left_minus distrib_right)
lemma INTEG_id_eval:
  "UP_pre_univ_prop INTEG INTEG id"
  by (fast intro: UP_pre_univ_propI INTEG_cring id_ring_hom)
Interpretation now enables to import all theorems and lemmas valid in the
context of homomorphisms between INTEG and UP INTEG globally.
interpretation INTEG: UP_pre_univ_prop INTEG INTEG id "UP INTEG"
  using INTEG_id_eval by simp_all
lemma INTEG_closed [intro, simp]:
  "z \in \text{carrier INTEGR}"
 by (unfold INTEG_def) simp
lemma INTEG_mult [simp]:
  "mult INTEG z w = z * w"
 by (unfold INTEG_def) simp
lemma INTEG_pow [simp]:
  "pow INTEG z n = z \hat{m}"
 by (induct n) (simp_all add: INTEG_def nat_pow_def)
lemma "eval INTEG INTEG id 10 (monom (UP INTEG) 5 2) = 500"
 by (simp add: INTEG.eval_monom)
```
**end**

**end**

## **16 Generated Groups**

**theory** Generated\_Groups **imports** Group Coset

**begin**

#### **16.1 Generated Groups**

```
inductive set generate :: "('a, 'b) monoid scheme \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set"
  for G and H where
    one: "1_G \in generate G H"
  | incl: "h \in H \implies h \in generate G H"
  | inv: "h \in H \implies inv_G h \in generate G H"
  | eng: "h1 ∈ generate G H \implies h2 ∈ generate G H \implies h1 \otimesG h2 ∈ generate
G H"
```
#### **16.1.1 Basic Properties**

```
lemma (in group) generate_consistent:
  assumes "K ⊆ H" "subgroup H G" shows "generate (G (| carrier := H |))
K = generate G K''proof
  show "generate (G ( carrier := H )) K \subseteq generate G K"
  proof
    fix h assume "h \in generate (G (| carrier := H |)) K" thus "h \in generate
G K"
    proof (induction, simp add: one, simp_all add: incl[of _ K G] eng)
      case inv thus ?case
        using m_inv_consistent assms generate.inv[of _ K G] by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  show "generate G K \subseteq generate (G ( carrier := H )) K"
  proof
    note gen_simps = one incl eng
    fix h assume "h \in generate G K" thus "h \in generate (G \parallel carrier :=
H |)) K"
      using gen_simps[where ?G = "G (</math> carrier := H <math>||</math>"]proof (induction, auto)
      fix h assume "h \in K" thus "inv h \in generate (G ( carrier := H ))
K"
        using m_inv_consistent assms generate.inv[of h K "G (| carrier
:= H |)"] by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) generate_in_carrier:
```

```
assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" and "h ∈ generate G H" shows "h ∈ carrier
G"
 using assms(2,1) by (induct h rule: generate.induct) (auto)
lemma (in group) generate_incl:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" shows "generate G H ⊆ carrier G"
 using generate in carrier[OF assms(1)] by auto
lemma (in group) generate_m_inv_closed:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" and "h ∈ generate G H" shows "(inv h) ∈ generate
G H"
  using assms(2,1)
proof (induction rule: generate.induct, auto simp add: one inv incl)
 fix h1 h2
 assume h1: "h1 ∈ generate G H" "inv h1 ∈ generate G H"
     and h2: "h2 \in generate G H" "inv h2 \in generate G H"
 hence "inv (h1 ⊗ h2) = (inv h2) ⊗ (inv h1)"
    by (meson assms generate_in_carrier group.inv_mult_group is_group)
  thus "inv (h1 \otimes h2) \in generate G H"
    using generate.eng[OF h2(2) h1(2)] by simp
qed
lemma (in group) generate_is_subgroup:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" shows "subgroup (generate G H) G"
  using subgroup.intro[OF generate_incl eng one generate_m_inv_closed]
assms by auto
lemma (in group) mono_generate:
 assumes "K ⊆ H" shows "generate G K ⊆ generate G H"
proof
 fix h assume "h \in generate G K" thus "h \in generate G H"
    using assms by (induction) (auto simp add: one incl inv eng)
qed
lemma (in group) generate_subgroup_incl:
 assumes "K ⊆ H" "subgroup H G" shows "generate G K ⊆ H"
 using group.generate_incl[OF subgroup_imp_group[OF assms(2)], of K]
assms(1)
 by (simp add: generate consistent[OF assms])
lemma (in group) generate_minimal:
  assumes "H \subseteq carrier G" shows "generate G H = \bigcap { H'. subgroup H'
G ∧ H ⊆ H' }"
 using generate_subgroup_incl generate_is_subgroup[OF assms] incl[of
_ H] by blast
lemma (in group) generateI:
  assumes "subgroup E G" "H \subseteq E" and "\bigwedgeK. \llbracket subgroup K G; H \subseteq K \rrbracket \impliesE \subset K''
```

```
shows "E = generate G H"
proof -
  have subset: "H ⊆ carrier G"
    using subgroup.subset assms by auto
  show ?thesis
    using assms unfolding generate_minimal[OF subset] by blast
qed
lemma (in group) normal_generateI:
  \text{assumes }\text{``H}\subseteq \text{carrier }\texttt{G''} \text{ and }\text{``}\texttt{\textbackslash} \texttt{h} \text{ g. } \llbracket \text{ } \texttt{h}\in \texttt{H}; \text{ } \texttt{g}\in \text{carrier }\texttt{G} \text{ } \rrbracket \implies \texttt{g}⊗ h ⊗ (inv g) ∈ H"
  shows "generate G H \lhd G"
proof (rule normal_invI[OF generate_is_subgroup[OF assms(1)]])
  fix g h assume g: "g \in carrier G" show "h \in generate G H \implies g \otimes h
⊗ (inv g) ∈ generate G H"
  proof (induct h rule: generate.induct)
    case one thus ?case
      using g generate.one by auto
  next
    case incl show ?case
      using generate.incl[OF assms(2)[OF incl g]] .
  next
    case (inv h)
    hence h: "h ∈ carrier G"
      using assms(1) by auto
    hence "inv (g \otimes h \otimes (inv g)) = g \otimes (inv h) \otimes (inv g)"
      using g by (simp add: inv_mult_group m_assoc)
    thus ?case
      using generate m inv closed[OF assms(1) generate.incl[OF assms(2)[OF
inv g]]] by simp
  next
    case (eng h1 h2)
    note in_carrier = eng(1,3)[THEN generate_in_carrier[OF assms(1)]]
    have "g ⊗ (h1 ⊗ h2) ⊗ inv g = (g ⊗ h1 ⊗ inv g) ⊗ (g ⊗ h2 ⊗ inv
g)"
      using in_carrier g by (simp add: inv_solve_left m_assoc)
    thus ?case
      using generate.eng[OF eng(2,4)] by simp
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_int_pow_closed:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "h \in H" shows "h [^] (k :: int) \in H"
  using group.int_pow_closed[OF subgroup_imp_group[OF assms(1)]] assms(2)
  unfolding int_pow_consistent[OF assms] by simp
lemma (in group) generate_pow:
  assumes "a \in carrier G" shows "generate G { a } = { a [^] (k :: int)
| k. k ∈ UNIV }"
```

```
proof
 show "{ a [^{\frown}] (k :: int) | k. k \in UNIV } \subseteq generate G { a }"
    using subgroup_int_pow_closed[OF generate_is_subgroup[of "{ a }"]
incl[of a]] assms by auto
next
 show "generate G { a } \subset { a [^] (k :: int) | k. k \in UNIV }"
 proof
    fix h assume "h \in generate G { a }" hence "\existsk :: int. h = a [^] k"
    proof (induction)
      case one
      then show ?case
        using int_pow_0 [of G] by metis
    next
      case (incl h)
      then show ?case
        by (metis assms int_pow_1 singletonD)
    next
      case (inv h)
      then show ?case
        by (metis assms int_pow_1 int_pow_neg singletonD)
    next
      case (eng h1 h2)
      then show ?case
        using assms by (metis int_pow_mult)
    qed
    then show "h \in { a [^] (k :: int) | k. k \in UNIV }"
      by blast
 qed
qed
corollary (in group) generate_one: "generate G \{ 1 \} = \{ 1 \}"
  using generate_pow[of "1", OF one_closed] by simp
corollary (in group) generate_empty: "generate G \{ \} = \{ 1 \}"
 using mono_generate[of "{}" "{ 1 }"] generate.one unfolding generate_one
by auto
lemma (in group_hom)
  "subgroup K G \implies subgroup (h ' K) H"
  using subgroup_img_is_subgroup by auto
lemma (in group_hom) generate_img:
 assumes "K ⊆ carrier G" shows "generate H (h ' K) = h ' (generate
G K)"
proof
 have "h ' K ⊆ h ' (generate G K)"
    \overline{\text{using inclof}} K Gl by auto
 thus "generate H (h ' K) \subseteq h ' (generate G K)"
    using generate_subgroup_incl subgroup_img_is_subgroup[OF G.generate_is_subgroup[OF
```

```
assms]] by auto
next
 show "h ' (generate G K) \subseteq generate H (h ' K)"
 proof
    fix a assume "a ∈ h ' (generate G K)"
   then obtain k where "k \in generate G K" "a = h k"
      by blast
    show "a ∈ generate H (h ' K)"
      using ‹ k ∈ generate G K› unfolding ‹ a = h k›
    proof (induct k, auto simp add: generate.one[of H] generate.incl[of
_ "h ' K" H])
      case (inv k) show ?case
        using assms generate.inv[of "h k" "h ' K" H] inv by auto
    next
      case eng show ?case
        using generate.eng[OF eng(2,4)] eng(1,3)[THEN G.generate_in_carrier[OF
assms]] by auto
   qed
 qed
qed
```
### **16.2 Derived Subgroup**

#### **16.2.1 Definitions**

abbreviation derived\_set :: "('a, 'b) monoid\_scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set" **where** "derived\_set G H ≡  $\bigcup$ h1 ∈ H. ( $\bigcup$ h2 ∈ H. { h1 ⊗<sub>G</sub> h2 ⊗<sub>G</sub> (inv<sub>G</sub> h1) ⊗<sub>G</sub> (inv<sub>G</sub> h2) })"

```
definition derived :: "('a, 'b) monoid scheme \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set" where
  "derived G H = generate G (derived set G H)"
```
#### **16.2.2 Basic Properties**

```
lemma (in group) derived_set_incl:
 assumes "K ⊆ H" "subgroup H G" shows "derived_set G K ⊆ H"
 using assms(1) subgroupE(3-4)[OF assms(2)] by (auto simp add: subset_iff)
lemma (in group) derived_incl:
 assumes "K ⊆ H" "subgroup H G" shows "derived G K ⊆ H"
 using generate_subgroup_incl[OF derived_set_incl] assms unfolding derived_def
by auto
lemma (in group) derived_set_in_carrier:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" shows "derived_set G H ⊆ carrier G"
 using derived_set_incl[OF assms subgroup_self] .
lemma (in group) derived_in_carrier:
```

```
using derived_incl[OF assms subgroup_self] .
lemma (in group) exp_of_derived_in_carrier:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" shows "(derived G ^^ n) H ⊆ carrier G"
  using assms derived_in_carrier by (induct n) (auto)
lemma (in group) derived_is_subgroup:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" shows "subgroup (derived G H) G"
  unfolding derived_def using generate_is_subgroup[OF derived_set_in_carrier[OF
assms]] .
lemma (in group) exp_of_derived_is_subgroup:
  assumes "subgroup H G" shows "subgroup ((derived G ^^ n) H) G"
  using assms derived_is_subgroup subgroup.subset by (induct n) (auto)
lemma (in group) exp_of_derived_is_subgroup':
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier G" shows "subgroup ((derived G ^^ (Suc n)) H)
G''using assms derived_is_subgroup[OF subgroup.subset] derived_is_subgroup
by (induct n) (auto)
lemma (in group) mono_derived_set:
  assumes "K ⊆ H" shows "derived_set G K ⊆ derived_set G H"
  using assms by auto
lemma (in group) mono_derived:
  assumes "K ⊆ H" shows "derived G K ⊆ derived G H"
  unfolding derived_def using mono_generate[OF mono_derived_set[OF assms]]
.
lemma (in group) mono_exp_of_derived:
  assumes "K \subseteq H" shows "(derived G \cap n) K \subseteq (derived G \cap n) H"
  using assms mono_derived by (induct n) (auto)
lemma (in group) derived_set_consistent:
  assumes "K ⊆ H" "subgroup H G" shows "derived_set (G (| carrier :=
H ) K = derived_set G K"
  using m_inv_consistent[OF assms(2)] assms(1) by (auto simp add: subset_iff)
lemma (in group) derived_consistent:
 assumes "K ⊆ H" "subgroup H G" shows "derived (G (| carrier := H |))
K = derived G K''using generate_consistent[OF derived_set_incl] derived_set_consistent
assms by (simp add: derived_def)
lemma (in comm_group) derived_eq_singleton:
  assumes "H \subseteq carrier G" shows "derived G H = { 1 }"
proof (cases "derived set G H = \{\}")
  case True show ?thesis
```

```
using generate_empty unfolding derived_def True by simp
next
  case False
  have aux lemma: "h \in derived set G H \implies h = 1" for h
    using assms by (auto simp add: subset_iff)
       (metis (no_types, lifting) m_comm m_closed inv_closed inv_solve_right
l inv l inv ex)
  have "derived_set G H = \{ 1 \}"
  proof
    show "derived set G H \subseteq { 1 }"
      using aux_lemma by auto
  next
    obtain h where h: "h ∈ derived_set G H"
      using False by blast
    thus "{ 1 } \subseteq derived_set G H"
      using aux_lemma[OF h] by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using generate_one unfolding derived_def by auto
qed
lemma (in group) derived_is_normal:
  assumes H \vartriangleleft G'' shows "derived G H \vartriangleleft G''proof -
  interpret H: normal H G
    using assms .
  show ?thesis
    unfolding derived_def
  proof (rule normal_generateI[OF derived_set_in_carrier[OF H.subset]])
    fix h g assume "h \in derived_set G H" and g: "g \in carrier G"
    then obtain h1 h2 where h: "h1 ∈ H" "h2 ∈ H" "h = h1 ⊗ h2 ⊗ inv
h1 ⊗ inv h2"
      by auto
    hence in_carrier: "h1 ∈ carrier G" "h2 ∈ carrier G" "g ∈ carrier
G"
      using H.subset g by auto
    have "g \otimes h \otimes inv g =
           g ⊗ h1 ⊗ (inv g ⊗ g) ⊗ h2 ⊗ (inv g ⊗ g) ⊗ inv h1 ⊗ (inv
g \otimes g) \otimes inv h2 \otimes inv g''unfolding h(3) by (simp add: in_carrier m_assoc)
    also have " ... =
          (g ⊗ h1 ⊗ inv g) ⊗ (g ⊗ h2 ⊗ inv g) ⊗ (g ⊗ inv h1 ⊗ inv
g) ⊗ (g ⊗ inv h2 ⊗ inv g)"
      using in_carrier m_assoc inv_closed m_closed by presburger
    finally have "g \otimes h \otimes inv g =
          (g ⊗ h1 ⊗ inv g) ⊗ (g ⊗ h2 ⊗ inv g) ⊗ inv (g ⊗ h1 ⊗ inv
g) ⊗ inv (g ⊗ h2 ⊗ inv g)"
      by (simp add: in_carrier inv_mult_group m_assoc)
```

```
thus "g \otimes h \otimes inv g \in derived_set G H"
      using h(1-2)[THEN H.inv_op_closed2[OF g]] by auto
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) normal_self: "carrier G \triangleleft G"
  by (rule normal invI[OF subgroup self], simp)
corollary (in group) derived self is normal: "derived G (carrier G) \triangleleftG''using derived_is_normal[OF normal_self] .
corollary (in group) derived_subgroup_is_normal:
  assumes "subgroup H G" shows "derived G H \triangleleft G ( carrier := H )"
  using group.derived_self_is_normal[OF subgroup_imp_group[OF assms]]
        derived_consistent[OF _ assms]
 by simp
corollary (in group) derived_quot_is_group: "group (G Mod (derived G (carrier
G)))"
 using normal.factorgroup_is_group[OF derived_self_is_normal] by auto
lemma (in group) derived_quot_is_comm_group: "comm_group (G Mod (derived
G (carrier G)))"
proof (rule group.group_comm_groupI[OF derived_quot_is_group], simp add:
FactGroup def)
  interpret DG: normal "derived G (carrier G)" G
    using derived_self_is_normal .
 fix H K assume "H ∈ rcosets derived G (carrier G)" and "K ∈ rcosets
derived G (carrier G)"
  then obtain g1 g2
    where g1: "g1 ∈ carrier G" "H = derived G (carrier G) #> g1"
      and g2: "g2 \in \text{carrier } G" "K = derived G (carrier G) #> g2"
    unfolding RCOSETS_def by auto
  hence "H \lt#> K = derived G (carrier G) #> (g1 \otimes g2)"
    by (simp add: DG.rcos_sum)
 also have " ... = derived G (carrier G) #> (g2 \otimes g1)"
  proof -
    { fix g1 g2 assume g1: "g1 ∈ carrier G" and g2: "g2 ∈ carrier G"
      have "derived G (carrier G) #> (g1 ⊗ g2) ⊆ derived G (carrier G)
#> (g2 ⊗ g1)"
      proof
        fix h assume "h \in derived G (carrier G) #> (g1 \otimes g2)"
        then obtain g' where h: "g' \in carrier G" "g' \in derived G (carrier
G)" "h = g' ⊗ (g1 ⊗ g2)"
          using DG.subset unfolding r_coset_def by auto
        hence "h = g' \otimes (g1 \otimes g2) \otimes (inv g1 \otimes inv g2 \otimes g2 \otimes g1)"
          using g1 g2 by (simp add: m_assoc)
```

```
hence "h = (g' \otimes (g1 \otimes g2 \otimes inv g1 \otimes inv g2)) \otimes (g2 \otimes g1)"
          using h(1) g1 g2 inv_closed m_assoc m_closed by presburger
        moreover have "g1 ⊗ g2 ⊗ inv g1 ⊗ inv g2 ∈ derived G (carrier
G)"
          using incl[of _ "derived_set G (carrier G)"] g1 g2 unfolding
derived_def by blast
        hence "g' ⊗ (g1 ⊗ g2 ⊗ inv g1 ⊗ inv g2) ∈ derived G (carrier
G)"
          using DG.m_closed[OF h(2)] by simp
        ultimately show "h \in derived G (carrier G) #> (g2 \otimes g1)"
          unfolding r_coset_def by blast
      qed }
    thus ?thesis
      using g1(1) g2(1) by auto
  qed
  also have " ... = K <#> H"
    by (simp add: g1 g2 DG.rcos_sum)
 finally show "H \langle#> K = K \langle#> H" .
qed
corollary (in group) derived_quot_of_subgroup_is_comm_group:
  assumes "subgroup H G" shows "comm_group ((G (| carrier := H |)) Mod
(derived G H))"
  using group.derived_quot_is_comm_group[OF subgroup_imp_group[OF assms]]
        derived_consistent[OF _ assms]
 by simp
proposition (in group) derived_minimal:
 assumes "H C G" and "comm_group (G Mod H)" shows "derived G (carrier
G) \subseteq H''proof -
 interpret H: normal H G
    using assms(1) .
 show ?thesis
    unfolding derived_def
  proof (rule generate_subgroup_incl[OF _ H.subgroup_axioms])
    show "derived_set G (carrier G) ⊆ H"
    proof
      fix h assume "h ∈ derived_set G (carrier G)"
      then obtain g1 g2 where h: "g1 ∈ carrier G" "g2 ∈ carrier G" "h
= g1 ⊗ g2 ⊗ inv g1 ⊗ inv g2"
       by auto
      have "H #> (g1 ⊗ g2) = (H #> g1) <#> (H #> g2)"
        by (simp add: h(1-2) H.rcos_sum)
      also have " ... = (H #> g2) <#> (H #> g1)"
        using comm groupE(4)[OF assms(2)] h(1-2) unfolding FactGroup def
RCOSETS_def by auto
      also have " ... = H #> (g2 \otimes g1)"
```

```
by (simp add: h(1-2) H.rcos_sum)
      finally have "H #> (g1 \otimes g2) = H #> (g2 \otimes g1)" .
      then obtain h' where "h' ∈ H" "1 \otimes (g1 \otimes g2) = h' \otimes (g2 \otimes g1)"
        using H.one_closed unfolding r_coset_def by blast
      thus "h \in H"
        using h m_assoc by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
proposition (in group) derived_of_subgroup_minimal:
  assumes "K \lhd G \lhd carrier := H \lhd" "subgroup H G" and "comm_group ((G
(\vert \text{ carrier} := H \vert)) Mod K)"
  shows "derived G H ⊆ K"
  using group.derived_minimal[OF subgroup_imp_group[OF assms(2)] assms(1,3)]
        derived consistent [OF assms(2)]
  by simp
lemma (in group_hom) derived_img:
  assumes "K \subseteq carrier G" shows "derived H (h ' K) = h ' (derived G
K)"
proof -
  have "derived_set H (h ' K) = h ' (derived_set G K)"
  proof
    show "derived_set H (h ' K) \subseteq h ' derived_set G K"
    proof
      fix a assume "a \in derived set H (h ' K)"
      then obtain k1 k2
        where "k1 ∈ K" "k2 ∈ K" "a = (h k1) \otimesH (h k2) \otimesH invH (h k1)
\otimesH invH (h k2)"
        by auto
      hence "a = h (k1 ⊗ k2 ⊗ inv k1 ⊗ inv k2)"
        using assms by (simp add: subset_iff)
      from this ‹ k1 ∈ K› and ‹ k2 ∈ K› show "a ∈ h ' derived_set G
K" by auto
    qed
  next
    show "h ' (derived_set G K) ⊆ derived_set H (h ' K)"
    proof
      fix a assume "a \in h " (derived_set G K)"then obtain k1 k2 where "k1 ∈ K" "k2 ∈ K" "a = h (k1 ⊗ k2 ⊗ inv
k1 \otimes inv k2)"
        by auto
      hence "a = (h k1) \otimesH (h k2) \otimesH invH (h k1) \otimesH invH (h k2)"
        using assms by (simp add: subset_iff)
      from this \langle k1 \in K \rangle and \langle k2 \in K \rangle show "a \in derived_set H (h '
K)" by auto
    qed
  qed
```

```
thus ?thesis
    unfolding derived_def using generate_img[OF G.derived_set_in_carrier[OF
assms]] by simp
qed
```

```
lemma (in group_hom) exp_of_derived_img:
  assumes "K \subseteq carrier G" shows "(derived H ^^ n) (h ' K) = h ' ((derived
G \cap n) K)"
  using derived_img[OF G.exp_of_derived_in_carrier[OF assms]] by (induct
n) (auto)
```
#### **16.2.3 Generated subgroup of a group**

```
definition subgroup_generated :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow ('a,
'b) monoid scheme"
  where "subgroup generated G S = G(|carrier := generate G (carrier G
\cap S)\parallel"
lemma carrier_subgroup_generated: "carrier (subgroup_generated G S) =
generate G (carrier G ∩ S)"
 by (auto simp: subgroup_generated_def)
lemma (in group) subgroup_generated_subset_carrier_subset:
   "S \subseteq carrier G \implies S \subseteq carrier(subgroup_generated G S)"
  by (simp add: Int_absorb1 carrier_subgroup_generated generate.incl subsetI)
lemma (in group) subgroup_generated_minimal:
   "[subgroup H G; S \subseteq H] \implies carrier(subgroup_generated G S) \subseteq H"
  by (simp add: carrier_subgroup_generated generate_subgroup_incl le_infI2)
lemma (in group) carrier_subgroup_generated_subset:
   "carrier (subgroup_generated G A) ⊆ carrier G"
  apply (clarsimp simp: carrier_subgroup_generated)
  by (meson Int_lower1 generate_in_carrier)
lemma (in group) group_subgroup_generated [simp]: "group (subgroup_generated
G(S)"
    unfolding subgroup_generated_def
    by (simp add: generate_is_subgroup subgroup_imp_group)
lemma (in group) abelian_subgroup_generated:
  assumes "comm_group G"
 shows "comm_group (subgroup_generated G S)" (is "comm_group ?GS")
proof (rule group.group_comm_groupI)
  show "Group.group ?GS"
    by simp
next
 fix x y
  assume "x ∈ carrier ?GS" "y ∈ carrier ?GS"
```

```
with assms show "x \otimes?GS y = y \otimes?GS x"
    apply (simp add: subgroup_generated_def)
    by (meson Int_lower1 comm_groupE(4) generate_in_carrier)
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_of_subgroup_generated:
 assumes "H ⊆ B" "subgroup H G"
    shows "subgroup H (subgroup_generated G B)"
proof unfold_locales
  fix x
 assume "x ∈ H"
  with assms show "inv<sub>subgroup_generated G B x \in H''</sub>
    unfolding subgroup_generated_def
    by (metis IntI Int_commute Int_lower2 generate.incl generate_is_subgroup
m_inv_consistent subgroup_def subsetCE)
next
 show "H ⊆ carrier (subgroup_generated G B)"
    using assms apply (auto simp: carrier_subgroup_generated)
    by (metis Int_iff generate.incl inf.orderE subgroup.mem_carrier)
qed (use assms in ‹ auto simp: subgroup_generated_def subgroup_def› )
lemma carrier_subgroup_generated_alt:
  assumes "Group.group G" "S ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "carrier (subgroup_generated G S) = \bigcap {H. subgroup H G \land carrier
G \cap S \subseteq H<sup>"</sup>
  using assms by (auto simp: group.generate_minimal subgroup_generated_def)
lemma one_subgroup_generated [simp]: "1<sub>subgroup_generated G S</sub> = 1<sub>G</sub>"
  by (auto simp: subgroup_generated_def)
lemma mult_subgroup_generated [simp]: "mult (subgroup_generated G S)
= mult G"
  by (auto simp: subgroup_generated_def)
lemma (in group) inv_subgroup_generated [simp]:
  assumes "f ∈ carrier (subgroup_generated G S)"
  shows "inv<sub>subgroup generated G S f = inv f''</sub>
proof (rule group.inv_equality)
  show "Group.group (subgroup_generated G S)"
    by simp
 have [simp]: "f ∈ carrier G"
    by (metis Int_lower1 assms carrier_subgroup_generated generate_in_carrier)
  show "inv f \otimessubgroup_generated G S <sup>f = 1</sup>subgroup_generated G S"
    by (simp add: assms)
  show "f ∈ carrier (subgroup_generated G S)"
    using assms by (simp add: generate.incl subgroup_generated_def)
  show "inv f \in \text{carrier} (subgroup generated G S)"
    using assms by (simp add: subgroup generated def generate m inv closed)
qed
```

```
lemma subgroup_generated_restrict [simp]:
   "subgroup_generated G (carrier G ∩ S) = subgroup_generated G S"
  by (simp add: subgroup_generated_def)
lemma (in subgroup) carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup [simp]:
  "carrier (subgroup generated G H) = H''by (auto simp: generate.incl carrier_subgroup_generated elim: generate.induct)
lemma (in group) subgroup_subgroup_generated_iff:
   "subgroup H (subgroup_generated G B) \longleftrightarrow subgroup H G \land H \subseteq carrier(subgroup_generated
G B)"
 (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof
  assume L: ?lhs
  then have Hsub: "H ⊆ generate G (carrier G ∩ B)"
    by (simp add: subgroup_def subgroup_generated_def)
  then have H: "H ⊆ carrier G" "H ⊆ carrier(subgroup_generated G B)"
    unfolding carrier_subgroup_generated
    using generate_incl by blast+
  with Hsub have "subgroup H G"
    by (metis Int_commute Int_lower2 L carrier_subgroup_generated generate_consistent
        generate_is_subgroup inf.orderE subgroup.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup
subgroup_generated_def)
  with H show ?rhs
    by blast
next
 assume ?rhs
  then show ?lhs
    by (simp add: generate_is_subgroup subgroup_generated_def subgroup_incl)
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_subgroup_generated:
   "subgroup (carrier(subgroup_generated G S)) G"
  using group.subgroup_self group_subgroup_generated subgroup_subgroup_generated_iff
by blast
lemma pow_subgroup_generated:
   "pow (subgroup_generated G S) = (pow G :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a)"
proof -
 have "x [\uparrow]<sub>subgroup_generated G S n = x [\uparrow]_G n" for x and n::nat</sub>
    by (induction n) auto
  then show ?thesis
    by force
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_generated2 [simp]: "subgroup_generated (subgroup_generated
G S) S = subgroup generated G S"
proof -
```

```
have *: "\A. carrier G ∩ A ⊆ carrier (subgroup_generated (subgroup_generated
G A) A)"
   by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) Int_assoc carrier_subgroup_generated
generate.incl inf.order_iff subset_iff)
 show ?thesis
 apply (auto intro!: monoid.equality)
    using group.carrier subgroup generated subset group subgroup generated
apply blast
     apply (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) "*" group.subgroup_subgroup_generated
group_subgroup_generated subgroup_generated_minimal
        subgroup_generated_restrict subgroup_subgroup_generated_iff subset_eq)
    apply (simp add: subgroup_generated_def)
    done
qed
lemma (in group) int_pow_subgroup_generated:
  fixes n::int
 assumes "x \in \text{carrier (subgroup\_generated G S)}"
 shows "x [\hat{\ }]subgroup_generated G S n = x [\hat{\ }]G n"
proof -
  have "x [^] nat (- n) ∈ carrier (subgroup_generated G S)"
    by (metis assms group.is_monoid group_subgroup_generated monoid.nat_pow_closed
pow_subgroup_generated)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis group.inv_subgroup_generated int_pow_def2 is_group pow_subgroup_generated)
qed
lemma kernel from subgroup generated [simp]:
  "subgroup S G \implies kernel (subgroup generated G S) H f = kernel G H f
∩ S"
  using subgroup.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup subgroup.subset
  by (fastforce simp add: kernel_def set_eq_iff)
lemma kernel_to_subgroup_generated [simp]:
  "kernel G (subgroup_generated H S) f = kernel G H f"
 by (simp add: kernel_def)
```
#### **16.3 And homomorphisms**

```
lemma (in group) hom_from_subgroup_generated:
   "h \in hom G H \implies h \in hom(subgroup\_generated G A) H"apply (simp add: hom_def carrier_subgroup_generated Pi_iff)
  apply (metis group.generate_in_carrier inf_le1 is_group)
  done
lemma hom_into_subgroup:
   \lVert h \rVert = \lVert h \rVert G G'; h ' (carrier G) \subseteq H] \implies h \in hom G (subgroup_generated
G' H)"
  by (auto simp: hom_def carrier_subgroup_generated Pi_iff generate.incl
```

```
image_subset_iff)
lemma hom_into_subgroup_eq_gen:
    "group G \impliesh \in hom K (subgroup_generated G H)
 \longleftrightarrow h \in hom K G \land h ' (carrier K) \subseteq carrier(subgroup_generated G H)"
 using group.carrier_subgroup_generated_subset [of G H] by (auto simp:
hom def)
lemma hom_into_subgroup_eq:
   "[[subgroup H G; group G]]
   \Rightarrow (h \in hom K (subgroup_generated G H) \longleftrightarrow h \in hom K G \wedge h \prime (carrier
K) \subset H)"
 by (simp add: hom_into_subgroup_eq_gen image_subset_iff subgroup.carrier_subgroup_generat
lemma (in group_hom) hom_between_subgroups:
  assumes "h ' A ⊆ B"
 shows "h \in hom (subgroup_generated G A) (subgroup_generated H B)"
proof -
 have [simp]: "group G" "group H"
    by (simp_all add: G.is_group H.is_group)
  have "x ∈ generate G (carrier G ∩ A) =⇒ h x ∈ generate H (carrier
H ∩ B)" for x
 proof (induction x rule: generate.induct)
    case (incl h)
    then show ?case
      by (meson IntE IntI assms generate.incl hom_closed image_subset_iff)
 next
    case (inv h)
    then show ?case
      by (metis G.inv_closed G.inv_inv IntE IntI assms generate.simps
hom_inv image_subset_iff local.inv_closed)
 next
    case (eng h1 h2)
    then show ?case
      by (metis G.generate_in_carrier generate.simps inf.cobounded1 local.hom_mult)
  qed (auto simp: generate.intros)
 then have "h ' carrier (subgroup_generated G A) ⊆ carrier (subgroup_generated
H B)"
    using group.carrier_subgroup_generated_subset [of G A]
    by (auto simp: carrier_subgroup_generated)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: hom_into_subgroup_eq_gen group.hom_from_subgroup_generated
homh)
qed
lemma (in group hom) subgroup generated by image:
 assumes "S ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "carrier (subgroup_generated H (h ' S)) = h ' (carrier(subgroup_generated
```

```
G S))"
proof
 have "x ∈ generate H (carrier H ∩ h ' S) =⇒ x ∈ h ' generate G (carrier
G ∩ S)" for x
 proof (erule generate.induct)
    show "1_H \in h ' generate G (carrier G ∩ S)"
      using generate.one by force
 next
    fix f
    assume "f ∈ carrier H ∩ h ' S"
    with assms show "f ∈ h ' generate G (carrier G ∩ S)" "inv<sub>H</sub> f ∈ h
' generate G (carrier G ∩ S)"
       apply (auto simp: Int_absorb1 generate.incl)
      apply (metis generate.simps hom_inv imageI subsetCE)
      done
  next
    fix h1 h2
    assume
      "h1 \in generate H (carrier H \cap h ' S)" "h1 \in h ' generate G (carrier
G \cap S<sup>"</sup>
      "h2 \in generate H (carrier H \cap h ' S)" "h2 \in h ' generate G (carrier
G \cap S<sup>"</sup>
    then show "h1 \otimesH h2 ∈ h ' generate G (carrier G \cap S)"
      using H.subgroupE(4) group.generate_is_subgroup subgroup_img_is_subgroup
      by (simp add: G.generate_is_subgroup)
 qed
 then
 show "carrier (subgroup generated H (h ' S)) \subset h ' carrier (subgroup generated
G(S)"
    by (auto simp: carrier_subgroup_generated)
next
 have "h ' S ⊆ carrier H"
    by (metis (no_types) assms hom_closed image_subset_iff subsetCE)
  then show "h ' carrier (subgroup_generated G S) ⊆ carrier (subgroup_generated
H (h ' S))"
    apply (clarsimp simp: carrier_subgroup_generated)
    by (metis Int_absorb1 assms generate_img imageI)
qed
lemma (in group_hom) iso_between_subgroups:
  assumes "h ∈ iso G H" "S ⊆ carrier G" "h ' S = T"
 shows "h \in iso (subgroup_generated G S) (subgroup_generated H T)"
  using assms
  by (metis G.carrier_subgroup_generated_subset Group.iso_iff hom_between_subgroups
inj_on_subset subgroup_generated_by_image subsetI)
lemma (in group) subgroup_generated_group_carrier:
  "subgroup generated G (carrier G) = G''proof (rule monoid.equality)
```

```
show "carrier (subgroup_generated G (carrier G)) = carrier G"
    by (simp add: subgroup.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup subgroup_self)
qed (auto simp: subgroup_generated_def)
lemma iso_onto_image:
  assumes "group G" "group H"
  shows
   "f \in iso G (subgroup generated H (f ' carrier G)) \longleftrightarrow f \in hom G H
\wedge inj on f (carrier G)"
  using assms
  apply (auto simp: iso_def bij_betw_def hom_into_subgroup_eq_gen carrier_subgroup_generated
hom_carrier generate.incl Int_absorb1 Int_absorb2)
  by (metis group.generateI group.subgroupE(1) group.subgroup_self group_hom.generate_img
group_hom.intro group_hom_axioms.intro)
lemma (in group) iso_onto_image:
   "group H \implies f \in iso G (subgroup_generated H (f ' carrier G)) \longleftrightarrowf \in \text{mon } G H"
```

```
by (simp add: mon_def epi_def hom_into_subgroup_eq_gen iso_onto_image)
```
**end**

# **17 Elementary Group Constructions**

```
theory Elementary_Groups
imports Generated_Groups "HOL-Library.Infinite_Set"
begin
```
## **17.1 Direct sum/product lemmas**

```
locale group_disjoint_sum = group G + AG: subgroup A G + BG: subgroup B
G for G (structure) and A B
begin
lemma subset_one: "A \cap B \subseteq {1} \longleftrightarrow A \cap B = {1}"
  by auto
lemma sub_id_iff: "A ∩ B \subseteq {1} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x∈A. \forall y∈B. x \otimes y = 1 \longrightarrow x =
1 \wedge y = 1"
          (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof -
  have "?lhs = (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. x \otimes inv y = 1 \rightarrow x = 1 \land inv y = 1)"
  proof (intro ballI iffI impI)
    fix x y
    assume "A ∩ B \subseteq {1}" "x \in A" "y \in B" "x \otimes inv y = 1"
    then have "y = x"
       using group.inv_equality group_l_invI by fastforce
     then show "x = 1 \land inv y = 1"
       using ‹ A ∩ B ⊆ {1}› ‹ x ∈ A› ‹ y ∈ B› by fastforce
```

```
next
    assume "∀x∈A. \forall y \in B. x \otimes inv y = 1 \rightarrow x = 1 \land inv y = 1"
    then show "A ∩ B \subseteq {1}"
       by auto
  qed
  also have ". . . = ?rhs"
    by (metis BG.mem_carrier BG.subgroup_axioms inv_inv subgroup_def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma cancel: "A ∩ B ⊆ {1} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x∈A. \forall y∈B. \forall x'∈A. \forall y'∈B. x \otimes y
= x' \otimes y' \longrightarrow x = x' \wedge y = y')''(is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof -
  have "(\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. x \otimes y = 1 \rightarrow x = 1 \land y = 1) = ?rhs"
    (is "?med = "')proof (intro ballI iffI impI)
    fix x y x' y'
    assume * [rule_format]: "\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. x \otimes y = 1 \rightarrow x = 1 \land y = 11"
       and AB: "x ∈ A" "y ∈ B" "x' ∈ A" "y' ∈ B" and eq: "x ⊗ y = x'
⊗ y'"
    then have carr: "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
"y' ∈ carrier G"
       using AG.subset BG.subset by auto
    then have "inv x' ⊗ x ⊗ (y ⊗ inv y') = inv x' ⊗ (x ⊗ y) ⊗ inv y'"
       by (simp add: m_assoc)
    also have ". . . = 1"
       using carr by (simp add: eq) (simp add: m_assoc)
    finally have 1: "inv x' \otimes x \otimes (y \otimes inv y') = 1".
    show "x = x' \land y = y''using * [OF _ _ 1] AB by simp (metis carr inv_closed inv_inv local.inv_equality)
  next
    fix x y
    assume * [rule_format]: "\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. \forall x' \in A. \forall y' \in B. x \otimes y = x'\otimes y' \longrightarrow x = x' \land y = y'"
      and xy: "x \in A" "y \in B" "x \otimes y = 1"
    show "x = 1 \land y = 1"
       by (rule *) (use xy in auto)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: sub_id_iff)
qed
lemma commuting_imp_normal1:
  assumes sub: "carrier G ⊆ A <#> B"
      and mult: "\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in B \rrbracket \implies x \otimes y = y \otimes x"
   shows "A \leq G''proof -
```
**have** AB: "A ⊆ carrier G ∧ B ⊆ carrier G" **by** (simp add: AG.subset BG.subset) **have** "A #> x = x <# A" **if** x: "x ∈ carrier G" **for** x **proof obtain** a b **where** xeq: "x = a ⊗ b" **and** "a ∈ A" "b ∈ B" **and** carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" **using** x sub AB **by** (force simp: set\_mult\_def) **have** Ab: "A <#> {b} = {b} <#> A" using  $AB \le a \in A$ <sup>2</sup>  $\le b \in B$ <sup>2</sup> mult **by** (force simp: set\_mult\_def m\_assoc subset\_iff) **have** "A #> x = A <#> {a ⊗ b}" **by** (auto simp: l\_coset\_eq\_set\_mult r\_coset\_eq\_set\_mult xeq) also have "... = A <#> {a} <#> {b}" using  $AB \le a \in A$ <sup>*>*</sup>  $\le b \in B$ *>* **by** (auto simp: set\_mult\_def m\_assoc subset\_iff) **also have** ". . . = {a} <#> A <#> {b}" **by** (metis AG.rcos\_const AG.subgroup\_axioms *‹* a ∈ A*›* coset\_join3 is\_group l\_coset\_eq\_set\_mult r\_coset\_eq\_set\_mult subgroup.mem\_carrier) also have "... = {a} <#> {b} <#> A" **by** (simp add: is\_group carr group.set\_mult\_assoc AB Ab) also have "... = {x} <**#**> A" **by** (auto simp: set\_mult\_def xeq) finally show "A  $\#$   $\times$  =  $\times$   $\times$   $\#$  A" **by** (simp add: l\_coset\_eq\_set\_mult) **qed then show** ?thesis **by** (auto simp: normal\_def normal\_axioms\_def AG.subgroup\_axioms is\_group) **qed lemma** commuting\_imp\_normal2:  $\text{assumes}$ "carrier G  $\subseteq$  A <#> B" " $\bigwedge\!{x}$  y.  $\llbracket \texttt{x} \in$  A;  $\texttt{y} \in$  B $\rrbracket \implies \texttt{x} \: \otimes \: \texttt{y}$  =  $\texttt{y}$ ⊗ x" shows " $B \triangleleft G$ " **proof** (rule group\_disjoint\_sum.commuting\_imp\_normal1) **show** "group\_disjoint\_sum G B A" **proof qed next show** "carrier G ⊆ B <#> A" **using** BG.subgroup\_axioms assms commut\_normal commuting\_imp\_normal1 **by** blast **qed** (use assms **in** auto) **lemma** (**in** group) normal\_imp\_commuting: **assumes** "A  $\lhd$  G" "B  $\lhd$  G" "A  $\cap$  B  $\subseteq$  {1}" "x  $\in$  A" "y  $\in$  B" shows " $x \otimes y = y \otimes x$ " **proof interpret** AG: normal A G

```
using assms by auto
  interpret BG: normal B G
    using assms by auto
  interpret group_disjoint_sum G A B
  proof qed
  have * [rule format]: "(∀ x∈A. ∀ y∈B. ∀ x'∈A. ∀ y'∈B. x ⊗ y = x' ⊗ y'
\longrightarrow x = x' \land y = y')"
    using cancel assms by (auto simp: normal_def)
  have carr: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
    using assms AG.subset BG.subset by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using * [of x _ _ y] AG.coset_eq [rule_format, of y] BG.coset_eq [rule_format,
of x]
    by (clarsimp simp: l_coset_def r_coset_def set_eq_iff) (metis ‹ x
∈ A› ‹ y ∈ B› )
qed
lemma normal_eq_commuting:
  assumes "carrier G ⊆ A <#> B" "A ∩ B ⊆ {1}"
  shows "A \lhd G \land B \lhd G \leftrightarrow (\forall x\inA. \forall y\inB. x \otimes y = y \otimes x)"
  by (metis assms commuting_imp_normal1 commuting_imp_normal2 normal_imp_commuting)
lemma (in group) hom_group_mul_rev:
  assumes "(\lambda(x,y), x \otimes y) \in \text{hom} (subgroup_generated G A \times \times subgroup_generated
G B) G"
           (is "?h ∈ hom ?P G")
   and "x \in carrier G" "y \in carrier G" "x \in A" "y \in B"
 shows "x \otimes y = y \otimes x"
proof -
  interpret P: group_hom ?P G ?h
    by (simp add: assms DirProd_group group_hom.intro group_hom_axioms.intro
is_group)
  have xy: "(x,y) ∈ carrier ?P"
    by (auto simp: assms carrier_subgroup_generated generate.incl)
  have "x \otimes (x \otimes (y \otimes y)) = x \otimes (y \otimes (x \otimes y))"
    using P.hom_mult [OF xy xy] by (simp add: m_assoc assms)
  then have "x \otimes (y \otimes y) = y \otimes (x \otimes y)"
    using assms by simp
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms flip: m_assoc)
qed
lemma hom_group_mul_eq:
   \forall (\lambda(x,y). x \otimes y) \in \text{hom} (subgroup_generated G A \times \times subgroup_generated
G B) G
      \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. x \otimes y = y \otimes x)"
          (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof
  assume ?lhs then show ?rhs
```

```
using hom_group_mul_rev AG.subset BG.subset by blast
next
  assume R: ?rhs
  have subG: "generate G (carrier G ∩ A) ⊆ carrier G" for A
    by (simp add: generate_incl)
  have *: "x ⊗ u ⊗ (y ⊗ v) = x ⊗ y ⊗ (u ⊗ v)"
    if eq [rule format]: "∀ x∈A. ∀ y∈B. x \otimes y = y \otimes x"
       and gen: "x ∈ generate G (carrier G ∩ A)" "y ∈ generate G (carrier
G \cap B<sup>"</sup>
       "u ∈ generate G (carrier G ∩ A)" "v ∈ generate G (carrier G ∩ B)"
    for x y u v
  proof -
    have "u ⊗ y = y ⊗ u"
      by (metis AG.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup BG.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup
carrier_subgroup_generated eq that(3) that(4))
    then have "x \otimes u \otimes y = x \otimes y \otimes u"
       using gen by (simp add: m_assoc subsetD [OF subG])
    then show ?thesis
       using gen by (simp add: subsetD [OF subG] flip: m_assoc)
  qed
  show ?lhs
    using R by (auto simp: hom_def carrier_subgroup_generated subsetD
[OFsubG] *qed
lemma epi_group_mul_eq:
   \forall (\lambda(x,y), x \otimes y) \in epi (subgroup generated G A \times \times subgroup generated
G B) G\longleftrightarrow A <#> B = carrier G \land (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. x \otimes y = y \otimes x)"
proof -
  have subGA: "generate G (carrier G ∩ A) ⊆ A"
    by (simp add: AG.subgroup_axioms generate_subgroup_incl)
  have subGB: "generate G (carrier G ∩ B) ⊆ B"
    by (simp add: BG.subgroup_axioms generate_subgroup_incl)
  have "((\lambda(x, y), x \otimes y)) ' (generate G (carrier G \cap A) \times generate
G (carrier G \cap B)))) = ((A <#> B))"
    by (auto simp: set_mult_def generate.incl pair_imageI dest: subsetD
[OF subGA] subsetD [OF subGB])
  then show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: epi_def hom_group_mul_eq carrier_subgroup_generated)
qed
lemma mon_group_mul_eq:
    "(\lambda(x,y). x \otimes y) \in \text{mon } (subgroup_generated G A \times \times subgroup_generated
G B) G
     \longleftrightarrow A \cap B = {1} \land (\forall x \in A, \forall v \in B, x \otimes v = v \otimes x)"
proof -
  have subGA: "generate G (carrier G ∩ A) ⊆ A"
```

```
by (simp add: AG.subgroup_axioms generate_subgroup_incl)
  have subGB: "generate G (carrier G ∩ B) ⊆ B"
    by (simp add: BG.subgroup_axioms generate_subgroup_incl)
  show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp: mon_def hom_group_mul_eq simp flip: subset_one)
     apply (simp_all (no_asm_use) add: inj_on_def AG.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup
BG.carrier subgroup generated subgroup)
    using cancel apply blast+
    done
qed
lemma iso_group_mul_alt:
    \forall (\lambda(x,y). x \otimes y) \in iso (subgroup_generated G A \times \times subgroup_generated
G B) G
      \longleftrightarrow A \cap B = {1} \land A <#> B = carrier G \land (\forall x\inA. \forall y\inB. x \otimes y = y
⊗ x)"
  by (auto simp: iso_iff_mon_epi mon_group_mul_eq epi_group_mul_eq)
lemma iso_group_mul_eq:
    \forall x \in (x, y) \in (x, y) \in (x, y) \in (x, y) subgroup_generated G A x \times x subgroup_generated
G B) G
     \longleftrightarrow A \cap B = {1} \land A <#> B = carrier G \land A \lhd G \land B \lhd G"
  by (simp add: iso_group_mul_alt normal_eq_commuting cong: conj_cong)
lemma (in group) iso_group_mul_gen:
  assumes "A \triangleleft G" "B \triangleleft G"
  shows "(\lambda(x,y). x \otimes y) \in iso (subgroup generated G A \times \times subgroup generated
G B) G\longleftrightarrow A \cap B \subseteq {1} \land A <#> B = carrier G"
proof -
  interpret group_disjoint_sum G A B
    using assms by (auto simp: group_disjoint_sum_def normal_def)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: subset_one iso_group_mul_eq assms)
qed
lemma iso_group_mul:
  assumes "comm_group G"
  shows "((\lambda(x,y), x \otimes y) \in iso (DirProd (subgroup_generated G A) (subgroup_generated
G B)) G
     \longleftrightarrow A \cap B \subseteq {1} \land A <#> B = carrier G)"
proof (rule iso_group_mul_gen)
  interpret comm_group
    by (rule assms)
  show "A \lhd G"
    by (simp add: AG.subgroup_axioms subgroup_imp_normal)
  show "B \lhd G"
```
**by** (simp add: BG.subgroup\_axioms subgroup\_imp\_normal) **qed**

**end**

### **17.2 The one-element group on a given object**

```
definition singleton_group :: "'a ⇒ 'a monoid"
  where "singleton_group a = (carrier = \{a\}, \text{monic.mult} = (\lambda x y. a),one = a)"
lemma singleton_group [simp]: "group (singleton_group a)"
  unfolding singleton_group_def by (auto intro: groupI)
lemma singleton_abelian_group [simp]: "comm_group (singleton_group a)"
 by (metis group.group_comm_groupI monoid.simps(1) singleton_group singleton_group_def)
lemma carrier_singleton_group [simp]: "carrier (singleton_group a) =
{a}"
 by (auto simp: singleton_group_def)
lemma (in group) hom_into_singleton_iff [simp]:
  "h \in hom G (singleton_group a) \longleftrightarrow h \in carrier G \rightarrow {a}"
 by (auto simp: hom_def singleton_group_def)
declare group.hom_into_singleton_iff [simp]
lemma (in group) id_hom_singleton: "id ∈ hom (singleton_group 1) G"
```
# **17.3 Similarly, trivial groups**

**by** (simp add: hom\_def singleton\_group\_def)

```
definition trivial_group :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme \Rightarrow bool"
  where "trivial_group G \equiv group G \wedge carrier G = {one G}"
lemma trivial_imp_finite_group:
   "trivial_group G \implies finite(carrier G)"
  by (simp add: trivial_group_def)
lemma trivial singleton group [simp]: "trivial group(singleton group
a)"
  by (metis monoid.simps(2) partial_object.simps(1) singleton_group singleton_group_def
trivial_group_def)
lemma (in group) trivial_group_subset:
   "trivial_group G \leftrightarrow carrier G \subseteq {one G}"
  using is_group trivial_group_def by fastforce
lemma (in group) trivial_group: "trivial_group G ←→ (∃ a. carrier G
= \{a\})"
```

```
unfolding trivial_group_def using one_closed is_group by fastforce
lemma (in group) trivial_group_alt:
   "trivial group G \leftrightarrow (\exists a. carrier G \subset \{a\})"
  by (auto simp: trivial_group)
lemma (in group) trivial_group_subgroup_generated:
 assumes "S ⊆ {one G}"
 shows "trivial_group(subgroup_generated G S)"
proof -
 have "carrier (subgroup_generated G S) \subseteq \{1\}"
    using generate_empty generate_one subset_singletonD assms
    by (fastforce simp add: carrier_subgroup_generated)
 then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: group.trivial_group_subset)
qed
lemma (in group) trivial_group_subgroup_generated_eq:
  "trivial_group(subgroup_generated G s) \longleftrightarrow carrier G \cap s \subseteq {one G}"
 apply (rule iffI)
   apply (force simp: trivial_group_def carrier_subgroup_generated generate.incl)
  by (metis subgroup_generated_restrict trivial_group_subgroup_generated)
lemma isomorphic_group_triviality1:
  assumes "G ≅ H" "group H" "trivial_group G"
 shows "trivial_group H"
 using assms
  by (auto simp: trivial_group_def is_iso_def iso_def group.is_monoid
Group.group_def bij_betw_def hom_one)
lemma isomorphic_group_triviality:
  assumes "G ∼= H" "group G" "group H"
 shows "trivial_group G ←→ trivial_group H"
 by (meson assms group.iso_sym isomorphic_group_triviality1)
lemma (in group_hom) kernel_from_trivial_group:
   "trivial_group G \implies kernel G H h = carrier G"
  by (auto simp: trivial_group_def kernel_def)
lemma (in group_hom) image_from_trivial_group:
   "trivial_group G \implies h ' carrier G = \{one \ H\}"
  by (auto simp: trivial_group_def)
lemma (in group hom) kernel to trivial group:
   "trivial_group H \implies kernel G H h = carrier G"
  unfolding kernel_def trivial_group_def
  using hom_closed by blast
```
#### **17.4 The additive group of integers**

```
definition integer_group
  where "integer_group = |carrier = UNIV, monoid.mult = (+), one = (0:int)|"
lemma group_integer_group [simp]: "group integer_group"
 unfolding integer_group_def
proof (rule groupI; simp)
  show "\bigwedge x::int. \exists y. y + x = 0"
 by presburger
qed
lemma carrier_integer_group [simp]: "carrier integer_group = UNIV"
  by (auto simp: integer_group_def)
lemma one_interest\_group [simp]: "1_{integer\_group} = 0"
 by (auto simp: integer_group_def)
lemma mult_integer_group [simp]: "x \otimes<sub>integer</sub> group y = x + y"
 by (auto simp: integer_group_def)
lemma inv_integer_group [simp]: "inv<sub>integer</sub> group x = -x"
  by (rule group.inv_equality [OF group_integer_group]) (auto simp: integer_group_def)
lemma abelian_integer_group: "comm_group integer_group"
 by (rule group.group_comm_groupI [OF group_integer_group]) (auto simp:
integer_group_def)
lemma group_nat_pow_integer_group [simp]:
 fixes n::nat and x::int
  shows "pow integer_group x n = int n * x"
 by (induction n) (auto simp: integer_group_def algebra_simps)
lemma group_int_pow_integer_group [simp]:
 fixes n::int and x::int
 shows "pow integer_group x n = n * x"
 by (simp add: int_pow_def2)
lemma (in group) hom_integer_group_pow:
   "x \in carrier G \implies pow G \times \in hom integer group G''by (rule homI) (auto simp: int_pow_mult)
17.5 Additive group of integers modulo n (n = 0 gives just
       the integers)
definition integer_mod_group :: "nat ⇒ int monoid"
  where
  "integer_mod_group n ≡
     if n = 0 then integer group
     else (carrier = {0..<int n}, monoid.mult = (\lambda x y. (x+y) mod int n),
```

```
one = 0||"
lemma carrier_integer_mod_group:
  "carrier(integer mod group n) = (if n=0 then UNIV else {0..sint n})"
 by (simp add: integer_mod_group_def)
lemma one integer mod group[simp]: "one(integer mod group n) = 0"
  by (simp add: integer_mod_group_def)
lemma mult_integer_mod_group[simp]: "monoid.mult(integer_mod_group n)
= (\lambda x y. (x + y) \mod int n)"
 by (simp add: integer_mod_group_def integer_group_def)
lemma group_integer_mod_group [simp]: "group (integer_mod_group n)"
proof -
 have *: "\exists y \geq 0. y < int n \land (y + x) mod int n = 0" if "x < int n" "0
≤ x" for x
 proof (cases "x=0")
    case False
    with that show ?thesis
      by (rule_tac x="int n - x" in exI) auto
 qed (use that in auto)
 show ?thesis
    apply (rule groupI)
        apply (auto simp: integer_mod_group_def Bex_def *, presburger+)
    done
qed
lemma inv integer mod group[simp]:
 "x \in carrier (integer_model-group n) \implies m_inv(integer_model group n) x= (-x) mod int n"
 by (rule group.inv_equality [OF group_integer_mod_group]) (auto simp:
integer_mod_group_def add.commute mod_add_right_eq)
lemma pow_integer_mod_group [simp]:
 fixes m::nat
 shows "pow (integer_mod_group n) x = (int m * x) mod int n"
proof (cases "n=0")
  case False
 show ?thesis
    by (induction m) (auto simp: add.commute mod_add_right_eq distrib_left
mult.commute)
qed (simp add: integer_mod_group_def)
lemma int_pow_integer_mod_group:
  "pow (integer mod group n) x m = (m * x) mod int n"
proof -
 have "inv<sub>integer</sub> mod group n (- (m * x) mod int n) = m * x mod int n"
```

```
by (simp add: carrier_integer_mod_group mod_minus_eq)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: int_pow_def2)
qed
lemma abelian_integer_mod_group [simp]: "comm_group(integer_mod_group
n)"
  by (simp add: add.commute group.group comm groupI)
lemma integer_mod_group_0 [simp]: "0 ∈ carrier(integer_mod_group n)"
  by (simp add: integer_mod_group_def)
lemma integer_mod_group_1 [simp]: "1 ∈ carrier(integer_mod_group n)
\longleftrightarrow (n \neq 1)"
  by (auto simp: integer_mod_group_def)
lemma trivial_integer_mod_group: "trivial_group(integer_mod_group n)
\longleftrightarrow n = 1"
  (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof
  assume ?lhs
  then show ?rhs
  by (simp add: trivial_group_def carrier_integer_mod_group set_eq_iff
split: if_split_asm) (presburger+)
next
  assume ?rhs
  then show ?lhs
    by (force simp: trivial group def carrier integer mod group)
qed
```
### **17.6 Cyclic groups**

```
lemma (in group) subgroup_of_powers:
  "x \in carrier G \implies subgroup (range (\lambdan::int. x [^] n)) G''apply (auto simp: subgroup_def image_iff simp flip: int_pow_mult int_pow_neg)
  apply (metis group.int_pow_diff int_pow_closed is_group r_inv)
  done
lemma (in group) carrier_subgroup_generated_by_singleton:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows "carrier(subgroup_generated G \{x\}) = (range (\lambda n::int. x [\hat{\ }] n))"
proof
  show "carrier (subgroup_generated G {x}) \subseteq range (\lambdan::int. x [^] n)"
  proof (rule subgroup_generated_minimal)
    show "subgroup (range (\lambda n::int. x [^] n)) G"
      using assms subgroup_of_powers by blast
    show "{x} \subseteq \text{range } (\lambda n::\text{int. } x [\hat{\ }]) n"
      by clarify (metis assms int_pow_1 range_eqI)
  qed
```

```
have x: "x ∈ carrier (subgroup_generated G {x})"
    using assms subgroup_generated_subset_carrier_subset by auto
 show "range (\lambda n::int. x [^] n) \subseteq carrier (subgroup_generated G {x})"
 proof clarify
    fix n :: "int"
    show "x [\hat{\ }] n \in carrier (subgroup_generated G {x})"
        by (simp add: x subgroup int pow closed subgroup subgroup generated)
  qed
qed
definition cyclic_group
  where "cyclic_group G = \exists x \in carrier G. subgroup_generated G \{x\} =G''lemma (in group) cyclic_group:
  "cyclic_group G \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in carrier \ G. carrier G = range (\lambda n):int. x[\hat{\ }] n))"
proof -
  have "∧x. [x ∈ carrier G; carrier G = range (λn::int. x [^] n)]
         =⇒ ∃ x∈carrier G. subgroup_generated G {x} = G"
    by (rule_tac x=x in bexI) (auto simp: generate_pow subgroup_generated_def
intro!: monoid.equality)
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding cyclic_group_def
    using carrier subgroup generated by singleton by fastforce
qed
lemma cyclic_integer_group [simp]: "cyclic_group integer_group"
proof -
 have *: "int n ∈ generate integer_group {1}" for n
 proof (induction n)
    case 0
    then show ?case
    using generate.simps by force
 next
    case (Suc n)
    then show ?case
    by simp (metis generate.simps insert_subset integer_group_def monoid.simps(1)
subsetI)
 qed
 have **: "i ∈ generate integer_group {1}" for i
 proof (cases i rule: int_cases)
    case (nonneg n)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: *)
  next
    case (neg n)
    then have "-i \in generate integer_group \{1\}"
```

```
by (metis "*" add.inverse_inverse)
    then have "- (-i) ∈ generate integer_group {1}"
      by (metis UNIV_I group.generate_m_inv_closed group_integer_group
integer_group_def inv_integer_group partial_object.select_convs(1) subsetI)
    then show ?thesis
      by simp
 qed
 show ?thesis
    unfolding cyclic_group_def
    by (rule_tac x=1 in bexI)
       (auto simp: carrier_subgroup_generated ** intro: monoid.equality)
qed
lemma nontrivial_integer_group [simp]: "¬ trivial_group integer_group"
  using integer_mod_group_def trivial_integer_mod_group by presburger
lemma (in group) cyclic_imp_abelian_group:
  "cyclic_group G \implies comm_group G''apply (auto simp: cyclic_group comm_group_def is_group intro!: monoid_comm_monoidI)
 apply (metis add.commute int_pow_mult rangeI)
 done
lemma trivial_imp_cyclic_group:
   "trivial_group G \implies cyclic_group G''by (metis cyclic_group_def group.subgroup_generated_group_carrier insertI1
trivial group def)
lemma (in group) cyclic_group_alt:
   "cyclic_group G \leftrightarrow (\exists x. \text{ subgroup\_generated } G \{x\} = G)"
proof safe
 fix x
  assume *: "subgroup_generated G {x} = G"
 show "cyclic_group G"
 proof (cases "x ∈ carrier G")
   case True
    then show ?thesis
      using ‹ subgroup_generated G {x} = G› cyclic_group_def by blast
 next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis "*" Int_empty_right Int_insert_right_if0 carrier_subgroup_generated
generate_empty trivial_group trivial_imp_cyclic_group)
  qed
qed (auto simp: cyclic_group_def)
lemma (in group) cyclic_group_generated:
  "cyclic group (subgroup generated G({x})"
  using group.cyclic_group_alt group_subgroup_generated subgroup_generated2
```
**by** blast

```
lemma (in group) cyclic_group_epimorphic_image:
 assumes "h ∈ epi G H" "cyclic_group G" "group H"
 shows "cyclic_group H"
proof -
 interpret h: group_hom
    using assms
    by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def is_group epi_def)
 obtain x where "x ∈ carrier G" and x: "carrier G = range (λn::int.
x [^] n)" and eq: "carrier H = h ' carrier G"
    using assms by (auto simp: cyclic_group epi_def)
  have "h ' carrier G = \text{range } (\lambda n : \text{int. h x } [\hat{ } ]_H n)"
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) ‹ x ∈ carrier G› h.hom_int_pow image_cong
image_image x)
 then show ?thesis
    using ‹ x ∈ carrier G› eq h.cyclic_group by blast
qed
lemma isomorphic_group_cyclicity:
   "[[G ∼= H; group G; group H]] =⇒ cyclic_group G ←→ cyclic_group H"
  by (meson ex_in_conv group.cyclic_group_epimorphic_image group.iso_sym
is_iso_def iso_iff_mon_epi)
```

```
end
```

```
theory Multiplicative_Group
imports
 Complex_Main
 Group
 Coset
 UnivPoly
 Generated_Groups
 Elementary_Groups
begin
```
# **18 Simplification Rules for Polynomials**

```
lemma (in ring_hom_cring) hom_sub[simp]:
 assumes "x ∈ carrier R" "y ∈ carrier R"
 shows "h (x \ominus y) = h x \ominus s h y"
  using assms by (simp add: R.minus_eq S.minus_eq)
context UP_ring begin
lemma deg_nzero_nzero:
 assumes deg p nzero: "deg R p \neq 0"
```

```
shows "p \neq 0<sup>"</sup>
 using deg_zero deg_p_nzero by auto
lemma deg_add_eq:
 assumes c: "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
 assumes "deg R q \neq deg R p"
 shows "deg R (p \oplus p q) = max (deg R p) (deg R q)"
proof -
 let ?m = "max (deg R p) (deg R q)"
  from assms have "coeff P p ?m = 0 \leftrightarrow coeff P q ?m \neq 0"
    by (metis deg_belowI lcoeff_nonzero[OF deg_nzero_nzero] linear max.absorb_iff2
max.absorb1)
  then have "coeff P (p \oplus p q) ?m \neq 0"
    using assms by auto
 then have "deg R (p \oplus p q) \geq ?m"
    using assms by (blast intro: deg_belowI)
  with deg_add[OF c] show ?thesis by arith
qed
lemma deg_minus_eq:
 assumes "p \in carrier P" "q \in carrier P" "deg R q \neq deg R p"
 shows "deg R (p \ominus p q) = max (deg R p) (deg R q)"
 using assms by (simp add: deg_add_eq a_minus_def)
end
context UP_cring begin
lemma evalRR_add:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
 assumes x: "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval R R id x (p \oplus p q) = eval R R id x p \oplus eval R R id x q"
proof -
 interpret UP_pre_univ_prop R R id by unfold_locales simp
 interpret ring_hom_cring P R "eval R R id x" by unfold_locales (rule
eval ring hom[0F x])
 show ?thesis using assms by simp
qed
lemma evalRR_sub:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
 assumes x: "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval R R id x (p \ominus p q) = eval R R id x p \ominus eval R R id x q"
proof -
 interpret UP_pre_univ_prop R R id by unfold_locales simp
 interpret ring_hom_cring P R "eval R R id x" by unfold_locales (rule
eval ring hom[OF x])
 show ?thesis using assms by simp
qed
```
```
lemma evalRR_mult:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier P" "q ∈ carrier P"
 assumes x: "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval R R id x (p \otimesp q) = eval R R id x p \otimes eval R R id x q"
proof -
 interpret UP_pre_univ_prop R R id by unfold_locales simp
 interpret ring_hom_cring P R "eval R R id x" by unfold_locales (rule
eval_ring_hom[OF x])
 show ?thesis using assms by simp
qed
lemma evalRR_monom:
 assumes a: "a ∈ carrier R" and x: "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval R R id x (monom P a d) = a \otimes x [^] d"
proof -
 interpret UP_pre_univ_prop R R id by unfold_locales simp
 show ?thesis using assms by (simp add: eval_monom)
qed
lemma evalRR_one:
 assumes x: "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval R R id x 1<sub>P</sub> = 1"
proof -
 interpret UP_pre_univ_prop R R id by unfold_locales simp
 interpret ring_hom_cring P R "eval R R id x" by unfold_locales (rule
eval ring hom[OF x])
 show ?thesis using assms by simp
qed
lemma carrier_evalRR:
 assumes x: "x ∈ carrier R" and "p ∈ carrier P"
 shows "eval R R id x p ∈ carrier R"
proof -
 interpret UP_pre_univ_prop R R id by unfold_locales simp
 interpret ring_hom_cring P R "eval R R id x" by unfold_locales (rule
eval_ring_hom[OF x])
 show ?thesis using assms by simp
qed
lemmas evalRR_simps = evalRR_add evalRR_sub evalRR_mult evalRR_monom
evalRR_one carrier_evalRR
```

```
end
```
## **19** Properties of the Euler  $\phi$ -function

```
In this section we prove that for every positive natural number the equation
\sum_{d|n}^{n} \varphi(d) = n holds.
lemma dvd_div_ge_1:
  fixes a b :: nat
  assumes "a \geq 1" "b dvd a"
  shows "a div b > 1"
proof -
  from \langleb dvd a\rangle obtain c where "a = b * c" ..
  with \langle a \rangle \geq 1 show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma dvd_nat_bounds:
fixes n p :: nat
assumes "p > 0" "n dvd p"
shows "n > 0 \land n \leq p"
 using assms by (simp add: dvd_pos_nat dvd_imp_le)
definition phi' :: "nat => nat"
  where "phi' m = card {x. 1 \le x \land x \le m \land coprime x m}"
notation (latex output)
  phi' ("\varphi \)'lemma phi'_nonzero:
  assumes "m > 0"
  shows "phi' m > 0"
proof -
  have "1 ∈ {x. 1 ≤ x \land x ≤ m \land coprime x m}" using assms by simp
  hence "card \{x. 1 \le x \land x \le m \land coprime x \ne m\} > 0" by (auto simp: card_gt_0_iff)
  thus ?thesis unfolding phi'_def by simp
qed
lemma dvd_div_eq_1:
  fixes a b c :: nat
  assumes "c dvd a" "c dvd b" "a div c = b div c"
  shows "a = b" using assms dvd_mult_div_cancel[OF ‹ c dvd a› ] dvd_mult_div_cancel[OF
‹ c dvd b› ]
                 by presburger
lemma dvd_div_eq_2:
  fixes a b c :: nat
  assumes "c>0" "a dvd c" "b dvd c" "c div a = c div b"
  shows "a = b"
  proof -
  have "a > 0" "a \leq c" using dvd_nat_bounds[OF assms(1-2)] by auto
  have "a*(c div a) = c" using assms dvd_mult_div_cancel by fastforce
```
also have "... =  $b*(c \div a)$ " using assms dvd\_mult\_div\_cancel by fastforce **finally show** "a = b" **using** *‹* c>0*›* dvd\_div\_ge\_1[OF \_ *‹* a dvd c*›* ] **by** fastforce **qed**

```
lemma div_mult_mono:
 fixes a b c :: nat
 assumes "a > 0" "a≤d"
 shows "a * b div d < b"
proof -
  have "a*b div d ≤ b*a div a" using assms div_le_mono2 mult.commute[of
a b] by presburger
  thus ?thesis using assms by force
qed
```
We arrive at the main result of this section: For every positive natural number the equation  $\sum_{d|n}^{n} \varphi(d) = n$  holds.

The outline of the proof for this lemma is as follows: We count the  $n$  fractions  $1/n, \ldots, (n-1)/n, n/n$ . We analyze the reduced form  $a/d = m/n$  for any of those fractions. We want to know how many fractions  $m/n$  have the reduced form denominator d. The condition  $1 \leq m \leq n$  is equivalent to the condition  $1 \le a \le d$ . Therefore we want to know how many a with  $1 \le a \le d$  exist, s.t. gcd a d = (1::'a). This number is exactly  $\varphi$  d.

Finally, by counting the fractions  $m/n$  according to their reduced form denominator, we get:

 $(\sum d \mid d \text{ d} \text{ vd } n. \varphi d) = n$ 

. To formalize this proof in Isabelle, we analyze for an arbitrary divisor d of  $\overline{n}$ 

- the set of reduced form numerators {a.  $1 \le a \land a \le d \land$  coprime a d}
- the set of numerators m, for which  $m/n$  has the reduced form denominator d, i.e. the set  ${m \in \{1..n\}}$ . n div gcd m n = d}

We show that  $\lambda$ a. a \* n div d with the inverse  $\lambda$ a. a div gcd a n is a bijection between theses sets, thus yielding the equality

 $\varphi$  d = card {m  $\in$  {1..n}. n div gcd m n = d}

This gives us

 $(\sum d \mid d \text{ d} \text{ vd } n. \varphi d) = \text{card } (\bigcup_{d \in \{d. \ d \text{ d} \text{ vd } n\}} \{m \in \{1..n\}. \ n \text{ div gcd } m$ n = d})

and by showing  $\{1..n\} \subseteq (\bigcup_{d \in \{d. \ d \text{ dvd } n\}} \{m \in \{1..n\}. \ n \text{ div gcd } m \}$ = d}) (this is our counting argument) the thesis follows.

```
lemma sum_phi'_factors:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes "n > 0"
 shows "(\sum d \mid d \text{ d} \text{ vd } n \text{ phi'} d) = n"
proof -
  { fix d assume "d dvd n" then obtain q where q: "n = d * q" ..
    have "card {a. 1 \leq a \land a \leq d \land coprime a d} = card {m \in {1 .. n}.
n div gcd m n = d}"
          (is "card ?RF = card ?F")
    proof (rule card_bij_eq)
      \{\text{fix a b} \text{ assume "a * n div d = b * n div d"}\}hence "a * (n div d) = b * (n div d)"
          using dvd_div_mult[OF ‹ d dvd n› ] by (fastforce simp add: mult.commute)
        hence "a = b" using dvd_div_ge_1[OF _ ‹ d dvd n› ] ‹ n>0›
          by (simp add: mult.commute nat_mult_eq_cancel1)
      } thus "inj_on (λa. a*n div d) ?RF" unfolding inj_on_def by blast
      { fix a assume a: "a∈?RF"
        hence "a * (n div d) \geq 1" using \langlen\rangle0\rangle dvd_div_ge_1[OF _ \langled dvd
n› ] by simp
        hence ge_1: "a * n div d \geq 1" by (simp add: \leq d dvd n\geq div_mult_swap)
        have le_n: "a * n div d ≤ n" using div_mult_mono a by simp
        have "gcd (a * n div d) n = n div d * gcd a d"
          by (simp add: gcd_mult_distrib_nat q ac_simps)
        hence "n div gcd (a * n div d) n = d*n div (d*(n div d))" us-
ing a by simp
        hence "a * n div d \in ?F"using ge_1 le_n by (fastforce simp add: ‹ d dvd n› )
      } thus "(λa. a*n div d) ' ?RF ⊆ ?F" by blast
      { fix m l assume A: "m ∈ ?F" "l ∈ ?F" "m div gcd m n = l div gcd
l n"
        hence "gcd m n = gcd l n" using dvd_div_eq_2[OF assms] by fastforce
        hence "m = l" using dvd_div_eq_1[of "gcd m n" m l] A(3) by fastforce
      } thus "inj_on (λa. a div gcd a n) ?F" unfolding inj_on_def by
blast
      { fix m assume "m ∈ ?F"
        hence "m div gcd m n \in ?RF" using dvd_div_ge_1
           by (fastforce simp add: div_le_mono div_gcd_coprime)
      } thus "(λa. a div gcd a n) ' ?F ⊆ ?RF" by blast
    qed force+
  } hence phi'_eq: "\d. d dvd n = > phi' d = card {m ∈ {1 .. n}. n div
gcd m n = d<sup>"</sup>
      unfolding phi'_def by presburger
  have fin: "finite {d. d dvd n}" using dvd_nat_bounds[OF ‹ n>0› ] by force
  have "(\sum d \mid d \text{ d} \text{ vd } n \text{. phi'} d)= card (\bigcup d \in {d. d dvd n}. {m \in {1 .. n}. n div gcd
m n = d)"
    using card UN disjoint [OF fin, of "(\lambda d. \{m \in \{1 \dots n\} \dots n\}) all \alpha gcd m
n = d)"] phi' eq
    by fastforce
```

```
also have "(\bigcup d \in \{d. d \text{ dvd } n\}. \{m \in \{1 \dots n\}. n \text{ div gcd } m n = d\}) =
{1 .. n}" (is "?L = ?R")
  proof
    show "?L ⊇ ?R"
    proof
       fix m assume m: "m \in ?R"thus "m \in ?L" using dvd triv right[of "n div gcd m n" "gcd m n"]
         by simp
    qed
  qed fastforce
  finally show ?thesis by force
qed
```
## **20 Order of an Element of a Group**

```
context group begin
definition (in group) ord :: "'a \Rightarrow nat" where
  "ord x \equiv (\mathbb{Q}d. \forall n::nat. x \upharpoonright] n = 1 \leftrightarrow d dvd n)"
lemma (in group) pow_eq_id:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows "x [\uparrow] n = 1 \longleftrightarrow (ord x) dvd n"
proof (cases "\forall n::nat. pow G x n = one G \rightarrow n = 0")
  case True
  show ?thesis
    unfolding ord_def
    by (rule someI2 [where a=0]) (auto simp: True)
next
  case False
  define N where "N \equiv LEAST n::nat. x [^] n = 1 \land n > 0"
  have N: "x [^] N = 1 \wedge N > 0"using False
    apply (simp add: N_def)
    by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) LeastI)
  have eq0: "n = 0" if "x [^] n = 1" "n < N" for n
    using N_def not_less_Least that by fastforce
  show ?thesis
    unfolding ord_def
  proof (rule someI2 [where a = N], rule allI)
    fix n :: "nat"
    show "(x [^] n = 1) \longleftrightarrow (N dvd n)"
    proof (cases "n = 0")
      case False
      show ?thesis
         unfolding dvd_def
      proof safe
         assume 1: "x [^] n = 1"
         have "x [\hat{ } ] n = x [\hat{ } ] (n mod N + N * (n div N))"
```

```
by simp
         also have "... = x [^] (n mod N) \otimes x [^] (N * (n div N))"
           by (simp add: assms nat_pow_mult)
         also have "\ldots = x \lceil \hat{ } \rceil (n mod N)"
           by (metis N assms l_cancel_one nat_pow_closed nat_pow_one nat_pow_pow)
         finally have "x [\hat{\ }] (n mod N) = 1"
           by (simp add: "1")
         then have "n mod N = 0"
           using N eq0 mod_less_divisor by blast
         then show "∃k. n = N * k"
           by blast
       next
         fix k :: "nat"
         assume \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{N} * \mathbb{R}^nwith N \text{ show } "x [^n] (N * k) = 1"by (metis assms nat_pow_one nat_pow_pow)
       qed
    qed simp
  qed blast
qed
lemma (in group) pow_ord_eq_1 [simp]:
   "x \in carrier G \implies x [^] ord x = 1"
  by (simp add: pow_eq_id)
lemma (in group) int_pow_eq_id:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows "(pow G x i = one G \longleftrightarrow int (ord x) dvd i)"
proof (cases i rule: int_cases2)
  case (nonneg n)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: int_pow_int pow_eq_id assms)
next
  case (nonpos n)
  then have "x [\hat{\ }] i = inv (x [\hat{\ }] n)"
    by (simp add: assms int_pow_int int_pow_neg)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms pow_eq_id nonpos)
qed
lemma (in group) int_pow_eq:
   "x \in carrier G \implies (x [\hat{ } ] m = x [\hat{ } ] n) \longleftrightarrow int (ord x) dvd (n - m)"apply (simp flip: int_pow_eq_id)
  by (metis int_pow_closed int_pow_diff inv_closed r_inv right_cancel)
lemma (in group) ord_eq_0:
   "x \in carrier G \implies (ord x = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\foralln::nat. n \neq 0 \longrightarrow x [\cap] n \neq 1))"
  by (auto simp: pow_eq_id)
```

```
lemma (in group) ord_unique:
   "x \in carrier G \implies ord x = d \leftrightarrow (\forall n. pow G \times n = one G \leftrightarrow d dvd
n)"
  by (meson dvd_antisym dvd_refl pow_eq_id)
lemma (in group) ord_eq_1:
   "x \in carrier G \implies (ord x = 1 \leftrightarrow x = 1)"
  by (metis pow eq id nat dvd 1 iff 1 nat pow eone)
lemma (in group) ord_id [simp]:
   "ord (one G) = 1"using ord_eq_1 by blast
lemma (in group) ord_inv [simp]:
   "x ∈ carrier G
        \implies ord (m inv G x) = ord x"
  by (simp add: ord_unique pow_eq_id nat_pow_inv)
lemma (in group) ord_pow:
  assumes "x \in carrier G" "k dvd ord x" "k \neq 0"
  shows "ord (pow G x k) = ord x div k"
proof -
  have "(x [\hat{ } ] k) [\hat{ } ] (ord x div k) = 1"
    using assms by (simp add: nat_pow_pow)
  moreover have "ord x dvd k * ord (x [^] k)"
    by (metis assms(1) pow_ord_eq_1 pow_eq_id nat_pow_closed nat_pow_pow)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis assms div_dvd_div dvd_antisym dvd_triv_left pow_eq_id nat_pow_closed
nonzero mult div cancel left)
qed
lemma (in group) ord_mul_divides:
  assumes eq: "x ⊗ y = y ⊗ x" and xy: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier
G''shows "ord (x \otimes y) dvd (ord x * ord y)"
apply (simp add: xy flip: pow_eq_id eq)
  by (metis dvd_triv_left dvd_triv_right eq pow_eq_id one_closed pow_mult_distrib
r_one xy)
lemma (in comm_group) abelian_ord_mul_divides:
   "\[x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G\]\implies ord (x \otimes y) dvd (ord x * ord y)"
  by (simp add: ord_mul_divides m_comm)
lemma ord_inj:
  assumes a: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "inj on (\lambda x \cdot a [^{\frown}] x) {0 .. ord a - 1}"
proof -
  let ?M = "Max (ord ' carrier G)"
```

```
have "finite \{d \in \{...\}?M}. a [^{\uparrow}] d = 1}" by auto
  have *: False if A: "x < y" "x ∈ {0 .. ord a - 1}" "y ∈ {0 .. ord a
-1<sup>"</sup>
         "a [^] x = a [^] y" for x y
  proof -
    have "y - x < ord a" using that by auto
    moreover have "a \lceil \hat{ } \rceil (y-x) = 1" using a A by (simp add: pow eq div2)
    ultimately have "min (y - x) (ord a) = ord a"
      using A(1) a pow_eq_id by auto
    with ‹ y - x < ord a› show False by linarith
  qed
  show ?thesis
    unfolding inj_on_def by (metis nat_neq_iff *)
qed
lemma ord_inj':
  assumes a: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "inj_on (\lambda x \cdot a [^{\frown}] x) {1 .. ord a}"
proof (rule inj_onI, rule ccontr)
  fix x y :: nat
  assume A: "x \in \{1 \dots \text{ord } a\}" "y \in \{1 \dots \text{ord } a\}" "a [^] x = a [^] y"
"x \neq y"{ assume "x < ord a" "y < ord a"
    hence False using ord_inj[OF assms] A unfolding inj_on_def by fastforce
  }
  moreover
  { assume "x = ord a" "y < ord a"
    hence "a [\hat{ } ] y = a [\hat{ } ] (0::nat)" using pow ord eq 1 A by (simp add:
a)
    hence "y=0" using ord_inj[OF assms] ‹ y < ord a› unfolding inj_on_def
by force
    hence False using A by fastforce
  }
  moreover
  { assume "y = ord a" "x < ord a"
    hence "a \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \end{bmatrix} x = a \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \end{bmatrix} (0::nat)" using pow_ord_eq_1 A by (simp add:
a)
    hence "x=0" using ord_inj[OF assms] ‹ x < ord a› unfolding inj_on_def
by force
    hence False using A by fastforce
  }
  ultimately show False using A by force
qed
lemma (in group) ord_ge_1:
  assumes finite: "finite (carrier G)" and a: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "ord a > 1"
proof -
```

```
have "((λn::nat. a [^] n) ' {0<..}) ⊆ carrier G"
    using a by blast
  then have "finite ((\lambda n:nat. a [^]) n) ' {0 \lt .. })"
    using finite_subset finite by auto
  then have "\neg inj on (\lambdan::nat. a [^] n) {0<..}"
    using finite_imageD infinite_Ioi by blast
  then obtain i j::nat where "i \neq j" "a [^] i = a [^] j"
    by (auto simp: inj_on_def)
  then have "∃n::nat. n>0 \land a [^] n = 1"
    by (metis a diffs0_imp_equal pow_eq_div2 neq0_conv)
  then have "ord a \neq 0"
    by (simp add: ord_eq_0 [OF a])
  then show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma ord_elems:
  assumes "finite (carrier G)" "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "{a^c}]x | x. x \in (UNIV :: nat set)} = {a^c}]x | x. x \in {0 .. ord
a - 1}}" (is "?L = ?R")
proof
  show "?R ⊆ ?L" by blast
  { fix y assume "y ∈ ?L"
    then obtain x::nat where x: "y = a[^{\uparrow}]x" by auto
    define r q where "r = x mod ord a" and "q = x div ord a"
    then have "x = q * ord a + r"
      by (simp add: div_mult_mod_eq)
    hence "y = (a[\hat{}] \text{ord } a)[\hat{}]q \otimes a[\hat{}]r"using x assms by (metis mult.commute nat_pow_mult nat_pow_pow)
    hence "y = a[\hat{}]r" using assms by (simp add: pow\_ord\_eq\_1)
    have "r < ord a" using ord_ge_1[OF assms] by (simp add: r_def)
    hence "r \in \{0 \dots \text{ord } a - 1\}" by (force simp: r<sub>-</sub>def)
    hence "y ∈ {a[^]x | x. x ∈ {0 .. ord a - 1}}" using \langley=a[^]r> by
blast
  }
  thus "?L ⊆ ?R" by auto
qed
lemma (in group)
  assumes "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows finite_cyclic_subgroup:
         "finite(carrier(subgroup_generated G {x})) \longleftrightarrow (\existsn::nat. n \neq0 ∧ x [^] n = 1)" (is "?fin ←→ ?nat1")
    and infinite_cyclic_subgroup:
         "infinite(carrier(subgroup\_generated G \{x\})) \longleftrightarrow (\forall m n: :nat.x [^{\uparrow}] m = x [^{\uparrow}] n \longrightarrow m = n)" (is "\neg ?fin \longleftrightarrow ?nateq")
    and finite cyclic subgroup int:
         "finite(carrier(subgroup generated G {x})) \longleftrightarrow (∃i::int. i \neq0 ∧ x [^] i = 1)" (is "?fin ←→ ?int1")
```

```
and infinite_cyclic_subgroup_int:
         "infinite (carrier (subgroup\_generated G {x})) \iff (\forall i j::int.x [^{\uparrow}] i = x [^{\uparrow}] j \rightarrow i = j)" (is "\neg ?fin \leftrightarrow ?inteq")
proof -
  have 1: "¬ ?fin" if ?nateq
  proof -
    have "infinite (range (\lambda n::nat. x [\hat{\ }] n))"
      using that range inj infinite [of "(\lambda n: \text{nat. x } [\hat{\ }]) n)"] by (auto
simp: inj_on_def)
    moreover have "range (λn::nat. x [^] n) ⊆ range (λi::int. x [^]
i)"
      apply clarify
      by (metis assms group.int_pow_neg int_pow_closed int_pow_neg_int
is_group local.inv_equality nat_pow_closed r_inv rangeI)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using carrier_subgroup_generated_by_singleton [OF assms] finite_subset
by auto
  qed
  have 2: m = n'' if mn: x \lceil \frac{1}{n} \rceil = x \lceil \frac{1}{n} \rceil and eq \lceil \frac{1}{n} \rceil format]: "?inteq"
for m n::nat
    using eq [of "int m" "int n"]
    by (simp add: int_pow_int mn)
  have 3: ?nat1 if non: "¬ ?inteq"
  proof -
    obtain i j::int where eq: "x [^] i = x [^] j" and "i \neq j"
      using non by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases i j rule: linorder_cases)
      case less
      then have [simp]: "x [^] (j - i) = 1"by (simp add: eq assms int_pow_diff)
      show ?thesis
         using less by (rule_tac x="nat (j-i)" in exI) auto
    next
      case greater
      then have [simp]: "x [^] (i - j) = 1"by (simp add: eq assms int_pow_diff)
      then show ?thesis
         using greater by (rule_tac x="nat (i-j)" in exI) auto
    qed (use \langle i \neq j \rangle in auto)
  qed
  have 4: "∃i::int. (i \neq 0) ∧ x [^] i = 1" if "n \neq 0" "x [^] n = 1"
for n::nat
    apply (rule_tac x="int n" in exI)
    by (simp add: int_pow_int that)
  have 5: "finite (carrier (subgroup_generated G {x}))" if "i \neq 0" and
1: "x [^] i = 1" for i::int
  proof -
    obtain n::nat where n: "n > 0" "x \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{bmatrix} n = 1"
```

```
using "1" "3" \langle i \neq 0 \rangle by fastforce
    have "x [^] a ∈ ([^]) x ' {0..<n}" for a::int
    proof
      show "x [\hat{\ }] a = x [\hat{\ }] nat (a mod int n)"
        using n
        by simp (metis (no_types, lifting) assms dvd_minus_mod dvd_trans
int pow eq int pow eq id int pow int)
      show "nat (a mod int n) \in \{0..\leq n\}"
        using n by (simp add: nat_less_iff)
    qed
    then have "carrier (subgroup_generated G {x}) \subseteq ([^]) x ' {0.. <n}"
      using carrier_subgroup_generated_by_singleton [OF assms] by auto
    then show ?thesis
      using finite_surj by blast
  qed
  show "?fin ←→ ?nat1" "¬ ?fin ←→ ?nateq" "?fin ←→ ?int1" "¬ ?fin
←→ ?inteq"
    using 1 2 3 4 5 by meson+
qed
lemma (in group) finite_cyclic_subgroup_order:
   "x \in carrier G \implies finite(carrier(subgroup\_generated G {\x}) \leftrightarrow ordx \neq 0"
  by (simp add: finite_cyclic_subgroup ord_eq_0)
lemma (in group) infinite_cyclic_subgroup_order:
   "x ∈ carrier G \implies infinite (carrier(subgroup generated G {x})) \longleftrightarroword x = 0"
  by (simp add: finite_cyclic_subgroup_order)
lemma generate_pow_on_finite_carrier:
  assumes "finite (carrier G)" and a: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "generate G { a } = { a [^] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }"
proof
  show "{ a [^{\uparrow}] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) } \subseteq generate G { a }"
  proof
    fix b assume "b \in { a [^] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }"
    then obtain k :: nat where "b = a [^{\uparrow}] k" by blast
    hence "b = a [\hat{ } ] (int k)"
      by (simp add: int_pow_int)
    thus "b ∈ generate G { a }"
      unfolding generate_pow[OF a] by blast
  qed
next
  show "generate G { a } \subseteq { a [^] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }"
  proof
    fix b assume "b \in generate G \{ a \}"
    then obtain k :: int where k: "b = a \lceil \hat{ } \rceil k"
      unfolding generate_pow[OF a] by blast
```

```
show "b \in { a [^] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }"
    proof (cases "k < 0")
      assume "¬ k < 0"
      hence \mathbb{P} b = a \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{bmatrix} (nat k)
        by (simp add: k)
      thus ?thesis by blast
    next
      assume "k < 0"
      hence b: nb = inv(a [^{\frown}] (nat (-k)))"
        using k a by (auto simp: int_pow_neg)
      obtain m where m: "ord a * m \geq nat (- k)"
        by (metis assms mult.left_neutral mult_le_mono1 ord_ge_1)
      hence "a [^] (ord a * m) = 1"
        by (metis a nat_pow_one nat_pow_pow pow_ord_eq_1)
      then obtain k' :: nat where "(a [\hat{ } ] (nat (-k))) \otimes (a [\hat{ } ] k') =
1"
        using m a nat_le_iff_add nat_pow_mult by auto
      hence "b = a [^] k'"
        using b a by (metis inv_unique' nat_pow_closed nat_pow_comm)
      thus "b \in { a [^{\uparrow}] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }" by blast
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma ord_elems_inf_carrier:
 assumes "a \in carrier G" "ord a \neq 0"
shows "{a^c} | x. x \in (UNIV :: nat set)} = {a^c} | x. x \in {0 .. ord
a - 1}}" (is "?L = ?R")
proof
 show "?R ⊆ ?L" by blast
 { fix y assume "y ∈ ?L"
   then obtain x::nat where x: "y = a[^]x" by auto
   define r q where "r = x mod ord a" and "q = x div ord a"
   then have x = q * ord a + rby (simp add: div_mult_mod_eq)
   hence "y = (a[\uparrow] \text{ord } a)[\uparrow]q \otimes a[\uparrow]r"
     using x assms by (metis mult.commute nat_pow_mult nat_pow_pow)
   hence "y = a[^{\uparrow}]r" using assms by simp
   have "r < ord a" using assms by (simp add: r_def)
   hence "r ∈ {0 .. ord a - 1}" by (force simp: r_def)
   hence "y \in {a[^]x | x. x \in {0 .. ord a - 1}}" using \langley=a[^]r> by
blast
 }
thus "?L ⊆ ?R" by auto
qed
lemma generate_pow_nat:
 assumes a: "a \in carrier G" and "ord a \neq 0"
 shows "generate G { a } = { a [^] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }"
```

```
proof
 show "{ a [^{\uparrow}] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) } \subseteq generate G { a }"
 proof
   fix b assume "b \in { a [^{\uparrow}] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }"
   then obtain k :: nat where "b = a \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \end{bmatrix} k" by blast
   hence "b = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} (int k)"
      by (simp add: int_pow_int)
   thus "b \in generate G \{ a \}"
      unfolding generate_pow[OF a] by blast
 qed
next
 show "generate G { a } \subseteq { a [^] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }"
 proof
   fix b assume "b \in generate G \{ a \}"
   then obtain k :: int where k: "b = a \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \end{bmatrix} k"
      unfolding generate_pow[OF a] by blast
   show "b \in { a [^{\uparrow}] k | k. k \in (UNIV :: nat set) }"
   proof (cases "k < 0")
      assume "¬ k < 0"
      hence "b = a [\hat{ } ] (nat k)"
        by (simp add: k)
      thus ?thesis by blast
   next
      assume "k < 0"
      hence b: "b = inv (a [^{\uparrow}] (nat (-k)))"
        using k a by (auto simp: int_pow_neg)
      obtain m where m: "ord a * m > nat (- k)"
        by (metis assms(2) dvd_imp_le dvd_triv_right le_zero_eq mult_eq_0_iff
not_gr_zero)
      hence "a [^] (ord a * m) = 1"
        by (metis a nat_pow_one nat_pow_pow pow_ord_eq_1)
      then obtain k' :: nat where "(a \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \end{bmatrix} (nat (-k))) \otimes (a \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \end{bmatrix} k') =
1"
        using m a nat_le_iff_add nat_pow_mult by auto
      hence "b = a [^] k'"
        using b a by (metis inv_unique' nat_pow_closed nat_pow_comm)
      thus "b \in \{ a [^{\hat{}} \} \; k \; | \; k. \; k \in (UNIT :: nat set) \; \}" by blast
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma generate_pow_card:
  assumes a: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "ord a = \text{card} (generate G \{ a \})"
proof (cases "ord a = 0")
  case True
  then have "infinite (carrier (subgroup_generated G {a}))"
    using infinite_cyclic_subgroup_order[OF a] by auto
  then have "infinite (generate G {a})"
```

```
unfolding subgroup_generated_def
    using a by simp
  then show ?thesis
    using \langle ord a = 0 by auto
next
 case False
 note finite_subgroup = this
  then have "generate G \{ a \} = (([\hat{c}]) a)' \{ 0 \dots \text{ord } a - 1 \}"
    using generate_pow_nat ord_elems_inf_carrier a by auto
 hence "card (generate G {a}}) = card {0...ord a - 1}"
    using ord_inj[OF a] card_image by metis
  also have "... = ord a" using finite_subgroup by auto
 finally show ?thesis..
qed
lemma (in group) cyclic_order_is_ord:
 assumes "g ∈ carrier G"
 shows "ord g = order (subgroup_generated G {g})"
 unfolding order_def subgroup_generated_def
 using assms generate_pow_card by simp
lemma ord_dvd_group_order:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier G" shows "(ord a) dvd (order G)"
  using lagrange[OF generate_is_subgroup[of "{a}"]] assms
  unfolding generate_pow_card[OF assms]
 by (metis dvd triv right empty subsetI insert subset)
lemma (in group) pow_order_eq_1:
  assumes "a \in carrier G" shows "a \lceil \hat{ } \rceil order G = 1"
  using assms by (metis nat_pow_pow ord_dvd_group_order pow_ord_eq_1 dvdE
nat_pow_one)
lemma dvd_gcd:
 fixes a b :: nat
  obtains q where "a * (b div gcd a b) = b * q"
proof
 have "a * (b div gcd a b) = (a div gcd a b) * b" by (simp add: div_mult_swap
dvd div mult)
 also have "... = b * (a div gcd a b)" by simpfinally show "a * (b div gcd a b) = b * (a div gcd a b) ".
qed
lemma (in group) ord_le_group_order:
 assumes finite: "finite (carrier G)" and a: "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "ord a ≤ order G"
 by (simp add: a dvd_imp_le local.finite ord_dvd_group_order order_gt_0_iff_finite)
lemma (in group) ord_pow_gen:
 assumes "x ∈ carrier G"
```

```
shows "ord (pow G \times k) = (if k = 0 then 1 else ord x div gcd (ord x)
k)"
proof -
  have "ord (x [\hat{ } ] k) = \text{ord } x \text{ div } g c d \text{ (ord } x) k"
    if "0 < k"
  proof -
    have "(d \, dvd \, k * n) = (d \, div \, gcd \, d) k \, dvd \, n" for d n
      using that by (simp add: div dvd iff mult gcd mult distrib nat mult.commute)
    then show ?thesis
      using that by (auto simp add: assms ord_unique nat_pow_pow pow_eq_id)
  qed
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in group)
  assumes finite': "finite (carrier G)" "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows pow_ord_eq_ord_iff: "group.ord G (a [\hat{ } ] k) = ord a \longleftrightarrow coprime
k (ord a)" (is "?L ←→ ?R")
    using assms ord_ge_1 [OF assms]
    by (auto simp: div_eq_dividend_iff ord_pow_gen coprime_iff_gcd_eq_1
gcd.commute split: if_split_asm)
lemma element_generates_subgroup:
  assumes finite[simp]: "finite (carrier G)"
  assumes a[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "subgroup {a [^{\uparrow}] i | i. i \in {0 .. ord a - 1}} G"
  using generate is subgroup[of \lceil {4 a} \rceil"] assms(2)
        generate pow on finite carrier[OF assms]
  unfolding ord_elems[OF assms] by auto
```

```
end
```
# **21 Number of Roots of a Polynomial**

**definition** mult\_of :: "('a, 'b) ring\_scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a monoid" where "mult\_of R  $\equiv$  ( carrier = carrier R -  $\{0_R\}$ , mult = mult R, one =  $1_R$ )" **lemma** carrier\_mult\_of [simp]: "carrier (mult\_of R) = carrier R -  ${0_R}$ " **by** (simp add: mult\_of\_def)  $lemma$  mult mult of  $[simp]$ : "mult (mult of R) = mult R" **by** (simp add: mult\_of\_def) **lemma** nat\_pow\_mult\_of: "( $[\uparrow]_{\text{mult of R}}$ ) = ( $([\uparrow]_R)$  :: \_  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  \_)" **by** (simp add: mult\_of\_def fun\_eq\_iff nat\_pow\_def)  $lemma one_mult_of$  [simp]: " $1_{mult_of}$  R =  $1_R$ " **by** (simp add: mult\_of\_def)

```
lemmas mult_of_simps = carrier_mult_of mult_mult_of nat_pow_mult_of one_mult_of
context field
begin
lemma mult of is Units: "mult of R = units of R"
  unfolding mult_of_def units_of_def using field_Units by auto
lemma m_inv_mult_of:
"\Lambda x. x \in carrier (mult_of R) \implies m_inv (mult_of R) x = m_inv R x"
  using mult_of_is_Units units_of_inv unfolding units_of_def
  by simp
lemma (in field) field_mult_group: "group (mult_of R)"
  proof (rule groupI)
  show "∃y∈carrier (mult_of R). y \otimesmult_of R x = 1mult_of R"
    if "x ∈ carrier (mult_of R)" for x
    using group.l_inv_ex mult_of_is_Units that units_group by fastforce
qed (auto simp: m_assoc dest: integral)
lemma finite_mult_of: "finite (carrier R) =⇒ finite (carrier (mult_of
R))"
  by simp
lemma order_mult_of: "finite (carrier R) \implies order (mult_of R) = order
R - 1"unfolding order_def carrier_mult_of by (simp add: card.remove)
end
lemma (in monoid) Units_pow_closed :
  fixes d :: nat
  assumes "x ∈ Units G"
  shows "x [\hat{ } ] d \in Units G"
    by (metis assms group.is_monoid monoid.nat_pow_closed units_group
units_of_carrier units_of_pow)
lemma (in ring) r_right_minus_eq[simp]:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "a \ominus b = 0 \longleftrightarrow a = b"
  using assms by (metis a_minus_def add.inv_closed minus_equality r_neg)
context UP_cring begin
lemma is_UP_cring: "UP_cring R" by (unfold_locales)
lemma is_UP_ring:
  shows "UP_ring R" by (unfold_locales)
```

```
end
```

```
context UP_domain begin
lemma roots_bound:
  assumes f [simp]: "f ∈ carrier P"
  assumes f_not_zero: "f \neq 0<sub>P</sub>"
  assumes finite: "finite (carrier R)"
  shows "finite {a \in carrier R . eval R R id a f = 0} \wedgecard {a \in \text{carrier } R}. eval R R id a f = 0 \leq deg R f'' using
f f_not_zero
proof (induction "deg R f" arbitrary: f)
  case 0
  \textbf{have} "\bigwedge \textbf{x}. eval R R id x f \neq \textbf{0}"
  proof -
    fix x
    have "(\bigoplus i \in \{.\text{deg } R f\}. id (coeff P f i) \otimes x [^] i) \neq 0"
      using 0 lcoeff_nonzero_nonzero[where p = f] by simp
    thus "eval R R id x f \neq 0" using 0 unfolding eval_def P_def by simp
  qed
  then have *: "{a \in carrier R. eval R R (\lambdaa. a) a f = 0} = {}"
    by (auto simp: id_def)
  show ?case by (simp add: *)
next
  case (Suc x)
  show ?case
  proof (cases "\exists a \in carrier R . eval R R id a f = 0")
    case True
    then obtain a where a_carrier[simp]: "a \in \text{carrier } R" and a_root:
"eval R R id a f = 0" by blast
    have R\_not\_triv: "carrier R \neq \{0\}"
      by (metis R.one_zeroI R.zero_not_one)
    obtain q where q: "(q ∈ carrier P)" and
      f: "f = (monom P 1R 1 \ominus p monom P a 0) \otimesp q \oplusp monom P (eval R
R id a f) 0"
     using remainder_theorem[OF Suc.prems(1) a_carrier R_not_triv] by
auto
    hence lin_fac: "f = (monom P 1_R 1 \oplus p monom P a 0) \otimes p q" using q
by (simp add: a_root)
    have deg: "deg R (monom P 1_R 1 \ominus p monom P a 0) = 1"
      using a_carrier by (simp add: deg_minus_eq)
    hence mon_not_zero: "(monom P 1_R 1 \ominus p monom P a 0) \neq 0p"
      by (fastforce simp del: r_right_minus_eq)
    have q_not_zero: "q \neq 0<sup>p</sup>" using Suc by (auto simp add : lin_fac)
    hence "deg R q = x" using Suc deg deg_mult[OF mon_not_zero q_not_zero
\overline{\phantom{a}} q]
      by (simp add : lin_fac)
```

```
hence q_IH: "finite {a \in carrier R . eval R R id a \neq 0}
                 \land card {a \in carrier R . eval R R id a q = 0} \leq x" us-
ing Suc q q_not_zero by blast
    have subs: "\{a \in \text{carrier } R \text{ . eval } R \text{ R } id \text{ a } f = 0\}⊆ {a ∈ carrier R . eval R R id a q = 0} ∪ {a}" (is "?L
⊆ ?R ∪ {a}")
      using a_carrier ‹ q ∈ _›
      by (auto simp: evalRR_simps lin_fac R.integral_iff)
    have "{a ∈ carrier R . eval R R id a f = 0} ⊆ insert a {a ∈ carrier
R . eval R R id a q = 0<sup>"</sup>
     using subs by auto
    hence "card {a \in carrier R . eval R R id a f = 0} \lecard (insert a \{a \in \text{carrier } R \text{ . eval } R \text{ R } id \text{ a } q = 0\})" us-
ing q_IH by (blast intro: card_mono)
    also have "... \leq deg R f" using q_IH \leq Suc x = _>
      by (simp add: card_insert_if)
    finally show ?thesis using q_IH ‹ Suc x = _› using finite by force
  next
    case False
    hence "card {a \in carrier R. eval R R id a f = 0} = 0" using finite
by auto
    also have "\ldots \leq deg R f" by simp
    finally show ?thesis using finite by auto
  qed
qed
end
lemma (in domain) num_roots_le_deg :
  fixes p d :: nat
  assumes finite: "finite (carrier R)"
  assumes d_neq_zero: "d \neq 0"
  shows "card \{x \in \text{carrier R. } x \in \hat{\ } \} d = 1\} \leq d"
proof -
  let ?f = "monom (UP R) 1_R d \ominus <sub>(UP R)</sub> monom (UP R) 1_R 0"
  have one_in_carrier: "1 ∈ carrier R" by simp
  interpret R: UP_domain R "UP R" by (unfold_locales)
  have "deg R ?f = d"
    using d_neq_zero by (simp add: R.deg_minus_eq)
  hence f_not_zero: "?f \neq 0<sub>UP R</sub>" using d_neq_zero by (auto simp add
: R.deg_nzero_nzero)
  have roots_bound: "finite {a \in carrier R . eval R R id a ?f = 0} \wedgecard {a \in carrier R . eval R R id a ?f = 0} \leq deg
R ?f"
                      using finite by (intro R.roots_bound[OF _ f_not_zero])
simp
  have subs: "\{x \in \text{carrier R. } x \mid \hat{ } \} d = 1\} \subseteq \{a \in \text{carrier R. } even R\}R id a ?f = 0<sup>"</sup>
    by (auto simp: R.evalRR_simps)
```
then have "card  $\{x \in \text{carrier R. } x \in \hat{\ } \} \leq$ 

```
card {a \in \text{carrier } R. eval R R id a ?f = 0<sup>"</sup> using finite by (simp
add : card_mono)
  thus ?thesis using \langle deg R ?f = d\rangle roots bound by linarith
```

```
qed
```
# **22 The Multiplicative Group of a Field**

In this section we show that the multiplicative group of a finite field is generated by a single element, i.e. it is cyclic. The proof is inspired by the first proof given in the survey [\[2\]](#page-843-0).

```
context field begin
```

```
lemma num_elems_of_ord_eq_phi':
  assumes finite: "finite (carrier R)" and dvd: "d dvd order (mult_of
R)"
      and exists: "∃ a∈carrier (mult_of R). group.ord (mult_of R) a =
d"
  shows "card {a \in \text{carrier (mult_of R). group. ord (mult_of R) a = d}}= phi' d"
proof -
  note mult_of_simps[simp]
  have finite': "finite (carrier (mult_of R))" using finite by (rule
finite_mult_of)
  interpret G:group "mult_of R" rewrites "([\uparrow]_{\text{mult of R}}) = (([\uparrow]) :: _ ⇒
nat \Rightarrow _)" and "1<sub>mult_of R</sub> = 1"
    by (rule field_mult_group) simp_all
  from exists
  obtain a where a: "a ∈ carrier (mult_of R)" and ord_a: "group.ord (mult_of
R) a = d"
    by (auto simp add: card_gt_0_iff)
  have set_eq1: "\{a[^{\hat{}}]n| n. n \in \{1..d\}\} = \{x \in carrier (mult_of R).x [\hat{ } ] d = 1"
  proof (rule card_seteq)
    show "finite \{x \in \text{carrier (mult of R). } x \space [\hat{ } ]\] d = 1\}" using finite
by auto
    show "\{a[^{\hat{}}]n| n. n \in \{1..d\}\}\subseteq \{x \in carrier (mult of R). x[^{\hat{}}]d= 1}"
    proof
      fix x assume "x ∈ {a[^]n | n. n ∈ {1 .. d}}"
      then obtain n where n: "x = a[^]n \land n \in {1 .. d}" by auto
      have "x[^]d =(a[^]d)[^]n" using n a ord_a by (simp add:nat_pow_pow
mult.commute)
      hence "x[\hat{ } ]d = 1" using ord_a G.pow_ord_eq_1[OF a] by fastforce
```

```
thus "x \in \{x \in \text{carrier (mult_of R). } x[^{\uparrow}]d = 1\}" using G.nat_pow_closed[OF
a] n by blast
    qed
    show "card {x ∈ carrier (mult_of R). x [^] d = 1} \leq card {a[^]n |
n. n \in \{1 \dots d\}<sup>"</sup>
    proof -
      have *: "\{a[^{\hat{}}]n \mid n. n \in \{1..d\}\} = ((\lambda n. a[^{\hat{}}]n) ' \{1..d\})"
by auto
      have "0 < order (mult_of R)" unfolding order_mult_of[OF finite]
         using card_mono[OF finite, of "{0, 1}"] by (simp add: order_def)
      have "card {x ∈ carrier (mult_of R). x [^] d = 1} \leq card {x ∈ carrier
R. x [^] d = 1}"
         using finite by (auto intro: card_mono)
      also have "... \leq d" using \leq 0 \leq \text{order} (mult_of R) \geq num_roots_le_deg[OF
finite, of d]
         by (simp add : dvd_pos_nat[OF _ ‹ d dvd order (mult_of R)› ])
      finally show ?thesis using G.ord_inj'[OF a] ord_a * by (simp add:
card_image)
    qed
  qed
  have set_eq2: "\{x \in \text{carrier (mult_of R)}\} . group.ord (mult_of R) x =d}
                  = (\lambda n \cdot a)^{n} ' {n \in {1 .. d}. group.ord (mult_of R)
(a[^{^{\sim}}]n) = d<sup>u</sup> (is "?L = ?R")
  proof
    { fix x assume x: "x ∈ (carrier (mult_of R)) ∧ group.ord (mult_of
R) x = d"
      hence "x \in \{x \in \text{carrier (mult_of R). } x [^{\frown}] d = 1\}"
         by (simp add: G.pow_ord_eq_1[of x, symmetric])
      then obtain n where n: "x = a<sup>[^</sup>]n \land n \in {1 .. d}" using set_eq1
by blast
      hence "x \in ?R" using x by fast
    } thus "?L ⊆ ?R" by blast
    show "?R ⊆ ?L" using a by (auto simp add: carrier_mult_of[symmetric]
simp del: carrier_mult_of)
  qed
  have "inj_on (\lambda n \cdot a[^{\uparrow}]n) {n \in {1 .. d}. group.ord (mult_of R) (a[^]n)
= d<sup>"</sup>
    using G.ord_inj'[OF a, unfolded ord_a] unfolding inj_on_def by fast
  hence "card ((\lambda n. a[\hat{}]n) ' {n \in {1 .. d}. group.ord (mult_of R) (a[^]n)
= d})
          = card \{k \in \{1 \dots d\}. group.ord (mult_of R) (a[^{\uparrow}]k) = d\}"
          using card_image by blast
  thus ?thesis using set_eq2 G.pow_ord_eq_ord_iff[OF finite' ‹ a ∈ _› ,
unfolded ord_a]
    by (simp add: phi'_def)
qed
```

```
theorem (in field) finite_field_mult_group_has_gen :
  assumes finite: "finite (carrier R)"
  shows "\exists a \in carrier (mult of R) . carrier (mult of R) = {a[^]i | i::nat
. i \in UNIV<sup>"</sup>
proof -
  note mult_of_simps[simp]
  have finite': "finite (carrier (mult_of R))" using finite by (rule
finite_mult_of)
  interpret G: group "mult_of R" rewrites
      "([\hat{\ }]_{mult\_of\ R}) = (([\hat{\ }]) :: \underline{\ } \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow \underline{\ })" and "1<sub>mult\_of\ R</sub> = 1"by (rule field_mult_group) (simp_all add: fun_eq_iff nat_pow_def)
  let ?N = " \lambda x. card {a \in carrier (mult_of R). group.ord (mult_of R)
a = x<sup>"</sup>
  have "0 < order R - 1" unfolding order_def using card_mono[OF finite,
of "{0, 1}"] by simp
  then have *: "0 < order (mult_of R)" using assms by (simp add: order_mult_of)
  have fin: "finite {d. d dvd order (mult_of R) }" using dvd_nat_bounds[OF
*] by force
  have "(\sum d \mid d \text{ d} v d \text{ order } (\text{mult of R}). ?N d)
      = card (UN d:{d . d dvd order (mult of R) }. {a \in carrier (mult of
R). group.ord (mult of R) a = d)"
      (is "_ = card ?U")
    using fin finite by (subst card_UN_disjoint) auto
  also have "?U = carrier (mult_of R)"
  proof
    { fix x assume x: "x ∈ carrier (mult_of R)"
      hence x': "x∈carrier (mult_of R)" by simp
      then have "group.ord (mult_of R) x dvd order (mult_of R)"
         using G.ord_dvd_group_order by blast
      hence "x ∈ ?U" using dvd_nat_bounds[of "order (mult_of R)" "group.ord
(mult_of R) x"] x by blast
    } thus "carrier (mult_of R) ⊆ ?U" by blast
  qed auto
  also have "card ... = order (mult_of R)"
    using order_mult_of finite' by (simp add: order_def)
  finally have sum_Ns_eq: "(\sum d \mid d \text{ d} \text{ vd} \text{ order } (\text{mult_of R}). ?N d) = order
(mult_of R)" .
  { fix d assume d: "d dvd order (mult_of R)"
    have "card {a \in \text{carrier (mult of R).} group.ord (mult of R) {a = d}< phi' d"
    proof cases
```
**end**

```
assume "card {a ∈ carrier (mult_of R). group.ord (mult_of R) a
= d} = 0" thus ?thesis by presburger
      next
      assume "card {a \in carrier (mult of R). group.ord (mult of R) a
= d} \neq 0"
      hence "∃ a ∈ carrier (mult_of R). group.ord (mult_of R) a = d" by
(auto simp: card eq 0 iff)
      thus ?thesis using num_elems_of_ord_eq_phi'[OF finite d] by auto
    qed
  }
  hence all_le: "\bigwedge i. i \in \{d. d \text{ dvd order (mult_of R)}\}\implies (\lambdai. card {a \in carrier (mult_of R). group.ord (mult_of R)
a = i) i \leq (\lambda i. \phi h i' i) i'' by fast
  hence le: "(\sum i \mid i \text{ dvd order (mult_of R). ?N i})\leq (\sumi | i dvd order (mult_of R). phi' i)"
             using sum_mono[of "{d . d dvd order (mult_of R)}"
                    "\lambdai. card {a \in carrier (mult_of R). group.ord (mult_of
R) a = i}"] by presburger
  have "order (mult_of R) = (\sum d \mid d \text{ d} \text{ vd} \text{ or } d \text{ tmult of R}). phi' d)"
using *
    by (simp add: sum_phi'_factors)
  hence eq: "(\sum i \mid i \text{ dvd order } (\text{mult_of R}). ?N i)= (Pi | i dvd order (mult_of R). phi' i)" using le sum_Ns_eq
by presburger
  have "\bigwedgei. i \in {d. d dvd order (mult_of R) } \implies ?N i = (\lambdai. phi' i)
i"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    fix i
    assume i1: "i \in {d. d dvd order (mult of R)}" and "?N i \neq phi' i"
    hence "?N i = 0"
      using num_elems_of_ord_eq_phi'[OF finite, of i] by (auto simp: card_eq_0_iff)
    moreover have "0 < i" using * i1 by (simp add: dvd_nat_bounds[of
"order (mult_of R)" i])
    ultimately have "?N i < phi' i" using phi'_nonzero by presburger
    hence "(\sum i \mid i \text{ dvd order } (\text{mult_of R}). ?N i)\langle \nabla_i i \rangle | i dvd order (mult_of R). phi' i)"
      using sum_strict_mono_ex1[OF fin, of "?N" "\lambda i . phi' i"]
             i1 all_le by auto
    thus False using eq by force
  qed
  hence "?N (order (mult_of R)) > 0" using * by (simp add: phi'_nonzero)
  then obtain a where a: "a ∈ carrier (mult_of R)" and a_ord: "group.ord
(mult_of R) a = order (mult_of R)"
    by (auto simp add: card_gt_0_iff)
  hence set_eq: "\{a[\hat{}]i \mid i::nat. i \in UNIV\} = (\lambda x. a[\hat{}]x) ' \{0 \dots \text{group.ord}\}(mult_of R) a - 1"
    using G.ord_elems[OF finite'] by auto
  have card_eq: "card ((\lambda x. a[^{\uparrow}]x) ' \{0 \dots \text{group.ord (mult_of R)} a - 1\})= card \{0 \dots group.ord (mult_of R) a - 1}"
```

```
by (intro card_image G.ord_inj finite' a)
  hence "card ((\lambda \times . a[\hat{}]x) ' \{0 \dots \text{group.} ord (mult_of R) a - 1\}) = card{0 \dots order (mult_of R) - 1}"
    using assms by (simp add: card_eq a_ord)
  hence card_R_minus_1: "card {a[^]i | i::nat. i ∈ UNIV} = order (mult_of
R)"
    using * by (subst set_eq) auto
  have **: "\{a[\hat{}]i \mid i::nat. i \in \text{UNIV}\}\subseteq \text{carrier (mult of R)}"
    using G.nat_pow_closed[OF a] by auto
  with _ have "carrier (mult_of R) = {a[\hat{\ }]i|i::nat. i \in \text{UNIV}}"
    by (rule card_seteq[symmetric]) (simp_all add: card_R_minus_1 finite
order_def del: UNIV_I)
  thus ?thesis using a by blast
qed
```
**end**

**theory** Group\_Action **imports** Bij Coset Congruence **begin**

## **23 Group Actions**

**locale** group\_action = **fixes** G (**structure**) **and** E **and**  $\varphi$ **assumes** group\_hom: "group\_hom G (BijGroup E) ϕ" **definition** orbit :: "[\_, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b, 'b]  $\Rightarrow$  'b set" where "orbit G  $\varphi$  x = {( $\varphi$  g) x | g. g  $\in$  carrier G}" **definition** orbits :: "[\_, 'b set, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b]  $\Rightarrow$  ('b set) set" **where** "orbits G E  $\varphi$  = {orbit G  $\varphi$  x | x. x  $\in$  E}" **definition** stabilizer :: "[\_, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b, 'b]  $\Rightarrow$  'a set" where "stabilizer G  $\varphi$  x = {g  $\in$  carrier G. ( $\varphi$  g) x = x}" **definition** invariants :: "['b set, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b, 'a]  $\Rightarrow$  'b set" **where** "invariants  $E \varphi g = \{x \in E. (\varphi g) x = x\}$ " **definition** normalizer :: "[\_, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  'a set" **where** "normalizer G H = stabilizer G ( $\lambda$ g.  $\lambda$ H  $\in$  {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}. g <#g H #>g (invg g)) H"

```
locale faithful_action = group_action +
  assumes faithful: "inj_on \varphi (carrier G)"
locale transitive action = group action +
  assumes unique_orbit: "[x \in E; y \in E]] \implies \exists g \in \text{carrier } G. (\varphi g)x = v''
```
## **23.1 Prelimineries**

Some simple lemmas to make group action's properties more explicit

```
lemma (in group action) id eq one: "(\lambda x \in E. x) = \varphi 1"
  by (metis BijGroup_def group_hom group_hom.hom_one select_convs(2))
lemma (in group_action) bij_prop0:
  "\bigwedge g. g \in carrier G \implies (\varphi g) \in Bij E"
  by (metis BijGroup_def group_hom group_hom.hom_closed partial_object.select_convs(1))
lemma (in group_action) surj_prop:
  "\bigwedge g. g \in carrier G \implies (\varphi g) ' E = E"
  using bij_prop0 by (simp add: Bij_def bij_betw_def)
lemma (in group_action) inj_prop:
  "\bigwedge g. g \in carrier G \implies inj_on (\varphi g) E"
  using bij_prop0 by (simp add: Bij_def bij_betw_def)
lemma (in group_action) bij_prop1:
  "\bigwedge g y. [ g \in carrier G; y \in E ] \implies \exists !x \in E. (\varphi g) x = y"
proof -
  fix g y assume "g ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ E"
  hence "\exists x \in E. (\varphi g) x = y"
    using surj_prop by force
  moreover have "\bigwedge x1 x2. [[ x1 ∈ E; x2 ∈ E ]] \implies (\varphi g) x1 = (\varphi g) x2
\implies x1 = x2"
    using inj_prop by (meson \langle g \in \text{carrier } G \rangle inj_on_eq_iff)
  ultimately show "∃!x ∈ E. (\varphi g) x = y"
    by blast
qed
lemma (in group_action) composition_rule:
  assumes "x ∈ E" "g1 ∈ carrier G" "g2 ∈ carrier G"
  shows "\varphi (g1 \otimes g2) x = (\varphi g1) (\varphi g2 x)"
proof -
  have "\varphi (g1 \otimes g2) x = ((\varphi g1) \otimesBijGroup E (\varphi g2)) x"
    using assms(2) assms(3) group_hom group_hom.hom_mult by fastforce
  also have " ... = (compose E (\varphi g1) (\varphi g2)) x"
    unfolding BijGroup_def by (simp add: assms bij_prop0)
  finally show "\varphi (g1 \otimes g2) x = (\varphi g1) (\varphi g2 x)"
    by (simp add: assms(1) compose eq)
```

```
lemma (in group_action) element_image:
 assumes "g ∈ carrier G" and "x ∈ E" and "(\varphi g) x = y"
 shows "y \in E"
 using surj_prop assms by blast
```
### **23.2 Orbits**

**qed**

We prove here that orbits form an equivalence relation

```
lemma (in group_action) orbit_sym_aux:
  assumes "g ∈ carrier G"
    and "x \in E"and "(\varphi \ g) \ x = y"
  shows "(\varphi (inv g)) y = x"
proof -
  interpret group G
    using group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) by auto
  have "y ∈ E"
    using element_image assms by simp
  have "inv g ∈ carrier G"
    by (simp add: assms(1))
  have "(\varphi (inv g)) y = (\varphi (inv g)) ((\varphi g) x)"
    using assms(3) by simp
  also have " ... = compose E (\varphi (inv g)) (\varphi g) x"
    by (simp add: assms(2) compose_eq)
  also have " ... = ((\varphi \text{ (inv } g)) \otimes_{\text{BijGroup } E} (\varphi g)) x"
    by (simp add: BijGroup_def assms(1) bij_prop0)
  also have " ... = (\varphi \ ((inv g) \otimes g)) x"
    by (metis \langleinv g \in carrier G> assms(1) group hom group hom.hom mult)
  finally show "(\varphi (inv g)) y = x"
    by (metis assms(1) assms(2) id_eq_one l_inv restrict_apply)
qed
lemma (in group_action) orbit_refl:
  "x \in E \implies x \in \text{orbit } G \varphi x"
proof -
  assume "x \in E" hence "(\varphi \ 1) x = x"
    using id_eq_one by (metis restrict_apply')
  thus "x \in orbit G \varphi x" unfolding orbit_def
    using group.is_monoid group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) by force
qed
lemma (in group_action) orbit_sym:
  assumes "x \in E" and "y \in E" and "y \in orbit G \varphi x"
  shows "x \in \text{orbit } G \varphi y"
proof -
  have "\exists g \in carrier G. (\varphi g) x = y"
```

```
using assms by (auto simp: orbit_def)
  then obtain g where g: "g \in carrier G \wedge (\varphi g) x = y" by blast
  hence "(\varphi (inv g)) y = x"
    using orbit_sym_aux by (simp add: assms(1))
  thus ?thesis
    using g group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) orbit_def by fastforce
qed
lemma (in group_action) orbit_trans:
  assumes "x ∈ E" "y ∈ E" "z ∈ E"
    and "y \in orbit G \varphi x" "z \in orbit G \varphi y"
  shows "z \in orbit G \varphi x"
proof -
  interpret group G
    using group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) by auto
  obtain g1 where g1: "g1 \in carrier G \wedge (\varphi g1) x = y"
    using assms by (auto simp: orbit_def)
  obtain g2 where g2: "g2 ∈ carrier G \wedge (\varphi g2) y = z"
    using assms by (auto simp: orbit_def)
  have "(\varphi (g2 \otimes g1)) x = ((\varphi g2) \otimes<sub>BijGroup E</sub> (\varphi g1)) x"
    using g1 g2 group_hom group_hom.hom_mult by fastforce
  also have " ... = (\varphi \text{ g2}) ((\varphi \text{ g1}) \text{ x})"
    using composition_rule assms(1) calculation g1 g2 by auto
  finally have "(\varphi (g2 \otimes g1)) x = z"
    by (simp add: g1 g2)
  thus ?thesis
    using g1 g2 orbit_def by force
qed
lemma (in group_action) orbits_as_classes:
  "classes<sub>(carrier</sub> = E, eq = \lambdax. \lambday. y \in orbit G \varphi x \infty) = orbits G E \varphi"
  unfolding eq_classes_def eq_class_of_def orbits_def orbit_def
  using element_image by auto
theorem (in group_action) orbit_partition:
  "partition E (orbits G E \varphi)"
proof -
  have "equivalence \int carrier = E, eq = \lambdax. \lambday. y \in orbit G \varphi x \int"
  unfolding equivalence_def apply simp
  using orbit_refl orbit_sym orbit_trans by blast
  thus ?thesis using equivalence.partition_from_equivalence orbits_as_classes
by fastforce
qed
corollary (in group_action) orbits_coverture:
  "\bigcup (orbits G E \varphi) = E"
  using partition.partition_coverture[OF orbit_partition] by simp
corollary (in group_action) disjoint_union:
```

```
assumes "orb1 ∈ (orbits G E \varphi)" "orb2 ∈ (orbits G E \varphi)"
 shows "(orb1 = orb2) ∨ (orb1 ∩ orb2) = {}"
 using partition.disjoint_union[OF orbit_partition] assms by auto
corollary (in group_action) disjoint_sum:
 assumes "finite E"
 shows "(\sumorb∈(orbits G E \varphi). \sumx∈orb. f x) = (\sumx∈E. f x)"
  using partition.disjoint_sum[OF orbit_partition] assms by auto
```
#### **23.2.1 Transitive Actions**

Transitive actions have only one orbit

```
lemma (in transitive_action) all_equivalent:
  "\[ x \in E; y \in E ] \implies x \cdot \exists_{\text{carrier} = E, eq} = \lambda x y. y \in orbit G \varphi x] \cdot y"proof -
  assume "x ∈ E" "y ∈ E"
  hence "∃ g ∈ carrier G. (\varphi g) x = y"
    using unique_orbit by blast
  hence "y ∈ orbit G \varphi x"
    using orbit_def by fastforce
  thus "x \cdot=\frac{1}{2} (carrier = E, eq = \lambdax y. y \in orbit G \varphi x\mid y'' by simp
qed
proposition (in transitive_action) one_orbit:
  assumes "E \neq {\}"
  shows "card (orbits G \to \varphi) = 1"
proof -
  have "orbits G E \varphi \neq \{\}"
    using assms orbits_coverture by auto
  moreover have "
V
orb1 orb2. [[ orb1 ∈ (orbits G E ϕ); orb2 ∈ (orbits
G E \varphi) \implies orb1 = orb2"
  proof -
    fix orb1 orb2 assume orb1: "orb1 \in (orbits G E \varphi)"
                        and orb2: "orb2 \in (orbits G E \varphi)"
    then obtain x y where x: "orb1 = orbit G \varphi x" and x_E: "x \in E"
                         and y: "orb2 = orbit G \varphi y" and y_E: "y \in E"
       unfolding orbits_def by blast
    hence "x \in orbit G \varphi y" using all_equivalent by auto
    hence "orb1 ∩ orb2 \neq {}" using x y x E orbit refl by auto
    thus "orb1 = orb2" using disjoint_union[of orb1 orb2] orb1 orb2 by
auto
  qed
  ultimately show "card (orbits G E \varphi) = 1"
    by (meson is_singletonI' is_singleton_altdef)
qed
```
### **23.3 Stabilizers**

We show that stabilizers are subgroups from the acting group

```
lemma (in group_action) stabilizer_subset:
  "stabilizer G \varphi x \subseteq carrier G"
  by (metis (no types, lifting) mem Collect eq stabilizer def subsetI)
lemma (in group_action) stabilizer_m_closed:
 assumes "x ∈ E" "g1 ∈ (stabilizer G ϕ x)" "g2 ∈ (stabilizer G ϕ x)"
 shows "(g1 \otimes g2) \in (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
proof -
 interpret group G
    using group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) by auto
 have "\varphi g1 x = x"
    using assms stabilizer_def by fastforce
  moreover have "\varphi g2 x = x"
    using assms stabilizer_def by fastforce
 moreover have g1: "g1 ∈ carrier G"
    by (meson assms contra_subsetD stabilizer_subset)
  moreover have g2: "g2 ∈ carrier G"
    by (meson assms contra_subsetD stabilizer_subset)
  ultimately have "\varphi (g1 \otimes g2) x = x"
    using composition_rule assms by simp
 thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: g1 g2 stabilizer_def)
qed
lemma (in group_action) stabilizer_one_closed:
 assumes "x \in E"
 shows "1 \in (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
proof -
 have "\varphi 1 x = x"
    by (metis assms id_eq_one restrict_apply')
 thus ?thesis
    using group_def group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) stabilizer_def by fastforce
qed
lemma (in group_action) stabilizer_m_inv_closed:
 assumes "x ∈ E" "g ∈ (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
 shows "(inv g) \in (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
proof -
 interpret group G
    using group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) by auto
 have "\varphi g x = x"
    using assms(2) stabilizer_def by fastforce
 moreover have g: "g ∈ carrier G"
```

```
using assms(2) stabilizer_subset by blast
  moreover have inv_g: "inv g ∈ carrier G"
    by (simp add: g)
  ultimately have "\varphi (inv g) x = x"
    using assms(1) orbit_sym_aux by blast
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: inv_g stabilizer_def)
qed
theorem (in group_action) stabilizer_subgroup:
 assumes "x ∈ E"
 shows "subgroup (stabilizer G \varphi x) G"
 unfolding subgroup_def
 using stabilizer_subset stabilizer_m_closed stabilizer_one_closed
        stabilizer_m_inv_closed assms by simp
```
### **23.4 The Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem**

In this subsection, we prove the Orbit-Stabilizer theorem. Our approach is to show the existence of a bijection between "rcosets (stabilizer G phi x)" and "orbit G phi x". Then we use Lagrange's theorem to find the cardinal of the first set.

#### **23.4.1 Rcosets - Supporting Lemmas**

```
corollary (in group_action) stab_rcosets_not_empty:
  assumes "x ∈ E" "R ∈ rcosets (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
  shows "R \neq \{}"
  using subgroup.rcosets_non_empty[OF stabilizer_subgroup[OF assms(1)]
assms(2)] by simp
corollary (in group_action) diff_stabilizes:
  assumes "x ∈ E" "R ∈ rcosets (stabilizer G ϕ x)"
   \texttt{shows} "\texttt{{\char'134}k32.} \lbrack \; \; \texttt{g1}\; \in R; \; \texttt{g2}\; \in R \; \rbrack \implies \; \texttt{g1}\; \otimes \; \; \texttt{(inv}\; \; \texttt{g2})\; \in \; \texttt{stabilizer}\; \; \texttt{G}\varphi \mathbf{x}"
  using group.diff_neutralizes[of G "stabilizer G ϕ x" R] stabilizer_subgroup[OF
assms(1)]assms(2) group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) by blast
```
### **23.4.2 Bijection Between Rcosets and an Orbit - Definition and Supporting Lemmas**

# **definition** orb\_stab\_fun :: "[\_, ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b), 'a set, 'b]  $\Rightarrow$  'b" where "orb\_stab\_fun G  $\varphi$  R x = ( $\varphi$  (inv<sub>G</sub> (SOME h. h  $\in$  R))) x" **lemma** (**in** group\_action) orbit\_stab\_fun\_is\_well\_defined0: assumes " $x$  ∈ E" "R ∈ rcosets (stabilizer G  $\varphi$  x)"

```
shows "\bigwedge g1 g2. [g1 ∈ R; g2 ∈ R ] \implies (\varphi (inv g1)) x = (\varphi (inv g2))
x"
proof -
  fix g1 g2 assume g1: "g1 \in R" and g2: "g2 \in R"
  have R_carr: "R ⊆ carrier G"
    using subgroup.rcosets_carrier[OF stabilizer_subgroup[OF assms(1)]]
          assms(2) group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) by auto
  from R carr have g1 carr: "g1 \in carrier G" using g1 by blast
  from R_carr have g2_carr: "g2 ∈ carrier G" using g2 by blast
  have "g1 \otimes (inv g2) \in stabilizer G \varphi x"
    using diff_stabilizes[of x R g1 g2] assms g1 g2 by blast
  hence "\varphi (g1 \otimes (inv g2)) x = x"
    by (simp add: stabilizer_def)
  hence "(\varphi (inv g1)) x = (\varphi (inv g1)) (\varphi (g1 \otimes (inv g2)) x)" by simp
  also have " ... = \varphi ((inv g1) ⊗ (g1 ⊗ (inv g2))) x"
    using group_def assms(1) composition_rule g1_carr g2_carr
          group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) monoid.m_closed by fastforce
  also have " ... = \varphi (((inv g1) \otimes g1) \otimes (inv g2)) x"
    using group_def g1_carr g2_carr group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) monoid.m_assoc
by fastforce
  finally show "(\varphi (inv g1)) x = (\varphi (inv g2)) x"
    using group_def g1_carr g2_carr group.l_inv group_hom group_hom.axioms(1)
by fastforce
qed
lemma (in group_action) orbit_stab_fun_is_well_defined1:
  assumes "x \in E" "R \in rcosets (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
  shows "\bigwedge g. g \in R \implies (\varphi \text{ (inv (S0ME h. h \in R))) x = (\varphi \text{ (inv } g)) x"
  by (meson assms orbit_stab_fun_is_well_defined0 someI_ex)
lemma (in group_action) orbit_stab_fun_is_inj:
  assumes "x ∈ E"
    and "R1 \in rcosets (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
    and "R2 \in rcosets (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
    and "\varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R1)) x = \varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R2)) x"
  shows "R1 = R2"
proof -
  have "(∃g1. g1 ∈ R1) \land (∃g2. g2 ∈ R2)"
    using assms(1-3) stab_rcosets_not_empty by auto
  then obtain g1 g2 where g1: "g1 ∈ R1" and g2: "g2 ∈ R2" by blast
  hence g12_carr: "g1 ∈ carrier G ∧ g2 ∈ carrier G"
    using subgroup.rcosets_carrier assms(1-3) group_hom
          group_hom.axioms(1) stabilizer_subgroup by blast
  then obtain r1 r2 where r1: "r1 \in carrier G" "R1 = (stabilizer G \varphix) #> r1"and r2: "r2 \in carrier G" "R2 = (stabilizer G \varphix) #> r2"
```

```
using assms(1-3) unfolding RCOSETS_def by blast
  then obtain s1 s2 where s1: "s1 \in (stabilizer G \varphi x)" "g1 = s1 \otimes r1"
                        and s2: "s2 ∈ (stabilizer G \varphi x)" "g2 = s2 \otimes r2"
    using g1 g2 unfolding r_coset_def by blast
  have "\varphi (inv g1) x = \varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R1)) x''using orbit_stab_fun_is_well_defined1[OF assms(1) assms(2) g1] by
simp
  also have " ... = \varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R2)) x"
    using assms(4) by simp
  finally have "\varphi (inv g1) x = \varphi (inv g2) x"
    using orbit_stab_fun_is_well_defined1[OF assms(1) assms(3) g2] by
simp
  hence "\varphi g2 (\varphi (inv g1) x) = \varphi g2 (\varphi (inv g2) x)" by simp
  also have " ... = \varphi (g2 \otimes (inv g2)) x"
    using assms(1) composition_rule g12_carr group_hom group_hom.axioms(1)
by fastforce
  finally have "\varphi g2 (\varphi (inv g1) x) = x"
    using g12_carr assms(1) group.r_inv group_hom group_hom.axioms(1)
          id_eq_one restrict_apply by metis
  hence "\varphi (g2 \otimes (inv g1)) x = x"
    using assms(1) composition_rule g12_carr group_hom group_hom.axioms(1)
by fastforce
  hence "g2 \otimes (inv g1) \in (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
    using g12 carr group.subgroup self group hom group hom.axioms(1)
          mem_Collect_eq stabilizer_def subgroup_def by (metis (mono_tags,
lifting))
  then obtain s where s: "s \in (stabilizer G \varphi x)" "s = g2 \otimes (inv g1)"
by blast
  let ?h = "s \otimes g1"
  have "?h = s \otimes (s1 \otimes r1)" by (simp add: s1)
  hence "?h = (s \otimes s1) \otimes r1"
    using stabilizer_subgroup[OF assms(1)] group_def group_hom
          group_hom.axioms(1) monoid.m_assoc r1 s s1 subgroup.mem_carrier
by fastforce
  hence inR1: "?h \in (stabilizer G \varphi x) #> r1" unfolding r_coset_def
    using stabilizer_subgroup[OF assms(1)] assms(1) s s1 stabilizer_m_closed
by auto
  have "?h = g2" using s stabilizer_subgroup[OF assms(1)] g12_carr group.inv_solve_right
                         group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) subgroup.mem_carrier
by metis
  hence inR2: "?h \in (stabilizer G \varphi x) #> r2"
    using g2 r2 by blast
  have "R1 ∩ R2 \neq {}" using inR1 inR2 r1 r2 by blast
  thus ?thesis
```

```
using stabilizer_subgroup group.rcos_disjoint[of G "stabilizer G \varphix"] assms group_hom group_hom.axioms(1)
    unfolding disjnt_def pairwise_def by blast
qed
lemma (in group_action) orbit_stab_fun_is_surj:
  assumes "x \in E" "y \in orbit G \varphi x"
  shows "\exists R \in \text{rcosets} (stabilizer G \varphi x). \varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R)) x
= v<sup>''</sup>proof -
  have "∃g ∈ carrier G. (\varphi g) x = y"
    using assms(2) unfolding orbit_def by blast
  then obtain g where g: "g ∈ carrier G \land (\varphi g) x = y" by blast
  let ?R = "(stabilizer G \varphi x) #>(inv g)"have R: "?R \in \text{rcosets} (stabilizer G \varphi x)"
    unfolding RCOSETS_def using g group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) by fastforce
  moreover have "1 \otimes (inv g) \in ?R"
    unfolding r_coset_def using assms(1) stabilizer_one_closed by auto
  ultimately have "\varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in ?R)) x = \varphi (inv (1 \otimes (inv g)))
x"
    using orbit_stab_fun_is_well_defined1[OF assms(1)] by simp
  also have " \ldots = (\varphi \varrho) x"
    using group_def g group_hom group_hom.axioms(1) monoid.l_one by fastforce
  finally have "\varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in ?R)) x = y"
    using g by simp
  thus ?thesis using R by blast
qed
proposition (in group_action) orbit_stab_fun_is_bij:
  assumes "x \in E"shows "bij_betw (\lambdaR. (\varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R))) x) (rcosets (stabilizer
G \varphi x)) (orbit G \varphi x)"
  unfolding bij_betw_def
proof
  show "inj on (\lambdaR. \varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R)) x) (rcosets stabilizer G
\varphi x)"
    using orbit_stab_fun_is_inj[OF assms(1)] by (simp add: inj_on_def)
next
  have "\bigwedge R. R ∈ (rcosets stabilizer G \varphi x) \implies \varphi (inv (SOME h. h ∈ R))
x \in \text{orbit } G \varphi x "
  proof -
    fix R assume R: "R \in (rcosets stabilizer G \varphi x)"
    then obtain g where g: "g \in R"using assms stab_rcosets_not_empty by auto
    hence "\varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R)) x = \varphi (inv g) x''using R assms orbit_stab_fun_is_well_defined1 by blast
    thus "\varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R)) x \in orbit G \varphi x" unfolding orbit def
      using subgroup.rcosets_carrier group_hom group_hom.axioms(1)
```

```
g R assms stabilizer_subgroup by fastforce
```

```
qed
  moreover have "orbit G \varphi x \subseteq (\lambdaR. \varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R)) x) ' (rcosets
stabilizer G \varphi x)"
    using assms orbit_stab_fun_is_surj by fastforce
  ultimately show "(\lambdaR. \varphi (inv (SOME h. h \in R)) x) ' (rcosets stabilizer
G \varphi x = orbit G \varphi x "
    using assms set_eq_subset by blast
qed
```
### **23.4.3 The Theorem**

```
theorem (in group_action) orbit_stabilizer_theorem:
 assumes "x ∈ E"
 shows "card (orbit G \varphi x) * card (stabilizer G \varphi x) = order G"
proof -
 have "card (rcosets stabilizer G \varphi x) = card (orbit G \varphi x)"
    using orbit_stab_fun_is_bij[OF assms(1)] bij_betw_same_card by blast
 moreover have "card (rcosets stabilizer G ϕ x) * card (stabilizer G
\varphi x) = order G"
    using stabilizer_subgroup assms group.lagrange group_hom group_hom.axioms(1)
by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
```
## **23.5 The Burnside's Lemma**

```
23.5.1 Sums and Cardinals
```

```
lemma card_as_sums:
  assumes "A = \{x \in B. P x\}" "finite B"
  shows "card A = (\sum x \in B. (if P x then 1 else 0))"
proof -
  have "A ⊆ B" using assms(1) by blast
  have "card A = (\sum x \in A. 1)" by simp
  also have " ... = (\sum x \in A. (if P x then 1 else 0))"
    by (simp add: assms(1))
  also have " ... = (\sum x \in A. (if P x then 1 else 0)) + (\sum x \in (B - A). (if
P x then 1 else 0))"
    using assms(1) by auto
  finally show "card A = (\sum x \in B. (if P x then 1 else 0))"
    using ‹ A ⊆ B› add.commute assms(2) sum.subset_diff by metis
qed
lemma sum_invertion:
  "[[ finite A; finite B ] \implies (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. f x y) = (\sum y \in B. \sum x \in A.
f \times v<sup>"</sup>
proof (induct set: finite)
  case empty thus ?case by simp
next
```

```
case (insert x A')
have "(\sum x \in \text{insert } x A'. \sum y \in B. f x y) = (\sum y \in B. f x y) + (\sum x \in A'.<br>\sum y \in B. f x y)"
\sum y \in B. f x y)"
     by (simp add: insert.hyps)
  also have " ... = (\sum y \in B. f x y) + (\sum y \in B. \sum x \in A'. f x y)"
     using insert.hyps by (simp add: insert.prems)
  also have " ... = (\sum y \in B. (f x y) + (\sum x \in A'. f x y))"
     by (simp add: sum.distrib)
  finally have "(\sum x \in \text{insert } x A'. \sum y \in B. f x y) = (\sum y \in B. \sum x \in \text{insert}x A'. f x y<sup>"</sup>
     using sum.swap by blast
  thus ?case by simp
qed
lemma (in group_action) card_stablizer_sum:
  assumes "finite (carrier G)" "orb \in (orbits G E \varphi)"
  shows "(\sum x \in orb. card (stabilizer G \varphi x)) = order G"
proof -
  obtain x where x:''x \in E'' and orb:"orb = orbit G \varphi x''using assms(2) unfolding orbits_def by blast
  \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{"}\bigwedge\mathtt{y}\ .\ \mathtt{y}\ \in\ \mathtt{orb}\ \Longrightarrow\ \mathtt{card}\ \ (\mathtt{stabilizer}\ \mathtt{G}\ \varphi\ \mathtt{x})\ =\ \mathtt{card}\ \ (\mathtt{stabilizer}\ \mathtt{G}\ )\varphi y)"
  proof -
     fix y assume "y ∈ orb"
     hence y: "y \in E \land y \in orbit G \varphi x"
       using x orb assms(2) orbits_coverture by auto
     hence same orbit: "(orbit G \varphi x) = (orbit G \varphi y)"
       using disjoint union[of "orbit G \varphi x" "orbit G \varphi y"] orbit refl
x
       unfolding orbits_def by auto
     have "card (orbit G \varphi x) * card (stabilizer G \varphi x) =
            card (orbit G \varphi y) * card (stabilizer G \varphi y)"
       using y assms(1) x orbit_stabilizer_theorem by simp
     hence "card (orbit G \varphi x) * card (stabilizer G \varphi x) =
              card (orbit G \varphi x) * card (stabilizer G \varphi y)" using same_orbit
by simp
     moreover have "orbit G \varphi x \neq {} \wedge finite (orbit G \varphi x)"
       using y orbit_def[of G \varphi x] assms(1) by auto
     hence "card (orbit G \varphi x) > 0"
       by (simp add: card_gt_0_iff)
     ultimately show "card (stabilizer G \varphi x) = card (stabilizer G \varphi y)"
by auto
  qed
  hence "(\sum x \in orb. card (stabilizer G \varphi x)) = (\sum y \in orb. card (stabilizer
G \varphi x))" by auto
  also have " ... = card (stabilizer G \varphi x) * (\sum y \in orb. 1)" by simp
  also have " ... = card (stabilizer G \varphi x) * card (orbit G \varphi x)"
     using orb by auto
  finally show "(\sum x \in orb. card (stabilizer G \varphi x)) = order G"
```
**by** (metis mult.commute orbit\_stabilizer\_theorem x) **qed**

#### **23.5.2 The Lemma**

**theorem** (**in** group\_action) burnside: **assumes** "finite (carrier G)" "finite E" shows "card (orbits G E  $\varphi$ ) \* order G = ( $\sum$  g  $\in$  carrier G. card(invariants E  $\varphi$  g))" **proof have** "( $\sum$ g ∈ carrier G. card(invariants E  $\varphi$  g)) =  $(\sum g \in \text{carrier } G. \sum x \in E.$  (if  $(\varphi g) x = x$  then 1 else 0))" **by** (simp add: assms(2) card\_as\_sums invariants\_def) also have " ... =  $(\sum x \in E)$ .  $\sum g \in$  carrier G. (if  $(\varphi g)$  x = x then 1 else 0))" using sum\_invertion[where  $?f = "\lambda g x$ . (if  $(\varphi g) x = x$  then 1 else 0)"] assms **by** auto also have " ... =  $(\sum x \in E. \text{ card } (\text{stabilizer } G \varphi x))$ " **by** (simp add: assms(1) card\_as\_sums stabilizer\_def) also have " ... = ( $\sum$  orbit ∈ (orbits G E  $\varphi$ ).  $\sum x \in$  orbit. card (stabilizer  $G \varphi x$ ) " **using** disjoint\_sum orbits\_coverture assms(2) **by** metis also have " ... =  $(\sum \text{orbit } \in \text{ (orbits } G \to \varphi)$ . order G)" **by** (simp add: assms(1) card\_stablizer\_sum)  $\textbf{finally \ have \ } ``\textstyle (\sum \texttt{g} \, \in \, \texttt{carrier \ G. \ card} (invariants \ E \ \varphi \ \texttt{g})) \ = \ \texttt{card \ (orbits}$ G E  $\varphi$ )  $*$  order G" by simp **thus** ?thesis **by** simp **qed**

#### **23.6 Action by Conjugation**

#### **23.6.1 Action Over Itself**

```
A Group Acts by Conjugation Over Itself
lemma (in group) conjugation_is_inj:
  assumes "g ∈ carrier G" "h1 ∈ carrier G" "h2 ∈ carrier G"
    and "g \otimes h1 \otimes (inv g) = g \otimes h2 \otimes (inv g)"
    shows "h1 = h2"
  using assms by auto
lemma (in group) conjugation_is_surj:
  assumes "g ∈ carrier G" "h ∈ carrier G"
  shows "g \otimes ((inv g) \otimes h \otimes g) \otimes (inv g) = h"
  using assms m_assoc inv_closed inv_inv m_closed monoid_axioms r_inv
r_one
  by metis
lemma (in group) conjugation_is_bij:
  assumes "g ∈ carrier G"
```

```
shows "bij_betw (λh ∈ carrier G. g ⊗ h ⊗ (inv g)) (carrier G) (carrier
G)"
             (is "bij_betw ?ϕ (carrier G) (carrier G)")
  unfolding bij_betw_def
proof
  show "inj on ?\varphi (carrier G)"
     using conjugation is inj by (simp add: assms inj on def)
next
   \mathtt{have} S: "\bigwedge h. h ∈ carrier G \implies (inv g) \otimes h \otimes g ∈ carrier G"
     using assms by blast
   \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{"}\bigwedge\ \mathtt{h}\ \texttt{.}\ \ \mathtt{h}\in\mathtt{carrier}\ \ \mathtt{G}\implies \texttt{?}\varphi\ \ (\texttt{(inv}\ \mathtt{g})\ \otimes\ \mathtt{h}\ \otimes\ \mathtt{g})\ =\ \mathtt{h"\ }using assms by (simp add: conjugation_is_surj)
  hence "carrier G \subseteq ?\varphi ' carrier G"
     using S image_iff by fastforce
   \text{moreover } \text{ have } " \bigwedge \text{ } \text{ } h \text{ } \in \text{ carrier } \text{ } \mathsf{G} \implies ? \varphi \text{ } \text{ } h \in \text{ carrier } \text{ } \mathsf{G}''using assms by simp
  hence "?ϕ ' carrier G ⊆ carrier G" by blast
  ultimately show "?\varphi ' carrier G = carrier G" by blast
qed
lemma(in group) conjugation_is_hom:
  "(\lambdag. \lambdah ∈ carrier G. g ⊗ h ⊗ inv g) ∈ hom G (BijGroup (carrier G))"
  unfolding hom_def
proof -
  let ?ψ = "λg. λh. g ⊗ h ⊗ inv g"let ?\varphi = "\lambdag. restrict (?\psi g) (carrier G)"
   \mathtt{have} Step0: "\bigwedge g. g \in carrier G \implies (?\varphi g) \in Bij (carrier G)"
     using Bij_def conjugation_is_bij by fastforce
  hence Step1: "?ϕ: carrier G → carrier (BijGroup (carrier G))"
     unfolding BijGroup_def by simp
   \mathtt{have}\ \ ^\mathsf{w}\bigwedge\ \mathtt{g1}\ \mathtt{g2.}\ \ \llbracket\ \mathtt{g1}\ \in\ \mathtt{carrier}\ \mathtt{G}\mathtt{;}\ \ \mathtt{g2}\ \in\ \mathtt{carrier}\ \mathtt{G}\ \rrbracket \implies(\Lambda h. h \in carrier G \implies ?\psi (g1 \otimes g2) h = (?\varphi g1) ((?\varphig2) h))"
  proof -
     fix g1 g2 h assume g1: "g1 ∈ carrier G" and g2: "g2 ∈ carrier G"
and h: "h ∈ carrier G"
     have "inv (g1 ⊗ g2) = (inv g2) ⊗ (inv g1)"
        using g1 g2 by (simp add: inv_mult_group)
     thus "?\psi (g1 \otimes g2) h = (?\varphi g1) ((?\varphi g2) h)"
        by (simp add: g1 g2 h m_assoc)
  qed
   \text{hence} "\bigwedge g1 g2. \llbracket g1 \in carrier G; g2 \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies(\lambda h \in carrier G. ?\psi (g1 \otimes g2) h) = (\lambda h \in carrier G. (?\varphi g1)
((? \varphi g2) h))^* by auto
   \text{hence Step2: } \; \texttt{"}\bigwedge \; \texttt{g1 g2.} \; \; \texttt{g1} \in \text{carrier G; g2} \in \text{carrier G } \; \texttt{]} \implies
```
```
?\varphi (g1 ⊗ g2) = (?\varphi g1) \otimesBijGroup (carrier G) (?\varphi g2)"
     unfolding BijGroup_def by (simp add: Step0 compose_def)
  thus "?\varphi \in \{\hbox{h}: \hbox{ carrier } \mathbb{G} \to \hbox{ carrier } (\hbox{BijGroup }(\hbox{carrier } \mathbb{G})).(∀x ∈ carrier G. ∀y ∈ carrier G. h (x \otimes y) = h x \otimes_{BijGroup} (carrier G)
h \ v)}"
     using Step1 Step2 by auto
qed
theorem (in group) action_by_conjugation:
  "group_action G (carrier G) (\lambdag. (\lambdah ∈ carrier G. g \otimes h \otimes (inv g)))"
```
#### **23.6.2 Action Over The Set of Subgroups**

A Group Acts by Conjugation Over The Set of Subgroups

**unfolding** group\_action\_def group\_hom\_def **using** conjugation\_is\_hom **by** (simp add: group\_BijGroup group\_hom\_axioms.intro is\_group)

```
lemma (in group) subgroup_conjugation_is_inj_aux:
 assumes "g ∈ carrier G" "H1 ⊆ carrier G" "H2 ⊆ carrier G"
    and "g \lt # H1 # > (inv g) = g \lt # H2 # > (inv g)"
   shows "H1 ⊆ H2"
proof
 fix h1 assume h1: "h1 \in H1"
  hence "g ⊗ h1 ⊗ (inv g) \in g \leq # H1 #> (inv g)"
    unfolding l_coset_def r_coset_def using assms by blast
 hence "g ⊗ h1 ⊗ (inv g) ∈ g <# H2 #> (inv g)"
    using assms by auto
 hence "\existsh2 ∈ H2. g ⊗ h1 ⊗ (inv g) = g ⊗ h2 ⊗ (inv g)"
      unfolding l_coset_def r_coset_def by blast
 then obtain h2 where "h2 ∈ H2 ∧ g ⊗ h1 ⊗ (inv g) = g ⊗ h2 ⊗ (inv
g)" by blast
 thus "h1 ∈ H2"
    using assms conjugation_is_inj h1 by blast
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_conjugation_is_inj:
 assumes "g ∈ carrier G" "H1 ⊆ carrier G" "H2 ⊆ carrier G"
   and "g \lt# H1 #> (inv g) = g \lt# H2 #> (inv g)"
    shows "H1 = H2"
  using subgroup_conjugation_is_inj_aux assms set_eq_subset by metis
lemma (in group) subgroup_conjugation_is_surj0:
  assumes "g ∈ carrier G" "H ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "g <# ((inv g) <# H #> g) #> (inv g) = H"
 using coset_assoc assms coset_mult_assoc l_coset_subset_G lcos_m_assoc
 by (simp add: lcos_mult_one)
lemma (in group) subgroup_conjugation_is_surj1:
```

```
assumes "g ∈ carrier G" "subgroup H G"
  shows "subgroup ((inv g) <# H #> g) G"
proof
  show "1 \in inv g \lt# H #> g"
  proof -
    have "1 ∈ H" by (simp add: assms(2) subgroup.one_closed)
    hence "inv g \otimes 1 \otimes g \in \text{inv } g \leq \# H \neq g"
       unfolding l_coset_def r_coset_def by blast
    thus "1 \in inv g \leq# H \neq g" using assms by simp
  qed
next
  show "inv g \leq# H \neq g \subseteq \text{carrier } G"
  proof
    fix x assume "x \in inv g \leq # H #> g"hence "\existsh ∈ H. x = (inv g) ⊗ h ⊗ g"
       unfolding r_coset_def l_coset_def by blast
    hence "\exists h \in (carrier G). x = (inv g) ⊗ h ⊗ g"
       by (meson assms subgroup.mem_carrier)
    thus "x \in \text{carrier } G" using assms by blast
  qed
next
  \texttt{show} " \bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in inv \texttt{g} <# H #> \texttt{g}; \texttt{y} \in inv \texttt{g} <# H #> \texttt{g} \rrbracket \implies x \otimesy \in inv g \leq # H #> g"proof -
    fix x y assume "x ∈ inv g <# H #> g" "y ∈ inv g <# H #> g"
    then obtain h1 h2 where h12: "h1 \in H" "h2 \in H" and "x = (inv g)
⊗ h1 ⊗ g ∧ y = (inv g) ⊗ h2 ⊗ g"
       unfolding l_coset_def r_coset_def by blast
    hence "x ⊗ y = ((inv g) ⊗ h1 ⊗ g) ⊗ ((inv g) ⊗ h2 ⊗ g)" by blast
    also have "... = ((inv g) ⊗ h1 ⊗ (g ⊗ inv g) ⊗ h2 ⊗ g)"
      using h12 assms inv_closed m_assoc m_closed subgroup.mem_carrier
[OF ‹ subgroup H G› ] by presburger
    also have "... = ((inv g) \otimes (h1 \otimes h2) \otimes g)'"
       by (simp add: h12 assms m_assoc subgroup.mem_carrier [OF ‹ subgroup
H G› ])
    finally have "∃ h ∈ H. x ⊗ y = (inv g) ⊗ h ⊗ g"
       by (meson assms(2) h12 subgroup_def)
    thus "x \otimes y \in inv g \lt# H #> g"
       unfolding l_coset_def r_coset_def by blast
  qed
next
  \mathrm{show} "\bigwedge x. x \in \mathrm{inv} g <# H #> g \implies \mathrm{inv} x \in \mathrm{inv} g <# H #> g"
  proof -
    fix x assume "x \in inv g \leq # H #> g"hence "∃h \in H. x = (inv g) ⊗ h \otimes g"
      unfolding r_coset_def l_coset_def by blast
    then obtain h where h: "h ∈ H ∧ x = (inv g) ⊗ h ⊗ g" by blast
    hence "x \otimes (inv g) \otimes (inv h) \otimes g = 1"
       using assms m_assoc monoid_axioms by (simp add: subgroup.mem_carrier)
```

```
hence "inv x = (inv g) \otimes (inv h) \otimes g"
      using assms h inv_mult_group m_assoc monoid_axioms by (simp add:
subgroup.mem_carrier)
    moreover have "inv h ∈ H"
      by (simp add: assms h subgroup.m_inv_closed)
    ultimately show "inv x \in inv g \leq H H \neq g'' unfolding r_coset_def l_coset_def
by blast
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_conjugation_is_surj2:
  assumes "g ∈ carrier G" "subgroup H G"
  shows "subgroup (g <# H #> (inv g)) G"
  using subgroup_conjugation_is_surj1 by (metis assms inv_closed inv_inv)
lemma (in group) subgroup_conjugation_is_bij:
  assumes "g ∈ carrier G"
  shows "bij_betw (\lambdaH \in {H. subgroup H G}. g <# H #> (inv g)) {H. subgroup
H G} {H. subgroup H G}"
          (is "bij_betw ?ϕ {H. subgroup H G} {H. subgroup H G}")
  unfolding bij_betw_def
proof
  show "inj_on ?\varphi {H. subgroup H G}"
    using subgroup_conjugation_is_inj assms inj_on_def subgroup.subset
    by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) inj_on_restrict_eq mem_Collect_eq)
next
  have \sqrt{a} \pi H \in \{H. subgroup H \nG \Rightarrow ?\varphi ((inv g) \pi H \nH \Rightarrow g) = H''by (simp add: assms subgroup.subset subgroup_conjugation_is_surj0
                   subgroup conjugation is surj1 is group)
  hence " \nightharpoonup H. H \in \{ H. subgroup H G \} \implies \exists H' \in \{ H. subgroup H G \}. ?\varphi H'
= H"using assms subgroup_conjugation_is_surj1 by fastforce
  thus "?\varphi ' {H. subgroup H G} = {H. subgroup H G}"
    using subgroup_conjugation_is_surj2 assms by auto
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_conjugation_is_hom:
  "(\lambdag. \lambdaH \in {H. subgroup H G}. g <# H #> (inv g)) \in hom G (BijGroup
{H. subgroup H G})"
  unfolding hom_def
proof -
  let ? \psi = "\lambda g. \lambda H. g \leq H H #> (inv g)"
  let ?\varphi = "\lambdag. restrict (?\psi g) {H. subgroup H G}"
  have Step0: "\bigwedge g. g \in carrier G \implies (?\varphi g) \in Bij {H. subgroup H G}"
    using Bij_def subgroup_conjugation_is_bij by fastforce
  hence Step1: "?ϕ: carrier G → carrier (BijGroup {H. subgroup H G})"
    unfolding BijGroup_def by simp
```
 $\mathtt{have}$  " $\bigwedge$  g1 g2.  $\llbracket$  g1  $\in$  carrier G; g2  $\in$  carrier G  $\rrbracket$   $\implies$ ( $\wedge$  H. H  $\in$  {H. subgroup H G}  $\implies$  ? $\psi$  (g1  $\otimes$  g2) H = (? $\varphi$ g1)  $((? \varphi \text{ g2}) \text{ H}))$ " **proof** fix g1 g2 H assume g1: "g1  $\in$  carrier G" and g2: "g2  $\in$  carrier G" and  $H'$ : " $H \in \{H$ . subgroup  $H$  G}" **hence** H: "subgroup H G" **by** simp have "(? $\varphi$  g1) ((? $\varphi$  g2) H) = g1 <# (g2 <# H #> (inv g2)) #> (inv g1)" **by** (simp add: H g2 subgroup\_conjugation\_is\_surj2) **also have** " ... = g1 <# (g2 <# H) #> ((inv g2) ⊗ (inv g1))" **by** (simp add: H coset\_mult\_assoc g1 g2 group.coset\_assoc is\_group l\_coset\_subset\_G subgroup.subset) also have " ... = g1 <# (g2 <# H) #> inv (g1  $\otimes$  g2)" **using** g1 g2 **by** (simp add: inv\_mult\_group) **finally have** "(? $\varphi$  g1) ((? $\varphi$  g2) H) = ? $\psi$  (g1  $\otimes$  g2) H" **by** (simp add: H g1 g2 lcos\_m\_assoc subgroup.subset) **thus** "? $\psi$  (g1  $\otimes$  g2) H = (? $\varphi$  g1) ((? $\varphi$  g2) H)" **by** auto **qed**  $\text{hence}$  " $\bigwedge$  g1 g2.  $\llbracket$  g1  $\in$  carrier G; g2  $\in$  carrier G  $\rrbracket$   $\implies$ ( $\lambda$ H  $\in$  {H. subgroup H G}. ? $\psi$  (g1  $\otimes$  g2) H) = ( $\lambda$ H  $\in$  {H. subgroup H G}. (? $\varphi$  g1) ((? $\varphi$  g2) H))" **by** (meson restrict\_ext)  $\text{hence Step2: } \; \texttt{"}\bigwedge \; \texttt{g1 g2.} \; \; \texttt{g1} \in \text{carrier G; g2} \in \text{carrier G } \; \texttt{]} \implies$  $?$  $\varphi$  (g1  $\otimes$  g2) = (? $\varphi$  g1)  $\otimes$ BijGroup {H. subgroup H G} (? $\varphi$ g2)" **unfolding** BijGroup\_def **by** (simp add: Step0 compose\_def) show "? $\varphi \in \{\text{h}: \text{ carrier } \mathbb{G} \to \text{ carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \{H. \text{ subgroup } H \mathbb{G}\}).$ ∀ x∈carrier G. ∀ y∈carrier G. h (x ⊗ y) = h x ⊗BijGroup {H. subgroup H G}  $h \, v$ <sup>"</sup> **using** Step1 Step2 **by** auto **qed theorem** (**in** group) action\_by\_conjugation\_on\_subgroups\_set: "group action G {H. subgroup H G} ( $\lambda$ g.  $\lambda$ H  $\in$  {H. subgroup H G}. g <# H #> (inv g))" **unfolding** group\_action\_def group\_hom\_def **using** subgroup\_conjugation\_is\_hom **by** (simp add: group\_BijGroup group\_hom\_axioms.intro is\_group) **23.6.3 Action Over The Power Set** A Group Acts by Conjugation Over The Power Set

**lemma** (**in** group) subset\_conjugation\_is\_bij: **assumes** "g ∈ carrier G" shows "bij\_betw ( $\lambda$ H  $\in$  {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}. g <# H #> (inv g)) {H. H ⊆ carrier G} {H. H ⊆ carrier G}" (is "bij\_betw ? $\varphi$  {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G} {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}") **unfolding** bij\_betw\_def

show "inj\_on ? $\varphi$  {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}" **using** subgroup\_conjugation\_is\_inj assms inj\_on\_def **by** (metis (mono\_tags, lifting) inj\_on\_restrict\_eq mem\_Collect\_eq) **next**  $\mathtt{have}\,$  " $\textstyle\bigwedge\hspace{-0.04cm}$ H. H  $\in$  {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}  $\implies$  ? $\varphi$  ((inv g) <# H #> g) = H" **by** (simp add: assms l\_coset\_subset\_G r\_coset\_subset\_G subgroup\_conjugation\_is\_surj0)  $\text{hence}$  " $\bigwedge \mathbb{H}.$  H  $\in$  {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}  $\implies \exists$  H'  $\in$  {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}. ? $\varphi$  $H' = H''$ **by** (metis assms l\_coset\_subset\_G mem\_Collect\_eq r\_coset\_subset\_G subgroup\_def subgroup\_self) **hence** "{H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}  $\subseteq$  ? $\varphi$  ' {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}" by blast **moreover have** "?ϕ ' {H. H ⊆ carrier G} ⊆ {H. H ⊆ carrier G}" **by** clarsimp (meson assms contra\_subsetD inv\_closed l\_coset\_subset\_G r\_coset\_subset\_G) **ultimately show** "? $\varphi$  ' {H. H  $\subset$  carrier G} = {H. H  $\subset$  carrier G}" by simp **qed lemma** (**in** group) subset\_conjugation\_is\_hom:  $\forall (\lambda g. \lambda H \in \{H. H \subseteq \text{carrier G}\}\) \in f \Rightarrow g \leq \# H \Rightarrow (\text{inv } g) \in \text{hom } G$  (BijGroup  ${H. H \subseteq carrier G}}$ " **unfolding** hom\_def **proof** let  $? \psi = "\lambda g$ .  $\lambda H$ .  $g \leq #$  H #> (inv g)" let ? $\varphi$  = " $\lambda$ g. restrict (? $\psi$  g) {H. H  $\subset$  carrier G}"  $\mathtt{have}$  Step0: " $\bigwedge$  g.  $\mathtt{g}\in$  carrier G  $\implies$  (? $\varphi$  g)  $\in$  Bij {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}" **using** Bij\_def subset\_conjugation\_is\_bij **by** fastforce **hence** Step1: "? $\varphi$ : carrier G  $\rightarrow$  carrier (BijGroup {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G})" **unfolding** BijGroup\_def **by** simp  $\mathtt{have}$  " $\bigwedge$  g1 g2.  $\left[\right.$  g1  $\in$  carrier G; g2  $\in$  carrier G  $\left.\right]\implies$  $(\bigwedge$  H. H  $\in$  {H. H  $\subseteq$  carrier G}  $\implies$  ? $\psi$  (g1  $\otimes$  g2) H =  $(?\varphi \text{ g1})$   $((?\varphi \text{ g2})$  H))" **proof** fix g1 g2 H assume g1: "g1  $\in$  carrier G" and g2: "g2  $\in$  carrier G" **and** H: "H ∈ {H. H ⊆ carrier G}" hence "(? $\varphi$  g1) ((? $\varphi$  g2) H) = g1 <# (g2 <# H #> (inv g2)) #> (inv g1)" **using** l\_coset\_subset\_G r\_coset\_subset\_G **by** auto also have " ... = g1 <# (g2 <# H) #> ((inv g2) ⊗ (inv g1))" **using** H coset\_assoc coset\_mult\_assoc g1 g2 l\_coset\_subset\_G **by** auto also have " ... = g1 <# (g2 <# H) #> inv (g1  $\otimes$  g2)" **using** g1 g2 **by** (simp add: inv\_mult\_group) **finally have** "(? $\varphi$  g1) ((? $\varphi$  g2) H) = ? $\psi$  (g1  $\otimes$  g2) H" **using** H g1 g2 lcos\_m\_assoc **by** force **thus** "? $\psi$  (g1  $\otimes$  g2) H = (? $\varphi$  g1) ((? $\varphi$  g2) H)" **by** auto

```
qed
   \text{hence} "\bigwedge g1 g2. \llbracket g1 \in carrier G; g2 \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies(\lambdaH \in {H. H \subseteq carrier G}. ?\psi (g1 \otimes g2) H) = (\lambdaH \in {H. H \subseteq carrier
G}. (?\varphi \text{ g1}) ((?\varphi \text{ g2}) H))"
     by (meson restrict_ext)
   \text{hence Step2: } \; \texttt{"}\bigwedge \; \texttt{g1 g2.} \; \; \texttt{g1} \in \text{carrier G; g2} \in \text{carrier G } \; \texttt{]} \implies?\varphi (g1 \otimes g2) = (?\varphi g1) \otimesBijGroup {H. H \subseteq carrier G} (?\varphig2)"
     unfolding BijGroup_def by (simp add: Step0 compose_def)
  show "?\varphi \in \{\text{h}: \text{ carrier } \mathbb{G} \to \text{ carrier } (\text{BijGroup } \{H. H \subseteq \text{ carrier } \mathbb{G}\}).\}∀ x∈carrier G. ∀ y∈carrier G. h (x ⊗ y) = h x ⊗BijGroup {H. H ⊆ carrier G}
h y}"
     using Step1 Step2 by auto
qed
theorem (in group) action_by_conjugation_on_power_set:
  "group_action G {H. H \subseteq carrier G} (\lambdag. \lambdaH \in {H. H \subseteq carrier G}. g
<# H #> (inv g))"
  unfolding group_action_def group_hom_def using subset_conjugation_is_hom
  by (simp add: group_BijGroup group_hom_axioms.intro is_group)
corollary (in group) normalizer_imp_subgroup:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "subgroup (normalizer G H) G"
  unfolding normalizer_def
  using group action.stabilizer subgroup<sup>[OF action by conjugation on power set]</sup>
assms by auto
```
## **23.7 Subgroup of an Acting Group**

A Subgroup of an Acting Group Induces an Action

```
lemma (in group_action) induced_homomorphism:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "\varphi \in \text{hom} (G (carrier := H)) (BijGroup E)"
  unfolding hom_def apply simp
proof -
  have S0: "H ⊆ carrier G" by (meson assms subgroup_def)
  hence "ϕ: H → carrier (BijGroup E)"
    by (simp add: BijGroup_def bij_prop0 subset_eq)
  thus "\varphi: H → carrier (BijGroup E) \land (\forall x ∈ H. \forall y ∈ H. \varphi (x \otimes y) =
\varphi x \otimesBijGroup E \varphi y)"
    by (simp add: S0 group_hom group_hom.hom_mult rev_subsetD)
qed
theorem (in group_action) induced_action:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "group action (G (|carrier := H|)) E \varphi"
  unfolding group action def group hom def
```
**using** induced\_homomorphism assms group.subgroup\_imp\_group group\_BijGroup group\_hom group\_hom.axioms(1) group\_hom\_axioms\_def **by** blast

**end**

## **24 The Zassenhaus Lemma**

```
theory Zassenhaus
 imports Coset Group_Action
begin
```
Proves the second isomorphism theorem and the Zassenhaus lemma.

## **24.1 Lemmas about normalizer**

```
lemma (in group) subgroup_in_normalizer:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "normal H (G(|carrier:= (normalizer G H)|))"
proof(intro group.normal_invI)
  show "Group.group (G(|carrier := normalizer G H|))"
    by (simp add: assms group.normalizer_imp_subgroup is_group subgroup_imp_group
subgroup.subset)
  have K:"H ⊆ (normalizer G H)" unfolding normalizer_def
  proof
    fix x assume xH: "x ∈ H"
    from xH have xG : "x ∈ carrier G" using subgroup.subset assms by
auto
    have "x <# H = H"
      by (metis ‹ x ∈ H› assms group.lcos_mult_one is_group
          l_repr_independence one_closed subgroup.subset)
    moreover have "H #> inv x = H"
      by (simp add: xH assms is_group subgroup.rcos_const subgroup.m_inv_closed)
    ultimately have "x \lt # H #> (inv x) = H" by simp
    thus " x \in stabilizer G (\lambdag. \lambdaH∈{H. H \subseteq carrier G}. g <# H #> inv
g) H"
      using assms xG subgroup.subset unfolding stabilizer_def by auto
  qed
  thus "subgroup H (G(|carrier:= (normalizer G H)|))"
    using subgroup_incl normalizer_imp_subgroup assms by (simp add: subgroup.subset)
  \texttt{show} " \bigwedge \texttt{x} \texttt{h}. \texttt{x} \in carrier (G\big\|carrier := normalizer G H\big\|) \implies \texttt{h} \in H
=⇒
               \texttt{x} \ \otimes_{\texttt{G}} (carrier := normalizer G H) ^\text{h}{}^{\bigotimes}G\parallelcarrier := normalizer G H\parallel<sup>inV</sup>G\parallelcarrier := normalizer G H\parallelx \in H''proof-
    fix x h assume xnorm : "x \in carrier (G(|carrier := normalizer G H))"
and hH : "h ∈ H"
    have xnormalizer:"x ∈ normalizer G H" using xnorm by simp
    moreover have hnormalizer:"h ∈ normalizer G H" using hH K by auto
```

```
\n  <b>ultimately</b>\n  have "x <math>\otimes_{G(\text{carrier := normalizer } G \text{ H})}\n  <b>h = x</b> <math>\otimes</math> <b>h</b>"\n  by simpmoreover have " inv_{G} (carrier := normalizer G H) X = inv X''using xnormalizer
       by (simp add: assms normalizer_imp_subgroup subgroup.subset m_inv_consistent)
     {\rm ultimately\quad have~xhexegal:~"x\,\otimes_{\tiny{\mathbb{G}}(\tiny{\mathtt{carrier}}\,:=\,normal{\mathtt{normalized}}\, \mathbb{G}\,\,\mathbb{H})} \,\,{}^{\rm h}{}^{\textcircled{\tiny{\textcirc}}}G(|carrier := normalizer G H) {}^{\text{inv}}G(|carrier := normalizer G H)
x
                     = x ⊗h ⊗ inv x"
       using hnormalizer by simp
    have "x ⊗h ⊗ inv x ∈ (x <# H #> inv x)"
       unfolding l_coset_def r_coset_def using hH by auto
    moreover have "x \leq \# H \# inv x = H"
       using xnormalizer assms subgroup.subset[OF assms]
       unfolding normalizer_def stabilizer_def by auto
     ultimately have "x ⊗h ⊗ inv x ∈ H" by simp
     thus " \mathbf{x} \ \otimes_{\mathsf{G}} (carrier := normalizer G H) ^{\textrm{h}}\otimes_{G}^{*}(carrier := normalizer G H) ^{11V}G(carrier := normalizer G H)
x \in H''using xhxegal hH xnorm by simp
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) normal_imp_subgroup_normalizer:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
    and "N \triangleleft (G(|carrier := H())"
  shows "subgroup H (G|carrier := normalizer G N|)"
proof-
  have N_carrierG : "N ⊆ carrier(G)"
    using assms normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.subset
    using incl_subgroup by blast
  {have "H ⊆ normalizer G N" unfolding normalizer_def stabilizer_def
    proof
       fix x assume xH : "x \in H"hence xcarrierG : "x ∈ carrier(G)" using assms subgroup.subset
by auto
       have " N \neq x = x \leq x \leq N" using assms xH
         unfolding r_coset_def l_coset_def normal_def normal_axioms_def
subgroup_imp_group by auto
       hence "x <# N #> inv x =(N #> x) #> inv x"
         by simp
       also have "... = N #> 1"
         using assms r_inv xcarrierG coset_mult_assoc[OF N_carrierG] by
simp
       finally have "x <# N #> inv x = N" by (simp add: N_carrierG)
       thus "x \in {g \in carrier G. (\lambdaH\in{H. H \subseteq carrier G}. g <# H #> inv
g) N = N<sup>"</sup>
         using xcarrierG by (simp add : N_carrierG)
    qed}
```

```
thus "subgroup H (G(C^{\text{c}}(c_1))" := normalizer G N)"
    using subgroup_incl[OF assms(1) normalizer_imp_subgroup]
      assms normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.subset
    by (metis group.incl_subgroup is_group)
qed
```
#### **24.2 Second Isomorphism Theorem**

```
lemma (in group) mult_norm_subgroup:
  assumes "normal N G"
    and "subgroup H G"
  shows "subgroup (N<#>H) G" unfolding subgroup_def
proof-
  have A :"N <#> H ⊆ carrier G"
    using assms setmult_subset_G by (simp add: normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.subset)
  \mathtt{have}\ \mathtt{B}\ : \text{``}\bigwedge\ \mathtt{x}\ \mathtt{y}. \ \ \lbrack\! \lbrack\ \mathtt{x}\ \in\ (\mathtt{N}\ \text{<\#}\text{>}\ \mathtt{H})\,;\ \mathtt{y}\ \in\ (\mathtt{N}\ \text{<\#}\text{>}\ \mathtt{H})\rbrack\! \implies (\mathtt{x}\ \otimes\ \mathtt{y})\ \in\ (\mathtt{N}\text{<\#}\text{>}\mathtt{H})\text{''}proof-
    fix x y assume B1a: "x \in (N \le N)" and B1b: "y \in (N \le N)"
    obtain n1 h1 where B2:"n1 ∈ N ∧ h1 ∈ H ∧ n1⊗h1 = x"
       using set_mult_def B1a by (metis (no_types, lifting) UN_E singletonD)
    obtain n2 h2 where B3:"n2 ∈ N ∧ h2 ∈ H ∧ n2⊗h2 = y"
       using set_mult_def B1b by (metis (no_types, lifting) UN_E singletonD)
    have "N #> h1 = h1 <# N"
       using normalI B2 assms normal.coset_eq subgroup.subset by blast
    hence "h1⊗n2 ∈ N #> h1"
       using B2 B3 assms l_coset_def by fastforce
    from this obtain y2 where y2 def:"y2 ∈ N" and y2 prop:"y2⊗h1 = h1⊗n2"
       using singletonD by (metis (no_types, lifting) UN_E r_coset_def)
     \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{``\text{\textbackslash}}a. a \in N \Longrightarrow a \in carrier G" \ \ \texttt{``\textbackslash}a. a \in H \Longrightarrow a \in carrier
G''by (meson assms normal_def subgroup.mem_carrier)+
    then have "x⊗y = n1 ⊗ y2 ⊗ h1 ⊗ h2" using y2_def B2 B3
       \text{by} (metis (no_types) B2 B3 <sup>∠</sup>\bigwedgea. a ∈ N \implies a ∈ carrier G> m_assoc
m_closed y2_def y2_prop)
    moreover have B4 :"n1 ⊗ y2 ∈N"
       using B2 y2_def assms normal_imp_subgroup by (metis subgroup_def)
    moreover have "h1 ⊗ h2 ∈H" using B2 B3 assms by (simp add: subgroup.m_closed)
    hence "(n1 ⊗ y2) ⊗ (h1 ⊗ h2) ∈(N<#>H) "
       using B4 unfolding set_mult_def by auto
    hence "n1 ⊗ y2 ⊗ h1 ⊗ h2 ∈(N<#>H)"
       using m_assoc B2 B3 assms normal_imp_subgroup by (metis B4 subgroup.mem_carrier)
    ultimately show "x \otimes y \in N <#> H" by auto
  qed
  have C :"\ x. x∈(N<#>H) =⇒ (inv x)∈(N<#>H)"
  proof-
    fix x assume C1 : "x \in (N \leq #>H)"obtain n h where C2:"n ∈ N ∧ h ∈ H ∧ n⊗h = x"
```

```
using set_mult_def C1 by (metis (no_types, lifting) UN_E singletonD)
     have C3 :"inv(n⊗h) = inv(h)⊗inv(n)"
       by (meson C2 assms inv_mult_group normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.mem_carrier)
     hence "... ⊗h ∈ N"
       using assms C2
       by (meson normal.inv_op_closed1 normal_def subgroup.m_inv_closed
subgroup.mem carrier)
     hence C4:"(inv h \otimes inv n \otimes h) \otimes inv h \in (N<#>H)"
       using C2 assms subgroup.m_inv_closed[of H G h] unfolding set_mult_def
by auto
    have "inv h \otimes inv n \otimes h \otimes inv h = inv h \otimes inv n"
       using subgroup.subset[OF assms(2)]
       by (metis A C1 C2 C3 inv_closed inv_solve_right m_closed subsetCE)
     thus "inv(x)∈N<#>H" using C4 C2 C3 by simp
  qed
  have D : "1 ∈ N <#> H"
  proof-
     have D1 : "1 ∈ N"
       using assms by (simp add: normal_def subgroup.one_closed)
      have D2 :"1 ∈ H"
       using assms by (simp add: subgroup.one_closed)
     thus "1 \in (N <#> H)"
       using set_mult_def D1 assms by fastforce
  qed
  thus "(N <#> H \subseteq carrier G \land (\forall x, y, x \in \mathbb{N} <#> H \longrightarrow y \in \mathbb{N} <#> H \longrightarrowx \otimes y \in N \iff H) ∧
    1 \in \mathbb{N} \iff \forall \forall x \in \mathbb{N} \iff \forall \forall \forall x \in \mathbb{N} \iff \forall x \in \mathbb{N} \iff \forall x \in \mathbb{N}D assms by blast
qed
lemma (in group) mult_norm_sub_in_sub:
  assumes "normal N (G(|carrier:=K|))"
  assumes "subgroup H (G(|carrier:=K|))"
  assumes "subgroup K G"
  shows "subgroup (N<#>H) (G(|carrier:=K|))"
proof-
  have Hyp:"subgroup (N <#><sub>G(carrier := K) H) (G(carrier := K))"</sub>
     using group.mult_norm_subgroup[where ?G = "G(|carrier := K|)"] assms
subgroup_imp_group by auto
  have "H \subseteq carrier(G(|carrier := K))" using assms subgroup.subset by
blast
  also have "... ⊆ K" by simp
  finally have Incl1: "H \subseteq K" by simp
  have "N \subseteq carrier(G(|carrier := K))" using assms normal_imp_subgroup
subgroup.subset by blast
  also have "\ldots \subseteq K" by simp
  finally have Incl2:"N ⊆ K" by simp
```

```
have "(N <#><sub>G</sub>(|carrier := K) H) = (N <#> H)"
    using set_mult_consistent by simp
  thus "subgroup (N<#>H) (G(|carrier:=K|))" using Hyp by auto
qed
lemma (in group) subgroup_of_normal_set_mult:
  assumes "normal N G"
and "subgroup H G"
shows "subgroup H (G(\text{carrier} := N \leq \#) \leq H))"
proof-
 have "1 ∈ N" using normal_imp_subgroup assms(1) subgroup_def by blast
 hence "1 <# H ⊆ N <#> H" unfolding set_mult_def l_coset_def by blast
  hence H_incl : "H ⊂ N <#> H"
    by (metis assms(2) lcos_mult_one subgroup_def)
 show "subgroup H (G(\text{carrier} := N \leq \#) )"
  using subgroup_incl[OF assms(2) mult_norm_subgroup[OF assms(1) assms(2)]
H_incl] .
qed
lemma (in group) normal_in_normal_set_mult:
 assumes "normal N G"
and "subgroup H G"
shows "normal N (G(\text{carrier} := N \leq * \leq H))"
proof-
  have "1 \in H" using assms(2) subgroup def by blast
  hence "N #> 1 ⊆ N <#> H" unfolding set_mult_def r_coset_def by blast
  hence N_incl : "N ⊆ N <#> H"
    by (metis assms(1) normal_imp_subgroup coset_mult_one subgroup_def)
  thus "normal N (G(C_1 \cup F) = N \leq F)"
    using normal_Int_subgroup[OF mult_norm_subgroup[OF assms] assms(1)]
    by (simp add : inf_absorb1)
qed
proposition (in group) weak_snd_iso_thme:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
    and \sqrt[m]{C}"
 shows "(G(|carrier := N<#>H\parallel Mod N \cong G(|carrier:=H\parallel Mod (N∩H))"
proof-
  define f where "f = (#>) N"
  have GroupNH : "Group.group (G(|carrier := N<#>H|))"
    using subgroup_imp_group assms mult_norm_subgroup by simp
  have HcarrierNH :"H ⊆ carrier(G(|carrier := N<#>H|))"
    using assms subgroup_of_normal_set_mult subgroup.subset by blast
 hence HNH :"H ⊆ N<#>H" by simp
 have op hom : "f ∈ hom (G(|carrier := H)) (G(|carrier := N <#> H) Mod
N)" unfolding hom_def
```

```
proof
     \text{ have } \text{``}\text{/}\text{x} \text{ . } \text{x } \in \text{ carrier } \text{ (G} \text{ (carrier } := \text{H} \text{)) } \implies(\#_{\mathcal{G}}( \text{carrier} := N \iff H | ) N \times \in \text{ carrier } (G( \text{carrier} := N \iff H | ) Mod
N)"
     proof-
       fix x assume "x \in carrier (G|carrier := H|)"hence xH : "x \in H" by simp
        hence "(#><sub>G</sub>(carrier := N <#> H)<sup>)</sup> N x ∈ rcosets<sub>G</sub>(carrier := N <#> H)
N"
          using HcarrierNH RCOSETS def[where ?G = "G(|carrier := N <#> H|)"]
by blast
        thus "(#>G(|carrier := N <#> H|)
) N x ∈ carrier (G(|carrier := N <#>
H|) Mod N)"
          unfolding FactGroup_def by simp
     qed
     hence "(#>G(|carrier := N <#> H|)
) N ∈ carrier (G(|carrier :=H|)) →
               carrier (G(|carrier := N <#> H|) Mod N)" by auto
     hence "f ∈ carrier (G(|carrier :=H|)) → carrier (G(|carrier := N <#>
H|) Mod N)"
       unfolding r_coset_def f_def by simp
     moreover have "
V
x y. x∈carrier (G(|carrier := H|)) =⇒ y∈carrier (G(|carrier
:= H \rightarrow \Rightarrowf(x \otimes_{G(\text{carrier} := H)} y) = f(x) \otimes_{G(\text{carrier} := N \iff H)} \text{Mod N}f(y)"
     proof-
       fix x y assume "x∈carrier (G(|carrier := H|))" "y∈carrier (G(|carrier
:= H\uparrow)"
       hence xHyH :"x ∈ H" "y ∈ H" by auto
        have Nxeq :"N #>G(|carrier := N<#>H|)
x = N #>x" unfolding r_coset_def
by simp
        have Nyeq :"N #>G(|carrier := N<#>H|)
y = N #>y" unfolding r_coset_def
by simp
        \textbf{have "x } ∞_{\textsf{G}}(\text{carrier := }\texttt{H} \texttt{)} \texttt{ } \texttt{y = x } ∗_{\textsf{G}}(\text{carrier := }\texttt{N} < # > \texttt{H} \texttt{)} \texttt{ } \texttt{y" } \texttt{ by } \texttt{simp}hence "N #>G(|carrier := N<#>H|)
x ⊗G(|carrier := H|)
y
                 = N #>G(|carrier := N<#>H|)
x ⊗G(|carrier := N<#>H|)
y" by simp
        also have "... = (N #>G(|carrier := N<#>H|)
x) <#>G(|carrier := N<#>H|)
                              (N \# >_{G({\text{carrier}} := N <# > H) y)"
          using normal.rcos_sum[OF normal_in_normal_set_mult[OF assms(2)
assms(1)], of x y]xHyH assms HcarrierNH by auto
        finally show "f (x ⊗G(|carrier := H|)
y) = f(x) ⊗G(|carrier := N <#> H|) Mod N
f(y)"
          unfolding FactGroup_def r_coset_def f_def using Nxeq Nyeq by
auto
     qed
     hence "(∀ x∈carrier (G(|carrier := H|)). ∀ y∈carrier (G(|carrier := H|)).
              f (x \otimes_{G(\text{carrier := }H)} y) = f(x) \otimes_{G(\text{carrier := }N <#> H) Mod N
```

```
f(y))" by blast
    ultimately show " f \in \text{carrier} (G(|carrier := H)) \rightarrow carrier (G(|carrier
:= N <#> H|) Mod N) ∧
    (∀ x∈carrier (G(|carrier := H|)). ∀ y∈carrier (G(|carrier := H|)).
     f (x \otimes_{G(\text{carrier := }H\vert f \text{)} y) = f(x) \otimes_{G(\text{carrier := }N} <#> H) Mod N f(y))"
      by auto
  qed
  hence homomorphism : "group hom (G(|carrier := H)) (G(|carrier := N <#>
H\parallel Mod N) f"
    unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def using subgroup_imp_group[OF
assms(1)]normal.factorgroup_is_group[OF normal_in_normal_set_mult[OF
assms(2) assms(1)]] by auto
  moreover have im_f : "(f ' carrier(G(|carrier:=H))) = carrier(G(|carrier
:= N <#> H|) Mod N)"
  proof
    show "f ' carrier (G(|carrier := H|) \subseteq carrier (G(|carrier := N <#>
H|) Mod N)"
      using op_hom unfolding hom_def using funcset_image by blast
  next
    show "carrier (G(|carrier := N <#> H) Mod N) \subseteq f ' carrier (G(|carrier
:= H))"
    proof
      fix x assume p : " x \in carrier (G(|carrier := N \iff H) Mod N)"hence "x ∈ |J{y. ∃x∈carrier (G(|carrier := N <#> H)). y = {N #><sub>G(|</sub>carrier := N <#> H)
x}}"
         unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def by auto
      hence hyp :"∃y. ∃h∈carrier (G(|carrier := N <#> H)). y = {N #><sub>G</sub>(|carrier := N <#> H)
h} \land x \in y"
         using Union_iff by blast
      from hyp obtain nh where nhNH:"nh ∈carrier (G(|carrier := N <#>
H())"
                             \text{and} "x \in \{N \text{ #} >_{G(\text{carrier} \text{ := } N \text{ &} \text{#} > H)} \text{ nh}}"
         by blast
       hence K: "x = (#>G(|carrier := N <#> H|)
) N nh" by simp
      have "nh ∈ N <#> H" using nhNH by simp
      from this obtain n h where nN : "n \in N" and hH : "h \in H" and
nhnh: "n ⊗ h = nh"
        unfolding set_mult_def by blast
       have "x = (#>G(|carrier := N <#> H|)
) N (n ⊗ h)" using K nhnh by simp
      hence "x = (\#) N (n \otimes h)" using K nhnh unfolding r_coset_def
by auto
      also have "... = (N #> n) #>h"
         using coset_mult_assoc hH nN assms subgroup.subset normal_imp_subgroup
         by (metis subgroup.mem_carrier)
      finally have x = (+) N h"
         using coset_join2[of n N] nN assms by (simp add: normal_imp_subgroup
subgroup.mem_carrier)
```

```
thus "x \in f' carrier (G(|carrier := H))" using hH unfolding f def
by simp
    qed
  qed
  moreover have ker f : "kernel (G(|carrier := H)) (G(|carrier := N<#>H)
Mod N) f = N \cap H''unfolding kernel_def f_def
    proof-
      have "\{x \in \text{carrier (G}(\text{carrier := H})) \}. N #> x = 1_{G}(\text{carrier := N \iff H}) Mod N<sup>}</sup>
=
             {x \in carrier (G|carrier := H|). N \nleftrightarrow x = N}" unfolding FactGroup_def
by simp
      also have "... = {x \in \text{carrier } (G(\text{carrier } := H))). x \in N}"
         using coset_join1
         by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms group.subgroup_self incl_subgroup
is group
           normal imp_subgroup_subgroup.mem_carrier subgroup.rcos_const
subgroup_imp_group)
      also have "... =N ∩ (carrier(G(|carrier := H|)))" by auto
      finally show "{x \in carrier (G(|carrier := H)). N#>x = 1<sub>G</sub>(|carrier := N <#> H) Mod N<sup>}</sup>
= N ∩ H"
         by simp
    qed
    ultimately have "(G(|carrier := H) Mod N ∩ H) ≅ (G(|carrier := N <#>
H|) Mod N)"
      using group hom.FactGroup iso[OF homomorphism im f] by auto
    hence "G(|carrier := N <#> H) Mod N ≅ G(|carrier := H) Mod N ∩ H"
      by (simp add: group.iso_sym assms normal.factorgroup_is_group normal_Int_subgroup)
    thus "G(|carrier := N <#> H) Mod N \cong G(|carrier := H) Mod N ∩ H" by
auto
qed
theorem (in group) snd_iso_thme:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
    and "subgroup N G"
    and "subgroup H (G(|carrier:= (normalizer G N)|))"
  shows "(G(|carrier:= N<#>H) Mod N) \cong (G(|carrier:= H) Mod (H∩N))"
proof-
  have "G(carrier := normalizer G N, carrier := H)
       = G(|carrier := H|)" by simp
  hence "G(|carrier := normalizer G N, carrier := H) Mod N \cap H =
          G(|carrier := H|) Mod N ∩ H" by auto
  moreover have "G(|carrier := normalizer G N,
                      carrier := N \lt H > G(carrier := normalizer G N) H) =
                  G(\text{carrier} := N \leq * \geq_{G(\text{carrier} := \text{normalized } G \text{ N})} H)^{\text{w}} by simp
  hence "G(|carrier := normalizer G N,
           carrier := N \lt \#>G(carrier := normalizer G N) H) Mod N =
           G(|carrier := N <#>G(|carrier := normalizer G N|)
H|) Mod N" by auto
```

```
hence "G(|carrier := normalizer G N,
            \text{carrier} := \text{N} \leq \text{#} > \text{G}(\text{carrier} := \text{normalized } \text{G} \text{N}) \text{H} Mod \text{N} \cong \text{C}G(| \text{carrier} := \text{normalizer } G N, carrier := H) Mod N \cap H =
            (G(\text{carrier:}= N \leq #>H)) Mod N) \congG(|carrier := normalizer G N, carrier := H) Mod N \cap H"
    using subgroup.subset[OF assms(3)]
           subgroup.subset[OF normal_imp_subgroup[OF subgroup_in_normalizer[OF
assms(2)]]]
    by simp
  ultimately have "G(|carrier := normalizer G N,
                        carrier := N \leq #>_{G(\text{carrier := normalizer G } N)} H) Mod N
∼=
                     G(| \text{carrier} := \text{normalizer } G N, carrier := H) Mod N \cap H=
                    (G(|carrier:= N<#>H|) Mod N) \cong G(|carrier:= H|) Mod N∩ H" by auto
  moreover have "G(|carrier := normalizer G N,
                        carrier := N \leq #>_{G(\text{carrier := normalizer G } N)} H) Mod N
∼=
                     G(| \text{carrier} := \text{normalized } G \text{ N}, carrier := H) Mod N \cap H"
    using group.weak snd iso thme<sup>[OF</sup> subgroup imp group<sup>[OF</sup> normalizer imp subgroup<sup>[OF</sup>
           subgroup.subset[OF assms(2)]]] assms(3) subgroup in normalizer[OF
assms(2)]]
    by simp
  moreover have "H∩N = N∩H" using assms by auto
  ultimately show "(G(|carrier:= N<#>H) Mod N) ≅ G(|carrier := H) Mod
H ∩ N" by auto
qed
corollary (in group) snd_iso_thme_recip :
  assumes "subgroup H G"
    and "subgroup N G"
    and "subgroup H (G(carrier:= (normalizer G N)))"
  shows "(G(|carrier:= H<#>N) Mod N) \cong (G(|carrier:= H) Mod (H∩N))"
  by (metis assms commut_normal_subgroup group.subgroup_in_normalizer
is_group subgroup.subset
       normalizer_imp_subgroup snd_iso_thme)
```
#### **24.3 The Zassenhaus Lemma**

```
lemma (in group) distinc:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
    and H1\triangleleft G(carrier := H)"
    and "subgroup K G"
    and "K1<G(carrier:=K)"
  shows "subgroup (H∩K) (G(|carrier:=(normalizer G (H1<#>(H∩K1))) |))"
proof (intro subgroup_incl[OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF assms(1) assms(3)]])
  show "subgroup (normalizer G (H1 <#> H ∩ K1)) G"
```

```
using normalizer_imp_subgroup assms normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.subset
    by (metis group.incl_subgroup is_group setmult_subset_G subgroups_Inter_pair)
next
  show "H ∩ K ⊆ normalizer G (H1 <#> H ∩ K1)" unfolding normalizer_def
stabilizer def
 proof
    fix x assume xHK : "x \in H \cap K"hence xG : "{x} ⊆ carrier G" "{inv x} ⊆ carrier G"
      using subgroup.subset assms inv_closed xHK by auto
    have allG : "H ⊆ carrier G" "K ⊆ carrier G" "H1 ⊆ carrier G" "K1
⊆ carrier G"
      using assms subgroup.subset normal_imp_subgroup incl_subgroup ap-
ply blast+ .
    have HK1: "H ∩ K1 ⊆ carrier G"
      by (simp add: allG(1) le_infI1)
    have HK1_normal: "H∩K1 C (G(|carrier := H ∩ K|))" using normal_inter[OF
assms(3)assms(1)assms(4)]by (simp add : inf_commute)
    have "H ∩ K ⊆ normalizer G (H ∩ K1)"
      using subgroup.subset[OF normal_imp_subgroup_normalizer[OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF
            assms(1)assms(3)]HK1_normal]] by auto
    hence "x <# (H ∩ K1) #> inv x = (H ∩ K1)"
      using xHK subgroup.subset[OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF assms(1) incl_subgroup[OF
assms(3)
                                                            normal_imp_subgroup[OF
assms(4)]]]]
      unfolding normalizer_def stabilizer_def by auto
    moreover have "H ⊆ normalizer G H1"
      using subgroup.subset [OF normal imp subgroup normalizer [OF assms(1)assms(2)]]
by auto
    hence "x <# H1 #> inv x = H1"
      using xHK subgroup.subset[OF incl_subgroup[OF assms(1) normal_imp_subgroup[OF
assms(2)]]]
      unfolding normalizer_def stabilizer_def by auto
    ultimately have "H1 <#> H ∩ K1 = (x <# H1 #> inv x) <#> (x <# H ∩
K1 #> inv x)" by auto
    also have "... = ({x} <#> H1) <#> {inv x} <#> ({x} <#> H ∩ K1 <#>
\{inv x\}"
      by (simp add : r_coset_eq_set_mult l_coset_eq_set_mult)
    also have "... = ({x} <#> H1 <#> {inv x} <#> {x}) <#> (H ∩ K1 <#>
{inv x})"
      using HK1 allG(3) set_mult_assoc setmult_subset_G xG(1) by auto
    also have "... = ({x} <#> H1 <#> {1}) <#> (H ∩ K1 <#> {inv x})"
      using allG xG coset mult assoc by (simp add: r coset eq set mult
setmult_subset_G)
    also have "... =({x} <#> H1) <#> (H ∩ K1 <#> {inv x})"
      using coset mult one r coset eq set mult of G H1 1] set mult assoc<sup>[OF</sup>
xG(1) allG(3)] allGby auto
```

```
also have "... = {x} <#> (H1 <#> H ∩ K1) <#> {inv x}"
      using allG xG set_mult_assoc setmult_subset_G by (metis inf.coboundedI2)
    finally have "H1 <#> H ∩ K1 = x <# (H1 <#> H ∩ K1) #> inv x"
      using xG setmult subset G allG by (simp add: 1 coset eq set mult
r_coset_eq_set_mult)
    thus "x \in {g \in carrier G. (\lambdaH\in{H. H \subseteq carrier G}. g \lt# H #> inv g)
(H1 <#> H ∩ K1)
                                                                        =
H1 <#> H ∩ K1}"
      using xG allG setmult_subset_G[OF allG(3), where ?K = "H∩K1"] xHK
      by auto
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) preliminary1:
 assumes "subgroup H G"
    and H1\triangleleft G(carrier := H)"
   and "subgroup K G"
   and "K1\triangleleft G(carrier:=K)"
 shows " (H∩K) ∩ (H1<#>(H∩K1)) = (H1∩K)<#>(H∩K1)"
proof
  have all_inclG : "H ⊆ carrier G" "H1 ⊆ carrier G" "K ⊆ carrier G"
"K1 ⊆ carrier G"
    using assms subgroup.subset normal_imp_subgroup incl_subgroup ap-
ply blast+.
 show "H ∩ K ∩ (H1 <#> H ∩ K1) ⊆ H1 ∩ K <#> H ∩ K1"
  proof
    fix x assume x def : "x ∈ (H ∩ K) ∩ (H1 <#> (H ∩ K1))"
    from x def have x incl : "x ∈ H" "x ∈ K" "x ∈ (H1 <#> (H ∩ K1))"
by auto
    then obtain h1 hk1 where h1hk1_def : "h1 ∈ H1" "hk1 ∈ H ∩ K1" "h1
\otimes hk1 = x"
      using assms unfolding set_mult_def by blast
    hence "hk1 ∈ H ∩ K" using subgroup.subset[OF normal_imp_subgroup[OF
assms(4)]] by auto
    hence "inv hk1 ∈ H ∩ K" using subgroup.m_inv_closed[OF subgroups_Inter_pair]
assms by auto
    moreover have "h1 ⊗ hk1 ∈ H ∩ K" using x_incl h1hk1_def by auto
    ultimately have "h1 ⊗ hk1 ⊗ inv hk1 ∈ H ∩ K"
      using subgroup.m_closed[OF subgroups_Inter_pair] assms by auto
    hence "h1 ∈ H ∩ K" using h1hk1_def assms subgroup.subset incl_subgroup
normal_imp_subgroup
      by (metis Int_iff contra_subsetD inv_solve_right m_closed)
    hence "h1 ∈ H1 ∩ H ∩ K" using h1hk1_def by auto
    hence "h1 ∈ H1 ∩ K" using subgroup.subset[OF normal_imp_subgroup[OF
assms(2)]] by auto
   hence "h1 ⊗ hk1 ∈ (H1∩K)<#>(H∩K1)"
      using h1hk1_def unfolding set_mult_def by auto
    thus " x ∈ (H1∩K)<#>(H∩K1)" using h1hk1_def x_def by auto
```

```
qed
 show "H1 ∩ K <#> H ∩ K1 ⊆ H ∩ K ∩ (H1 <#> H ∩ K1)"
  proof-
    have "H1 ∩ K ⊆ H ∩ K" using subgroup.subset[OF normal_imp_subgroup[OF
assms(2)]] by auto
    moreover have "H ∩ K1 ⊆ H ∩ K"
      using subgroup.subset[OF normal_imp_subgroup[OF assms(4)]] by auto
    ultimately have "H1 ∩ K <#> H ∩ K1 ⊆ H ∩ K" unfolding set_mult_def
      using subgroup.m_closed[OF subgroups_Inter_pair [OF assms(1)assms(3)]]
by blast
    moreover have "H1 ∩ K ⊆ H1" by auto
    hence "H1 ∩ K <#> H ∩ K1 ⊆ (H1 <#> H ∩ K1)" unfolding set_mult_def
by auto
    ultimately show "H1 ∩ K <#> H ∩ K1 ⊆ H ∩ K ∩ (H1 <#> H ∩ K1)" by
auto
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) preliminary2:
 assumes "subgroup H G"
    and H1\triangleleft G(carrier := H)"
   and "subgroup K G"
    and "K1⊲G(|carrier:=K)"
 shows "(H1<#>(H∩K1)) \triangleleft G(|carrier:=(H1<#>(H∩K))|"
proof-
 have all_inclG : "H ⊆ carrier G" "H1 ⊆ carrier G" "K ⊆ carrier G"
"K1 ⊆ carrier G"
    using assms subgroup.subset normal_imp_subgroup incl_subgroup ap-
ply blast+.
  have subH1:"subgroup (H1 <#> H ∩ K) (G(|carrier := H|))"
    using mult_norm_sub_in_sub[OF assms(2)subgroup_incl[OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF
assms(1)assms(3)]assms(1)]] assms by auto
 have "Group.group (G(|carrier:=(H1<#>(H∩K))|))"
    using subgroup_imp_group[OF incl_subgroup[OF assms(1) subH1]].
  moreover have subH2 : "subgroup (H1 <#> H ∩ K1) (G(|carrier := H))"
    using mult_norm_sub_in_sub[OF assms(2) subgroup_incl[OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF
           assms(1) incl_subgroup[OF assms(3)normal_imp_subgroup[OF assms(4)]]]]]
assms by auto
  hence "(H∩K1) ⊆ (H∩K)"
    using assms subgroup.subset normal_imp_subgroup monoid.cases_scheme
    by (metis inf.mono partial_object.simps(1) partial_object.update_convs(1)
subset_refl)
  hence incl:"(H1<#>(H∩K1)) ⊆ H1<#>(H∩K)" using assms subgroup.subset
normal_imp_subgroup
    unfolding set_mult_def by blast
  hence "subgroup (H1 <#> H ∩ K1) (G(|carrier := (H1<#>(H∩K))))"
    using assms subgroup incl[OF incl subgroup[OF assms(1)subH2]incl subgroup[OF
assms(1)
```

```
subH1]] normal_imp_subgroup subgroup.subset unfolding set_mult_def
by blast
  moreover have " (\ x. x∈carrier (G(|carrier := H1 <#> H ∩ K)) \impliesH1 <#> H∩K1 #>G(|carrier := H1 <#> H∩K|)
x = x <#G(|carrier := H1 <#> H∩K|)
(H1 <#> H∩K1))"
  proof-
    fix x assume "x ∈carrier (G(|carrier := H1 <#> H ∩ K|)"
    hence x def : "x ∈ H1 \lt#> H ∩ K" by simp
    from this obtain h1 hk where h1hk_def :"h1 ∈ H1" "hk ∈ H ∩ K" "h1
⊗ hk = x"
      unfolding set_mult_def by blast
    have HK1: "H ∩ K1 ⊆ carrier G"
      by (simp add: all_inclG(1) le_infI1)
    have xH : "x \in H" using subgroup.subset [OF subH1] using x def by
auto
    hence allG : "h1 ∈ carrier G" "hk ∈ carrier G" "x ∈ carrier G"
      using assms subgroup.subset h1hk_def normal_imp_subgroup incl_subgroup
apply blast+.
    hence "x <#G(|carrier := H1 <#> H∩K|)
(H1 <#> H∩K1) =h1 ⊗ hk <# (H1 <#>
H∩K1)"
      using subgroup.subset xH h1hk_def by (simp add: l_coset_def)
    also have "... = h1 <# (hk <# (H1 <#> H∩K1))"
      using lcos m assoc[OF subgroup.subset[OF incl subgroup[OF assms(1)
subH1]]allG(1)allG(2)]
      by (metis allG(1) allG(2) assms(1) incl_subgroup lcos_m_assoc subH2
subgroup.subset)
    also have "... = h1 <# (hk <# H1 <#> H∩K1)"
      using set_mult_assoc all_inclG allG by (simp add: l_coset_eq_set_mult
inf.coboundedI1)
    also have "... = h1 <# (hk <# H1 #> 1 <#> H∩K1 #> 1)"
      using coset_mult_one allG all_inclG l_coset_subset_G
      by (simp add: inf.coboundedI2 setmult_subset_G)
    also have "... = h1 <# (hk <# H1 #> inv hk #> hk <#> H∩K1 #> inv hk
#> hk)"
      using all inclG allG coset mult assoc l coset subset G
      by (simp add: inf.coboundedI1 setmult_subset_G)
    \text{finally}\ \text{have}\ \text{``x}\ \text{``}\#_{\text{G}}\text{_{\text{(carrier)}}}= \text{H1}\ \text{``}\#\text{~}\ \text{H}\ \cap\ \text{K}\text{)}\ \text{``H1}\ \text{``}\#\text{''} \ \text{H}\ \cap\ \text{K1)}= h1 <# ((hk <# H1 #> inv hk) <#> (hk <# H∩K1 #> inv
hk) #> hk)"
      using rcos_assoc_lcos allG all_inclG HK1
      by (simp add: l_coset_subset_G r_coset_subset_G setmult_rcos_assoc)
    moreover have "H ⊆ normalizer G H1"
      using assms h1hk_def subgroup.subset[OF normal_imp_subgroup_normalizer]
by simp
    hence \sqrt[m]{g}. g \in H \implies g \in \{g \in carrier \, G. \, (\lambda H \in \{H. \, H \subseteq carrier \, G\}).g \leq # H #> inv g) H1 = H1using all_inclG assms unfolding normalizer_def stabilizer_def by
auto
    hence ' \uparrow \uparrow g. g \in H \implies g \iff H1 \nleftrightarrow inv g = H1' \text{ using all}_inclG \text{ by }
```

```
hence "(hk <# H1 #> inv hk) = H1" using h1hk_def all_inclG by simp
    moreover have "H∩K ⊆ normalizer G (H∩K1)"
       using normal inter[OF assms(3)assms(1)assms(4)] assms subgroups Inter pair
              subgroup.subset[OF normal_imp_subgroup_normalizer] by (simp
add: inf commute)
     hence "
V
g. g∈H∩K =⇒ g∈{g∈carrier G. (λH∈{H. H ⊆ carrier G}. g
<# H #> inv g) (H∩K1) = H∩K1}"
       using all_inclG assms unfolding normalizer_def stabilizer_def by
auto
     hence "
V
g. g ∈ H∩K =⇒ g <# (H∩K1) #> inv g = H∩K1"
       using subgroup.subset[OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF assms(1) incl_subgroup[OF
              assms(3)normal_imp_subgroup[OF assms(4)]]]] by auto
    hence "(hk <# H∩K1 #> inv hk) = H∩K1" using h1hk_def by simp
     ultimately have "x <#<sub>G(|carrier := H1 <#> H ∩ K) <sup>(H1 <#> H ∩ K1) = h1</sub></sup>
<#(H1 <#> (H ∩ K1)#> hk)"
       by auto
    also have "... = h1 <# H1 <#> ((H ∩ K1)#> hk)"
       using set_mult_assoc[where ?M = "{h1}" and ?H = "H1" and ?K =
"(H ∩ K1)#> hk"] allG all_inclG
       by (simp add: l_coset_eq_set_mult inf.coboundedI2 r_coset_subset_G
setmult_rcos_assoc)
    also have "... = H1 <#> ((H ∩ K1)#> hk)"
       using coset_join3 allG incl_subgroup[OF assms(1)normal_imp_subgroup[OF
assms(2)]] h1hk def
       by auto
     \textbf{finally}\ \textbf{have}\ \textbf{eq1}\ :\ \text{``x $\iff_{G\text{}}$:} \ \texttt{#}\ \texttt{``} \ \texttt{if} \ \texttt{``} \ \texttt{#} \ \texttt{``} \ \texttt{#} \ \texttt{``} \ \textH1 <#> (H ∩ K1) #> hk"
       by (simp add: allG(2) all inclG inf.coboundedI2 setmult rcos assoc)
     have "H1 <#> H ∩ K1 #>G(|carrier := H1 <#> H ∩ K|)
x = H1 <#> H ∩ K1 #>
(h1 ⊗ hk)"
       using subgroup.subset xH h1hk_def by (simp add: r_coset_def)
    also have "... = H1 <#> H ∩ K1 #> h1 #> hk"
       using coset_mult_assoc by (simp add: allG all_inclG inf.coboundedI2
setmult_subset_G)
    also have"... = H ∩ K1 <#> H1 #> h1 #> hk"
       using commut_normal_subgroup[OF assms(1)assms(2)subgroup_incl[OF
subgroups_Inter_pair[OF
            assms(1)incl_subgroup[OF assms(3)normal_imp_subgroup[OF assms(4)]]]assms(1)]]
by simp
    also have "... = H ∩ K1 <#> H1 #> hk"
       using coset_join2[OF allG(1)incl_subgroup[OF assms(1)normal_imp_subgroup]
             h1hk_def(1)] all_inclG allG assms by (metis inf.coboundedI2
setmult rcos assoc)
     finally have "H1 <#> H ∩ K1 #>G(|carrier := H1 <#> H ∩ K|)
x =H1 <#> H
∩ K1 #> hk"
       using commut normal subgroup[OF assms(1)assms(2)subgroup incl[OF
subgroups_Inter_pair[OF
             assms(1)incl_subgroup[OF assms(3)normal_imp_subgroup[OF assms(4)]]]assms(1)]]
```
simp

```
by simp
    thus " H1 <#> H ∩ K1 #>G(|carrier := H1 <#> H ∩ K|)
x =
             x <#G(|carrier := H1 <#> H ∩ K|)
(H1 <#> H ∩ K1)" using eq1 by
simp
  qed
  ultimately show "H1 <#> H ∩ K1 < G(|carrier := H1 <#> H ∩ K)"
    unfolding normal_def normal_axioms_def by auto
qed
proposition (in group) Zassenhaus_1:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
    and H1\triangleleft G(carrier := H)"
    and "subgroup K G"
    and "K1<G(carrier:=K)"
 shows "(G(|carrier:= H1 <#> (H∩K)) Mod (H1<#>H∩K1)) ≅ (G(|carrier:= (H∩K))
Mod ((H1∩K)<#>(H∩K1)))"
proof-
  define N and N1 where "N = (H∩K)" and "N1 =H1<#>(H∩K1)"
  have normal_N_N1 : "subgroup N (G(|carrier:=(normalizer G N1)|))"
    by (simp add: N1_def N_def assms distinc normal_imp_subgroup)
  have Hp:"(G(|carrier:= N<#>N1) Mod N1) ≅ (G(|carrier:= N) Mod (N∩N1))"
  by (metis N1_def N_def assms incl_subgroup inf_le1 mult_norm_sub_in_sub
        normal_N_N1 normal_imp_subgroup snd_iso_thme_recip subgroup_incl
subgroups_Inter_pair)
  have H_simp: "N<#>N1 = H1<#> (H∩K)"
  proof-
    have H1_incl_G : "H1 ⊆ carrier G"
     using assms normal_imp_subgroup incl_subgroup subgroup.subset by
blast
    have K1_incl_G :"K1 ⊆ carrier G"
      using assms normal_imp_subgroup incl_subgroup subgroup.subset by
blast
    have "N<#>N1= (H∩K)<#> (H1<#>(H∩K1))" by (auto simp add: N_def N1_def)
    also have "... = ((H∩K)<#>H1) <#>(H∩K1)"
      using set_mult_assoc[where ?M = "H∩K"] K1_incl_G H1_incl_G assms
      by (simp add: inf.coboundedI2 subgroup.subset)
    also have "... = (H1<#>(H∩K))<#>(H∩K1)"
      using commut_normal_subgroup assms subgroup_incl subgroups_Inter_pair
by auto
   also have "... = H1 <#> ((H∩K)<#>(H∩K1))"
      using set_mult_assoc K1_incl_G H1_incl_G assms
      by (simp add: inf.coboundedI2 subgroup.subset)
    also have " ((H∩K)<#>(H∩K1)) = (H∩K)"
    proof (intro set_mult_subgroup_idem[where ?H = "H∩K" and ?N="H∩K1",
             OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF assms(1) assms(3)]])
      show "subgroup (H \cap K1) (G(carrier := H \cap K))"
        using subgroup_incl[where ?I = "H∩K1" and ?J = "H∩K",OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF
assms(1)
```

```
incl_subgroup[OF assms(3) normal_imp_subgroup]] subgroups_Inter_pair]
assms
              normal_imp_subgroup by (metis inf_commute normal_inter)
    qed
    hence " H1 <#> ((H∩K)<#>(H∩K1)) = H1 <#> ((H∩K))"
      by simp
    thus "N \langle#> N1 = H1 \langle#> H \cap K"
      by (simp add: calculation)
 qed
 have "N∩N1 = (H1∩K)<#>(H∩K1)"
    using preliminary1 assms N_def N1_def by simp
  thus "(G(|carrier:= H1 <#> (H∩K)) Mod N1) \cong (G(|carrier:= N) Mod ((H1∩K)<#>(H∩K1)))"
    using H_simp Hp by auto
qed
theorem (in group) Zassenhaus:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
    and H1\triangleleft G(carrier := H)"
    and "subgroup K G"
    and "K1<G(|carrier:=K)"
  shows "(G(|carrier:= H1 <#> (H∩K)) Mod (H1<#>(H∩K1))) ≅
         (G(\text{carrier:}= K1 \leq *) (H \cap K) Mod (K1 \leq #>(K \cap H1))"
proof-
  define Gmod1 Gmod2 Gmod3 Gmod4
    where "Gmod1 = (G(|carrier:= H1 <#> (H∩K)) Mod (H1<#>(H∩K1))) "
      and "Gmod2 = (G(\text{carrier}) = K1 \leq K) (K∩H)) Mod (K1<#>(K∩H1)))"
      and "Gmod3 = (G(|carrier:= (H∩K)|) Mod ((H1∩K)<#>(H∩K1)))"
      and "Gmod4 = (G(|carrier:= (K∩H)) Mod ((K1∩H)<#>(K∩H1)))"
  have Hyp : "Gmod1 ∼= Gmod3" "Gmod2 ∼= Gmod4"
    using Zassenhaus_1 assms Gmod1_def Gmod2_def Gmod3_def Gmod4_def by
auto
 have Hp : "Gmod3 = G(|carrier:= (K∩H)|) Mod ((K∩H1)<#>(K1∩H))"
    by (simp add: Gmod3_def inf_commute)
  have "(K∩H1)<#>(K1∩H) = (K1∩H)<#>(K∩H1)"
 proof (intro commut_normal_subgroup[OF subgroups_Inter_pair[OF assms(1)assms(3)]])
    show "K1 ∩ H \lhd G(|carrier := H ∩ K)"
      using normal_inter[OF assms(3)assms(1)assms(4)] by (simp add: inf_commute)
   next
    show "subgroup (K ∩ H1) (G(carrier := H ∩ K))"
      using subgroup_incl by (simp add: assms inf_commute normal_imp_subgroup
normal_inter)
  qed
 hence "Gmod3 = Gmod4" using Hp Gmod4_def by simp
 hence "Gmod1 ≅ Gmod2"
    by (metis assms group.iso_sym iso_trans Hyp normal.factorgroup_is_group
Gmod2_def preliminary2)
 thus ?thesis using Gmod1_def Gmod2_def by (simp add: inf_commute)
```
**qed end**

## **25 Divisibility in monoids and rings**

```
theory Divisibility
 imports "HOL-Combinatorics.List_Permutation" Coset Group
begin
```
## **26 Factorial Monoids**

## **26.1 Monoids with Cancellation Law**

```
locale monoid_cancel = monoid +
  assumes l_cancel: "[[c ⊗ a = c ⊗ b; a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G; c
\in carrier G \Rightarrow a = b"
    and r_cancel: "[a \otimes c = b \otimes c; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; c \incarrier G \implies a = b"
lemma (in monoid) monoid_cancelI:
  assumes l_cancel: "\bigwedgea b c. [c \otimes a = c \otimes b; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier
G; c \in carrier G \Rightarrow a = b"
    and r_cancel: "\bigwedgea b c. [a \otimes c = b \otimes c; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier
G; c \in carrier G \Rightarrow a = b"
  shows "monoid_cancel G"
    by standard fact+
lemma (in monoid_cancel) is_monoid_cancel: "monoid_cancel G" ..
sublocale group ⊆ monoid_cancel
  by standard simp_all
locale comm_monoid_cancel = monoid_cancel + comm_monoid
lemma comm_monoid_cancelI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes "comm_monoid G"
  assumes cancel: "\bigwedgea b c. [a ⊗ c = b ⊗ c; a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier
G; c \in carrier G \Rightarrow a = b"
  shows "comm_monoid_cancel G"
proof -
  interpret comm_monoid G by fact
  show "comm_monoid_cancel G"
    by unfold_locales (metis assms(2) m_ac(2))+
qed
```
**lemma** (**in** comm\_monoid\_cancel) is\_comm\_monoid\_cancel: "comm\_monoid\_cancel G"

**by** intro\_locales

**sublocale** comm\_group ⊆ comm\_monoid\_cancel **..**

## **26.2 Products of Units in Monoids**

```
lemma (in monoid) prod_unit_l:
  assumes abunit[simp]: "a ⊗ b ∈ Units G"
    and aunit[simp]: "a ∈ Units G"
    and carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
  shows "b ∈ Units G"
proof -
  have c: "inv (a ⊗ b) ⊗ a ∈ carrier G" by simp
  have "(inv (a \otimes b) \otimes a) \otimes b = inv (a \otimes b) \otimes (a \otimes b)"
    by (simp add: m_assoc)
  also have "\ldots = 1" by simp
  finally have li: "(inv (a \otimes b) \otimes a) \otimes b = 1".
  have "1 = inv a \otimes a" by (simp add: Units_1_inv[symmetric])also have "... = inv a \otimes 1 \otimes a" by simp
  also have ". . . = inv a ⊗ ((a ⊗ b) ⊗ inv (a ⊗ b)) ⊗ a"
    by (simp add: Units_r_inv[OF abunit, symmetric] del: Units_r_inv)
  also have "... = ((inv a \otimes a) \otimes b) \otimes inv (a \otimes b) \otimes a"
    by (simp add: m_assoc del: Units_l_inv)
  also have "... = b \otimes inv (a \otimes b) \otimes a" by simp
  also have "... = b \otimes (inv (a \otimes b) \otimes a)" by (simp add: m_assoc)
  finally have ri: "b \otimes (inv (a \otimes b) \otimes a) = 1 " by simp
  from c li ri show "b ∈ Units G" by (auto simp: Units_def)
qed
lemma (in monoid) prod_unit_r:
  assumes abunit[simp]: "a ⊗ b ∈ Units G"
    and bunit[simp]: "b ∈ Units G"
    and carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a ∈ Units G"
proof -
  have c: "b ⊗ inv (a ⊗ b) ∈ carrier G" by simp
  have "a ⊗ (b ⊗ inv (a ⊗ b)) = (a ⊗ b) ⊗ inv (a ⊗ b)"
    by (simp add: m_assoc del: Units_r_inv)
  also have "\ldots = 1" by simp
  finally have li: "a \otimes (b \otimes inv (a \otimes b)) = 1".
  have "1 = b \otimes inv b" by (simp add: Units_r_inv[symmetric])
  also have "... = b \otimes 1 \otimes inv b" by simp
```

```
also have "... = b \otimes (inv (a \otimes b) \otimes (a \otimes b)) \otimes inv b"
    by (simp add: Units_l_inv[OF abunit, symmetric] del: Units_l_inv)
  also have "... = (b \otimes inv (a \otimes b) \otimes a) \otimes (b \otimes inv b)"
    by (simp add: m_assoc del: Units_l_inv)
  also have "... = b \otimes inv (a \otimes b) \otimes a" by simp
  finally have ri: "(b \otimes inv (a \otimes b)) \otimes a = 1 " by simp
  from c li ri show "a \in Units G" by (auto simp: Units def)
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) unit_factor:
  assumes abunit: "a ⊗ b ∈ Units G"
    and [simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a ∈ Units G"
  using abunit[simplified Units_def]
proof clarsimp
  fix i
  assume [simp]: "i ∈ carrier G"
  have carr': "b ⊗ i ∈ carrier G" by simp
  have "(b ⊗ i) ⊗ a = (i ⊗ b) ⊗ a" by (simp add: m_comm)
  also have "... = i \otimes (b \otimes a)" by (simp add: m_assoc)
  also have "... = i \otimes (a \otimes b)" by (simp add: m_comm)
  also assume "i ⊗ (a ⊗ b) = 1"
  finally have li': "(b \otimes i) \otimes a = 1".
  have "a \otimes (b \otimes i) = a \otimes b \otimes i" by (simp add: m assoc)
  also assume "a ⊗ b ⊗ i = 1"
  finally have ri': "a ⊗ (b ⊗ i) = 1" .
  from carr' li' ri'
  show "a ∈ Units G" by (simp add: Units_def, fast)
qed
```
## **26.3 Divisibility and Association**

#### **26.3.1 Function definitions**

definition factor :: "[\_, 'a, 'a]  $\Rightarrow$  bool" (infix "divides<sup>*1*</sup> 65) where "a divides<sub>G</sub> b  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  c∈carrier G. b = a  $\otimes$ <sub>G</sub> c)" **definition** associated :: "[\_, 'a, 'a] ⇒ bool" (**infix** "∼*ı* " 55) where "a ∼<sub>G</sub> b ← → a divides<sub>G</sub> b ∧ b divides<sub>G</sub> a" **abbreviation** "division\_rel G  $\equiv$  (carrier = carrier G, eq = ( $\sim$ G), le =  $(divides_G)\$ " definition properfactor :: "[\_, 'a, 'a]  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where "properfactor G a b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a divides<sub>G</sub> b  $\land \neg$ (b divides<sub>G</sub> a)"

```
definition irreducible :: "[_, 'a] ⇒ bool"
  where "irreducible G a ← → a \notin Units G ∧ (\forall b∈carrier G. properfactor
G b a \longrightarrow b \in Units G)"
definition prime :: "[_, 'a] \Rightarrow bool"
  where "prime G p ←→
     p ∈/ Units G ∧
     (∀a∈carrier G. ∀b∈carrier G. p divides<sub>G</sub> (a ⊗<sub>G</sub> b) → p divides<sub>G</sub>
a \lor p divides<sub>G</sub> b)"
```
#### **26.3.2 Divisibility**

```
lemma dividesI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes carr: "c ∈ carrier G"
    and p: nb = a \otimes c"
  shows "a divides b"
  unfolding factor_def using assms by fast
lemma dividesI' [intro]:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes p: "b = a ⊗ c"
    and carr: "c ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a divides b"
  using assms by (fast intro: dividesI)
lemma dividesD:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes "a divides b"
  shows "∃ c∈carrier G. b = a ⊗ c"
  using assms unfolding factor_def by fast
lemma dividesE [elim]:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes d: "a divides b"
    \quad \text{ and } \text{ elim: } \; \text{``}\text{/c. } \; \llbracket \texttt{b = a} \, \otimes \, \texttt{c; c} \in \text{ carrier } \; \texttt{G} \rrbracket \implies \texttt{P''}shows "P"
proof -
  from dividesD[OF d] obtain c where "c ∈ carrier G" and "b = a ⊗ c"
by auto
  then show P by (elim elim)
qed
lemma (in monoid) divides_refl[simp, intro!]:
  assumes carr: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a divides a"
  by (intro dividesI[of "1"]) (simp_all add: carr)
```

```
lemma (in monoid) divides_trans [trans]:
  assumes dvds: "a divides b" "b divides c"
    and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a divides c"
  using dvds[THEN dividesD] by (blast intro: dividesI m_assoc acarr)
lemma (in monoid) divides_mult_lI [intro]:
  assumes "a divides b" "a ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
  shows "(c \otimes a) divides (c \otimes b)"
 by (metis assms factor_def m_assoc)
lemma (in monoid_cancel) divides_mult_l [simp]:
 assumes carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(c \otimes a) divides (c \otimes b) = a divides b"
proof
 show "c \otimes a divides c \otimes b \implies a divides b"
   using carr monoid.m_assoc monoid_axioms monoid_cancel.l_cancel monoid_cancel_axioms
by fastforce
 show "a divides b \implies c \otimes a divides c \otimes b"
 using carr(1) carr(3) by blast
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) divides_mult_rI [intro]:
  assumes ab: "a divides b"
    and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(a ⊗ c) divides (b ⊗ c)"
  using carr ab by (metis divides mult 1I m comm)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) divides_mult_r [simp]:
  assumes carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(a \otimes c) divides (b \otimes c) = a divides b"
  using carr by (simp add: m_comm[of a c] m_comm[of b c])
lemma (in monoid) divides_prod_r:
 assumes ab: "a divides b"
    and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a divides (b ⊗ c)"
 using ab carr by (fast intro: m_assoc)
lemma (in comm_monoid) divides_prod_l:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G" "a divides
b"
 shows "a divides (c ⊗ b)"
  using assms by (simp add: divides_prod_r m_comm)
lemma (in monoid) unit_divides:
 assumes uunit: "u ∈ Units G"
    and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "u divides a"
```

```
proof (intro dividesI[of "(inv u) ⊗ a"], fast intro: uunit acarr)
  from uunit acarr have xcarr: "inv u ⊗ a ∈ carrier G" by fast
  from uunit acarr have "u ⊗ (inv u ⊗ a) = (u ⊗ inv u) ⊗ a"
    by (fast intro: m_assoc[symmetric])
 also have "... = 1 \otimes a" by (simp add: Units_r_inv[OF uunit])
 also from acarr have "\ldots = a" by simp
 finally show "a = u \otimes (inv u \otimes a)" ..
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) divides_unit:
```

```
assumes udvd: "a divides u"
  and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "u ∈ Units G"
shows "a ∈ Units G"
using udvd carr by (blast intro: unit_factor)
```

```
lemma (in comm_monoid) Unit_eq_dividesone:
  assumes ucarr: "u ∈ carrier G"
 shows "u \in Units G = u divides 1"
  using ucarr by (fast dest: divides_unit intro: unit_divides)
```
### **26.3.3 Association**

```
lemma associatedI:
 fixes G (structure)
 assumes "a divides b" "b divides a"
 shows "a ∼ b"
  using assms by (simp add: associated_def)
lemma (in monoid) associatedI2:
  assumes uunit[simp]: "u ∈ Units G"
   and a: "a = b ⊗ u"
   and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ b"
  using uunit bcarr
 unfolding a
 apply (intro associatedI)
 apply (metis Units_closed divides_mult_lI one_closed r_one unit_divides)
 by blast
lemma (in monoid) associatedI2':
 assumes "a = b \otimes u"
   and "u ∈ Units G"
    and "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ b"
  using assms by (intro associatedI2)
lemma associatedD:
```

```
fixes G (structure)
assumes "a ∼ b"
```

```
shows "a divides b"
  using assms by (simp add: associated_def)
lemma (in monoid_cancel) associatedD2:
  assumes assoc: "a ∼ b"
    and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
  shows "∃u∈Units G. a = b \otimes u"
  using assoc
  unfolding associated_def
proof clarify
  assume "b divides a"
  then obtain u where ucarr: "u ∈ carrier G" and a: "a = b ⊗ u"
    by (rule dividesE)
  assume "a divides b"
  then obtain u' where u'carr: "u' \in carrier G" and b: "b = a \otimes u'"
    by (rule dividesE)
  note carr = carr ucarr u'carr
  from carr have "a \otimes 1 = a" by simp
  also have "... = b \otimes u'' by (simp add: a)
  also have "... = a \otimes u' \otimes u'' by (simp add: b)
  also from carr have "... = a \otimes (u' \otimes u)" by (simp add: m_assoc)
  finally have "a \otimes 1 = a \otimes (u' \otimes u)" .
  with carr have u1: "1 = u' \otimes u'' by (fast dest: 1<sub>cancel</sub>)
  from carr have "b \otimes 1 = b" by simp
  also have "... = a \otimes u" by (simp add: b)
  also have "... = b \otimes u \otimes u" by (simp add: a)
  also from carr have "... = b \otimes (u \otimes u')" by (simp add: m_assoc)
  finally have "b \otimes 1 = b \otimes (u \otimes u')".
  with carr have u2: "1 = u \otimes u" by (fast dest: 1<sub>cancel</sub>)
  from u'carr u1[symmetric] u2[symmetric] have "∃ u'∈carrier G. u' ⊗
u = 1 \land u \otimes u' = 1"
    by fast
  then have "u ∈ Units G"
    by (simp add: Units_def ucarr)
  with ucarr a show "∃ u∈Units G. a = b ⊗ u" by fast
qed
lemma associatedE:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes assoc: "a ∼ b"
    and e: "[a divides b; b divides a] \implies P"
  shows "P"
proof -
  from assoc have "a divides b" "b divides a"
    by (simp_all add: associated_def)
```

```
then show P by (elim e)
qed
lemma (in monoid_cancel) associatedE2:
 assumes assoc: "a ∼ b"
    \text{and } \text{e}: \text{ ''}\text{/}\text{u}. [\text{a = b \otimes u}; u \in \text{Units } \text{G}] \implies \text{P''}and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "P"
proof -
  from assoc and carr have "∃ u∈Units G. a = b ⊗ u"
    by (rule associatedD2)
 then obtain u where "u ∈ Units G" "a = b ⊗ u"
    by auto
 then show P by (elim e)
qed
lemma (in monoid) associated_refl [simp, intro!]:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ a"
 using assms by (fast intro: associatedI)
lemma (in monoid) associated_sym [sym]:
 assumes "a ∼ b"
 shows "b ∼ a"
 using assms by (iprover intro: associatedI elim: associatedE)
lemma (in monoid) associated_trans [trans]:
  assumes "a ∼ b" "b ∼ c"
    and "a ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ c"
  using assms by (iprover intro: associatedI divides_trans elim: associatedE)
lemma (in monoid) division_equiv [intro, simp]: "equivalence (division_rel
G)"
 apply unfold_locales
   apply simp_all
   apply (metis associated_def)
 apply (iprover intro: associated_trans)
  done
26.3.4 Division and associativity
```

```
lemmas divides_antisym = associatedI
lemma (in monoid) divides_cong_l [trans]:
 assumes "x ∼ x'" "x' divides y" "x ∈ carrier G"
 shows "x divides y"
 by (meson assms associatedD divides_trans)
```

```
lemma (in monoid) divides_cong_r [trans]:
 assumes "x divides y" "y ∼ y'" "x ∈ carrier G"
 shows "x divides y'"
 by (meson assms associatedD divides_trans)
lemma (in monoid) division_weak_partial_order [simp, intro!]:
  "weak partial order (division rel G)"
  apply unfold_locales
     apply (simp_all add: associated_sym divides_antisym)
    apply (metis associated_trans)
   apply (metis divides_trans)
  by (meson associated_def divides_trans)
```
#### **26.3.5 Multiplication and associativity**

```
lemma (in monoid) mult_cong_r:
 assumes "b ∼ b'" "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "b' ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a ⊗ b ∼ a ⊗ b'"
 by (meson assms associated_def divides_mult_lI)
lemma (in comm_monoid) mult_cong_l:
 assumes "a ∼ a'" "a ∈ carrier G" "a' ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a ⊗ b ∼ a' ⊗ b"
 using assms m_comm mult_cong_r by auto
lemma (in monoid_cancel) assoc_l_cancel:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "b' ∈ carrier G" "a ⊗ b
∼ a ⊗ b'"
 shows "b ∼ b'"
 by (meson assms associated_def divides_mult_l)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) assoc_r_cancel:
 assumes "a ⊗ b ∼ a' ⊗ b" "a ∈ carrier G" "a' ∈ carrier G" "b ∈
carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ a'"
 using assms assoc_l_cancel m_comm by presburger
26.3.6 Units
lemma (in monoid_cancel) assoc_unit_l [trans]:
 assumes "a ∼ b"
   and "b \in Units G''and "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a ∈ Units G"
 using assms by (fast elim: associatedE2)
lemma (in monoid_cancel) assoc_unit_r [trans]:
 assumes aunit: "a ∈ Units G"
   and asc: "a ∼ b"
   and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
```

```
shows "b ∈ Units G"
  using aunit bcarr associated_sym[OF asc] by (blast intro: assoc_unit_l)
lemma (in comm_monoid) Units_cong:
  assumes aunit: "a ∈ Units G" and asc: "a ∼ b"
    and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "b ∈ Units G"
 using assms by (blast intro: divides unit elim: associatedE)
lemma (in monoid) Units_assoc:
 assumes units: "a ∈ Units G" "b ∈ Units G"
 shows "a ∼ b"
 using units by (fast intro: associatedI unit_divides)
lemma (in monoid) Units_are_ones: "Units G \{-\}<sub>(division_rel G)</sub> \{1\}"
proof -
 have "a .∈division_rel G {1}" if "a ∈ Units G" for a
 proof -
    have "a ∼ 1"
      by (rule associatedI) (simp_all add: Units_closed that unit_divides)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: elem_def)
  qed
  moreover have "1 .∈division_rel G Units G"
    by (simp add: equivalence.mem_imp_elem)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: set_eq_def)
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) Units_Lower: "Units G = Lower (division_rel G)
(carrier G)"
 apply (auto simp add: Units_def Lower_def)
  apply (metis Units_one_closed unit_divides unit_factor)
 apply (metis Unit_eq_dividesone Units_r_inv_ex m_ac(2) one_closed)
 done
lemma (in monoid_cancel) associated_iff:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a \sim b \longleftrightarrow (\existsc ∈ Units G. a = b \otimes c)"
```
# **26.3.7 Proper factors**

```
lemma properfactorI:
 fixes G (structure)
  assumes "a divides b"
   and "¬(b divides a)"
 shows "properfactor G a b"
  using assms unfolding properfactor_def by simp
```
**using** assms associatedI2' associatedD2 **by** auto

```
lemma properfactorI2:
 fixes G (structure)
 assumes advdb: "a divides b"
    and neq: "\neg(a \sim b)"
 shows "properfactor G a b"
proof (rule properfactorI, rule advdb, rule notI)
  assume "b divides a"
  with advdb have "a ∼ b" by (rule associatedI)
  with neq show "False" by fast
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) properfactorI3:
 assumes p: "p = a \otimes b"and nunit: "b \notin Units G"
    and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "properfactor G a p"
 unfolding p
 using carr
  apply (intro properfactorI, fast)
proof (clarsimp, elim dividesE)
 fix c
 assume ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
 note [simp] = carr ccarr
 have "a \otimes 1 = a" by simp
 also assume "a = a ⊗ b ⊗ c"
 also have "... = a \otimes (b \otimes c)" by (simp add: m assoc)
 finally have "a \otimes 1 = a \otimes (b \otimes c)".
 then have rinv: "1 = b \otimes c" by (intro l_cancel[of "a" "1" "b \otimes c"],
simp+)
 also have "\dots = c \otimes b" by (simp add: m_comm)
 finally have linv: "1 = c \otimes b".
 from ccarr linv[symmetric] rinv[symmetric] have "b ∈ Units G"
    unfolding Units_def by fastforce
  with nunit show False ..
qed
lemma properfactorE:
 fixes G (structure)
  assumes pf: "properfactor G a b"
    and r: "[a divides b; \neg(b divides a)] \implies P"
 shows "P"
 using pf unfolding properfactor_def by (fast intro: r)
lemma properfactorE2:
 fixes G (structure)
```

```
assumes pf: "properfactor G a b"
    and elim: "[a divides b; \neg(a \sim b)] \implies P"
  shows "P"
 using pf unfolding properfactor_def by (fast elim: elim associatedE)
lemma (in monoid) properfactor_unitE:
  assumes uunit: "u ∈ Units G"
    and pf: "properfactor G a u"
    and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "P"
  using pf unit_divides[OF uunit acarr] by (fast elim: properfactorE)
lemma (in monoid) properfactor_divides:
 assumes pf: "properfactor G a b"
 shows "a divides b"
 using pf by (elim properfactorE)
lemma (in monoid) properfactor_trans1 [trans]:
 assumes "a divides b" "properfactor G b c" "a ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier
G"
 shows "properfactor G a c"
 by (meson divides_trans properfactorE properfactorI assms)
lemma (in monoid) properfactor_trans2 [trans]:
 assumes "properfactor G a b" "b divides c" "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier
C''shows "properfactor G a c"
 by (meson divides_trans properfactorE properfactorI assms)
lemma properfactor_lless:
 fixes G (structure)
 shows "properfactor G = lless (division_rel G)"
 by (force simp: lless_def properfactor_def associated_def)
lemma (in monoid) properfactor_cong_l [trans]:
 assumes x'x: "x' ∼ x"
    and pf: "properfactor G x y"
   and carr: "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
 shows "properfactor G x' y"
 using pf
 unfolding properfactor_lless
proof -
  interpret weak_partial_order "division_rel G" ..
  from x'x have "x' .=division_rel G x" by simp
 also assume "x \Boxdivision_rel G y"
 finally show "x' \overline{\cup} division_rel G y" by (simp add: carr)
qed
lemma (in monoid) properfactor_cong_r [trans]:
```

```
assumes pf: "properfactor G x y"
   and yy': "y ∼ y'"
   and carr: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "y' ∈ carrier G"
  shows "properfactor G x y'"
  using pf
  unfolding properfactor_lless
proof -
  interpret weak_partial_order "division_rel G" ..
  assume "x \Boxdivision rel G y"
 also from yy'
 have "y .=division_rel G y'" by simp
  finally show "x \sqsubset division_rel G y'" by (simp add: carr)
qed
lemma (in monoid_cancel) properfactor_mult_lI [intro]:
  assumes ab: "properfactor G a b"
    and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "properfactor G (c ⊗ a) (c ⊗ b)"
  using ab carr by (fastforce elim: properfactorE intro: properfactorI)
lemma (in monoid_cancel) properfactor_mult_l [simp]:
 assumes carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
  shows "properfactor G (c \otimes a) (c \otimes b) = properfactor G a b"
  using carr by (fastforce elim: properfactorE intro: properfactorI)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) properfactor_mult_rI [intro]:
  assumes ab: "properfactor G a b"
    and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "properfactor G (a \otimes c) (b \otimes c)"
  using ab carr by (fastforce elim: properfactorE intro: properfactorI)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) properfactor_mult_r [simp]:
  assumes carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "properfactor G (a \otimes c) (b \otimes c) = properfactor G a b"
  using carr by (fastforce elim: properfactorE intro: properfactorI)
lemma (in monoid) properfactor_prod_r:
  assumes ab: "properfactor G a b"
    and carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "properfactor G a (b ⊗ c)"
  by (intro properfactor_trans2[OF ab] divides_prod_r) simp_all
lemma (in comm_monoid) properfactor_prod_l:
  assumes ab: "properfactor G a b"
    and carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
  shows "properfactor G a (c ⊗ b)"
  by (intro properfactor trans2[OF ab] divides prod 1) simp all
```
#### **26.4 Irreducible Elements and Primes**

#### **26.4.1 Irreducible elements**

```
lemma irreducibleI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes "a \notin Units G"
    and "\wedgeb. [b ∈ carrier G; properfactor G b a] \implies b ∈ Units G"
  shows "irreducible G a"
  using assms unfolding irreducible_def by blast
lemma irreducibleE:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes irr: "irreducible G a"
    and elim: "[a \notin Units G; \forall b. b \in carrier G \land properfactor G b a \longrightarrowb \in Units G \Rightarrow P''shows "P"
  using assms unfolding irreducible_def by blast
lemma irreducibleD:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes irr: "irreducible G a"
    and pf: "properfactor G b a"
    and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
  shows "b ∈ Units G"
  using assms by (fast elim: irreducibleE)
lemma (in monoid_cancel) irreducible_cong [trans]:
  assumes "irreducible G a" "a ∼ a'" "a ∈ carrier G" "a' ∈ carrier
G''shows "irreducible G a'"
proof -
  have "a' divides a"
    by (meson ‹ a ∼ a'› associated_def)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no_types) assms assoc_unit_l irreducibleE irreducibleI
monoid.properfactor_trans2 monoid_axioms)
qed
lemma (in monoid) irreducible_prod_rI:
  assumes "irreducible G a" "b ∈ Units G" "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier
G"
  shows "irreducible G (a ⊗ b)"
  using assms
  by (metis (no_types, lifting) associatedI2' irreducible_def monoid.m_closed
monoid_axioms prod_unit_r properfactor_cong_r)
lemma (in comm_monoid) irreducible_prod_lI:
  assumes birr: "irreducible G b"
    and aunit: "a ∈ Units G"
```
```
and carr [simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "irreducible G (a ⊗ b)"
 by (metis aunit birr carr irreducible_prod_rI m_comm)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) irreducible_prodE [elim]:
 assumes irr: "irreducible G (a ⊗ b)"
    and carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
    and e1: "[irreducible G a; b \in Units G] \implies P"
    and e2: "[a \in \text{Units } G; \text{ irreducible } G b] \implies P"
 shows P
 using irr
proof (elim irreducibleE)
  assume abnunit: "a \otimes b \notin Units G"
    and isunit[rule_format]: "\forallba. ba ∈ carrier G ∧ properfactor G ba
(a \otimes b) \longrightarrow ba \in Units G''show P
 proof (cases "a ∈ Units G")
    case aunit: True
    have "irreducible G b"
    proof (rule irreducibleI, rule notI)
      assume "b ∈ Units G"
      with aunit have "(a ⊗ b) ∈ Units G" by fast
      with abnunit show "False" ..
    next
      fix c
      assume ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
        and "properfactor G c b"
      then have "properfactor G c (a \otimes b)" by (simp add: properfactor prod l[of
c b a])
      with ccarr show "c ∈ Units G" by (fast intro: isunit)
    qed
    with aunit show "P" by (rule e2)
 next
    case anunit: False
    with carr have "properfactor G b (b ⊗ a)" by (fast intro: properfactorI3)
    then have bf: "properfactor G b (a ⊗ b)" by (subst m_comm[of a b],
simp+)
    then have bunit: "b \in Units G" by (intro isunit, simp)
    have "irreducible G a"
    proof (rule irreducibleI, rule notI)
      assume "a ∈ Units G"
      with bunit have "(a ⊗ b) ∈ Units G" by fast
      with abnunit show "False" ..
    next
      fix c
      assume ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
        and "properfactor G c a"
      then have "properfactor G c (a ⊗ b)"
```

```
by (simp add: properfactor_prod_r[of c a b])
      with ccarr show "c ∈ Units G" by (fast intro: isunit)
    qed
    from this bunit show "P" by (rule e1)
  qed
qed
lemma divides_irreducible_condition:
  assumes "irreducible G r" and "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a divides<sub>G</sub> r \implies a \in Units G \vee a \sim<sub>G</sub> r"
  using assms unfolding irreducible_def properfactor_def associated_def
  by (cases "r divides<sub>G</sub> a", auto)
26.4.2 Prime elements
lemma primeI:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes "p \notin Units G"
    and "\wedgea b. [a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G; p divides (a \otimes b)] \impliesp divides a ∨ p divides b"
  shows "prime G p"
  using assms unfolding prime_def by blast
lemma primeE:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes pprime: "prime G p"
    and e: "[p \notin \text{Units } G; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall b \in \text{carrier } G.p divides a \otimes b \longrightarrow p divides a \vee p divides b] \implies P"
  shows "P"
  using pprime unfolding prime_def by (blast dest: e)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) prime_divides:
  assumes carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
    and pprime: "prime G p"
    and pdvd: "p divides a ⊗ b"
  shows "p divides a ∨ p divides b"
  using assms by (blast elim: primeE)
lemma (in monoid_cancel) prime_cong [trans]:
  assumes "prime G p"
    and pp': "p ∼ p'" "p ∈ carrier G" "p' ∈ carrier G"
  shows "prime G p'"
  using assms
  by (auto simp: prime_def assoc_unit_l) (metis pp' associated_sym divides_cong_l)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) prime_irreducible:
  assumes "prime G p"
  shows "irreducible G p"
proof (rule irreducibleI)
```

```
show "p \notin Units G"
    using assms unfolding prime_def by simp
next
  fix b assume A: "b \in carrier G" "properfactor G b p"
  then obtain c where c: "c \in carrier G" "p = b \otimes c"
    unfolding properfactor_def factor_def by auto
  hence "p divides c"
    using A assms unfolding prime_def properfactor_def by auto
  then obtain b' where b': "b' ∈ carrier G" "c = p \otimes b'"
    unfolding factor_def by auto
  hence "1 = b ⊗ b'"
    by (metis A(1) l_cancel m_closed m_lcomm one_closed r_one c)
  thus "b ∈ Units G"
    using A(1) Units_one_closed b'(1) unit_factor by presburger
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) prime_pow_divides_iff:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier G" "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" and "prime
G p" and "¬ (p divides a)"
  shows "(p [\hat{\ }] (n :: nat)) divides (a \otimes b) \longleftrightarrow (p [\hat{\ }] n) divides b"
proof
  assume "(p [^] n) divides b" thus "(p [^] n) divides (a ⊗ b)"
    using divides_prod_l[of "p [^] n" b a] assms by simp
next
  assume "(p [^] n) divides (a ⊗ b)" thus "(p [^] n) divides b"
  proof (induction n)
    case 0 with ‹ b ∈ carrier G› show ?case
      by (simp add: unit_divides)
  next
    case (Suc n)
    hence "(p [^] n) divides (a ⊗ b)" and "(p [^] n) divides b"
      using assms(1) divides_prod_r by auto
    with ‹ (p [^] (Suc n)) divides (a ⊗ b)› obtain c d
      where c: "c ∈ carrier G" and "b = (p [^] n) ⊗ c"
        and d: "d ∈ carrier G" and "a \otimes b = (p [^] (Suc n)) \otimes d"
      using assms by blast
    hence "(p [\uparrow] n) \otimes (a \otimes c) = (p [\uparrow] n) \otimes (p \otimes d)"
      using assms by (simp add: m_assoc m_lcomm)
    hence "a ⊗ c = p ⊗ d"
      using c d assms(1) assms(2) l_cancel by blast
    with \langle \neg \rangle (p divides a) > and \langle \rangle prime G p > have "p divides c"
      by (metis assms(2) c d dividesI' prime_divides)
    with \langle b = (p \space [\hat{\ }] \space n) \otimes c \rangle show ?case
      using assms(1) c by simp
  qed
qed
```
### **26.5 Factorization and Factorial Monoids**

#### **26.5.1 Function definitions**

definition factors :: "('a, \_) monoid\_scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool" **where** "factors G fs a  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  (set fs). irreducible G x)  $\land$  foldr  $(\otimes_G)$  fs  $1_G = a''$ 

**definition** wfactors ::"('a, \_) monoid\_scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where "wfactors G fs a  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall x \in (set fs)$ . irreducible G x)  $\land$  foldr (⊗<sub>G</sub>) fs 1<sub>G</sub>  $\sim$ <sub>G</sub> a"

**abbreviation** list assoc :: "('a, ) monoid scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list ⇒ bool" (**infix** "[∼]*ı* " 44) **where** "list\_assoc  $G \equiv$  list\_all2 ( $\sim_G$ )"

**definition** essentially equal :: "('a, ) monoid scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where "essentially\_equal G fs1 fs2  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$ fs1'. fs1 <~~> fs1' ∧ fs1'

```
[\sim]_{G} fs2)"
```

```
locale factorial_monoid = comm_monoid_cancel +
  assumes factors_exist: "[a ∈ carrier G; a \notin Units G] \implies ∃ fs. set fs
⊆ carrier G ∧ factors G fs a"
    and factors_unique:
      "[factors G fs a; factors G fs' a; a \in carrier G; a \notin Units G;
         set fs \subseteq carrier G; set fs' \subseteq carrier G] \implies essentially_equal
G fs fs'"
```
## **26.5.2 Comparing lists of elements**

```
Association on lists
lemma (in monoid) listassoc_refl [simp, intro]:
 assumes "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "as [∼] as"
 using assms by (induct as) simp_all
lemma (in monoid) listassoc_sym [sym]:
 assumes "as [∼] bs"
   and "set as ⊆ carrier G"
   and "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "bs [∼] as"
  using assms
proof (induction as arbitrary: bs)
  case Cons
  then show ?case
    by (induction bs) (use associated_sym in auto)
qed auto
```

```
lemma (in monoid) listassoc_trans [trans]:
  assumes "as [∼] bs" and "bs [∼] cs"
    and "set as ⊆ carrier G" and "set bs ⊆ carrier G" and "set cs ⊆
carrier G"
  shows "as [∼] cs"
  using assms
  apply (simp add: list all2 conv all nth set conv_nth, safe)
  by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) associated_trans nth_mem subsetCE)
lemma (in monoid_cancel) irrlist_listassoc_cong:
  assumes "∀ a∈set as. irreducible G a"
    and "as [∼] bs"
    and "set as ⊆ carrier G" and "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "∀ a∈set bs. irreducible G a"
  using assms
  by (fastforce simp add: list_all2_conv_all_nth set_conv_nth intro: irreducible_cong)
Permutations
lemma perm_map [intro]:
  assumes p: "a <~~> b"
  shows "map f a <~~> map f b"
  using p by simp
lemma perm_map_switch:
  assumes m: "map f a = map f b" and p: "b <~~> c"
  shows "∃ d. a <~~> d ∧ map f d = map f c"
proof -
  from m have ‹ length a = length b›
    by (rule map_eq_imp_length_eq)
  from p have \langle mset c = mset b \rangleby simp
  then obtain p where \langle p \rangle permutes \{ \ldots \langle p \rangle \} \langle p \rangle \langle p \rangle permute list p b =
c›
    by (rule mset_eq_permutation)
  with ‹ length a = length b› have ‹ p permutes {..<length a}›
    by simp
  moreover define d where ‹ d = permute_list p a›
  ultimately have \langle \text{mset a} = \text{mset d} \rangle \langle \text{map f d} = \text{map f c} \rangleusing m ‹ p permutes {..<length b}› ‹ permute_list p b = c›
    by (auto simp flip: permute_list_map)
  then show ?thesis
    by auto
qed
lemma (in monoid) perm_assoc_switch:
  assumes a:"as [∼] bs" and p: "bs <~~> cs"
  shows "∃ bs'. as <~~> bs' ∧ bs' [∼] cs"
proof -
  from p have ‹ mset cs = mset bs›
```

```
by simp
 then obtain p where ‹ p permutes {..<length bs}› ‹ permute_list p bs
= cs›
    by (rule mset_eq_permutation)
 moreover define bs' where ‹ bs' = permute_list p as›
 ultimately have ‹ as <~~> bs'› and ‹ bs' [∼] cs›
    using a by (auto simp add: list_all2_permute_list_iff list_all2_lengthD)
 then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma (in monoid) perm_assoc_switch_r:
  assumes p: "as <~~> bs" and a:"bs [∼] cs"
 shows "∃ bs'. as [∼] bs' ∧ bs' <~~> cs"
 using a p by (rule list_all2_reorder_left_invariance)
declare perm_sym [sym]
lemma perm_setP:
 assumes perm: "as <~~> bs"
   and as: "P (set as)"
 shows "P (set bs)"
 using assms by (metis set_mset_mset)
lemmas (in monoid) perm_closed = perm_setP[of _ _ "λas. as ⊆ carrier
G'']
lemmas (in monoid) irrlist perm cong = perm setP[of ''λas. ∀ a∈as.
irreducible G a"]
Essentially equal factorizations
lemma (in monoid) essentially_equalI:
 assumes ex: "fs1 <~~> fs1'" "fs1' [∼] fs2"
 shows "essentially_equal G fs1 fs2"
  using ex unfolding essentially_equal_def by fast
lemma (in monoid) essentially_equalE:
  assumes ee: "essentially_equal G fs1 fs2"
    and e: "\fs1'. [fs1 <~~> fs1'; fs1' [∼] fs2] \implies P"
 shows "P"
  using ee unfolding essentially_equal_def by (fast intro: e)
lemma (in monoid) ee_refl [simp,intro]:
 assumes carr: "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "essentially_equal G as as"
  using carr by (fast intro: essentially_equalI)
lemma (in monoid) ee_sym [sym]:
  assumes ee: "essentially_equal G as bs"
    and carr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
```

```
shows "essentially_equal G bs as"
 using ee
proof (elim essentially_equalE)
 fix fs
 assume "as <~~> fs" "fs [∼] bs"
 from perm_assoc_switch_r [OF this] obtain fs' where a: "as [∼] fs'"
and p: "fs' <~~> bs"
   by blast
 from p have "bs <~~> fs'" by (rule perm_sym)
 with a[symmetric] carr show ?thesis
   by (iprover intro: essentially_equalI perm_closed)
qed
lemma (in monoid) ee_trans [trans]:
 assumes ab: "essentially_equal G as bs" and bc: "essentially_equal
G bs cs"
   and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G"
   and bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
   and cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "essentially_equal G as cs"
 using ab bc
proof (elim essentially_equalE)
 fix abs bcs
 assume "abs [∼] bs" and pb: "bs <~~> bcs"
 from perm_assoc_switch [OF this] obtain bs' where p: "abs <~~> bs'"
and a: "bs' [∼] bcs"
   by blast
 assume "as <~~> abs"
 with p have pp: "as <~~> bs'" by simp
 from pp ascarr have c1: "set bs' ⊆ carrier G" by (rule perm_closed)
 from pb bscarr have c2: "set bcs ⊆ carrier G" by (rule perm_closed)
 assume "bcs [∼] cs"
 then have "bs' [∼] cs"
   using a c1 c2 cscarr listassoc_trans by blast
 with pp show ?thesis
   by (rule essentially_equalI)
qed
```
### **26.5.3 Properties of lists of elements**

Multiplication of factors in a list

```
lemma (in monoid) multlist_closed [simp, intro]:
 assumes ascarr: "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "foldr (⊗) fs 1 ∈ carrier G"
 using ascarr by (induct fs) simp_all
lemma (in comm_monoid) multlist_dividesI:
 assumes "f ∈ set fs" and "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "f divides (foldr (⊗) fs 1)"
```

```
using assms
proof (induction fs)
 case (Cons a fs)
  then have f: "f ∈ carrier G"
    by blast
 show ?case
    using Cons.IH Cons.prems(1) Cons.prems(2) divides_prod_l f by auto
qed auto
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) multlist_listassoc_cong:
  assumes "fs [∼] fs'"
    and "set fs ⊆ carrier G" and "set fs' ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "foldr (⊗) fs 1 ∼ foldr (⊗) fs' 1"
 using assms
proof (induct fs arbitrary: fs')
  case (Cons a as fs')
  then show ?case
 proof (induction fs')
    case (Cons b bs)
    then have p: "a ⊗ foldr (⊗) as 1 ∼ b ⊗ foldr (⊗) as 1"
      by (simp add: mult_cong_l)
    then have "foldr (⊗) as 1 ∼ foldr (⊗) bs 1"
      using Cons by auto
    with Cons have "b ⊗ foldr (⊗) as 1 ∼ b ⊗ foldr (⊗) bs 1"
      by (simp add: mult_cong_r)
    then show ?case
     using Cons.prems(3) Cons.prems(4) monoid.associated trans monoid axioms
p by force
  qed auto
qed auto
lemma (in comm_monoid) multlist_perm_cong:
 assumes prm: "as <~~> bs"
    and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "foldr (\otimes) as 1 = foldr (\otimes) bs 1"
proof -
  from prm have ‹ mset (rev as) = mset (rev bs)›
    by simp
  moreover note one_closed
  ultimately have \le fold (\otimes) (rev as) 1 = fold (\otimes) (rev bs) 1>
    by (rule fold_permuted_eq) (use ascarr in ‹ auto intro: m_lcomm› )
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: foldr_conv_fold)
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) multlist_ee_cong:
  assumes "essentially_equal G fs fs'"
    and "set fs ⊆ carrier G" and "set fs' ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "foldr (⊗) fs 1 ∼ foldr (⊗) fs' 1"
```

```
using assms
```

```
by (metis essentially_equal_def multlist_listassoc_cong multlist_perm_cong
perm_closed)
```
#### **26.5.4 Factorization in irreducible elements**

```
lemma wfactorsI:
  fixes G (structure)
 assumes "∀ f∈set fs. irreducible G f"
   and "foldr (⊗) fs 1 ∼ a"
 shows "wfactors G fs a"
 using assms unfolding wfactors_def by simp
lemma wfactorsE:
 fixes G (structure)
  assumes wf: "wfactors G fs a"
    and e: "[∀f∈set fs. irreducible G f; foldr (⊗) fs 1 ~ ∼ a] ⇒ P"shows "P"
  using wf unfolding wfactors_def by (fast dest: e)
lemma (in monoid) factorsI:
 assumes "∀ f∈set fs. irreducible G f"
   and "foldr (\otimes) fs 1 = a"
 shows "factors G fs a"
 using assms unfolding factors_def by simp
lemma factorsE:
 fixes G (structure)
  assumes f: "factors G fs a"
    and e: "\forall f∈set fs. irreducible G f; foldr (\otimes) fs 1 = a \Rightarrow P"
 shows "P"
 using f unfolding factors_def by (simp add: e)
lemma (in monoid) factors_wfactors:
  assumes "factors G as a" and "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "wfactors G as a"
 using assms by (blast elim: factorsE intro: wfactorsI)
lemma (in monoid) wfactors_factors:
 assumes "wfactors G as a" and "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "∃a'. factors G as a' \land a' \sim a"
  using assms by (blast elim: wfactorsE intro: factorsI)
lemma (in monoid) factors_closed [dest]:
  assumes "factors G fs a" and "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "a ∈ carrier G"
 using assms by (elim factorsE, clarsimp)
lemma (in monoid) nunit_factors:
```

```
assumes anunit: "a \notin Units G"
    and fs: "factors G as a"
 shows "length as > 0"
proof -
 from anunit Units_one_closed have "a \neq 1" by auto
  with fs show ?thesis by (auto elim: factorsE)
qed
lemma (in monoid) unit_wfactors [simp]:
  assumes aunit: "a ∈ Units G"
 shows "wfactors G [] a"
 using aunit by (intro wfactorsI) (simp, simp add: Units_assoc)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) unit_wfactors_empty:
 assumes aunit: "a ∈ Units G"
    and wf: "wfactors G fs a"
    and carr[simp]: "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "fs = []"
proof (cases fs)
 case fs: (Cons f fs')
  from carr have fcarr[simp]: "f ∈ carrier G" and carr'[simp]: "set
fs' ⊆ carrier G"
    by (simp_all add: fs)
  from fs wf have "irreducible G f" by (simp add: wfactors_def)
 then have fnunit: "f \notin Units G" by (fast elim: irreducibleE)
  from fs wf have a: "f ⊗ foldr (⊗) fs' 1 ∼ a" by (simp add: wfactors_def)
 note aunit
  also from fs wf
  have a: "f ⊗ foldr (⊗) fs' 1 ∼ a" by (simp add: wfactors_def)
 have "a ∼ f ⊗ foldr (⊗) fs' 1"
   by (simp add: Units_closed[OF aunit] a[symmetric])
 finally have "f \otimes foldr (\otimes) fs' 1 \in Units G" by simp
 then have "f \in Units G" by (intro unit_factor[of f], simp+)
  with fnunit show ?thesis by contradiction
qed
Comparing wfactors
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) wfactors_listassoc_cong_l:
 assumes fact: "wfactors G fs a"
   and asc: "fs [∼] fs'"
    and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "set fs ⊆ carrier G" "set fs' ⊆ carrier
G''shows "wfactors G fs' a"
proof -
  { from asc[symmetric] have "foldr (⊗) fs' 1 ∼ foldr (⊗) fs 1"
      by (simp add: multlist_listassoc_cong carr)
```

```
also assume "foldr (⊗) fs 1 ∼ a"
    finally have "foldr (\otimes) fs' 1 ~ a" by (simp add: carr) }
  then show ?thesis
  using fact
  by (meson asc carr(2) carr(3) irrlist_listassoc_cong wfactors_def)
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) wfactors_perm_cong_l:
  assumes "wfactors G fs a"
    and "fs <~~> fs'"
    and "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "wfactors G fs' a"
  using assms irrlist_perm_cong multlist_perm_cong wfactors_def by fastforce
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) wfactors_ee_cong_l [trans]:
  assumes ee: "essentially_equal G as bs"
    and bfs: "wfactors G bs b"
    and carr: "b ∈ carrier G" "set as ⊆ carrier G" "set bs ⊆ carrier
G''shows "wfactors G as b"
  using ee
proof (elim essentially_equalE)
  fix fs
  assume prm: "as <~~> fs"
  with carr have fscarr: "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
    using perm_closed by blast
  note bfs
  also assume [symmetric]: "fs [∼] bs"
  also (wfactors_listassoc_cong_l)
  have ‹ mset fs = mset as› using prm by simp
  finally (wfactors_perm_cong_l)
  show "wfactors G as b" by (simp add: carr fscarr)
qed
lemma (in monoid) wfactors_cong_r [trans]:
  assumes fac: "wfactors G fs a" and aa': "a ∼ a'"
    and carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "a' ∈ carrier G" "set fs ⊆ carrier
G"
  shows "wfactors G fs a'"
  using fac
proof (elim wfactorsE, intro wfactorsI)
  assume "foldr (⊗) fs 1 ∼ a" also note aa'
  finally show "foldr (⊗) fs 1 ∼ a'" by simp
qed
```
## **26.5.5 Essentially equal factorizations**

```
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) unitfactor_ee:
```

```
assumes uunit: "u ∈ Units G"
    and carr: "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "essentially_equal G (as[0 := (as!0 \otimes u)]) as"
    (is "essentially_equal G ?as' as")
proof -
 have "as[0 := as ! 0 ⊗ u] [∼] as"
 proof (cases as)
    case (Cons a as')
    then show ?thesis
     using associatedI2 carr uunit by auto
 qed auto
  then show ?thesis
    using essentially_equal_def by blast
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) factors_cong_unit:
 assumes u: "u ∈ Units G"
   and a: "a \notin Units G"
   and afs: "factors G as a"
   and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "factors G (as[0 := (as!0 \otimes u)]) (a \otimes u)"
    (is "factors G ?as' ?a'")
proof (cases as)
 case Nil
  then show ?thesis
    using afs a nunit_factors by auto
next
  case (Cons b bs)
  have *: "∀ f∈set as. irreducible G f" "foldr (⊗) as 1 = a"
    using afs by (auto simp: factors_def)
 show ?thesis
 proof (intro factorsI)
   show "foldr (⊗) (as[0 := as ! 0 ⊗ u]) 1 = a ⊗ u"
      using Cons u ascarr * by (auto simp add: m_ac Units_closed)
    show "∀ f∈set (as[0 := as ! 0 ⊗ u]). irreducible G f"
      using Cons u ascarr * by (force intro: irreducible_prod_rI)
 qed
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) perm_wfactorsD:
 assumes prm: "as <~~> bs"
   and afs: "wfactors G as a"
    and bfs: "wfactors G bs b"
   and [simp]: "a \in carrier G" "b \in carrier G"
    and ascarr [simp]: "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ b"
  using afs bfs
proof (elim wfactorsE)
 from prm have [simp]: "set bs ⊆ carrier G" by (simp add: perm_closed)
```

```
assume "foldr (⊗) as 1 ∼ a"
 then have "a ∼ foldr (⊗) as 1"
   by (simp add: associated_sym)
 also from prm
 have "foldr (⊗) as 1 = foldr (⊗) bs 1" by (rule multlist_perm_cong,
simp)
 also assume "foldr (⊗) bs 1 ∼ b"
 finally show "a ∼ b" by simp
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) listassoc_wfactorsD:
 assumes assoc: "as [∼] bs"
   and afs: "wfactors G as a"
   and bfs: "wfactors G bs b"
   and [simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
   and [simp]: "set as ⊆ carrier G" "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ b"
 using afs bfs
proof (elim wfactorsE)
 assume "foldr (⊗) as 1 ∼ a"
 then have "a ∼ foldr (⊗) as 1" by (simp add: associated_sym)
 also from assoc
 have "foldr (⊗) as 1 ∼ foldr (⊗) bs 1" by (rule multlist_listassoc_cong,
simp+)
 also assume "foldr (⊗) bs 1 ∼ b"
 finally show "a ∼ b" by simp
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) ee_wfactorsD:
 assumes ee: "essentially_equal G as bs"
   and afs: "wfactors G as a" and bfs: "wfactors G bs b"
   and [simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
   and ascarr[simp]: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and bscarr[simp]: "set bs
⊆ carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ b"
 using ee
proof (elim essentially_equalE)
 fix fs
 assume prm: "as <~~> fs"
 then have as'carr[simp]: "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
   by (simp add: perm_closed)
 from afs prm have afs': "wfactors G fs a"
   by (rule wfactors_perm_cong_l) simp
 assume "fs [∼] bs"
 from this afs' bfs show "a ∼ b"
   by (rule listassoc_wfactorsD) simp_all
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) ee_factorsD:
```

```
assumes ee: "essentially_equal G as bs"
   and afs: "factors G as a" and bfs:"factors G bs b"
   and "set as ⊆ carrier G" "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "a ∼ b"
  using assms by (blast intro: factors_wfactors dest: ee_wfactorsD)
lemma (in factorial_monoid) ee_factorsI:
  assumes ab: "a ∼ b"
    and afs: "factors G as a" and anunit: "a \notin Units G"
    and bfs: "factors G bs b" and bnunit: "b \notin Units G"
    and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "essentially_equal G as bs"
proof -
  note carr[simp] = factors_closed[OF afs ascarr] ascarr[THEN subsetD]
    factors closed[OF bfs bscarr] bscarr[THEN subsetD]
  from ab carr obtain u where uunit: "u ∈ Units G" and a: "a = b ⊗ u"
    by (elim associatedE2)
 from uunit bscarr have ee: "essentially_equal G (bs[0 := (bs!0 ⊗ u)])
bs"
    (is "essentially_equal G ?bs' bs")
    by (rule unitfactor_ee)
  from bscarr uunit have bs'carr: "set ?bs' ⊆ carrier G"
    by (cases bs) (simp_all add: Units_closed)
  from uunit bnunit bfs bscarr have fac: "factors G ?bs' (b ⊗ u)"
    by (rule factors_cong_unit)
  from afs fac[simplified a[symmetric]] ascarr bs'carr anunit
  have "essentially_equal G as ?bs'"
   by (blast intro: factors_unique)
 also note ee
 finally show "essentially_equal G as bs"
    by (simp add: ascarr bscarr bs'carr)
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) ee_wfactorsI:
  assumes asc: "a ∼ b"
    and asf: "wfactors G as a" and bsf: "wfactors G bs b"
    and acarr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" and bcarr[simp]: "b ∈ carrier G"
    and ascarr[simp]: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and bscarr[simp]: "set bs
⊆ carrier G"
 shows "essentially_equal G as bs"
  using assms
proof (cases "a \in Units G")
  case aunit: True
  also note asc
```

```
finally have bunit: "b ∈ Units G" by simp
 from aunit asf ascarr have e: "as = []"
   by (rule unit wfactors empty)
 from bunit bsf bscarr have e': "bs = []"
   by (rule unit_wfactors_empty)
 have "essentially equal G [] []"
    by (fast intro: essentially_equalI)
 then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: e e')
next
 case anunit: False
 have bnunit: "b \notin Units G"
 proof clarify
   assume "b ∈ Units G"
    also note asc[symmetric]
   finally have "a ∈ Units G" by simp
   with anunit show False ..
 qed
 from wfactors_factors[OF asf ascarr] obtain a' where fa': "factors
G as a'" and a': "a' ∼ a"
    by blast
 from fa' ascarr have a'carr[simp]: "a' ∈ carrier G"
   by fast
 have a'nunit: "a' ∉ Units G"
 proof clarify
   assume "a' ∈ Units G"
   also note a'
   finally have "a ∈ Units G" by simp
    with anunit
   show "False" ..
  qed
 from wfactors_factors[OF bsf bscarr] obtain b' where fb': "factors
G bs b'" and b': "b' ∼ b"
    by blast
 from fb' bscarr have b'carr[simp]: "b' ∈ carrier G"
   by fast
 have b'nunit: "b' \notin Units G"
 proof clarify
   assume "b' ∈ Units G"
   also note b'
   finally have "b ∈ Units G" by simp
    with bnunit show False ..
 qed
```

```
note a'
 also note asc
  also note b'[symmetric]
 finally have "a' ∼ b'" by simp
 from this fa' a'nunit fb' b'nunit ascarr bscarr show "essentially_equal
G as bs"
    by (rule ee_factorsI)
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) ee_wfactors:
  assumes asf: "wfactors G as a"
    and bsf: "wfactors G bs b"
    and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G" and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
   and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
  shows asc: "a ∼ b = essentially_equal G as bs"
  using assms by (fast intro: ee_wfactorsI ee_wfactorsD)
lemma (in factorial_monoid) wfactors_exist [intro, simp]:
 assumes acarr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "∃ fs. set fs ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G fs a"
proof (cases "a ∈ Units G")
  case True
  then have "wfactors G [] a" by (rule unit_wfactors)
  then show ?thesis by (intro exI) force
next
  case False
  with factors_exist [OF acarr] obtain fs where fscarr: "set fs ⊆ carrier
G" and f: "factors G fs a"
   by blast
  from f have "wfactors G fs a" by (rule factors_wfactors) fact
  with fscarr show ?thesis by fast
qed
lemma (in monoid) wfactors_prod_exists [intro, simp]:
 assumes "∀ a ∈ set as. irreducible G a" and "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "∃ a. a ∈ carrier G ∧ wfactors G as a"
  unfolding wfactors_def using assms by blast
lemma (in factorial_monoid) wfactors_unique:
  assumes "wfactors G fs a"
   and "wfactors G fs' a"
   and "a ∈ carrier G"
   and "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
    and "set fs' ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "essentially_equal G fs fs'"
  using assms by (fast intro: ee wfactorsI[of a a])
lemma (in monoid) factors_mult_single:
```

```
assumes "irreducible G a" and "factors G fb b" and "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "factors G (a # fb) (a ⊗ b)"
 using assms unfolding factors_def by simp
lemma (in monoid_cancel) wfactors_mult_single:
 assumes f: "irreducible G a" "wfactors G fb b"
    "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "set fb ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "wfactors G (a # fb) (a \otimes b)"
 using assms unfolding wfactors_def by (simp add: mult_cong_r)
lemma (in monoid) factors_mult:
 assumes factors: "factors G fa a" "factors G fb b"
   and ascarr: "set fa ⊆ carrier G"
   and bscarr: "set fb ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "factors G (fa @ fb) (a ⊗ b)"
proof -
 have "foldr (⊗) (fa @ fb) 1 = foldr (⊗) fa 1 ⊗ foldr (⊗) fb 1" if
"set fa ⊆ carrier G"
   "Ball (set fa) (irreducible G)"
   using that bscarr by (induct fa) (simp_all add: m_assoc)
 then show ?thesis
    using assms unfolding factors_def by force
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) wfactors_mult [intro]:
 assumes asf: "wfactors G as a" and bsf:"wfactors G bs b"
   and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G" and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
   and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and bscarr:"set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "wfactors G (as @ bs) (a ⊗ b)"
 using wfactors_factors[OF asf ascarr] and wfactors_factors[OF bsf bscarr]
proof clarsimp
 fix a' b'
 assume asf': "factors G as a'" and a'a: "a' ∼ a"
   and bsf': "factors G bs b'" and b'b: "b' ∼ b"
 from asf' have a'carr: "a' ∈ carrier G" by (rule factors_closed) fact
 from bsf' have b'carr: "b' ∈ carrier G" by (rule factors_closed) fact
 note carr = acarr bcarr a'carr b'carr ascarr bscarr
 from asf' bsf' have "factors G (as @ bs) (a' ⊗ b')"
   by (rule factors_mult) fact+
 with carr have abf': "wfactors G (as @ bs) (a' ⊗ b')"
   by (intro factors_wfactors) simp_all
 also from b'b carr have trb: "a' ⊗ b' ∼ a' ⊗ b"
   by (intro mult_cong_r)
 also from a'a carr have tra: "a' ⊗ b ∼ a ⊗ b"
   by (intro mult_cong_l)
 finally show "wfactors G (as @ bs) (a ⊗ b)"
```

```
by (simp add: carr)
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid) factors_dividesI:
 assumes "factors G fs a"
   and "f \in set fs"
   and "set fs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "f divides a"
  using assms by (fast elim: factorsE intro: multlist_dividesI)
lemma (in comm_monoid) wfactors_dividesI:
  assumes p: "wfactors G fs a"
    and fscarr: "set fs ⊆ carrier G" and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
    and f: "f ∈ set fs"
 shows "f divides a"
  using wfactors_factors[OF p fscarr]
proof clarsimp
 fix a'
 assume fsa': "factors G fs a'" and a'a: "a' ∼ a"
  with fscarr have a'carr: "a' ∈ carrier G"
    by (simp add: factors_closed)
  from fsa' fscarr f have "f divides a'"
    by (fast intro: factors_dividesI)
 also note a'a
 finally show "f divides a"
    by (simp add: f fscarr[THEN subsetD] acarr a'carr)
qed
```
#### **26.5.6 Factorial monoids and wfactors**

```
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) factorial_monoidI:
  \text{assumes wfactors\_exists: \; \lVert \text{A.} \; \lVert \; \text{a} \in \text{carrier G; a } \notin \text{ Units G } \rVert \implies \exists \, \text{fs.}set fs ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G fs a"
    and wfactors_unique:
       "\Lambdaa fs fs'. [a \in carrier G; set fs \subseteq carrier G; set fs' \subseteq carrier
G;
         wfactors G fs a; wfactors G fs' a \Rightarrow essentially equal G fs
fs'"
  shows "factorial_monoid G"
proof
  fix a
  assume acarr: "a \in carrier G" and anunit: "a \notin Units G"
  from wfactors_exists[OF acarr anunit]
  obtain as where ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and afs: "wfactors G
as a"
    by blast
  from wfactors_factors [OF afs ascarr] obtain a' where afs': "factors
G as a'" and a'a: "a' ∼ a"
```

```
by blast
  from afs' ascarr have a'carr: "a' ∈ carrier G"
    by fast
  have a'nunit: "a' ∉ Units G"
  proof clarify
    assume "a' ∈ Units G"
    also note a'a
    finally have "a \in Units G" by (simp add: acarr)
    with anunit show False ..
 qed
 from a'carr acarr a'a obtain u where uunit: "u ∈ Units G" and a':
"a' = a \otimes u"
    by (blast elim: associatedE2)
 note [simp] = acarr Units_closed[OF uunit] Units_inv_closed[OF uunit]
 have "a = a \otimes 1" by simp
 also have "... = a \otimes (u \otimes inv u)" by (simp add: uunit)
 also have ". . . = a' ⊗ inv u" by (simp add: m_assoc[symmetric] a'[symmetric])
 finally have a: "a = a' \otimes inv u".
 from ascarr uunit have cr: "set (as[0:=(as!0 ⊗ inv u)]) ⊆ carrier
G"
    by (cases as) auto
  from afs' uunit a'nunit acarr ascarr have "factors G (as[0:=(as!0 ⊗
inv u)]) a"
    by (simp add: a factors_cong_unit)
  with cr show "∃fs. set fs ⊂ carrier G \land factors G fs a"
    by fast
qed (blast intro: factors_wfactors wfactors_unique)
```
# **26.6 Factorizations as Multisets**

```
Gives useful operations like intersection
abbreviation "assocs G x \equiv eq-closure_of (division_rel G) {x}"
definition "fmset G as = mset (map (assocs G) as)"
Helper lemmas
lemma (in monoid) assocs_repr_independence:
 assumes "y ∈ assocs G x" "x ∈ carrier G"
 shows "assocs G x = assocs G y"
 using assms
 by (simp add: eq_closure_of_def elem_def) (use associated_sym associated_trans
in ‹ blast+› )
lemma (in monoid) assocs_self:
 assumes "x ∈ carrier G"
 shows "x ∈ assocs G x"
```
**using** assms **by** (fastforce intro: closure\_ofI2)

**lemma** (**in** monoid) assocs\_repr\_independenceD: **assumes** repr: "assocs G x = assocs G y" and ycarr: " $y \in$  carrier G" **shows** "y ∈ assocs G x" **unfolding** repr **using** ycarr **by** (intro assocs\_self)

**lemma** (**in** comm\_monoid) assocs\_assoc: **assumes** "a ∈ assocs G b" "b ∈ carrier G" **shows** "a ∼ b" **using** assms **by** (elim closure\_ofE2) simp

**lemmas** (**in** comm\_monoid) assocs\_eqD = assocs\_repr\_independenceD[THEN assocs\_assoc]

## **26.6.1 Comparing multisets**

```
lemma (in monoid) fmset_perm_cong:
 assumes prm: "as <~~> bs"
 shows "fmset G as = fmset G bs"
 using perm_map[OF prm] unfolding fmset_def by blast
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) eqc_listassoc_cong:
 assumes "as [∼] bs" and "set as ⊆ carrier G" and "set bs ⊆ carrier
C''shows "map (assocs G) as = map (assocs G) bs"
 using assms
proof (induction as arbitrary: bs)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons a as)
 then show ?case
 proof (clarsimp simp add: Cons_eq_map_conv list_all2_Cons1)
   fix z zs
   assume zzs: "a ∈ carrier G" "set as ⊆ carrier G" "bs = z # zs" "a
∼ z"
     "as [∼] zs" "z ∈ carrier G" "set zs ⊆ carrier G"
   then show "assocs G a = assocs G z"
     apply (simp add: eq_closure_of_def elem_def)
     using ‹ a ∈ carrier G› ‹ z ∈ carrier G› ‹ a ∼ z› associated_sym
associated_trans by blast+
 qed
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) fmset_listassoc_cong:
 assumes "as [∼] bs"
   and "set as ⊆ carrier G" and "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "fmset G as = fmset G bs"
 using assms unfolding fmset_def by (simp add: eqc_listassoc_cong)
```

```
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) ee_fmset:
  assumes ee: "essentially_equal G as bs"
    and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "fmset G as = fmset G bs"
 using ee
 thm essentially equal def
proof (elim essentially_equalE)
 fix as'
  assume prm: "as <~~> as'"
  from prm ascarr have as'carr: "set as' ⊆ carrier G"
    by (rule perm_closed)
  from prm have "fmset G as = fmset G as'"
    by (rule fmset_perm_cong)
  also assume "as' [∼] bs"
  with as'carr bscarr have "fmset G as' = fmset G bs"
    by (simp add: fmset_listassoc_cong)
 finally show "fmset G as = fmset G bs" .
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) fmset_ee:
 assumes mset: "fmset G as = fmset G bs"
    and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "essentially_equal G as bs"
proof -
  from mset have "mset (map (assocs G) bs) = mset (map (assocs G) as)"
    by (simp add: fmset_def)
  then obtain p where ‹ p permutes {..<length (map (assocs G) as)}›
    ‹ permute_list p (map (assocs G) as) = map (assocs G) bs›
    by (rule mset_eq_permutation)
  then have ‹ p permutes {..<length as}›
    ‹ map (assocs G) (permute_list p as) = map (assocs G) bs›
    by (simp_all add: permute_list_map)
  moreover define as' where ‹ as' = permute_list p as›
  ultimately have tp: "as <~~> as'" and tm: "map (assocs G) as' = map
(assocs G) bs"
    by simp_all
  from tp show ?thesis
  proof (rule essentially_equalI)
    from tm tp ascarr have as'carr: "set as' ⊆ carrier G"
      using perm_closed by blast
    from tm as'carr[THEN subsetD] bscarr[THEN subsetD] show "as' [∼]
bs"
      by (induct as' arbitrary: bs) (simp, fastforce dest: assocs_eqD[THEN
associated_sym])
 qed
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) ee_is_fmset:
```

```
assumes "set as ⊆ carrier G" and "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
shows "essentially_equal G as bs = (fmset G as = fmset G bs)"
using assms by (fast intro: ee_fmset fmset_ee)
```
### **26.6.2 Interpreting multisets as factorizations**

```
lemma (in monoid) mset_fmsetEx:
  \text{assumes elements: } \text{"}\bigwedge \texttt{X.} \text{ } \texttt{X} \in \texttt{set\_mset } \texttt{Cs} \implies \exists \texttt{x.} \text{ } \texttt{P} \text{ } \texttt{x} \wedge \texttt{X} \texttt{ } = \texttt{assocs } \texttt{G} \text{ } \texttt{x} \texttt{"}shows "∃ cs. (∀c ∈ set cs. P c) ∧ fmset G cs = Cs"
proof -
  from surjE[OF surj_mset] obtain Cs' where Cs: "Cs = mset Cs'"
     by blast
  have "∃ cs. (∀ c ∈ set cs. P c) ∧ mset (map (assocs G) cs) = Cs"
     using elems unfolding Cs
  proof (induction Cs')
     case (Cons a Cs')
     then obtain c cs where csP: "∀ x∈set cs. P x" and mset: "mset (map
(\text{assocs } G) \text{ cs}) = \text{mset } Cs'"
               and cP: "P c" and a: "a = assocs G c"
       by force
     then have tP: "∀ x∈set (c#cs). P x"
       by simp
    show ?case
       using tP mset a by fastforce
  qed auto
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: fmset_def)
qed
lemma (in monoid) mset_wfactorsEx:
  \text{assumes elements: }\mathsf{``}\text{/}\mathsf{X}.~\mathsf{X}\in \texttt{set\_mset}~\mathbb{C}\mathbf{s} \implies \exists\, \text{x}.~\left(\text{x}\, \in \, \texttt{carrier}~\mathsf{G}~\wedge~\texttt{irreducible}~\right.G x) \land X = assocs G x"
  shows "∃c cs. c ∈ carrier G \wedge set cs ⊆ carrier G \wedge wfactors G cs c
\wedge fmset G cs = Cs"
proof -
  have "∃ cs. (∀ c∈set cs. c ∈ carrier G ∧ irreducible G c) ∧ fmset G
cs = Cs''by (intro mset_fmsetEx, rule elems)
  then obtain cs where p[rule format]: "∀ c∈set cs. c ∈ carrier G ∧ irreducible
G c"
     and Cs[symmetric]: "fmset G cs = Cs" by auto
  from p have cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G" by fast
  from p have "∃ c. c ∈ carrier G ∧ wfactors G cs c"
     by (intro wfactors_prod_exists) auto
  then obtain c where ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G" and cfs: "wfactors G cs
c" by auto
  with cscarr Cs show ?thesis by fast
qed
```
#### **26.6.3 Multiplication on multisets**

```
lemma (in factorial_monoid) mult_wfactors_fmset:
 assumes afs: "wfactors G as a"
   and bfs: "wfactors G bs b"
   and cfs: "wfactors G cs (a ⊗ b)"
   and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
             "set as ⊆ carrier G" "set bs ⊆ carrier G" "set cs ⊆ carrier
G''shows "fmset G cs = fmset G as + fmset G bs"
proof -
 from assms have "wfactors G (as @ bs) (a ⊗ b)"
   by (intro wfactors_mult)
 with carr cfs have "essentially_equal G cs (as@bs)"
   by (intro ee_wfactorsI[of "a⊗b" "a⊗b"]) simp_all
 with carr have "fmset G cs = fmset G (as@bs)"
   by (intro ee_fmset) simp_all
 also have "fmset G (as@bs) = fmset G as + fmset G bs"
   by (simp add: fmset_def)
 finally show "fmset G cs = fmset G as + fmset G bs" .
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) mult_factors_fmset:
 assumes afs: "factors G as a"
   and bfs: "factors G bs b"
   and cfs: "factors G cs (a ⊗ b)"
   and "set as ⊆ carrier G" "set bs ⊆ carrier G" "set cs ⊆ carrier
G"
 shows "fmset G cs = fmset G as + fmset G bs"
 using assms by (blast intro: factors_wfactors mult_wfactors_fmset)
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) fmset_wfactors_mult:
 assumes mset: "fmset G cs = fmset G as + fmset G bs"
   and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
     "set as ⊆ carrier G" "set bs ⊆ carrier G" "set cs ⊆ carrier G"
   and fs: "wfactors G as a" "wfactors G bs b" "wfactors G cs c"
 shows "c ∼ a ⊗ b"
proof -
 from carr fs have m: "wfactors G (as @ bs) (a ⊗ b)"
   by (intro wfactors_mult)
 from mset have "fmset G cs = fmset G (as@bs)"
   by (simp add: fmset_def)
 then have "essentially_equal G cs (as@bs)"
   by (rule fmset_ee) (simp_all add: carr)
 then show "c \sim a ⊗ b"
   by (rule ee_wfactorsD[of "cs" "as@bs"]) (simp_all add: assms m)
qed
```
#### **26.6.4 Divisibility on multisets**

```
lemma (in factorial_monoid) divides_fmsubset:
 assumes ab: "a divides b"
    and afs: "wfactors G as a"
    and bfs: "wfactors G bs b"
   and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "set as ⊆ carrier G"
"set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "fmset G as ⊆# fmset G bs"
 using ab
proof (elim dividesE)
 fix c
 assume ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
 from wfactors_exist [OF this]
 obtain cs where cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G" and cfs: "wfactors G
cs c"
   by blast
 note carr = carr ccarr cscarr
 assume "b = a \otimes c"
  with afs bfs cfs carr have "fmset G bs = fmset G as + fmset G cs"
    by (intro mult_wfactors_fmset[OF afs cfs]) simp_all
 then show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) fmsubset_divides:
 assumes msubset: "fmset G as ⊆# fmset G bs"
   and afs: "wfactors G as a"
   and bfs: "wfactors G bs b"
   and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
   and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
   and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G"
   and bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "a divides b"
proof -
 from afs have airr: "∀ a ∈ set as. irreducible G a" by (fast elim:
wfactorsE)
 from bfs have birr: "\forall b \in \text{set} bs. irreducible G b" by (fast elim:
wfactorsE)
 have "∃c cs. c ∈ carrier G \land set cs ⊂ carrier G \land wfactors G cs c
\wedge fmset G cs = fmset G bs - fmset G as"
 proof (intro mset_wfactorsEx, simp)
    fix X
    assume "X ∈# fmset G bs - fmset G as"
    then have "X ∈# fmset G bs" by (rule in_diffD)
    then have "X \in set (map (assocs G) bs)" by (simp add: fmset_def)
    then have "\exists x. x \in set bs \land X = assocs G x" by (induct bs) auto
    then obtain x where xbs: "x \in \text{set bs}" and X: "X = assocs G x" by
auto
```

```
with bscarr have xcarr: "x ∈ carrier G" by fast
    from xbs birr have xirr: "irreducible G x" by simp
    from xcarr and xirr and X show "∃ x. x ∈ carrier G ∧ irreducible
G \times \wedge X = assocs G \times''by fast
 qed
 then obtain c cs
    where ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
      and cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G"
      and csf: "wfactors G cs c"
      and csmset: "fmset G cs = fmset G bs - fmset G as" by auto
  from csmset msubset
 have "fmset G bs = fmset G as + fmset G cs"
    by (simp add: multiset_eq_iff subseteq_mset_def)
  then have basc: "b ∼ a ⊗ c"
    by (rule fmset_wfactors_mult) fact+
  then show ?thesis
 proof (elim associatedE2)
   fix u
   assume "u \in Units G" "b = a \otimes c \otimes u"
   with acarr ccarr show "a divides b"
      by (fast intro: dividesI[of "c ⊗ u"] m_assoc)
 qed (simp_all add: acarr bcarr ccarr)
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) divides_as_fmsubset:
 assumes "wfactors G as a"
   and "wfactors G bs b"
   and "a ∈ carrier G"
   and "b ∈ carrier G"
   and "set as ⊆ carrier G"
   and "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "a divides b = (f \cdot g)" and g \in \# f \cdot g finset G bs)"
  using assms
 by (blast intro: divides_fmsubset fmsubset_divides)
Proper factors on multisets
lemma (in factorial_monoid) fmset_properfactor:
 assumes asubb: "fmset G as ⊆# fmset G bs"
   and anb: "fmset G as \neq fmset G bs"
   and "wfactors G as a"
   and "wfactors G bs b"
   and "a ∈ carrier G"
   and "b ∈ carrier G"
   and "set as ⊆ carrier G"
   and "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "properfactor G a b"
```

```
proof (rule properfactorI)
 show "a divides b"
    using assms asubb fmsubset_divides by blast
 show "¬ b divides a"
    by (meson anb assms asubb factorial_monoid.divides_fmsubset factorial_monoid_axioms
subset_mset.antisym)
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) properfactor_fmset:
  assumes "properfactor G a b"
   and "wfactors G as a"
   and "wfactors G bs b"
   and "a ∈ carrier G"
   and "b ∈ carrier G"
   and "set as ⊆ carrier G"
   and "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "fmset G as ⊆# fmset G bs"
  using assms
  by (meson divides_as_fmsubset properfactor_divides)
lemma (in factorial_monoid) properfactor_fmset_ne:
  assumes pf: "properfactor G a b"
   and "wfactors G as a"
   and "wfactors G bs b"
   and "a ∈ carrier G"
   and "b ∈ carrier G"
   and "set as ⊆ carrier G"
    and "set bs ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "fmset G as \neq fmset G bs"
  using properfactorE [OF pf] assms divides_as_fmsubset by force
```
# **26.7 Irreducible Elements are Prime**

```
lemma (in factorial_monoid) irreducible_prime:
 assumes pirr: "irreducible G p" and pcarr: "p ∈ carrier G"
 shows "prime G p"
 using pirr
proof (elim irreducibleE, intro primeI)
 fix a b
  assume acarr: "a ∈ carrier G" and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
    and pdvdab: "p divides (a ⊗ b)"
    and pnunit: "p \notin Units G"
 assume irreduc[rule_format]:
    "\forallb. b ∈ carrier G \land properfactor G b p \longrightarrow b ∈ Units G"
  from pdvdab obtain c where ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G" and abpc: "a ⊗ b
= p ⊗ c"
   by (rule dividesE)
 obtain as where ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and afs: "wfactors G
as a"
```

```
using wfactors_exist [OF acarr] by blast
 obtain bs where bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G" and bfs: "wfactors G
bs b"
    using wfactors_exist [OF bcarr] by blast
 obtain cs where cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G" and cfs: "wfactors G
cs c"
    using wfactors_exist [OF ccarr] by blast
  note carr[simp] = pcarr acarr bcarr ccarr ascarr bscarr cscarr
  from pirr cfs abpc have "wfactors G (p # cs) (a ⊗ b)"
    by (simp add: wfactors_mult_single)
  moreover have "wfactors G (as @ bs) (a ⊗ b)"
    by (rule wfactors_mult [OF afs bfs]) fact+
  ultimately have "essentially_equal G (p # cs) (as @ bs)"
    by (rule wfactors_unique) simp+
  then obtain ds where "p # cs <~~> ds" and dsassoc: "ds [∼] (as @ bs)"
    by (fast elim: essentially_equalE)
  then have "p ∈ set ds"
    by (metis ‹ mset (p # cs) = mset ds› insert_iff list.set(2) perm_set_eq)
  with dsassoc obtain p' where "p' ∈ set (as@bs)" and pp': "p \sim p'"
    unfolding list_all2_conv_all_nth set_conv_nth by force
  then consider "p' ∈ set as" | "p' ∈ set bs" by auto
  then show "p divides a \vee p divides b"
    using afs bfs divides_cong_l pp' wfactors_dividesI
    by (meson acarr ascarr bcarr bscarr pcarr)
qed
— A version using factors, more complicated
lemma (in factorial_monoid) factors_irreducible_prime:
  assumes pirr: "irreducible G p" and pcarr: "p ∈ carrier G"
 shows "prime G p"
proof (rule primeI)
 show "p \notin Units G"
    by (meson irreducibleE pirr)
  \text{have irreduc: } \text{"}\text{/b}. \,\, \llbracket \text{b} \in \text{carrier G; properfactor G b p} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{b} \in \text{Units}G''using pirr by (auto simp: irreducible_def)
 show "p divides a ∨ p divides b"
    if acarr: "a ∈ carrier G" and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G" and pdvdab:
"p divides (a ⊗ b)" for a b
  proof -
    from pdvdab obtain c where ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G" and abpc: "a ⊗
b = p \otimes c"
      by (rule dividesE)
    note [simp] = pcarr acarr bcarr ccarr
    show "p divides a ∨ p divides b"
    proof (cases "a ∈ Units G")
```

```
case True
      then have "p divides b"
       by (metis acarr associatedI2' associated_def bcarr divides_trans
m comm pcarr pdvdab)
      then show ?thesis ..
    next
      case anunit: False
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases "b ∈ Units G")
        case True
        then have "p divides a"
          by (meson acarr bcarr divides_unit irreducible_prime pcarr pdvdab
pirr prime_def)
       then show ?thesis ..
      next
        case bnunit: False
        then have cnunit: "c \notin Units G"
          by (metis abpc acarr anunit bcarr ccarr irreducible_prodE irreducible_prod_rI
pcarr pirr)
        then have abnunit: "a \otimes b \notin Units G"
          using acarr anunit bcarr unit_factor by blast
       obtain as where ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and afac: "factors
G as a"
          using factors_exist [OF acarr anunit] by blast
       obtain bs where bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G" and bfac: "factors
G bs b"
         using factors_exist [OF bcarr bnunit] by blast
       obtain cs where cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G" and cfac: "factors
G cs c"
          using factors_exist [OF ccarr cnunit] by auto
        note [simp] = ascarr bscarr cscarr
        from pirr cfac abpc have abfac': "factors G (p # cs) (a ⊗ b)"
          by (simp add: factors_mult_single)
        from afac and bfac have "factors G (as @ bs) (a ⊗ b)"
          by (rule factors_mult) fact+
        with abfac' have "essentially_equal G (p # cs) (as @ bs)"
          using abnunit factors_unique by auto
        then obtain ds where "p # cs <~~> ds" and dsassoc: "ds [∼] (as
@ bs)"
          by (fast elim: essentially_equalE)
        then have "p ∈ set ds"
          by (metis list.set_intros(1) set_mset_mset)
        with dsassoc obtain p' where "p' ∈ set (as@bs)" and pp': "p
∼ p'"
          unfolding list_all2_conv_all_nth set_conv_nth by force
        then consider "p' \in set as" | "p' \in set bs" by auto
        then show "p divides a \vee p divides b"
          by (meson afac bfac divides_cong_l factors_dividesI pp' ascarr
bscarr pcarr)
```

```
qed
    qed
 qed
qed
```
# **26.8 Greatest Common Divisors and Lowest Common Multiples**

**26.8.1 Definitions**

definition isgcd :: " $[('a, _)]$  monoid\_scheme, 'a, 'a, 'a]  $\Rightarrow$  bool" ("( gcdof*ı* \_ \_)" [81,81,81] 80) where "x gcdof<sub>G</sub> a b  $\longleftrightarrow$  x divides<sub>G</sub> a  $\land$  x divides<sub>G</sub> b  $\land$ ( $\forall y \in \text{carrier } G.$  (y divides<sub>G</sub> a  $\land$  y divides<sub>G</sub> b  $\longrightarrow$  y divides<sub>G</sub> x))" **definition** islcm :: "[, 'a, 'a, 'a]  $\Rightarrow$  bool" ("( lcmof*i* )" [81,81,81] 80) where "x lcmof<sub>G</sub> a b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a divides<sub>G</sub> x  $\land$  b divides<sub>G</sub> x  $\land$ (∀y∈carrier G. (a divides<sub>G</sub> y  $\land$  b divides<sub>G</sub> y  $\longrightarrow$  x divides<sub>G</sub> y))" **definition** somegcd :: "('a, \_) monoid\_scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a" where "somegcd G a b = (SOME x.  $x \in$  carrier G  $\land$  x gcdof<sub>G</sub> a b)" definition somelcm :: "('a,\_) monoid\_scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a" where "somelcm G a b = (SOME x.  $x \in$  carrier G  $\land$  x lcmof<sub>G</sub> a b)" **definition** "SomeGcd G A = Lattice.inf (division\_rel G) A" **locale** gcd\_condition\_monoid = comm\_monoid\_cancel + **assumes** gcdof\_exists: "[[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G]] =⇒ ∃ c. c ∈ carrier G ∧ c gcdof a b" **locale** primeness\_condition\_monoid = comm\_monoid\_cancel + assumes irreducible\_prime: " $[a \in \text{carrier } G; \text{ irreducible } G a] \implies \text{prime}$  $G \rightarrow$ " **locale** divisor\_chain\_condition\_monoid = comm\_monoid\_cancel + **assumes** division\_wellfounded: "wf  $\{(x, y) \cdot x \in \text{carrier } G \land y \in \text{carrier}\}$  $G \wedge$  properfactor  $G \times y$ <sup>"</sup>

#### **26.8.2 Connections to Lattice.thy**

```
lemma gcdof_greatestLower:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
  shows "(x \in \text{carrier } G \wedge x \text{ gcdof a b}) = \text{greatest (division-rel } G) x(Lower (division_rel G) {a, b})"
  by (auto simp: isgcd_def greatest_def Lower_def elem_def)
```

```
lemma lcmof_leastUpper:
 fixes G (structure)
 assumes carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(x \in \text{carrier } G \wedge x \text{ lcmof a b}) = \text{least (division-rel } G) x (Upper
(division rel G) \{a, b\})"
  by (auto simp: islcm_def least_def Upper_def elem_def)
lemma somegcd_meet:
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "somegcd G a b = meet (division_rel G) a b"
 by (simp add: somegcd_def meet_def inf_def gcdof_greatestLower[OF carr])
lemma (in monoid) isgcd_divides_l:
 assumes "a divides b"
   and "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a gcdof a b"
 using assms unfolding isgcd_def by fast
lemma (in monoid) isgcd_divides_r:
 assumes "b divides a"
    and "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
  shows "b gcdof a b"
  using assms unfolding isgcd_def by fast
26.8.3 Existence of gcd and lcm
lemma (in factorial_monoid) gcdof_exists:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
    and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "∃ c. c ∈ carrier G ∧ c gcdof a b"
proof -
 from wfactors_exist [OF acarr]
 obtain as where ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and afs: "wfactors G
as a"
   by blast
  from afs have airr: "∀ a ∈ set as. irreducible G a"
   by (fast elim: wfactorsE)
 from wfactors_exist [OF bcarr]
 obtain bs where bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G" and bfs: "wfactors G
bs b"
    by blast
 from bfs have birr: "∀ b ∈ set bs. irreducible G b"
    by (fast elim: wfactorsE)
 have "∃c cs. c ∈ carrier G ∧ set cs ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G cs c
∧
    fmset G cs = fmset G as \cap# fmset G bs"
```

```
proof (intro mset_wfactorsEx)
    fix X
    assume "X ∈# fmset G as ∩# fmset G bs"
    then have "X ∈# fmset G as" by simp
    then have "X \in set (map (assocs G) as)"
      by (simp add: fmset_def)
   then have "\exists x. X = assocs G x \land x \in set as"
      by (induct as) auto
    then obtain x where X: "X = assocs G x" and xas: "x \in set as"
      by blast
    with ascarr have xcarr: "x ∈ carrier G"
      by blast
    from xas airr have xirr: "irreducible G x"
      by simp
    from xcarr and xirr and X show "∃ x. (x ∈ carrier G ∧ irreducible
G(x) \wedge X = assocs G(x)by blast
 qed
 then obtain c cs
    where ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
      and cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G"
     and csirr: "wfactors G cs c"
     and csmset: "fmset G cs = fmset G as ∩# fmset G bs"
   by auto
 have "c gcdof a b"
  proof (simp add: isgcd_def, safe)
    from csmset
   have "fmset G cs ⊆# fmset G as"
      by simp
    then show "c divides a" by (rule fmsubset_divides) fact+
  next
    from csmset have "fmset G cs ⊆# fmset G bs"
      by simp
    then show "c divides b"
      by (rule fmsubset_divides) fact+
 next
    fix y
    assume "y ∈ carrier G"
    from wfactors_exist [OF this]
    obtain ys where yscarr: "set ys ⊆ carrier G" and yfs: "wfactors
G ys y"
      by blast
    assume "y divides a"
    then have ya: "fmset G ys ⊆# fmset G as"
      by (rule divides_fmsubset) fact+
    assume "y divides b"
```

```
then have yb: "fmset G ys ⊆# fmset G bs"
      by (rule divides_fmsubset) fact+
    from ya yb csmset have "fmset G ys ⊆# fmset G cs"
      by (simp add: subset mset def)
    then show "y divides c"
      by (rule fmsubset_divides) fact+
  qed
  with ccarr show "∃ c. c ∈ carrier G ∧ c gcdof a b"
    by fast
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) lcmof_exists:
 assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
    and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "∃c. c ∈ carrier G ∧ c lcm of a b"proof -
 from wfactors_exist [OF acarr]
 obtain as where ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and afs: "wfactors G
as a"
    by blast
  from afs have airr: "∀ a ∈ set as. irreducible G a"
    by (fast elim: wfactorsE)
 from wfactors_exist [OF bcarr]
 obtain bs where bscarr: "set bs ⊆ carrier G" and bfs: "wfactors G
bs b"
    by blast
 from bfs have birr: "\forallb \in set bs. irreducible G b"
    by (fast elim: wfactorsE)
 have "∃ c cs. c ∈ carrier G ∧ set cs ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G cs c
∧
    fmset G cs = (fmset G as - fmset G bs) + fmset G bs"
 proof (intro mset_wfactorsEx)
    fix X
    assume "X ∈# (fmset G as - fmset G bs) + fmset G bs"
    then have "X ∈# fmset G as ∨ X ∈# fmset G bs"
      by (auto dest: in_diffD)
    then consider "X ∈ set_mset (fmset G as)" | "X ∈ set_mset (fmset
G bs)"
      by fast
    then show "\exists x. (x \in carrier G \land irreducible G x) \land X = assocs G
x"
    proof cases
      case 1
      then have "X \in \text{set} (map (assocs G) as)" by (simp add: fmset def)
      then have "\existsx. x \in set as \land X = assocs G x" by (induct as) auto
      then obtain x where xas: "x \in \text{set as}" and X: "X = assocs G x"
```

```
by auto
      with ascarr have xcarr: "x ∈ carrier G" by fast
      from xas airr have xirr: "irreducible G x" by simp
      from xcarr and xirr and X show ?thesis by fast
    next
      case 2
      then have "X \in \text{set} (map (assocs G) bs)" by (simp add: fmset def)
      then have "\existsx. x \in set bs \land X = assocs G x" by (induct as) auto
      then obtain x where xbs: "x \in \text{set bs}" and X: "X = assocs G x"
by auto
      with bscarr have xcarr: "x ∈ carrier G" by fast
      from xbs birr have xirr: "irreducible G x" by simp
      from xcarr and xirr and X show ?thesis by fast
    qed
  qed
 then obtain c cs
    where ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
      and cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G"
     and csirr: "wfactors G cs c"
     and csmset: "fmset G cs = fmset G as - fmset G bs + fmset G bs"
    by auto
 have "c lcmof a b"
  proof (simp add: islcm_def, safe)
    from csmset have "fmset G as ⊆# fmset G cs"
      by (simp add: subseteq_mset_def, force)
    then show "a divides c"
      by (rule fmsubset_divides) fact+
  next
    from csmset have "fmset G bs ⊆# fmset G cs"
      by (simp add: subset_mset_def)
    then show "b divides c"
      by (rule fmsubset_divides) fact+
 next
    fix y
    assume "y ∈ carrier G"
    from wfactors_exist [OF this]
    obtain ys where yscarr: "set ys ⊆ carrier G" and yfs: "wfactors
G ys y"
      by blast
    assume "a divides y"
    then have ya: "fmset G as ⊆# fmset G ys"
      by (rule divides_fmsubset) fact+
    assume "b divides y"
    then have yb: "fmset G bs ⊆# fmset G ys"
      by (rule divides_fmsubset) fact+
```

```
from ya yb csmset have "fmset G cs ⊆# fmset G ys"
      using subset_eq_diff_conv subset_mset.le_diff_conv2 by fastforce
    then show "c divides y"
      by (rule fmsubset_divides) fact+
  qed
  with ccarr show "∃ c. c ∈ carrier G ∧ c lcmof a b"
    by fast
qed
```
# **26.9 Conditions for Factoriality**

### **26.9.1 Gcd condition**

```
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) division_weak_lower_semilattice [simp]:
  "weak_lower_semilattice (division_rel G)"
proof -
 interpret weak_partial_order "division_rel G" ..
 show ?thesis
  proof (unfold_locales, simp_all)
    fix x y
    assume carr: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
    from gcdof exists [OF this] obtain z where zcarr: "z \in carrier G"
and isgcd: "z gcdof x y"
      by blast
    with carr have "greatest (division_rel G) z (Lower (division_rel
G) \{x, y\}"
      by (subst gcdof_greatestLower[symmetric], simp+)
    then show "∃ z. greatest (division_rel G) z (Lower (division_rel G)
{x, y})"
      by fast
  qed
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcdof_cong_l:
 assumes "a' ∼ a" "a gcdof b c" "a' ∈ carrier G" and carr': "a ∈ carrier
G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a' gcdof b c"
proof -
 interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G" by simp
 have "is_glb (division_rel G) a' {b, c}"
   by (subst greatest Lower cong l[of a]) (simp all add: assms gcdof greatestLower[symmetric]
  then have "a' \in carrier G \wedge a' gcdof b c"
    by (simp add: gcdof_greatestLower carr')
  then show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_closed [simp]:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "somegcd G a b \in carrier G"
proof -
```

```
interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G" by simp
 show ?thesis
 using assms meet_closed by (simp add: somegcd_meet)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_isgcd:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(somegcd G a b) gcdof a b"
proof -
 interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
    by simp
  from assms have "somegcd G a b \in carrier G \wedge (somegcd G a b) gcdof
a b"
    by (simp add: gcdof_greatestLower inf_of_two_greatest meet_def somegcd_meet)
 then show "(somegcd G a b) gcdof a b"
    by simp
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_exists:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "∃ x∈carrier G. x = somegcd G a b"
proof -
 interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
    by simp
 show ?thesis
   by (metis assms gcd_closed)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_divides_l:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(somegcd G a b) divides a"
proof -
 interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
   by simp
 show ?thesis
    by (metis assms gcd_isgcd isgcd_def)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_divides_r:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "(somegcd G a b) divides b"
proof -
  interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
   by simp
 show ?thesis
   by (metis assms gcd_isgcd isgcd_def)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_divides:
```

```
assumes "z divides x" "z divides y"
    and L: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "z ∈ carrier G"
  shows "z divides (somegcd G x y)"
proof -
  interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
    by simp
  show ?thesis
    by (metis gcd_isgcd isgcd_def assms)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_cong_l:
  assumes "x ∼ x'" "x ∈ carrier G" "x' ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
  shows "somegcd G x y ∼ somegcd G x' y"
proof -
  interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
    by simp
  show ?thesis
    using somegcd_meet assms
    by (metis eq_object.select_convs(1) meet_cong_l partial_object.select_convs(1))
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_cong_r:
  assumes "y ∼ y'" "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G" "y' ∈ carrier G"
  shows "somegcd G x y ∼ somegcd G x y'"
proof -
  interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G" by simp
  show ?thesis
    by (meson associated_def assms gcd_closed gcd_divides gcd_divides_l
gcd divides r monoid.divides trans monoid axioms)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcdI:
  assumes dvd: "a divides b" "a divides c"
    \quad \text{ and others: } "\bigwedge y. \;\; [\![ y \!\in\!\! \text{carrier G}; \; y \;\text{divides b}; \; y \;\text{divides c}]\! \implies y \;\text{divides }a"
    and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G" and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G" and ccarr:
"c ∈ carrier G"
  shows "a ∼ somegcd G b c"
proof -
  have "∃ a. a ∈ carrier G ∧ a gcdof b c"
    by (simp add: bcarr ccarr gcdof_exists)
  \text{moreover have }\text{``}\text{/}x. \; \text{x } \in \text{ carrier } \texttt{G} \wedge \texttt{x } \text{gcdof } \texttt{b } \texttt{c} \implies \texttt{a} \sim \texttt{x} \text{''}by (simp add: acarr associated_def dvd isgcd_def others)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding somegcd_def by (blast intro: someI2_ex)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcdI2:
  assumes "a gcdof b c" and "a ∈ carrier G" and "b ∈ carrier G" and
```
```
"c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "a ∼ somegcd G b c"
 using assms unfolding isgcd_def
 by (simp add: gcdI)
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) SomeGcd_ex:
 assumes "finite A" "A \subseteq carrier G" "A \neq {}"
 shows "∃ x ∈ carrier G. x = SomeGcd G A"
proof -
 interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
   by simp
 show ?thesis
    using finite_inf_closed by (simp add: assms SomeGcd_def)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_assoc:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "somegcd G (somegcd G a b) c ∼ somegcd G a (somegcd G b c)"
proof -
  interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
    by simp
 show ?thesis
    unfolding associated_def
    by (meson assms divides_trans gcd_divides gcd_divides_l gcd_divides_r
gcd_exists)
qed
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) gcd_mult:
 assumes acarr: "a \in carrier G" and bcarr: "b \in carrier G" and ccarr:
"c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "c ⊗ somegcd G a b ∼ somegcd G (c ⊗ a) (c ⊗ b)"
proof -
 let ?d = "somegcd G a b"
 let ?e = "somegcd G (c \otimes a) (c \otimes b)"
 note carr[simp] = acarr bcarr ccarr
 have dcarr: "?d ∈ carrier G" by simp
 have ecarr: "?e ∈ carrier G" by simp
 note carr = carr dcarr ecarr
 have "?d divides a" by (simp add: gcd_divides_l)
 then have cd'ca: "c ⊗ ?d divides (c ⊗ a)" by (simp add: divides_mult_lI)
 have "?d divides b" by (simp add: gcd_divides_r)
 then have cd'cb: "c ⊗ ?d divides (c ⊗ b)" by (simp add: divides_mult_lI)
 from cd'ca cd'cb have cd'e: "c ⊗ ?d divides ?e"
    by (rule gcd_divides) simp_all
  then obtain u where ucarr[simp]: "u ∈ carrier G" and e_cdu: "?e =
c ⊗ ?d ⊗ u"
```

```
by blast
 note carr = carr ucarr
 have "?e divides c ⊗ a" by (rule gcd_divides_l) simp_all
 then obtain x where xcarr: "x ∈ carrier G" and ca_ex: "c ⊗ a = ?e
⊗ x"
    by blast
  with e_cdu have ca_cdux: "c ⊗ a = c ⊗ ?d ⊗ u ⊗ x"
    by simp
  from ca_cdux xcarr have "c \otimes a = c \otimes (?d \otimes u \otimes x)"
    by (simp add: m_assoc)
  then have "a = ?d \otimes u \otimes x"
    by (rule l_cancel[of c a]) (simp add: xcarr)+
  then have du'a: "?d ⊗ u divides a"
    by (rule dividesI[OF xcarr])
 have "?e divides c ⊗ b" by (intro gcd_divides_r) simp_all
 then obtain x where xcarr: "x \in carrier G" and cb_ex: "c \otimes b = ?e
⊗ x"
    by blast
  with e_cdu have cb_cdux: "c \otimes b = c \otimes ?d \otimes u \otimes x"
    by simp
 from cb cdux xcarr have "c ⊗ b = c ⊗ (?d ⊗ u ⊗ x)"
    by (simp add: m_assoc)
  with xcarr have "b = ?d \otimes u \otimes x"
    by (intro l_cancel[of c b]) simp_all
  then have du'b: "?d ⊗ u divides b"
    by (intro dividesI[OF xcarr])
  from du'a du'b carr have du'd: "?d ⊗ u divides ?d"
    by (intro gcd_divides) simp_all
  then have uunit: "u ∈ Units G"
  proof (elim dividesE)
    fix v
    assume vcarr[simp]: "v ∈ carrier G"
    assume d: "?d = ?d ⊗ u ⊗ v"
    have "?d ⊗ 1 = ?d ⊗ u ⊗ v" by simp fact
    also have "?d ⊗ u ⊗ v = ?d ⊗ (u ⊗ v)" by (simp add: m_assoc)
    finally have "?d \otimes 1 = ?d \otimes (u \otimes v)".
    then have i2: "1 = u \otimes v" by (rule 1_cancel) simp_all
    then have i1: "1 = v \otimes u" by (simp add: m comm)
    from vcarr i1[symmetric] i2[symmetric] show "u ∈ Units G"
      by (auto simp: Units_def)
  qed
```
**from** e\_cdu uunit **have** "somegcd G (c ⊗ a) (c ⊗ b) ∼ c ⊗ somegcd G

```
a b"
    by (intro associatedI2[of u]) simp_all
  from this[symmetric] show "c ⊗ somegcd G a b ∼ somegcd G (c ⊗ a)
(c ⊗ b)"
    by simp
qed
lemma (in monoid) assoc_subst:
 assumes ab: "a ∼ b"
    and cP: "∀ a b. a ∈ carrier G ∧ b ∈ carrier G ∧ a ∼ b
      \longrightarrow f a \in carrier G \wedge f b \in carrier G \wedge f a \sim f b"
    and carr: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "f a ∼ f b"
 using assms by auto
lemma (in gcd_condition_monoid) relprime_mult:
  assumes abrelprime: "somegcd G a b ∼ 1"
    and acrelprime: "somegcd G a c \sim 1"
    and carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" "b ∈ carrier G" "c ∈ carrier G"
 shows "somegcd G a (b \otimes c) ~ 1"
proof -
 have "c = c \otimes 1" by simp
  also from abrelprime[symmetric]
  have ". . . ∼ c ⊗ somegcd G a b"
    by (rule assoc_subst) (simp add: mult_cong_r)+
 also have "... \sim somegcd G (c \otimes a) (c \otimes b)"
    by (rule gcd_mult) fact+
 finally have c: "c \sim someged G (c \otimes a) (c \otimes b)"
    by simp
  from carr have a: "a ∼ somegcd G a (c ⊗ a)"
    by (fast intro: gcdI divides_prod_l)
 have "somegcd G a (b ⊗ c) ∼ somegcd G a (c ⊗ b)"
    by (simp add: m_comm)
 also from a have "... ~ somegcd G (somegcd G a (c ⊗ a)) (c ⊗ b)"
    by (rule assoc_subst) (simp add: gcd_cong_l)+
  also from gcd_assoc have ". . . ∼ somegcd G a (somegcd G (c ⊗ a) (c ⊗
b))"
    by (rule assoc_subst) simp+
 also from c[symmetric] have ". . . ∼ somegcd G a c"
    by (rule assoc_subst) (simp add: gcd_cong_r)+
  also note acrelprime
  finally show "somegcd G a (b \otimes c) ~ 1"
    by simp
qed
```
**lemma** (**in** gcd\_condition\_monoid) primeness\_condition: "primeness\_condition\_monoid  $G''$ 

```
proof -
  have *: "p divides a ∨ p divides b"
    if pcarr[simp]: "p ∈ carrier G" and acarr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" and
\text{bcarr}[\text{simp}] : "b \in \text{carrier } G"and pirr: "irreducible G p" and pdvdab: "p divides a ⊗ b"
    for p a b
  proof -
    from pirr have pnunit: "p \notin Units G"
       and r: "V
b. [[b ∈ carrier G; properfactor G b p]] =⇒ b ∈ Units G"
       by (fast elim: irreducibleE)+
    show "p divides a ∨ p divides b"
    proof (rule ccontr, clarsimp)
       assume npdvda: "¬ p divides a" and npdvdb: "¬ p divides b"
       have "1 ∼ somegcd G p a"
       proof (intro gcdI unit_divides)
          \texttt{show }\text{``}\text{\textbackslash} \text{y}. \llbracket \text{y} \in \texttt{carrier} \ \texttt{G}; \text{y} divides \text{p}; \text{y} divides \text{a} \rrbracket \implies \text{y} \in \texttt{Units}G''by (meson divides_trans npdvda pcarr properfactorI r)
       qed auto
       with pcarr acarr have pa: "somegcd G p a ∼ 1"
         by (fast intro: associated_sym[of "1"] gcd_closed)
       have "1 ∼ somegcd G p b"
       proof (intro gcdI unit_divides)
          \texttt{show }\text{``}\text{\textbackslash} \text{y}. \llbracket \text{y} \in \texttt{carrier} \ \texttt{G}; \text{y} divides \text{p}; \text{y} divides \text{b} \rrbracket \implies \text{y} \in \texttt{Units}G''by (meson divides_trans npdvdb pcarr properfactorI r)
       qed auto
       with pcarr bcarr have pb: "somegcd G p b ∼ 1"
         by (fast intro: associated_sym[of "1"] gcd_closed)
       have "p ∼ somegcd G p (a ⊗ b)"
         using pdvdab by (simp add: gcdI2 isgcd_divides_l)
       also from pa pb pcarr acarr bcarr have "somegcd G p (a ⊗ b) ∼ 1"
         by (rule relprime_mult)
       finally have "p ∼ 1"
         by simp
       with pcarr have "p ∈ Units G"
         by (fast intro: assoc_unit_l)
       with pnunit show False ..
    qed
  qed
  show ?thesis
    by unfold_locales (metis * primeI irreducibleE)
qed
```

```
sublocale gcd_condition_monoid ⊆ primeness_condition_monoid
 by (rule primeness_condition)
```
#### **26.9.2 Divisor chain condition**

```
lemma (in divisor_chain_condition_monoid) wfactors_exist:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "∃ as. set as ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G as a"
proof -
 have r: "a ∈ carrier G =⇒ (∃ as. set as ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G as
a)"
    using division_wellfounded
 proof (induction rule: wf_induct_rule)
    case (less x)
    then have xcarr: "x ∈ carrier G"
      by auto
   show ?case
    proof (cases "x ∈ Units G")
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis bot.extremum list.set(1) unit_wfactors)
   next
      case xnunit: False
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases "irreducible G x")
        case True
        then show ?thesis
         by (rule_tac x="[x]" in exI) (simp add: wfactors_def xcarr)
      next
        case False
        then obtain y where ycarr: "y \in carrier G" and ynunit: "y \notinUnits G" and pfyx: "properfactor G y x"
         by (meson irreducible_def xnunit)
        obtain ys where yscarr: "set ys ⊆ carrier G" and yfs: "wfactors
G ys y"
         using less ycarr pfyx by blast
        then obtain z where zcarr: "z \in carrier G" and x: "x = y \otimes z"
         by (meson dividesE pfyx properfactorE2)
        from zcarr ycarr have "properfactor G z x"
         using m_comm properfactorI3 x ynunit by blast
        with less zcarr obtain zs where zscarr: "set zs ⊆ carrier G"
and zfs: "wfactors G zs z"
         by blast
        from yscarr zscarr have xscarr: "set (ys@zs) ⊆ carrier G"
         by simp
        have "wfactors G (ys@zs) (y⊗z)"
         using xscarr ycarr yfs zcarr zfs by auto
        then have "wfactors G (ys@zs) x"
         by (simp add: x)
        with xscarr show "∃ xs. set xs ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G xs x"
         by fast
      qed
    qed
```
**qed from** acarr **show** ?thesis **by** (rule r) **qed**

#### **26.9.3 Primeness condition**

```
lemma (in comm_monoid_cancel) multlist_prime_pos:
 assumes aprime: "prime G a" and carr: "a ∈ carrier G"
    and as: "set as ⊆ carrier G" "a divides (foldr (⊗) as 1)"
  shows "∃i<length as. a divides (as!i)"
  using as
proof (induction as)
 case Nil
 then show ?case
    by simp (meson Units one closed aprime carr divides unit primeE)
next
  case (Cons x as)
 then have "x ∈ carrier G" "set as ⊆ carrier G" and "a divides x ⊗
foldr (\otimes) as 1"
   by auto
  with carr aprime have "a divides x ∨ a divides foldr (⊗) as 1"
   by (intro prime_divides) simp+
  then show ?case
    using Cons.IH Cons.prems(1) by force
qed
proposition (in primeness_condition_monoid) wfactors_unique:
 assumes "wfactors G as a" "wfactors G as' a"
    and "a ∈ carrier G" "set as ⊆ carrier G" "set as' ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "essentially_equal G as as'"
 using assms
proof (induct as arbitrary: a as')
 case Nil
 then have "a ∼ 1"
    by (simp add: perm_wfactorsD)
  then have "as' = []"
    using Nil.prems assoc_unit_l unit_wfactors_empty by blast
  then show ?case
    by auto
next
  case (Cons ah as)
  then have ahdvda: "ah divides a"
    using wfactors_dividesI by auto
    then obtain a' where a'carr: "a' ∈ carrier G" and a: "a = ah \otimes a'"
      by blast
    have carr_ah: "ah ∈ carrier G" "set as ⊆ carrier G"
      using Cons.prems by fastforce+
    have "ah ⊗ foldr (⊗) as 1 ∼ a"
      by (rule wfactorsE[OF ‹ wfactors G (ah # as) a› ]) auto
```

```
then have "foldr (\otimes) as 1 \sim a'"
      by (metis Cons.prems(4) a a'carr assoc_l_cancel insert_subset list.set(2)
monoid.multlist_closed monoid_axioms)
    then
    have a'fs: "wfactors G as a'"
      by (meson Cons.prems(1) set_subset_Cons subset_iff wfactorsE wfactorsI)
    then have ahirr: "irreducible G ah"
      by (meson Cons.prems(1) list.set_intros(1) wfactorsE)
    with Cons have ahprime: "prime G ah"
      by (simp add: irreducible_prime)
    note ahdvda
    also have "a divides (foldr (⊗) as' 1)"
      by (meson Cons.prems(2) associatedE wfactorsE)
    finally have "ah divides (foldr (⊗) as' 1)"
      using Cons.prems(4) by auto
    with ahprime have "∃ i<length as'. ah divides as'!i"
      by (intro multlist_prime_pos) (use Cons.prems in auto)
    then obtain i where len: "i<length as'" and ahdvd: "ah divides as'!i"
      by blast
    then obtain x where "x ∈ carrier G" and asi: "as'!i = ah ⊗ x"
      by blast
    have irrasi: "irreducible G (as'!i)"
      using nth_mem[OF len] wfactorsE
      by (metis Cons.prems(2))
    have asicarr[simp]: "as'!i ∈ carrier G"
      using len ‹ set as' ⊆ carrier G› nth_mem by blast
    have asiah: "as'!i ∼ ah"
      by (metis ‹ ah ∈ carrier G› ‹ x ∈ carrier G› asi irrasi ahprime
associatedI2 irreducible prodE primeE)
   note setparts = set_take_subset[of i as'] set_drop_subset[of "Suc
i" as']
    have "∃ aa_1. aa_1 ∈ carrier G ∧ wfactors G (take i as') aa_1"
      using Cons
      by (metis setparts(1) subset_trans in_set_takeD wfactorsE wfactors_prod_exists)
    then obtain aa_1 where aa1carr [simp]: "aa_1 ∈ carrier G" and aa1fs:
"wfactors G (take i as') aa_1"
      by auto
    obtain aa_2 where aa2carr [simp]: "aa_2 ∈ carrier G"
      and aa2fs: "wfactors G (drop (Suc i) as') aa_2"
      by (metis Cons.prems(2) Cons.prems(5) subset_code(1) in_set_dropD
wfactors_def wfactors_prod_exists)
    have set_drop: "set (drop (Suc i) as') ⊆ carrier G"
      using Cons.prems(5) setparts(2) by blast
    moreover have set_take: "set (take i as') ⊆ carrier G"
      using Cons.prems(5) setparts by auto
    moreover have v1: "wfactors G (take i as' @ drop (Suc i) as') (aa_1
⊗ aa_2)"
      using aa1fs aa2fs ‹ set as' ⊆ carrier G› by (force simp add: dest:
```

```
in_set_takeD in_set_dropD)
    ultimately have v1': "wfactors G (as'!i # take i as' @ drop (Suc i)
as') (as'!i ⊗ (aa_1 ⊗ aa_2))"
      using irrasi wfactors mult single
        by (simp add: irrasi v1 wfactors_mult_single)
    have "wfactors G (as'!i # drop (Suc i) as') (as'!i ⊗ aa_2)"
      by (simp add: aa2fs irrasi set_drop wfactors_mult_single)
    with len aa1carr aa2carr aa1fs
    have v2: "wfactors G (take i as' @ as'!i # drop (Suc i) as') (aa_1
⊗ (as'!i ⊗ aa_2))"
      using wfactors_mult by (simp add: set_take set_drop)
    from len have as': "as' = (take i as' @ as'!i # drop (Suc i) as')"
      by (simp add: Cons_nth_drop_Suc)
   have eer: "essentially_equal G (take i as' @ as'!i # drop (Suc i)
as') as'"
      using Cons.prems(5) as' by auto
    with v2 aa1carr aa2carr nth_mem[OF len] have "aa_1 ⊗ (as'!i ⊗ aa_2)
∼ a"
      using Cons.prems as' comm_monoid_cancel.ee_wfactorsD is_comm_monoid_cancel
by fastforce
    then have t1: "as'!i ⊗ (aa_1 ⊗ aa_2) ∼ a"
      by (metis aa1carr aa2carr asicarr m_lcomm)
    from asiah have "ah ⊗ (aa_1 ⊗ aa_2) ∼ as'!i ⊗ (aa_1 ⊗ aa_2)"
      by (simp add: ‹ ah ∈ carrier G› associated_sym mult_cong_l)
    also note t1
    finally have "ah \otimes (aa 1 \otimes aa 2) \sim a"
      using Cons.prems(3) carr_ah aa1carr aa2carr by blast
    with aa1carr aa2carr a'carr nth_mem[OF len] have a': "aa_1 ⊗ aa_2
∼ a'"
     using a assoc_l_cancel carr_ah(1) by blast
    note v1
    also note a'
    finally have "wfactors G (take i as' @ drop (Suc i) as') a'"
      by (simp add: a'carr set_drop set_take)
    from a'fs this have "essentially_equal G as (take i as' @ drop (Suc
i) as')"
      using Cons.hyps a'carr carr_ah(2) set_drop set_take by auto
    then obtain bs where ‹ mset as = mset bs› ‹ bs [∼] take i as' @ drop
(Suc i) as'›
      by (auto simp add: essentially_equal_def)
    with carr_ah have ‹ mset (ah # as) = mset (ah # bs)› ‹ ah # bs [∼]
ah # take i as' @ drop (Suc i) as'›
      by simp_all
    then have ee1: "essentially_equal G (ah # as) (ah # take i as' @
drop (Suc i) as')"
      unfolding essentially_equal_def by blast
    have ee2: "essentially equal G (ah # take i as' @ drop (Suc i) as')
      (as' ! i # take i as' @ drop (Suc i) as')"
    proof (intro essentially_equalI)
```

```
show "ah # take i as' @ drop (Suc i) as' <~~> ah # take i as' @
drop (Suc i) as'"
       by simp
   next
      show "ah # take i as' @ drop (Suc i) as' [∼] as' ! i # take i as'
@ drop (Suc i) as'"
        by (simp add: asiah associated_sym set_drop set_take)
    qed
   note ee1
    also note ee2
   also have "essentially_equal G (as' ! i # take i as' @ drop (Suc i)
as')
                                   (take i as' @ as' ! i # drop (Suc i)
as')"
      by (metis Cons.prems(5) as' essentially_equalI listassoc_refl perm_append_Cons)
    finally have "essentially_equal G (ah # as) (take i as' @ as' ! i #
drop (Suc i) as')"
     using Cons.prems(4) set_drop set_take by auto
    then show ?case
     using as' by auto
qed
```
### **26.9.4 Application to factorial monoids**

Number of factors for wellfoundedness

```
definition factorcount :: " = \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat"where "factorcount G a =
    (THE c. \forall as. set as \subseteq carrier G \land wfactors G as a \longrightarrow c = length
as)"
lemma (in monoid) ee_length:
  assumes ee: "essentially_equal G as bs"
  shows "length as = length bs"
  by (rule essentially_equalE[OF ee]) (metis list_all2_conv_all_nth perm_length)
lemma (in factorial_monoid) factorcount_exists:
  assumes carr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G"
  shows "∃c. ∀as. set as ⊂ carrier G \land wfactors G as a \longrightarrow c = length
as"
proof -
  have "∃ as. set as ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G as a"
    by (intro wfactors_exist) simp
  then obtain as where ascarr[simp]: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and afs: "wfactors
G as a"
    by (auto simp del: carr)
  have "∀ as'. set as' ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G as' a −→ length as =
length as'"
    by (metis afs ascarr assms ee_length wfactors_unique)
```

```
then show "∃c. \forall as'. set as' \subseteq carrier G \land wfactors G as' a \longrightarrow c
= length as'" ..
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) factorcount_unique:
  assumes afs: "wfactors G as a"
    and acarr[simp]: "a ∈ carrier G" and ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G"
 shows "factorcount G a = length as"
proof -
  have "∃ac. ∀as. set as \subseteq carrier G \land wfactors G as a \longrightarrow ac = length
as"
    by (rule factorcount_exists) simp
 then obtain ac where alen: "∀ as. set as ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G as
a \rightarrow ac = length as"by auto
 then have ac: "ac = factorcount G a"
    unfolding factorcount_def using ascarr by (blast intro: theI2 afs)
 from ascarr afs have "ac = length as"
   by (simp add: alen)
  with ac show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) divides_fcount:
  assumes dvd: "a divides b"
    and acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
    and bcarr:"b ∈ carrier G"
 shows "factorcount G \times factorcount G \times"
proof (rule dividesE[OF dvd])
 fix c
  from assms have "∃ as. set as ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G as a"
    by blast
  then obtain as where ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and afs: "wfactors
G as a"
    by blast
  with acarr have fca: "factorcount G a = length as"
    by (intro factorcount_unique)
 assume ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
  then have "∃ cs. set cs ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G cs c"
    by blast
  then obtain cs where cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G" and cfs: "wfactors
G cs c"
    by blast
 note [simp] = acarr bcarr ccarr ascarr cscarr
  assume b: "b = a \otimes c"
  from afs cfs have "wfactors G (as@cs) (a ⊗ c)"
    by (intro wfactors_mult) simp_all
```

```
with b have "wfactors G (as@cs) b"
   by simp
  then have "factorcount G b = length (as@cs)"
    by (intro factorcount_unique) simp_all
  then have "factorcount G b = length as + length cs"
    by simp
  with fca show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma (in factorial_monoid) associated_fcount:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier G"
    and bcarr: "b ∈ carrier G"
   and asc: "a ∼ b"
 shows "factorcount G a = factorcount G b"
  using assms
  by (auto simp: associated_def factorial_monoid.divides_fcount factorial_monoid_axioms
le_antisym)
lemma (in factorial_monoid) properfactor_fcount:
  assumes acarr: "a ∈ carrier G" and bcarr:"b ∈ carrier G"
    and pf: "properfactor G a b"
  shows "factorcount G a < factorcount G b"
proof (rule properfactorE[OF pf], elim dividesE)
 fix c
 from assms have "∃ as. set as ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G as a"
    by blast
 then obtain as where ascarr: "set as ⊆ carrier G" and afs: "wfactors
G as a"
    by blast
  with acarr have fca: "factorcount G a = length as"
    by (intro factorcount_unique)
 assume ccarr: "c ∈ carrier G"
  then have "∃ cs. set cs ⊆ carrier G ∧ wfactors G cs c"
    by blast
 then obtain cs where cscarr: "set cs ⊆ carrier G" and cfs: "wfactors
G cs c"
   by blast
 assume b: "b = a ⊗ c"
  have "wfactors G (as@cs) (a ⊗ c)"
    by (rule wfactors_mult) fact+
  with b have "wfactors G (as@cs) b"
   by simp
  with ascarr cscarr bcarr have "factorcount G b = length (as@cs)"
    by (simp add: factorcount_unique)
  then have fcb: "factorcount G b = length as + length cs"
```

```
by simp
 assume nbdvda: "¬ b divides a"
 have "c \notin Units G"
 proof
    assume cunit:"c ∈ Units G"
    have "b \otimes inv c = a \otimes c \otimes inv c"
      by (simp add: b)
    also from ccarr acarr cunit have "... = a \otimes (c \otimes inv c)"
      by (fast intro: m_assoc)
    also from ccarr cunit have "... = a \otimes 1" by simp
    also from acarr have "\ldots = a" by simp
    finally have "a = b \otimes inv c" by simp
    with ccarr cunit have "b divides a"
      by (fast intro: dividesI[of "inv c"])
    with nbdvda show False by simp
  qed
  with cfs have "length cs > 0"
    by (metis Units_one_closed assoc_unit_r ccarr foldr.simps(1) id_apply
length_greater_0_conv wfactors_def)
  with fca fcb show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
sublocale factorial_monoid ⊆ divisor_chain_condition_monoid
 apply unfold_locales
 apply (rule wfUNIVI)
 apply (rule measure induct of "factorcount G"])
 using properfactor_fcount by auto
sublocale factorial_monoid ⊆ primeness_condition_monoid
  by standard (rule irreducible_prime)
lemma (in factorial_monoid) primeness_condition: "primeness_condition_monoid
G" ..
lemma (in factorial_monoid) gcd_condition [simp]: "gcd_condition_monoid
G''by standard (rule gcdof_exists)
sublocale factorial_monoid ⊆ gcd_condition_monoid
 by standard (rule gcdof_exists)
lemma (in factorial_monoid) division_weak_lattice [simp]: "weak_lattice
(division_rel G)"
proof -
 interpret weak_lower_semilattice "division_rel G"
    by simp
```

```
show "weak_lattice (division_rel G)"
 proof (unfold_locales, simp_all)
   fix x y
    assume carr: "x ∈ carrier G" "y ∈ carrier G"
    from lcmof exists [OF this] obtain z where zcarr: "z \in carrier G"
and isgcd: "z lcmof x y"
      by blast
    with carr have "least (division_rel G) z (Upper (division_rel G)
{x, y}"
      by (simp add: lcmof_leastUpper[symmetric])
    then show "∃ z. least (division_rel G) z (Upper (division_rel G) {x,
y})"
      by blast
 qed
qed
```
# **26.10 Factoriality Theorems**

```
theorem factorial_condition_one:
  "divisor_chain_condition_monoid G \land primeness_condition_monoid G \longleftrightarrowfactorial_monoid G"
proof (rule iffI, clarify)
  assume dcc: "divisor_chain_condition_monoid G"
    and pc: "primeness_condition_monoid G"
  interpret divisor_chain_condition_monoid "G" by (rule dcc)
 interpret primeness_condition_monoid "G" by (rule pc)
 show "factorial_monoid G"
    by (fast intro: factorial_monoidI wfactors_exist wfactors_unique)
next
 assume "factorial_monoid G"
 then interpret factorial_monoid "G" .
 show "divisor_chain_condition_monoid G ∧ primeness_condition_monoid
C<sub>II</sub>by rule unfold_locales
qed
theorem factorial_condition_two:
  "divisor_chain_condition_monoid G ∧ gcd_condition_monoid G ←→ factorial_monoid
G''proof (rule iffI, clarify)
  assume dcc: "divisor chain condition monoid G"
    and gc: "gcd_condition_monoid G"
 interpret divisor_chain_condition_monoid "G" by (rule dcc)
 interpret gcd_condition_monoid "G" by (rule gc)
  show "factorial_monoid G"
    by (simp add: factorial_condition_one[symmetric], rule, unfold_locales)
next
  assume "factorial_monoid G"
  then interpret factorial_monoid "G" .
```

```
show "divisor_chain_condition_monoid G \land gcd_condition_monoid G"
    by rule unfold_locales
qed
```
**end**

**theory** QuotRing **imports** RingHom **begin**

# **27 Quotient Rings**

# **27.1 Multiplication on Cosets**

```
definition rcoset_mult :: "[('a, _) ring_scheme, 'a set, 'a set, 'a set]
⇒ 'a set"
     ("[mod _:] _ Nı _" [81,81,81] 80)
  where "rcoset_mult R I A B = (\bigcup a \in A. \bigcup b \in B. I \rightarrow<sub>R</sub> (a \otimes<sub>R</sub> b))"
rcoset_mult fulfils the properties required by congruences
lemma (in ideal) rcoset_mult_add:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier R" "y ∈ carrier R"
  shows "[mod I:] (I \leftrightarrow x) \bigotimes (I \leftrightarrow y) = I \leftrightarrow (x \otimes y)"
proof -
  have 1: "z ∈ I +> x ⊗ y"
    if x'rcos: "x' ∈ I +> x" and y'rcos: "y' ∈ I +> y" and zrcos: "z
∈ I +> x' ⊗ y'" for z x' y'
  proof -
    from that
    obtain hx hy hz where hxI: "hx \in I" and x': "x' = hx \oplus x" and hyI:
"hy \in I" and y': "y' = hy \oplus y"
      and hzI: "hz \in I" and z: "z = hz \oplus (x' \otimes y')"
      by (auto simp: a_r_coset_def r_coset_def)
    note carr = assms hxI[THEN a_Hcarr] hyI[THEN a_Hcarr] hzI[THEN a_Hcarr]
    from z x' y' have "z = hz \oplus ((hx \oplus x) \otimes (hy \oplus y))" by simp
    also from carr have "... = (hz \oplus (hx \otimes (hy \oplus y)) \oplus x \otimes hy) \oplus x
⊗ y" by algebra
    finally have z2: "z = (hz \oplus (hx \otimes (hy \oplus y)) \oplus x \otimes hy) \oplus x \otimes y".
    from hxI hyI hzI carr have "hz \oplus (hx \otimes (hy \oplus y)) \oplus x \otimes hy \in I"
       by (simp add: I_l_closed I_r_closed)
    with z2 show ?thesis
       by (auto simp add: a r coset def r coset def)
  qed
  have 2: "∃ a∈I +> x. ∃ b∈I +> y. z ∈ I +> a ⊗ b" if "z ∈ I +> x ⊗ y"
for z
    using assms a_rcos_self that by blast
  show ?thesis
    unfolding rcoset_mult_def using assms
```

```
by (auto simp: intro!: 1 2)
qed
```
## **27.2 Quotient Ring Definition**

```
definition FactRing :: "[('a,'b) ring_scheme, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a set) ring"
     (infixl "Quot" 65)
  where "FactRing R I =
     \left\langle \text{carrier} = \text{a\_rcosets}_R \right\rangle I, mult = rcoset_mult R I,
        one = (I +\gt;_R 1_R), zero = I, add = set_add R\parallel"
```
**lemmas** FactRing\_simps = FactRing\_def A\_RCOSETS\_defs a\_r\_coset\_def[symmetric]

# **27.3 Factorization over General Ideals**

```
The quotient is a ring
lemma (in ideal) quotient_is_ring: "ring (R Quot I)"
proof (rule ringI)
 show "abelian_group (R Quot I)"
    apply (rule comm_group_abelian_groupI)
    apply (simp add: FactRing_def a_factorgroup_is_comm_group[unfolded
A FactGroup def'])
    done
 show "Group.monoid (R Quot I)"
    by (rule monoidI)
      (auto simp add: FactRing_simps rcoset_mult_add m_assoc)
qed (auto simp: FactRing_simps rcoset_mult_add a_rcos_sum l_distr r_distr)
This is a ring homomorphism
lemma (in ideal) rcos_ring_hom: "((+>) I) ∈ ring_hom R (R Quot I)"
  by (simp add: ring_hom_memI FactRing_def a_rcosetsI[OF a_subset] rcoset_mult_add
a rcos sum)
lemma (in ideal) rcos_ring_hom_ring: "ring_hom_ring R (R Quot I) ((+>)
I)"
 by (simp add: local.ring_axioms quotient_is_ring rcos_ring_hom ring_hom_ringI2)
The quotient of a cring is also commutative
lemma (in ideal) quotient_is_cring:
 assumes "cring R"
 shows "cring (R Quot I)"
proof -
 interpret cring R by fact
 show ?thesis
    apply (intro cring.intro comm_monoid.intro comm_monoid_axioms.intro
quotient_is_ring)
    apply (rule ring.axioms[OF quotient_is_ring])
    apply (auto simp add: FactRing_simps rcoset_mult_add m_comm)
```
**done**

**qed**

Cosets as a ring homomorphism on crings

```
lemma (in ideal) rcos_ring_hom_cring:
  assumes "cring R"
 shows "ring_hom_cring R (R Quot I) ((+>) I)"
proof -
 interpret cring R by fact
 show ?thesis
    apply (rule ring_hom_cringI)
     apply (rule rcos_ring_hom_ring)
    apply (rule is_cring)
   apply (rule quotient_is_cring)
   apply (rule is_cring)
   done
qed
```
# **27.4 Factorization over Prime Ideals**

The quotient ring generated by a prime ideal is a domain

```
lemma (in primeideal) quotient_is_domain: "domain (R Quot I)"
proof -
 have 1: "I \rightarrow 1 = I \Rightarrow False"
    using I_notcarr a_rcos_self one_imp_carrier by blast
 have 2: "I +> x = I"
   if carr: "x ∈ carrier R" "y ∈ carrier R"
    and a: "I +> x ⊗ y = I"
    and b: "I +> y \neq I" for x y
    by (metis I_prime a a_rcos_const a_rcos_self b m_closed that)
 show ?thesis
    apply (intro domain.intro quotient_is_cring is_cring domain_axioms.intro)
     apply (metis "1" FactRing_def monoid.simps(2) ring.simps(1))
    apply (simp add: FactRing_simps)
    by (metis "2" rcoset_mult_add)
```
**qed**

Generating right cosets of a prime ideal is a homomorphism on commutative rings

```
lemma (in primeideal) rcos_ring_hom_cring: "ring_hom_cring R (R Quot
I) ((+>) I)"
```
**by** (rule rcos\_ring\_hom\_cring) (rule is\_cring)

# **27.5 Factorization over Maximal Ideals**

In a commutative ring, the quotient ring over a maximal ideal is a field. The proof follows "W. Adkins, S. Weintraub: Algebra – An Approach via Module Theory"

```
proposition (in maximalideal) quotient_is_field:
 assumes "cring R"
 shows "field (R Quot I)"
proof -
 interpret cring R by fact
 have 1: "0_R 0_{\text{uot}} I \neq 1_R 0_{\text{uot}} I'' — Quotient is not empty
 proof
    assume "0_R Quot I = 1_R Quot I"
    then have III: "I = I \tto 1" by (simp add: FactRing_def)
    then have "I = carrier R"
      using a_rcos_self one_imp_carrier by blast
    with I_notcarr show False by simp
  qed
 have 2: "∃y∈carrier R. I +> a ⊗ y = I +> 1" if IanI: "I +> a \neq I" and
acarr: "a ∈ carrier R" for a
    — Existence of Inverse
 proof -
    — Helper ideal J
    define J :: "'a set" where "J = (carrier R #> a) <+> I"
    have idealJ: "ideal J R"
      using J_def acarr add_ideals cgenideal_eq_rcos cgenideal_ideal is_ideal
by auto
    have IinJ: "I ⊆ J"
    proof (clarsimp simp: J_def r_coset_def set_add_defs)
      fix x
      assume xI: "x ∈ I"
      have "x = 0 \otimes a \oplus x"
        by (simp add: acarr xI)
      with xI show "∃ xa∈carrier R. ∃ k∈I. x = xa ⊗ a ⊕ k" by fast
    qed
    have JnI: "J \neq I"proof -
      have "a \notin I"
        using IanI a_rcos_const by blast
      moreover have "a ∈ J"
      proof (simp add: J_def r_coset_def set_add_defs)
        from acarr
        have "a = 1 \otimes a \oplus 0" by algebra
        with one_closed and additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF is_additive_subgroup]
        show "∃ x∈carrier R. ∃ k∈I. a = x ⊗ a ⊕ k" by fast
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis by blast
    qed
    then have Jcarr: "J = carrier R"
      using I_maximal IinJ additive_subgroup.a_subset idealJ ideal_def
by blast
    — Calculating an inverse for a
```

```
from one_closed[folded Jcarr]
```

```
obtain r i where rcarr: "r ∈ carrier R"
      and iI: "i \in I" and one: "1 = r \otimes a \oplus i"
      by (auto simp add: J_def r_coset_def set_add_defs)
    from one and rcarr and acarr and iI[THEN a_Hcarr]
    have rai1: "a \otimes r = \ominusi \oplus 1" by algebra
    — Lifting to cosets
    from iI have "\ominusi \oplus 1 \in I +> 1"
      by (intro a_rcosI, simp, intro a_subset, simp)
    with rai1 have "a ⊗ r ∈ I +> 1" by simp
    then have "I +> 1 = I +> a \otimes r"
      by (rule a_repr_independence, simp) (rule a_subgroup)
    from rcarr and this[symmetric]
    show "∃r∈carrier R. I +> a \otimes r = I +> 1" by fast
  qed
 show ?thesis
    apply (intro cring.cring_fieldI2 quotient_is_cring is_cring 1)
     apply (clarsimp simp add: FactRing_simps rcoset_mult_add 2)
    done
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) trivial_hom_iff:
  "(h ' (carrier R) = { 0_S }) = (a kernel R S h = carrier R)"
  using group hom.trivial hom iff<sup>[OF a group hom] by (simp add: a kernel def)</sup>
lemma (in ring hom ring) trivial ker imp inj:
 assumes "a_kernel R S h = \{ 0 \}"
 shows "inj_on h (carrier R)"
 using group_hom.trivial_ker_imp_inj[OF a_group_hom] assms a_kernel_def[of
R S h] by simp
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) inj_iff_trivial_ker:
 shows "inj_on h (carrier R) \longleftrightarrow a_kernel R S h = { 0 }"
  using group_hom.inj_iff_trivial_ker[OF a_group_hom] a_kernel_def[of
R S h] by simp
corollary ring_hom_in_hom:
 assumes "h ∈ ring_hom R S" shows "h ∈ hom R S" and "h ∈ hom (add_monoid
R) (add_monoid S)"
 using assms unfolding ring_hom_def hom_def by auto
corollary set_add_hom:
  assumes "h ∈ ring_hom R S" "I ⊆ carrier R" and "J ⊆ carrier R"
```

```
shows "h ' (I \leftrightarrow_R J) = h ' I \leftrightarrow_S h ' J"
  using set_mult_hom[OF ring_hom_in_hom(2)[OF assms(1)]] assms(2-3)
  unfolding a_kernel_def[of R S h] set_add_def by simp
corollary a_coset_hom:
  assumes "h ∈ ring hom R S" "I ⊂ carrier R" "a ∈ carrier R"
  shows "h ' (a \lt +_R I) = h a \lt +_S (h ' I)" and "h ' (I +><sub>R</sub> a) = (h ' I)
+>g h a"
  using assms coset_hom[OF ring_hom_in_hom(2)[OF assms(1)], of I a]
  unfolding a_l_coset_def l_coset_eq_set_mult
             a_r_coset_def r_coset_eq_set_mult
  by simp_all
corollary (in ring_hom_ring) set_add_ker_hom:
  assumes "I ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "h ' (I \leftrightarrow \{a \text{[kernel R S h)}\} = h ' I'' and "h ' ((a \text{[kernel RS h) \left\langle \rightarrow \right\rangle I) = h \prime I"
  using group_hom.set_mult_ker_hom[OF a_group_hom] assms
  unfolding a_kernel_def[of R S h] set_add_def by simp+
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) non_trivial_field_hom_imp_inj:
  assumes "field R"
  shows "h ' (carrier R) \neq { 0_S } \implies inj_on h (carrier R)"
proof -
  assume "h ' (carrier R) \neq { 0_S }"
  hence "a kernel R S h \neq carrier R"
    using trivial_hom_iff by linarith
  hence "a_kernel R S h = { 0 }"
    using field.all_ideals[OF assms] kernel_is_ideal by blast
  thus "inj_on h (carrier R)"
    using trivial_ker_imp_inj by blast
qed
lemma "field R \implies cring R"
  using fieldE(1) by blast
lemma non_trivial_field_hom_is_inj:
  assumes "h ∈ ring_hom R S" and "field R" and "field S" shows "inj_on
h (carrier R)"
proof -
  interpret ring_hom_cring R S h
    using assms(1) ring_hom_cring.intro[OF assms(2-3)[THEN fieldE(1)]]
    unfolding symmetric[OF ring_hom_cring_axioms_def] by simp
  have "h 1_R = 1_S" and "1_S \neq 0_S"
    using domain.one_not_zero[OF field.axioms(1)[OF assms(3)]] by auto
  hence "h ' (carrier R) \neq { 0_S }"
```

```
using ring.kernel_zero ring.trivial_hom_iff by fastforce
 thus ?thesis
    using ring.non_trivial_field_hom_imp_inj[OF assms(2)] by simp
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) img_is_add_subgroup:
 assumes "subgroup H (add_monoid R)"
 shows "subgroup (h ' H) (add_monoid S)"
proof -
  have "group ((add monoid R) ( carrier := H ())"
    using assms R.add.subgroup_imp_group by blast
  moreover have "H ⊆ carrier R" by (simp add: R.add.subgroupE(1) assms)
  hence "h ∈ hom ((add_monoid R) (| carrier := H |)) (add_monoid S)"
    unfolding hom_def by (auto simp add: subsetD)
  ultimately have "subgroup (h ' carrier ((add_monoid R) (| carrier :=
H \nightharpoonup)) (add monoid S)"
    using group_hom.img_is_subgroup[of "(add_monoid R) (| carrier := H
|)" "add_monoid S" h]
    using a_group_hom group_hom_axioms.intro group_hom_def by blast
  thus "subgroup (h ' H) (add_monoid S)" by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) ring_ideal_imp_quot_ideal:
  assumes "ideal I R"
 shows "ideal J R \implies ideal ((+>) I ' J) (R Quot I)"
proof -
  assume A: "ideal J R" show "ideal (((+>) I) ' J) (R Quot I)"
  proof (rule idealI)
    show "ring (R Quot I)"
      by (simp add: assms(1) ideal.quotient_is_ring)
  next
    have "subgroup J (add_monoid R)"
      by (simp add: additive_subgroup.a_subgroup A ideal.axioms(1))
    moreover have "((+>) I) ∈ ring_hom R (R Quot I)"
      by (simp add: assms(1) ideal.rcos_ring_hom)
    ultimately show "subgroup ((+>) I ' J) (add_monoid (R Quot I))"
      using assms(1) ideal.rcos_ring_hom_ring ring_hom_ring.img_is_add_subgroup
by blast
 next
    fix a x assume "a \in (+>) I ' J" "x \in carrier (R Quot I)"
    then obtain i j where i: "i \in carrier R" "x = I +> i"
                       and j: "j ∈ J" "a = I +> j"
      unfolding FactRing_def using A_RCOSETS_def'[of R I] by auto
    hence "a \otimes_R \varphi ot I x = [mod I:] (I +> j) \otimes (I +> i)"
      unfolding FactRing_def by simp
    hence "a \otimes_R \omega_{\text{not}} I \times I \times I \times (i \otimes i)"
      using ideal.rcoset mult add[OF \; assms(1), of j i] i(1) i(1) A ideal.ICarrby force
    thus "a \otimes_R Quot I x \in (+) I ' J"
```

```
using A i(1) j(1) by (simp add: ideal.I_r_closed)
    have "x \otimes_R Quot I a = [mod I:] (I +> i) \otimes (I +> j)"
      unfolding FactRing_def i j by simp
    hence "x \otimes_R \varphi ot I a = I +> (i \otimes j)"
      using ideal.rcoset_mult_add[OF assms(1), of i j] i(1) j(1) A ideal.Icarr
by force
    thus "x \otimes_R Quot I a ∈ (+>) I ' J"
      using A i(1) j(1) by (simp add: ideal.I_l_closed)
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) ideal_vimage:
  assumes "ideal I S"
  shows "ideal { r \in carrier R. h r \in I } R"
proof
  show "{ r ∈ carrier R. h r ∈ I } ⊆ carrier (add_monoid R)" by auto
next
  show "1<sub>add monoid R ∈ { r ∈ carrier R. h r ∈ I }"</sub>
    by (simp add: additive_subgroup.zero_closed assms ideal.axioms(1))
next
  fix a b
  assume "a \in { r \in carrier R. h r \in I }"
     and "b \in { r \in carrier R. h r \in I }"
  hence a: "a ∈ carrier R" "h a ∈ I"
    and b: "b ∈ carrier R" "h b ∈ I" by auto
  hence "h (a \oplus b) = (h a) \oplus_S (h b)" using hom add by blast
  moreover have "(h a) \opluss (h b) \in I" using a b assms
    by (simp add: additive_subgroup.a_closed ideal.axioms(1))
  ultimately show "a \otimes_{\text{add monoid R}} b \in \{ r \in \text{carrier R. h } r \in I \}"
    using a(1) b (1) by auto
  have "h (\ominus a) = \ominus<sub>S</sub> (h a)" by (simp add: a)
  moreover have \theta (h a) \in I<sup>\theta</sup>
    by (simp add: a(2) additive_subgroup.a_inv_closed assms ideal.axioms(1))
  ultimately show "inv<sub>add monoid R a ∈ { r ∈ carrier R. h r ∈ I }"</sub>
    using a by (simp add: a_inv_def)
next
  fix a r
  assume "a ∈ { r ∈ carrier R. h r ∈ I }" and r: "r ∈ carrier R"
  hence a: "a ∈ carrier R" "h a ∈ I" by auto
  have "h a \otimes_S h r \in I"
    using assms a r by (simp add: ideal.I_r_closed)
  thus "a \otimes r \in { r \in carrier R. h r \in I }" by (simp add: a(1) r)
  have "h r \otimes_S h a \in I"
    using assms a r by (simp add: ideal.I_l_closed)
  thus "r \otimes a \in { r \in carrier R. h r \in I }" by (simp add: a(1) r)
```
### **qed**

```
lemma (in ring) canonical_proj_vimage_in_carrier:
  assumes "ideal I R"
  \texttt{shows "J} \subseteq \texttt{carrier} \, \, \texttt{(R Quot I)} \implies \bigcup \, \, \texttt{J} \subseteq \texttt{carrier R"}proof -
  assume A: "J ⊆ carrier (R Quot I)" \textbf{show} "\bigcup J ⊆ carrier R"
  proof
     \textbf{fix} j \textbf{assume} j: "\textbf{j} \in \bigcup \textbf{J}"
     then obtain j' where j': "j' \in J'' "j \in j'' by blast
     then obtain r where r: "r \in carrier R" "j' = I +> r"
       using A j' unfolding FactRing_def using A_RCOSETS_def'[of R I] by
auto
     thus "j ∈ carrier R" using j' assms
       by (meson a_r_coset_subset_G additive_subgroup.a_subset contra_subsetD
ideal.axioms(1))
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) canonical_proj_vimage_mem_iff:
  assumes "ideal I R" "J ⊆ carrier (R Quot I)"
  \texttt{shows} "\textsf{\textbackslash} \texttt{a. a} \in \texttt{carrier} \ \texttt{R} \implies \texttt{(a} \in (\bigcup \ \texttt{J})) = \texttt{(I +& a \in J)}"proof -
  {\rm fix} a {\rm assume} {\rm a:} "{\rm a \in {\rm carrier}} {\rm R}" {\rm show} "({\rm a \in ( \bigcup \; \mathrm{ J})}) = ({\rm I \; \text{ +>}\; a \in \mathrm{ J}})"
  proof
     assume "a ∈ ∪ J"
     then obtain j where j: "j \in J" "a \in j" by blast
     then obtain r where r: "r \in carrier R" "j = I +> r"
       using assms j unfolding FactRing_def using A_RCOSETS_def'[of R I]
by auto
    hence "I +> r = I +> a"
       using add.repr_independence[of a I r] j r
       by (metis a_r_coset_def additive_subgroup.a_subgroup assms(1) ideal.axioms(1))
     thus "I +> a \in J" using r \in J by simp
  next
     assume "I \leftrightarrow a \in J"hence "0 ⊕ a ∈ I +> a"
       using additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF assms(1)]]
               a_r_coset_def'[of R I a] by blast
     thus "a \in \bigcup J" using a \leq I +> a \in J> by auto
  qed
qed
corollary (in ring) quot_ideal_imp_ring_ideal:
  assumes "ideal I R"
  \textbf{shows} "ideal J (R Quot I) \implies ideal (\bigcup J) R"
proof -
  assume A: "ideal J (R Quot I)"
  \text{have} "\bigcup J = { r \in \text{carrier R. I} \leftrightarrow r \in J }"
```

```
using canonical_proj_vimage_in_carrier[OF assms, of J]
           canonical_proj_vimage_mem_iff[OF assms, of J]
           additive_subgroup.a_subset[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF A]] by blast
  thus "ideal (\bigcup J) R"
    using ring_hom_ring.ideal_vimage[OF ideal.rcos_ring_hom_ring[OF assms]
A] by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_incl_iff:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
  shows "(I ⊆ J) = (J = (| j ∈ J. I +> j))"
proof
  \text{assume A: "J = (} \bigcup j \in J. I \leftrightarrow j \text{)}" \text{ hence "I +> 0 } \subseteq J"using additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF assms(2)]]
by blast
  thus "I ⊆ J" using additive_subgroup.a_subset[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF
assms(1)]] by simp
next
  assume A: "I ⊆ J" show "J = (∪j∈J. I +> j)"
  proof
     \text{show } "J \subseteq (\bigcup j \in J. \ \ I \ \texttt{+} \texttt{>}\ j)"proof
       fix j assume j: "j \in J"
       have "0 ∈ I" by (simp add: additive_subgroup.zero_closed assms(1)
ideal.axioms(1))
       hence "0 ⊕ j ∈ I +> j"
         using a_r_coset_def'[of R I j] by blast
       thus "j \in (\bigcup_{j \in J} 0.1 \rightarrow j)'"
         using assms(2) j additive_subgroup.a_Hcarr ideal.axioms(1) by
fastforce
    qed
  next
     \text{show } "\left(\bigcup \text{ }j\in\text{ J. I}\text{ }{\textbf +\textbf{>}}\text{ }j\right)\subseteq\text{ J}"proof
       \text{fix } x \text{ assume } "x \in (\bigcup j \in J. I \leftrightarrow j)"then obtain j where j: "j \in J'' "x \in I \rightarrow j'' by blast
       then obtain i where i: "i \in I" "x = i \oplus j"
         using a_r_coset_def'[of R I j] by blast
       thus "x ∈ J"
         using assms(2) j A additive_subgroup.a_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF
assms(2)]] by blast
    qed
  qed
qed
theorem (in ring) quot_ideal_correspondence:
  assumes "ideal I R"
  shows "bij betw (\lambdaJ. (+>) I ' J) { J. ideal J R \land I \subseteq J } { J . ideal
J (R Quot I) }"
```

```
proof (rule bij_betw_byWitness[where ?f' = "\lambda X. \bigcup X"])
  \texttt{show}\ \ \texttt{``\forall\ J} \in \{\ \texttt{J}.\ \ \texttt{ideal}\ \ \texttt{J}\ \ \texttt{R}\ \land\ \texttt{I} \subseteq \ \texttt{J}\ \}. \ \ (\lambda \texttt{X}.\ \ \bigcup\ \ \texttt{X})\ \ (\texttt{(+\gt)}\ \ \texttt{I}\ \ \ ^\texttt{t}\ \ \texttt{J}) \ =\ \texttt{J''}using assms ideal_incl_iff by blast
next
  show "(\lambda J. (+) I' J) ' \{ J. \text{ideal } J R \wedge I \subseteq J \} \subseteq \{ J. \text{ideal } J (R) \}Quot I) }"
     using assms ring_ideal_imp_quot_ideal by auto
next
  \texttt{show} "(\lambdaX. \bigcup X) ' { J. ideal J (R Quot I) } \subseteq { J. ideal J R \land I \subseteqJ }"
  proof
     \text{fix } J \text{ assume "}J \in ((\lambda X. \bigcup X) ' \{ J. \text{ ideal } J \text{ (R Quot I)} )"
     then obtain J' where J': "ideal J' (R Quot I)" "J = \bigcup J'" by blast
     hence "ideal J R"
       using assms quot_ideal_imp_ring_ideal by auto
     moreover have "I ∈ J'"
       using additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF J'(1)]]
unfolding FactRing_def by simp
     ultimately show "J \in \{ J. ideal J R \wedge I \subseteq J }" using J'(2) by auto
  qed
next
  show "∀J' ∈ { J. ideal J (R Quot I) }. ((+>) I ' (\bigcup J')) = J'"
  proof
     fix J' assume "J' ∈ { J. ideal J (R Quot I) }"
    hence subset: "J' ⊆ carrier (R Quot I) ∧ ideal J' (R Quot I)"
       using additive_subgroup.a_subset ideal_def by blast
     hence "((+>) I ' (∪ J')) ⊆ J'"
       using canonical proj vimage in carrier canonical proj vimage mem iff
       by (meson assms contra_subsetD image_subsetI)
     moreover have "J' ⊆ ((+>) I ' (∪ J'))"
     proof
       fix x assume "x \in J'"
       then obtain r where r: "r \in carrier R" "x = I +> r"
          using subset unfolding FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def'[of R I] by
auto
       hence "r \in (\bigcup J')"using \langle x \in J' \rangle assms canonical_proj_vimage_mem_iff subset by
blast
       thus "x \in ((\rightarrow) I' ([] J'))" using r(2) by blast
     qed
     ultimately show "((\rightarrow) I' (U J')) = J'" by blast
  qed
qed
lemma (in cring) quot_domain_imp_primeideal:
  assumes "ideal P R"
  shows "domain (R Quot P) \implies primeideal P R"
proof -
  assume A: "domain (R Quot P)" show "primeideal P R"
```

```
proof (rule primeidealI)
    show "ideal P R" using assms .
    show "cring R" using is_cring .
  next
    show "carrier R \neq P"
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume "\neg carrier R \neq P" hence "carrier R = P" by simp
      hence " \bigwedge I. I \in carrier (R Quot P) \implies I = P"unfolding FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def' apply simp
        using a_coset_join2 additive_subgroup.a_subgroup assms ideal.axioms(1)
by blast
      hence "1_{(R \text{ Quot } P)} = 0_{(R \text{ Quot } P)}"
        by (metis assms ideal.quotient_is_ring ring.ring_simprules(2)
ring.ring_simprules(6))
      thus False using domain.one_not_zero[OF A] by simp
    qed
  next
    fix a b assume a: "a ∈ carrier R" and b: "b ∈ carrier R" and ab:
"a ⊗ b ∈ P"
    hence "P +> (a \otimes b) = 0_{(R \text{ Quot } P)}" unfolding FactRing_def
      by (simp add: a_coset_join2 additive_subgroup.a_subgroup assms ideal.axioms(1))
    moreover have "(P +> a) \otimes<sub>(R Quot P)</sub> (P +> b) = P +> (a \otimes b)" un-
folding FactRing_def
      using a b by (simp add: assms ideal.rcoset_mult_add)
    moreover have "P +> a ∈ carrier (R Quot P) ∧ P +> b ∈ carrier (R
Quot P)"
      by (simp add: a b FactRing_def a_rcosetsI additive_subgroup.a_subset
assms ideal.axioms(1))
    ultimately have "P +> a = 0_{(R \text{ Quot P})} \vee P +> b = 0_{(R \text{ Quot P})}"
      using domain.integral[OF A, of "P +> a" "P +> b"] by auto
    thus "a ∈ P ∨ b ∈ P" unfolding FactRing_def apply simp
      using a b assms a_coset_join1 additive_subgroup.a_subgroup ideal.axioms(1)
by blast
  qed
qed
lemma (in cring) quot_domain_iff_primeideal:
  assumes "ideal P R"
  shows "domain (R Quot P) = primeideal P R"
  using quot_domain_imp_primeideal[OF assms] primeideal.quotient_is_domain[of
P R] by auto
```

```
27.6 Isomorphism
```

```
definition
  ring_iso :: "_ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) set"
  where "ring_iso R S = { h. h \in ring_hom R S \land bij_betw h (carrier R)
(carrier S) }"
```

```
is_ring_iso :: "_ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow bool" (infixr "\simeq" 60)
where "R \simeq S = (ring_iso R S \neq {})"
morphic_prop :: "_ \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool"
where "morphic prop R P =
               (\forall r \in \text{carrier R. P r}) \land(\forallr1 ∈ carrier R. \forallr2 ∈ carrier R. P (r1 \otimes<sub>R</sub> r2)) ∧
               (\forall r1 \in \text{carrier R. } \forall r2 \in \text{carrier R. P (r1 <math>\oplus_R r2)</math>))"
```

```
lemma ring_iso_memI:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
   \text{assumes }\n\mathsf{``}\bigwedge\mathsf{x}.\mathsf{x} \in \text{carrier } \mathsf{R} \implies \mathsf{h} \mathsf{x} \in \text{carrier } \mathsf{S}\n\mathsf{''}\text{and} "\bigwedgex y. [\text{x } \in carrier R; \text{y } \in carrier R ]] \implies h (x \otimes y) = h
x \otimes_S h y''\text{and} "\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies h (x \oplus y) = h
x \bigoplus_S h y''and "h 1 = 1_S"
        and "bij_betw h (carrier R) (carrier S)"
  shows "h ∈ ring_iso R S"
   by (auto simp add: ring_hom_memI assms ring_iso_def)
lemma ring_iso_memE:
  fixes R (structure) and S (structure)
  assumes "h ∈ ring_iso R S"
   \texttt{shows} "\texttt{/x.} \texttt{x} \in \texttt{carrier} \texttt{R} \implies \texttt{h} \texttt{x} \in \texttt{carrier} \texttt{S}"
    and "\wedge x y. \mathbb{I} \times \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \mathbb{I} \implies h (x \otimes y) = h x \otimess
h y"
    and "\wedge x y. \mathbb{I} \times \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \mathbb{I} \implies h (x \oplus y) = h x \oplush y"
    and "h 1 = 1_S"
    and "bij_betw h (carrier R) (carrier S)"
   using assms unfolding ring_iso_def ring_hom_def by auto
lemma morphic_propI:
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes "P 1"
      and "\bigwedger. r ∈ carrier R \implies P r"
      and "\wedger1 r2. [ r1 ∈ carrier R; r2 ∈ carrier R ] \implies P (r1 ⊗ r2)"
      and "\wedger1 r2. [ r1 ∈ carrier R; r2 ∈ carrier R ] \implies P (r1 ⊕ r2)"
  shows "morphic_prop R P"
   unfolding morphic_prop_def using assms by auto
lemma morphic_propE:
  fixes R (structure)
```
**definition**

**definition**

 $((P_1_R) \wedge$ 

```
and "\bigwedger. r ∈ carrier R \implies P r"
     \quad \  \  \, \text{and} \ \sqrt[\mathsf{r} \mathsf{1} \ \ \mathsf{r} \mathsf{2}. \ \ \llbracket \ \ \mathsf{r} \mathsf{1} \ \ \in \ \text{carrier} \ \ \mathsf{R} \ ; \ \ \mathsf{r} \mathsf{2} \in \ \text{carrier} \ \ \mathsf{R} \ \ \llbracket \ \Longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P} \ \ (\mathsf{r} \mathsf{1} \ \ \otimes \ \ \mathsf{r} \mathsf{2})\ \texttt{``}and "\wedger1 r2. [ r1 ∈ carrier R; r2 ∈ carrier R ] \implies P (r1 ⊕ r2)"
  using assms unfolding morphic_prop_def by auto
lemma (in ring) ring_hom_restrict:
   \text{assumes "f} \in \text{ring\_hom R S" and "\bigwedge\text{r. r} \in \text{carrier R} \implies \text{f r = g r" shows}"g ∈ ring_hom R S"
  using assms(2) ring hom memE[OF assms(1)] by (auto intro: ring hom memI)
lemma (in ring) ring_iso_restrict:
   \text{assumes "f} \in \text{ring\_iso R S" and "\bigwedge\text{r. r} \in \text{carrier R} \implies \text{f r = g r" shows}\mathsf{''g} \in \mathtt{ring} iso R S"
proof -
  have hom: "g ∈ ring_hom R S"
     using ring_hom_restrict assms unfolding ring_iso_def by auto
  have "bij_betw g (carrier R) (carrier S)"
     using bij_betw_cong[of "carrier R" f g] ring_iso_memE(5)[OF assms(1)]
assms(2) by simp
  thus ?thesis
     using ring_hom_memE[OF hom] by (auto intro!: ring_iso_memI)
qed
lemma ring_iso_morphic_prop:
  assumes "f ∈ ring_iso R S"
     and "morphic_prop R P"
     and "\Lambdar. P r \implies f r = g r"
  shows "g ∈ ring_iso R S"
proof -
   \text{have eq0: } "\bigwedge\hspace{-0.15cm} r \cdot r \, \in \, \text{carrier R} \implies \text{f}\, \; r \, \text{=} \, \; g\, \; r"and eq1: "f 1_R = g 1_R"
    \quad and eq2: "\bigwedger1 r2. [\;r1 ∈ carrier R; r2 ∈ carrier R ]] \implies f (r1 \otimes<sub>R</sub>
r2) = g (r1 ⊗ R r2)"
    and eq3: "\bigwedger1 r2. [| r1 ∈ carrier R; r2 ∈ carrier R ]] \implies f (r1 \oplus<sub>R</sub>
r2) = g (r1 \oplus_R r2)"
     using assms(2-3) unfolding morphic_prop_def by auto
  show ?thesis
     apply (rule ring_iso_memI)
     using assms(1) eq0 ring_iso_memE(1) apply fastforce
     apply (metis assms(1) eq0 eq2 ring_iso_memE(2))
     apply (metis assms(1) eq0 eq3 ring_iso_memE(3))
     using assms(1) eq1 ring_iso_memE(4) apply fastforce
     using assms(1) bij_betw_cong eq0 ring_iso_memE(5) by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) ring_hom_imp_img_ring:
  assumes "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
```

```
shows "ring (S (| carrier := h ' (carrier R), zero := h 0 |))" (is "ring
?h_img")
proof -
  have "h \in \text{hom} (add monoid R) (add monoid S)"
    using assms unfolding hom_def ring_hom_def by auto
  hence "comm_group ((add_monoid S) (| carrier := h ' (carrier R), one
:= h \ 0 \ )"
    using add.hom_imp_img_comm_group[of h "add_monoid S"] by simp
  hence comm_group: "comm_group (add_monoid ?h_img)"
    by (auto intro: comm_monoidI simp add: monoid.defs)
  moreover have "h ∈ hom R S"
    using assms unfolding ring_hom_def hom_def by auto
  hence "monoid (S ( carrier := h ' (carrier R), one := h 1 )"
    using hom_imp_img_monoid[of h S] by simp
  hence monoid: "monoid ?h_img"
    using ring_hom_memE(4)[OF assms] unfolding monoid_def by (simp add:
monoid.defs)
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule ringI, simp_all add: comm_group_abelian_groupI[OF comm_group]
monoid)
    fix x y z assume "x ∈ h ' carrier R" "y ∈ h ' carrier R" "z ∈ h '
carrier R"
    then obtain r1 r2 r3
      where r1: "r1 ∈ carrier R" "x = h r1"
        and r2: "r2 \in carrier R" "y = h r2"
        and r3: "r3 ∈ carrier R" "z = h r3" by blast
    hence "(x \oplus_S y) \otimes_S z = h ((r1 \oplus r2) \otimes r3)"
      using ring_hom_memE[OF assms] by auto
    also have " ... = h ((r1 ⊗ r3) ⊕ (r2 ⊗ r3))"
      using l_distr[OF r1(1) r2(1) r3(1)] by simp
    also have " ... = (x \otimes_S z) \oplus_S (y \otimes_S z)"
      using ring_hom_memE[OF assms] r1 r2 r3 by auto
    finally show "(x \oplus_S y) \otimes_S z = (x \otimes_S z) \oplus_S (y \otimes_S z)".
    have "z \otimes_S (x \oplus_S y) = h (r3 \otimes (r1 \oplus r2))"
      using ring_hom_memE[OF assms] r1 r2 r3 by auto
    also have " ... = h ((r3 ⊗ r1) ⊕ (r3 ⊗ r2))"
      using r_distr[OF r1(1) r2(1) r3(1)] by simp
    also have " ... = (z \otimes_S x) \oplus_S (z \otimes_S y)"
      using ring_hom_memE[OF assms] r1 r2 r3 by auto
    finally show "z \otimes_S (x \oplus_S y) = (z \otimes_S x) \oplus_S (z \otimes_S y)".
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) ring_iso_imp_img_ring:
  assumes "h \in \text{ring} iso R S"
  shows "ring (S \mid zero := h \mid 0 \mid))"
proof -
```

```
have "ring (S (| carrier := h ' (carrier R), zero := h 0 |))"
    using ring_hom_imp_img_ring[of h S] assms unfolding ring_iso_def by
auto
 moreover have "h ' (carrier R) = carrier S"
    using assms unfolding ring_iso_def bij_betw_def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in cring) ring_iso_imp_img_cring:
  assumes "h ∈ ring_iso R S"
 shows "cring (S (| zero := h 0 |))" (is "cring ?h_img")
proof -
 note m_comm
 interpret h_img?: ring ?h_img
    using ring_iso_imp_img_ring[OF assms] .
  show ?thesis
  proof (unfold_locales)
    fix x y assume "x ∈ carrier ?h_img" "y ∈ carrier ?h_img"
    then obtain r1 r2
      where r1: "r1 ∈ carrier R" "x = h r1"
        and r2: "r2 ∈ carrier R" "y = h r2"
      using assms image_iff[where ?f = h and ?A = "carrier R"]
      unfolding ring_iso_def bij_betw_def by auto
    have "x \otimes<sub>(?h_img)</sub> y = h (r1 \otimes r2)"
      using assms r1 r2 unfolding ring_iso_def ring_hom_def by auto
    also have " ... = h (r2 ⊗ r1)"
      using m comm[OF r1(1) r2(1)] by simpalso have " ... = y \otimes (?h\_img) x"using assms r1 r2 unfolding ring_iso_def ring_hom_def by auto
    finally show "x \otimes<sub>(?h_img)</sub> y = y \otimes<sub>(?h_img)</sub> x".
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) ring_iso_imp_img_domain:
 assumes "h ∈ ring_iso R S"
 shows "domain (S ( zero := h 0 ))" (is "domain ?h img")
proof -
  note aux = m_closed integral one_not_zero one_closed zero_closed
  interpret h_img?: cring ?h_img
    using ring_iso_imp_img_cring[OF assms] .
 show ?thesis
  proof (unfold_locales)
    have "1_{?h\_img} = 0_{?h\_img} \implies h 1 = h 0"
      using ring_iso_memE(4)[OF assms] by simp
    moreover have "h 1 \neq h 0"
      using ring_iso_memE(5)[OF assms] aux(3-4)
      unfolding bij_betw_def inj_on_def by force
    ultimately show "1?h img \neq 0?h img"
      by auto
```

```
next
    fix a b
    assume A: "a \otimes_{?h} img b = 0_{?h} img" "a ∈ carrier ?h_img" "b ∈ carrier
?h_img"
    then obtain r1 r2
      where r1: "r1 ∈ carrier R" "a = h r1"
        and r2: "r2 ∈ carrier R" "b = h r2"
      using assms image iff[where ?f = h and ?A = "carrier R"]
      unfolding ring_iso_def bij_betw_def by auto
    hence "a \otimes?<sub>h_img</sub> b = h (r1 \otimes r2)"
      using assms r1 r2 unfolding ring_iso_def ring_hom_def by auto
    hence "h (r1 ⊗ r2) = h 0"
      using A(1) by simp
    hence "r1 ⊗ r2 = 0"
      using ring_iso_memE(5)[OF assms] aux(1)[OF r1(1) r2(1)] aux(5)
      unfolding bij_betw_def inj_on_def by force
    hence "r1 = 0 \lor r2 = 0"
      using aux(2)[OF _ r1(1) r2(1)] by simp
    thus "a = 0_{?h \text{ img}} \vee b = 0_{?h \text{ img}}"
      unfolding r1 r2 by auto
  qed
qed
lemma (in field) ring_iso_imp_img_field:
  assumes "h ∈ ring_iso R S"
 shows "field (S ( zero := h 0 ))" (is "field ?h img")
proof -
  interpret h_img?: domain ?h_img
    using ring_iso_imp_img_domain[OF assms] .
  show ?thesis
  proof (unfold_locales, auto simp add: Units_def)
    interpret field R using field_axioms .
    fix a assume a: "a ∈ carrier S" "a \otimes_S h 0 = 1<sub>S</sub>"
    then obtain r where r: "r \in \text{carrier } R" "a = h r"using assms image_iff[where ?f = h and ?A = "carrier R"]
      unfolding ring_iso_def bij_betw_def by auto
    have "a \otimes_S h 0 = h (r \otimes 0)" unfolding r(2)
      using ring_iso_memE(2)[OF assms r(1)] by simp
    hence "h 1 = h 0"
      using ring_iso_memE(4)[OF assms] r(1) a(2) by simp
    thus False
      using ring_iso_memE(5)[OF assms]
      unfolding bij_betw_def inj_on_def by force
  next
    interpret field R using field_axioms .
    fix s assume s: "s \in carrier S" "s \neq h 0"
    then obtain r where r: "r \in carrier R" "s = h r"
      using assms image iff[where ?f = h and ?A = "carrier R"]
      unfolding ring_iso_def bij_betw_def by auto
```

```
hence "r \neq 0" using s(2) by auto
    hence inv_r: "inv r \in carrier R" "inv r \neq 0" "r \otimes inv r = 1" "inv
r ⊗ r = 1"
      using field Units r(1) by auto
    have "h (inv r) \otimes_S h r = h 1" and "h r \otimes_S h (inv r) = h 1"
      using ring_iso_memE(2)[OF assms inv_r(1) r(1)] inv_r(3-4)ring iso memE(2)[OF assms r(1) inv r(1)] by auto
    thus "∃s' ∈ carrier S. s' \otimes_S s = 1<sub>S</sub> \wedge s \otimes_S s' = 1<sub>S</sub>"
      using ring_iso_memE(1,4)[OF assms] inv_r(1) r(2) by auto
  qed
qed
lemma ring_iso_same_card: "R \simeq S \implies card (carrier R) = card (carrier
S<sup>"</sup>
  using bij_betw_same_card unfolding is_ring_iso_def ring_iso_def by auto
lemma ring_iso_set_refl: "id \in ring_iso R R"
  by (rule ring_iso_memI) (auto)
corollary ring_iso_refl: "R \simeq R"
  using is_ring_iso_def ring_iso_set_refl by auto
lemma ring_iso_set_trans:
  "[f \in \text{ring} iso R S; g \in \text{ring} iso S Q ] \implies (g \circ f) \in \text{ring} iso R Q"
  unfolding ring_iso_def using bij_betw_trans ring_hom_trans by fastforce
corollary ring_iso_trans: "[R \simeq S; S \simeq Q] \Rightarrow R \simeq Q"
  using ring_iso_set_trans unfolding is_ring_iso_def by blast
lemma ring_iso_set_sym:
  assumes "ring R" and h: "h ∈ ring_iso R S"
  shows "(inv_into (carrier R) h) ∈ ring_iso S R"
proof -
  have h_hom: "h ∈ ring_hom R S"
    and h_surj: "h ' (carrier R) = (carrier S)"
    and h_inj: "V
x1 x2. [[ x1 ∈ carrier R; x2 ∈ carrier R ]] =⇒ h x1
= h x2 \implies x1 = x2"using h unfolding ring_iso_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def by auto
  have h_inv_bij: "bij_betw (inv_into (carrier R) h) (carrier S) (carrier
R)"
    by (simp add: bij_betw_inv_into h ring_iso_memE(5))
  have "inv into (carrier R) h \in ring hom S R"
    using ring_iso_memE [OF h] bij_betwE [OF h_inv_bij] ‹ ring R›
    by (simp add: bij_betw_imp_inj_on bij_betw_inv_into_right inv_into_f_eq
```

```
ring.ring_simprules ring_hom_memI)
  moreover have "bij_betw (inv_into (carrier R) h) (carrier S) (carrier
R)"
    using h_inv_bij by force
    ultimately show "inv_into (carrier R) h \in ring iso S R"
      by (simp add: ring_iso_def)
qed
corollary ring_iso_sym:
  assumes "ring R"
  shows "R \simeq S \implies S \simeq R"
  using assms ring_iso_set_sym unfolding is_ring_iso_def by auto
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) the_elem_simp [simp]:
  "\Lambda x. x \in carrier R \implies the_elem (h ' ((a_kernel R S h) +> x)) = h x"
proof -
  fix x assume x: "x ∈ carrier R"
  hence "h x \in h' ((a_kernel R S h) +> x)"
    using homeq_imp_rcos by blast
  thus "the_elem (h ' ((a_k + b_k) + b_k)) = h x"
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) x empty_iff homeq_imp_rcos rcos_imp_homeq
the_elem_image_unique)
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) the_elem_inj:
  "\bigwedge X Y. \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} X \in \text{carrier } (R \text{ Quot } (a \text{ } \text{kernel } R \text{ } S \text{ } h)) ; Y \in \text{ carrier } (R \text{ Quot } \text{ } R \end{array} \right](a kernel R S h)) \mathbb{I} \impliesthe elem (h ' X) = the elem (h ' Y) \implies X = Y''proof -
  fix X Y
  assume "X ∈ carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R S h))"
     and "Y ∈ carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R S h))"
     and Eq: "the_elem (h ' X) = the_elem (h ' Y)"
  then obtain x y where x: "x \in carrier R" "X = (a_kernel R S h) +> x"
                      and y: "y \in carrier R" "Y = (a_kernel R S h) +> y"
    unfolding FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def' by auto
  hence "h x = h y" using Eq by simp
  hence "x \oplus y \in (a_kernel R S h)"
    by (simp add: a_minus_def abelian_subgroup.a_rcos_module_imp
                    abelian_subgroup_a_kernel homeq_imp_rcos x(1) y(1))
  thus "X = Y"
    by (metis R.a_coset_add_inv1 R.minus_eq abelian_subgroup.a_rcos_const
         abelian_subgroup_a_kernel additive_subgroup.a_subset additive_subgroup_a_kernel
x \ y)qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) quot_mem:
  "\bigwedge X. X \in carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R S h)) \implies \exists x \in carrier R. X =
(a_k kernel R S h) \rightarrow x"
```

```
proof -
  fix X assume "X ∈ carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R S h))"
  thus "\exists x \in \text{carrier R. } X = (a_{\text{[kernel R S h]}}) \rightarrow x"
    unfolding FactRing_simps by (simp add: a_r_coset_def)
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) the_elem_wf:
  \sqrt{X}. X \in carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R S h)) \implies \exists y \in carrier S. (h '
X) = { y }"
proof -
  fix X assume "X ∈ carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R S h))"
  then obtain x where x: "x \in \text{carrier } R" and X: "X = (a \text{Kernel } R S h)+> x''using quot_mem by blast
  hence "\wedge x'. x' \in X \implies h x' = h x"proof -
    fix x' assume "x' \in X'' hence "x' \in (a \text{[kernel R S h)} \leftrightarrow x'' using X
by simp
    then obtain k where k: "k \in a_kernel R S h" "x' = k \oplus x"
      by (metis R.add.inv_closed R.add.m_assoc R.l_neg R.r_zero
           abelian_subgroup.a_elemrcos_carrier
           abelian_subgroup.a_rcos_module_imp abelian_subgroup_a_kernel
x)
    hence "h x' = h k \oplus_S h x"
      by (meson additive_subgroup.a_Hcarr additive_subgroup_a_kernel hom_add
x)
    also have " ... = h x"
      using k by (auto simp add: x)
    finally show "h x' = h x''.
  qed
  moreover have "h x ∈ h ' X"
    by (simp add: X homeq_imp_rcos x)
  ultimately have "(h \t X) = \{ h x \}"
    by blast
  thus "\exists y ∈ carrier S. (h ' X) = { y }" using x by simp
qed
corollary (in ring_hom_ring) the_elem_wf':
  \sqrt{X}. X ∈ carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R S h)) \implies \exists r \in carrier R. (h '
X) = { h r }"
  using the_elem_wf by (metis quot_mem the_elem_eq the_elem_simp)
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) the_elem_hom:
  "(\lambdaX. the_elem (h ' X)) ∈ ring_hom (R Quot (a_kernel R S h)) S"
proof (rule ring_hom_memI)
  show "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier (R Quot a_kernel R S h) \implies the_elem (h ' x)
∈ carrier S"
    using the_elem_wf by fastforce
```

```
show "the_elem (h ' 1_R Quot a_kernel R S h) = 1_S"
    unfolding FactRing_def using the_elem_simp[of "1R"] by simp
  fix X Y
  assume "X \in \text{carrier} (R Quot a kernel R S h)"
     and "Y ∈ carrier (R Quot a_kernel R S h)"
  then obtain x y where x: "x \in \text{carrier } R" "X = (a \text{ kernel } R S h) \leftrightarrow x"
                      and y: "y \in \text{carrier } R" "Y = (a_k + b_k) * b_kusing quot_mem by blast
  have "X \otimes_R Quot a kernel R S h Y = (a_kernel R S h) +> (x \otimes y)"
    by (simp add: FactRing_def ideal.rcoset_mult_add kernel_is_ideal x
y)
  thus "the_elem (h ' (X \otimesR Quot a_kernel R S h Y)) = the_elem (h ' X) \otimesS
the elem (h ' Y)"
    by (simp add: x y)
  have "X \oplus_R Quot a_kernel R S h Y = (a_kernel R S h) +> (x \oplus y)"
    using ideal.rcos_ring_hom kernel_is_ideal ring_hom_add x y by fastforce
  thus "the_elem (h ' (X \oplus_R Quot a kernel R S h Y)) = the_elem (h ' X) \oplus_Sthe_elem (h ' Y)"
    by (simp add: x y)
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) the_elem_surj:
    "(\lambdaX. (the elem (h ' X))) ' carrier (R Quot (a kernel R S h)) = (h
' (carrier R))"
proof
  show "(\lambda X. the elem (h ' X)) ' carrier (R Quot a kernel R S h) \subset h
' carrier R"
    using the_elem_wf' by fastforce
next
  show "h ' carrier R \subseteq (\lambda X. the_elem (h \land X)) ' carrier (R \text{ Quot a\_kernel})R S h<sup>"</sup>
  proof
    fix y assume "y ∈ h ' carrier R"
    then obtain x where x: "x \in carrier R" "h x = y"
      by (metis image_iff)
    hence "the_elem (h ' ((a_kernel R S h) +> x)) = y" by simp
    moreover have "(a_kernel R S h) +> x ∈ carrier (R Quot (a_kernel
R S h))"
     unfolding FactRing_simps by (auto simp add: x a_r_coset_def)
    ultimately show "y \in (\lambdaX. (the_elem (h ' X))) ' carrier (R Quot (a_kernel
R S h))" by blast
  qed
qed
proposition (in ring_hom_ring) FactRing_iso_set_aux:
  \sqrt{(\lambda X \cdot \lambda)} the_elem (h ' X)) \in ring_iso (R Quot (a_kernel R S h)) (S \parallel carrier
```

```
:= h ' (carrier R) )"
proof -
  have "bij_betw (λX. the_elem (h ' X)) (carrier (R Quot a_kernel R S
h)) (h ' (carrier R))"
    unfolding bij_betw_def inj_on_def using the_elem_surj the_elem_inj
by simp
  moreover
  have "(\lambda X. the_elem (h \land X)): carrier (R \text{ Quot } (a_k) kernel R S h)) \rightarrow h' (carrier R)"
    using the_elem_wf' by fastforce
  hence "(\lambda X. the_elem (h \land X)) \in \text{ring} hom (R \text{ Quot } (a \text{ ]} \text{ker} (R \text{ } S \text{ } h))(S \text{ (carrier := h ' (carrier R) )})"
    using the_elem_hom the_elem_wf' unfolding ring_hom_def by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding ring_iso_def using the_elem_hom by
simp
qed
theorem (in ring_hom_ring) FactRing_iso_set:
  assumes "h ' carrier R = carrier S"
  shows "(\lambda X. the_elem (h ' X)) \in \text{ring}_i so (R \text{ Quot } (a_k \text{kernel } R S h))S"
  using FactRing_iso_set_aux assms by auto
corollary (in ring_hom_ring) FactRing_iso:
  assumes "h ' carrier R = carrier S"
  shows "R Quot (a kernel R S h) \simeq S"
  using FactRing_iso_set assms is_ring_iso_def by auto
corollary (in ring) FactRing_zeroideal:
  shows "R Quot { 0 } \simeq R" and "R \simeq R Quot { 0 }"
proof -
  have "ring_hom_ring R R id"
    using ring_axioms by (auto intro: ring_hom_ringI)
  moreover have "a_kernel R R id = { 0 }"
    unfolding a_kernel_def' by auto
  ultimately show "R Quot { 0 } \simeq R" and "R \simeq R Quot { 0 }"
    using ring_hom_ring.FactRing_iso[of R R id]
          ring_iso_sym[OF ideal.quotient_is_ring[OF zeroideal], of R]
by auto
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) img_is_ring: "ring (S (| carrier := h ' (carrier
R) )"
proof -
  let ?the elem = "\lambdaX. the elem (h ' X)"
  have FactRing_is_ring: "ring (R Quot (a_kernel R S h))"
    by (simp add: ideal.quotient_is_ring kernel_is_ideal)
```

```
have "ring ((S (| carrier := ?the_elem ' (carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R
S(h)) |)( zero := ?the_elem 0_{(R\quad Quot (a kernel R S h)) )"
    using ring.ring_iso_imp_img_ring[OF FactRing_is_ring, of ?the_elem
           "S ( carrier := ?the elem ' (carrier (R Quot (a kernel R S h)))
|)"]
           FactRing_iso_set_aux the_elem_surj by auto
  moreover
  have "0 ∈ (a_kernel R S h)"
    using a_kernel_def'[of R S h] by auto
  hence "1 \in (a_kkernel R S h) +> 1"
    using a_r_coset_def'[of R "a_kernel R S h" 1] by force
  hence "1_S \in (h' ((a_k + b) + b) + b)"
    using hom_one by force
  hence "?the_elem 1_{(R \text{ Quot (a_kernel R S h))}} = 1_S"
    using the elem_wf[of "(a_kernel R S h) +> 1"] by (simp add: FactRing_def)
  moreover
  have "0_S \in (h' (a_kernel R S h))"
    using a_kernel_def'[of R S h] hom_zero by force
  hence ^{\prime\prime}0_S \in (h \cdot 0_{(R \text{ Quot } (a \text{kernel } R S h))})^{\prime\prime}by (simp add: FactRing_def)
  hence "?the_elem 0_{(R \text{ Quot (a_kernel R S h))}} = 0_S"
    using the_elem_wf[OF ring.ring_simprules(2)[OF FactRing_is_ring]]
    by (metis singletonD the_elem_eq)
  ultimately
  have "ring ((S \parallel \text{carrier} := h' (text{carrier R}))) \parallel one := 1_S, zero :=
0_S |)"
    using the_elem_surj by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by auto
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) img_is_cring:
  assumes "cring S"
  shows "cring (S \parallel \text{carrier} := h' \cdot (\text{carrier} \ R) \parallel)"
proof -
  interpret ring "S (| carrier := h ' (carrier R) |)"
    using img_is_ring .
  show ?thesis
    apply unfold_locales
    using assms unfolding cring_def comm_monoid_def comm_monoid_axioms_def
by auto
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) img_is_domain:
  assumes "domain S"
```
```
shows "domain (S ( carrier := h ' (carrier R) ()"
proof -
 interpret cring "S (| carrier := h ' (carrier R) |)"
    using img_is_cring assms unfolding domain_def by simp
 show ?thesis
    apply unfold_locales
    using assms unfolding domain_def domain_axioms_def apply auto
    using hom_closed by blast
qed
proposition (in ring_hom_ring) primeideal_vimage:
  assumes "cring R"
 shows "primeideal P S \implies primeideal { r \in carrier R. h r \in P } R"
proof -
  assume A: "primeideal P S"
  hence is_ideal: "ideal P S" unfolding primeideal_def by simp
 have "ring_hom_ring R (S Quot P) (((+>S) P) ◦ h)" (is "ring_hom_ring
?A ?B ?h")
   using ring_hom_trans[OF homh, of "(+>g) P" "S Quot P"]
          ideal.rcos_ring_hom_ring[OF is_ideal] assms
    unfolding ring_hom_ring_def ring_hom_ring_axioms_def cring_def by
simp
  then interpret hom: ring_hom_ring R "S Quot P" "((+>g) P) \circ h" by simp
 have "inj_on (λX. the_elem (?h ' X)) (carrier (R Quot (a_kernel R (S
Quot P ?h)))"
    using hom.the_elem_inj unfolding inj_on_def by simp
 moreover
 have "ideal (a_kernel R (S Quot P) ?h) R"
    using hom.kernel_is_ideal by auto
  have hom': "ring_hom_ring (R Quot (a_kernel R (S Quot P) ?h)) (S Quot
P) (\lambda X. the_elem (?h ' X))"
    using hom.the_elem_hom hom.kernel_is_ideal
    by (meson hom.ring_hom_ring_axioms ideal.rcos_ring_hom_ring ring_hom_ring_axioms_def
ring_hom_ring_def)
 ultimately
 have "primeideal (a_kernel R (S Quot P) ?h) R"
    using ring_hom_ring.inj_on_domain[OF hom'] primeideal.quotient_is_domain[OF
A]
          cring.quot_domain_imp_primeideal[OF assms hom.kernel_is_ideal]
by simp
 moreover have "a kernel R (S Quot P) ?h = { r \in carrier R. h r \in P}"
 proof
    show "a kernel R (S Quot P) ?h ⊆ { r \in carrier R. h r \in P }"
    proof
      fix r assume "r ∈ a_kernel R (S Quot P) ?h"
```

```
hence r: "r \in \text{carrier } R" "P \rightarrow_{S} (h \rceil) = P"
        unfolding a_kernel_def kernel_def FactRing_def by auto
      hence "h r \in P"
        using S.a rcosI R.l zero S.l zero additive subgroup.a subset[OF
ideal.axioms(1)[OF is ideal]]
               additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF is_ideal]]
hom_closed by metis
      thus "r ∈ { r ∈ carrier R. h r ∈ P }" using r by simp
    qed
  next
    show "{ r \in carrier R. h r \in P } \subseteq a_kernel R (S Quot P) ?h"
    proof
      fix r assume "r \in \{ r \in \text{carrier R. h } r \in P \}"
      hence r: "r \in \text{carrier } R" "h r \in P" by simp_all
      hence "?h r = P"
        by (simp add: S.a_coset_join2 additive_subgroup.a_subgroup ideal.axioms(1)
is ideal)
      thus "r \in a<sub>kernel</sub> R (S Quot P) ?h"
        unfolding a_kernel_def kernel_def FactRing_def using r(1) by auto
    qed
  qed
  ultimately show "primeideal { r \in carrier R. h r \in P } R" by simp
qed
end
```

```
theory IntRing
imports "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Primes" QuotRing Lattice
begin
```
# **28 The Ring of Integers**

#### **28.1 Some properties of** int

```
lemma dvds_eq_abseq:
  fixes k :: int
  shows "l dvd k \land k dvd l \longleftrightarrow |l| = |k|"
  by (metis dvd_if_abs_eq lcm.commute lcm_proj1_iff_int)
```
# **28.2** Z**: The Set of Integers as Algebraic Structure**

```
abbreviation int_ring :: "int ring" (\mathbb{Z}^n)where "int_ring \equiv (carrier = UNIV, mult = (*), one = 1, zero = 0, add
= (+) |"
lemma int_Zcarr [intro!, simp]: "k ∈ carrier Z"
 by simp
```

```
lemma int_is_cring: "cring Z"
proof (rule cringI)
 show "abelian_group Z"
   by (rule abelian_groupI) (auto intro: left_minus)
 show "Group.comm monoid Z"
   by (simp add: Group.monoid.intro monoid.monoid_comm_monoidI)
qed (auto simp: distrib_right)
```
# **28.3 Interpretations**

Since definitions of derived operations are global, their interpretation needs to be done as early as possible — that is, with as few assumptions as possible.

```
interpretation int: monoid Z
  rewrites "carrier \mathcal{Z} = UNIV"
    and "mult Z \times y = x * y"
    and "one Z = 1"
    and "pow Z \times n = x^n"
proof -
  — Specification
  show "monoid Z" by standard auto
  then interpret int: monoid Z.
  — Carrier
  show "carrier Z = UNIV" by simp
  — Operations
  { fix x y show "mult Z \times y = x * y" by simp }
  show "one Z = 1" by simp
  show "pow Z \times n = x^n" by (induct n) simp_all
qed
interpretation int: comm_monoid Z
  rewrites "finprod Z f A = prod f A"
proof -
  — Specification
  show "comm_monoid Z" by standard auto
  then interpret int: comm_monoid \mathcal Z .
  — Operations
  { fix x y have "mult Z \times y = x * y" by simp }
  note mult = this
  have one: "one Z = 1" by simp
  show "finprod Z f A = prod f A"
    by (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct, auto)
qed
interpretation int: abelian_monoid Z
  rewrites int_carrier_eq: "carrier \mathcal{Z} = UNIV"
    and int_zero_eq: "zero \mathcal{Z} = 0"
```

```
and int_add_eq: "add Z x y = x + y"
    and int_finsum_eq: "finsum \mathcal Z f A = sum f A"
proof -
  — Specification
  show "abelian monoid Z" by standard auto
  then interpret int: abelian_monoid Z.
  — Carrier
  show "carrier \mathcal{Z} = UNIV" by simp
  — Operations
  { fix x y show "add Z x y = x + y" by simp }
  note add = this
  show zero: "zero Z = 0"
    by simp
  show "finsum Z f A = sum f A"
    by (induct A rule: infinite_finite_induct, auto)
qed
interpretation int: abelian_group Z
  rewrites "carrier Z = UNIV"and "zero \mathcal{Z} = 0"
    and "add Z \times y = x + y"
    and "finsum Z f A = sum f A"
    and int a inv eq: "a inv \mathcal{Z} x = - x"
    and int a minus eq: "a minus Z x y = x - y"
proof -
  — Specification
  show "abelian_group Z"
  proof (rule abelian_groupI)
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ carrier Z"
    then show "∃y ∈ carrier \mathcal{Z}. y ⊕ \mathcal{Z} x = 0\mathcal{Z}"
      by simp arith
  qed auto
  then interpret int: abelian_group Z .
  — Operations
  { fix x y have "add Z x y = x + y" by simp }
  note add = this
  have zero: "zero Z = 0" by simp
  {
    fix x
    have "add \mathcal{Z} (- x) x = zero \mathcal{Z}"
      by (simp add: add zero)
    then show "a_inv Z x = - x"
      by (simp add: int.minus_equality)
  }
```

```
note a_inv = this
  show "a_minus Z \times y = x - y"
    by (simp add: int.minus_eq add a_inv)
qed (simp add: int_carrier_eq int_zero_eq int_add_eq int_finsum_eq)+
interpretation int: "domain" Z
  rewrites "carrier \mathcal{Z} = UNIV"
    and "zero \mathcal{Z} = 0"
    and "add Z \times y = x + y"
    and "finsum Z f A = sum f A"
    and "a_inv \mathcal{Z} x = - x"
    and "a_minus Z \times y = x - y"
proof -
  show "domain \mathcal{Z}"
    by unfold_locales (auto simp: distrib_right distrib_left)
qed (simp add: int_carrier_eq int_zero_eq int_add_eq int_finsum_eq int_a_inv_eq
int_a_minus_eq)+
Removal of occurrences of UNIV in interpretation result — experimental.
```

```
lemma UNIV:
  "x \in UNIV \longleftrightarrow True""A \subseteq UNIV \longleftrightarrow True""(\forall x \in \text{UNIV. P x}) \leftrightarrow (\forall x. P x)"
  "(\exists x \in UNIV. P x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. P x)""(True \longrightarrow Q) \longleftrightarrow Q"
  "(True \implies PROP R) \equiv PROP R"
  by simp_all
interpretation int :
  partial order "(|carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (<))"
  rewrites "carrier (|carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le)) = UNIV"
     and "le | \cdot |carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le) | x y = (x \ley)"
     and "lless (| \text{carrier} = \text{UNIV}::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le)) x y = (x
(y) "
proof -
  show "partial_order (|carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le))"
    by standard simp_all
  show "carrier (|carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le)) = UNIV"
    by simp
  show "le (|carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\leq)) x y = (x \leq y)"
    by simp
  show "lless (carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le)) x y = (x)(y) "
    by (simp add: lless_def) auto
qed
interpretation int :
  lattice "(|carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le))"
```

```
rewrites "join (|carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le)) x y = max
x y"
    and "meet | \text{carrier} = \text{UNIV}::int set, eq = (=), le = (\leq) | x y = min
x y"
proof -
  let ?Z = "(|</math> carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (<))"
  show "lattice ?Z"
    apply unfold_locales
    apply (simp_all add: least_def Upper_def greatest_def Lower_def)
    apply arith+
    done
  then interpret int: lattice "?Z" .
  show "join ?Z \times y = max x y"
    by (metis int.le_iff_meet iso_tuple_UNIV_I join_comm linear max.absorb_iff2
max_def)
  show "meet ?Z \times y = min x y"
    using int.meet_le int.meet_left int.meet_right by auto
qed
```

```
interpretation int :
 total_order "(|carrier = UNIV::int set, eq = (=), le = (\le))"
 by standard clarsimp
```
## **28.4 Generated Ideals of** Z

```
lemma int Idl: "Idl<sub>7</sub> {a} = {x * a | x. True}"
  by (simp_all add: cgenideal_def int.cgenideal_eq_genideal[symmetric])
lemma multiples_principalideal: "principalideal \{x * a \mid x. \text{ True } \} \mathcal{Z}^nby (metis UNIV_I int.cgenideal_eq_genideal int.cgenideal_is_principalideal
int_Idl)
lemma prime_primeideal:
  assumes prime: "Factorial_Ring.prime p"
  shows "primeideal (Idl<sub>Z</sub> {p}) \mathcal{Z}"
proof (rule primeidealI)
  show "ideal (Idl<sub>Z</sub> {p}) \mathcal{Z}"
    by (rule int.genideal_ideal, simp)
  show "cring Z"
    by (rule int_is_cring)
  have False if "UNIV = \{v: : \text{int. } \exists x. \ v = x * p\}"
  proof -
    from that
    obtain i where "1 = i * p"by (blast intro: elim: )
    then show False
       using prime by (auto simp add: abs_mult zmult_eq_1_iff)
  qed
  then show "carrier \mathcal{Z} \neq \text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}} {p}"
```
**by** (auto simp add: int.cgenideal\_eq\_genideal[symmetric] cgenideal\_def) **have** "p dvd a  $\vee$  p dvd b" **if** "a \* b = x \* p" for a b x **by** (simp add: prime prime\_dvd\_multD that) then show " $\wedge$ a b. [a ∈ carrier  $\mathcal{Z}$ ; b ∈ carrier  $\mathcal{Z}$ ; a  $\otimes_{\mathcal{Z}}$  b ∈ Idl $_{\mathcal{Z}}$  {p}]  $\Rightarrow$  a  $\in$  Idl<sub>Z</sub> {p}  $\vee$  b  $\in$  Idl<sub>Z</sub> {p}" **by** (auto simp add: int.cgenideal\_eq\_genideal[symmetric] cgenideal\_def dvd\_def mult.commute)

## **qed**

# **28.5 Ideals and Divisibility**

**lemma** int\_Idl\_subset\_ideal: "Idl $\chi$  {k}  $\subseteq$  Idl $\chi$  {l} = (k  $\in$  Idl $\chi$  {l})" **by** (rule int.Idl\_subset\_ideal') simp\_all lemma Idl\_subset\_eq\_dvd: "Idl<sub>Z</sub> {k}  $\subseteq$  Idl<sub>Z</sub> {l}  $\longleftrightarrow$  1 dvd k" **by** (subst int\_Idl\_subset\_ideal) (auto simp: dvd\_def int\_Idl) **lemma** dvds\_eq\_Idl: "l dvd k  $\land$  k dvd l  $\longleftrightarrow$  Idl<sub>Z</sub> {k} = Idl<sub>Z</sub> {1}" **proof** have a: "l dvd k  $\longleftrightarrow$  (Idl<sub>Z</sub> {k}  $\subseteq$  Idl<sub>Z</sub> {l})" **by** (rule Idl\_subset\_eq\_dvd[symmetric]) have b: "k dvd  $1 \leftrightarrow (\text{Idl}_{Z} \{1\} \subseteq \text{Idl}_{Z} \{k\})$ " **by** (rule Idl\_subset\_eq\_dvd[symmetric]) **have** "l dvd k  $\land$  k dvd l  $\longleftrightarrow$  Idl $\chi$  {k}  $\subseteq$  Idl $\chi$  {l}  $\land$  Idl $\chi$  {l}  $\subseteq$  Idl $\chi$ {k}" **by** (subst a, subst b, simp) also have "Idl<sub>Z</sub> {k} ⊆ Idl<sub>Z</sub> {l} ∧ Idl<sub>Z</sub> {l} ⊆ Idl<sub>Z</sub> {k}  $\longleftrightarrow$  Idl<sub>Z</sub> {k} =  $\text{Idl}_{\mathcal{Z}}$   $\{1\}$ " **by** blast **finally show** ?thesis **. qed** lemma Idl\_eq\_abs: "Idl<sub>Z</sub> {k} = Idl<sub>Z</sub> {l}  $\longleftrightarrow$  |l| = |k|" **apply** (subst dvds\_eq\_abseq[symmetric]) **apply** (rule dvds\_eq\_Idl[symmetric])

#### **28.6 Ideals and the Modulus**

**done**

definition ZMod :: "int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int set" where "ZMod k r =  $(\text{Idl}\nsim {\{k\}})$  +> $\nsim$  r" **lemmas** ZMod\_defs = ZMod\_def genideal\_def **lemma** rcos\_zfact: **assumes** kIl: "k ∈ ZMod l r" shows " $\exists x. k = x * 1 + r$ " **proof** -

```
from kIl[unfolded ZMod_def] have "\exists x l \in Idl \neq \{l\}. k = xl + r"
    by (simp add: a_r_coset_defs)
  then obtain xl where xl: "xl \in Idl<sub>Z</sub> {l}" and k: "k = xl + r"
    by auto
  from xl obtain x where "xl = x * l"
    by (auto simp: int_Idl)
  with k have "k = x * 1 + r"
    by simp
  then show "∃x. k = x * 1 + r" ..
qed
lemma ZMod_imp_zmod:
  assumes zmods: "ZMod m a = ZMod m b"
  shows "a mod m = b mod m"
proof -
  interpret ideal "Idl<sub>Z</sub> \{m\}" Z
    by (rule int.genideal_ideal) fast
  from zmods have "b ∈ ZMod m a"
    unfolding ZMod_def by (simp add: a_repr_independenceD)
  then have "\exists x. b = x * m + a"by (rule rcos_zfact)
  then obtain x where \mathbb{I} b = x * \mathbb{I} + aby fast
  then have "b mod m = (x * m + a) mod m"
    by simp
  also have "... = ((x * m) mod m) + (a mod m)"
    by (simp add: mod_add_eq)
  also have \cdots = a mod m<sup>"</sup>
    by simp
  finally have "b mod m = a mod m" .
  then show "a mod m = b mod m" ..
qed
lemma ZMod_mod: "ZMod m a = ZMod m (a mod m)"
proof -
  interpret ideal "Idl<sub>Z</sub> \{m\}" Z
    by (rule int.genideal_ideal) fast
  show ?thesis
    unfolding ZMod_def
    apply (rule a_repr_independence'[symmetric])
    apply (simp add: int_Idl a_r_coset_defs)
  proof -
    have "a = m * (a div m) + (a mod m)"
      by (simp add: mult_div_mod_eq [symmetric])
    then have "a = (a \div m) * m + (a \mod m)"
      by simp
    then show "∃h. (\exists x. h = x * m) \land a = h + a mod m"
      by fast
  qed simp
```
**qed**

```
lemma zmod_imp_ZMod:
  assumes modeq: "a mod m = b mod m"
  shows "ZMod m a = ZMod m b"
proof -
  have "ZMod m a = ZMod m (a mod m)"
    by (rule ZMod_mod)
  also have "\ldots = ZMod m (b mod m)"
    by (simp add: modeq[symmetric])
  also have ". . . = ZMod m b"
    by (rule ZMod_mod[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
corollary ZMod_eq_mod: "ZMod m a = ZMod m b \longleftrightarrow a mod m = b mod m"
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (erule ZMod_imp_zmod)
  apply (erule zmod_imp_ZMod)
  done
28.7 Factorization
definition ZFact :: "int ⇒ int set ring"
  where "ZFact k = \mathcal{Z} Quot (Idl<sub>\mathcal{Z}</sub> {k})"
lemmas ZFact_defs = ZFact_def FactRing_def
lemma ZFact_is_cring: "cring (ZFact k)"
  by (simp add: ZFact_def ideal.quotient_is_cring int.cring_axioms int.genideal_ideal)
lemma ZFact_zero: "carrier (ZFact 0) = (\bigcupa. {{a}})"
  by (simp add: ZFact_defs A_RCOSETS_defs r_coset_def int.genideal_zero)
lemma ZFact_one: "carrier (ZFact 1) = {UNIV}"
  unfolding ZFact_defs A_RCOSETS_defs r_coset_def ring_record_simps int.genideal_one
proof
  have "\bigwedge a b::int. \exists x. b = x + a"
    by presburger
  then show "(\bigcup a::int. \{\bigcup h. {h + a}}) \subseteq {UNIV}"
    by force
  then show "\{UNIV\} \subseteq (\bigcup a::int. \{\bigcup h. \{h + a\}\})"
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) UNIV_I UN_I singletonD singletonI subset_iff)
qed
lemma ZFact_prime_is_domain:
  assumes pprime: "Factorial_Ring.prime p"
  shows "domain (ZFact p)"
```
**by** (simp add: ZFact\_def pprime prime\_primeideal primeideal.quotient\_is\_domain)

**theory** Weak\_Morphisms **imports** QuotRing

**begin**

**end**

# **29 Weak Morphisms**

The definition of ring isomorphism, as well as the definition of group isomorphism, doesn't enforce any algebraic constraint to the structure of the schemes involved. This seems unnatural, but it turns out to be very useful: in order to prove that a scheme B satisfy certain algebraic constraints, it's sufficient to prove those for a scheme A and show the existence of an isomorphism between A and B. In this section, we explore this idea in a different way: given a scheme A and a function f, we build a scheme B with an algebraic structure of same strength as A where f is an homomorphism from A to B.

#### **29.1 Definitions**

```
locale weak_group_morphism = normal H G for f and H and G (structure)
+
  assumes inj_mod_subgroup: "[[ a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G ]] =⇒ f a
= f b \leftrightarrow a \otimes (inv b) \in H''locale weak_ring_morphism = ideal I R for f and I and R (structure) +
  assumes inj_mod_ideal: "[ \nightharpoonup a \in \text{carrier R}; b \in \text{carrier R} ] \Rightarrow f a =f b \longleftrightarrow a \ominus b \in I''definition image group :: "('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) monoid scheme \Rightarrow 'b monoid"
  where "image group f G \equiv\int carrier = f \int (carrier G),
                  mult = (\lambdaa b. f ((inv_into (carrier G) f a) \otimes<sub>G</sub> (inv_into
(carrier G) f b))),
                    one = f 1_G |"
definition image_ring :: "('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) ring_scheme \Rightarrow 'b ring"
  where "image_ring f R \equiv monoid.extend (image_group f R)
             | zero = f 0_R,
                 add = (\lambdaa b. f ((inv_into (carrier R) f a) \oplus_R (inv_into
(carrier R) f b))) |"
```
#### **29.2 Weak Group Morphisms**

```
lemma image_group_carrier: "carrier (image_group f G) = f ' (carrier
G)"
  unfolding image_group_def by simp
lemma image_group_one: "one (image_group f G) = f 1<sub>G</sub>"
  unfolding image_group_def by simp
lemma weak_group_morphismsI:
  assumes "H \triangleleft G" and "\wedgea b. [a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G ] \implies f
a = f b \leftrightarrow a \otimes_G (inv_G b) \in H''shows "weak_group_morphism f H G"
  using assms unfolding weak_group_morphism_def weak_group_morphism_axioms_def
by auto
lemma image_group_truncate:
  fixes R :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme"
  shows "monoid.truncate (image_group f R) = image_group f (monoid.truncate
R)"
  by (simp add: image_group_def monoid.defs)
lemma image_ring_truncate: "monoid.truncate (image_ring f R) = image_group
f R"
  by (simp add: image_ring_def monoid.defs)
lemma (in ring) weak_add_group_morphism:
  assumes "weak_ring_morphism f I R" shows "weak_group_morphism f I (add_monoid
R)"
proof -
  have is normal: "I \triangleleft (add monoid R)"
    using ideal_is_normal[OF weak_ring_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms]]
.
  show ?thesis
    using weak_group_morphism.intro[OF is_normal]
          weak_ring_morphism.inj_mod_ideal[OF assms]
    unfolding weak_group_morphism_axioms_def a_minus_def a_inv_def
    by auto
qed
lemma (in group) weak_group_morphism_range:
  assumes "weak_group_morphism f H G" and "a ∈ carrier G" shows "f '
(H \# > a) = \{ f a \}"
proof -
  interpret H: subgroup H G
    using weak_group_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms(1)] unfolding normal_def
by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show "{ f a } ⊆ f ' (H #> a)"
```

```
using H.one_closed assms(2) unfolding r_coset_def by force
 next
    show "f ' (H #> a) ⊆ { f a }"
    proof
      fix b assume "b \in f ' (H \# a)" then obtain h where "h \in H" "h
∈ carrier G" "b = f (h ⊗ a)"
        unfolding r_coset_def using H.subset by auto
      thus "b \in \{ f a \}"
        using weak_group_morphism.inj_mod_subgroup[OF assms(1)] assms(2)
        by (metis inv solve right m closed singleton iff)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) vimage_eq_rcoset:
 assumes "weak_group_morphism f H G" and "a ∈ carrier G"
 shows "{ b \in carrier G. f b = f a } = H #> a" and "{ b \in carrier G.
f b = f a } = a \leq f H"
proof -
  interpret H: normal H G
    using weak_group_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms(1)] by simp
 show "{ b ∈ carrier G. f b = f a } = H #> a"
 proof
    show "H #> a \subseteq \{ b \in carrier G. f b = f a \}"
      using r_coset_subset_G[OF H.subset assms(2)] weak_group_morphism_range[OF
assms] by auto
 next
    show "{ b \in carrier G. f b = f a } \subset H #> a"
    proof
      fix b assume b: "b \in \{ b \in \text{carrier } G. \text{ } f \text{ } b = f \text{ } a \text{ } \}" then obtain
h where "h \in H" "b \otimes (inv a) = h"
        using weak_group_morphism.inj_mod_subgroup[OF assms(1)] assms(2)
by fastforce
      thus "b \in H \neq a"
        using H.rcos_module[OF is_group] b assms(2) by blast
    qed
 qed
 thus "{ b ∈ carrier G. f b = f a } = a \leq# H"
    by (simp add: assms(2) H.coset_eq)
qed
lemma (in group) weak_group_morphism_ker:
 assumes "weak_group_morphism f H G" shows "kernel G (image_group f
G) f = H"
 using vimage_eq_rcoset(1)[OF assms one_closed] weak_group_morphism.axioms(1)[OF
assms(1)]by (simp add: image_group_def kernel_def normal_def subgroup.subset)
lemma (in group) weak_group_morphism_inv_into:
```

```
assumes "weak_group_morphism f H G" and "a ∈ carrier G"
  obtains h h' where "h ∈ H" "inv_into (carrier G) f (f a) = h ⊗ a"
                  and "h' ∈ H" "inv_into (carrier G) f (f a) = a \otimes h'"
proof -
  have "inv into (carrier G) f (f a) \in { b \in carrier G. f b = f a }"
    using assms(2) by (auto simp add: inv_into_into f_inv_into_f)
  thus thesis
    using that vimage eq rcoset [OF assms] unfolding r coset def 1 coset def
by blast
qed
proposition (in group) weak_group_morphism_is_iso:
  assumes "weak_group_morphism f H G" shows "(\lambda x. the_elem (f ' x)) \iniso (G Mod H) (image_group f G)"
proof (auto simp add: iso_def hom_def image_group_def)
  interpret H: normal H G
    using weak_group_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms] .
  \texttt{show}\text{ ``\texttt{}/\texttt{X}}.\text{ $x\in$ carrier $(\texttt{G} \text{ Mod }\texttt{H}$) $\Longrightarrow$ the\_elem$ $(\texttt{f}\text{ ``x}$) $\in$ f\text{ ``carrier}$ }G''unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def using weak_group_morphism_range[OF
assms] by auto
  thus "bij_betw (λx. the_elem (f ' x)) (carrier (G Mod H)) (f ' carrier
G)"
    unfolding bij_betw_def
  proof (auto)
    fix a assume "a ∈ carrier G"
    hence "the_elem (f ' (H #> a)) = f a" and "H #> a \in carrier (G Mod
H)"
      using weak_group_morphism_range[OF assms] unfolding FactGroup_def
RCOSETS_def by auto
    thus "f a \in (\lambda x. the_elem (f ' x)) ' carrier (G Mod H)"
      using image_iff by fastforce
  next
    show "inj_on (\lambda x. the_elem (f (x)) (carrier (G Mod H))"
    proof (rule inj_onI)
      fix x y assume "x \in (carrier (G Mod H))" and "y \in (carrier (G Mod
H))"
      then obtain a b where a: "a ∈ carrier G" "x = H #> a" and b: "b
∈ carrier G" "y = H #> b"
        unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def by auto
      assume "the_elem (f' x) = the-elem (f' y)"
      hence "a ⊗ (inv b) ∈ H"
        using weak_group_morphism.inj_mod_subgroup[OF assms]
               weak_group_morphism_range[OF assms] a b by auto
      thus "x = v"using a(1) b(1) unfolding a b
        by (meson H.rcos_const H.subset group.coset_mult_inv1 is_group)
```
**qed qed**

```
fix x y assume "x \in carrier (G Mod H)" "y \in carrier (G Mod H)"
  then obtain a b where a: "a \in carrier G" "x = H #> a" and b: "b \incarrier G''''v = H \# b"
    unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def by auto
 show "the_elem (f (x \iff y)) = f (inv\_into (carrier G) f (the\_elem(f' (x)) ⊗
                                      inv_into (carrier G) f (the_elem
(f' (y)))"
 proof (simp add: weak_group_morphism_range[OF assms] a b)
    obtain h1 h2
      where h1: "h1 \in H" "inv_into (carrier G) f (f a) = a \otimes h1"
        and h2: "h2 \in H" "inv into (carrier G) f (f b) = h2 \otimes b"
      using weak_group_morphism_inv_into[OF assms] a(1) b(1) by metis
    have "the_elem (f ' ((H #> a) <#> (H #> b))) = the_elem (f ' (H #>
(a \otimes b))"
      by (simp add: a b H.rcos_sum)
    hence "the_elem (f ' ((H #> a) <#> (H #> b))) = f (a ⊗ b)"
      using weak_group_morphism_range[OF assms] a(1) b(1) by auto
    moreover
    have "(a ⊗ h1) ⊗ (h2 ⊗ b) = a ⊗ (h1 ⊗ h2 ⊗ b)"
      by (simp add: a(1) b(1) h1(1) h2(1) H.subset m_assoc)
   hence "(a ⊗ h1) ⊗ (h2 ⊗ b) ∈ a <# (H #> b)"
      using h1(1) h2(1) unfolding 1 coset def r coset def by auto
    hence "(a ⊗ h1) ⊗ (h2 ⊗ b) ∈ (a ⊗ b) <# H"
      by (simp add: H.subset H.coset_eq a(1) b(1) lcos_m_assoc)
    hence "f (inv_into (carrier G) f (f a) ⊗ inv_into (carrier G) f (f
b)) = f (a \otimes b)"
      using vimage_eq_rcoset(2)[OF assms] a(1) b(1) unfolding h1 h2 by
auto
    ultimately
    show "the_elem (f ' ((H #> a) <#> (H #> b))) = f (inv_into (carrier
G) f (f a) ⊗
                                                       inv_into (carrier
G) f (f b)"
      by simp
  qed
qed
corollary (in group) image_group_is_group:
 assumes "weak_group_morphism f H G" shows "group (image_group f G)"
proof -
 interpret H: normal H G
    using weak_group_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms] .
 have "group ((image_group f G) (| one := the_elem (f ' 1_G Mod H) ||)"
```

```
using group.iso_imp_img_group[OF H.factorgroup_is_group weak_group_morphism_is_iso[OF
assms]] .
 moreover have "1_G Mod H = H \neq 1"
    unfolding FactGroup_def using H.subset by force
  hence "the elem (f ' 1_G Mod H) = f 1"
    using weak_group_morphism_range[OF assms one_closed] by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: image_group_def)
qed
corollary (in group) weak_group_morphism_is_hom:
 assumes "weak_group_morphism f H G" shows "f ∈ hom G (image_group f
G)"
proof -
 interpret H: normal H G
    using weak_group_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms] .
 have the_elem_hom: "(λx. the_elem (f ' x)) ∈ hom (G Mod H) (image_group
f G)"
    using weak_group_morphism_is_iso[OF assms] by (simp add: iso_def)
  have hom: "(\lambda x. the elem (f ' x)) \circ (#>) H \in hom G (image_group f G)"
    using hom_compose[OF H.r_coset_hom_Mod the_elem_hom]
    using Group.hom_compose H.r_coset_hom_Mod the_elem_hom by blast
  have restrict: "V
a. a ∈ carrier G =⇒ ((λx. the_elem (f ' x)) ◦ (#>)
H) a = f a''using weak_group_morphism_range[OF assms] by auto
 show ?thesis
    using hom_restrict[OF hom restrict] by simp
qed
corollary (in group) weak_group_morphism_group_hom:
 assumes "weak_group_morphism f H G" shows "group_hom G (image_group
f G) f''using image_group_is_group[OF assms] weak_group_morphism_is_hom[OF assms]
group_axioms
  unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def by simp
29.3 Weak Ring Morphisms
lemma image_ring_carrier: "carrier (image_ring f R) = f ' (carrier R)"
  unfolding image_ring_def image_group_def by (simp add: monoid.defs)
lemma image_ring_one: "one (image_ring f R) = f 1_R"
  unfolding image_ring_def image_group_def by (simp add: monoid.defs)
lemma image ring zero: "zero (image ring f R) = f 0_R"
```

```
lemma weak_ring_morphismI:
   \text{assumes "ideal I R" and "}{\texttt{A}} b. \llbracket a \in carrier R; \texttt{b} \in \texttt{carrier R} \rrbracket \implies
```
**unfolding** image\_ring\_def image\_group\_def **by** (simp add: monoid.defs)

```
f a = f b \longleftrightarrow a \ominus_R b \in I"
  shows "weak_ring_morphism f I R"
  using assms unfolding weak_ring_morphism_def weak_ring_morphism_axioms_def
by auto
lemma (in ring) weak_ring_morphism_range:
  assumes "weak ring morphism f I R" and "a \in carrier R" shows "f '
(I \leftrightarrow a) = \{ f a \}"
  using add.weak_group_morphism_range[OF weak_add_group_morphism[OF assms(1)]
assms(2)]
  unfolding a_r_coset_def .
lemma (in ring) vimage_eq_a_rcoset:
  assumes "weak_ring_morphism f I R" and "a ∈ carrier R" shows "{ b
\in carrier R. f b = f a } = I +> a"
  using add.vimage eq rcoset [OF weak add group morphism [OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
  unfolding a_r_coset_def by simp
lemma (in ring) weak_ring_morphism_ker:
  assumes "weak_ring_morphism f I R" shows "a_kernel R (image_ring f
R) f = I"
  using add.weak_group_morphism_ker[OF weak_add_group_morphism[OF assms]]
  unfolding kernel_def a_kernel_def' image_ring_def image_group_def by
(simp add: monoid.defs)
lemma (in ring) weak_ring_morphism_inv_into:
  assumes "weak ring morphism f I R" and "a \in carrier R"
  obtains i where "i \in I" "inv into (carrier R) f (f a) = i \oplus a"
  using add.weak group morphism inv into(1)[OF weak add group morphism[OF
assms(1)] assms(2)] by auto
proposition (in ring) weak_ring_morphism_is_iso:
  assumes "weak_ring_morphism f I R" shows "(λx. the_elem (f ' x)) ∈
ring_iso (R Quot I) (image_ring f R)"
proof (rule ring_iso_memI)
  show "bij_betw (\lambda x. the_elem (f \t' x)) (carrier (R \t Quot I)) (carrier
(image_ring f R))"
   and add\_hom: " \bigwedge x \; y. \; [ \; x \in carrier \; (R \; Quot \; I); \; y \in carrier \; (R \; Quot \; I).I) \Rightarrowthe_elem (f ' (x \oplus_R Quot I y)) = the_elem (f ' x) \oplus image ring f R
the_elem (f' y)"
    using add.weak_group_morphism_is_iso[OF weak_add_group_morphism[OF
assms]]
    unfolding iso def hom def FactGroup def FactRing def A RCOSETS def
set add def
    by (auto simp add: image_ring_def image_group_def monoid.defs)
next
  interpret I: ideal I R
    using weak_ring_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms] .
```

```
show "the_elem (f ' 1_R Quot I) = 1_{\text{image\_ring}} f R"
   and "\x. x ∈ carrier (R Quot I) = the_elem (f ' x) ∈ carrier (image_ring
f R)"
    using weak_ring_morphism_range[OF assms] one_closed I.Icarr
    by (auto simp add: image_ring_def image_group_def monoid.defs FactRing_def
A RCOSETS def')
  fix x y assume "x ∈ carrier (R Quot I)" "y ∈ carrier (R Quot I)"
  then obtain a b where a: "a ∈ carrier R''''x = I +> a" and b: "b ∈
carrier R''''y = I \leftrightarrow b''unfolding FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def' by auto
  hence prod: "x \otimes_R Quot I y = I +> (a \otimes b)"
    unfolding FactRing_def by (simp add: I.rcoset_mult_add)
  show "the_elem (f ' (x \otimes_R Quot I y)) = the_elem (f ' x) \otimes<sub>image_ring f R</sub>
the_elem (f' y)"
    unfolding prod
  proof (simp add: weak_ring_morphism_range[OF assms] a b image_ring_def
image_group_def monoid.defs)
    obtain i j
      where i: "i \in I" "inv_into (carrier R) f (f a) = i \oplus a"
        and j: "j \in I" "inv_into (carrier R) f (f b) = j \oplus b"
      using weak_ring_morphism_inv_into[OF assms] a(1) b(1) by metis
    have "i ∈ carrier R" and "j ∈ carrier R"
      using I.Icarr i(1) j(1) by auto
    hence "(i \oplus a) \otimes (j \oplus b) = (i \oplus a) \otimes j \oplus (i \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes b)"
      using a(1) b(1) by algebra
    hence "(i \oplus a) \otimes (j \oplus b) \in I \rightarrow (a \otimes b)"
      using i(1) j(1) a(1) b(1) unfolding a_r coset_def'
      by (simp add: I.I_l_closed I.I_r_closed)
    thus "f (a \otimes b) = f (inv_into (carrier R) f (f a) \otimes inv_into (carrier
R) f (f b))"
      unfolding i j using weak_ring_morphism_range[OF assms m_closed[OF
a(1) b(1)]]
      by (metis imageI singletonD)
  qed
qed
corollary (in ring) image_ring_zero':
  assumes "weak_ring_morphism f I R" shows "the_elem (f ' 0_{R} 0_{N} 1)
= 0image_ring f R"
proof -
  interpret I: ideal I R
    using weak_ring_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms] .
  have "0_R _{Quot} I = I \leftrightarrow 0"
    unfolding FactRing_def a_r_coset_def' by force
  thus ?thesis
```

```
using weak_ring_morphism_range[OF assms zero_closed] unfolding image_ring_zero
by simp
qed
corollary (in ring) image_ring_is_ring:
  assumes "weak_ring_morphism f I R" shows "ring (image_ring f R)"
proof -
 interpret I: ideal I R
    using weak_ring_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms] .
 have "ring ((image_ring f R) (| zero := the_elem (f ' 0_R _{Quot} I) ||)"
    using ring.ring_iso_imp_img_ring[OF I.quotient_is_ring weak_ring_morphism_is_iso[OF
assms]] by simp
 thus ?thesis
    unfolding image_ring_zero'[OF assms] by simp
qed
corollary (in ring) image_ring_is_field:
  assumes "weak_ring_morphism f I R" and "field (R Quot I)" shows "field
(image_ring f R)"
  using field.ring_iso_imp_img_field[OF assms(2) weak_ring_morphism_is_iso[OF
assms(1)]]
 unfolding image_ring_zero'[OF assms(1)] by simp
corollary (in ring) weak_ring_morphism_is_hom:
 assumes "weak ring morphism f I R" shows "f \in ring hom R (image ring
f R)"
proof -
 interpret I: ideal I R
    using weak_ring_morphism.axioms(1)[OF assms] .
 have the_elem_hom: "(λx. the_elem (f ' x)) ∈ ring_hom (R Quot I) (image_ring
f(R)"
    using weak_ring_morphism_is_iso[OF assms] by (simp add: ring_iso_def)
 have ring_hom: "(\lambda x. the_elem (f ' x)) \circ (+>) I \in ring_hom R (image_ring
f R)"
    using ring_hom_trans[OF I.rcos_ring_hom the_elem_hom] .
  have restrict: "\bigwedgea. a \in carrier R \implies ((\lambda x. the_elem (f ' x)) \circ (+)I) a = f a''using weak_ring_morphism_range[OF assms] by auto
 show ?thesis
    using ring_hom_restrict[OF ring_hom restrict] by simp
qed
corollary (in ring) weak_ring_morphism_ring_hom:
 assumes "weak_ring_morphism f I R" shows "ring_hom_ring R (image_ring
f R) f"
  using ring hom ringI2[OF ring axioms image ring is ring[OF assms] weak ring morphism is h
assms]] .
```

```
486
```
#### **29.4 Injective Functions**

If the fuction is injective, we don't need to impose any algebraic restriction to the input scheme in order to state an isomorphism.

```
lemma inj_imp_image_group_iso:
  assumes "inj on f (carrier G)" shows "f \in iso G (image group f G)"
  using assms by (auto simp add: image_group_def iso_def bij_betw_def
hom def)
lemma inj_imp_image_group_inv_iso:
 assumes "inj f" shows "Hilbert_Choice.inv f ∈ iso (image_group f G)
G''using assms by (auto simp add: image_group_def iso_def bij_betw_def
hom_def inj_on_def)
lemma inj_imp_image_ring_iso:
  assumes "inj_on f (carrier R)" shows "f ∈ ring_iso R (image_ring f
R)"
 using assms by (auto simp add: image_ring_def image_group_def ring_iso_def
                                bij betw def ring hom def monoid.defs)
lemma inj_imp_image_ring_inv_iso:
 assumes "inj f" shows "Hilbert_Choice.inv f ∈ ring_iso (image_ring
f R) R"
 using assms by (auto simp add: image_ring_def image_group_def ring_iso_def
                                bij betw def ring hom def inj on def
monoid.defs)
lemma (in group) inj_imp_image_group_is_group:
 assumes "inj_on f (carrier G)" shows "group (image_group f G)"
  using iso_imp_img_group[OF inj_imp_image_group_iso[OF assms]] by (simp
add: image_group_def)
lemma (in ring) inj_imp_image_ring_is_ring:
  assumes "inj_on f (carrier R)" shows "ring (image_ring f R)"
  using ring_iso_imp_img_ring[OF inj_imp_image_ring_iso[OF assms]]
  by (simp add: image_ring_def image_group_def monoid.defs)
lemma (in domain) inj_imp_image_ring_is_domain:
 assumes "inj_on f (carrier R)" shows "domain (image_ring f R)"
  using ring_iso_imp_img_domain[OF inj_imp_image_ring_iso[OF assms]]
  by (simp add: image_ring_def image_group_def monoid.defs)
lemma (in field) inj_imp_image_ring_is_field:
  assumes "inj_on f (carrier R)" shows "field (image_ring f R)"
  using ring iso imp_img_field[OF inj_imp_image_ring_iso[OF assms]]
  by (simp add: image_ring_def image_group_def monoid.defs)
```
# **30 Examples**

In a lot of different contexts, the lack of dependent types make some definitions quite complicated. The tools developed in this theory give us a way to change the type of a scheme and preserve all of its algebraic properties. We show, in this section, how to make use of this feature in order to solve the problem mentioned above.

# **30.1 Direct Product**

```
abbreviation nil_monoid :: "('a list) monoid"
  where "nil_monoid \equiv (| carrier = { [] }, mult = (\lambda a b. []), one = []
\mathbb{R}definition DirProd_list :: "(('a, 'b) monoid_scheme) list \Rightarrow ('a list)
monoid"
  where "DirProd_list Gs = foldr (\lambdaG H. image_group (\lambda(x, xs). x # xs)
(G \times \times H) Gs nil_monoid"
```
# **30.1.1 Basic Properties**

```
lemma DirProd_list_carrier:
 shows "carrier (DirProd list (G # Gs)) = (\lambda(x, xs), x # xs) ' (carrier
G \times carrier (DirProd_list Gs))"
  unfolding DirProd_list_def image_group_def by auto
lemma DirProd_list_one:
 shows "one (DirProd list Gs) = foldr (\lambdaG tl. (one G) # tl) Gs []"
  unfolding DirProd_list_def DirProd_def image_group_def by (induct Gs)
(auto)
lemma DirProd_list_carrier_mem:
 assumes "gs ∈ carrier (DirProd_list Gs)"
  \frac{1}{2} shows "length gs = length Gs" and "\bigwedgei. i < length Gs \implies (gs ! i)
\in carrier (Gs ! i)"
proof -
 let ?same_length = "\lambdaxs ys. length xs = length ys"
 let ?in carrier = "\lambdai gs Gs. (gs ! i) ∈ carrier (Gs ! i)"
  from assms have "?same_length gs Gs ∧ (∀ i < length Gs. ?in_carrier
i gs Gs)"
 proof (induct Gs arbitrary: gs, simp add: DirProd_list_def)
    case (Cons G Gs)
    then obtain g' gs'
      where g': "g' ∈ carrier G" and gs': "gs' ∈ carrier (DirProd_list
Gs)" and gs: "gs = g' # gs''unfolding DirProd_list_carrier by auto
    hence "?same_length gs (G # Gs)" and "
V
i. i ∈ {(Suc 0)..< length
(G # Gs) \Rightarrow ?in_carrier i gs (G # Gs)"
      using Cons(1) by auto
```

```
moreover have "?in_carrier 0 gs (G # Gs)"
      unfolding gs using g' by simp
    ultimately show ?case
      by (metis atLeastLessThan_iff eq_imp_le less_Suc0 linorder_neqE_nat
nat_less_le)
 qed
  thus "?same_length gs Gs" and "
V
i. i < length Gs =⇒ ?in_carrier i
gs Gs"
    by simp+
qed
lemma DirProd_list_carrier_memI:
  \text{assumes} "length gs = length Gs" and "\text{A}i. i < length Gs \implies (gs ! i)
∈ carrier (Gs ! i)"
 shows "gs ∈ carrier (DirProd_list Gs)"
  using assms
proof (induct Gs arbitrary: gs, simp add: DirProd_list_def)
 case (Cons G Gs)
  then obtain g' gs' where gs: "gs = g' # gs'"
    by (metis length_Suc_conv)
 have "g' ∈ carrier G"
    using Cons(3)[of 0] unfolding gs by auto
  moreover have "gs' ∈ carrier (DirProd_list Gs)"
    using Cons unfolding gs by force
  ultimately show ?case
    unfolding DirProd_list_carrier gs by blast
qed
lemma inj_on_DirProd_carrier:
 shows "inj_on (\lambda(g, gs). g # gs) (carrier (G \times \times (DirProd_list Gs)))"
  unfolding DirProd_def inj_on_def by auto
lemma DirProd_list_is_group:
  assumes "
V
i. i < length Gs =⇒ group (Gs ! i)" shows "group (DirProd_list
Gs)"
  using assms
proof (induct Gs)
 case Nil thus ?case
    unfolding DirProd_list_def by (unfold_locales, auto simp add: Units_def)
next
  case (Cons G Gs)
 hence is_group: "group (G ×× (DirProd_list Gs))"
    using DirProd_group[of G "DirProd_list Gs"] by force
 show ?case
    using group.inj_imp_image_group_is_group[OF is_group inj_on_DirProd_carrier]
    unfolding DirProd_list_def by auto
qed
```

```
lemma DirProd_list_iso:
```

```
"(\lambda(g, gs), g # gs) \in iso (G \times \times (DirProd_list Gs)) (DirProd_list (G
# Gs))"
  using inj_imp_image_group_iso[OF inj_on_DirProd_carrier] unfolding DirProd_list_def
by auto
end
theory Ring_Divisibility
imports Ideal Divisibility QuotRing Multiplicative_Group
begin
definition mult_of :: "('a, 'b) ring_scheme \Rightarrow 'a monoid" where
  "mult_of R \equiv ( carrier = carrier R - \{0_R\}, mult = mult R, one = 1_R)"
lemma carrier_mult_of [simp]: "carrier (mult_of R) = carrier R - {0_R}"
  by (simp add: mult_of_def)
lemma mult_mult_of [simp]: "mult (mult_of R) = mult R"
by (simp add: mult_of_def)
lemma nat_pow_mult_of: "([^]<sub>mult_of R</sub>) = (([^]<sub>R</sub>) :: _ \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow _)"
  by (simp add: mult_of_def fun_eq_iff nat_pow_def)
lemma one_mult_of [simp]: "1_{mult} of R = 1_R"
  by (simp add: mult_of_def)
```
# **31 The Arithmetic of Rings**

In this section we study the links between the divisibility theory and that of rings

# **31.1 Definitions**

```
locale factorial_domain = domain + factorial_monoid "mult_of R"
locale noetherian_ring = ring +
 assumes finetely_gen: "ideal I R =⇒ ∃ A ⊆ carrier R. finite A ∧ I
= Idl A''locale noetherian_domain = noetherian_ring + domain
locale principal_domain = domain +
  assumes exists_gen: "ideal I R =⇒ ∃ a ∈ carrier R. I = PIdl a"
locale euclidean_domain =
```

```
domain R for R (structure) + fixes \varphi :: "'a \Rightarrow nat"
  assumes euclidean_function:
  " [ \Box a \in \text{ carrier } R - \{ 0 \} ; b \in \text{ carrier } R - \{ 0 \} ] \Rightarrow\exists q \ r. \ q \in \text{carrier } R \land r \in \text{carrier } R \land a = (b \otimes q) \oplus r \land ((r = 0))∨ (ϕ r < ϕ b))"
definition ring irreducible :: "('a, 'b) ring scheme \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool" ("ring' irreduciblei")
  where "ring irreducible<sub>R</sub> a \longleftrightarrow (a \neq 0<sub>R</sub>) \land (irreducible R a)"
definition ring_prime :: "('a, 'b) ring_scheme \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool" ("ring'_primei")
  where "ring_prime<sub>R</sub> a \longleftrightarrow (a \neq 0<sub>R</sub>) \wedge (prime R a)"
31.2 The cancellative monoid of a domain.
sublocale domain < mult_of: comm_monoid_cancel "mult_of R"
  rewrites "mult (mult_of R) = mult R"
        and "one (mult_of R) = one R"
  using m_comm m_assoc
  by (auto intro!: comm_monoid_cancelI comm_monoidI
          simp add: m_rcancel integral_iff)
sublocale factorial_domain < mult_of: factorial_monoid "mult_of R"
  rewrites "mult (mult_of R) = mult R"
        and "one (mult_of R) = one R"
  using factorial_monoid_axioms by auto
lemma (in ring) noetherian_ringI:
  assumes "\bigwedgeI. ideal I R \implies \exists A \subseteq carrier R. finite A \land I = Idl A"
  shows "noetherian_ring R"
  using assms by unfold_locales auto
lemma (in domain) euclidean_domainI:
  assumes "\bigwedgea b. [a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }; b ∈ carrier R - { 0 } ] \Longrightarrow\exists q \ r. \ q \in \text{carrier } R \land r \in \text{carrier } R \land a = (b \otimes q) \oplus r \land((r = 0) \vee (\varphi r < \varphi b))"
  shows "euclidean_domain R \varphi"
  using assms by unfold_locales auto
31.3 Passing from R to Ring_Divisibility.mult_of R and vice-
        versa.
lemma divides_mult_imp_divides [simp]: "a divides<sub>(mult of R)</sub> b \implies a divides<sub>R</sub>
b"
  unfolding factor_def by auto
lemma (in domain) divides_imp_divides_mult [simp]:
  "[[ a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R - { 0 } ]] \implies a divides<sub>R</sub> b \implies a divides<sub>(mult_of R)</sub>
h''unfolding factor_def using integral_iff by auto
```

```
lemma (in cring) divides_one:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "a divides 1 ←→ a ∈ Units R"
  using assms m_comm unfolding factor_def Units_def by force
lemma (in ring) one_divides:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" shows "1 divides a"
  using assms unfolding factor_def by simp
lemma (in ring) divides_zero:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" shows "a divides 0"
  using r_null[OF assms] unfolding factor_def by force
lemma (in ring) zero_divides:
  shows "0 divides a \leftrightarrow a = 0"
  unfolding factor_def by auto
lemma (in domain) divides_mult_zero:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" shows "a divides<sub>(mult of R)</sub> 0 \implies a = 0"
  using integral[OF _ assms] unfolding factor_def by auto
lemma (in ring) divides_mult:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "c ∈ carrier R"
 shows "a divides b \implies (c \otimes a) divides (c \otimes b)"
  using m assoc[OF assms(2,1)] unfolding factor def by auto
lemma (in domain) mult_divides:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "c ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
 shows "(c \otimes a) divides (c \otimes b) \implies a divides b"
  using assms m_assoc[of c] unfolding factor_def by (simp add: m_lcancel)
lemma (in domain) assoc_iff_assoc_mult:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R" and "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "a \sim b \longleftrightarrow a \sim (mult_of R) <sup>b"</sup>
proof
  assume "a ∼(mult_of R) b" thus "a ∼ b"
    unfolding associated_def factor_def by auto
next
  assume A: "a ∼ b"
 then obtain c1 c2
    where c1: "c1 ∈ carrier R" "a = b ⊗ c1" and c2: "c2 ∈ carrier R"
"b = a ⊗ c2"
    unfolding associated_def factor_def by auto
 show "a \sim(mult of R) b"
  proof (\text{cases} "a = 0")
    assume a: a = 0" then have b: b = 0"
      using c2 by auto
    show ?thesis
```

```
unfolding associated_def factor_def a b by auto
  next
    assume a: "a \neq 0"
    hence b: "b \neq 0" and c1 not zero: "c1 \neq 0"
      using c1 assms by auto
    hence c2_not_zero: "c2 \neq 0"
      using c2 assms by auto
    show ?thesis
      unfolding associated_def factor_def using c1 c2 c1_not_zero c2_not_zero
a b by auto
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) Units_mult_eq_Units [simp]: "Units (mult_of R) = Units
R"
  unfolding Units_def using insert_Diff integral_iff by auto
lemma (in domain) ring_associated_iff:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "a ~ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists u \in Units R. a = u \otimes b)"
proof (cases "a = 0")
  assume [simp]: "a = 0" show ?thesis
  proof
    assume "a \sim b" thus "∃u ∈ Units R. a = u ⊗ b"
      using zero_divides unfolding associated_def by force
  next
    assume "\exists u \in \text{Units } R. a = u \otimes b" then have "b = 0"by (metis Units closed Units 1 cancel \langle a = 0 \rangle assms r_null)
    thus "a ∼ b"
      using zero_divides[of 0] by auto
  qed
next
  assume a: "a \neq 0" show ?thesis
  proof (\text{cases } "b = 0")assume "b = 0" thus ?thesis
      using assms a zero_divides[of a] r_null unfolding associated_def
by blast
  next
    assume b: "\mathbf{b} \neq 0"
    have "(\exists u \in \text{Units R. a = u } \otimes b) \leftrightarrow (\exists u \in \text{Units R. a = b } \otimes u)"
      using m_comm[OF assms(2)] Units_closed by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using mult_of.associated_iff[of a b] a b assms
      unfolding assoc iff assoc mult<sup>[OF</sup> assms] Units mult eq Units
      by auto
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) properfactor_mult_imp_properfactor:
```

```
\lVert \cdot \rVert a \in carrier R; b \in carrier R \rVert \Rightarrow properfactor (mult_of R) b a \Longrightarrowproperfactor R b a"
proof -
  assume A: "a \in carrier R" "b \in carrier R" "properfactor (mult of R)
b a"
  then obtain c where c: "c \in carrier (mult_of R)" "a = b \otimes c"
    unfolding properfactor_def factor_def by auto
  have "a \neq 0"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume a: " \neg a \neq 0"hence "b = 0" using c A integral [of b c] by auto
    hence "b = a \otimes 1" using a A by simp
    hence "a divides(mult_of R) b"
      unfolding factor_def by auto
    thus False using A unfolding properfactor_def by simp
  qed
  hence "b \neq 0"
    using c A integral_iff by auto
  thus "properfactor R b a"
    using A divides_imp_divides_mult[of a b] unfolding properfactor_def
    by (meson DiffI divides_mult_imp_divides empty_iff insert_iff)
qed
lemma (in domain) properfactor_imp_properfactor_mult:
  \lVert \cdot \rVert a \in carrier R - { 0 }; b \in carrier R \rVert \implies properfactor R b a \impliesproperfactor (mult of R) b a"
  unfolding properfactor_def factor_def by auto
lemma (in domain) properfactor_of_zero:
  assumes "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "¬ properfactor (mult_of R) b 0" and "properfactor R b 0 ←→
b \neq 0"using divides_mult_zero[OF assms] divides_zero[OF assms] unfolding properfactor_def
by auto
```
# **31.4 Irreducible**

The following lemmas justify the need for a definition of irreducible specific to rings: for irreducible R, we need to suppose we are not in a field (which is plausible, but  $\neg$  field R is an assumption we want to avoid; for irreducible (Ring\_Divisibility.mult\_of R), zero is allowed.

```
lemma (in domain) zero_is_irreducible_mult:
 shows "irreducible (mult_of R) 0"
 unfolding irreducible_def Units_def properfactor_def factor_def
 using integral_iff by fastforce
lemma (in domain) zero_is_irreducible_iff_field:
 shows "irreducible R 0 ←→ field R"
```

```
proof
  assume irr: "irreducible R 0"
  \mathtt{have}\, "\textstyle\bigwedge\!\mathsf{a}\,. \lbrack\! \lbrack\, \text{ a}\, \in\, \mathtt{carrier}\, R; \mathtt{a}\, \neq\, 0 \rbrack\! \implies\! \mathtt{properfactor}\, R \mathtt{a}\, \mathtt{0}"
    unfolding properfactor_def factor_def by (auto, metis r_null zero_closed)
  hence "carrier R - { 0 } = Units R"
    using irr unfolding irreducible_def by auto
  thus "field R"
    using field.intro[OF domain_axioms] unfolding field_axioms_def by
simp
next
  assume field: "field R" show "irreducible R 0"
    using field.field_Units[OF field]
    unfolding irreducible_def properfactor_def factor_def by blast
qed
lemma (in domain) irreducible_imp_irreducible_mult:
  "[a \in carrier R; irreducible R a \Rightarrow irreducible (mult_of R) a"
  using zero_is_irreducible_mult Units_mult_eq_Units properfactor_mult_imp_properfactor
  by (cases "a = 0") (auto simp add: irreducible_def)
lemma (in domain) irreducible_mult_imp_irreducible:
  \sqrt{\parallel a \in \text{carrier } R - \{ 0 \}\rangle; irreducible (mult_of R) a \parallel \implies \text{irreducible}R a"
    unfolding irreducible_def using properfactor_imp_properfactor_mult
properfactor_divides by fastforce
lemma (in domain) ring_irreducibleE:
  assumes "r \in carrier R" and "ring irreducible r"
  shows "r \neq 0" "irreducible R r" "irreducible (mult of R) r" "r \notin Units
R"
    and "\wedgea b. [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R ] \implies r = a \otimes b \implies a
∈ Units R ∨ b ∈ Units R"
proof -
  show "irreducible (mult_of R) r" "irreducible R r"
    using assms irreducible_imp_irreducible_mult unfolding ring_irreducible_def
by auto
  show "r \neq 0" "r \notin Units R"
    using assms unfolding ring_irreducible_def irreducible_def by auto
next
  fix a b assume a: "a ∈ carrier R" and b: "b ∈ carrier R" and r: "r
= a ⊗ b"
  show "a \in Units R \vee b \in Units R"
  proof (cases "properfactor R a r")
    assume "properfactor R a r" thus ?thesis
      using a assms(2) unfolding ring_irreducible_def irreducible_def
by auto
  next
    assume not_proper: "¬ properfactor R a r"
    hence "r divides a"
```

```
using r b unfolding properfactor_def by auto
    then obtain c where c: "c ∈ carrier R" "a = r ⊗ c"
      unfolding factor_def by auto
    have "1 = c \otimes b"using r c(1) b assms m_assoc m_lcancel[OF _ assms(1) one_closed
m_closed[OF c(1) b]]
      unfolding c(2) ring_irreducible_def by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using c(1) b m comm unfolding Units def by auto
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) ring_irreducibleI:
  assumes "r \in \text{carrier } R - \{ 0 \}" "r \notin Units R"
    and "\wedgea b. [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R ] \implies r = a \otimes b \implies a
∈ Units R ∨ b ∈ Units R"
 shows "ring_irreducible r"
  unfolding ring_irreducible_def
proof
 show "r \neq 0" using assms(1) by blast
next
 show "irreducible R r"
  proof (rule irreducibleI[OF assms(2)])
    fix a assume a: "a ∈ carrier R" "properfactor R a r"
    then obtain b where b: "b \in carrier R" "r = a \otimes b"
      unfolding properfactor_def factor_def by blast
    hence "a ∈ Units R ∨ b ∈ Units R"
      using assms(3) [OF a(1) b(1)] by simp
    thus "a \in Units R"
    proof (auto)
      assume "b ∈ Units R"
      hence "r \otimes inv b = a" and "inv b \in carrier R"
        using b a by (simp add: m_assoc)+
      thus ?thesis
        using a(2) unfolding properfactor_def factor_def by blast
    qed
 qed
qed
lemma (in domain) ring_irreducibleI':
  assumes "r ∈ carrier R - { 0 }" "irreducible (mult_of R) r"
 shows "ring_irreducible r"
  unfolding ring_irreducible_def
  using irreducible_mult_imp_irreducible[OF assms] assms(1) by auto
```
#### **31.5 Primes**

**lemma** (**in** domain) zero\_is\_prime: "prime R 0" "prime (mult\_of R) 0" **using** integral **unfolding** prime\_def factor\_def Units\_def **by** auto

```
lemma (in domain) prime_eq_prime_mult:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier R"
  shows "prime R p \leftrightarrow prime (mult of R) p"
proof (cases "p = 0", auto simp add: zero is prime)
  assume "p \neq 0" "prime R p" thus "prime (mult_of R) p"
    unfolding prime_def
    using divides mult imp divides Units mult eq Units mult mult of
    by (metis DiffD1 assms carrier_mult_of divides_imp_divides_mult)
next
  assume p: "p \neq 0" "prime (mult_of R) p" show "prime R p"
 proof (rule primeI)
    show "p \notin Units R"
      using p(2) Units_mult_eq_Units unfolding prime_def by simp
 next
    fix a b assume a: "a ∈ carrier R" and b: "b ∈ carrier R" and dvd:
"p divides a ⊗ b"
    then obtain c where c: "c ∈ carrier R" "a \otimes b = p \otimes c"
      unfolding factor_def by auto
    show "p divides a ∨ p divides b"
    proof (cases "a ⊗ b = 0")
      case True thus ?thesis
        using integral[OF _ a b] c unfolding factor_def by force
    next
      case False
      hence "p divides<sub>(mult of R)</sub> (a \otimes b)"
        using divides_imp_divides_mult[OF assms _ dvd] m_closed[OF a b]
by simp
      moreover have "a \neq 0" "b \neq 0" "c \neq 0"
        using False a b c p l_null integral_iff by (auto, simp add: assms)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using a b p unfolding prime_def
        by (auto, metis Diff_iff divides_mult_imp_divides singletonD)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) ring_primeE:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier R" "ring_prime p"
 shows "p \neq 0" "prime (mult_of R) p" "prime R p"
  using assms prime_eq_prime_mult unfolding ring_prime_def by auto
lemma (in ring) ring_primeI:
  assumes "p \neq 0" "prime R p" shows "ring_prime p"
  using assms unfolding ring_prime_def by auto
lemma (in domain) ring_primeI':
 assumes "p \in carrier R - \{ 0 \}" "prime (mult_of R) p"
 shows "ring_prime p"
```
**using** assms prime\_eq\_prime\_mult **unfolding** ring\_prime\_def **by** auto

#### **31.6 Basic Properties**

```
lemma (in cring) to_contain_is_to_divide:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
 shows "PIdl b \subseteq PIdl a \longleftrightarrow a divides b"
proof
 show "PIdl b \subseteq PIdl a \implies a divides b"
  proof -
    assume "PIdl b ⊆ PIdl a"
    hence "b ∈ PIdl a"
      by (meson assms(2) local.ring_axioms ring.cgenideal_self subsetCE)
    thus ?thesis
      unfolding factor_def cgenideal_def using m_comm assms(1) by blast
 qed
 show "a divides b \implies PIdl b \subseteq PIdl a"
 proof -
    assume "a divides b" then obtain c where c: "c ∈ carrier R" "b =
c ⊗ a"
      unfolding factor_def using m_comm[OF assms(1)] by blast
    show "PIdl b ⊆ PIdl a"
    proof
      fix x assume "x ∈ PIdl b"
      then obtain d where d: "d \in carrier R" "x = d \otimes b"
        unfolding cgenideal_def by blast
      hence "x = (d ⊗ c) ⊗ a"
        using c d m_assoc assms by simp
      thus "x \in PIdl a"
        unfolding cgenideal_def using m_assoc assms c d by blast
    qed
 qed
qed
lemma (in cring) associated_iff_same_ideal:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
 shows "a ∼ b ←→ PIdl a = PIdl b"
 unfolding associated_def
  using to_contain_is_to_divide[OF assms]
        to_contain_is_to_divide[OF assms(2,1)] by auto
lemma (in cring) ideal_eq_carrier_iff:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "carrier R = PId1 a \longleftrightarrow a \in Units R"
proof
 assume "carrier R = PIdl a"
 hence "1 ∈ PIdl a"
    by auto
  then obtain b where "b \in carrier R" "1 = a \otimes b" "1 = b \otimes a"
```

```
unfolding cgenideal_def using m_comm[OF assms] by auto
 thus "a ∈ Units R"
    using assms unfolding Units_def by auto
next
 assume "a ∈ Units R"
 then have inv_a: "inv a \in carrier R" "inv a \otimes a = 1"
    by auto
 have "carrier R ⊆ PIdl a"
 proof
    fix b assume "b ∈ carrier R"
   hence "(b ⊗ inv a) ⊗ a = b" and "b ⊗ inv a \in carrier R"
      using m_assoc[OF _ inv_a(1) assms] inv_a by auto
    thus "b \in PIdl a"
      unfolding cgenideal_def by force
  qed
  thus "carrier R = PIdl a"
    using assms by (simp add: cgenideal_eq_rcos r_coset_subset_G subset_antisym)
qed
lemma (in domain) primeideal_iff_prime:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
 shows "primeideal (PIdl p) R ←→ ring_prime p"
proof
  assume PIdl: "primeideal (PIdl p) R" show "ring_prime p"
 proof (rule ring_primeI)
    show "p \neq 0" using assms by simp
 next
   show "prime R p"
    proof (rule primeI)
      show "p \notin Units R"
       using ideal_eq_carrier_iff[of p] assms primeideal.I_notcarr[OF
PIdl] by auto
   next
      fix a b assume a: "a ∈ carrier R" and b: "b ∈ carrier R" and dvd:
"p divides a ⊗ b"
      hence "a ⊗ b ∈ PIdl p"
        by (meson assms DiffD1 cgenideal_self contra_subsetD m_closed
to_contain_is_to_divide)
      hence "a ∈ PIdl p \lor b ∈ PIdl p"
        using primeideal.I_prime[OF PIdl a b] by simp
      thus "p divides a ∨ p divides b"
        using assms a b Idl_subset_ideal' cgenideal_eq_genideal to_contain_is_to_divide
by auto
    qed
 qed
next
 assume prime: "ring_prime p" show "primeideal (PIdl p) R"
 proof (rule primeidealI[OF cgenideal ideal cring axioms])
    show "p \in carrier R" and "carrier R \neq PIdl p"
```

```
using ideal_eq_carrier_iff[of p] assms prime
      unfolding ring_prime_def prime_def by auto
 next
    fix a b assume a: "a ∈ carrier R" and b: "b ∈ carrier R" "a ⊗ b
∈ PIdl p"
   hence "p divides a ⊗ b"
      using assms Idl subset ideal' cgenideal eq genideal to contain is to divide
by auto
    hence "p divides a ∨ p divides b"
      using a b assms primeE[OF ring_primeE(3)[OF _ prime]] by auto
    thus "a \in PIdl p \vee b \in PIdl p"
      using a b assms Idl_subset_ideal' cgenideal_eq_genideal to_contain_is_to_divide
by auto
 qed
qed
```
# **31.7 Noetherian Rings**

```
lemma (in ring) chain_Union_is_ideal:
  assumes "subset.chain { I. ideal I R } C"
   \mathbf{shows} "ideal (if C = \{\} then \{ \ 0 \ \} else (\bigcup C)) \mathbf{R}"
proof (cases C = \{\}^n)
  case True thus ?thesis by (simp add: zeroideal)
next
   case False have "ideal (UC) R"
  proof (rule idealI[OF ring axioms])
     show "subgroup (\bigcup C) (add_monoid R)"
     proof
        \texttt{show}\text{ }\text{"}\bigcup\texttt{C}\text{ } \subseteq \texttt{carrier}\text{ } \left(\texttt{add\_monoid}\text{ }\texttt{R}\right) \text{"}using assms subgroup.subset<sup>[OF additive subgroup.a subgroup]</sup>
          unfolding pred_on.chain_def ideal_def by auto
        obtain I where I: "I ∈ C" "ideal I R"
          using False assms unfolding pred_on.chain_def by auto
        thus "1_{\text{add\_monoid R}} \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}"
          using additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF I(2)]]
by auto
     next
        \operatorname{fix} x y \operatorname{assume} "x \in \bigcup \mathbb{C}^n "y \in \bigcup \mathbb{C}^nthen obtain I where I: "I \in C" "X \in I" "y \in I"
          using assms unfolding pred_on.chain_def by blast
        hence ideal: "ideal I R"
          using assms unfolding pred_on.chain_def by auto
        thus "x \otimes_{\texttt{add\_monoid}} R y \in \bigcup \mathcal{C}"
          using UnionI I additive_subgroup.a_closed unfolding ideal_def
by fastforce
        \texttt{show "inv}_{\texttt{add\_monoid}} R \texttt{x} \in \bigcup \texttt{C"}
```

```
ideal_def a_inv_def by metis
    qed
  next
    \operatorname{fix} a x assume a: "a \in \bigcup C" and x: "x \in carrier <code>R"</code>
    then obtain I where I: "ideal I R" "a \in I" and "I \in C"
       using assms unfolding pred_on.chain_def by auto
    \text{thus} "\text{x} \otimes \text{a} \in \bigcup \text{C}" and "a \otimes \text{x} \in \bigcup \text{C}"
       using ideal.I_l_closed[OF I x] ideal.I_r_closed[OF I x] by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using False by simp
qed
lemma (in noetherian_ring) ideal_chain_is_trivial:
  assumes C \neq \{\}" "subset.chain { I. ideal I R } C"
  \textbf{shows} "\bigcup C \in C"
proof -
  \{ fix S assume "finite S" "S \subseteq \bigcup C"
    hence "\existsI. I \in C \land S \subseteq I"
    proof (induct S)
       case empty thus ?case
         using assms(1) by blast
    next
       case (insert s S)
       then obtain I where I: "I \in C" "S \subseteq I"
         by blast
       moreover obtain I' where I': "I' \in C" "s \in I'"
         using insert(4) by blast
       ultimately have "I \subseteq I' \lor I' \subseteq I"
         using assms unfolding pred_on.chain_def by blast
       thus ?case
         using I I' by blast
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
  obtain S where S: "finite S" "S \subseteq carrier R" "\bigcup C = Idl S"
    using finetely_gen[OF chain_Union_is_ideal[OF assms(2)]] assms(1)
by auto
  then obtain I where I: "I \in C" and "S \subseteq I"
    using aux_lemma[OF S(1)] genideal_self[OF S(2)] by blast
  hence "Idl S ⊆ I"
    using assms unfolding pred_on.chain_def
    by (metis genideal_minimal mem_Collect_eq rev_subsetD)
  hence "∪C = I"
    using S(3) I by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using I by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) trivial_ideal_chain_imp_noetherian:
```

```
assumes "
V
C. [[ C 6= {}; subset.chain { I. ideal I R } C ]] =⇒
S
C ∈
C"
  shows "noetherian_ring R"
proof (rule noetherian_ringI)
  fix I assume I: "ideal I R"
  have in_carrier: "I ⊆ carrier R" and add_subgroup: "additive_subgroup
I R"
    using ideal.axioms(1)[OF I] additive_subgroup.a_subset by auto
  define S where "S = { Idl S' | S'. S' \subseteq I \wedge finite S' }"
  have "∃M ∈ S. \forallS' ∈ S. M ⊆ S' → S' = M"
  proof (rule subset_Zorn)
    fix C assume C: "subset.chain S C"
    show "∃U ∈ S. ∀S' ∈ C. S' ⊆ U"
    proof (cases C = \{\}^n)
      case True
      have "{ 0 } ∈ S"
        using additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF add_subgroup] genideal_zero
        by (auto simp add: S_def)
      thus ?thesis
        using True by auto
    next
      case False
      have "S ⊆ { I. ideal I R }"
        using additive_subgroup.a_subset[OF add_subgroup] genideal_ideal
        by (auto simp add: S_def)
      hence "subset.chain { I. ideal I R } C"
        using C unfolding pred_on.chain_def by auto
      then have "\bigcup C \in C"using assms False by simp
      thus ?thesis
        by (meson C Union_upper pred_on.chain_def subsetCE)
    qed
  qed
  \text{then obtain } M \text{ where } M: \text{ "M} \in \text{S" "A} \text{ is } S' \in \text{S}; \text{ M} \subseteq \text{S'} \text{ } \Rightarrow \text{S" = M" }by auto
  then obtain S' where S': "S' \subseteq I" "finite S'" "M = Idl S'"
    by (auto simp add: S_def)
  hence "M ⊆ I"
    using I genideal_minimal by (auto simp add: S_def)
  moreover have "I ⊆ M"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "¬ I ⊆ M"
    then obtain a where a: "a \in I" "a \notin M"
      by auto
    have "M ⊆ Idl (insert a S')"
      using S' a(1) genideal minimal[of "Idl (insert a S')" S']
             in carrier genideal ideal genideal self
      by (meson insert_subset subset_trans)
```

```
moreover have "Idl (insert a S') ∈ S"
      using a(1) S' by (auto simp add: S_def)
    ultimately have "M = Idl (insert a S')"
      using M(2) by auto
    hence "a ∈ M"
      using genideal_self S'(1) a (1) in_carrier by (meson insert_subset
subset trans)
    from \langle a \in M \rangle and \langle a \notin M \rangle show False by simp
  qed
  ultimately have M = I'' by simp
  thus "∃ A ⊆ carrier R. finite A ∧ I = Idl A"
    using S' in_carrier by blast
qed
lemma (in noetherian_domain) factorization_property:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }" "a \notin Units R"
  shows "∃fs. set fs \subseteq carrier (mult_of R) \land wfactors (mult_of R) fs
a" (is "?factorizable a")
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume a: "¬ ?factorizable a"
  define S where "S = { PIdl r | r. r ∈ carrier R - { 0 } \land r \notin Units
\mathbf{R}~\wedge~\neg~ ?<br>factorizable ~\mathbf{r}~ }"
  then obtain C where C: "subset.maxchain S C"
    using subset.Hausdorff by blast
  hence chain: "subset.chain S C"
    using pred_on.maxchain_def by blast
  moreover have "S \subseteq \{ I. ideal I \nR \}"
    using cgenideal_ideal by (auto simp add: S_def)
  ultimately have "subset.chain { I. ideal I R } C"
    by (meson dual_order.trans pred_on.chain_def)
  moreover have "PIdl a ∈ S"
    using assms a by (auto simp add: S_def)
  hence "subset.chain S { PIdl a }"
    unfolding pred_on.chain_def by auto
  hence C \neq \{\}"
    using C unfolding pred_on.maxchain_def by auto
  ultimately have \mathbb{U} \cup \mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}^nusing ideal_chain_is_trivial by simp
  hence "UC \in S"using chain unfolding pred_on.chain_def by auto
  {\rm then\,\;obtain\,\;} {\rm r\,\;where\,\;} {\rm r}:\; {\rm ``\!\!U\!\!C} = PIdl {\rm r}" "{\rm r\,\; \in \;carrier\,\;} {\rm R} - { {\rm 0\,\;}}"" "{\rm r\,\;}\notinUnits R" "¬ ?factorizable r"
    by (auto simp add: S_def)
  have "∃p. p ∈ carrier R - { 0 } \land p \notin Units R \land ¬ ?factorizable p
∧ p divides r ∧ ¬ r divides p"
  proof -
    have "wfactors (mult_of R) [ r ] r" if "irreducible (mult_of R) r"
      using r(2) that unfolding wfactors_def by auto
    hence "¬ irreducible (mult_of R) r"
```

```
using r(2,4) by auto
    hence "¬ ring_irreducible r"
      using ring_irreducibleE(3) r(2) by auto
    then obtain p1 p2
      where p1_p2: "p1 ∈ carrier R" "p2 ∈ carrier R" "r = p1 ⊗ p2" "p1
\notin Units R" "p2 \notin Units R"
      using ring_irreducibleI[OF r(2-3)] by auto
    hence in_carrier: "p1 ∈ carrier (mult_of R)" "p2 ∈ carrier (mult_of
R)"
      using r(2) by auto
    have "[[ ?factorizable p1; ?factorizable p2 ]] =⇒ ?factorizable r"
      using mult_of.wfactors_mult[OF _ _ in_carrier] p1_p2(3) by (metis
le_sup_iff set_append)
    hence "¬ ?factorizable p1 ∨ ¬ ?factorizable p2"
      using r(4) by auto
    moreover
    have "
V
p1 p2. [[ p1 ∈ carrier R; p2 ∈ carrier R; r = p1 ⊗ p2; r divides
p1 \rceil \implies p2 \in Units R"
    proof -
      fix p1 p2 assume A: "p1 ∈ carrier R" "p2 ∈ carrier R" "r = p1 ⊗
p2" "r divides p1"
      then obtain c where c: "c \in carrier R" "p1 = r \otimes c"
        unfolding factor_def by blast
      hence "1 = c \otimes p2"
        using A m lcancel[OF \qquad one closed, of r "c \otimes p2"] r(2) by (auto,
metis m_assoc m_closed)
      thus "p2 \in Units R"
        unfolding Units_def using c A(2) m_comm[OF c(1) A(2)] by auto
    qed
    hence "¬ r divides p1" and "¬ r divides p2"
      using p1_p2 m_comm[OF p1_p2(1-2)] by blast+
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using p1_p2 in_carrier by (metis carrier_mult_of dividesI' m_comm)
 qed
  then obtain p
    where p: "p ∈ carrier R - { 0 }" "p \notin Units R" "¬ ?factorizable
p" "p divides r" "¬ r divides p"
    by blast
  hence "PIdl p \in S"
    unfolding S_def by auto
  moreover have "∪C ⊂ PIdl p"
    using p r to_contain_is_to_divide unfolding r(1) by (metis Diff_iff
psubsetI)
 ultimately have "subset.chain S (insert (PIdl p) C)" and "C ⊂ (insert
(PId1 p) C)"
    unfolding pred_on.chain_def by (metis C psubsetE subset_maxchain_max,
```
```
blast)
 thus False
    using C unfolding pred_on.maxchain_def by blast
qed
lemma (in noetherian_domain) exists_irreducible_divisor:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }" and "a \notin Units R"
 obtains b where "b \in carrier R" and "ring irreducible b" and "b divides
a"
proof -
 obtain fs where set_fs: "set fs ⊆ carrier (mult_of R)" and "wfactors
(mult_of R) fs a"
    using factorization_property[OF assms] by blast
 hence "a ∈ Units R" if "fs = []"
    using that assms(1) Units_cong assoc_iff_assoc_mult unfolding wfactors_def
by (simp, blast)
 hence "fs \neq []"
    using assms(2) by auto
  then obtain f' fs' where fs: "fs = f' # fs'"
    using list.exhaust by blast
  from ‹ wfactors (mult_of R) fs a› have "f' divides a"
    using mult_of.wfactors_dividesI[OF _ set_fs] assms(1) unfolding fs
by auto
 moreover from ‹ wfactors (mult_of R) fs a› have "ring_irreducible f'"
and "f' ∈ carrier R"
   using set fs ring irreducibleI'[of f'] unfolding wfactors def fs by
auto
  ultimately show thesis
    using that by blast
qed
```
### **31.8 Principal Domains**

```
sublocale principal_domain ⊆ noetherian_domain
proof
 fix I assume "ideal I R"
 then obtain i where "i \in carrier R" "I = Idl { i }"
    using exists_gen cgenideal_eq_genideal by auto
 thus "\exists A \subseteq \text{carrier } R. finite A \wedge I = Id1 A"
    by blast
qed
lemma (in principal_domain) irreducible_imp_maximalideal:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier R"
    and "ring_irreducible p"
 shows "maximalideal (PIdl p) R"
proof (rule maximalidealI)
 show "ideal (PIdl p) R"
    using assms(1) by (simp add: cgenideal_ideal)
```

```
next
 show "carrier R \neq PIdl p"
   using ideal_eq_carrier_iff[OF assms(1)] ring_irreducibleE(4)[OF assms]
by auto
next
 fix J assume J: "ideal J R" "PIdl p ⊆ J" "J ⊆ carrier R"
 then obtain q where q: "q \in carrier R" "J = PIdl q"
    using exists gen[OF J(1)] cgenideal eq rcos by metis
  hence "q divides p"
    using to_contain_is_to_divide[of q p] using assms(1) J(1-2) by simp
  then obtain r where r: "r \in carrier R" "p = q \otimes r"
    unfolding factor_def by auto
  hence "q ∈ Units R ∨ r ∈ Units R"
    using ring_irreducibleE(5)[OF assms q(1)] by auto
  thus "J = PIdl p \lor J = carrier R"
 proof
    assume "q ∈ Units R" thus ?thesis
      using ideal_eq_carrier_iff[OF q(1)] q(2) by auto
  next
   assume "r ∈ Units R" hence "p ∼ q"
      using assms(1) r q(1) associatedI2' by blast
    thus ?thesis
      unfolding associated_iff_same_ideal[OF assms(1) q(1)] q(2) by auto
 qed
qed
corollary (in principal_domain) primeness_condition:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier R"
 shows "ring irreducible p \leftrightarrow ring prime p"
proof
 show "ring_irreducible p \implies ring_prime p''using maximalideal_prime[OF irreducible_imp_maximalideal] ring_irreducibleE(1)
          primeideal_iff_prime assms by auto
next
 show "ring_prime p \implies ring_irreducible p"
    using mult of.prime irreducible ring primeI[of p] ring irreducibleI'
assms
    unfolding ring_prime_def prime_eq_prime_mult[OF assms] by auto
qed
lemma (in principal_domain) domain_iff_prime:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
 shows "domain (R Quot (PIdl a)) ←→ ring_prime a"
  using quot domain iff primeideal[of "PIdl a"] primeideal iff prime[of
a]
        cgenideal_ideal[of a] assms by auto
lemma (in principal_domain) field_iff_prime:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
```

```
shows "field (R Quot (PIdl a)) \longleftrightarrow ring_prime a"
proof
  show "ring_prime a \implies field (R Quot (PIdl a))"
    using primeness condition[of a] irreducible imp maximalideal[of a]
           maximalideal.quotient_is_field[of "PIdl a" R] is_cring assms
by auto
next
  show "field (R Quot (PIdl a)) \implies ring prime a"
    unfolding field_def using domain_iff_prime[of a] assms by auto
qed
sublocale principal_domain < mult_of: primeness_condition_monoid "mult_of
R"
  rewrites "mult (mult_of R) = mult R"
       and "one (mult_of R) = one R"
    unfolding primeness_condition_monoid_def
              primeness_condition_monoid_axioms_def
proof (auto simp add: mult_of.is_comm_monoid_cancel)
  fix a assume a: "a \in carrier R" "a \neq 0" "irreducible (mult_of R) a"
  show "prime (mult_of R) a"
    using primeness_condition[OF a(1)] irreducible_mult_imp_irreducible[OF
[ a(3) ] a(1-2)unfolding ring_prime_def ring_irreducible_def prime_eq_prime_mult[OF
a(1)] by auto
qed
sublocale principal domain < mult of: factorial monoid "mult of R"
  rewrites "mult (mult of R) = mult R"
       and "one (mult_of R) = one R"
  using mult_of.wfactors_unique factorization_property mult_of.is_comm_monoid_cancel
  by (auto intro!: mult_of.factorial_monoidI)
sublocale principal_domain ⊆ factorial_domain
  unfolding factorial_domain_def using domain_axioms mult_of.factorial_monoid_axioms
by simp
lemma (in principal_domain) ideal_sum_iff_gcd:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "d ∈ carrier R"
  shows "PIdl d = PIdl a \langle + \rangle_R PIdl b \langle \rightarrow \rangle d gcdof a b"
proof -
  { fix a b d
    assume in_carrier: "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "d ∈ carrier
D<sup>"</sup>
       and ideal_eq: "PIdl d = PIdl a \leftarrow ><sub>R</sub> PIdl b"
    have "d gcdof a b"
    proof (auto simp add: isgcd_def)
      have "a \in PIdl d" and "b \in PIdl d"
        using in_carrier(1-2)[THEN cgenideal_ideal] additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF
```

```
ideal.axioms(1)]
               in_carrier(1-2)[THEN cgenideal_self] in_carrier(1-2)
        unfolding ideal_eq set_add_def' by force+
      thus "d divides a" and "d divides b"
        using in_carrier(1,2)[THEN to_contain_is_to_divide[OF in_carrier(3)]]
               cgenideal_minimal[OF cgenideal_ideal[OF in_carrier(3)]]
by simp+
    next
      fix c assume c: "c ∈ carrier R" "c divides a" "c divides b"
      hence "PIdl a ⊆ PIdl c" and "PIdl b ⊆ PIdl c"
        using to_contain_is_to_divide in_carrier by auto
      hence "PIdl a \leftarrow \rightarrow<sub>R</sub> PIdl b \subseteq PIdl c"
        by (metis Un_subset_iff c(1) in_carrier(1-2) cgenideal_ideal genideal_minimal
union_genideal)
      thus "c divides d"
        using ideal_eq to_contain_is_to_divide[OF c(1) in_carrier(3)]
by simp
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
  have "PIdl d = PIdl a \langle + \rangle_R PIdl b \implies d gcdof a b"
    using aux_lemma assms by simp
  moreover
  have "d gcdof a b \implies PIdl d = PIdl a \leftrightarrow->R PIdl b"
  proof -
    assume d: "d gcdof a b"
    obtain c where c: "c \in carrier R" "PIdl c = PIdl a \lt + \gt<sub>R</sub> PIdl b"
      using exists gen[OF add ideals[OF assms(1-2)[THEN cgenideal ideal]]]
by blast
    hence "c gcdof a b"
      using aux_lemma assms by simp
    from \langle d \rangle gcdof a b> and \langle c \rangle gcdof a b> have "d \sim c"
      using assms c(1) by (simp add: associated_def isgcd_def)
    thus ?thesis
      using c(2) associated_iff_same_ideal[OF assms(3) c(1)] by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in principal_domain) bezout_identity:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
  shows "PIdl a \langle + \rangle_R PIdl b = PIdl (somegcd R a b)"
proof -
  have "∃ d ∈ carrier R. d gcdof a b"
    using exists_gen[OF add_ideals[OF assms(1-2)[THEN cgenideal_ideal]]]
          ideal_sum_iff_gcd[OF assms(1-2)] by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using ideal_sum_iff_gcd[OF assms(1-2)] somegcd_def
```
**by** (metis (no\_types, lifting) tfl\_some) **qed**

### **31.9 Euclidean Domains**

```
sublocale euclidean_domain ⊆ principal_domain
  unfolding principal_domain_def principal_domain_axioms_def
proof (auto)
  show "domain R" by (simp add: domain_axioms)
next
  fix I assume I: "ideal I R" have "principalideal I R"
  proof (cases "I = \{ 0 \}")
    case True thus ?thesis by (simp add: zeropideal)
  next
    case False hence A: "I - { 0 } \neq {}"
       using I additive_subgroup.zero_closed ideal.axioms(1) by auto
    define phi_img :: "nat set" where "phi_img = (\varphi ' (I - \{ 0 \})"
    hence "phi_img \neq {}" using A by simp
     \text{then obtain } \mathfrak{m} \text{ where } \mathfrak{m} \in \text{phi\_img} \mathfrak{m} \setminus \text{k. } \mathsf{k} \in \text{phi\_img} \implies \mathfrak{m} \leq \mathsf{k} \mathfrak{m}using exists_least_iff[of "λn. n ∈ phi_img"] not_less by force
     {\rm then\,\,\, obtain\,\,\, a\,\,\, where\,\,\, a:\,\,\,``a \,\in\, I \,\,\, -\,\,\{\,\,0\,\,\}''\,\, \,``\not\, \wedge b.\,\, b \,\in\, I \,\,\, -\,\,\{\,\,0\,\,\} \implies \varphia \leq \varphi b''using phi_img_def by blast
    have "I = PIdl a"
    proof (rule ccontr)
       assume "I \neq PIdl a"
       then obtain b where b: "b \in I" "b \notin PIdl a"
         using I \le a \in I - \{0\} c genideal minimal by auto
       hence "b \neq 0"
         by (metis DiffD1 I a(1) additive_subgroup.zero_closed cgenideal_ideal
ideal.Icarr ideal.axioms(1))
       then obtain q r
         where eucl_div: "q ∈ carrier R" "r ∈ carrier R" "b = (a ⊗ q)
\oplus r" "r = 0 \vee \varphi r < \varphi a"
         using euclidean_function[of b a] a(1) b(1) ideal.Icarr[OF I] by
auto
       hence 'r = 0 \implies b \in PId1 a"
         unfolding cgenideal_def using m_comm[of a] ideal.Icarr[OF I] a(1)
by auto
       hence 1: "\varphi r < \varphi a \wedge r \neq 0"
         using eucl div(4) b(2) by auto
       have "r = (\ominus (a \otimes q)) \oplus b"
         using eucl div(1-3) a(1) b(1) ideal.Icarr[OF I] r neg1 by auto
       moreover have "\oplus (a \otimes q) \in I"
         using eucl_div(1) a(1) I
         by (meson DiffD1 additive_subgroup.a_inv_closed ideal.I_r_closed
ideal.axioms(1))
       ultimately have 2: "r \in I"
```

```
using b(1) additive_subgroup.a_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF I]]
by auto
      from 1 and 2 show False
        using a(2) by fastforce
    qed
    thus ?thesis
      by (meson DiffD1 I cgenideal_is_principalideal ideal.Icarr local.a(1))
  qed
  thus "\exists a \in carrier R. I = PIdl a"by (simp add: cgenideal_eq_genideal principalideal.generate)
qed
sublocale field ⊆ euclidean_domain R "λ_. 0"
proof (rule euclidean_domainI)
  fix a b
  let ?eucl_div = "\lambda q r. q \in carrier R \land r \in carrier R \land a = b \otimes q \oplusr \wedge (r = 0 \vee 0 \le 0)"
  assume a: "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }" and b: "b ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
  hence "a = b \otimes ((inv b) \otimes a) \oplus 0"
    by (metis DiffD1 Units_inv_closed Units_r_inv field_Units l_one m_assoc
r_zero)
  hence "?eucl_div _ ((inv b) ⊗ a) 0"
    using a b field_Units by auto
  thus "\exists q \ r. ?eucl div q \ r"
    by blast
qed
end
```
**theory** Subrings **imports** Ring RingHom QuotRing Multiplicative\_Group **begin**

# **32 Subrings**

### **32.1 Definitions**

```
locale subring =
  subgroup H "add_monoid R" + submonoid H R for H and R (structure)
locale subcring = subring +
  assumes sub_m_comm: "\parallel h1 \in H; h2 \in H \parallel \implies h1 \otimes h2 = h2 \otimes h1"
locale subdomain = subcring +
  assumes sub_one_not_zero [simp]: "1 \neq 0"
```
**assumes** subintegral: "[ $h1 \in H$ ;  $h2 \in H$ ]  $\implies h1 \otimes h2 = 0 \implies h1 = 0$  $∨$  h2 = 0"

```
locale subfield = subdomain K R for K and R (structure) +
  assumes subfield_Units: "Units (R \nvert \text{ carrier} := K \nvert) = K - \{ 0 \}"
```
#### **32.2 Basic Properties**

#### **32.2.1 Subrings**

```
lemma (in ring) subringI:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
    and "1 ∈ H"
     and "\wedge h. h \in H \implies \ominus h \in H"\text{and} "\text{\bigwedge}h1 h2. [\text{h1} \in \text{H}; h2 \in H ] \implies h1 \otimes h2 \in H"
     \text{and } \text{"} \wedge \text{h1 h2}. \left[\begin{array}{c} h1 \in H; h2 \in H \end{array}\right] \Rightarrow h1 \oplus h2 \in H"shows "subring H R"
  using add.subgroupI[OF assms(1) _ assms(3, 5)] assms(2)
         submonoid.intro[OF assms(1, 4, 2)]
  unfolding subring_def by auto
lemma subringE:
  assumes "subring H R"
  shows "H ⊆ carrier R"
    and "0_R \in H"and "1_R \in H"and "H \neq {}"
     and "\wedgeh. h ∈ H \implies \ominus<sub>R</sub> h ∈ H"
     and "\Lambdah1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 ⊗<sub>R</sub> h2 ∈ H"
     and "\Lambdah1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 \oplus<sub>R</sub> h2 ∈ H"
  using subring.axioms[OF assms]
  unfolding submonoid_def subgroup_def a_inv_def by auto
lemma (in ring) carrier_is_subring: "subring (carrier R) R"
  by (simp add: subringI)
lemma (in ring) subring_inter:
  assumes "subring I R" and "subring J R"
  shows "subring (I ∩ J) R"
  using subringE[OF assms(1)] subringE[OF assms(2)] subringI[of "I ∩ J"]
by auto
lemma (in ring) subring_Inter:
  \text{assumes }\n\% \LambdaI. I \in S \implies subring I R" and "S \neq {}"
  shows "subring (\bigcap S) R"
proof (rule subringI, auto simp add: assms subringE[of _ R])
  fix x assume "\forall I \in S. x \in I" thus "x \in \text{carrier } R"
    using assms subringE(1)[of _ R] by blast
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring) subring_is_ring:
 assumes "subring H R" shows "ring (R (| carrier := H |))"
proof -
 interpret group "add_monoid (R (| carrier := H |))" + monoid "R (| carrier
:= H \mathbb{N}"
    using subgroup.subgroup_is_group[OF subring.axioms(1) add.is_group]
assms
          submonoid.submonoid is monoid[OF subring.axioms(2) monoid axioms]
by auto
 show ?thesis
    using subringE(1)[OF assms]
    by (unfold_locales, simp_all add: subringE(1)[OF assms] add.m_comm
subset_eq l_distr r_distr)
qed
lemma (in ring) ring_incl_imp_subring:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
    and "ring (R \parallel \text{carrier} := H \parallel)"
 shows "subring H R"
  using group.group_incl_imp_subgroup[OF add.group_axioms, of H] assms(1)
        monoid.monoid_incl_imp_submonoid[OF monoid_axioms assms(1)]
        ring.axioms(1, 2)[OF assms(2)] abelian_group.a_group[of "R (| carrier
:= H \parallel"]
  unfolding subring_def by auto
lemma (in ring) subring_iff:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "subring H R \longleftrightarrow ring (R (| carrier := H |))"
  using subring_is_ring ring_incl_imp_subring[OF assms] by auto
32.2.2 Subcrings
lemma (in ring) subcringI:
 assumes "subring H R"
    and "\wedgeh1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 ⊗ h2 = h2 ⊗ h1"
 shows "subcring H R"
  unfolding subcring_def subcring_axioms_def using assms by simp+
lemma (in cring) subcringI':
 assumes "subring H R"
 shows "subcring H R"
  using subcringI[OF assms] subringE(1)[OF assms] m_comm by auto
lemma subcringE:
  assumes "subcring H R"
 shows "H ⊆ carrier R"
    and "0_R \in H"and "1_R \in H"and "H \neq \{\}"
```

```
and "\wedgeh. h ∈ H \implies \ominus<sub>R</sub> h ∈ H"
     and "\Lambdah1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 ⊗<sub>R</sub> h2 ∈ H"
     and "\Lambdah1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 \oplus<sub>R</sub> h2 ∈ H"
     and "\Lambdah1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 ⊗<sub>R</sub> h2 = h2 ⊗<sub>R</sub> h1"
  using subringE[OF subcring.axioms(1)[OF assms]] subcring.sub_m_comm[OF
assms] by simp+
lemma (in cring) carrier_is_subcring: "subcring (carrier R) R"
  by (simp add: subcringI' carrier_is_subring)
lemma (in ring) subcring_inter:
  assumes "subcring I R" and "subcring J R"
  shows "subcring (I ∩ J) R"
  using subcringE[OF assms(1)] subcringE[OF assms(2)]
         subcringI[of "I ∩ J"] subringI[of "I ∩ J"] by auto
lemma (in ring) subcring_Inter:
  \text{assumes }\n\% \Lambda\text{I. I} \in \text{S} \implies \text{subering I R" and }\n\% \neq \text{I}\n\}shows "subcring (\bigcap S) R"
proof (rule subcringI)
  show "subring (\bigcap S) R"
    using subcring.axioms(1)[of _ R] subring_Inter[of S] assms by auto
next
  \text{fix } h1 \text{ h2} \text{ assume } h1: \text{ "}h1 \in \bigcap S \text{ " and } h2: \text{ "}h2 \in \bigcap S \text{ " }obtain S' where S': "S' ∈ S"
    using assms(2) by blast
  hence "h1 ∈ S'" "h2 ∈ S'"
    using h1 h2 by blast+
  thus "h1 \otimes h2 = h2 \otimes h1"
    using subcring.sub_m_comm[OF assms(1)[OF S']] by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) subcring_iff:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "subcring H R \longleftrightarrow cring (R ( carrier := H ))"
proof
  assume A: "subcring H R"
  hence ring: "ring (R (| carrier := H |))"
    using subring_iff[OF assms] subcring.axioms(1)[OF A] by simp
  moreover have "comm_monoid (R (| carrier := H |))"
    using monoid.monoid_comm_monoidI[OF ring.is_monoid[OF ring]]
           subcring.sub_m_comm[OF A] by auto
  ultimately show "cring (R \parallel \text{carrier} := H \parallel)"
    using cring_def by blast
next
  assume A: "cring (R (| carrier := H |))"
  hence "subring H R"
    using cring.axioms(1) subring_iff[OF assms] by simp
  moreover have "comm_monoid (R (| carrier := H |))"
```

```
using A unfolding cring_def by simp
  hence'' \wedge h1 h2. [ h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 \otimes h2 = h2 \otimes h1''using comm_monoid.m_comm[of "R (| carrier := H |)"] by auto
  ultimately show "subcring H R"
    unfolding subcring_def subcring_axioms_def by auto
qed
```
#### **32.2.3 Subdomains**

```
lemma (in ring) subdomainI:
  assumes "subcring H R"
     and "1 \neq 0"and "\wedgeh1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 ⊗ h2 = 0 \implies h1 = 0 \vee h2
= 0"
  shows "subdomain H R"
  unfolding subdomain_def subdomain_axioms_def using assms by simp+
lemma (in domain) subdomainI':
  assumes "subring H R"
  shows "subdomain H R"
proof (rule subdomainI[OF subcringI[OF assms]], simp_all)
   \texttt{show} "\texttt{\textbackslash}h1 h2. [\texttt{h1} \in \texttt{H}; h2 \in H \texttt{I} \implies h1 \otimes h2 = h2 \otimes h1"
     using m_comm subringE(1)[OF assms] by auto
   show "∧h1 h2. [n1 \in H; h2 \in H; h1 ⊗ h2 = 0 ] \implies (h1 = 0) \vee (h2 =
(0)"
     using integral subringE(1)[OF assms] by auto
qed
lemma subdomainE:
  assumes "subdomain H R"
  shows "H ⊆ carrier R"
    and "0_R \in H"and "1_R \in H"and H \neq {\}"
     and "\wedgeh. h ∈ H \implies \ominus<sub>R</sub> h ∈ H"
     and "\Lambdah1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 ⊗<sub>R</sub> h2 ∈ H"
     and "\Lambdah1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 \oplus<sub>R</sub> h2 ∈ H"
     and "\Lambdah1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ] \implies h1 ⊗<sub>R</sub> h2 = h2 ⊗<sub>R</sub> h1"
     and "\wedgeh1 h2. [h1 ∈ H; h2 ∈ H ]] \Rightarrow h1 ⊗<sub>R</sub> h2 = 0<sub>R</sub> \Rightarrow h1 = 0<sub>R</sub> ∨
h2 = 0_R"
     and "1_R \neq 0_R"using subcringE[OF subdomain.axioms(1)[OF assms]] assms
  unfolding subdomain_def subdomain_axioms_def by auto
lemma (in ring) subdomain_iff:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "subdomain H R \longleftrightarrow domain (R ( carrier := H ))"
proof
  assume A: "subdomain H R"
```

```
hence cring: "cring (R (| carrier := H |))"
    using subcring_iff[OF assms] subdomain.axioms(1)[OF A] by simp
  thus "domain (R \text{ (} current \text{ or } t \text{ = } H \text{ )})"
    using domain.intro[OF cring] subdomain.subintegral[OF A] subdomain.sub_one_not_zero[OF
A]
    unfolding domain_axioms_def by auto
next
  assume A: "domain (R (| carrier := H |))"
  hence subcring: "subcring H R"
    using subcring_iff[OF assms] unfolding domain_def by simp
 thus "subdomain H R"
    using subdomain.intro[OF subcring] domain.integral[OF A] domain.one_not_zero[OF
A]
    unfolding subdomain_axioms_def by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) subring_is_domain:
 assumes "subring H R" shows "domain (R (| carrier := H |))"
  using subdomainI'[OF assms] unfolding subdomain_iff[OF subringE(1)[OF
assms]] .
lemma (in ring) subdomain_is_domain:
  assumes "subdomain H R" shows "domain (R (| carrier := H |))"
  using assms unfolding subdomain_iff[OF subdomainE(1)[OF assms]] .
32.2.4 Subfields
lemma (in ring) subfieldI:
  assumes "subcring K R" and "Units (R ( carrier := K )) = K - { 0 }"
 shows "subfield K R"
proof (rule subfield.intro)
 show "subfield_axioms K R"
    using assms(2) unfolding subfield_axioms_def .
 show "subdomain K R"
  proof (rule subdomainI[OF assms(1)], auto)
```

```
have subM: "submonoid K R"
```

```
using subring.axioms(2)[OF subcring.axioms(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
```

```
show contr: "1 = 0 \implies False"
proof -
  assume one_eq_zero: "1 = 0"have "1 ∈ K" and "1 ⊗ 1 = 1"
    using submonoid.one_closed[OF subM] by simp+
  hence "1 ∈ Units (R (| carrier := K |))"
   unfolding Units_def by (simp, blast)
  hence "1 \neq 0"using assms(2) by simp
  thus False
```

```
using one_eq_zero by simp
    qed
    fix k1 k2 assume k1: "k1 ∈ K" and k2: "k2 ∈ K" "k2 \neq 0" and k12:
"k1 ⊗ k2 = 0"
    obtain k2' where k2': "k2' ∈ K" "k2' ⊗ k2 = 1" "k2 ⊗ k2' = 1"
      using assms(2) k2 unfolding Units_def by auto
    have "0 = (k1 \otimes k2) \otimes k2"using k12 k2'(1) submonoid.mem_carrier[OF subM] by fastforce
    also have "... = k1"
      using k1 k2(1) k2'(1,3) submonoid.mem_carrier[OF subM] by (simp
add: m_assoc)
    finally have "0 = k1" .
    thus "k1 = 0" by simp
  qed
qed
lemma (in field) subfieldI':
  assumes "subring K R" and "\bigwedgek. k ∈ K - { 0 } \implies inv k ∈ K"
  shows "subfield K R"
proof (rule subfieldI)
 show "subcring K R"
    using subcringI[OF assms(1)] m_comm subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] by auto
 show "Units (R \text{ (} \text{ carrier } := K \text{ )}) = K - \text{ (} 0 \text{ )}"
 proof
    show "K - { 0 } \subset Units (R (| carrier := K |))"
    proof
      fix k assume k: "k \in K - \{0\}"
      hence inv k: "inv k \in K"
        using assms(2) by simp
      moreover have "k ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
        using subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] k by auto
      ultimately have "k \otimes inv k = 1" "inv k \otimes k = 1"
        by (simp add: field_Units)+
      thus "k \in Units (R ( carrier := K ))"
        unfolding Units_def using k inv_k by auto
    qed
  next
    show "Units (R ( carrier := K )) \subseteq K - { 0 }"
    proof
      fix k assume k: "k \in Units (R (| carrier := K |))"
      then obtain k' where k': "k' ∈ K" "k ⊗ k' = 1"
        unfolding Units_def by auto
      hence "k ∈ carrier R" and "k' ∈ carrier R"
        using k subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] unfolding Units_def by auto
      hence "0 = 1" if "k = 0"using that k'(2) by auto
      thus "k \in K - \{ 0 \}"
        using k unfolding Units_def by auto
```

```
qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in field) carrier_is_subfield: "subfield (carrier R) R"
  by (auto intro: subfieldI[OF carrier_is_subcring] simp add: field_Units)
lemma subfieldE:
  assumes "subfield K R"
  shows "subring K R" and "subcring K R"
    and "K ⊆ carrier R"
    and "\bigwedge k1 k2. [k1 ∈ K; k2 ∈ K ] \implies k1 \otimes_R k2 = k2 \otimes_R k1"
    and "\bigwedge k1 k2. [k1 ∈ K; k2 ∈ K ]] \implies k1 \otimes_R k2 = 0_R \implies k1 = 0_R \veek2 = 0_R"
    and "1_R \neq 0_R"using subdomain.axioms(1)[OF subfield.axioms(1)[OF assms]] subcring_def
         subdomainE(1, 8, 9, 10)[OF subfield.axioms(1)[OF assms]] by auto
lemma (in ring) subfield_m_inv:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "k \in K - { 0 }"
  shows "inv k ∈ K - { 0 }" and "k \otimes inv k = 1" and "inv k \otimes k = 1"
proof -
  have K: "subring K R" "submonoid K R"
    using subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] subring.axioms(2) by auto
  have monoid: "monoid (R (| carrier := K |))"
    using submonoid.submonoid is monoid[OF subring.axioms(2)[OF K(1)]
is monoid] .
  have "monoid R"
    by (simp add: monoid_axioms)
  hence k: "k ∈ Units (R (| carrier := K ))"
    using subfield.subfield_Units[OF assms(1)] assms(2) by blast
  hence unit_of_R: "k ∈ Units R"
    using assms(2) subringE(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] unfolding
Units_def by auto
  have "inv_{(R (\alpha \text{ carrier}) : K \text{ }})) k \in \text{ Units } (R (\alpha \text{ carrier}) : K \text{ }})"
    by (simp add: k monoid monoid.Units_inv_Units)
  hence "inv_{(R} \text{ ( carrier := } K \text{ )}) k \in K - \text{ { 0 } } }using subfield.subfield_Units[OF assms(1)] by blast
  thus "inv k \in K - \{ 0 \}" and "k ⊗ inv k = 1" and "inv k \otimes k = 1"
    using Units_l_inv[OF unit_of_R] Units_r_inv[OF unit_of_R]
    using monoid.m_inv_monoid_consistent[OF monoid_axioms k K(2)] by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) subfield_m_inv_simprule:
  assumes "subfield K R"
  shows "[k \in K - \{ 0 \} ; a \in \text{carrier } R] \implies k \otimes a \in K \implies a \in K"
proof -
```

```
note subring_props = subringE[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]]
 assume A: "k ∈ K - { 0 }" "a ∈ carrier R" "k ⊗ a ∈ K"
 then obtain k' where k': "k' ∈ K" "k ⊗ a = k'" by blast
  have inv k: "inv k ∈ K" "inv k \otimes k = 1"
    using subfield_m_inv[OF assms A(1)] by auto
 hence "inv k \otimes (k \otimes a) \in K"
    using k' A(3) subring_props(6) by auto
  thus "a ∈ K"
    using m assoc[of "inv k" k a] A(2) inv k subring props(1)
    by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) A(1) Diff_iff l_one subsetCE)
qed
lemma (in ring) subfield_iff:
 shows "[[ field (R (| carrier := K |)); K \subseteq carrier R \rVert \implies subfield K
R<sup>II</sup>and "subfield K R \implies field (R ( carrier := K ))"
proof-
 assume A: "field (R ( carrier := K ( ))" "K \subseteq carrier R"
  have "
V
k1 k2. [[ k1 ∈ K; k2 ∈ K ]] =⇒ k1 ⊗ k2 = k2 ⊗ k1"
    using comm_monoid.m_comm[OF cring.axioms(2)[OF fieldE(1)[OF A(1)]]]
by simp
  moreover have "subring K R"
    using ring_incl_imp_subring[OF A(2) cring.axioms(1)[OF fieldE(1)[OF
A(1)]]] .
  ultimately have "subcring K R"
    using subcringI by simp
  thus "subfield K R"
    using field.field_Units[OF A(1)] subfieldI by auto
next
  assume A: "subfield K R"
  have cring: "cring (R (| carrier := K |))"
    using subcring_iff[OF subringE(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF A]]] subfieldE(2)[OF
A] by simp
  thus "field (R \parallel \text{carrier} := K \parallel)"
    using cring.cring_fieldI[OF cring] subfield.subfield_Units[OF A] by
simp
qed
lemma (in field) subgroup_mult_of :
 assumes "subfield K R"
 shows "subgroup (K - \{0\}) (mult_of R)"
proof (intro group.group_incl_imp_subgroup[OF field_mult_group])
 show "K - \{0\} \subseteq carrier (mult_of R)"
    by (simp add: Diff_mono assms carrier_mult_of subfieldE(3))
 show "group ((mult_of R) ( carrier := K - {0} ))"
    using field.field mult group[OF subfield iff(2)[OF assms]]
    unfolding mult_of_def by simp
qed
```
#### **32.3 Subring Homomorphisms**

```
lemma (in ring) hom_imp_img_subring:
  assumes "h \in \text{ring} hom R S" and "subring K R"
 shows "ring (S ( carrier := h ' K, one := h 1, zero := h 0 )"
proof -
 have [simp]: "h 1 = 1_S"using assms ring_hom_one by blast
  have "ring (R \parallel \text{carrier} := K \parallel)"
    by (simp add: assms(2) subring_is_ring)
  moreover have "h ∈ ring_hom (R (| carrier := K |)) S"
    using assms subringE(1)[OF assms (2)] unfolding ring_hom_def
    apply simp
    apply blast
    done
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using ring.ring_hom_imp_img_ring[of "R (| carrier := K |)" h S] by simp
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) img_is_subring:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "subring (h ' K) S"
proof -
 have "ring (S \parallel \text{carrier} := h \land K)"
    using R.hom_imp_img_subring[OF homh assms] hom_zero hom_one by simp
  moreover have "h ' K ⊆ carrier S"
    using ring_hom_memE(1)[OF homh] subringE(1)[OF assms] by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using ring_incl_imp_subring by simp
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) img_is_subfield:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "1_S \neq 0_S"
 shows "inj_on h K" and "subfield (h ' K) S"
proof -
  have K: "K ⊆ carrier R" "subring K R" "subring (h ' K) S"
    using subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] subringE(1) img_is_subring by auto
  have field: "field (R (| carrier := K |))"
    using R.subfield_iff(2) ‹ subfield K R› by blast
  moreover have ring: "ring (R (| carrier := K |))"
    using K R.ring_axioms R.subring_is_ring by blast
  moreover have ringS: "ring (S (| carrier := h ' K |))"
    using subring_is_ring K by simp
  ultimately have h: "h \in ring hom (R (| carrier := K |)) (S (| carrier :=
h ' K |))"
    unfolding ring_hom_def apply auto
    using ring_hom_memE[OF homh] K
    by (meson contra_subsetD)+
 hence ring_hom: "ring_hom_ring (R (| carrier := K |)) (S (| carrier :=
h ' K |)) h"
    using ring_axioms ring ringS ring_hom_ringI2 by blast
```

```
have "h ' K \neq { 0_S }"
    using subfieldE(1, 5)[OF assms(1)] subringE(3) assms(2)
    by (metis hom_one image_eqI singletonD)
  thus "inj_on h K"
    using ring_hom_ring.non_trivial_field_hom_imp_inj[OF ring_hom field]
by auto
 hence "h ∈ ring iso (R (| carrier := K )) (S (| carrier := h ' K ))"
    using h unfolding ring_iso_def bij_betw_def by auto
 hence "field (S \parallel \text{ carrier} := h \land K))"
    using field.ring_iso_imp_img_field[OF field, of h "S (| carrier :=
h ' K |)"] by auto
 thus "subfield (h ' K) S"
    using S.subfield_iff[of "h ' K"] K(1) ring_hom_memE(1)[OF homh] by
blast
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) induced_ring_hom:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "ring_hom_ring (R (| carrier := K |)) S h"
proof -
 have "h \in ring_hom (R \parallel carrier := K \parallel) S"
    using homh subringE(1)[OF assms] unfolding ring_hom_def
    by (auto, meson hom_mult hom_add subsetCE)+
  thus ?thesis
    using R.subring is ring[OF assms] ring axioms
    unfolding ring_hom_ring_def ring_hom_ring_axioms_def by auto
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) inj_on_subgroup_iff_trivial_ker:
 assumes "subring K R"
 shows "inj_on h K \longleftrightarrow a_kernel (R (| carrier := K |)) S h = { 0 }"
 using ring_hom_ring.inj_iff_trivial_ker[OF induced_ring_hom[OF assms]]
by simp
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) inv_ring_hom:
 assumes "inj_on h K" and "subring K R"
 shows "ring_hom_ring (S ( carrier := h ' K )) R (inv_into K h)"
proof (intro ring_hom_ringI[OF _ R.ring_axioms], auto)
 show "ring (S ( carrier := h ' K ())"
    using subring_is_ring[OF img_is_subring[OF assms(2)]] .
next
 show "inv into K h 1_S = 1_R"
    using assms(1) subringE(3)[OF assms(2)] hom_one by (simp add: inv_into_f_eq)
next
 fix k1 k2
 assume k1: "k1 ∈ K" and k2: "k2 ∈ K"
  with ‹ k1 ∈ K› show "inv_into K h (h k1) ∈ carrier R"
```

```
using assms(1) subringE(1)[OF assms(2)] by (simp add: subset_iff)
  from ‹ k1 ∈ K› and ‹ k2 ∈ K›
  have "h k1 \opluss h k2 = h (k1 \oplus<sub>R</sub> k2)" and "k1 \oplus<sub>R</sub> k2 \in K"
   and "h k1 ⊗<sub>S</sub> h k2 = h (k1 ⊗<sub>R</sub> k2)" and "k1 ⊗<sub>R</sub> k2 ∈ K"
    using subringE(1,6,7)[OF assms(2)] by (simp add: subset_iff)+
  thus "inv_into K h (h k1 \oplus_S h k2) = inv_into K h (h k1) \oplus_R inv_into
K h (h k2)"
   and "inv_into K h (h k1 \otimes_S h k2) = inv_into K h (h k1) \otimes_R inv_into
K h (h k2)"
    using assms(1) k1 k2 by simp+
qed
end
```

```
theory Polynomials
 imports Ring Ring_Divisibility Subrings
```
**begin**

## **33 Polynomials**

#### **33.1 Definitions**

```
abbreviation lead_coeff :: "'a list ⇒ 'a"
  where "lead_coeff ≡ hd"
abbreviation degree :: "'a list ⇒ nat"
  where "degree p \equiv length p - 1"
definition polynomial :: "_ ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool" ("polynomialı ")
  where "polynomial<sub>R</sub> K p \longleftrightarrow p = [] \vee (set p \subseteq K \wedge lead_coeff p \neq 0<sub>R</sub>)"
definition (in ring) monom :: "'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list"
  where "monom a n = a # (replicate n 0<sub>R</sub>)"
fun (in ring) eval :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a"
  where
     "eval [] = (\lambda_-. 0)"
  | "eval p = (\lambda x. ((lead-coeff p) \otimes (x [\hat{}) (degree p))) \oplus (eval (tlp) x))"
fun (in ring) coeff :: "'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a"
  where
     "coeff [] = (\lambda \cdot 0)"| "coeff p = (\lambda i). if i = degree p then lead_coeff p else (coeff (tl
p)) i)"
```

```
fun (in ring) normalize :: "'a list ⇒ 'a list"
  where
    "normalize [] = []"
  | "normalize p = (if lead-coeff p \neq 0 then p else normalize (tl p))"fun (in ring) poly_add :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list"
  where "poly add p1 p2 =(if length p1 > length p2then normalize (map2 (\oplus) p1 ((replicate (length p1 - length
p2) 0) @ p2))
             else poly_add p2 p1)"
fun (in ring) poly_mult :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list"
  where
    "poly_mult [] p2 = []"
  | "poly_mult p1 p2 =
       poly_add ((map (\lambdaa. lead_coeff p1 \otimes a) p2) @ (replicate (degree
p1) 0)) (poly_mult (tl p1) p2)"
fun (in ring) dense_repr :: "'a list \Rightarrow ('a \times nat) list"
  where
    "dense_repr [] = []"
  | "dense_repr p = (if lead_coeff p \neq 0then (lead_coeff p, degree p) # (dense_repr (tl p))
                       else (dense_repr (tl p)))"
fun (in ring) poly of dense :: "('a × nat) list \Rightarrow 'a list"
  where "poly of dense dl = foldr (\lambda(a, n) l. poly add (monom a n) l)
dl []"
definition (in ring) poly_of_const :: "'a \Rightarrow 'a list"
  where "poly_of_const = (\lambda k. normalize [k]"
33.2 Basic Properties
context ring
begin
lemma polynomialI [intro]: "[[ set p \subseteq K; lead_coeff p \neq 0 ]] \implies polynomial
K p"
  unfolding polynomial_def by auto
lemma polynomial_incl: "polynomial K p \implies set p \subseteq K"
  unfolding polynomial_def by auto
lemma monom_in_carrier [intro]: "a ∈ carrier R =⇒ set (monom a n) ⊆
carrier R"
  unfolding monom_def by auto
```

```
lemma lead_coeff_not_zero: "polynomial K (a # p) \implies a \in K - { 0 }"
  unfolding polynomial_def by simp
lemma zero_is_polynomial [intro]: "polynomial K []"
  unfolding polynomial_def by simp
lemma const is polynomial [intro]: "a ∈ K - { 0 } \implies polynomial K [
a ]"
  unfolding polynomial_def by auto
lemma normalize_gives_polynomial: "set p \subseteq K \implies polynomial K (normalize
p)"
 by (induction p) (auto simp add: polynomial_def)
lemma normalize_in_carrier: "set p \subseteq carrier R \implies set (normalize p)
⊆ carrier R"
 by (induction p) (auto)
lemma normalize_polynomial: "polynomial K p \implies normalize p = p"
  unfolding polynomial_def by (cases p) (auto)
lemma normalize_idem: "normalize ((normalize p) @ q) = normalize (p @
q)"
 by (induct p) (auto)
lemma normalize length le: "length (normalize p) \leq length p"
 by (induction p) (auto)
lemma eval in carrier: "[[ set p \subset carrier R; x \in carrier R ]] \implies (eval
p) x ∈ carrier R"
 by (induction p) (auto)
lemma coeff_in_carrier [simp]: "set p ⊆ carrier R =⇒ (coeff p) i ∈
carrier R"
 by (induction p) (auto)
lemma lead_coeff_simp [simp]: "p \neq [] \implies (coeff p) (degree p) = lead_coeff
p"
 by (metis coeff.simps(2) list.exhaust_sel)
lemma coeff_list: "map (coeff p) (rev [0..< length p]) = p"
proof (induction p)
 case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons a p)
 have "map (coeff (a # p)) (rev [0..<length (a # p)]) =
         a # (map (coeff p) (rev [0..<length p]))"
    by auto
 also have " ... = a # p"
```

```
using Cons by simp
  finally show ?case .
qed
lemma coeff_nth: "i < length p \implies (coeff p) i = p ! (length p - 1 -
i)"
proof -
  assume i_lt: "i < length p"
  hence "(coeff p) i = (map (coeff p) [0..< length p]) ! i"
    by simp
  also have " ... = (rev (map (coeff p) (rev [0..< length p]))) ! i"
    by (simp add: rev_map)
  also have " ... = (map (coeff p) (rev [0..< length p])) ! (length p
- 1 - i<sup>"</sup>
    using coeff_list i_lt rev_nth by auto
  also have " ... = p ! (length p - 1 - i)"
    using coeff_list[of p] by simp
  finally show "(coeff p) i = p ! (length p - 1 - i)".
qed
lemma coeff_iff_length_cond:
  assumes "length p1 = length p2"
  shows "p1 = p2 \leftrightarrow coeff p1 = coeff p2"
proof
  show "p1 = p2 \implies coeff p1 = coeff p2"
    by simp
next
  assume A: "coeff p1 = coeff p2"
  have "p1 = map (coeff p1) (rev [0..\times \text{length p1}])"
    using coeff_list[of p1] by simp
  also have " ... = map (coeff p2) (rev [0..< length p2])"
    using A assms by simp
  also have " ... = p2"
    using coeff_list[of p2] by simp
  finally show "p1 = p2" .
qed
lemma coeff_img_restrict: "(coeff p) ' {..< length p} = set p"
  using coeff_list[of p] by (metis atLeast_upt image_set set_rev)
{\rm lemma\,\;coeff\_length}\colon\; \lq\wedge {\rm i}\,.\,\; {\rm i}\, \geq\, {\rm length\,\;} {\rm p}\, \Longrightarrow\, (coeff {\rm p})\, {\rm i}\,=\,0"
  by (induction p) (auto)
{\rm lemma\,\;coeff\_degree}\colon "\bigwedge {\rm i}. i > degree {\rm p}\implies (coeff {\rm p}) i = 0"
  using coeff_length by (simp)
lemma replicate zero coeff [simp]: "coeff (replicate n 0) = (\lambda \cdot 0)"
  by (induction n) (auto)
```

```
lemma scalar_coeff: "a \in carrier R \implies coeff (map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p) = (\lambdai.
a ⊗ (coeff p) i)"
  by (induction p) (auto)
lemma monom coeff: "coeff (monom a n) = (\lambdai. if i = n then a else 0)"
  unfolding monom_def by (induction n) (auto)
lemma coeff_img:
  "(coeff p) ' \{..\langle\ \text{length } p\}\ =\ \text{set } p"
  "(coeff p) ' { length p . } = { 0 }"
  "(coeff p) ' UNIV = (set p) \cup { 0 }"
  using coeff_img_restrict
proof (simp)
  show coeff_img_up: "(coeff p) ' { length p ..} = { 0 }"
    using coeff_length[of p] by force
  from coeff_img_up and coeff_img_restrict[of p]
  show "(coeff p) ' UNIV = (set p) \cup { 0 }"
    by force
qed
lemma degree_def':
  assumes "polynomial K p"
  shows "degree p = (LEAST n. \forall i. i > n \rightarrow (coeff p) i = 0)"
proof (cases p)
  case Nil thus ?thesis by auto
next
  define P where "P = (\lambda n. \forall i. i > n \rightarrow (coeff p) i = 0)"
  case (Cons a ps)
  hence "(coeff p) (degree p) \neq 0"
    using assms unfolding polynomial_def by auto
  hence " \wedge n. n < degree p \implies \neg P n"unfolding P_def by auto
  moreover have "P (degree p)"
    unfolding P_def using coeff_degree[of p] by simp
  ultimately have "degree p = (LEAST n. P n)"
    by (meson LeastI nat_neq_iff not_less_Least)
  thus ?thesis unfolding P_def .
qed
lemma coeff_iff_polynomial_cond:
  assumes "polynomial K p1" and "polynomial K p2"
  shows "p1 = p2 \leftrightarrow coeff p1 = coeff p2"
proof
  show "p1 = p2 \implies coeff p1 = coeff p2"
    by simp
next
  assume coeff eq: "coeff p1 = coeff p2"
  hence deg_eq: "degree p1 = degree p2"
```

```
using degree_def'[OF assms(1)] degree_def'[OF assms(2)] by auto
  thus "p1 = p2"
  proof (cases)
    assume "p1 \neq [] \land p2 \neq []"
    hence "length p1 = length p2"
      using deg_eq by (simp add: Nitpick.size_list_simp(2))
    thus ?thesis
      using coeff_iff_length_cond[of p1 p2] coeff_eq by simp
  next
    { fix p1 p2 assume A: "p1 = []" "coeff p1 = coeff p2" "polynomial
K p2"
      have "p2 = []"
      proof (rule ccontr)
        assume "p2 \neq []"
        hence "(coeff p2) (degree p2) \neq 0"
          using A(3) unfolding polynomial_def
          by (metis coeff.simps(2) list.collapse)
        moreover have "(coeff p1) ' UNIV = \{ 0 \}"
          using A(1) by auto
        hence "(coeff p2) ' UNIV = { 0 }"
          using A(2) by simp
        ultimately show False
          by blast
      qed } note aux_lemma = this
    assume "\neg (p1 \neq [] \land p2 \neq [])"
    hence "p1 = [] \vee p2 = []" by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using assms coeff_eq aux_lemma[of p1 p2] aux_lemma[of p2 p1] by
auto
  qed
qed
lemma normalize_lead_coeff:
  assumes "length (normalize p) < length p"
  shows "lead_coeff p = 0"
proof (cases p)
  case Nil thus ?thesis
    using assms by simp
next
  case (Cons a ps) thus ?thesis
    using assms by (cases "a = 0") (auto)
qed
lemma normalize_length_lt:
  assumes "lead_coeff p = 0" and "length p > 0"
  shows "length (normalize p) < length p"
proof (cases p)
  case Nil thus ?thesis
    using assms by simp
```

```
next
  case (Cons a ps) thus ?thesis
    using normalize_length_le[of ps] assms by simp
qed
lemma normalize_length_eq:
 assumes "lead coeff p \neq 0"
 shows "length (normalize p) = length p"
  using normalize_length_le[of p] assms nat_less_le normalize_lead_coeff
by auto
lemma normalize_replicate_zero: "normalize ((replicate n 0) @ p) = normalize
p"
 by (induction n) (auto)
lemma normalize_def':
 shows "p = (replicate (length p - length (normalize p)) 0) @
                    (drop (length p - length (normalize p)) p)" (is ?statement1)
 and "normalize p = drop (length p - length (normalize p)) p" (is ?statement2)
proof -
 show ?statement1
 proof (induction p)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
 next
    case (Cons a p) thus ?case
   proof (cases "a = 0")
      assume "a \neq 0" thus ?case
       using Cons by simp
   next
      assume eq_zero: "a = 0"
      hence len_eq:
        "Suc (length p - length (normalize p)) = length (a \# p) - length(normalize (a # p))"
       by (simp add: Suc_diff_le normalize_length_le)
      have "a # p = 0 # (replicate (length p - length (normalize p)) 0
@
                              drop (length p - length (normalize p)) p)"
        using eq_zero Cons by simp
      also have " ... = (replicate (Suc (length p - length (normalize
p))) 0 @
                              drop (Suc (length p - length (normalize
p))) (a # p))"
       by simp
      also have " ... = (replicate (length (a # p) - length (normalize
(a \# p)) 0 0
                              drop (length (a # p) - length (normalize
(a \# p)) (a \# p)"
        using len_eq by simp
      finally show ?case .
```

```
qed
  qed
next
  show ?statement2
  proof -
    have "∃m. normalize p = drop m p"
    proof (induction p)
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons a p) thus ?case
        apply (cases "a = 0")
        apply (auto)
        apply (metis drop_Suc_Cons)
        apply (metis drop0)
        done
    qed
    then obtain m where m: "normalize p = drop m p" by auto
    hence "length (normalize p) = length p - m" by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using m by (metis rev_drop rev_rev_ident take_rev)
  qed
qed
corollary normalize_trick:
  shows "p = (replicate (length p - length (normalize p)) 0) @ (normalize
p)"
  using normalize \text{def'}(1) [of p] unfolding sym [OF normalize \text{def'}(2)] .
lemma normalize_coeff: "coeff p = coeff (normalize p)"
proof (induction p)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a p)
  have "coeff (normalize p) (length p) = 0"
    using normalize_length_le[of p] coeff_degree[of "normalize p"] coeff_length
by blast
  then show ?case
    using Cons by (cases "a = 0") (auto)
qed
lemma append_coeff:
  "coeff (p \mathbb{Q} q) = (\lambdai. if i < length q then (coeff q) i else (coeff p)
(i - length q)"
proof (induction p)
  case Nil thus ?case
    using coeff_length[of q] by auto
next
  case (Cons a p)
  have "coeff ((a \# p) @ q) = (\lambda i. if i = length p + length q then a else
```

```
(coeff (p @ q)) i)"
    by auto
  also have " \ldots = (\lambdai. if i = length p + length q then a
                          else if i < length q then (coeff q) i
                          else (coeff p) (i - length q))"
    using Cons by auto
  also have " \ldots = (\lambdai. if i < length q then (coeff q) i
                          else if i = length p + length q then a else (coeff
p) (i - length q)"
    by auto
  also have " \ldots = (\lambdai. if i < length q then (coeff q) i
                          else if i - length q = length p then a else (coeff
p) (i - length q)"
    by fastforce
  also have " \ldots = (\lambdai. if i < length q then (coeff q) i else (coeff
(a \# p)) (i - length q)"
    by auto
  finally show ?case .
qed
lemma prefix_replicate_zero_coeff: "coeff p = coeff ((replicate n 0)
@ p)"
  using append_coeff[of "replicate n 0" p] replicate_zero_coeff[of n]
coeff_length[of p] by auto
context
  fixes K :: "'a set" assumes K: "subring K R"
begin
lemma polynomial_in_carrier [intro]: "polynomial K p \implies set p \subseteq carrier
R"
  unfolding polynomial_def using subringE(1)[OF K] by auto
lemma carrier_polynomial [intro]: "polynomial K p =⇒ polynomial (carrier
R) p"
  unfolding polynomial_def using subringE(1)[OF K] by auto
lemma append_is_polynomial: "[[ polynomial K p; p \neq []]] \implies polynomial
K (p @ (replicate n 0))"
  unfolding polynomial_def using subringE(2)[OF K] by auto
lemma lead_coeff_in_carrier: "polynomial K (a # p) \Rightarrow a \in carrier R
- f 0 }"
  unfolding polynomial_def using subringE(1)[OF K] by auto
lemma monom is polynomial [intro]: "a ∈ K - { 0 } \implies polynomial K (monom
a \neq n)"
  unfolding polynomial_def monom_def using subringE(2)[OF K] by auto
```

```
lemma eval_poly_in_carrier: "[[ polynomial K p; x ∈ carrier R ]] =⇒ (eval
p) x ∈ carrier R"
 using eval_in_carrier[OF polynomial_in_carrier] .
lemma poly_coeff_in_carrier [simp]: "polynomial K p \implies coeff p i \incarrier R"
 using coeff_in_carrier[OF polynomial_in_carrier] .
```
**end**

### **33.3 Polynomial Addition**

```
context
  fixes K :: "'a set" assumes K: "subring K R"
begin
lemma poly_add_is_polynomial:
  assumes "set p1 ⊆ K" and "set p2 ⊆ K"
  shows "polynomial K (poly_add p1 p2)"
proof -
  { fix p1 p2 assume A: "set p1 ⊆ K" "set p2 ⊆ K" "length p1 ≥ length
p2"
    hence "polynomial K (poly_add p1 p2)"
    proof -
      define p2' where "p2' = (replicate (length p1 - length p2) 0) 0p2"
      hence "set p2' \subset K'' and "length p1 = length p2'"
        using A(2-3) subringE(2)[OF K] by auto
      hence "set (map2 (⊕) p1 p2') ⊆ K"
        using A(1) subringE(7)[OF K]
        by (induct p1) (auto, metis set_ConsD subsetD set_zip_leftD set_zip_rightD)
      thus ?thesis
        unfolding p2'_def using normalize_gives_polynomial A(3) by simp
    qed }
  thus ?thesis
    using assms by auto
qed
lemma poly_add_closed: "[[ polynomial K p1; polynomial K p2 ]] \implies polynomial
K (poly_add p1 p2)"
  using poly_add_is_polynomial polynomial_incl by simp
lemma poly_add_length_eq:
  assumes "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" and "length p1 \neq length
p2"
  shows "length (poly_add p1 p2) = max (length p1) (length p2)"
proof -
  { fix p1 p2 assume A: "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" "length p1
```

```
> length p2"
    hence "length (poly_add p1 p2) = max (length p1) (length p2)"
    proof -
      let ?p2 = "(replicate (length p1 - length p2) 0) @ p2"
      have p1: "p1 \neq []" and p2: "?p2 \neq []"
        using A(3) by auto
      then have "zip p1 (replicate (length p1 - length p2) 0 @ p2) =
zip (lead coeff p1 # tl p1) (lead coeff (replicate (length p1 - length
p2) 0 @ p2) # tl (replicate (length p1 - length p2) 0 @ p2))"
        by auto
      hence "lead_coeff (map2 (⊕) p1 ?p2) = lead_coeff p1 ⊕ lead_coeff
?p2"
        by simp
      moreover have "lead_coeff p1 ∈ carrier R"
        using p1 A(1) lead_coeff_in_carrier[OF K, of "hd p1" "tl p1"]
by auto
      ultimately have "lead_coeff (map2 (⊕) p1 ?p2) = lead_coeff p1"
        using A(3) by auto
      moreover have "lead_coeff p1 \neq 0"
        using p1 A(1) unfolding polynomial_def by simp
      ultimately have "length (normalize (map2 (⊕) p1 ?p2)) = length
p1"
        using normalize_length_eq by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using A(3) by auto
    qed }
 thus ?thesis
    using assms by auto
qed
lemma poly_add_degree_eq:
 assumes "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" and "degree p1 \neq degree
p2"
 shows "degree (poly_add p1 p2) = max (degree p1) (degree p2)"
 using poly_add_length_eq[OF assms(1-2)] assms(3) by simp
end
lemma poly_add_in_carrier:
 "[[ set p1 \subseteq carrier R; set p2 \subseteq carrier R ]] \implies set (poly_add p1 p2)
⊆ carrier R"
 using polynomial_incl[OF poly_add_is_polynomial[OF carrier_is_subring]]
by simp
lemma poly_add_length_le: "length (poly_add p1 p2) \leq max (length p1)
(length p2)"
proof -
  { fix p1 p2 :: "'a list" assume A: "length p1 ≥ length p2"
```

```
let ?p2 = "(replicate (length p1 - length p2) 0) @ p2"
    have "length (poly_add p1 p2) ≤ max (length p1) (length p2)"
      using normalize_length_le[of "map2 (⊕) p1 ?p2"] A by auto }
 thus ?thesis
    by (metis le_cases max.commute poly_add.simps)
qed
lemma poly_add_degree: "degree (poly_add p1 p2) ≤ max (degree p1) (degree
p2)"
 using poly_add_length_le by (meson diff_le_mono le_max_iff_disj)
lemma poly_add_coeff_aux:
  assumes "length p1 \geq length p2"
 shows "coeff (poly_add p1 p2) = (\lambdai. ((coeff p1) i) \oplus ((coeff p2) i))"
proof
 fix i
 have "i < length p1 \implies (coeff (poly_add p1 p2)) i = ((coeff p1) i)
⊕ ((coeff p2) i)"
 proof -
    let ?p2 = "(replicate (length p1 - length p2) 0) @ p2"
    have len_eqs: "length p1 = length ?p2" "length (map2 (⊕) p1 ?p2)
= length p1"
      using assms by auto
    assume i_lt: "i < length p1"
    have "(coeff (poly_add p1 p2)) i = (coeff (map2 (⊕) p1 ?p2)) i"
      using normalize coeff[of "map2 (\oplus) p1 ?p2"] assms by auto
    also have " ... = (\text{map2 } (\oplus) \text{ p1 } ?p2) ! (\text{length } p1 - 1 - i)"
      using coeff nth[of i "map2 (\oplus) p1 ?p2"] len eqs(2) i lt by auto
    also have " ... = (p1! (length p1 - 1 - i)) \oplus (?p2! (length ?p2)-1 - i)using len_eqs i_lt by auto
    also have " ... = ((coeff p1) i) ⊕ ((coeff ?p2) i)"
      using coeff_nth[of i p1] coeff_nth[of i ?p2] i_lt len_eqs(1) by
auto
    also have " ... = ((\text{coeff } p1) i) \oplus ((\text{coeff } p2) i)"using prefix_replicate_zero_coeff by simp
    finally show "(coeff (poly_add p1 p2)) i = ((coeff p1) i) \oplus ((coeff
p2) i)" .
 qed
 moreover
 have "i \geq length p1 \implies (coeff (poly_add p1 p2)) i = ((coeff p1) i)
⊕ ((coeff p2) i)"
    using coeff_length[of "poly_add p1 p2"] coeff_length[of p1] coeff_length[of
p2]
          poly_add_length_le[of p1 p2] assms by auto
 ultimately show "(coeff (poly_add p1 p2)) i = ((coeff p1) i) \oplus ((coeff
p2) i)"
    using not_le by blast
qed
```

```
lemma poly_add_coeff:
 assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "coeff (poly add p1 p2) = (\lambdai. ((coeff p1) i) \oplus ((coeff p2) i))"
proof -
 have "length p1 ≥ length p2 ∨ length p2 > length p1"
    by auto
 thus ?thesis
 proof
   assume "length p1 \geq length p2" thus ?thesis
      using poly_add_coeff_aux by simp
 next
    assume "length p2 > length p1"
   hence "coeff (poly_add p1 p2) = (\lambda i. ((coeff p2) i) \oplus ((coeff p1))i))"
      using poly_add_coeff_aux by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using assms by (simp add: add.m_comm)
  qed
qed
lemma poly_add_comm:
 assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add p2 p1"
proof -
 have "coeff (poly add p1 p2) = coeff (poly add p2 p1)"
    using poly add coeff<sup>[OF</sup> assms] poly add coeff<sup>[OF</sup> assms(2) assms(1)]
          coeff in carrier[OF assms(1)] coeff in carrier[OF assms(2)]
add.m_comm by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using coeff_iff_polynomial_cond[OF
          poly_add_is_polynomial[OF carrier_is_subring assms]
          poly_add_is_polynomial[OF carrier_is_subring assms(2,1)]] by
simp
qed
lemma poly_add_monom:
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
 shows "poly_add (monom a (length p)) p = a # p"
 unfolding monom_def using assms by (induction p) (auto)
lemma poly_add_append_replicate:
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_add (p @ (replicate (length q) 0)) q = normalize (p @ q)"
proof -
 have "map2 (⊕) (p @ (replicate (length q) 0)) ((replicate (length p)
0) @ q) = p @ q"using assms by (induct p) (induct q, auto)
 thus ?thesis by simp
```

```
lemma poly_add_append_zero:
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_add (p \circ [ 0 ]) (q \circ [ 0 ]) = normalize ((poly\_add p q)@ [ 0 ])"
proof -
  have in carrier: "set (p \mathbb{C} [ 0 ]) \subset carrier R" "set (q \mathbb{C} [ 0 ]) \subset carrier
R"
    using assms by auto
 have "coeff (poly_add (p @ [ 0 ]) (q @ [ 0 ])) = coeff ((poly_add p
q) @ [ 0 ])"
    using append_coeff[of p "[ 0 ]"] poly_add_coeff[OF in_carrier]
          append_coeff[of q "[ 0 ]"] append_coeff[of "poly_add p q" "[
0 ]"]
          poly_add_coeff[OF assms] assms[THEN coeff_in_carrier] by auto
  hence "coeff (poly_add (p @ [ 0 ]) (q @ [ 0 ])) = coeff (normalize
((poly\_add p q) @ [ 0 ]))"
    using normalize_coeff by simp
  moreover have "set ((poly_add p q) @ [ 0 ]) ⊆ carrier R"
    using poly_add_in_carrier[OF assms] by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using coeff_iff_polynomial_cond[OF poly_add_is_polynomial[OF carrier_is_subring
in_carrier]
          normalize_gives_polynomial] by simp
qed
lemma poly_add_normalize_aux:
 assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add (normalize p1) p2"
proof -
  { fix n p1 p2 assume "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
    hence "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add ((replicate n 0) @ p1) p2"
    proof (induction n)
      case 0 thus ?case by simp
    next
      { fix p1 p2 :: "'a list"
        assume in_carrier: "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
        have "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add (0 # p1) p2"
        proof -
          have "length p1 \geq length p2 \implies ?thesis"
          proof -
            assume A: "length p1 \geq length p2"
            let ?p2 = "\lambda n. (replicate n 0) @ p2"
            have "poly_add p1 p2 = normalize (map2 (\oplus) (0 \# p1) (0 \# p2)?p2 (length p1 - length p2)))"
              using A by simp
            also have " ... = normalize (map2 (⊕) (0 # p1) (?p2 (length
(0 \# p1) - length p2))"
```

```
by (simp add: A Suc_diff_le)
            also have " ... = poly_add (0 # p1) p2"
              using A by simp
            finally show ?thesis .
          qed
          moreover have "length p2 > length p1 \implies ?thesis"
          proof -
            assume A: "length p2 > length p1"
            let ?f = "\lambda n p. (replicate n 0) @p"have "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add p2 p1"
              using A by simp
            also have " ... = normalize (map2 (⊕) p2 (?f (length p2 -
length p1) p1))"
              using A by simp
            also have " ... = normalize (map2 (⊕) p2 (?f (length p2 -
Suc (length p1)) (0 \# p1))"
              by (metis A Suc_diff_Suc append_Cons replicate_Suc replicate_app_Cons_same)
            also have " ... = poly_add p2 (0 # p1)"
              using A by simp
            also have " ... = poly_add (0 # p1) p2"
              using poly_add_comm[of p2 "0 # p1"] in_carrier by auto
            finally show ?thesis .
          qed
          ultimately show ?thesis by auto
        qed } note aux_lemma = this
      case (Suc n)
      hence in_carrier: "set (replicate n 0 @ p1) ⊆ carrier R"
        by auto
      have "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add (replicate n 0 @ p1) p2"
        using Suc by simp
      also have " ... = poly_add (replicate (Suc n) 0 @ p1) p2"
        using aux_lemma[OF in_carrier Suc(3)] by simp
      finally show ?case .
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
  have "poly_add p1 p2 =
        poly_add ((replicate (length p1 - length (normalize p1)) 0) @
normalize p1) p2"
    using normalize_def'[of p1] by simp
  also have " ... = poly_add (normalize p1) p2"
    using aux_lemma[OF normalize_in_carrier[OF assms(1)] assms(2)] by
simp
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma poly_add_normalize:
```

```
assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add (normalize p1) p2"
   and "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add p1 (normalize p2)"
   and "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add (normalize p1) (normalize p2)"
proof -
 show "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add p1 (normalize p2)"
    unfolding poly add comm[OF assms] poly add normalize aux [OF assms(2)
assms(1)]
             poly add comm[OF normalize in carrier[OF assms(2)] assms(1)]
by simp
next
  show "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add (normalize p1) p2"
   using poly_add_normalize_aux[OF assms] .
 also have " ... = poly_add (normalize p2) (normalize p1)"
    unfolding poly_add_comm[OF normalize_in_carrier[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
              poly_add_normalize_aux[OF assms(2) normalize_in_carrier[OF
assms(1)]] by simp
  finally show "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add (normalize p1) (normalize p2)"
    unfolding poly_add_comm[OF assms[THEN normalize_in_carrier]] .
qed
lemma poly_add_zero':
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_add p [] = normalize p" and "poly_add [] p = normalize
p"
proof -
 have "map2 (\oplus) p (replicate (length p) 0) = p"
   using assms by (induct p) (auto)
  thus "poly add p [] = normalize p" and "poly add [] p = normalize p"
    using poly_add_comm[OF assms, of "[]"] by simp+
qed
lemma poly_add_zero:
 assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p"
 shows "poly_add p [] = p" and "poly_add [] p = p"
  using poly add zero' normalize polynomial polynomial in carrier assms
by auto
lemma poly_add_replicate_zero':
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_add p (replicate n 0) = normalize p" and "poly_add (replicate
n 0) p = normalize p''proof -
  have "poly_add p (replicate n 0) = poly_add p []"
    using poly_add_normalize(2)[OF assms, of "replicate n 0"]
         normalize_replicate_zero[of n "[]"] by force
  also have " ... = normalize p"
    using poly_add_zero'[OF assms] by simp
  finally show "poly_add p (replicate n 0) = normalize p" .
```

```
thus "poly_add (replicate n 0) p = normalize p"
    using poly_add_comm[OF assms, of "replicate n 0"] by force
qed
```

```
lemma poly_add_replicate_zero:
 assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p"
 shows "poly add p (replicate n 0) = p" and "poly add (replicate n 0)
p = p''using poly_add_replicate_zero' normalize_polynomial polynomial_in_carrier
assms by auto
```
### **33.4 Dense Representation**

```
lemma dense_repr_replicate_zero: "dense_repr ((replicate n 0) @ p) =
dense_repr p"
 by (induction n) (auto)
lemma dense_repr_normalize: "dense_repr (normalize p) = dense_repr p"
 by (induct p) (auto)
lemma polynomial_dense_repr:
 assumes "polynomial K p" and "p \neq []"
 shows "dense_repr p = (lead_coeff p, degree p) # dense_repr (normalize
(tl p))"
proof -
  let ?len = length and ?norm = normalize
 obtain a p' where p: "p = a # p"using assms(2) list.exhaust_sel by blast
 hence a: "a \in K - { 0 }" and p': "set p' \subseteq K"
    using assms(1) unfolding p by (auto simp add: polynomial_def)
  hence "dense_repr p = (lead_coeff p, degree p) # dense_repr p'"
    unfolding p by simp
  also have " ... =
    (lead_coeff p, degree p) # dense_repr ((replicate (?len p' - ?len
(?norm p')) 0) @ ?norm p')"
    using normalize_def' dense_repr_replicate_zero by simp
  also have " ... = (lead_coeff p, degree p) # dense_repr (?norm p')"
    using dense_repr_replicate_zero by simp
 finally show ?thesis
    unfolding p by simp
qed
lemma monom_decomp:
 assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p"
 shows "p = poly of dense (dense repr p)"
  using assms(2)
proof (induct "length p" arbitrary: p rule: less_induct)
 case less thus ?case
  proof (cases p)
```

```
case Nil thus ?thesis by simp
 next
    case (Cons a l)
    hence a: "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }" and l: "set l ⊆ carrier R" "set
l ⊆ K"
      using less(2) subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] by (auto simp add: polynomial_def)
    hence "a # l = poly add (monom a (degree (a # l))) l"
      using poly_add_monom[of l a] by simp
    also have " ... = poly_add (monom a (degree (a # l))) (normalize l)"
      using poly_add_normalize(2)[of "monom a (degree (a # l))", OF _
1(1)] a
      unfolding monom_def by force
   also have " ... = poly_add (monom a (degree (a # l))) (poly_of_dense
(dense_repr (normalize l)))"
      using less(1)[OF _ normalize_gives_polynomial[OF l(2)]] normalize_length_le[of
11unfolding Cons by simp
    also have " ... = poly_of_dense ((a, degree (a # l)) # dense_repr
(normalize l))"
      by simp
    also have " ... = poly_of_dense (dense_repr (a # l))"
      using polynomial_dense_repr[OF less(2)] unfolding Cons by simp
    finally show ?thesis
      unfolding Cons by simp
  qed
qed
```
### **33.5 Polynomial Multiplication**

```
lemma poly_mult_is_polynomial:
 assumes "subring K R" "set p1 \subseteq K" and "set p2 \subseteq K"
 shows "polynomial K (poly_mult p1 p2)"
 using assms(2-3)
proof (induction p1)
  case Nil thus ?case
    by (simp add: polynomial_def)
next
 case (Cons a p1)
 let ?a_p2 = "(map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p2) @ (replicate (degree (a # p1)) 0)"
 have "set (poly_mult p1 p2) ⊆ K"
    using Cons unfolding polynomial_def by auto
 moreover have "set ?a_p2 ⊆ K"
      using assms(3) Cons(2) subringE(1-2,6) [OF assms(1)] by(induct p2)(auto)
  ultimately have "polynomial K (poly_add ?a_p2 (poly_mult p1 p2))"
    using poly_add_is_polynomial[OF assms(1)] by blast
  thus ?case by simp
qed
```

```
lemma poly_mult_closed:
  assumes "subring K R"
  shows "[[ polynomial K p1; polynomial K p2 \parallel \implies polynomial K (poly_mult
p1 p2)"
  using poly_mult_is_polynomial polynomial_incl assms by simp
lemma poly_mult_in_carrier:
  "[[ set p1 \subseteq carrier R; set p2 \subseteq carrier R \parallel \implies set (poly_mult p1 p2)
⊆ carrier R"
  using poly_mult_is_polynomial polynomial_in_carrier carrier_is_subring
by simp
lemma poly_mult_coeff:
  assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "coeff (poly_mult p1 p2) = (\lambdai. \bigoplus k \in \{\ldots\}. (coeff p1) k ⊗
(coeff p2) (i - k))"
  using assms(1)
proof (induction p1)
  case Nil thus ?case using assms(2) by auto
next
  case (Cons a p1)
  hence in_carrier:
    "a \in carrier R" "\bigwedgei. (coeff p1) i \in carrier R" "\bigwedgei. (coeff p2) i
∈ carrier R"
    using coeff_in_carrier assms(2) by auto
  let ?a p2 = "(map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p2) @ (replicate (degree (a # p1)) 0)"
  have "coeff (replicate (degree (a \# p1)) 0) = (\lambda_-, 0)"
   and "length (replicate (degree (a # p1)) 0) = length p1"
    using prefix_replicate_zero_coeff[of "[]" "length p1"] by auto
  hence "coeff ?a_p2 = (\lambda i. if i < length p1 then 0 else (coeff (map
(\lambda b. a \otimes b) p2)) (i - length p1))"
    using append_coeff[of "map (λb. a ⊗ b) p2" "replicate (length p1)
0"] by auto
  also have " ... = (\lambda i. \text{ if } i < \text{length } p1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else a } \otimes ((\text{coeff } p2))(i - length p1))"
  proof -
    have "∧i. i < length p2 ⇒ (coeff (map (λb. a ⊗ b) p2)) i = a ⊗
((\text{coeff } p2) i)"proof -
      fix i assume i_lt: "i < length p2"
      hence "(coeff (map (λb. a ⊗ b) p2)) i = (map (λb. a ⊗ b) p2) !
(\text{length } p2 - 1 - i)"
        using coeff_nth[of i "map (λb. a ⊗ b) p2"] by auto
      also have " ... = a ⊗ (p2 ! (length p2 - 1 - i))"
        using i_lt by auto
      also have " ... = a ⊗ ((coeff p2) i)"
        using coeff_nth[OF i_lt] by simp
```
**finally show** "(coeff (map ( $\lambda$ b. a  $\otimes$  b) p2)) i = a  $\otimes$  ((coeff p2) i)" **. qed moreover have** " $\wedge$ i. i  $\geq$  length p2  $\implies$  (coeff (map ( $\lambda$ b. a  $\otimes$  b) p2))  $i = a \otimes ((\text{coeff } p2) i)$ " **using** coeff\_length[of p2] coeff\_length[of "map (λb. a ⊗ b) p2"] in carrier by auto **ultimately show** ?thesis **by** (meson not\_le) **qed** also have " ... =  $(\lambda i. \bigoplus k \in \{\ldots i\}.$  (if  $k =$  length p1 then a else 0)  $\otimes$  (coeff p2) (i - k))" (**is** "?f1 = ( $\lambda$ **i**. ( $\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}$ . ?f2 k  $\otimes$  ?f3 (**i** - k)))") **proof fix** i **have** " V k. k ∈ {..i} =⇒ ?f2 k ⊗ ?f3 (i - k) = 0" **if** "i < length p1" **using** in\_carrier that **by** auto **hence** "( $\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}$ . ?f2 k ⊗ ?f3 (i - k)) = 0" **if** "i < length p1" **using** that in\_carrier add.finprod\_cong'[of "{..i}" "{..i}" " $\lambda$ k. ?f2 k  $\otimes$  ?f3 (i  $-$  k)" " $\lambda$ i. 0"] **by** auto **hence** eq\_1t: "?f1 i =  $(\lambda i. (\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}).$  ?f2 k  $\otimes$  ?f3 (i - k))) i" **if** "i < length p1" **using** that **by** auto have  $"\wedge$ k. k  $\in$  {..i}  $\implies$ ?f2 k  $\otimes$ R ?f3 (i - k) = (if length p1 = k then a  $\otimes$  coeff  $p2$  (i - k) else  $0$ )" **using** in\_carrier **by** auto **hence**  $\sqrt{m}$  k ∈ {..i}. ?f2 k ⊗ ?f3 (i - k)) = ( $\bigoplus k \in \{\ldots i\}$ . (if length  $p1 = k$  then a  $\otimes$  coeff  $p2$  (i - k) else 0))" **using** in\_carrier add.finprod\_cong'[of "{..i}" "{..i}" " $\lambda$ k. ?f2 k  $\otimes$  ?f3 (i - k)" " $\lambda$ k. (if length p1 = k then a  $\otimes$  coeff p2  $(i - k)$  else  $0$ )"] **by** fastforce **also have** " ... = a  $\otimes$  (coeff p2) (i - length p1)" **if** "i  $\geq$  length p1" **using** add.finprod\_singleton[of "length p1" "{..i}" "λj. a ⊗ (coeff p2) (i - j)"] in\_carrier that **by** auto **finally have** "( $\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}$ . ?f2 k ⊗ ?f3 (i - k)) = a ⊗ (coeff p2) (i length p1)" **if** " $i \ge$  length p1" **using** that **by** simp **hence** eq ge: "?f1 i = ( $\lambda$ i. ( $\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}$ . ?f2 k ⊗ ?f3 (i - k))) i" **if** "i ≥ length p1" **using** that **by** auto
```
from eq_lt eq_ge show "?f1 i = (\lambda i. (\bigoplus k \in \{\ldots i\}). ?f2 k \otimes ?f3 (i
- k))) i" by auto
  qed
  finally have coeff_a_p2:
    "coeff ?a_p2 = (\lambda i. \bigoplus k \in \{\ldots i\}. (if k = length p1 then a else 0)
⊗ (coeff p2) (i - k))" .
  have "set ?a_p2 ⊆ carrier R"
    using in_carrier(1) assms(2) by auto
  moreover have "set (poly_mult p1 p2) ⊆ carrier R"
    using poly_mult_in_carrier[OF _ assms(2)] Cons(2) by simp
  ultimately
  have "coeff (poly_mult (a # p1) p2) = (\lambda i. ((coeff ?a_p2) i) \oplus ((coeff(poly_mult p1 p2)) i)"
    using poly_add_coeff[of ?a_p2 "poly_mult p1 p2"] by simp
  also have " ... = (\lambda i. (\bigoplus k \in \{\ldots\}). (if k = length p1 then a else
0) \otimes (coeff p2) (i - k)) \oplus(oplus k ∈ \{..i\}. (coeff p1) k ⊗ (coeff p2) (i
- k)))"
    using Cons coeff_a_p2 by simp
  also have " ... = (\lambda i. (\bigoplus k \in \{\ldots i\}). ((if k = length p1 then a else
0) \otimes (coeff p2) (i - k)) \oplus((coeff p1)
k \otimes (coeff p2) (i - k)))"
    using add.finprod_multf in_carrier by auto
  also have " ... = (\lambda i. (\bigoplus k \in \{\ldots\}). (coeff (a \# p1) k) \otimes (coeff p2)(i - k))"
   (is "(\lambdai. (\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. ?f i k)) = (\lambdai. (\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. ?g i k))")
  proof
    fix i
    have "
V
k. ?f i k = ?g i k"
      using in_carrier coeff_length[of p1] by auto
    thus "(\bigoplus k \in \{...i\}. ?f i k) = (\bigoplus k \in \{...i\}. ?g i k)" by simp
  qed
  finally show ?case .
qed
lemma poly_mult_zero:
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "poly_mult [] p = []" and "poly_mult p [] = []"
proof (simp)
  have "coeff (poly_mult p []) = (\lambda_0, 0)"
    using poly mult coeff[OF assms, of "[]"] coeff in carrier[OF assms]
by auto
  thus "poly_mult p [] = []"
```

```
using coeff_iff_polynomial_cond[OF
          poly_mult_is_polynomial[OF carrier_is_subring assms] zero_is_polynomial]
by simp
qed
lemma poly_mult_l_distr':
  assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R" "set p3 ⊆ carrier
R"
  shows "poly_mult (poly_add p1 p2) p3 = poly_add (poly_mult p1 p3) (poly_mult
p2 p3)"
proof -
  let ?c1 = "coeff p1" and ?c2 = "coeff p2" and ?c3 = "coeff p3"
  have in_carrier:
    "\wedgei. ?c1 i ∈ carrier R" "\wedgei. ?c2 i ∈ carrier R" "\wedgei. ?c3 i ∈ carrier
R"
    using assms coeff_in_carrier by auto
  have "coeff (poly_mult (poly_add p1 p2) p3) = (\lambda n. \bigoplus i \in \{\ldots n\}. (?c1)
i ⊕ ?c2 i) ⊗ ?c3 (n - i))"
    using poly_mult_coeff[of "poly_add p1 p2" p3] poly_add_coeff[OF assms(1-2)]
          poly_add_in_carrier[OF assms(1-2)] assms by auto
  also have " ... = (\lambda n. \bigoplus i \in \{...n\}. (?c1 i ⊗ ?c3 (n - i)) \oplus (?c2 i
⊗ ?c3 (n - i)))"
    using in_carrier l_distr by auto
  also
  have " ... = (λn. (⊕i ∈ {..n}. (?c1 i ⊗ ?c3 (n - i))) ⊕ (⊕i ∈ {..n}.
(?c2 \text{ i } \otimes ?c3 \text{ (n - i)}))"
    using add.finprod_multf in_carrier by auto
  also have " ... = coeff (poly_add (poly_mult p1 p3) (poly_mult p2 p3))"
    using poly_mult_coeff[OF assms(1) assms(3)] poly_mult_coeff[OF assms(2-3)]
          poly_add_coeff[OF poly_mult_in_carrier[OF assms(1) assms(3)]]
                             poly_mult_in_carrier[OF assms(2-3)] by simp
  finally have "coeff (poly_mult (poly_add p1 p2) p3) =
                coeff (poly_add (poly_mult p1 p3) (poly_mult p2 p3))"
.
  moreover have "polynomial (carrier R) (poly_mult (poly_add p1 p2) p3)"
            and "polynomial (carrier R) (poly_add (poly_mult p1 p3) (poly_mult
p2 p3))"
    using assms poly_add_is_polynomial poly_mult_is_polynomial polynomial_in_carrier
          carrier_is_subring by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using coeff_iff_polynomial_cond by auto
qed
lemma poly_mult_l_distr:
  assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" "polynomial
K p3"
  shows "poly_mult (poly_add p1 p2) p3 = poly_add (poly_mult p1 p3) (poly_mult
p2 p3)"
```

```
using poly_mult_l_distr' polynomial_in_carrier assms by auto
lemma poly_mult_prepend_replicate_zero:
 assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_mult p1 p2 = poly_mult ((replicate n 0) @ p1) p2"
proof -
  { fix p1 p2 assume A: "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
    hence "poly mult p1 p2 = poly mult (0 + p1) p2"
    proof -
      let ?a_p2 = "(map ((⊗) 0) p2) @ (replicate (length p1) 0)"
      have "?a_p2 = replicate (length p2 + length p1) 0"
        using A(2) by (induction p2) (auto)
      hence "poly_mult (0 # p1) p2 = poly_add (replicate (length p2 +
length p1) 0) (poly_mult p1 p2)"
       by simp
      also have " ... = poly_add (normalize (replicate (length p2 + length
p1) 0)) (poly_mult p1 p2)"
       using poly_add_normalize(1)[of "replicate (length p2 + length
p1) 0" "poly_mult p1 p2"]
             poly_mult_in_carrier[OF A] by force
      also have " ... = poly_mult p1 p2"
        using poly_add_zero(2)[OF _ poly_mult_is_polynomial[OF _ A]] carrier_is_subring
             normalize_replicate_zero[of "length p2 + length p1" "[]"]
by simp
      finally show ?thesis by auto
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
  from assms show ?thesis
  proof (induction n)
   case 0 thus ?case by simp
  next
   case (Suc n) thus ?case
      using aux_lemma[of "replicate n 0 @ p1" p2] by force
 qed
qed
lemma poly_mult_normalize:
 assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_mult p1 p2 = poly_mult (normalize p1) p2"
proof -
 let ?replicate = "replicate (length p1 - length (normalize p1)) 0"
 have "poly_mult p1 p2 = poly_mult (?replicate @ (normalize p1)) p2"
    using normalize_def'[of p1] by simp
 thus ?thesis
   using poly_mult_prepend_replicate_zero normalize_in_carrier assms
by auto
qed
```
**lemma** poly\_mult\_append\_zero:

```
assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_mult (p \in [0]) q = normalize ((poly\_mult p q) Q [0])"
 using assms(1)
proof (induct p)
 case Nil thus ?case
    using poly_mult_normalize[OF _ assms(2), of "[] @ [ 0 ]"]
          poly mult zero(1) poly mult zero(1) [of "q @ [ 0 ]"] assms(2)
by auto
next
  case (Cons a p)
 let ?q_a = "\lambdan. (map ((⊗) a) q) @ (replicate n 0)"
  have set_q_a: "\n. set (?q_a n) ⊆ carrier R"
    using Cons(2) assms(2) by (induct q) (auto)
 have set_poly_mult: "set ((poly_mult p q) @ [ 0 ]) ⊆ carrier R"
    using poly_mult_in_carrier[OF _ assms(2)] Cons(2) by auto
  have "poly_mult ((a # p) @ [0]) q = poly_add (?q_a (Suc (length p)))
(poly_mult (p @ [0]) q)"
    by auto
  also have " ... = poly_add (?q_a (Suc (length p))) (normalize ((poly_mult
p q) @ [ 0 ]))"
    using Cons by simp
 also have " ... = poly_add ((?q_a (length p)) @ [ 0 ]) ((poly_mult p
q) @ [ 0 ])"
    using poly_add_normalize(2)[OF set_q_a[of "Suc (length p)"] set_poly_mult]
    by (simp add: replicate_append_same)
 also have " ... = normalize ((poly_add (?q_a (length p)) (poly_mult
p q)) @ [ 0 ])"
    using poly add append zero[OF set q a[of "length p"] poly mult in carrier[OF
_ assms(2)]] Cons(2) by auto
 also have " \ldots = normalize ((poly_mult (a # p) q) \mathfrak{g} [ 0 ])"
    by auto
 finally show ?case .
qed
```

```
end
```
# **33.6 Properties Within a Domain**

```
context domain
begin
lemma one_is_polynomial [intro]: "subring K R \implies polynomial K [ 1 ]"
  unfolding polynomial_def using subringE(3) by auto
lemma poly_mult_comm:
 assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_mult p1 p2 = poly_mult p2 p1"
proof -
 let ?c1 = "coeff p1" and ?c2 = "coeff p2"
```

```
have "\i. (⊕k ∈ {..i}. ?c1 k ⊗ ?c2 (i - k)) = (⊕k ∈ {..i}. ?c2
k \otimes ?c1 (i - k))"
  proof -
    fix i :: nat
    let ?f = "\lambda k. ?c1 k \otimes ?c2 (i - k)"
    have in_carrier: "\i. ?c1 i ∈ carrier R" "\i. ?c2 i ∈ carrier R"
      using coeff in carrier[OF assms(1)] coeff in carrier[OF assms(2)]
by auto
    have reindex_inj: "inj_on (\lambda k. i - k) {..i}"
      using inj_on_def by force
    moreover have "(\lambda \kappa \cdot i - \kappa) ' \{\ldots i\} \subseteq \{\ldots i\}" by auto
    hence "(\lambda k. i - k) ' \{..i\} = \{..i\}"
      using reindex_inj endo_inj_surj[of "{..i}" "λk. i - k"] by simp
    ultimately have "(\bigoplus k \in \{...i\}. ?f k) = (\bigoplus k \in \{...i\}. ?f (i - k))"
      using add.finprod_reindex[of ?f "λk. i - k" "{..i}"] in_carrier
by auto
    moreover have "\bigwedge k. k \in \{..i\} \implies ?f (i - k) = ?c2 k \otimes ?c1 (i -
k)"
      using in_carrier m_comm by auto
    hence "(\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. ?f (i - k)) = (\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. ?c2 k ⊗ ?c1 (i
- k))"
      using add.finprod_cong'[of "{..i}" "{..i}"] in_carrier by auto
    ultimately show "(\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. ?f k) = (\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. ?c2 k \otimes ?c1
(i - k)"
      by simp
  qed
  hence "coeff (poly_mult p1 p2) = coeff (poly_mult p2 p1)"
    using poly_mult_coeff[OF assms] poly_mult_coeff[OF assms(2,1)] by
simp
  thus ?thesis
    using coeff_iff_polynomial_cond[OF poly_mult_is_polynomial[OF _ assms]
                                           poly_mult_is_polynomial[OF _ assms(2,1)]]
           carrier is subring by simp
qed
lemma poly_mult_r_distr':
  assumes "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R" "set p3 ⊆ carrier
R"
  shows "poly_mult p1 (poly_add p2 p3) = poly_add (poly_mult p1 p2) (poly_mult
p1 p3)"
  unfolding poly_mult_comm[OF assms(1) poly_add_in_carrier[OF assms(2-3)]]
             poly_mult_l_distr'[OF assms(2-3,1)] assms(2-3)[THEN poly_mult_comm[OF
_ assms(1)]] ..
lemma poly_mult_r_distr:
  assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" "polynomial
```

```
K p3"
 shows "poly_mult p1 (poly_add p2 p3) = poly_add (poly_mult p1 p2) (poly_mult
p1 p3)"
 using poly_mult_r_distr' polynomial_in_carrier assms by auto
lemma poly_mult_replicate_zero:
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly mult (replicate n 0) p = []"
    and "poly_mult p (replicate n 0) = []"
proof -
  have in_carrier: "\bigwedgen. set (replicate n 0) ⊆ carrier R" by auto
 show "poly_mult (replicate n 0) p = []" using assms
 proof (induction n)
   case 0 thus ?case by simp
 next
    case (Suc n)
    hence "poly_mult (replicate (Suc n) 0) p = poly_mult (0 # (replicate
n 0)) p"
      by simp
    also have " ... = poly_add ((map (λa. 0 ⊗ a) p) @ (replicate n 0))
[1"using Suc by simp
    also have " \ldots = poly_add ((map (\lambdaa. 0) p) @ (replicate n 0)) []"
    proof -
      have "map ((\otimes) 0) p = map (\lambda a. 0) p"
        using Suc.prems by auto
      then show ?thesis
        by presburger
    qed
    also have " ... = poly_add (replicate (length p + n) 0) []"
      by (simp add: map_replicate_const replicate_add)
    also have " ... = poly_add [] []"
      using poly_add_normalize(1)[of "replicate (length p + n) 0" "[]"]
            normalize_replicate_zero[of "length p + n" "[]"] by auto
    also have " ... = []" by simp
    finally show ?case .
 qed
  thus "poly_mult p (replicate n \ 0) = []"
    using poly_mult_comm[OF assms in_carrier] by simp
qed
lemma poly_mult_const':
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "poly_mult [ a ] p = normalize (map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p)"
    and "poly_mult p [ a ] = normalize (map (\lambda b. a \otimes b) p)"
proof -
 have "map2 (\oplus) (map ((\otimes) a) p) (replicate (length p) 0) = map ((\otimes)a) p"
    using assms by (induction p) (auto)
```

```
thus "poly_mult [ a ] p = normalize (map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p)" by simp
  thus "poly_mult p [a] = normalize (map (\lambda b. a \otimes b) p)"
    using poly_mult_comm[OF assms(1), of "[ a ]"] assms(2) by auto
qed
lemma poly_mult_const:
  assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p" "a \in K - { 0 }"
  shows "poly mult [ a ] p = map (\lambda b. a \otimes b) p"
    and "poly_mult p [ a ] = map (\lambda b. a \otimes b) p"proof -
  have in_carrier: "set p ⊆ carrier R" "a ∈ carrier R"
    using polynomial_in_carrier[OF assms(1-2)] assms(3) subringE(1)[OF
assms(1)] by auto
  show "poly_mult [ a ] p = map (\lambda b. a \otimes b) p"
  proof (cases p)
    case Nil thus ?thesis
      using poly_mult_const'(1) in_carrier by auto
  next
    case (Cons b q)
    have "lead_coeff (map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p) \neq 0"
      using assms subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] integral[of a b] Cons lead_coeff_in_carrier
by auto
    hence "normalize (map (λb. a ⊗ b) p) = (map (λb. a ⊗ b) p)"
      unfolding Cons by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using poly mult const'(1) in carrier by auto
  qed
  thus "poly mult p [ a ] = map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p"
    using poly_mult_comm[OF in_carrier(1)] in_carrier(2) by auto
qed
lemma poly_mult_semiassoc:
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
  shows "poly_mult (poly_mult [ a ] p) q = poly_mult [ a ] (poly_mult
p q)"
proof -
  let ?cp = "coeff p" and ?cq = "coeff q"
  have "coeff (poly_mult [ a ] p) = (\lambda i. (a \otimes ?cp i))"
    using poly_mult_const'(1)[OF assms(1,3)] normalize_coeff scalar_coeff[OF
assms(3)] by simp
  hence "coeff (poly_mult (poly_mult [ a ] p) q) = (\lambda i. (\bigoplus j \in \{..i\}).(a \otimes ?cp \not\supset \otimes ?cq (i - j)))"
    using poly_mult_coeff[OF poly_mult_in_carrier[OF _ assms(1)] assms(2),
of "[ a ]"] assms(3) by auto
  also have " ... = (λi. a ⊗ (
Lj ∈ {..i}. ?cp j ⊗ ?cq (i - j)))"
  proof
    \texttt{fix i show} "(\bigoplus \texttt{j} \in \{\texttt{...i}\}. (a \otimes ?cp j) \otimes ?cq (i - j)) = a \otimes (\bigoplus \texttt{j}
```

```
∈ {..i}. ?cp j ⊗ ?cq (i - j))"
      using finsum_rdistr[OF _ assms(3), of _ "\lambdaj. ?cp j \otimes ?cq (i - j)"]
            assms(1-2)[THEN coeff_in_carrier] by (simp add: assms(3) m_assoc)
  qed
  also have " \ldots = coeff (poly mult [ a ] (poly mult p q))"
    unfolding poly_mult_const'(1)[OF poly_mult_in_carrier[OF assms(1-2)]
assms(3)]
    using scalar coeff<sup>[OF</sup> assms(3), of "poly mult p q"]
          poly_mult_coeff[OF assms(1-2)] normalize_coeff by simp
  finally have "coeff (poly_mult (poly_mult [ a ] p) q) = coeff (poly_mult
[ a ] (poly_mult p q))" .
 moreover have "polynomial (carrier R) (poly_mult (poly_mult [ a ] p)
q)"
            and "polynomial (carrier R) (poly_mult [ a ] (poly_mult p
q))"
    using poly_mult_is_polynomial[OF _ poly_mult_in_carrier[OF _ assms(1)]
assms(2)]
          poly_mult_is_polynomial[OF _ _ poly_mult_in_carrier[OF assms(1-2)]]
          carrier_is_subring assms(3) by (auto simp del: poly_mult.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using coeff_iff_polynomial_cond by simp
qed
```
Note that "polynomial (carrier R)  $p^{\prime\prime}$  and "subring K p; polynomial K  $p^{\prime\prime}$ are "equivalent" assumptions for any lemma in ring which the result doesn't depend on K, because carrier is a subring and a polynomial for a subset of the carrier is a carrier polynomial. The decision between one of them should be based on how the lemma is going to be used and proved. These are some tips: (a) Lemmas about the algebraic structure of polynomials should use the latter option. (b) Also, if the lemma deals with lots of polynomials, then the latter option is preferred. (c) If the proof is going to be much easier with the first option, do not hesitate.

```
lemma poly_mult_monom':
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "poly_mult (monom a n) p = normalize ((map ((\otimes) a) p) @ (replicate
n 0))"
proof -
 have set_map: "set ((map ((⊗) a) p) @ (replicate n 0)) ⊆ carrier R"
   using assms by (induct p) (auto)
 show ?thesis
  using poly_mult_replicate_zero(1)[OF assms(1), of n]
       poly_add_zero'(1)[OF set_map]
  unfolding monom_def by simp
qed
lemma poly_mult_monom:
  assumes "polynomial (carrier R) p'' "a \in carrier R - { 0 }"
 shows "poly_mult (monom a n) p =
```

```
(if p = [] then [] else (poly_mult [ a ] p) @ (replicate n
0))"
proof (cases p)
  case Nil thus ?thesis
    using poly_mult_zero(2)[of "monom a n"] assms(2) monom_def by fastforce
next
  case (Cons b ps)
 hence "lead coeff ((map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p) @ (replicate n 0)) \neq 0"
    using Cons assms integral[of a b] unfolding polynomial_def by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using poly_mult_monom'[OF polynomial_incl[OF assms(1)], of a n] assms(2)
Cons
    unfolding poly_mult_const(1)[OF carrier_is_subring assms] by simp
qed
lemma poly_mult_one':
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_mult [1] p = normalize p" and "poly_mult p [1] = normalize
p"
proof -
 have "map2 (⊕) (map ((⊗) 1) p) (replicate (length p) 0) = p"
    using assms by (induct p) (auto)
  thus "poly_mult [ 1 ] p = normalize p" and "poly_mult p [ 1 ] = normalize
p"
    using poly_mult_comm[OF assms, of "[ 1 ]"] by auto
qed
lemma poly_mult_one:
 assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p"
 shows "poly_mult [1] p = p" and "poly_mult p [1] = p"
  using poly_mult_one'[OF polynomial_in_carrier[OF assms]] normalize_polynomial[OF
assms(2)] by auto
lemma poly_mult_lead_coeff_aux:
 assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" and "p1 \neq\Box " and "p2 \neq \Box"
 shows "(coeff (poly_mult p1 p2)) (degree p1 + degree p2) = (lead_coeff
p1) \otimes (lead coeff p2)"
proof -
 have p1: "lead_coeff p1 ∈ carrier R - { 0 }" and p2: "lead_coeff p2
\in carrier R - { 0 }"
    using assms(2-5) lead_coeff_in_carrier[OF assms(1)] by (metis list.collapse)+
  have "(coeff (poly mult p1 p2)) (degree p1 + degree p2) =
        (\bigoplus k \in \{...((degree p1) + (degree p2))\}.(\text{coeff } p1) k \otimes (\text{coeff } p2) ((\text{degree } p1) + (\text{degree } p2) - k))"
    using poly mult coeff<sup>[OF</sup> assms(2-3)<sup>[THEN</sup> polynomial in carrier<sup>[OF</sup>
assms(1)]]] by simp
 also have " ... = (lead_coeff p1) ⊗ (lead_coeff p2)"
```

```
proof -
    let ?f = "\lambdai. (coeff p1) i \otimes (coeff p2) ((degree p1) + (degree p2)
- i)"
    have in_carrier: "\i. (coeff p1) i ∈ carrier R" "\i. (coeff p2)
i ∈ carrier R"
      using coeff_in_carrier assms by auto
    have "\bigwedgei. i < degree p1 \implies ?f i = 0"
      using coeff_degree[of p2] in_carrier by auto
    \text{moreover have } \forall i. i > \text{degree p1} \implies ?f i = 0"
      using coeff_degree[of p1] in_carrier by auto
    moreover have "?f (degree p1) = (lead_coeff p1) ⊗ (lead_coeff p2)"
      using assms(4-5) lead_coeff_simp by simp
    ultimately have "?f = (\lambda i. if degree p1 = i then (lead_coeff p1) \otimes(lead_coeff p2) else 0)"
      using nat_neq_iff by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using add.finprod_singleton[of "degree p1" "{..((degree p1) + (degree
p2))}"
                                      "λi. (lead_coeff p1) ⊗ (lead_coeff
p2)"] p1 p2 by auto
 qed
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma poly_mult_degree_eq:
 assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2"
 shows "degree (poly mult p1 p2) = (if p1 = [] \vee p2 = [] then 0 else
(degree p1) + (degree p2))"
proof (cases p1)
 case Nil thus ?thesis by simp
next
 case (Cons a p1') note p1 = Cons
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases p2)
    case Nil thus ?thesis
      using poly_mult_zero(2)[OF polynomial_in_carrier[OF assms(1-2)]]
by simp
 next
    case (Cons b p2') note p2 = Cons
    have a: "a ∈ carrier R" and b: "b ∈ carrier R"
      using p1 p2 polynomial_in_carrier[OF assms(1-2)] polynomial_in_carrier[OF
assms(1,3)] by auto
    have "(coeff (poly_mult p1 p2)) ((degree p1) + (degree p2)) = a ⊗
h''using poly_mult_lead_coeff_aux[OF assms] p1 p2 by simp
    hence neq0: "(coeff (poly_mult p1 p2)) ((degree p1) + (degree p2))
\neq 0"using assms(2-3) integral[of a b] lead coeff in carrier[OF assms(1)]
p1 p2 by auto
```

```
moreover have eq0: "\i. i > (degree p1) + (degree p2) ⇒ (coeff
(poly_mult p1 p2)) i = 0"
    proof -
      have aux lemma: "degree (poly mult p1 p2) \leq (degree p1) + (degree
p2)"
      proof (induct p1)
        case Nil
        then show ?case by simp
      next
        case (Cons a p1)
        let ?a_p2 = "(map (λb. a ⊗ b) p2) @ (replicate (degree (a # p1))
0)"
        have "poly_mult (a # p1) p2 = poly_add ?a_p2 (poly_mult p1 p2)"
by simp
        hence "degree (poly_mult (a # p1) p2) \le max (degree ?a_p2) (degree
(poly mult p1 p2))"
          using poly_add_degree[of ?a_p2 "poly_mult p1 p2"] by simp
        also have " ... ≤ max ((degree (a # p1)) + (degree p2)) (degree
(poly_mult p1 p2))"
          by auto
        also have " ... ≤ max ((degree (a # p1)) + (degree p2)) ((degree
p1) + (degree p2))"
          using Cons by simp
        also have " ... ≤ (degree (a # p1)) + (degree p2)"
          by auto
        finally show ?case .
      qed
      fix i show "i > (degree p1) + (degree p2) \implies (coeff (poly mult
p1 p2)) i = 0"using coeff_degree aux_lemma by simp
    qed
    moreover have "polynomial K (poly_mult p1 p2)"
        by (simp add: assms poly_mult_closed)
    ultimately have "degree (poly_mult p1 p2) = degree p1 + degree p2"
      by (metis (no_types) assms(1) coeff.simps(1) coeff_degree domain.poly_mult_one(1)
domain_axioms eq0 lead_coeff_simp length_greater_0_conv neq0 normalize_length_lt
not_less_iff_gr_or_eq poly_mult_one'(1) polynomial_in_carrier)
    thus ?thesis
      using p1 p2 by auto
  qed
qed
lemma poly_mult_integral:
  assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2"
  shows "poly_mult p1 p2 = [] \implies p1 = [] \vee p2 = []"
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume A: "poly mult p1 p2 = [] " "¬ (p1 = [] ∨ p2 = [])"hence "degree (poly_mult p1 p2) = degree p1 + degree p2"
    using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF assms] by simp
```

```
hence "length p1 = 1 \wedge length p2 = 1"
    using A Suc_diff_Suc by fastforce
  then obtain a b where p1: "p1 = [ a ]" and p2: "p2 = [ b ]"
    by (metis One nat def length 0 conv length Suc conv)
  hence "a \in carrier R - { 0 }" and "b \in carrier R - { 0 }"
    using assms lead_coeff_in_carrier by auto
  hence "poly mult [a] [b] = [a \otimes b]"
    using integral by auto
  thus False using A(1) p1 p2 by simp
qed
lemma poly_mult_lead_coeff:
 assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" and "p1 \neq[]" and "p2 \neq []"
 shows "lead_coeff (poly_mult p1 p2) = (lead_coeff p1) \otimes (lead_coeff
p2)"
proof -
 have "poly_mult p1 p2 \neq []"
    using poly_mult_integral[OF assms(1-3)] assms(4-5) by auto
  hence "lead_coeff (poly_mult p1 p2) = (coeff (poly_mult p1 p2)) (degree
p1 + degree p2)"
    using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF assms(1-3)] assms(4-5) by (metis coeff.simps(2)
list.collapse)
  thus ?thesis
    using poly_mult_lead_coeff_aux[OF assms] by simp
qed
lemma poly_mult_append_zero_lcancel:
  assumes "subring K R" and "polynomial K p" "polynomial K q"
 shows "poly_mult (p \mathbb O [ 0 ]) q = r \mathbb O [ 0 ] \implies poly_mult p q = r"
proof -
 note in_carrier = assms(2-3)[THEN polynomial_in_carrier[OF assms(1)]]
 assume pmult: "poly_mult (p @ [ 0 ]) q = r @ [ 0 ]"
 have "poly_mult (p @ [ 0 ]) q = []" if "q = []"
    using poly_mult_zero(2)[of "p @ [ 0 ]"] that in_carrier(1) by auto
 moreover have "poly_mult (p \circ [0]) q = []" if "p = []"
    using poly_mult_normalize[OF _ in_carrier(2), of "p @ [ 0 ]"] poly_mult_zero[OF
in carrier(2)]
    unfolding that by auto
  ultimately have "p \neq []" and "q \neq []"
    using pmult by auto
  hence "poly_mult p q \neq [ ]"
    using poly_mult_integral[OF assms] by auto
 hence "normalize ((poly_mult p q) @ [ 0 ]) = (poly_mult p q) @ [ 0
\mathbb{I} "
    using normalize polynomial[OF append is polynomial[OF assms(1) poly mult closed[OF
assms], of "Suc 0"]] by auto
 thus "poly_mult p q = r"
```

```
using poly_mult_append_zero[OF assms(2-3)[THEN polynomial_in_carrier[OF
assms(1)]]] pmult by simp
qed
lemma poly_mult_append_zero_rcancel:
 assumes "subring K R" and "polynomial K p" "polynomial K q"
 shows "poly mult p (q \mathbb{C} [ 0 ]) = r \mathbb{C} [ 0 ] \implies poly mult p q = r"
  using poly mult append zero lcancel[OF assms(1,3,2)]
        poly_mult_comm[of p "q @ [ 0 ]"] poly_mult_comm[of p q]
        assms(2-3)[THEN polynomial_in_carrier[OF assms(1)]]
```
**by** auto

**end**

# **33.7 Algebraic Structure of Polynomials**

```
definition univ_poly :: "('a, 'b) ring_scheme \Rightarrow'a set \Rightarrow ('a list) ring"
("_ [X]ı " 80)
  where "univ_poly R K =
            \int carrier = { p. polynomial<sub>R</sub> K p },
                  mult = ring.poly_mult R,
                   one = [1_R],
                  zero = [],
                   add = ring.poly\_add R )"
```
These lemmas allow you to unfold one field of the record at a time.

```
lemma univ_poly_carrier: "polynomial<sub>R</sub> K p \longleftrightarrow p \in carrier (K[X]_R)"
  unfolding univ_poly_def by simp
{\rm lemma} univ_poly_mult: "mult (K[X]_R) = ring.poly_mult R"
  unfolding univ_poly_def by simp
lemma univ_poly_one: "one (K[X]_R) = [1_R]"
  unfolding univ_poly_def by simp
{\rm lemma} univ_poly_zero: "zero (K[X]_R) = []"
  unfolding univ_poly_def by simp
lemma univ_poly_add: "add (K[X]_R) = ring.poly_add R"
  unfolding univ_poly_def by simp
lemma univ_poly_zero_closed [intro]: "[] \in carrier (K[X]_R)"
  unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by simp
context domain
begin
```

```
lemma poly_mult_monom_assoc:
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
    shows "poly mult (poly mult (monom a n) p) q =poly_mult (monom a n) (poly_mult p q)"
proof (induct n)
  case 0 thus ?case
    unfolding monom_def using poly_mult_semiassoc[OF assms] by (auto simp
del: poly_mult.simps)
next
 case (Suc n)
 have "poly_mult (poly_mult (monom a (Suc n)) p) q =
       poly_mult (normalize ((poly_mult (monom a n) p) @ [ 0 ])) q"
    using poly_mult_append_zero[OF monom_in_carrier[OF assms(3), of n]
assms(1)]unfolding monom_def by (auto simp del: poly_mult.simps simp add: replicate_append_same)
 also have " ... = normalize ((poly_mult (poly_mult (monom a n) p) q)
@ [ 0 ])"
    using poly_mult_normalize[OF _ assms(2)] poly_mult_append_zero[OF
_assms(2)]
         poly_mult_in_carrier[OF monom_in_carrier[OF assms(3), of n]
assms(1)] by auto
 also have " ... = normalize ((poly_mult (monom a n) (poly_mult p q))
@ [ 0 ])"
    using Suc by simp
 also have " \ldots = poly mult (monom a (Suc n)) (poly mult p q)"
    using poly mult append zero[OF monom in carrier[OF assms(3), of n]
                                   poly mult in carrier[OF assms(1-2)]]
    unfolding monom_def by (simp add: replicate_append_same)
 finally show ?case .
qed
context
 fixes K :: "'a set" assumes K: "subring K R"
begin
lemma univ_poly_is_monoid: "monoid (K[X])"
  unfolding univ_poly_def using poly_mult_one[OF K]
proof (auto simp add: K poly_add_closed poly_mult_closed one_is_polynomial
monoid_def)
 fix p1 p2 p3
 let ?P = "poly_mult (poly_mult p1 p2) p3 = poly_mult p1 (poly_mult p2
p3)"
  assume A: "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" "polynomial K p3"
 show ?P using polynomial_in_carrier[OF K A(1)]
 proof (induction p1)
   case Nil thus ?case by simp
```

```
next
next
   case (Cons a p1) thus ?case
    proof (cases "a = 0")
      assume eq_zero: "a = 0"
      have p1: "set p1 ⊆ carrier R"
        using Cons(2) by simp
      have "poly mult (poly mult (a # p1) p2) p3 = poly mult (poly mult
p1 p2) p3"
       using poly_mult_prepend_replicate_zero[OF p1 polynomial_in_carrier[OF
K A(2)], of "Suc 0"]
              eq_zero by simp
      also have " ... = poly_mult p1 (poly_mult p2 p3)"
        using p1[THEN Cons(1)] by simp
      also have " ... = poly_mult (a # p1) (poly_mult p2 p3)"
        using poly mult prepend replicate zero[OF p1
              poly_mult_in_carrier[OF A(2-3)[THEN polynomial_in_carrier[OF
K]]], of "Suc 0"] eq_zero
        by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    next
      assume "a \neq 0" hence in_carrier:
        "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R" "set p3 ⊆ carrier R"
"a \in carrier R - \{ 0 \}"using A(2-3) polynomial_in_carrier[OF K] Cons by auto
      let ?a p2 = "(map (\lambdab. a \otimes b) p2) @ (replicate (length p1) 0)"
      have a_p2_in_carrier: "set ?a_p2 ⊆ carrier R"
        using in_carrier by auto
      have "poly_mult (poly_mult (a # p1) p2) p3 = poly_mult (poly_add
?a_p2 (poly_mult p1 p2)) p3"
        by simp
      also have " ... = poly_add (poly_mult ?a_p2 p3) (poly_mult (poly_mult
p1 p2) p3)"
        using poly_mult_l_distr'[OF a_p2_in_carrier poly_mult_in_carrier[OF
in_carrier(1-2)] in_carrier(3)] .
      also have " ... = poly_add (poly_mult ?a_p2 p3) (poly_mult p1 (poly_mult
p2 p3))"
        using Cons(1)[OF in_carrier(1)] by simp
      also have " ... = poly_add (poly_mult (normalize ?a_p2) p3) (poly_mult
p1 (poly_mult p2 p3))"
        using poly_mult_normalize[OF a_p2_in_carrier in_carrier(3)] by
simp
      also have " ... = poly_add (poly_mult (poly_mult (monom a (length
p1)) p2) p3)
                                 (poly_mult p1 (poly_mult p2 p3))"
        using poly mult monom'[OF in carrier(2), of a "length p1"] in carrier(4)
by simp
```

```
also have " ... = poly_add (poly_mult (a # (replicate (length p1)
0)) (poly_mult p2 p3))
                                  (poly_mult p1 (poly_mult p2 p3))"
        using poly_mult_monom_assoc[of p2 p3 a "length p1"] in_carrier
unfolding monom_def by simp
      also have " ... = poly_mult (poly_add (a # (replicate (length p1)
0)) p1) (poly mult p2 p3)"
        using poly mult 1 distr'[of "a # (replicate (length p1) 0)" p1
"poly_mult p2 p3"]
              poly_mult_in_carrier[OF in_carrier(2-3)] in_carrier by force
      also have " ... = poly_mult (a # p1) (poly_mult p2 p3)"
        using poly_add_monom[OF in_carrier(1) in_carrier(4)] unfolding
monom_def by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  qed
qed
declare poly_add.simps[simp del]
lemma univ_poly_is_abelian_monoid: "abelian_monoid (K[X])"
  unfolding univ_poly_def
  using poly_add_closed poly_add_zero zero_is_polynomial K
proof (auto simp add: abelian_monoid_def comm_monoid_def monoid_def comm_monoid_axioms_def)
  fix p1 p2 p3
 let ?c = "\lambda p. coeff p"
  assume A: "polynomial K p1" "polynomial K p2" "polynomial K p3"
 hence
    p1: "\bigwedgei. (?c p1) i ∈ carrier R" "set p1 ⊆ carrier R" <mark>and</mark>
    p2: "\bigwedgei. (?c p2) i ∈ carrier R" "set p2 ⊆ carrier R" <mark>and</mark>
    p3: "\bigwedgei. (?c p3) i \in carrier R" "set p3 \subseteq carrier R"
    using A[THEN polynomial_in_carrier[OF K]] coeff_in_carrier by auto
  have "?c (poly_add (poly_add p1 p2) p3) = (λi. (?c p1 i ⊕ ?c p2 i)
⊕ (?c p3 i))"
    using poly_add_coeff[OF poly_add_in_carrier[OF p1(2) p2(2)] p3(2)]
          poly_add_coeff[OF p1(2) p2(2)] by simp
  also have " ... = (\lambdai. (?c p1 i) \oplus ((?c p2 i) \oplus (?c p3 i)))"
    using p1 p2 p3 add.m_assoc by simp
 also have " ... = ?c (poly_add p1 (poly_add p2 p3))"
    using poly_add_coeff[OF p1(2) poly_add_in_carrier[OF p2(2) p3(2)]]
          poly_add_coeff[OF p2(2) p3(2)] by simp
  finally have "?c (poly_add (poly_add p1 p2) p3) = ?c (poly_add p1 (poly_add
p2 p3))" .
  thus "poly_add (poly_add p1 p2) p3 = poly_add p1 (poly_add p2 p3)"
    using coeff_iff_polynomial_cond poly_add_closed[OF K] A by meson
  show "poly_add p1 p2 = poly_add p2 p1"
    using poly add comm[OF p1(2) p2(2)] \blacksquareqed
```

```
lemma univ_poly_is_abelian_group: "abelian_group (K[X])"
proof -
  interpret abelian_monoid "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_abelian_monoid .
  show ?thesis
  proof (unfold_locales)
    show "carrier (add_monoid (K[X])) ⊆ Units (add_monoid (K[X]))"
      unfolding univ_poly_def Units_def
    proof (auto)
      fix p assume p: "polynomial K p"
      have "polynomial K [ \Theta 1 ]"
        unfolding polynomial_def using r_neg subringE(3,5)[OF K] by force
      hence cond0: "polynomial K (poly_mult [ \Theta 1 ] p)"
        using poly_mult_closed[OF K, of "[\ominus 1]" p] p by simp
      have "poly_add p (poly_mult [ \Theta 1 ] p) = poly_add (poly_mult [1 ] p) (poly_mult [ \Theta 1 ] p)"
        using poly_mult_one[OF K p] by simp
      also have " \ldots = poly_mult (poly_add [1] [ \oplus 1]) p"
        using poly_mult_l_distr' polynomial_in_carrier[OF K p] by auto
      also have " ... = poly_mult [] p"
        using poly\_add.simps[of "[ 1 ]" "[ <math>\ominus 1</math> ]"]by (simp add: case_prod_unfold r_neg)
      also have " ... = []" by simp
      finally have cond1: "poly_add p (poly_mult [ \Theta 1 ] p) = []".
      have "poly add (poly mult [ \Theta 1 ] p) p = poly add (poly mult [\oplus 1 ] p) (poly mult [ 1 ] p)"
        using poly_mult_one[OF K p] by simp
      also have " \ldots = poly_mult (poly_add [ \ \ominus \ \ 1 \ ] [ \ 1 \ ]) p"
        using poly_mult_l_distr' polynomial_in_carrier[OF K p] by auto
      also have " ... = poly_mult [] p"
        using \langle \text{poly\_mult} \ (\text{poly\_add} \ [1] \ [\oplus 1]) \ p = \text{poly\_mult} \ [] \ p \rangle \ \text{poly\_add\_comm}by auto
      also have " ... = []" by simp
      finally have cond2: "poly_add (poly_mult [ \Theta 1 ] p) p = []".
      from cond0 cond1 cond2 show "∃ q. polynomial K q ∧ poly_add q p
= [] \wedge poly add p q = []"by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma univ_poly_is_ring: "ring (K[X])"
proof -
  interpret UP: abelian_group "K[X]" + monoid "K[X]"
    using univ poly is abelian group univ poly is monoid .
  show ?thesis
```

```
by (unfold_locales)
         (auto simp add: univ_poly_def poly_mult_r_distr[OF K] poly_mult_l_distr[OF
K])
qed
lemma univ_poly_is_cring: "cring (K[X])"
proof -
  interpret UP: ring "K[X]"
     using univ_poly_is_ring .
  \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{"}\bigwedge\mathtt{p}\ \mathtt{q}. \lbrack\!\lbrack\ \mathtt{p}\ \in\mathtt{carrier}\ \ (\mathtt{K}[\mathtt{X}])\ ;\ \mathtt{q}\ \in\mathtt{carrier}\ \ (\mathtt{K}[\mathtt{X}])\ \ \rbrack\!\rbrack\ \Longrightarrow\ \mathtt{p}\ \otimes_{\mathtt{K}[\mathtt{X}]}q = q \otimes_{K[X]} p''unfolding univ_poly_def using poly_mult_comm polynomial_in_carrier[OF
K] by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by unfold_locales auto
qed
lemma univ_poly_is_domain: "domain (K[X])"
proof -
  interpret UP: cring "K[X]"
     using univ_poly_is_cring .
  show ?thesis
     by (unfold_locales, auto simp add: univ_poly_def poly_mult_integral[OF
K])
qed
declare poly_add.simps[simp]
lemma univ_poly_a_inv_def':
  assumes "p \in \text{carrier } (K[X])" shows "\ominus_{K[X]} p = \text{map } (\lambda a. \ominus a) p"
proof -
  have aux_lemma:
     {}^{\shortparallel} \wedge p. p \in carrier (K[X]) \implies p \oplus_{K[X]} (map (\lambda a. \ominus a) p) = []
     "\wedge p. p \in carrier (K[X]) \implies (map (\lambdaa. \ominus a) p) \in carrier (K[X])"
  proof -
     fix p assume p: "p \in carrier (K[X])"hence set_p: "set p ⊆ K"
       unfolding univ_poly_def using polynomial_incl by auto
    show "(map (\lambdaa. \ominus a) p) \in carrier (K[X])"
     proof (cases "p = []")
       assume "p = []" thus ?thesis
          unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def by auto
     next
       assume not_nil: "p \neq []"
       hence "lead_coeff p \neq 0"
          using p unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def by auto
       moreover have "lead coeff (map (\lambda a. \ominus a) p) = \ominus (lead coeff p)"
          using not_nil by (simp add: hd_map)
       ultimately have "lead_coeff (map (\lambdaa. \ominus a) p) \neq 0"
```

```
using hd_in_set local.minus_zero not_nil set_p subringE(1)[OF
K] by force
       moreover have "set (map (\lambda a. \ominus a) p) \subseteq K"
         using set_p subringE(5)[OF K] by (induct p) (auto)
       ultimately show ?thesis
         unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def by simp
    qed
    have "map2 (⊕) p (map (λa. 	 a) p) = replicate (length p) 0"
       using set_p subringE(1)[OF K] by (induct p) (auto simp add: r_neg)
    thus "p \bigoplus_{K[X]} (map (\lambdaa. \ominus a) p) = []"
       unfolding univ_poly_def using normalize_replicate_zero[of "length
p" "[]"] by auto
  qed
  interpret UP: ring "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_ring .
  from aux_lemma
  \mathtt{have}\, "\bigwedge \mathtt{p.}\, \mathtt{p.}\, \mathtt{c.}\, carrier (K[X]) \implies \ominus_{\mathtt{K}[\mathtt{X}]} \mathtt{p.}\, = map (\lambda\mathtt{a.}\, \ominus \mathtt{a.}\, \mathtt{p.}\, \mathtt{p.}\,by (metis Nil_is_map_conv UP.add.inv_closed UP.l_zero UP.r_neg1 UP.r_zero
UP.zero_closed)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms by simp
qed
corollary univ_poly_a_inv_length:
  assumes "p \in \text{carrier } (K[X])" shows "length (\bigoplus_{K[X]} p) = length p"
  unfolding univ_poly_a_inv_def'[OF assms] by simp
corollary univ_poly_a_inv_degree:
  assumes "p \in \text{carrier } (K[X])" shows "degree (\bigoplus_{K[X]} p) = \text{degree } p"
  using univ_poly_a_inv_length[OF assms] by simp
33.8 Long Division Theorem
lemma long_division_theorem:
  assumes "polynomial K p" and "polynomial K b" "b \neq []"
     and "lead_coeff b \in Units (R ( carrier := K ))"
  shows "∃q r. polynomial K q \land polynomial K r \landp = (b \otimes_{K[X]} q) \oplus_{K[X]} r \wedge (r = [] \vee degree r < degree
b)"
    (is "∃ q r. ?long_division p q r")
  using assms(1)
proof (induct "length p" arbitrary: p rule: less_induct)
```
**case** less **thus** ?case **proof** (cases p)

```
case Nil
    hence "?long_division p [] []"
      using zero_is_polynomial poly_mult_zero[OF polynomial_in_carrier[OF
K assms(2)]]
      by (simp add: univ_poly_def)
    thus ?thesis by blast
 next
    case (Cons a p') thus ?thesis
    proof (cases "length b > length p")
      assume "length b > length p"
      hence "p = [] ∨ degree p < degree b"
        by (meson diff_less_mono length_0_conv less_one not_le)
      hence "?long_division p [] p"
        using poly_mult_zero(2)[OF polynomial_in_carrier[OF K assms(2)]]
              poly_add_zero(2)[OF K less(2)] zero_is_polynomial less(2)
        by (simp add: univ_poly_def)
      thus ?thesis by blast
    next
      interpret UP: cring "K[X]"
        using univ_poly_is_cring .
      assume "¬ length b > length p"
      hence len_ge: "length p \geq length b" by simp
      obtain c b' where b: "b = c # b'"
        using assms(3) list.exhaust_sel by blast
      then obtain c' where c': "c' ∈ carrier R" "c' ∈ K" "c' ⊗ c = 1"
"c \otimes c' = 1"
        using assms(4) subringE(1)[OF K] unfolding Units_def by auto
      have c: "c ∈ carrier R" "c ∈ K" "c \neq 0" and a: "a ∈ carrier R"
"a \in K" "a \neq 0"
        using less(2) assms(2) lead_coeff_not_zero subringE(1)[OF K] b
Cons by auto
      hence lc: "c' \otimes (\ominus a) \in K - { 0 }"
        using subringE(5-6)[OF K] c' add.inv_solve_right integral_iff
by fastforce
      let ?len = "length"
      define s where "s = monom (c' \otimes (\ominus a)) (?len p - ?len b)"
      hence s: "polynomial K s" "s \neq []" "degree s = ?len p - ?len b"
"length s \geq 1"
        using monom_is_polynomial[OF K lc] unfolding monom_def by auto
      hence is_polynomial: "polynomial K (p \oplus_{K[X]} (b \otimes_{K[X]} s))"
        using poly_add_closed[OF K less(2) poly_mult_closed[OF K assms(2),
of s]]
        by (simp add: univ_poly_def)
      have "lead_coeff (b \otimes_{K[\chi]} s) = \ominus a"
        using poly_mult_lead_coeff[OF K assms(2) s(1) assms(3) s(2)] c
c' a
```

```
unfolding b s_def monom_def univ_poly_def by (auto simp del: poly_mult.simps,
algebra)
      then obtain s' where s': "b \otimes_{K[\chi]} s = (\ominus a) # s'"
         using poly_mult_integral[OF K assms(2) s(1)] assms(2-3) s(2)
         by (simp add: univ_poly_def, metis hd_Cons_tl)
      moreover have "degree p = degree (b \otimes_{K[X]} s)"
         using poly mult degree eq[OF K assms(2) s(1)] assms(3) s(2-4)
len_ge b Cons
         by (auto simp add: univ_poly_def)
      hence "?len p = ?len (b \otimes_{K[X]} s)"
         unfolding Cons s' by simp
      hence "?len (p \oplus_{K[X]} (b \otimes_{K[X]} s)) < ?len p"
         unfolding Cons s' using a normalize_length_le[of "map2 (⊕) p'
s'"]
         by (auto simp add: univ_poly_def r_neg)
      then obtain q' r' where l_div: "?long_division (p \oplus_{K[X]} (b \otimes_{K[X]}s)) q' r'"
         using less(1)[OF _ is_polynomial] by blast
      have in_carrier:
          "p \in carrier (K[X])" "b \in carrier (K[X])" "s \in carrier (K[X])""q' \in carrier (K[X])" "r' \in carrier (K[X])"using l_div assms less(2) s unfolding univ_poly_def by auto
      have "(p \oplus_{K[X]} (b \otimes_{K[X]} s)) \ominus_{K[X]} (b \otimes_{K[X]} s) =
           ((b \otimes_{K[X]} q') \oplus_{K[X]} r') \ominus_{K[X]} (b \otimes_{K[X]} s)'using l_div by simp
      hence "p = (b \otimes_{K[X]} (q' \ominus_{K[X]} s)) \oplus_{K[X]} r'"
         using in_carrier by algebra
      moreover have "q' \ominus_{K[X]} s \in carrier (K[X])"
         using in_carrier by algebra
      hence "polynomial K (q' \ominus_{K[X]} s)"
         unfolding univ_poly_def by simp
      ultimately have "?long_division p (q' \ominus_{K[X]} s) r'"
         using l_div by auto
      thus ?thesis by blast
    qed
  qed
qed
end
end
lemma (in domain) field_long_division_theorem:
  assumes "subfield K R" "polynomial K p" and "polynomial K b" "b \neq[1"shows "∃q r. polynomial K q \land polynomial K r \landp = (b \otimes_{K[\chi]} q) \oplus_{K[\chi]} r \wedge (r = [] \vee degree r < degree
```

```
using long_division_theorem[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2-4)]
assms(3-4)subfield.subfield Units[OF assms(1)] lead coeff not zero[of K
"hd b" "tl b"]
```

```
by simp
```
 $b)$ "

The same theorem as above, but now, everything is in a shell.

**lemma** (**in** domain) field\_long\_division\_theorem\_shell: **assumes** "subfield K R" "p  $\in$  carrier (K[X])" and "b  $\in$  carrier (K[X])" "b  $\neq 0_K$ [X]" shows  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  **q** r. q ∈ carrier (K[X]) ∧ r ∈ carrier (K[X]) ∧ p = (b  $\otimes_{K[X]}$  q)  $\oplus_{K[X]}$  r  $\wedge$  (r =  $0_{K[X]}$   $\vee$  degree r < degree  $b)$ "

using field long division theorem assms by (auto simp add: univ poly def)

## **33.9 Consistency Rules**

```
lemma polynomial_consistent [simp]:
  \texttt{shows "polynomial}_{(R~|\hspace{-.02in}|\hspace{-.02in}| \texttt{carrier}~:=~K/\hspace{-.02in}| \texttt{)}} K \texttt{p} \implies \texttt{polynomial}_{R} K \texttt{p}"
  unfolding polynomial_def by auto
lemma (in ring) eval_consistent [simp]:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.eval (R (| carrier := K |)) = eval"
proof
  fix p show "ring.eval (R \parallel \text{carrier} := K \parallel) p = eval p"
    using nat_pow_consistent ring.eval.simps[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms]]
by (induct p) (auto)
qed
lemma (in ring) coeff_consistent [simp]:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.coeff (R ( carrier := K )) = coeff"
proof
  fix p show "ring.coeff (R \parallel \text{carrier} := K \parallel) p = coeff p"
    using ring.coeff.simps[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms]] by (induct p)
(auto)
qed
lemma (in ring) normalize_consistent [simp]:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.normalize (R (| carrier := K |)) =
normalize"
proof
  fix p show "ring.normalize (R \parallel \text{carrier} := K \parallel)) p = normalize p"
    using ring.normalize.simps[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms]] by (induct
p) (auto)
qed
lemma (in ring) poly_add_consistent [simp]:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.poly add (R ( carrier := K )) = poly add"
```

```
proof -
  have "
V
p q. ring.poly_add (R (| carrier := K |)) p q = poly_add p q"
 proof -
    fix p q show "ring.poly_add (R (| carrier := K |)) p q = poly\_add pq"
    using ring.poly add.simps[OF subring is ring[OF assms]] normalize consistent[OF
assms] by auto
 qed
  thus ?thesis by (auto simp del: poly_add.simps)
qed
lemma (in ring) poly_mult_consistent [simp]:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.poly_mult (R ( carrier := K )) =
poly_mult"
proof -
  have "
V
p q. ring.poly_mult (R (| carrier := K |)) p q = poly_mult p q"
 proof -
    fix p q show "ring.poly_mult (R \text{ } ( carrier := K \text{ } )) p q = poly_mult
p q"
      using ring.poly_mult.simps[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms]] poly_add_consistent[OF
assms]
      by (induct p) (auto)
 qed
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) univ_poly_a_inv_consistent:
 assumes "subring K R" "p \in carrier (K[X])"
 shows "\ominus_{K[X]} p = \ominus (carrier R)[X] P"
proof -
  have in_carrier: "p ∈ carrier ((carrier R)[X])"
    using assms carrier_polynomial by (auto simp add: univ_poly_def)
 show ?thesis
    using univ_poly_a_inv_def'[OF assms]
          univ_poly_a_inv_def'[OF carrier_is_subring in_carrier] by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) univ_poly_a_minus_consistent:
  assumes "subring K R" "q \in carrier (K[X])"
 shows "p \ominus_{K[X]} q = p \ominus (carrier R)[X] q"
  using univ_poly_a_inv_consistent[OF assms]
  unfolding a_minus_def univ_poly_def by auto
lemma (in ring) univ_poly_consistent:
 assumes "subring K R"
 shows "univ poly (R ( carrier := K )) = univ poly R"
  unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def
  using poly_add_consistent[OF assms]
```

```
poly_mult_consistent[OF assms]
      subringE(1)[OF assms]
by auto
```
#### **33.9.1 Corollaries**

```
corollary (in ring) subfield_long_division_theorem_shell:
 assumes "subfield K R" "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "b \in carrier (K[X])"
"b \neq 0_{K[\chi]}"
 shows "∃q r. q \in carrier (K[X]) ∧ r \in carrier (K[X]) ∧
               p = (b \otimes_{K[\chi]} q) \oplus_{K[\chi]} r \wedge (r = 0_{K[\chi]} \vee degree r < degree
b)"
  using domain.field_long_division_theorem_shell[OF subdomain_is_domain[OF
subfield.axioms(1)]
        field.carrier is subfield [OF subfield iff(2)[OF assms(1)]]] assms(1-4)
 unfolding univ poly consistent [OF subfieldE(1) [OF assms(1)]]
 by auto
corollary (in domain) univ_poly_is_euclidean:
 assumes "subfield K R" shows "euclidean_domain (K[X]) degree"
proof -
 interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]] field_def by
blast
 show ?thesis
    using subfield_long_division_theorem_shell[OF assms]
    by (auto intro!: UP.euclidean_domainI)
qed
corollary (in domain) univ_poly_is_principal:
 assumes "subfield K R" shows "principal_domain (K[X])"
proof -
 interpret UP: euclidean_domain "K[X]" degree
    using univ_poly_is_euclidean[OF assms] .
 show ?thesis ..
qed
```
### **33.10 The Evaluation Homomorphism**

```
lemma (in ring) eval_replicate:
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval ((replicate n 0) @ p) a = eval p a"
 using assms eval_in_carrier by (induct n) (auto)
lemma (in ring) eval_normalize:
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval (normalize p) a = eval p a"
 using eval_replicate[OF normalize_in_carrier] normalize_def'[of p] assms
by metis
```

```
lemma (in ring) eval_poly_add_aux:
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R" and "length p = length
q" and "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval (poly_add p q) a = (eval p a) \oplus (eval q a)"
proof -
 have "eval (map2 (⊕) p q) a = (eval p a) ⊕ (eval q a)"
    using assms
  proof (induct p arbitrary: q)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
 next
    case (Cons b1 p')
    then obtain b2 q' where q: "q = b2 # q''by (metis length_Cons list.exhaust list.size(3) nat.simps(3))
   show ?case
      using eval_in_carrier[OF _ Cons(5), of q']
            eval_in_carrier[OF _ Cons(5), of p'] Cons unfolding q
      by (auto simp add: ring_simprules(7,13,22))
 qed
  moreover have "set (map2 (⊕) p q) ⊆ carrier R"
    using assms(1-2)
    by (induct p arbitrary: q) (auto, metis add.m_closed in_set_zipE set_ConsD
subsetCE)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms(3) eval_normalize[OF _ assms(4), of "map2 (⊕) p q"] by
auto
qed
lemma (in ring) eval_poly_add:
 assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval (poly_add p q) a = (eval p a) \oplus (eval q a)"
proof -
  { fix p q assume A: "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R" "length
p \geq 1ength q"
   hence "eval (poly_add p ((replicate (length p - length q) 0) @ q))
a =(eval p a) \oplus (eval ((replicate (length p - length q) 0) \oplus q)
a)"
      using eval_poly_add_aux[OF A(1) _ _ assms(3), of "(replicate (length
p - length q) 0) @ q"] by force
    hence "eval (poly_add p q) a = (eval p a) ⊕ (eval q a)"
      using eval_replicate[OF A(2) assms(3)] A(3) by auto }
 note aux_lemma = this
  have ?thesis if "length q \ge length p"
   using assms(1-2)[THEN eval_in_carrier[OF _ assms(3)]] poly_add_comm[OF
assms(1-2)]aux lemma[OF assms(2,1) that]
    by (auto simp del: poly_add.simps simp add: add.m_comm)
  moreover have ?thesis if "length p \geq length q"
```

```
using aux_lemma[OF assms(1-2) that] .
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) eval_append_aux:
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" and "b ∈ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier
R"
  shows "eval (p \& [ b ]) a = ((eval p a) \& a) \oplus b"
  using assms(1)
proof (induct p)
  case Nil thus ?case by (auto simp add: assms(2-3))
next
  case (Cons l q)
  have "a [^] length q ∈ carrier R" "eval q a ∈ carrier R"
    using eval_in_carrier Cons(2) assms(2-3) by auto
  thus ?case
    using Cons assms(2-3) by (auto, algebra)
qed
lemma (in ring) eval_append:
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
  shows "eval (p Q q) a = ((eval p a) \otimes (a [^] (length q))) \oplus (eval q
a)"
  using assms(2)
proof (induct "length q" arbitrary: q)
  case 0 thus ?case
    using eval_in_carrier[OF assms(1,3)] by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  then obtain b q' where q: "q = q' @ [b]"by (metis length_Suc_conv list.simps(3) rev_exhaust)
  hence in_carrier: "eval p a ∈ carrier R" "eval q' a ∈ carrier R"
                    "a [^] (length q') \in carrier R" "b \in carrier R"
    using assms(1,3) Suc(3) eval_in_carrier[OF _ assms(3)] by auto
  have "eval (p @ q) a = ((eval (p @ q') a) \otimes a) \oplus b''using eval_append_aux[OF _ _ assms(3), of "p @ q'" b] assms(1) Suc(3)
unfolding q by auto
  also have " ... = ((((eval p a) ⊗ (a [^] (length q'))) ⊕ (eval q' a))
⊗ a) ⊕ b"
    using Suc unfolding q by auto
  also have " ... = (((eval p a) ⊗ ((a [^] (length q')) ⊗ a))) ⊕ (((eval
q' a) ⊗ a) ⊕ b)"
    using assms(3) in_carrier by algebra
  also have " ... = (eval p a) \otimes (a [^] (length q)) \oplus (eval q a)"
    using eval_append_aux[OF _ in_carrier(4) assms(3), of q'] Suc(3) un-
folding q by auto
  finally show ?case .
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring) eval_monom:
 assumes "b ∈ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval (monom b n) a = b \otimes (a \in \hat{a}] n)"
proof (induct n)
  case 0 thus ?case
    using assms unfolding monom_def by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  have "monom b (Suc n) = (monom b n) @ [ 0 ]"unfolding monom_def by (simp add: replicate_append_same)
  hence "eval (monom b (Suc n)) a = ((eval (monom b n) a) \otimes a) \oplus 0"
    using eval_append_aux[OF monom_in_carrier[OF assms(1)] zero_closed
assms(2), of n] by simp
 also have " ... = b \otimes (a [\hat{}] (Suc n))"
    using Suc assms m_assoc by auto
  finally show ?case .
qed
lemma (in cring) eval_poly_mult:
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" "set q ⊆ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "eval (poly_mult p q) a = (eval p a) \otimes (eval q a)"
  using assms(1)
proof (induct p)
  case Nil thus ?case
    using eval_in_carrier[OF assms(2-3)] by simp
next
  { fix n b assume b: "b ∈ carrier R"
    hence "set (map ((⊗) b) q) ⊆ carrier R" and "set (replicate n 0)
⊆ carrier R"
      using assms(2) by (induct q) (auto)
    hence "eval ((map ((⊗) b) q) @ (replicate n 0)) a = (eval ((map ((⊗)
b) q)) a) \otimes (a [^] n) \oplus 0"
      using eval_append[OF _ _ assms(3), of "map ((⊗) b) q" "replicate
n 0"]
            eval_replicate[OF _ assms(3), of "[]"] by auto
    moreover have "eval (map ((⊗) b) q) a = b ⊗ eval q a"
      using assms(2-3) eval_in_carrier b by(induct q) (auto simp add:
m assoc r distr)
    ultimately have "eval ((map ((⊗) b) q) @ (replicate n 0)) a = (b
⊗ eval q a) ⊗ (a [^] n) ⊕ 0"
      by simp
    also have " ... = (b \otimes (a [^{\uparrow}] n)) \otimes (eval q a)"
      using eval_in_carrier[OF assms(2-3)] b assms(3) m_assoc m_comm by
auto
    finally have "eval ((map ((⊗) b) q) @ (replicate n 0)) a = (eval (monom
b n) a) \otimes (eval q a)"
      using eval_monom[OF b assms(3)] by simp }
 note aux_lemma = this
```

```
case (Cons b p)
 hence in_carrier:
    "eval (monom b (length p)) a \in carrier R" "eval p a \in carrier R" "eval
q a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R"
    using eval_in_carrier monom_in_carrier assms by auto
  have set_map: "set ((map ((⊗) b) q) @ (replicate (length p) 0)) ⊆ carrier
R''using in carrier(4) assms(2) by (induct q) (auto)
 have set_poly: "set (poly_mult p q) ⊆ carrier R"
    using poly_mult_in_carrier[OF _ assms(2), of p] Cons(2) by auto
  have "eval (poly\_mult (b \# p) q) a =((eval (monom b (length p)) a) \otimes (eval q a)) \oplus ((eval p a) \otimes (eval
q a))"
    using eval_poly_add[OF set_map set_poly assms(3)] aux_lemma[OF in_carrier(4),
of "length p"] Cons
    by (auto simp del: poly_add.simps)
 also have " ... = ((eval (monom b (length p)) a) \oplus (eval p a)) \otimes (eval
q a)"
    using l_distr[OF in_carrier(1-3)] by simp
 also have " ... = (eval (b # p) a) \otimes (eval q a)"
    unfolding eval_monom[OF in_carrier(4) assms(3), of "length p"] by
auto
 finally show ?case .
qed
proposition (in cring) eval_is_hom:
  assumes "subring K R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "(\lambda p. (eval p) a) \in ring_hom (K[X]) R"
 unfolding univ_poly_def
  using polynomial_in_carrier[OF assms(1)] eval_in_carrier
        eval_poly_add eval_poly_mult assms(2)
  by (auto intro!: ring_hom_memI
         simp add: univ_poly_carrier
         simp del: poly_add.simps poly_mult.simps)
theorem (in domain) eval_cring_hom:
  assumes "subring K R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
  shows "ring_hom_cring (K[X]) R (\lambda p. (eval p) a)"
  unfolding ring_hom_cring_def ring_hom_cring_axioms_def
  using domain.axioms(1)[OF univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)]]
        eval_is_hom[OF assms] cring_axioms by auto
corollary (in domain) eval_ring_hom:
  assumes "subring K R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "ring_hom_ring (K[X]) R (\lambda p. (eval p) a)"
  using eval cring hom<sup>[OF</sup> assms] ring hom ringI2
  unfolding ring_hom_cring_def ring_hom_cring_axioms_def cring_def by
auto
```
### **33.11 Homomorphisms**

```
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) eval_hom':
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" and "set p ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "h (R.eval p a) = eval (\text{map } h p) (h a)"
  using assms by (induct p, auto simp add: R.eval_in_carrier hom_nat_pow)
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) eval_hom:
  assumes "subring K R" and "a ∈ carrier R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  shows "h (R.eval p a) = eval (\text{map } h p) (h a)"
proof -
  have "set p ⊆ carrier R"
    using subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] R.polynomial_incl assms(3)
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier[of R]] by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using eval_hom'[OF assms(2)] by simp
qed
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) coeff_hom':
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" shows "h (R.coeff p i) = coeff (map h
p) i"
  using assms by (induct p) (auto)
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) poly_add_hom':
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" and "set q ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "normalize (map h (R.poly_add p q)) = poly_add (map h p) (map
h q)"
proof -
  have set_map: "set (map h s) ⊆ carrier S" if "set s ⊆ carrier R" for
s
    using that by auto
  have "coeff (normalize (map h (R.poly_add p q))) = coeff (map h (R.poly_add
p q))"
    using S.normalize_coeff by auto
  also have " ... = (λi. h ((R.coeff p i) ⊕ (R.coeff q i)))"
    using coeff_hom'[OF R.poly_add_in_carrier[OF assms]] R.poly_add_coeff[OF
assms] by simp
  also have " ... = (\lambda i. (coeff (map h p) i) \bigoplus<sub>S</sub> (coeff (map h q) i))"
    using assms[THEN R.coeff_in_carrier] assms[THEN coeff_hom'] by simp
  also have " \ldots = (\lambdai. coeff (poly_add (map h p) (map h q)) i)"
    using S.poly_add_coeff[OF assms[THEN set_map]] by simp
  finally have "coeff (normalize (map h (R.poly<sub>2</sub>dd p q))) = (\lambda i. coeff
(poly_add (map h p) (map h q)) i)" .
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding coeff_iff_polynomial_cond[OF
              normalize_gives_polynomial[OF set_map[OF R.poly_add_in_carrier[OF
assms]]]
              poly_add_is_polynomial[OF carrier_is_subring assms[THEN
set_map]]] .
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) poly_mult_hom':
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" and "set q ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "normalize (map h (R.poly mult p q)) = poly mult (map h p) (map
h q)"
  using assms(1)
proof (induct p, simp)
  case (Cons a p)
  have set_map: "set (map h s) ⊆ carrier S" if "set s ⊆ carrier R" for
s
    using that by auto
  let ?q_a = "(map ((⊗) a) q) @ (replicate (length p) 0)"
  have set_q_a: "set ?q_a ⊆ carrier R"
    using assms(2) Cons(2) by (induct q) (auto)
  have q_a simp: "map h ?q_a = (map ((\otimes_S) (h a)) (map h q)) \circ (replicate
(length (map h p)) 0_S)"
    using assms(2) Cons(2) by (induct q) (auto)
  have "S.normalize (map h (R.poly_mult (a # p) q)) =
        S.normalize (map h (R.poly_add ?q_a (R.poly_mult p q)))"
    by simp
  also have " \ldots = S.poly_add (map h ?q_a) (map h (R.poly_mult p q))"
    using poly_add_hom'[OF set_q_a R.poly_mult_in_carrier[OF _ assms(2)]]
Cons by simp
  also have " ... = S.poly_add (map h ?q_a) (S.normalize (map h (R.poly_mult
p q)))"
    using poly add normalize(2)[OF set map[OF set q a] set map[OF R.poly mult in carrier[OF
_ assms(2)]]] Cons by simp
  also have " ... = S.poly_add (map h ?q_a) (S.poly_mult (map h p) (map
h q))"
    using Cons by simp
  also have " ... = S.poly_mult (map h (a # p)) (map h q)"
    unfolding q_a_simp by simp
  finally show ?case .
qed
```

```
33.12 The X Variable
```

```
definition var :: "_ ⇒ 'a list" ("Xı ")
  where "X_R = [1_R, 0_R]"
lemma (in ring) eval_var:
  assumes "x \in \text{carrier } R" shows "eval X \times x = x"
  using assms unfolding var_def by auto
lemma (in domain) var_closed:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "X \in carrier (K[X])" and "polynomial K
X"
```

```
using subringE(2-3)[OF assms]
  by (auto simp add: var_def univ_poly_def polynomial_def)
lemma (in domain) poly_mult_var':
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "poly_mult X p = normalize (p \in [0])"
    and "poly mult p X = normalize (p Q [ 0 ])"
proof -
  from ‹ set p ⊆ carrier R› have "poly_mult [ 1 ] p = normalize p"
    using poly_mult_one' by simp
  thus "poly_mult X p = normalize (p @ [ 0 ])"
    using poly_mult_append_zero[OF _ assms, of "[ 1 ]"] normalize_idem
    unfolding var_def by (auto simp del: poly_mult.simps)
  thus "poly_mult p X = normalize (p @ [ 0 ] )"
    using poly_mult_comm[OF assms] unfolding var_def by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) poly_mult_var:
  assumes "subring K R" "p \in carrier (K[X])"
  shows "p \otimes_{K[X]} X = (\text{if } p = [] \text{ then } [] \text{ else } p \text{ @ } [0])"
proof -
  have is_poly: "polynomial K p"
    using assms(2) unfolding univ_poly_def by simp
  hence "polynomial K (p \& [ 0 ])" if "p \neq []"
    using that subringE(2)[OF assms(1)] unfolding polynomial_def by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using poly mult var'(2)[OF polynomial in carrier[OF assms(1) is poly]]
          normalize polynomial [of K "p \& [ 0 ]"]
    by (auto simp add: univ poly mult[of R K])
qed
lemma (in domain) var_pow_closed:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "X \left[\int_{K[X]}(x) dx\right] (n :: nat) \in carrier (K[X])"
  using monoid.nat_pow_closed[OF univ_poly_is_monoid[OF assms] var_closed(1)[OF
assms]] .
lemma (in domain) unitary_monom_eq_var_pow:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "monom 1 n = X [\uparrow]_{K[X]} n"
  using poly_mult_var[OF assms var_pow_closed[OF assms]] unfolding nat_pow_def
monom_def
  by (induct n) (auto simp add: univ_poly_one, metis append_Cons replicate_append_same)
lemma (in domain) monom_eq_var_pow:
  assumes "subring K R" "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
 shows "monom a n = [ a ] \otimes_{K[X]} (X [\hat{ } ]_{K[X]} n)"
proof -
  have "monom a n = map ((\otimes) a) (monom 1 n)"
    unfolding monom_def using assms(2) by (induct n) (auto)
  also have " \ldots = poly\_mult [ a ] (monom 1 n)"
```

```
using poly_mult_const(1)[OF _ monom_is_polynomial assms(2)] carrier_is_subring
by simp
 also have " ... = [ a ] \otimes_{K[\chi]} (X [\hat{ } ]_{K[\chi]} n)"
    unfolding unitary_monom_eq_var_pow[OF assms(1)] univ_poly_mult[of
R K] by simp
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma (in domain) eval_rewrite:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
 shows "p = (ring.eval (K[X])) (map poly_of_const p) X"
proof -
 let ?map_norm = "\lambda p. map poly_of_const p"
 interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] .
  { fix l assume "set l ⊆ K"
   hence "poly_of_const a ∈ carrier (K[X])" if "a ∈ set l" for a
      using that normalize_gives_polynomial[of "[ a ]" K]
      unfolding univ_poly_carrier poly_of_const_def by auto
    hence "set (?map_norm l) ⊆ carrier (K[X])"
      by auto }
 note aux_lemma1 = this
  { fix q l assume set_l: "set l ⊆ K" and q: "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
    from set_l have "UP.eval (?map_norm l) q = UP.eval (?map_norm ((replicate
n 0) @ l)) q" for n
    proof (induct n, simp)
      case (Suc n)
      from ‹ set l ⊆ K› have set_replicate: "set ((replicate n 0) @ l)
⊆ K"
        using subringE(2)[OF assms(1)] by (induct n) (auto)
      have step: "UP.eval (?map_norm l') q = UP.eval (?map_norm (0 # l'))
q" if "set l' ⊆ K" for l'
        using UP.eval_in_carrier[OF aux_lemma1[OF that]] q unfolding poly_of_const_def
        by (simp, simp add: sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R K]])
      have "UP.eval (?map_norm l) q = UP.eval (?map_norm ((replicate n
0) (0) 1)) q''using Suc by simp
      also have " ... = UP.eval (map poly_of_const ((replicate (Suc n)
0) @ l)) q"
        using step[OF set_replicate] by simp
      finally show ?case .
    qed }
  note aux_lemma2 = this
  { fix q l assume "set l ⊆ K" and q: "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
    from ‹ set l ⊆ K› have set_norm: "set (normalize l) ⊆ K"
```

```
by (induct l) (auto)
    have "UP.eval (?map_norm l) q = UP.eval (?map_norm (normalize l))
q"
      using aux_lemma2[OF set_norm q, of "length l - length (local.normalize
l)"]
      unfolding sym[OF normalize_trick[of l]] .. }
 note aux_lemma3 = this
  from ‹ p ∈ carrier (K[X])› show ?thesis
 proof (induct "length p" arbitrary: p rule: less_induct)
    case less thus ?case
    proof (cases p, simp add: univ_poly_zero)
      case (Cons a l)
      hence a: "a ∈ carrier R - { 0 }" and set_l: "set l ⊆ carrier R"
"set l ⊆ K"
        using less(2) subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier]
polynomial_def by auto
      have "a # 1 = poly add (monom a (length 1)) 1"using poly_add_monom[OF set_l(1) a] ..
      also have " ... = poly_add (monom a (length l)) (normalize l)"
        using poly_add_normalize(2)[OF monom_in_carrier[of a] set_l(1)]
a by simp
      also have " ... = poly_add (monom a (length l)) (UP.eval (?map_norm
(normalize l)) X)"
        using less(1)[of "normalize 1"] normalize gives polynomial[OF
set l(2)] normalize length le[of l]
        by (auto simp add: univ_poly_carrier Cons(1))
      also have " ... = poly_add ([ a ] \otimes_{K[X]} (X [^]<sub>K[X]</sub> (length l)))
(UP.eval (?map_norm l) X)"
        unfolding monom_eq_var_pow[OF assms(1) a] aux_lemma3[OF set_l(2)
var_closed(1)[OF assms(1)]] ..
      also have " ... = UP.eval (?map_norm (a # l)) X"
        using a unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_add[of R K]] unfolding poly_of_const_def
by auto
      finally show ?thesis
        unfolding Cons(1) .
    qed
 qed
qed
lemma (in ring) dense_repr_set_fst:
  assumes "set p \subseteq K" shows "fst ' (set (dense_repr p)) \subseteq K - \{0\}"
  using assms by (induct p) (auto)
lemma (in ring) dense_repr_set_snd:
  shows "snd ' (set (dense repr p)) \subset {...< length p}"
  by (induct p) (auto)
```

```
lemma (in domain) dense_repr_monom_closed:
  assumes "subring K R" "set p \subseteq K"
  shows "t \in set (dense_repr p) \implies monom (fst t) (snd t) \in carrier (K[X])"
  using dense repr set fst[OF assms(2)] monom is polynomial[OF assms(1)]
  by (auto simp add: univ_poly_carrier)
lemma (in domain) monom_finsum_decomp:
  assumes "subring K R" "p \in \text{carrier } (K[X])"
  \mathbf{shows} "\mathbf{p} = (\bigoplus_{K[X]} t \in set (dense_repr \mathbf{p}). monom (fst t) (snd t))"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] .
  from \langle p \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) \rangle show ?thesis
  proof (induct "length p" arbitrary: p rule: less_induct)
    case less thus ?case
    proof (cases p)
      case Nil thus ?thesis
        using UP.finsum_empty univ_poly_zero[of R K] by simp
    next
      case (Cons a l)
      hence in_carrier:
        "normalize 1 \in \text{carrier } (K[X])" "polynomial K (normalize 1)" "polynomial
K (a # 1)"
        using normalize_gives_polynomial polynomial_incl[of K p] less(2)
        unfolding univ_poly_carrier by auto
      have len_lt: "length (local.normalize l) < length p"
        using normalize length le by (simp add: Cons le imp less Suc)
      have a: "a ∈ K - { 0 }"
        using less(2) subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] unfolding Cons univ_poly_def
polynomial_def by auto
      hence "p = (monom a (length 1)) \bigoplus_{K[X]} (poly_of_dense (dense_repr
(normalize l)))"
        using monom decomp[OF assms(1), of p] less(2) dense repr normalize
        unfolding univ_poly_add univ_poly_carrier Cons by (auto simp del:
poly_add.simps)
      also have " ... = (monom a (length l)) \bigoplus_{K[X]} (normalize l)"
        using monom_decomp[OF assms(1) in_carrier(2)] by simp
      finally have "p = monom a (length l) \bigoplus_{K[X]}(\bigoplus_{K[X]} t \in \text{set } (\text{dense\_repr 1}). monom (fst t) (snd
t))"
        using less(1)[OF len_lt in_carrier(1)] dense_repr_normalize by
simp
      moreover have "(a, (length 1)) \notin set (dense_repr 1)"
        using dense_repr_set_snd[of l] by auto
      moreover have "monom a (length l) ∈ carrier (K[X])"
```

```
using monom_is_polynomial[OF assms(1) a] unfolding univ_poly_carrier
by simp
       moreover have "\wedget. t ∈ set (dense_repr 1) \implies monom (fst t) (snd
t) \in carrier (K[X])"
          using dense_repr_monom_closed[OF assms(1)] polynomial_incl[OF
in_carrier(3)] by auto
       ultimately have "p = (\bigoplus_{K[X]} t \in \text{set (dense\_repr (a # 1))}. monom
(fst t) (snd t))"
          using UP.add.finprod_insert a by auto
       thus ?thesis unfolding Cons .
     qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) var_pow_finsum_decomp:
  assumes "subring K R" "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  \textbf{shows } "\texttt{p} = (\bigoplus_{\texttt{K}[\texttt{X}]} \texttt{t} \in \texttt{set} (dense_repr \texttt{p}). [ \texttt{fst} \texttt{t} ] \otimes_{\texttt{K}[\texttt{X}]} (X \texttt{[\![}^\frown\texttt{K}[\texttt{X}]](snd t)))"
proof -
  let ?f = "\lambda t. monom (fst t) (snd t)"
  let ?g = "\lambdat. [ fst t ] \otimes_{K[\chi]} (X [^]<sub>K[X]</sub> (snd t))"
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
     using univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] .
  have set_p: "set p ⊆ K"
     using polynomial_incl assms(2) by (simp add: univ_poly_carrier)
  hence f: "?f \in set (dense repr p) \rightarrow carrier (K[X])"
     using dense_repr_monom_closed[OF assms(1)] by auto
  moreover
  \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{``}\text{\textbackslash}t. t \in set (dense_repr p) \implies fst t \in carrier R - { 0 }"
     using dense_repr_set_fst[OF set_p] subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] by auto
  hence " \wedge t. t \in set (dense\_repr p) \implies monom (fst t) (snd t) = [fstt ] \otimes_{K[X]} (X [^]<sub>K[X]</sub> (snd t))"
     using monom_eq_var_pow[OF assms(1)] by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
     using UP.add.finprod_cong[of _ _ ?f ?g] monom_finsum_decomp[OF assms]
by auto
qed
corollary (in domain) hom_var_pow_finsum:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "ring_hom_ring (K[X])
A h"
  shows "h p = (\bigoplus_{A} t \in \text{set } (dense\_repr p). h [ fst t ] \otimes_{A} (h X [^]<sub>A</sub>
(snd t))"
proof -
  let ?f = "\lambdat. [ fst t ] \otimes_{K[\chi]} (X [^]<sub>K[X]</sub> (snd t))"
```

```
let ?g = "\lambdat. h [ fst t ] \otimes_A (h X [^]<sub>A</sub> (snd t))"
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]" + A: ring A
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] ring_hom_ring.axioms(2)[OF
assms(3)] by simp+
  have const_in_carrier:
    "\wedge t. t \in set (dense_repr p) \implies [ fst t ] \in carrier (K[X])"
    using dense_repr_set_fst[OF polynomial_incl, of K p] assms(2) const_is_polynomial[of
K]
    by (auto simp add: univ_poly_carrier)
  hence f: "?f: set (dense_repr p) \rightarrow carrier (K[X])"
    using UP.m_closed[OF _ var_pow_closed[OF assms(1)]] by auto
  hence h: "h ◦ ?f: set (dense_repr p) → carrier A"
    using ring_hom_memE(1)[OF ring_hom_ring.homh[OF assms(3)]] by (auto
simp add: Pi_def)
  have hp: "h p = (\bigoplus_{A} t \in set (dense_repr p). (h ⊙ ?f) t)"
    using ring_hom_ring.hom_finsum[OF assms(3) f] var_pow_finsum_decomp[OF
assms(1-2)]by (auto, meson o_apply)
  \mathtt{have\ eq}\colon "\bigwedge \mathtt{t}\colon \mathtt{t}\in set (dense_repr \mathtt{p})\implies \mathtt{h} [ fst \mathtt{t} ] \otimes_\mathtt{A} (h X [^]_\mathtt{A}(snd t)) = (h \circ ?f) t"using ring_hom_memE(2)[OF ring_hom_ring.homh[OF assms(3)]
           const_in_carrier var_pow_closed[OF assms(1)]]
           ring hom ring.hom nat pow[OF assms(3) var closed(1)[OF assms(1)]]
by auto
  show ?thesis
    using A.add.finprod_cong'[OF _ h eq] hp by simp
qed
corollary (in domain) determination_of_hom:
  assumes "subring K R"
    and "ring_hom_ring (K[X]) A h" "ring_hom_ring (K[X]) A g"
    and "\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies h \left[ k \right] = g \left[ k \right]" and "h X = g X"
  shows "\bigwedge p. p \in carrier (K[X]) \implies h p = g p"proof -
  interpret A: ring A
    using ring_hom_ring.axioms(2)[OF assms(2)] by simp
  fix p assume p: "p \in carrier (K[X])"hence
    "\wedge t. t \in set (dense_repr p) \implies [ fst t ] \in carrier (K[X])"
    using dense_repr_set_fst[OF polynomial_incl, of K p] const_is_polynomial[of
K]
    by (auto simp add: univ_poly_carrier)
  hence f: "(\lambda t. h [fst t] \otimes_A (h X [^c]_A (snd t))): set (dense repr
p) \rightarrow carrier A"
    using ring_hom_memE(1)[OF ring_hom_ring.homh[OF assms(2)]] var_closed(1)[OF
```
```
assms(1)]A.m_closed[OF _ A.nat_pow_closed]
    by auto
   \mathtt{have}\ \mathtt{eq}\colon\mathord{``}\textnormal{\textbackslash} \mathtt{t}\colon\ \mathtt{t}\in \mathtt{set}\ (\mathtt{dense\_repr}\ \mathtt{p}) \impliesg [ fst t ] \otimes_A (g X [^]<sub>A</sub> (snd t)) = h [ fst t ] \otimes_A (h X [^]<sub>A</sub> (snd
t))"
     using dense repr set fst[OF polynomial incl, of K p] p assms(4-5)by (auto simp add: univ_poly_carrier)
  show "h p = g p"
     unfolding assms(2-3)[THEN hom_var_pow_finsum[OF assms(1) p]]
     using A.add.finprod_cong'[OF _ f eq] by simp
qed
corollary (in domain) eval_as_unique_hom:
  assumes "subring K R" "x ∈ carrier R"
```

```
and "ring_hom_ring (K[X]) R h"
  and "\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies h \left[ k \right] = k" and "h X = x"
shows "\bigwedge p. p \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) \implies h p = \text{eval } p x"
using determination_of_hom[OF assms(1,3) eval_ring_hom[OF assms(1-2)]]
       eval_var[OF assms(2)] assms(4-5) subringE(1)[OF assms(1)]
by fastforce
```
### **33.13 The Constant Term**

```
definition (in ring) const term :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a"
  where "const term p = eval p 0"
lemma (in ring) const_term_eq_last:
  assumes "set p ⊆ carrier R" and "a ∈ carrier R"
 shows "const_term (p \circ [a]) = a"
  using assms by (induct p) (auto simp add: const_term_def)
lemma (in ring) const_term_not_zero:
 assumes "const_term p \neq 0" shows "p \neq []"
  using assms by (auto simp add: const_term_def)
lemma (in ring) const_term_explicit:
 assumes "set p \subseteq carrier R'' "p \neq []'' and "const_term p = a''obtains p' where "set p' \subseteq carrier R" and "p = p' \subseteq [ a ]"
proof -
 obtain a' p' where p: "p = p' @ [ a' ]"
    using assms(2) rev_exhaust by blast
 have p': "set p' ⊆ carrier R" and a: "a = a'"
    using assms const term eq last [of p' a'] unfolding p by auto
 show thesis
    using p p' that unfolding a by blast
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring) const_term_zero:
  assumes "subring K R" "polynomial K p" "p \neq []" and "const_term p
= 0"obtains p' where "polynomial K p'" "p' \neq []" and "p = p' \& [ 0 ]"
proof -
  obtain p' where p': "p = p' @ [ 0 ]"
     using const term explicit<sup>[OF</sup> polynomial in carrier<sup>[OF</sup> assms(1-2)]
assms(3-4)] by auto
  have "polynomial K p'" "p' \neq []"
     using assms(2) unfolding p' polynomial_def by auto
  thus thesis using p' ..
qed
lemma (in cring) const_term_simprules:
   \texttt{shows}\text{ ``\texttt{\char'13377}} set \mathtt{p}\subseteq \texttt{carrier}\,\, \mathtt{R}\implies \texttt{const\_term}\,\, \mathtt{p}\in \texttt{carrier}\,\, \mathtt{R''}\text{and} "\textcolor{black}{\bigwedge}p q. \textcolor{black}{\parallel} set p \textcolor{black}{\subseteq} carrier \textcolor{black}{\mathsf{R}} ; set q \textcolor{black}{\subseteq} carrier \textcolor{black}{\mathsf{R}} \textcolor{black}{\parallel} \impliesconst_term (poly_mult p q) = const_term p \otimes const_term
q"
     and "\bigwedgep q. [[ set p \subseteq carrier R; set q \subseteq carrier R ]] \Longrightarrowconst_term (poly_add p q) = const_term p \oplus const_term
q"
  using eval_poly_mult eval_poly_add eval_in_carrier zero_closed
  unfolding const_term_def by auto
lemma (in domain) const_term_simprules_shell:
  assumes "subring K R"
   \texttt{shows}\text{ ``\texttt{\char'44}''} \texttt{p.\ p\in carrier\text{ $(K[X])\implies const\_term\ p\in K$''}}and "\bigwedgep q. [[ p ∈ carrier (K[X]); q ∈ carrier (K[X]) ]] \impliesconst_term (p \otimes_{K[X]} q) = const_term p \otimes const_term q"
     \text{and} "\bigwedge \text{p} q. \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{p}\in \text{carrier (K[X])}\text{;}\text{ q}\in \text{carrier (K[X])}\end{array}\right]\Longrightarrowconst_term (p \oplus_{K[X]} q) = const_term p \oplus const_term q"
     \quad \quad \text{and} \quad \text{``}\text{/p. p} \in \text{carrier (K[X])} \implies \text{const\_term (}\oplus_{K[X]} p) = \ominus \text{ (const\_term}p)"
  using eval_is_hom[OF assms(1) zero_closed]
  unfolding ring_hom_def const_term_def
proof (auto)
  fix p assume p: \forall p \in \text{carrier } (K[X])hence "set p ⊆ carrier R"
     using polynomial_in_carrier[OF assms(1)] by (auto simp add: univ_poly_def)
  thus "eval (\ominus_K \gamma) p) 0 = \ominus local.eval p 0"
     unfolding univ_poly_a_inv_def'[OF assms(1) p]
     by (induct p) (auto simp add: eval_in_carrier l_minus local.minus_add)
  have "set p ⊆ K"
     using p by (auto simp add: univ_poly_def polynomial_def)
  thus "eval p \ 0 \in K"
     using subringE(1-2,6-7)[OF assms]
     by (induct p) (auto, metis assms nat_pow_0 nat_pow_zero subringE(3))
qed
```
### **33.14 The Canonical Embedding of K in K[X]**

```
lemma (in ring) poly_of_const_consistent:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.poly_of_const (R (| carrier := K |))
= poly_of_const"
 unfolding ring.poly_of_const_def[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms]]
            normalize_consistent[OF assms] poly_of_const_def ..
lemma (in domain) canonical_embedding_is_hom:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "poly_of_const ∈ ring_hom (R (| carrier
:= K \cup (K[X])"
  using subringE(1)[OF assms] unfolding subset iff poly of const def
 by (auto intro!: ring_hom_memI simp add: univ_poly_def)
lemma (in domain) canonical_embedding_ring_hom:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring_hom_ring (R (| carrier := K |)) (K[X])
poly_of_const"
 using canonical_embedding_is_hom[OF assms] unfolding symmetric[OF ring_hom_ring_axioms_def]
 by (rule ring_hom_ring.intro[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms] univ_poly_is_ring[OF
assms]])
lemma (in field) poly_of_const_over_carrier:
 shows "poly_of_const ' (carrier R) = { p \in carrier ((carrier R)[X]).
degree p = 0 }"
proof -
 have "poly_of_const ' (carrier R) = insert [] { [ k ] | k. k ∈ carrier
R - \{ 0 \} }"
    unfolding poly_of_const_def by auto
 also have " ... = { p \in carrier ((carrier R)[X]). degree p = 0 }"
    unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def
    by (auto, metis le_Suc_eq le_zero_eq length_0_conv length_Suc_conv
list.sel(1) list.set_sel(1) subsetCE)
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma (in ring) poly_of_const_over_subfield:
 assumes "subfield K R" shows "poly_of_const ' K = { p \in carrier (K[X]).
degree p = 0 }"
  using field.poly_of_const_over_carrier[OF subfield_iff(2)[OF assms]]
        poly_of_const_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]]
        univ_poly_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]] by simp
lemma (in field) univ_poly_carrier_subfield_of_consts:
  "subfield (poly_of_const ' (carrier R)) ((carrier R)[X])"
proof -
  have ring_hom: "ring_hom_ring R ((carrier R)[X]) poly_of_const"
    using canonical_embedding_ring_hom[OF carrier_is_subring] by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using ring_hom_ring.img_is_subfield(2)[OF ring_hom carrier_is_subfield]
    unfolding univ_poly_def by auto
```

```
proposition (in ring) univ_poly_subfield_of_consts:
 assumes "subfield K R" shows "subfield (poly_of_const ' K) (K[X])"
  using field.univ_poly_carrier_subfield_of_consts[OF subfield_iff(2)[OF
```

```
unfolding poly of const consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]]
          univ_poly_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]] by simp
```
**end**

assms]]

**qed**

```
theory Embedded_Algebras
 imports Subrings Generated_Groups
begin
```
# **34 Definitions**

```
locale embedded_algebra =
  K?: subfield K R + R?: ring R for K :: "'a set" and R :: "('a, 'b) ring_scheme"
(structure)
definition (in ring) line_extension :: "'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set"
  where "line_extension K a E = (K \# > a) < \rightarrow R<sup>E"</sup>
fun (in ring) Span :: "'a set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a set"
  where "Span K Us = foldr (line_extension K) Us { 0 }"
fun (in ring) combine :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a"
  where
     "combine (k # Ks) (u # Us) = (k \otimes u) \oplus (combine Ks Us)"
  | "combine Ks Us = 0"
inductive (in ring) independent :: "'a set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool"
  where
    li_Nil [simp, intro]: "independent K []"
  | li_Cons: "[[ u ∈ carrier R; u ∉ Span K Us; independent K Us ]] \implies independent
K (u # Us)"inductive (in ring) dimension :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool"
  where
    zero_dim [simp, intro]: "dimension 0 K { 0 }"
   | Suc_dim: "\lceil v \rceil v \in carrier R; v \notin E; dimension n K E \rceil \Rightarrow dimension
(Suc n) K (line_extension K v E)"
```
### **34.0.1 Syntactic Definitions**

```
abbreviation (in ring) dependent :: "'a set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool"
  where "dependent K U \equiv \neg independent K U"
```
**definition** over :: "('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b" (infixl "over" 65) **where** "f over a = f a"

**context** ring **begin**

### **34.1 Basic Properties - First Part**

```
lemma line_extension_consistent:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.line_extension (R (| carrier := K
|)) = line_extension"
  unfolding ring.line_extension_def[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms]] line_extension_def
  by (simp add: set_add_def set_mult_def)
lemma Span_consistent:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.Span (R (| carrier := K |)) = Span"
  unfolding ring.Span.simps[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms]] Span.simps
             line_extension_consistent[OF assms] by simp
lemma combine_in_carrier [simp, intro]:
  "[[ set Ks \subseteq carrier R; set Us \subseteq carrier R ]] \implies combine Ks Us \in carrier
R"
  by (induct Ks Us rule: combine.induct) (auto)
lemma combine_r_distr:
  "\mathbb{I} set Ks \subseteq carrier R; set Us \subseteq carrier R \mathbb{I} \impliesk \in carrier R \implies k \otimes (combine Ks Us) = combine (map ((\otimes) k) Ks)
He''by (induct Ks Us rule: combine.induct) (auto simp add: m_assoc r_distr)
lemma combine_l_distr:
  "\mathbb{I} set Ks \subseteq carrier R; set Us \subseteq carrier R \mathbb{I} \Longrightarrowu \in carrier R \implies (combine Ks Us) \otimes u = combine Ks (map (\lambda u'. u'
\otimes u) Us)"
  by (induct Ks Us rule: combine.induct) (auto simp add: m_assoc l_distr)
lemma combine_eq_foldr:
  "combine Ks Us = foldr (\lambda(k, u). \lambdal. (k \otimes u) \oplus l) (zip Ks Us) 0"
  by (induct Ks Us rule: combine.induct) (auto)
lemma combine_replicate:
  "set Us \subset carrier R \Longrightarrow combine (replicate (length Us) 0) Us = 0"
  by (induct Us) (auto)
lemma combine_take:
  "combine (take (length Us) Ks) Us = combine Ks Us"
```

```
by (induct Us arbitrary: Ks)
     (auto, metis combine.simps(1) list.exhaust take.simps(1) take_Suc_Cons)
lemma combine_append_zero:
  "set Us \subset carrier R \Longrightarrow combine (Ks \mathfrak{C} [ 0 ]) Us = combine Ks Us"
proof (induct Ks arbitrary: Us)
 case Nil thus ?case by (induct Us) (auto)
next
 case Cons thus ?case by (cases Us) (auto)
qed
lemma combine_prepend_replicate:
  "\parallel set Ks \subseteq carrier R; set Us \subseteq carrier R \parallel \impliescombine ((replicate n 0) @ Ks) Us = combine Ks (drop n Us)"
proof (induct n arbitrary: Us, simp)
  case (Suc n) thus ?case
    by (cases Us) (auto, meson combine_in_carrier ring_simprules(8) set_drop_subset
subset_trans)
qed
lemma combine_append_replicate:
  "set Us \subseteq carrier R \implies combine (Ks @ (replicate n 0)) Us = combine
Ks Us"
 by (induct n) (auto, metis append.assoc combine_append_zero replicate_append_same)
lemma combine_append:
  assumes "length Ks = length Us"
    and "set Ks ⊆ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
    and "set Ks' ⊆ carrier R" "set Vs ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "(combine Ks Us) ⊕ (combine Ks' Vs) = combine (Ks @ Ks') (Us
@ Vs)"
 using assms
proof (induct Ks arbitrary: Us)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons k Ks)
 then obtain u Us' where Us: "Us = u # Us'"
    by (metis length_Suc_conv)
  hence u: "u ∈ carrier R" and Us': "set Us' ⊆ carrier R"
    using Cons(4) by auto
 then show ?case
    using combine_in_carrier[OF _ Us', of Ks] Cons
          combine_in_carrier[OF Cons(5-6)] unfolding Us
    by (auto, simp add: add.m_assoc)
qed
lemma combine_add:
 assumes "length Ks = length Us" and "length Ks' = length Us"
    and "set Ks ⊆ carrier R" "set Ks' ⊆ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier
```

```
R"
 shows "(combine Ks Us) ⊕ (combine Ks' Us) = combine (map2 (⊕) Ks Ks')
Us"
 using assms
proof (induct Us arbitrary: Ks Ks')
 case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons u Us)
 then obtain c c' Cs Cs' where Ks: "Ks = c # Cs" and Ks': "Ks' = c'
# Cs'"
    by (metis length_Suc_conv)
 hence in_carrier:
    "c ∈ carrier R" "set Cs ⊆ carrier R"
    "c' ∈ carrier R" "set Cs' ⊆ carrier R"
    "u ∈ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
    using Cons(4-6) by auto
 hence lc_in_carrier: "combine Cs Us ∈ carrier R" "combine Cs' Us ∈
carrier R"
    using combine_in_carrier by auto
  have "combine Ks (u # Us) ⊕ combine Ks' (u # Us) =
        ((c \otimes u) \oplus combine Cs Us) \oplus ((c' \otimes u) \oplus combine Cs' Us)"
    unfolding Ks Ks' by auto
  also have " ... = ((c ⊕ c') ⊗ u ⊕ (combine Cs Us ⊕ combine Cs' Us))"
    using lc_in_carrier in_carrier(1,3,5) by (simp add: l_distr ring_simprules(7,22))
 also have " ... = combine (map2 (⊕) Ks Ks') (u # Us)"
    using Cons unfolding Ks Ks' by auto
 finally show ?case .
qed
lemma combine_normalize:
 assumes "set Ks ⊆ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "combine Ks Us =
a"
 obtains Ks'
  where "set (take (length Us) Ks) ⊆ set Ks'" "set Ks' ⊆ set (take (length
Us) Ks) ∪ { 0 }"
   and "length Ks' = length Us" "combine Ks' Us = a"
proof -
  define Ks'
    where "Ks' = (if length Ks \leq length Us
                  then Ks @ (replicate (length Us - length Ks) 0) else
take (length Us) Ks)"
 hence "set (take (length Us) Ks) ⊆ set Ks'" "set Ks' ⊆ set (take (length
Us) Ks) ∪ { 0 }"
        "length Ks' = length Us" "a = combine Ks' Us"
    using combine_append_replicate[OF assms(2)] combine_take assms(3)
by auto
 thus thesis
    using that by blast
qed
```

```
lemma line_extension_mem_iff: "u ∈ line_extension K a E \longleftrightarrow (\existsk ∈ K.
\exists v \in E. u = k \otimes a \oplus v)"
 unfolding line_extension_def set_add_def'[of R "K #> a" E] unfolding
r_coset_def by blast
lemma line_extension_in_carrier:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" "a ∈ carrier R" "E ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "line_extension K a E ⊆ carrier R"
  using set_add_closed[OF r_coset_subset_G[OF assms(1-2)] assms(3)]
 by (simp add: line_extension_def)
lemma Span_in_carrier:
 assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "Span K Us ⊆ carrier R"
```

```
using assms by (induct Us) (auto simp add: line_extension_in_carrier)
```
### **34.2 Some Basic Properties of Linear Independence**

```
lemma independent_in_carrier: "independent K Us =⇒ set Us ⊆ carrier
R"
 by (induct Us rule: independent.induct) (simp_all)
lemma independent_backwards:
  "independent K (u # Us) \implies u \notin Span K Us"
  "independent K (u # Us) \implies independent K Us"
  "independent K (u # Us) \implies u \in carrier R"
 by (cases rule: independent.cases, auto)+
lemma dimension_independent [intro]: "independent K Us \implies dimension
(length Us) K (Span K Us)"
proof (induct Us)
 case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
 case Cons thus ?case
    using Suc_dim independent_backwards[OF Cons(2)] by auto
qed
```
Now, we fix K, a subfield of the ring. Many lemmas would also be true for weaker structures, but our interest is to work with subfields, so generalization could be the subject of a future work.

```
context
 fixes K :: "'a set" assumes K: "subfield K R"
begin
```
#### **34.3 Basic Properties - Second Part**

```
lemmas subring_props [simp] =
  subringE[OF subfieldE(1)[OF K]]
```

```
lemma line_extension_is_subgroup:
  assumes "subgroup E (add_monoid R)" "a ∈ carrier R"
  shows "subgroup (line_extension K a E) (add_monoid R)"
proof (rule add.subgroupI)
  show "line_extension K a E ⊆ carrier R"
    by (simp add: assms add.subgroupE(1) line_extension_def r_coset_subset_G
set add closed)
next
  have "0 = 0 ⊗ a ⊕ 0"
    using assms(2) by simp
  hence "0 ∈ line_extension K a E"
    using line_extension_mem_iff subgroup.one_closed[OF assms(1)] by auto
  thus "line_extension K a E \neq {}" by auto
next
  fix u1 u2
  assume "u1 ∈ line_extension K a E" and "u2 ∈ line_extension K a E"
  then obtain k1 k2 v1 v2
    where u1: "k1 ∈ K" "v1 ∈ E" "u1 = (k1 ⊗ a) ⊕ v1"
      and u2: "k2 ∈ K" "v2 ∈ E" "u2 = (k2 ⊗ a) ⊕ v2"
      and in_carr: "k1 ∈ carrier R" "v1 ∈ carrier R" "k2 ∈ carrier R"
"v2 ∈ carrier R"
    using line_extension_mem_iff by (meson add.subgroupE(1)[OF assms(1)]
subring_props(1) subsetCE)
  hence "u1 ⊕ u2 = ((k1 ⊕ k2) ⊗ a) ⊕ (v1 ⊕ v2)"
    using assms(2) by algebra
  moreover have "k1 ⊕ k2 \in K" and "v1 ⊕ v2 \in E"
    using add.subgroupE(4)[OF assms(1)] u1 u2 by auto
  ultimately show "u1 \oplus u2 \in line_extension K a E"
    using line_extension_mem_iff by auto
  have "\ominus u1 = ((\ominus k1) \otimes a) \oplus (\ominus v1)"
    using in_carr(1-2) u1(3) assms(2) by algebra
  moreover have "\ominus k1 \in K" and "\ominus v1 \in E"
    using add.subgroupE(3)[OF assms(1)] u1 by auto
  ultimately show "(\ominus u1) \in line_extension K a E"
    using line_extension_mem_iff by auto
qed
corollary Span_is_add_subgroup:
  "set Us \subseteq carrier R \implies subgroup (Span K Us) (add_monoid R)"
  using line_extension_is_subgroup normal_imp_subgroup[OF add.one_is_normal]
by (induct Us) (auto)
lemma line_extension_smult_closed:
  assumes "
V
k v. [[ k ∈ K; v ∈ E ]] =⇒ k ⊗ v ∈ E" and "E ⊆ carrier R"
"a \in carrier R"\mathbf{shows} "\bigwedge \mathbf{k} u. \left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{k}\in \mathbf{K}; \ \mathbf{u}\in \mathbf{line\_extension K}\ \mathbf{a}\to\mathbf{E}\end{array}\right]\implies \mathbf{k}\,\otimes\,\mathbf{u}\,\in\ \mathbf{line\_extension}
```

```
K a E"
proof -
  fix k u assume A: "k ∈ K" "u ∈ line_extension K a E"
  then obtain k' v'
    where u: "k' ∈ K" "v' ∈ E" "u = k' ⊗ a ⊕ v'"
      and in carr: "k ∈ carrier R" "k' ∈ carrier R" "v' ∈ carrier R"
    using line_extension_mem_iff assms(2) by (meson subring_props(1) subsetCE)
  hence "k \otimes u = (k \otimes k') \otimes a \oplus (k \otimes v')"
    using assms(3) by algebra
  thus "k ⊗ u ∈ line_extension K a E"
    using assms(1)[OF A(1) u(2)] line_extension_mem_iff u(1) A(1) by auto
qed
lemma Span_subgroup_props [simp]:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "Span K Us ⊆ carrier R"
    and "0 ∈ Span K Us"
    and "\bigwedge v1 v2. [v1 ∈ Span K Us; v2 ∈ Span K Us ] \implies (v1 \oplus v2) ∈
Span K Us"
    and "\bigwedge v. v \in Span K Us \implies (\ominus v) \in Span K Us"
  using add.subgroupE subgroup.one_closed[of _ "add_monoid R"]
        Span_is_add_subgroup[OF assms(1)] by auto
lemma Span_smult_closed [simp]:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
  \texttt{shows} "\textstyle\bigwedge\!\texttt{k} v. \llbracket k \in K; \texttt{v} \in Span K Us \rrbracket \implies k \otimes v \in Span K Us"
  using assms
proof (induct Us)
  case Nil thus ?case
    using r_null subring_props(1) by (auto, blast)
next
  case Cons thus ?case
    using Span_subgroup_props(1) line_extension_smult_closed by auto
qed
lemma Span_m_inv_simprule [simp]:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "[k \in K - \{ 0 \}; a \in \text{carrier } R] \implies k \otimes a \in \text{Span } K Us \implies a∈ Span K Us"
proof -
  assume k: "k ∈ K - { 0 }" and a: "a ∈ carrier R" and ka: "k ⊗ a ∈
Span K Us"
  have inv_k: "inv k ∈ K" "inv k ⊗ k = 1"
    using subfield_m_inv[OF K k] by simp+
  hence "inv k ⊗ (k ⊗ a) ∈ Span K Us"
    using Span_smult_closed[OF assms _ ka] by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using inv_k subring_props(1)a k
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) DiffE l_one m_assoc subset_iff)
```
**34.4 Span as Linear Combinations**

**qed**

We show that Span is the set of linear combinations

```
lemma line_extension_of_combine_set:
 assumes "u ∈ carrier R"
 shows "line extension K u { combine Ks Us | Ks. set Ks \subset K } =
                \{ combine Ks (u # Us) | Ks. set Ks \subset K }"
  (is "?line_extension = ?combinations")
proof
 show "?line extension ⊂ ?combinations"
 proof
    fix v assume "v ∈ ?line_extension"
    then obtain k Ks
      where "k ∈ K" "set Ks ⊆ K" and "v = combine (k # Ks) (u # Us)"
      using line_extension_mem_iff by auto
   thus "v ∈ ?combinations"
      by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) insert_subset list.simps(15) mem_Collect_eq)
 qed
next
 show "?combinations ⊆ ?line_extension"
 proof
    fix v assume "v \in ?combinations"
   then obtain Ks where v: "set Ks \subseteq K" "v = combine Ks (u # Us)"
      by auto
    thus "v ∈ ?line_extension"
    proof (cases Ks)
      case Cons thus ?thesis
        using v line_extension_mem_iff by auto
    next
      case Nil
      hence "v = 0"using v by simp
      moreover have "combine [] Us = 0" by simp
      hence "0 \in \{ combine Ks Us | Ks. set Ks \subseteq K }"
        by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) local.Nil mem_Collect_eq v(1))
      hence "(0 ⊗ u) ⊕ 0 ∈ ?line_extension"
        using line_extension_mem_iff subring_props(2) by blast
      hence "0 ∈ ?line_extension"
        using assms by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
    qed
 qed
qed
lemma Span_eq_combine_set:
 assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R" shows "Span K Us = { combine Ks Us |
Ks. set Ks ⊆ K }"
```

```
using assms line_extension_of_combine_set
 by (induct Us) (auto, metis empty_set empty_subsetI)
lemma line extension of combine set length version:
 assumes "u ∈ carrier R"
 shows "line_extension K u { combine Ks Us | Ks. length Ks = length Us
\wedge set Ks \subset K } =
                      { combine Ks (u # Us) | Ks. length Ks = length (u
# Us) ∧ set Ks ⊆ K }"
  (is "?line_extension = ?combinations")
proof
 show "?line_extension ⊆ ?combinations"
 proof
    fix v assume "v ∈ ?line_extension"
    then obtain k Ks
      where "v = combine (k # Ks) (u # Us)" "length (k # Ks) = length
(u # Us)" "set (k # Ks) \subseteq K"
     using line_extension_mem_iff by auto
    thus "v ∈ ?combinations" by blast
  qed
next
 show "?combinations ⊆ ?line_extension"
 proof
    fix c assume "c ∈ ?combinations"
    then obtain Ks where c: "c = combine Ks (u # Us)" "length Ks = length
(u # Us)" "set Ks \subseteq K"
      by blast
    then obtain k Ks' where k: "Ks = k # Ks'"
      by (metis length_Suc_conv)
    thus "c ∈ ?line_extension"
      using c line_extension_mem_iff unfolding k by auto
  qed
qed
lemma Span_eq_combine_set_length_version:
 assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "Span K Us = { combine Ks Us | Ks. length Ks = length Us \land set
Ks \subseteq K }"
 using assms line_extension_of_combine_set_length_version by (induct
```

```
Us) (auto)
```
## **34.4.1 Corollaries**

```
corollary Span_mem_iff_length_version:
 assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "a ∈ Span K Us ←→ (∃ Ks. set Ks ⊆ K ∧ length Ks = length Us
\wedge a = combine Ks Us)"
  using Span_eq_combine_set_length_version[OF assms] by blast
```
**corollary** Span\_mem\_imp\_non\_trivial\_combine: **assumes** "set Us ⊆ carrier R" **and** "a ∈ Span K Us" **obtains** k Ks where " $k \in K - \{ 0 \}$ " "set Ks ⊂ K" "length Ks = length Us" "combine  $(k \# Ks)$   $(a \# Us) = 0$ " **proof obtain** Ks **where** Ks: "set Ks ⊆ K" "length Ks = length Us" "a = combine Ks Us" **using** Span\_mem\_iff\_length\_version[OF assms(1)] assms(2) **by** auto hence " $((\ominus 1) \otimes a) \oplus a =$  combine  $((\ominus 1) \#$  Ks)  $(a \#$  Us)" **by** auto **moreover** have " $((\ominus 1) \otimes a) \oplus a = 0$ " **using** assms(2) Span\_subgroup\_props(1)[OF assms(1)] l\_minus l\_neg **by** auto **moreover** have  $\theta \oplus 1 \neq 0$ " **using** subfieldE(6)[OF K] l\_neg **by** force **ultimately show** ?thesis **using** that subring\_props(3,5) Ks(1-2) **by** (force simp del: combine.simps) **qed corollary** Span\_mem\_iff: **assumes** "set Us ⊆ carrier R" **and** "a ∈ carrier R" shows "a ∈ Span K Us  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  k ∈ K - { 0 }.  $\exists$  Ks. set Ks  $\subseteq$  K  $\land$  combine  $(k$  # Ks)  $(a$  # Us) = 0)" (**is** "?in\_Span ←→ ?exists\_combine") **proof assume** "?in\_Span" **then obtain** Ks where Ks: "set Ks  $\subset$  K" "a = combine Ks Us" **using** Span\_eq\_combine\_set[OF assms(1)] **by** auto hence " $((\ominus 1) \otimes a) \oplus a =$  combine  $((\ominus 1) \#$  Ks)  $(a \#$  Us)" **by** auto **moreover** have " $((\ominus 1) \otimes a) \oplus a = 0$ " **using** assms(2) l\_minus l\_neg **by** auto **moreover** have  $\theta \oplus 1 \neq 0$ " **using** subfieldE(6)[OF K] l\_neg **by** force **ultimately show** "?exists\_combine" **using** subring\_props(3,5) Ks(1) **by** (force simp del: combine.simps) **next assume** "?exists\_combine" **then obtain** k Ks **where** k: "k ∈ K" "k  $\neq$  0" and Ks: "set Ks ⊆ K" and a: "(k ⊗ a) ⊕ combine Ks  $Us = 0"$ **by** auto **hence** "combine Ks Us ∈ Span K Us" **using** Span\_eq\_combine\_set[OF assms(1)] **by** auto **hence** "k ⊗ a ∈ Span K Us" using Span subgroup props [OF assms(1)] k Ks a **by** (metis (no\_types, lifting) assms(2) contra\_subsetD m\_closed minus\_equality subring\_props(1))

```
thus "?in_Span"
    using Span_m_inv_simprule[OF assms(1) _ assms(2), of k] k by auto
qed
```
## **34.5 Span as the minimal subgroup that contains** K <#> set Us

Now we show the link between Span and Group.generate

```
lemma mono_Span:
 assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R" and "u ∈ carrier R"
 shows "Span K Us \subseteq Span K (u # Us)"
proof
 fix v assume v: "v ∈ Span K Us"
 hence "(0 ⊗ u) ⊕ v ∈ Span K (u # Us)"
    using line_extension_mem_iff by auto
 thus "v \in Span K (u # Us)"
    using Span_subgroup_props(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2) v
    by (auto simp del: Span.simps)
qed
lemma Span_min:
 assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R" and "subgroup E (add_monoid R)"
 shows "K <#> (set Us) \subseteq E \implies Span K Us \subseteq E"
proof -
 assume "K <#> (set Us) ⊆ E" show "Span K Us ⊆ E"
  proof
    fix v assume "v ∈ Span K Us"
   then obtain Ks where v: "set Ks ⊆ K" "v = combine Ks Us"
      using Span_eq_combine_set[OF assms(1)] by auto
    from ‹ set Ks ⊆ K› ‹ set Us ⊆ carrier R› and ‹ K <#> (set Us) ⊆ E›
    show "v \in E" unfolding v(2)proof (induct Ks Us rule: combine.induct)
      case (1 k Ks u Us)
      hence "k ∈ K" and "u ∈ set (u # Us)" by auto
      hence "k ⊗ u ∈ E"
        using 1(4) unfolding set_mult_def by auto
      moreover have "K <#> set Us ⊆ E"
        using 1(4) unfolding set_mult_def by auto
      hence "combine Ks Us ∈ E"
        using 1 by auto
      ultimately show ?case
        using add.subgroupE(4)[OF assms(2)] by auto
    next
      case "2_1" thus ?case
        using subgroup.one_closed[OF assms(2)] by auto
    next
      case "2_2" thus ?case
        using subgroup.one_closed[OF assms(2)] by auto
    qed
```

```
qed
qed
lemma Span_eq_generate:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R" shows "Span K Us = generate (add_monoid
R) (K <#> (set Us))"
proof (rule add.generateI)
  show "subgroup (Span K Us) (add_monoid R)"
     using Span_is_add_subgroup[OF assms] .
next
   \texttt{show}\text{ ``\textcircled{F}.\text{ }[} \text{ subgroup}\,\, \texttt{E}\, \text{ (add\_monoid}\,\, \texttt{R});\,\, \texttt{K}\, \texttt{ ``\#> set}\,\, \texttt{Us}\,\, \subseteq\, \texttt{E}\,\,]\implies \texttt{Span}\,\, \texttt{``\textcircled{F}.\textcircled{F}}\, \texttt{``\textcircled{F}}\, \texttt{``\textcircled{F}}\, \texttt{``\textcircled{F}}\, \texttt{``\textcircled{F}}\, \texttt{``\textcircled{F}}\, \texttt{``\textcircled{F}}\, \texttt{``\textcircK Us \subseteq E"
     using Span_min assms by blast
next
  show "K <#> set Us ⊆ Span K Us"
  using assms
  proof (induct Us)
     case Nil thus ?case
        unfolding set_mult_def by auto
  next
     case (Cons u Us)
     have "K <#> set (u # Us) = (K <#> { u }) ∪ (K <#> set Us)"
        unfolding set_mult_def by auto
     moreover have "\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies k \otimes u \in \text{Span } K (u # Us)"
     proof -
        fix k assume k: "k \in K"
        hence "combine [k] (u # Us) \in Span K (u # Us)"
           using Span_eq_combine_set[OF Cons(2)] by (auto simp del: combine.simps)
        moreover have "k ∈ carrier R" and "u ∈ carrier R"
           using Cons(2) k subring_props(1) by (blast, auto)
        ultimately show "k \otimes u ∈ Span K (u # Us)"
           by (auto simp del: Span.simps)
     qed
     hence "K <#> { u } ⊆ Span K (u # Us)"
        unfolding set_mult_def by auto
     moreover have "K <#> set Us ⊆ Span K (u # Us)"
        using mono_Span[of Us u] Cons by (auto simp del: Span.simps)
     ultimately show ?case
        using Cons by (auto simp del: Span.simps)
  qed
qed
```
**34.5.1 Corollaries**

**corollary** Span\_same\_set: **assumes** "set Us ⊆ carrier R" **shows** "set Us = set Vs =⇒ Span K Us = Span K Vs" **using** Span\_eq\_generate assms **by** auto

```
corollary Span_incl: "set Us \subseteq carrier R \implies K <#> (set Us) \subseteq Span K Us"
  using Span_eq_generate generate.incl[of _ _ "add_monoid R"] by auto
corollary Span base incl: "set Us \subseteq carrier R \implies set Us \subseteq Span K Us"
proof -
  assume A: "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
  hence "{ 1 } <#> set Us = set Us"
    unfolding set_mult_def by force
  moreover have "{ 1 } <#> set Us ⊆ K <#> set Us"
    using subring_props(3) unfolding set_mult_def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using Span_incl[OF A] by auto
qed
corollary mono_Span_sublist:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ set Vs" "set Vs ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "Span K Us ⊆ Span K Vs"
  using add.mono_generate[OF mono_set_mult[OF _ assms(1), of K K R]]
        Span_eq_generate[OF assms(2)] Span_eq_generate[of Us] assms by
auto
corollary mono_Span_append:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "set Vs ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "Span K Us ⊆ Span K (Us @ Vs)"
    and "Span K Us ⊆ Span K (Vs @ Us)"
  using mono_Span_sublist[of Us "Us @ Vs"] assms
        Span_same_set[of "Us @ Vs" "Vs @ Us"] by auto
corollary mono_Span_subset:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ Span K Vs" "set Vs ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "Span K Us ⊆ Span K Vs"
proof (rule Span_min[OF _ Span_is_add_subgroup[OF assms(2)]])
  show "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
    using Span_subgroup_props(1)[OF assms(2)] assms by auto
  show "K <#> set Us ⊆ Span K Vs"
    using Span_smult_closed[OF assms(2)] assms(1) unfolding set_mult_def
by blast
qed
lemma Span_strict_incl:
  \texttt{assumes} "set Us \subseteq carrier \mathtt{R} " "set Vs \subseteq carrier \mathtt{R} "
  shows "Span K Us \subset Span K Vs \implies (\exists v \in set Vs. v \notin Span K Us)"
proof -
  assume "Span K Us ⊂ Span K Vs" show "\exists v \in set Vs. v \notin Span K Us"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "\neg (\exists v \in \text{set } Vs. v \notin \text{Span } K Us)"
    hence "Span K Vs ⊆ Span K Us"
      using mono_Span_subset[OF _ assms(1), of Vs] by auto
    from ‹ Span K Us ⊂ Span K Vs› and ‹ Span K Vs ⊆ Span K Us›
```

```
show False by simp
  qed
qed
lemma Span_append_eq_set_add:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R" and "set Vs ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "Span K (Us @ Vs) = (Span K Us \leftarrow><sub>R</sub> Span K Vs)"
  using assms
proof (induct Us)
  case Nil thus ?case
    using Span_subgroup_props(1)[OF Nil(2)] unfolding set_add_def' by
force
next
  case (Cons u Us)
  hence in_carrier:
    "u ∈ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "set Vs ⊆ carrier R"
    by auto
  have "line_extension K u (Span K Us \leftarrow \rightarrow<sub>R</sub> Span K Vs) = (Span K (u # Us)
\left\langle +\right\rangle_R Span K Vs)"
  proof
    show "line_extension K u (Span K Us \lt{+}_{R} Span K Vs) \subseteq (Span K (u
# Us) \langle + \rangle_R Span K Vs)"
    proof
      fix v assume "v \in line_extension K u (Span K Us \langle + \rangle_R Span K Vs)"
      then obtain k u' v'
         where v: "k ∈ K" "u' ∈ Span K Us" "v' ∈ Span K Vs" "v = k \otimesu ⊕ (u' ⊕ v')"
         using line_extension_mem_iff[of v _ u "Span K Us <+>R Span K Vs"]
         unfolding set_add_def' by blast
      hence "v = (k \otimes u \oplus u') \oplus v'"
         using in_carrier(2-3)[THEN Span_subgroup_props(1)] in_carrier(1)
subring_props(1)
         by (metis (no_types, lifting) rev_subsetD ring_simprules(7) semiring_simprules(3))
      moreover have "k ⊗ u ⊕ u' ∈ Span K (u # Us)"
         using line_extension_mem_iff v(1-2) by auto
      ultimately show "v \in Span K (u # Us) \leftrightarrow<sub>R</sub> Span K Vs"
         unfolding set_add_def' using v(3) by auto
    qed
  next
    show "Span K (u # Us) \langle + \rangle_R Span K Vs \subseteq line_extension K u (Span K
Us \leftarrow >_R Span K Vs)"
    proof
      fix v assume "v \in Span K (u # Us) \langle + \rangle_R Span K Vs"
      then obtain k u' v'
        where v: "k ∈ K" "u' ∈ Span K Us" "v' ∈ Span K Vs" "v = (k ⊗
u ⊕ u') ⊕ v'"
         using line_extension_mem_iff[of _ _ u "Span K Us"] unfolding set_add_def'
by auto
```

```
hence "v = (k \otimes u) \oplus (u' \oplus v')"
        using in_carrier(2-3)[THEN Span_subgroup_props(1)] in_carrier(1)
subring_props(1)
        by (metis (no_types, lifting) rev_subsetD ring_simprules(5,7))
      thus "v \in line extension K u (Span K Us \langle + \rangle_R Span K Vs)"
        using line_extension_mem_iff[of "(k ⊗ u) ⊕ (u' ⊕ v')" K u "Span
K Us \leftarrow >R Span K Vs"]
        unfolding set_add_def' using v by auto
    qed
  qed
  thus ?case
    using Cons by auto
qed
```
## **34.6 Characterisation of Linearly Independent "Sets"**

```
declare independent_backwards [intro]
declare independent_in_carrier [intro]
lemma independent_distinct: "independent K Us ⇒ distinct Us"
proof (induct Us rule: list.induct)
 case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
 case Cons thus ?case
    using independent_backwards[OF Cons(2)]
          independent in carrier[OF Cons(2)]
          Span base incl
    by auto
qed
lemma independent_strict_incl:
 assumes "independent K (u # Us)" shows "Span K Us ⊂ Span K (u # Us)"
proof -
 have "u ∈ Span K (u # Us)"
    using Span_base_incl[OF independent_in_carrier[OF assms]] by auto
  moreover have "Span K Us ⊆ Span K (u # Us)"
    using mono_Span independent_in_carrier[OF assms] by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using independent_backwards(1)[OF assms] by auto
qed
corollary independent_replacement:
 assumes "independent K (u # Us)" and "independent K Vs"
 shows "Span K (u # Us) \subseteq Span K Vs \implies (\exists v \in set Vs. independent K
(y # US))"
proof -
 assume "Span K (u # Us) ⊆ Span K Vs"
 hence "Span K Us ⊂ Span K Vs"
    using independent_strict_incl[OF assms(1)] by auto
```

```
then obtain v where v: "v \in set Vs" "v \notin Span K Us"
    using Span_strict_incl[of Us Vs] assms[THEN independent_in_carrier]
by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using li Cons[of v K Us] assms independent in carrier[OF assms(2)]
by auto
qed
lemma independent_split:
  assumes "independent K (Us @ Vs)"
 shows "independent K Vs"
   and "independent K Us"
   and "Span K Us \cap Span K Vs = { 0 }"
proof -
  from assms show "independent K Vs"
    by (induct Us) (auto)
next
 from assms show "independent K Us"
 proof (induct Us)
   case Nil thus ?case by simp
 next
    case (Cons u Us')
    hence u: "u ∈ carrier R" and "set Us' ⊆ carrier R" "set Vs ⊆ carrier
R"
      using independent_in_carrier[of K "(u # Us') @ Vs"] by auto
   hence "Span K Us' ⊆ Span K (Us' @ Vs)"
      using mono Span append(1) by simp
    thus ?case
      using independent backwards[of K u "Us' @ Vs"] Cons li Cons[OF u]
by auto
  qed
next
 from assms show "Span K Us \cap Span K Vs = { 0 }"
 proof (induct Us rule: list.induct)
    case Nil thus ?case
      using Span_subgroup_props(2)[OF independent_in_carrier[of K Vs]]
by simp
 next
    case (Cons u Us)
    hence IH: "Span K Us ∩ Span K Vs = {0}" by auto
    have in_carrier:
      "u ∈ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "set Vs ⊆ carrier R" "set
(u # Us) \subseteq carrier R"
      using Cons(2)[THEN independent_in_carrier] by auto
    hence "{ 0 } ⊆ Span K (u # Us) ∩ Span K Vs"
      using in_carrier(3-4)[THEN Span_subgroup_props(2)] by auto
    moreover have "Span K (u # Us) ∩ Span K Vs \subseteq { 0 }"
    proof (rule ccontr)
```

```
assume "\neg Span K (u # Us) \cap Span K Vs \subseteq {0}"
      hence "∃a. a \neq 0 ∧ a ∈ Span K (u # Us) ∧ a ∈ Span K Vs" by auto
      then obtain k u' v'
        where u': "u' \in Span K Us" "u' \in carrier R"
          and v': "v' \in Span K Vs" "v' \in carrier R" "v' \neq 0"
          and k: "k ∈ K" "(k \otimes u \oplus u') = v'"
        using line_extension_mem_iff[of _ _ u "Span K Us"] in_carrier(2-3)[THEN
Span subgroup props(1)]
              subring_props(1) by force
      hence "v' = 0" if "k = 0"
        using in_carrier(1) that IH by auto
      hence diff_zero: "k \neq 0" using v'(3) by auto
      have "k ∈ carrier R"
        using subring_props(1) k(1) by blast
      hence "k \otimes u = (\ominus u') \oplus v'"
        using in_carrier(1) k(2) u'(2) v'(2) add.m_comm r_neg1 by auto
      hence "k ⊗ u ∈ Span K (Us @ Vs)"
        using Span_subgroup_props(4)[OF in_carrier(2) u'(1)] v'(1)
              Span_append_eq_set_add[OF in_carrier(2-3)] unfolding set_add_def'
by blast
      hence "u ∈ Span K (Us @ Vs)"
        using Cons(2) Span_m_inv_simprule[OF _ _ in_carrier(1), of "Us
@ Vs" k]
              diff_zero k(1) in_carrier(2-3) by auto
      moreover have "u \notin Span K (Us \& Vs)"
        using independent_backwards(1)[of K u "Us @ Vs"] Cons(2) by auto
      ultimately show False by simp
    qed
    ultimately show ?case by auto
  qed
qed
lemma independent_append:
 assumes "independent K Us" and "independent K Vs" and "Span K Us ∩
Span K Vs = \{ 0 \}"
 shows "independent K (Us @ Vs)"
  using assms
proof (induct Us rule: list.induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons u Us)
  hence in_carrier:
    "u ∈ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "set Vs ⊆ carrier R" "set (u
# Us) ⊆ carrier R"
    using Cons(2-3)[THEN independent_in_carrier] by auto
  hence "Span K Us ⊆ Span K (u # Us)"
    using mono_Span by auto
```

```
hence "Span K Us ∩ Span K Vs = { 0 }"
    using Cons(4) Span_subgroup_props(2)[OF in_carrier(2)] by auto
  hence "independent K (Us @ Vs)"
    using Cons by auto
  moreover have "u ∈/ Span K (Us @ Vs)"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume " \neg u \notin \text{Span } K (Us @ Vs)"
    then obtain u' v'
      where u': "u' ∈ Span K Us" "u' ∈ carrier R"
         and v': "v' \in Span K Vs" "v' \in carrier R" and u: "u = u' \oplus v'"
      using Span_append_eq_set_add[OF in_carrier(2-3)] in_carrier(2-3)[THEN
Span_subgroup_props(1)]
      unfolding set_add_def' by blast
    hence "u \oplus (\ominus u') = v'"
      using in_carrier(1) by algebra
    moreover have "u ∈ Span K (u # Us)" and "u' ∈ Span K (u # Us)"
      using Span_base_incl[OF in_carrier(4)] mono_Span[OF in_carrier(2,1)]
u'(1)by (auto simp del: Span.simps)
    hence "u \oplus (\ominus u') \in Span K (u # Us)"
      using Span_subgroup_props(3-4)[OF in_carrier(4)] by (auto simp del:
Span.simps)
    ultimately have "u \oplus (\ominus u') = 0"
      using Cons(4) v'(1) by auto
    hence "u = u'"
      using Cons(4) v'(1) in carrier(1) u'(2) \langle u \oplus \oplus u' = v' \rangle u by auto
    thus False
      using u'(1) independent_backwards(1)[OF Cons(2)] by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?case
    using in_carrier(1) li_Cons by simp
qed
lemma independent_imp_trivial_combine:
  assumes "independent K Us"
  \texttt{shows}\, "\bigwedge \texttt{Ks}\, \, \llap{\parallel} set \texttt{Ks}\, \subseteq \,\texttt{K};\, combine \texttt{Ks}\, Us = \texttt{0}\, \, \rrbracket \implies set (take (length
Us) Ks) \subseteq { 0 }"
  using assms
proof (induct Us rule: list.induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons u Us) thus ?case
  proof (cases "Ks = []")
    assume "Ks = []" thus ?thesis by auto
  next
    assume "Ks \neq []"
    then obtain k Ks' where k: "k \in K" and Ks': "set Ks' \subset K" and Ks:
"Ks = k # Ks"using Cons(2) by (metis insert_subset list.exhaust_sel list.simps(15))
```

```
hence Us: "set Us ⊆ carrier R" and u: "u ∈ carrier R"
      using independent_in_carrier[OF Cons(4)] by auto
    have "u \in Span K Us" if "k \neq 0"using that Span_mem_iff[OF Us u] Cons(3-4) Ks' k unfolding Ks by
blast
    hence k zero: "k = 0"
      using independent_backwards[OF Cons(4)] by blast
    hence "combine Ks' Us = 0"
      using combine_in_carrier[OF _ Us, of Ks'] Ks' u Cons(3) subring_props(1)
unfolding Ks by auto
    hence "set (take (length Us) Ks') \subseteq { 0 }"
      using Cons(1)[OF Ks' _ independent_backwards(2)[OF Cons(4)]] by
simp
    thus ?thesis
      using k_zero unfolding Ks by auto
  qed
qed
lemma non_trivial_combine_imp_dependent:
  assumes "set Ks \subseteq K" and "combine Ks Us = 0" and "\neg set (take (length
Us) Ks) \subseteq { 0 }"
  shows "dependent K Us"
  using independent_imp_trivial_combine[OF _ assms(1-2)] assms(3) by blast
lemma trivial_combine_imp_independent:
  assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
    \quad \parallel \wedgeKs. \parallel set Ks \subseteq K; combine Ks Us = 0 \parallel \Longrightarrow set (take (length
Us) Ks) \subset { 0 }"
  shows "independent K Us"
  using assms
proof (induct Us)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons u Us)
  hence Us: "set Us ⊆ carrier R" and u: "u ∈ carrier R" by auto
  \mathtt{have}\, "\bigwedge\!\texttt{Ks.}\,\, \lbrack\, set \mathtt{Ks.}\,\, \subseteq K; combine Ks Us = \mathtt{0.} \lbrack\, \implies set (take (length
Us) Ks) \subseteq { 0 }"
  proof -
    fix Ks assume Ks: "set Ks ⊆ K" and lin_c: "combine Ks Us = 0"
    hence "combine (0 \# Ks) (u \# Us) = 0"
      using u subring_props(1) combine_in_carrier[OF _ Us] by auto
    hence "set (take (length (u # Us)) (0 # Ks)) ⊆ { 0 }"
      using Cons(3)[of "0 # Ks"] subring_props(2) Ks by auto
    thus "set (take (length Us) Ks) \subseteq { 0 }" by auto
  qed
  hence "independent K Us"
    using Cons(1)[OF Us] by simp
```

```
moreover have "u ∉ Span K Us"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume " \neg u \notin \text{Span } K \text{ Us"}then obtain k Ks where k: "k ∈ K" "k \neq 0" and Ks: "set Ks ⊂ K"
and u: "combine (k # Ks) (u # Us) = 0"
      using Span_mem_iff[OF Us u] by auto
    have "set (take (length (u # Us)) (k # Ks)) \subset { 0 }"
      using Cons(3)[OF _ u] k(1) Ks by auto
    hence "k = 0" by auto
    from \langle k = 0 \rangle and \langle k \neq 0 \rangle show False by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?case
    using li_Cons[OF u] by simp
qed
corollary dependent_imp_non_trivial_combine:
 assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R" and "dependent K Us"
 obtains Ks where "length Ks = length Us" "combine Ks Us = 0" "set Ks
\subseteq K" "set Ks \neq { 0 }"
proof -
  obtain Ks
    where Ks: "set Ks ⊆ carrier R" "set Ks ⊆ K" "combine Ks Us = 0"
"\neg set (take (length Us) Ks) \subseteq { 0 }"
    using trivial combine imp independent [OF assms(1)] assms(2) subring props(1)
by blast
 obtain Ks'
    where Ks': "set (take (length Us) Ks) ⊆ set Ks'" "set Ks' ⊆ set
(take (length Us) Ks) ∪ { 0 }"
               "length Ks' = length Us" "combine Ks' Us = 0"using combine_normalize[OF Ks(1) assms(1) Ks(3)] by metis
  have "set (take (length Us) Ks) ⊆ set Ks"
    by (simp add: set_take_subset)
  hence "set Ks' ⊆ K"
    using Ks(2) Ks'(2) subring_props(2) Un_commute by blast
 moreover have "set Ks' \neq { 0 }"
    using Ks'(1) Ks(4) by auto
  ultimately show thesis
    using that Ks' by blast
qed
corollary unique_decomposition:
 assumes "independent K Us"
 shows "a ∈ Span K Us =⇒ ∃ !Ks. set Ks ⊆ K ∧ length Ks = length Us
\wedge a = combine Ks Us"
proof -
  note in carrier = independent in carrier[OF assms]
```

```
assume "a ∈ Span K Us"
  then obtain Ks where Ks: "set Ks ⊆ K" "length Ks = length Us" "a =
combine Ks Us"
    using Span_mem_iff_length_version[OF in_carrier] by blast
  moreover
  have "
V
Ks'. [[ set Ks' ⊆ K; length Ks' = length Us; a = combine Ks'
Us \mathbb{I} \implies Ks = Ks'"
  proof -
    fix Ks' assume Ks': "set Ks' ⊆ K" "length Ks' = length Us" "a = combine
Ks' Us"
    hence set_Ks: "set Ks ⊆ carrier R" and set_Ks': "set Ks' ⊆ carrier
R"
      using subring_props(1) Ks(1) by blast+
    have same_length: "length Ks = length Ks'"
      using Ks Ks' by simp
    have "(combine Ks Us) \oplus ((\ominus 1) \otimes (combine Ks' Us)) = 0"
      using combine_in_carrier[OF set_Ks in_carrier]
            combine_in_carrier[OF set_Ks' in_carrier] Ks(3) Ks'(3) by
algebra
    hence "(combine Ks Us) \oplus (combine (map ((\otimes) (\ominus 1)) Ks') Us) = 0"
      using combine_r_distr[OF set_Ks' in_carrier, of "\ominus 1"] subring_props
by auto
    moreover have set_map: "set (map ((\otimes)(\oplus 1)) Ks') \subseteq K"
      using Ks'(1) subring_props by (induct Ks') (auto)
    hence "set (map ((\otimes) (\ominus 1)) Ks') ⊂ carrier R"
      using subring_props(1) by blast
    ultimately have "combine (map2 (\oplus) Ks (map ((\otimes) (\ominus 1)) Ks')) Us
= 0"using combine_add[OF Ks(2) _ set_Ks _ in_carrier, of "map ((\otimes) (\ominus1)) Ks'"] Ks'(2) by auto
    moreover have "set (map2 (⊕) Ks (map ((⊗) (⊖ 1)) Ks')) ⊆ K"
      using Ks(1) set_map subring_props(7)
      by (induct Ks) (auto, metis contra_subsetD in_set_zipE local.set_map
set_ConsD subring_props(7))
    ultimately have "set (take (length Us) (map2 (⊕) Ks (map ((⊗) (	
1)) Ks'))) \subseteq { 0 }"
      using independent_imp_trivial_combine[OF assms] by auto
    hence "set (map2 (\oplus) Ks (map ((\otimes) (\ominus 1)) Ks')) \subseteq { 0 }"
      using Ks(2) Ks'(2) by auto
    thus "Ks = Ks"using set_Ks set_Ks' same_length
    proof (induct Ks arbitrary: Ks')
      case Nil thus?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons k Ks)
      then obtain k' Ks'' where k': "Ks' = k' # Ks''"
        by (metis Suc_length_conv)
```

```
have "Ks = Ks"using Cons unfolding k' by auto
      moreover have "k = k'"
       using Cons(2-4) l_minus minus_equality unfolding k' by (auto,
fastforce)
      ultimately show ?case
       unfolding k' by simp
   qed
 qed
```
**ultimately show** ?thesis **by** blast **qed**

## **34.7 Replacement Theorem**

```
lemma independent_rotate1_aux:
  "independent K (u # Us @ Vs) \implies independent K ((Us @ [u]) @ Vs)"
proof -
 assume "independent K (u # Us @ Vs)"
 hence li: "independent K [u]" "independent K Us" "independent K Vs"
   and inter: "Span K [u] \cap Span K Us = { 0 }"
               "Span K (u # Us) ∩ Span K Vs = { 0 }"
    using independent_split[of "u # Us" Vs] independent_split[of "[u]"
Us] by auto
 hence "independent K (Us @ [u])"
    using independent append[OF 1i(2,1)] by auto
 moreover have "Span K (Us \mathbb{C} [u]) \cap Span K Vs = { 0 }"
    using Span same set[of "u # Us" "Us @ [u]"] li(1-2)[THEN independent in carrier]
inter(2) by auto
  ultimately show "independent K ((Us @ [u]) @ Vs)"
    using independent_append[OF _ li(3), of "Us @ [u]"] by simp
qed
corollary independent_rotate1:
  "independent K (Us @ Vs) \implies independent K ((rotate1 Us) @ Vs)"
  using independent_rotate1_aux by (cases Us) (auto)
corollary independent_same_set:
  assumes "set Us = set Vs" and "length Us = length Vs"
 shows "independent K Us ⇒ independent K Vs"
proof -
 assume "independent K Us" thus ?thesis
    using assms
 proof (induct Us arbitrary: Vs rule: list.induct)
   case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
   case (Cons u Us)
```

```
then obtain Vs' Vs'' where Vs: "Vs = Vs' @ (u # Vs'')"
      by (metis list.set_intros(1) split_list)
    have in_carrier: "u ∈ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
      using independent_in_carrier[OF Cons(2)] by auto
    have "distinct Vs"
      using Cons(3-4) independent distinct[OF Cons(2)]
      by (metis card_distinct distinct_card)
    hence "u \notin set (Vs' @ Vs'')" and "u \notin set Us"
      using independent_distinct[OF Cons(2)] unfolding Vs by auto
    hence set_eq: "set Us = set (Vs' @ Vs'')" and "length (Vs' @ Vs'')
= length Us"
      using Cons(3-4) unfolding Vs by auto
    hence "independent K (Vs' @ Vs'')"
     using Cons(1)[OF independent_backwards(2)[OF Cons(2)]] unfolding
Vs by simp
    hence "independent K (u # (Vs' @ Vs''))"
      using li_Cons Span_same_set[OF _ set_eq] independent_backwards(1)[OF
Cons(2)] in_carrier by auto
    hence "independent K (Vs' @ (u # Vs''))"
      using independent_rotate1[of "u # Vs'" Vs''] by auto
    thus ?case unfolding Vs .
 qed
qed
lemma replacement_theorem:
  assumes "independent K (Us' @ Us)" and "independent K Vs"
    and "Span K (Us' @ Us) ⊆ Span K Vs"
 shows "∃Vs'. set Vs' ⊆ set Vs ∧ length Vs' = length Us' ∧ independent
K (Vs' @ Us)"
  using assms
proof (induct "length Us'" arbitrary: Us' Us)
 case 0 thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Suc n)
 then obtain u Us'' where Us'': "Us' = Us'' @ [u]"
    by (metis list.size(3) nat.simps(3) rev_exhaust)
 then obtain Vs' where Vs': "set Vs' \subseteq set Vs" "length Vs' = n" "independent
K (Vs' \circ (u \# Us))"
    using Suc(1)[of Us'' "u # Us"] Suc(2-5) by auto
  hence li: "independent K ((u # Vs') @ Us)"
    using independent_same_set[OF _ _ Vs'(3), of "(u # Vs') @ Us"] by
auto
 moreover have in_carrier:
    "u ∈ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "set Us' ⊆ carrier R" "set Vs
⊆ carrier R"
    using Suc(3-4)[THEN independent_in_carrier] Us'' by auto
  moreover have "Span K ((u # Vs') @ Us) ⊆ Span K Vs"
```

```
proof -
    have "set Us ⊆ Span K Vs" "u ∈ Span K Vs"
      using Suc(5) Span_base_incl[of "Us' @ Us"] Us'' in_carrier(2-3)
by auto
    moreover have "set Vs' ⊆ Span K Vs"
      using Span_base_incl[OF in_carrier(4)] Vs'(1) by auto
    ultimately have "set ((u \# Vs') \ @ \text{Us}) \subseteq Span K Vs" by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using mono_Span_subset[OF _ in_carrier(4)] by (simp del: Span.simps)
  qed
  ultimately obtain v where "v \in set Vs" "independent K ((v # Vs') @
Us)"
    using independent_replacement[OF _ Suc(4), of u "Vs' @ Us"] by auto
 thus ?case
    using Vs'(1-2) Suc(2)
    by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) insert_subset length_Cons list.simps(15))
qed
corollary independent_length_le:
 assumes "independent K Us" and "independent K Vs"
 shows "set Us \subseteq Span K Vs \implies length Us \le length Vs"
proof -
 assume "set Us ⊆ Span K Vs"
 hence "Span K Us ⊆ Span K Vs"
    using mono_Span_subset[OF _ independent_in_carrier[OF assms(2)]] by
simp
 then obtain Vs' where Vs': "set Vs' \subset set Vs" "length Vs' = length
Us" "independent K Vs'"
    using replacement_theorem[OF _ assms(2), of Us "[]"] assms(1) by auto
 hence "card (set Vs') \leq card (set Vs')"
    by (simp add: card_mono)
 thus "length Us \leq length Vs"
    using independent_distinct assms(2) Vs'(2-3) by (simp add: distinct_card)
qed
```
## **34.8 Dimension**

```
lemma exists_base:
 assumes "dimension n K E"
 shows "∃ Vs. set Vs ⊆ carrier R ∧ independent K Vs ∧ length Vs = n
\wedge Span K Vs = E"
    (is "∃ Vs. ?base K Vs E n")
  using assms
proof (induct E rule: dimension.induct)
  case zero_dim thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc_dim v E n K)
 then obtain Vs where Vs: "set Vs ⊆ carrier R" "independent K Vs" "length
Vs = n'' "Span K Vs = E"
```

```
by auto
  hence "?base K (v # Vs) (line_extension K v E) (Suc n)"
    using Suc_dim li_Cons by auto
  thus ?case by blast
qed
lemma dimension zero: "dimension 0 K E \implies E = { 0 }"
proof -
  assume "dimension 0 K E"
  then obtain Vs where "length Vs = 0" "Span K Vs = E"
    using exists_base by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by auto
qed
lemma dimension_one [iff]: "dimension 1 K K"
proof -
  have "K = Span K [ 1 ]"
    using line_extension_mem_iff[of _ K 1 "{ 0 }"] subfieldE(3)[OF K]
by (auto simp add: rev_subsetD)
  thus ?thesis
    using dimension.Suc_dim[OF one_closed _ dimension.zero_dim, of K]
subfieldE(6)[OF K] by auto
qed
lemma dimensionI:
  assumes "independent K Us" "Span K Us = E"
  shows "dimension (length Us) K E"
  using dimension_independent[OF assms(1)] assms(2) by simp
lemma space_subgroup_props:
  assumes "dimension n K E"
  shows "E ⊆ carrier R"
    and "0 ∈ E"
    \text{and} "\bigwedge \forall 1 \ \forall 2. \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \forall 1 \in E; \ \forall 2 \in E \end{array}\right] \Rightarrow (\forall 1 \oplus \forall 2) \in E"
    and "\wedge v. v \in E \implies (\ominus v) \in E"and "\bigwedgek v. [k ∈ K; v ∈ E ]] \implies k \otimes v ∈ E"
    and "[k \in K - \{ 0 \}; a \in \text{carrier } R] \implies k \otimes a \in E \implies a \in E"
  using exists_base[OF assms] Span_subgroup_props Span_smult_closed Span_m_inv_simprule
by auto
lemma independent_length_le_dimension:
  assumes "dimension n K E" and "independent K Us" "set Us ⊆ E"
  shows "length Us ≤ n"
proof -
  obtain Vs where Vs: "set Vs ⊆ carrier R" "independent K Vs" "length
Vs = n'' "Span K Vs = E''using exists_base[OF assms(1)] by auto
  thus ?thesis
```

```
using independent_length_le assms(2-3) by auto
qed
lemma dimension_is_inj:
 assumes "dimension n K E" and "dimension m K E"
 shows "n = m"proof -
  { fix n m assume n: "dimension n K E" and m: "dimension m K E"
    then obtain Vs
      where Vs: "set Vs ⊆ carrier R" "independent K Vs" "length Vs =
n'' "Span K Vs = E''using exists_base by meson
    hence "n ≤ m"
      using independent_length_le_dimension[OF m Vs(2)] Span_base_incl[OF
Vs(1)] by auto
  } note aux_lemma = this
 show ?thesis
    using aux_lemma[OF assms] aux_lemma[OF assms(2,1)] by simp
qed
corollary independent_length_eq_dimension:
  assumes "dimension n K E" and "independent K Us" "set Us \subseteq E"
 shows "length Us = n \leftrightarrow Span K Us = E"
proof
  assume len: "length Us = n" show "Span K Us = E"
 proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "Span K Us \neq E"
    hence "Span K Us ⊂ E"
      using mono_Span_subset[of Us] exists_base[OF assms(1)] assms(3)
by blast
   then obtain v where v: "v \in E'' "v \notin Span K Us"
      using Span_strict_incl exists_base[OF assms(1)] space_subgroup_props(1)[OF
assms(1)] assms by blast
    hence "independent K (v # Us)"
      using li_Cons[OF _ _ assms(2)] space_subgroup_props(1)[OF assms(1)]
by auto
    hence "length (v # Us) \leq n"
      using independent_length_le_dimension[OF assms(1)] v(1) assms(2-3)
by fastforce
   moreover have "length (v # Us) = Suc n"
      using len by simp
    ultimately show False by simp
 qed
next
  assume "Span K Us = E"
  hence "dimension (length Us) K E"
    using dimensionI assms by auto
 thus "length Us = n"
```

```
using dimension_is_inj[OF assms(1)] by auto
qed
lemma complete_base:
 assumes "dimension n K E" and "independent K Us" "set Us \subset E"
 shows "∃ Vs. length (Vs @ Us) = n ∧ independent K (Vs @ Us) ∧ Span K
(Vs \otimes Us) = E''proof -
  { fix Us k assume "k ≤ n" "independent K Us" "set Us ⊆ E" "length Us
= k"
   hence "∃ Vs. length (Vs @ Us) = n ∧ independent K (Vs @ Us) ∧ Span
K (Vs @ Us) = E''proof (induct arbitrary: Us rule: inc_induct)
      case base thus ?case
        using independent_length_eq_dimension[OF assms(1) base(1-2)] by
auto
   next
      case (step m)
      have "Span K Us ⊆ E"
        using mono_Span_subset step(4-6) exists_base[OF assms(1)] by blast
      hence "Span K Us ⊂ E"
        using independent_length_eq_dimension[OF assms(1) step(4-5)] step(2,6)
assms(1) by blast
      then obtain v where v: "v \in E'' "v \notin Span K Us"
        using Span_strict_incl exists_base[OF assms(1)] by blast
      hence "independent K (v # Us)"
        using space_subgroup_props(1)[OF assms(1)] li_Cons[OF _ v(2) step(4)]
by auto
      then obtain Vs
        where "length (Vs @ (v # Us)) = n" "independent K (Vs @ (v #U(s))" "Span K (Vs @ (v # Us)) = E"
        using step(3)[of "v # Us"] step(1-2,4-6) v by auto
      thus ?case
        by (metis append.assoc append_Cons append_Nil)
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
 have "length Us \leq n"
    using independent_length_le_dimension[OF assms] .
  thus ?thesis
    using aux_lemma[OF _ assms(2-3)] by auto
qed
lemma filter_base:
 assumes "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
  obtains Vs where "set Vs ⊆ carrier R" and "independent K Vs" and "Span
K Vs = Span K Us"
proof -
 from ‹ set Us ⊆ carrier R› have "∃ Vs. independent K Vs ∧ Span K Vs
= Span K Us"
```

```
proof (induction Us)
    case Nil thus ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons u Us)
    then obtain Vs where Vs: "independent K Vs" "Span K Vs = Span K Us"
      by auto
    show ?case
    proof (cases "u ∈ Span K Us")
      case True
      hence "Span K (u # Us) = Span K Us"
        using Span_base_incl mono_Span_subset
        by (metis Cons.prems insert_subset list.simps(15) subset_antisym)
      thus ?thesis
        using Vs by blast
    next
      case False
      hence "Span K (u # Vs) = Span K (u # Us)" and "independent K (u
# Vs)"
        using li_Cons[of u K Vs] Cons(2) Vs by auto
      thus ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using independent_in_carrier that by auto
qed
lemma dimension_backwards:
 "dimension (Suc n) K E \implies \exists v \in \text{carrier R. } \exists E'. dimension n K E' \landv \notin E' \land E = line\_extension K v E'"
 by (cases rule: dimension.cases) (auto)
lemma dimension_direct_sum_space:
 assumes "dimension n K E" and "dimension m K F" and "E ∩ F = { 0 }"
 shows "dimension (n + m) K (E \leftrightarrow_{R} F)"
proof -
 obtain Us Vs
    where Vs: "set Vs ⊆ carrier R" "independent K Vs" "length Vs = n"
"Span K Vs = E"and Us: "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "independent K Us" "length Us = m"
"Span K Us = F"
    using assms(1-2)[THEN exists_base] by auto
  hence "Span K (Vs \& Us) = E \& +><sub>R</sub> F"
    using Span_append_eq_set_add by auto
  moreover have "independent K (Vs @ Us)"
    using assms(3) independent_append[OF Vs(2) Us(2)] unfolding Vs(4)
Us(4) by simp
  ultimately show "dimension (n + m) K (E \leftrightarrow_{R} F)"
    using dimensionI[of "Vs @ Us"] Vs(3) Us(3) by auto
```
### **qed**

**lemma** dimension\_sum\_space: **assumes** "dimension n K E" **and** "dimension m K F" **and** "dimension k K (E ∩ F)" shows "dimension  $(n + m - k)$  K  $(E \leftarrow P_R F)$ " **proof obtain** Bs **where** Bs: "set Bs ⊆ carrier R" "length Bs = k" "independent K Bs" "Span K Bs =  $E \cap F$ " **using** exists\_base[OF assms(3)] **by** blast **then obtain** Us Vs **where** Us: "length (Us @ Bs) = n" "independent K (Us @ Bs)" "Span  $K$  (Us  $@$  Bs) =  $E''$ **and** Vs: "length (Vs @ Bs) = m" "independent K (Vs @ Bs)" "Span K  $(Vs \otimes Bs) = F''$ **using** Span\_base\_incl[OF Bs(1)] assms(1-2)[THEN complete\_base] **by** (metis le\_infE) **hence** in\_carrier: "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "set (Vs @ Bs) ⊆ carrier R" **using** independent\_in\_carrier[OF Us(2)] independent\_in\_carrier[OF Vs(2)] **by** auto **hence** "Span K Us ∩ (Span K (Vs @ Bs)) ⊆ Span K Bs" **using** Bs(4) Us(3) Vs(3) mono\_Span\_append(1)[OF \_ Bs(1), of Us] **by** auto **hence** "Span K Us ∩ (Span K (Vs @ Bs)) ⊆ { 0 }" **using** independent\_split(3)[OF Us(2)] **by** blast **hence** "Span K Us  $\cap$  (Span K (Vs @ Bs)) = { 0 }" **using** in\_carrier[THEN Span\_subgroup\_props(2)] **by** auto **hence** dim: "dimension (n + m - k) K (Span K (Us @ (Vs @ Bs)))" **using** independent\_append[OF independent\_split(2)[OF Us(2)] Vs(2)]  $Us(1)$   $Vs(1)$   $Bs(2)$ dimension\_independent[of K "Us @ (Vs @ Bs)"] **by** auto have "(Span K Us)  $\langle + \rangle_R$  F  $\subseteq$  E  $\langle + \rangle_R$  F" **using** mono\_Span\_append(1)[OF in\_carrier(1) Bs(1)] Us(3) **unfolding** set\_add\_def' **by** auto **moreover have** "E  $\langle + \rangle_R$  F  $\subseteq$  (Span K Us)  $\langle + \rangle_R$  F" **proof** fix v assume " $v \in E \leftrightarrow_{R} F''$ then obtain u' v' where v: "u' ∈ E" "v' ∈ F" "v = u' ⊕ v'" **unfolding** set\_add\_def' **by** auto then obtain u1' u2' where u1': "u1'  $\in$  Span K Us" and u2': "u2'  $\in$ Span K Bs" and u': "u' = u1'  $\oplus$  u2'" **using** Span\_append\_eq\_set\_add[OF in\_carrier(1) Bs(1)] Us(3) **unfolding** set\_add\_def' **by** blast have " $v = u1' \oplus (u2' \oplus v')$ " **using** Span\_subgroup\_props(1)[OF Bs(1)] Span\_subgroup\_props(1)[OF

```
in carrier(1)]
            space_subgroup_props(1)[OF assms(2)] u' v u1' u2' a_assoc[of
u1' u2' v'] by auto
    moreover have "u2' \oplus v' \in F"
      using space_subgroup_props(3)[OF assms(2) _ v(2)] u2' Bs(4) by auto
    ultimately show "v \in (Span K Us) \langle + \rangle_R F"
      using u1' unfolding set_add_def' by auto
  qed
  ultimately have "Span K (Us \& (Vs \& Bs)) = E \& +><sub>R</sub> F"
    using Span_append_eq_set_add[OF in_carrier] Vs(3) by auto
 thus ?thesis using dim by simp
qed
end
end
lemma (in ring) telescopic_base_aux:
 assumes "subfield K R" "subfield F R"
    and "dimension n K F" and "dimension 1 F E"
 shows "dimension n K E"
proof -
 obtain Us u
    where Us: "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "length Us = n" "independent K Us"
"Span K Us = F"
      and u: "u \in carrier R" "independent F [u]" "Span F [u] = E"
    using exists_base[OF assms(2,4)] exists_base[OF assms(1,3)] independent_backwards(3)
assms(2)
    by (metis One_nat_def length_0_conv length_Suc_conv)
 have in_carrier: "set (map (λu'. u' ⊗ u) Us) ⊆ carrier R"
    using Us(1) u(1) by (induct Us) (auto)
 have li: "independent K (map (λu'. u' ⊗ u) Us)"
 proof (rule trivial combine imp independent [OF assms(1) in carrier])
    fix Ks assume Ks: "set Ks \subseteq K" and "combine Ks (map (\lambda u' \cdot u' \otimes u)Us) = 0"hence "(combine Ks Us) ⊗ u = 0"
      using combine_l_distr[OF _ Us(1) u(1)] subring_props(1)[OF assms(1)]
by auto
    hence "combine [ combine Ks Us ] [ u ] = 0"
      by simp
    moreover have "combine Ks Us ∈ F"
      using Us(4) Ks(1) Span_eq_combine_set[OF assms(1) Us(1)] by auto
    ultimately have "combine Ks Us = 0"
      using independent imp trivial combine [OF assms(2) u(2), of "[ combine
Ks Us ]"] by auto
    hence "set (take (length Us) Ks) \subseteq { 0 }"
```

```
using independent_imp_trivial_combine[OF assms(1) Us(3) Ks(1)] by
simp
    thus "set (take (length (map (λu'. u' ⊗ u) Us)) Ks) ⊆ { 0 }" by simp
  qed
  have "E \subseteq Span K (map (\lambda u' \cdot u' \otimes u) Us)"
  proof
    fix v assume "v \in E"
    then obtain f where f: "f \in F" "v = f \otimes u \oplus 0"
      using u(1,3) line_extension_mem_iff by auto
    then obtain Ks where Ks: "set Ks ⊆ K" "f = combine Ks Us"
      using Span_eq_combine_set[OF assms(1) Us(1)] Us(4) by auto
    have "v = f \otimes u"
      using subring_props(1)[OF assms(2)] f u(1) by auto
    hence "v = combine Ks (map (\lambda u'. u' \otimes u) Us)"
      using combine_l_distr[OF _ Us(1) u(1), of Ks] Ks(1-2)
            subring_props(1)[OF assms(1)] by blast
    thus "v \in Span K (map (\lambda u'. u' \otimes u) Us)"
      unfolding Span_eq_combine_set[OF assms(1) in_carrier] using Ks(1)
by blast
  qed
  moreover have "Span K (map (\lambda u' \cdot u' \otimes u) Us) \subseteq E"
  proof
    fix v assume "v \in Span K (map (\lambda u'. u' \otimes u) Us)"
    then obtain Ks where Ks: "set Ks \subseteq K" "v = combine Ks (map (\lambda u).
u' \otimes u) Us)"
      unfolding Span_eq_combine_set[OF assms(1) in_carrier] by blast
    hence "v = (combine Ks US) \otimes u"
      using combine_l_distr[OF _ Us(1) u(1), of Ks] subring_props(1)[OF
assms(1)] by auto
    moreover have "combine Ks Us ∈ F"
      using Us(4) Span_eq_combine_set[OF assms(1) Us(1)] Ks(1) by blast
    ultimately have "v = (combine Ks Us) ⊗ u ⊕ 0" and "combine Ks Us
∈ F"
      using subring_props(1)[OF assms(2)] u(1) by auto
    thus "v \in E"
      using u(3) line_extension_mem_iff by auto
  qed
  ultimately have "Span K (map (\lambda u' \cdot u' \otimes u) Us) = E" by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using dimensionI[OF assms(1) li] Us(2) by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) telescopic_base:
  assumes "subfield K R" "subfield F R"
    and "dimension n K F" and "dimension m F E"
  shows "dimension (n * m) K E"
  using assms(4)
proof (induct m arbitrary: E)
```

```
case 0 thus ?case
    using dimension_zero[OF assms(2)] zero_dim by auto
next
 case (Suc m)
 obtain Vs
    where Vs: "set Vs ⊆ carrier R" "length Vs = Suc m" "independent F
Vs'' "Span F Vs = E''using exists_base[OF assms(2) Suc(2)] by blast
  then obtain v Vs' where v: "Vs = v # Vs'"
    by (meson length_Suc_conv)
 hence li: "independent F [ v ]" "independent F Vs'" and inter: "Span
F [ v ] ∩ Span F Vs' = { 0 }"
    using Vs(3) independent_split[OF assms(2), of "[ v ]" Vs'] by auto
 have "dimension n K (Span F [ v ])"
    using dimension_independent[OF li(1)] telescopic_base_aux[OF assms(1-3)]
by simp
 moreover have "dimension (n * m) K (Span F Vs')"
    using Suc(1) dimension_independent[OF li(2)] Vs(2) unfolding v by
auto
  ultimately have "dimension (n * Suc m) K (Span F [ v ] <+><sub>R</sub> Span F Vs')"
    using dimension_direct_sum_space[OF assms(1) _ _ inter] by auto
  thus "dimension (n * Suc m) K E"
    using Span_append_eq_set_add[OF assms(2) li[THEN independent_in_carrier]]
Vs(4) v by auto
qed
context ring_hom_ring
begin
lemma combine_hom:
  "[[ set Ks \subseteq carrier R; set Us \subseteq carrier R ]] \implies combine (map h Ks) (map
h Us) = h (R.combine Ks Us)"
 by (induct Ks Us rule: R.combine.induct) (auto)
lemma line_extension_hom:
 assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" "a ∈ carrier R" "E ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "line_extension (h ' K) (h a) (h ' E) = h ' R.line_extension K
a E"
  using set_add_hom[OF homh R.r_coset_subset_G[OF assms(1-2)] assms(3)]
        coset_hom(2)[OF ring_hom_in_hom(1)[OF homh] assms(1-2)]
  unfolding R.line_extension_def S.line_extension_def
  by simp
lemma Span_hom:
 assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "Span (h ' K) (map h Us) = h ' R.Span K Us"
  using assms line_extension_hom R.Span_in_carrier by (induct Us) (auto)
```

```
lemma inj_on_subgroup_iff_trivial_ker:
  assumes "subgroup H (add_monoid R)"
 shows "inj_on h H \longleftrightarrow a_kernel (R (| carrier := H |)) S h = { 0 }"
  using group hom.inj on subgroup iff trivial ker[OF a group hom assms]
  unfolding a_kernel_def[of "R (| carrier := H |)" S h] by simp
corollary inj_on_Span_iff_trivial_ker:
  assumes "subfield K R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "inj_on h (R.Span K Us) ←→ a_kernel (R (| carrier := R.Span K
Us ()) S h = \{ 0 \}"
  using inj_on_subgroup_iff_trivial_ker[OF R.Span_is_add_subgroup[OF assms]]
.
context
 fixes K :: "'a set" assumes K: "subfield K R" and one_zero: "1_S \neq 0_S"
begin
lemma inj_hom_preserves_independent:
  assumes "inj_on h (R.Span K Us)"
  and "R.independent K Us" shows "independent (h ' K) (map h Us)"
proof (rule ccontr)
  have in_carrier: "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "set (map h Us) ⊆ carrier S"
    using R.independent_in_carrier[OF assms(2)] by auto
 assume ld: "dependent (h ' K) (map h Us)"
  obtain Ks :: "'c list"
    where Ks: "length Ks = length Us" "combine Ks (\text{map } h \text{ Us}) = 0s" "set
Ks \subset h ' K" "set Ks \neq { 0_S }"
    using dependent_imp_non_trivial_combine[OF img_is_subfield(2)[OF K
one_zero] in_carrier(2) ld]
    by (metis length_map)
  obtain Ks' where Ks': "set Ks' ⊆ K" "Ks = map h Ks'"
    using Ks(3) by (induct Ks) (auto, metis insert_subset list.simps(15,9))
  hence "h (R.\text{combine Ks'} Us) = 0_S"
    using combine_hom[OF _ in_carrier(1)] Ks(2) subfieldE(3)[OF K] by
(metis subset_trans)
  moreover have "R.combine Ks' Us ∈ R.Span K Us"
    using R.Span_eq_combine_set[OF K in_carrier(1)] Ks'(1) by auto
  ultimately have "R.combine Ks' Us = 0"
    using assms hom_zero R.Span_subgroup_props(2)[OF K in_carrier(1)]
by (auto simp add: inj_on_def)
  hence "set Ks' ⊆ { 0 }"
    using R.independent_imp_trivial_combine[OF K assms(2)] Ks' Ks(1)
    by (metis length_map order_refl take_all)
  hence "set Ks \subseteq { 0_S }"
    unfolding Ks' using hom_zero by (induct Ks') (auto)
  hence "Ks = []"
    using Ks(4) by (metis set_empty2 subset_singletonD)
```
```
hence "independent (h ' K) (map h Us)"
    using independent.li_Nil Ks(1) by simp
  from ‹ dependent (h ' K) (map h Us)› and this show False by simp
qed
corollary inj_hom_dimension:
  assumes "inj_on h E"
  and "R.dimension n K E" shows "dimension n (h ' K) (h ' E)"
proof -
  obtain Us
    where Us: "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "R.independent K Us" "length Us =
n" "R.Span K Us = E"
    using R.exists_base[OF K assms(2)] by blast
  hence "dimension n (h ' K) (Span (h ' K) (map h Us))"
    using dimension_independent[OF inj_hom_preserves_independent[OF _
Us(2)]] assms(1) by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using Span_hom[OF subfieldE(3)[OF K] Us(1)] Us(4) by simp
qed
corollary rank_nullity_theorem:
  assumes "R.dimension n K E" and "R.dimension m K (a_kernel (R (| carrier
:= E \nvert) S h)"
  shows "dimension (n - m) (h ' K) (h ' E)"
proof -
  obtain Us
    where Us: "set Us ⊆ carrier R" "R.independent K Us" "length Us =
m"
              "R.Span K Us = a kernel (R ( carrier := E )) S h"
    using R.exists_base[OF K assms(2)] by blast
  obtain Vs
    where Vs: "R.independent K (Vs @ Us)" "length (Vs @ Us) = n" "R.Span
K (Vs \otimes Us) = E''using R.complete_base[OF K assms(1) Us(2)] R.Span_base_incl[OF K Us(1)]
Us(4)unfolding a_kernel_def' by auto
  have set_Vs: "set Vs ⊆ carrier R"
    using R.independent_in_carrier[OF Vs(1)] by auto
  have "R.Span K Vs ∩ a_kernel (R (| carrier := E )) S h = { 0 }"
    using R.independent_split[OF K Vs(1)] Us(4) by simp
  moreover have "R.Span K Vs ⊆ E"
    using R.mono_Span_append(1)[OF K set_Vs Us(1)] Vs(3) by auto
  ultimately have "a_kernel (R ( carrier := R.Span K Vs ()) S h \subseteq \{ 0 \}"
    unfolding a_kernel_def' by (simp del: R.Span.simps, blast)
  hence "a_kernel (R (| carrier := R.Span K Vs |)) S h = { 0 }"
    using R.Span_subgroup_props(2)[OF K set_Vs]
    unfolding a_kernel_def' by (auto simp del: R.Span.simps)
  hence "inj_on h (R.Span K Vs)"
    using inj_on_Span_iff_trivial_ker[OF K set_Vs] by simp
```

```
moreover have "R.dimension (n - m) K (R.Span K Vs)"
    using R.dimension_independent[OF R.independent_split(2)[OF K Vs(1)]]
Vs(2) Us(3) by auto
  ultimately have "dimension (n - m) (h ' K) (h ' (R.Span K Vs))"
    using assms(1) inj_hom_dimension by simp
 have "h E = h (K.Span K Vs < +>R.R.Span K Us)"
    using R.Span_append_eq_set_add[OF K set_Vs Us(1)] Vs(3) by simp
  hence "h ' E = h ' (R.Span K Vs) <+><sub>S</sub> h ' (R.Span K Us)"
    using R.Span_subgroup_props(1)[OF K] set_Vs Us(1) set_add_hom[OF homh]
by auto
 moreover have "h ' (R.Span K Us) = \{ 0<sub>S</sub> \}"
    using R.space_subgroup_props(2)[OF K assms(1)] unfolding Us(4) a_kernel_def'
by force
 ultimately have "h ' E = h ' (R.Span K Vs) \leftrightarrows { 0s }"
    by simp
 hence "h ' E = h ' (R.Span K Vs)"
    using R.Span_subgroup_props(1-2)[OF K set_Vs] unfolding set_add_def'
by force
  from ‹ dimension (n - m) (h ' K) (h ' (R.Span K Vs))› and this show
?thesis by simp
qed
end
end
lemma (in ring_hom_ring)
  assumes "subfield K R" and "set Us \subseteq carrier R" and "1<sub>S</sub> \neq 0<sub>S</sub>"
    and "independent (h ' K) (map h Us)" shows "R.independent K Us"
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume "R.dependent K Us"
 then obtain Ks
    where "length Ks = length Us" and "R.combine Ks Us = 0" and "set
Ks \subset K" and "set Ks \neq { 0 }"
    using R.dependent_imp_non_trivial_combine[OF assms(1-2)] by metis
  hence "combine (map h Ks) (map h Us) = 0<sub>S</sub>"
    using combine_hom[OF _ assms(2), of Ks] subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)]
by simp
 moreover from ‹ set Ks ⊆ K› have "set (map h Ks) ⊆ h ' K"
    by (induction Ks) (auto)
  moreover have "\neg set (map h Ks) \subseteq { h 0 }"
 proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "¬ ¬ set (map h Ks) \subseteq { h 0 }" then have "set (map h Ks)
⊆ { h 0 }"
      by simp
    moreover from ‹ R.dependent K Us› and ‹ length Ks = length Us› have
"Ks \neq []"
```

```
by auto
    ultimately have "set (map h Ks) = { h 0 }"
      using subset_singletonD by fastforce
    with \leq set Ks \subseteq K\geq have "set Ks = { 0 }"
      using inj_onD[OF _ _ _ subringE(2)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]],
of h]
            img is subfield(1)[OF assms(1,3)] subset singletonD
      by (induction Ks) (auto simp add: subset_singletonD, fastforce)
    with \le set Ks \neq { 0 }> show False
      by simp
 qed
  with ‹ length Ks = length Us› have "¬ set (take (length (map h Us))
(\text{map } h Ks)) \subseteq { h 0 }"
    by auto
  ultimately have "dependent (h ' K) (map h Us)"
    using non_trivial_combine_imp_dependent[OF img_is_subfield(2)[OF assms(1,3)],
of "map h Ks"] by simp
  with ‹ independent (h ' K) (map h Us)› show False
    by simp
qed
```
## **34.9 Finite Dimension**

**definition** (**in** ring) finite\_dimension :: "'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool" where "finite dimension K E  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  n. dimension n K E)" abbreviation (in ring) infinite\_dimension :: "'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where "infinite dimension K E  $\equiv$   $\neg$  finite dimension K E" **definition** (in ring) dim :: "'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat" where "dim  $K E = (THE n. dimension n K E)$ " **locale** subalgebra = subgroup V "add\_monoid R" **for** K **and** V **and** R (**structure**) +

**assumes** smult\_closed: "[ $k \in K$ ;  $v \in V$ ]  $\implies k \otimes v \in V$ "

#### **34.9.1 Basic Properties**

**lemma** (**in** ring) unique\_dimension: **assumes** "subfield K R" **and** "finite\_dimension K E" **shows** "∃ !n. dimension n K E" **using** assms(2) dimension\_is\_inj[OF assms(1)] **unfolding** finite\_dimension\_def **by** auto **lemma** (**in** ring) finite\_dimensionI: **assumes** "dimension n K E" **shows** "finite\_dimension K E" **using** assms **unfolding** finite\_dimension\_def **by** auto **lemma** (**in** ring) finite\_dimensionE:

```
assumes "subfield K R" and "finite_dimension K E" shows "dimension
((dim over K) E) K E"
 using theI'[OF unique_dimension[OF assms]] unfolding over_def dim_def
by simp
lemma (in ring) dimI:
 assumes "subfield K R" and "dimension n K E" shows "(dim over K) E
= n<sup>||</sup>
  using finite_dimensionE[OF assms(1) finite_dimensionI] dimension_is_inj[OF
assms(1)] assms(2)unfolding over_def dim_def by auto
lemma (in ring) finite_dimensionE' [elim]:
  \text{assumes "finite\_dimension K E" and "\bigwedge\text{n. dimension n K E} \Rightarrow \text{P" shows}P
  using assms unfolding finite_dimension_def by auto
lemma (in ring) Span_finite_dimension:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "finite_dimension K (Span K Us)"
  using filter_base[OF assms] finite_dimensionI[OF dimension_independent[of
K]] by metis
lemma (in ring) carrier_is_subalgebra:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" shows "subalgebra K (carrier R) R"
  using assms subalgebra.intro[OF add.group_incl_imp_subgroup[of "carrier
R"], of K] add.group axioms
  unfolding subalgebra_axioms_def by auto
lemma (in ring) subalgebra_in_carrier:
  assumes "subalgebra K V R" shows "V ⊆ carrier R"
  using subgroup.subset[OF subalgebra.axioms(1)[OF assms]] by simp
lemma (in ring) subalgebra_inter:
 assumes "subalgebra K V R" and "subalgebra K V' R" shows "subalgebra
K (V \cap V') R"
  using add.subgroups_Inter_pair assms unfolding subalgebra_def subalgebra_axioms_def
by auto
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) img_is_subalgebra:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" and "subalgebra K V R" shows "subalgebra (h
' K) (h ' V) S"
proof (intro subalgebra.intro)
  have "group hom (add monoid R) (add monoid S) h"
    using ring_hom_in_hom(2)[OF homh] R.add.group_axioms add.group_axioms
    unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def by auto
  thus "subgroup (h ' V) (add_monoid S)"
    using group_hom.subgroup_img_is_subgroup[OF _ subalgebra.axioms(1)[OF
assms(2)]] by force
```

```
next
  show "subalgebra_axioms (h ' K) (h ' V) S"
    using R.subalgebra_in_carrier[OF assms(2)] subalgebra.axioms(2)[OF
assms(2)] assms(1)unfolding subalgebra_axioms_def
    by (auto, metis hom_mult image_eqI subset_iff)
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_is_subalgebra:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" "ideal I R" shows "subalgebra K I R"
  using ideal.axioms(1)[OF assms(2)] ideal.I_l_closed[OF assms(2)] assms(1)
  unfolding subalgebra_def subalgebra_axioms_def additive_subgroup_def
by auto
lemma (in ring) Span_is_subalgebra:
  assumes "subfield K R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R" shows "subalgebra K (Span
K Us) R"
  using Span_smult_closed[OF assms] Span_is_add_subgroup[OF assms]
  unfolding subalgebra_def subalgebra_axioms_def by auto
lemma (in ring) finite_dimension_imp_subalgebra:
  assumes "subfield K R" "finite_dimension K E" shows "subalgebra K E
R"
  using exists_base[OF assms(1) finite_dimensionE[OF assms]] Span_is_subalgebra[OF
assms(1)] by auto
lemma (in ring) subalgebra_Span_incl:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "subalgebra K V R" "set Us ⊆ V" shows "Span
K Us ⊆ V"
proof -
  have "K <#> (set Us) ⊆ V"
    using subalgebra.smult_closed[OF assms(2)] assms(3) unfolding set_mult_def
by blast
  moreover have "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
    using subalgebra_in_carrier[OF assms(2)] assms(3) by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using subalgebra.axioms(1)[OF assms(2)] Span_min[OF assms(1)] by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) Span_subalgebra_minimal:
  assumes "subfield K R" "set Us ⊆ carrier R"
  \textbf{shows} "Span K Us = \bigcap { V. subalgebra K V R \land set Us \subseteq V }"
  using Span_is_subalgebra[OF assms] Span_base_incl[OF assms] subalgebra_Span_incl[OF
assms(1)]
  by blast
lemma (in ring) Span_subalgebraI:
  assumes "subfield K R"
    and "subalgebra K E R" "set Us ⊆ E"
```

```
and "\bigwedge V. [[ subalgebra K V R; set Us \subseteq V ]] \implies E \subseteq V"
 shows "E = Span K Us"
proof -
  have "\bigcap { V. subalgebra K V R ∧ set Us \subseteq V } = E"
    using assms(2-4) by auto
 thus "E = Span K Us"
    using Span subalgebra minimal subalgebra in carrier[of K E] assms
by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) subalbegra_incl_imp_finite_dimension:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "finite_dimension K E"
 and "subalgebra K V R" "V ⊆ E" shows "finite_dimension K V"
proof -
  obtain n where n: "dimension n K E"
    using assms(2) by auto
  define S where "S = { Us. set Us \subseteq V \land independent K Us }"
  have "length ' S \subseteq \{\ldots n\}"
    unfolding S_def using independent_length_le_dimension[OF assms(1)
n] assms(4) by auto
 moreover have "[] ∈ S"
    unfolding S_def by simp
  hence "length ' S \neq \{\}" by blast
  ultimately obtain m where m: "m \in length ' S" and greatest: "\bigwedge k. k
\in length ' S \implies k \leq m"
    by (meson Max_ge Max_in finite_atMost rev_finite_subset)
  then obtain Us where Us: "set Us ⊆ V" "independent K Us" "m = length
Us"
      unfolding S_def by auto
  have "Span K Us = V"
 proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "¬ Span K Us = V" then have "Span K Us ⊂ V"
      using subalgebra_Span_incl[OF assms(1,3) Us(1)] by blast
    then obtain v where v: "v \in V" "v \notin Span K Us"by blast
    hence "independent K (v # Us)"
      using independent.li_Cons[OF _ _ Us(2)] subalgebra_in_carrier[OF
assms(3)] by auto
    hence "(v \# Us) \in S"
      unfolding S_def using Us(1) v(1) by auto
    hence "length (v # Us) \leq m"
      using greatest by blast
    moreover have "length (v # Us) = Suc m"
      using Us(3) by auto
    ultimately show False by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using finite_dimensionI[OF dimension_independent[OF Us(2)]] by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring_hom_ring) infinite_dimension_hom:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "1<sub>S</sub> \neq 0<sub>S</sub>" and "inj on h E" and "subalgebra
K E R"
  shows "R.infinite_dimension K E \implies infinite_dimension (h ' K) (h '
E)"
proof -
  note subfield = img_is_subfield(2)[OF assms(1-2)]
  assume "R.infinite_dimension K E"
  show "infinite_dimension (h ' K) (h ' E)"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "¬ infinite_dimension (h ' K) (h ' E)"
    then obtain Vs where "set Vs \subseteq carrier S" and "Span (h ' K) Vs =
h ' E"
      using exists_base[OF subfield] by blast
    hence "set Vs ⊆ h ' E"
      using Span_base_incl[OF subfield] by blast
    hence "∃ Us. set Us ⊆ E ∧ Vs = map h Us"
      by (induct Vs) (auto, metis insert_subset list.simps(9,15))
    then obtain Us where "set Us ⊆ E" and "Vs = map h Us"
      by blast
    with ‹ Span (h ' K) Vs = h ' E› have "h ' (R.Span K Us) = h ' E"
      using R.subalgebra_in_carrier[OF assms(4)] Span_hom assms(1) by
auto
    moreover from ‹ set Us ⊆ E› have "R.Span K Us ⊆ E"
      using R.subalgebra_Span_incl assms(1-4) by blast
    ultimately have "R.Span K Us = E"
    proof (auto simp del: R.Span.simps)
      fix a assume "a ∈ E"
      with ‹ h ' (R.Span K Us) = h ' E› obtain b where "b ∈ R.Span K
Us" and "h a = h b"
        by auto
      with ‹ R.Span K Us ⊆ E› and ‹ a ∈ E› have "a = b"
        using inj_onD[OF assms(3)] by auto
      with ‹ b ∈ R.Span K Us› show "a ∈ R.Span K Us"
        by simp
    qed
    with ‹ set Us ⊆ E› have "R.finite_dimension K E"
      using R.Span_finite_dimension[OF assms(1)] R.subalgebra_in_carrier[OF
assms(4)] by auto
    with ‹ R.infinite_dimension K E› show False
      by simp
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring) sum_space_dim:
  assumes "subfield K R" "finite_dimension K E" "finite_dimension K F"
 shows "finite_dimension K (E \leftrightarrow_{R} F)"
    and "((dim over K) (E \leftrightarrow_{R} F)) = ((dim over K) E) + ((dim over K)
F) - ((dim over K) (E ∩ F))"
proof -
 obtain n m k where n: "dimension n K E" and m: "dimension m K F" and
k: "dimension k K (E ∩ F)"
    using assms(2-3) subalbegra_incl_imp_finite_dimension[OF assms(1-2)
          subalgebra inter[OF assms(2-3)[THEN finite dimension imp subalgebra[OF
assms(1)]]]]
    by (meson inf_le1 finite_dimension_def)
  hence "dimension (n + m - k) K (E \le +\ge_R F)"
    using dimension_sum_space[OF assms(1)] by simp
 thus "finite_dimension K (E \leftarrow P_R F)"
   and "((dim over K) (E \leftarrow >_R F)) = ((dim over K) E) + ((dim over K) F)
- ((dim over K) (E ∩ F))"
    using finite_dimensionI dimI[OF assms(1)] n m k by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) telescopic_base_dim:
 assumes "subfield K R" "subfield F R" and "finite_dimension K F" and
"finite dimension F E"
 shows "finite_dimension K E" and "(dim over K) E = ((dim over K) F)
* ((dim over F) E)"
  using telescopic_base[OF assms(1-2)
        finite_dimensionE[OF assms(1,3)]
        finite_dimensionE[OF assms(2,4)]]
        dimI[OF assms(1)] finite dimensionI
 by auto
end
```

```
theory Polynomial_Divisibility
  imports Polynomials Embedded_Algebras "HOL-Library.Multiset"
```
**begin**

# **35 Divisibility of Polynomials**

# **35.1 Definitions**

```
abbreviation poly_ring :: "_ \Rightarrow ('a list) ring"
  where "poly_ring R ≡ univ_poly R (carrier R)"
```

```
abbreviation pirreducible :: " ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool" ("pirreduciblei")
```

```
where "pirreducible<sub>R</sub> K p \equiv ring_irreducible<sub>(univ_poly R K)</sub> p"
```
abbreviation pprime :: "\_  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool" ("pprime*i*") where "pprime<sub>R</sub> K p  $\equiv$  ring\_prime<sub>(univ\_poly R K)</sub> P"

**definition** pdivides :: "\_ ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool" (**infix** "pdivides*ı* "  $65$ 

where "p pdivides<sub>R</sub> q = p divides<sub>(univ\_poly R (carrier R))  $q''$ </sub>

definition rupture :: "\_  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  (('a list) set) ring" ("Rupt*ı* ") where "Rupt<sub>R</sub> K  $p = (K[X]_R)$  Quot (PIdl<sub>K[X]R</sub>  $p$ )"

abbreviation (in ring) rupture\_surj :: "'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$ ('a list) set"

where "rupture\_surj K p  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda q$ . (PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p) +><sub>K[X]</sub> q)"

## **35.2 Basic Properties**

```
lemma (in ring) carrier_polynomial_shell [intro]:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" shows "p \in carrier
(poly_ring R)"
  using carrier_polynomial[OF assms(1), of p] assms(2) unfolding sym[OF
univ_poly_carrier] by simp
lemma (in domain) pdivides_zero:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" shows "p pdivides []"
  using ring.divides zero<sup>[OF univ poly is ring<sup>[OF</sup> carrier is subring]</sup>
         carrier_polynomial_shell[OF assms]]
  unfolding univ_poly_zero pdivides_def .
lemma (in domain) zero_pdivides_zero: "[] pdivides []"
  using pdivides_zero[OF carrier_is_subring] univ_poly_carrier by blast
lemma (in domain) zero_pdivides:
  shows "[] pdivides p \leftrightarrow p = []"
  using ring.zero_divides[OF univ_poly_is_ring[OF carrier_is_subring]]
  unfolding univ_poly_zero pdivides_def .
lemma (in domain) pprime_iff_pirreducible:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  shows "pprime K p ←→ pirreducible K p"
  using principal_domain.primeness_condition[OF univ_poly_is_principal]
assms by simp
lemma (in domain) pirreducibleE:
  assumes "subring K R" "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "pirreducible K p"
  shows "p \neq []" "p \notin Units (K[X])"
    \text{and} "\bigwedge \neg a r. \llbracket \neg a \in \text{carrier (K[X])}; \ r \in \text{carrier (K[X])} \rrbracket \implies
```

```
p = q \otimes_{K[X]} r \implies q \in \text{Units (K[X])} \lor r \in \text{Units (K[X])}"
  using domain.ring_irreducibleE[OF univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] _
assms(3)] assms(2)
  by (auto simp add: univ_poly_zero)
lemma (in domain) pirreducibleI:
  assumes "subring K R" "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "p \neq []" "p \notin Units (K[X])"
    \text{and} "\bigwedge \neg a r. \llbracket \neg a \in \text{carrier (K[X])}; \ r \in \text{carrier (K[X])} \rrbracket \impliesp = q \otimes_{K[X]} r \implies q \in \text{Units (K[X])} \lor r \in \text{Units (K[X])}"
  shows "pirreducible K p"
  using domain.ring_irreducibleI[OF univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] _
assms(4)] assms(2-3,5)by (auto simp add: univ_poly_zero)
lemma (in domain) univ_poly_carrier_units_incl:
  shows "Units ((carrier R) [X]) \subseteq { [k] | k. k \in carrier R - { 0 }
}"
proof
  fix p assume "p \in Units ((carrier R) [X])"
  then obtain q
    where p: "polynomial (carrier R) p" and q: "polynomial (carrier R)
q" and pq: "poly_mult p q = [1]"
    unfolding Units_def univ_poly_def by auto
  hence not_nil: "p \neq []" and "q \neq []"
    using poly_mult_integral[OF carrier_is_subring p q] poly_mult_zero[OF
polynomial_incl[OF p]] by auto
  hence "degree p = 0"
    using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring p q] unfolding pq
by simp
  hence "length p = 1"
    using not_nil by (metis One_nat_def Suc_pred length_greater_0_conv)
  then obtain k where k: "p = [k]"
    by (metis One_nat_def length_0_conv length_Suc_conv)
  hence "k ∈ carrier R - { 0 }"
    using p unfolding polynomial_def by auto
  thus "p \in \{ [k] | k. k \in \text{carrier } R - \{ 0 \} \}"
    unfolding k by blast
qed
lemma (in field) univ_poly_carrier_units:
  "Units ((carrier R) [X]) = { [ k ] | k. k \in carrier R - { 0 } }"
proof
 show "Units ((carrier R) [X]) \subseteq { [ k ] | k. k \in carrier R - { 0 }
\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{H}}using univ_poly_carrier_units_incl by simp
next
  show "\{ k \mid k \in \mathcal{K} \mid k \in \mathcal{K} \mid k-1 \leq k-1 \} [X])"
  proof (auto)
    fix k assume k: "k \in carrier R" "k \neq 0"
```

```
hence inv_k: "inv k \in carrier R" "inv k \neq 0" and "k \otimes inv k = 1"
"inv k ⊗ k = 1"
      using subfield_m_inv[OF carrier_is_subfield, of k] by auto
    hence "poly_mult [ k ] [ inv k ] = [ 1 ]" and "poly_mult [ inv k
] [ k ] = [ 1 ]"by (auto simp add: k)
    moreover have "polynomial (carrier R) [ k ]" and "polynomial (carrier
R) \lceil inv k \rceil"
      using const_is_polynomial k inv_k by auto
    ultimately show "[k] \in Units ((carrier R) [X])"
      unfolding Units_def univ_poly_def by (auto simp del: poly_mult.simps)
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) univ_poly_units_incl:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "Units (K[X]) \subseteq \{ [k] | k, k \in K - \{0 } }"
  using domain.univ_poly_carrier_units_incl[OF subring_is_domain[OF assms]]
        univ_poly_consistent[OF assms] by auto
lemma (in ring) univ_poly_units:
  assumes "subfield K R" shows "Units (K[X]) = \{ [ k ] | k. k \in K - \{0 } }"
  using field.univ_poly_carrier_units[OF subfield_iff(2)[OF assms]]
        univ_poly_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]] by auto
lemma (in domain) univ_poly_units':
  assumes "subfield K R" shows "p \in Units (K[X]) \leftrightarrow p \in carrier (K[X])\wedge p \neq [] \wedge degree p = 0"
  unfolding univ_poly_units[OF assms] sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
  by (auto, metis hd_in_set le_0_eq le_Suc_eq length_0_conv length_Suc_conv
list.sel(1) subsetD)
corollary (in domain) rupture_one_not_zero:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "degree p > 0"
  shows "1_{\text{Rupt } K \text{ p}} \neq 0_{\text{Rupt } K \text{ p}}"
proof (rule ccontr)
  interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  assume "\neg 1<sub>Rupt K p</sub> \neq 0<sub>Rupt K p</sub>"
  then have "PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p \rightarrow<sub>K[X]</sub> 1<sub>K[X]</sub> = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p"
    unfolding rupture_def FactRing_def by simp
  hence "1_{K[X]} \in \text{PId1}_{K[X]} p"using ideal.rcos_const_imp_mem[OF UP.cgenideal_ideal[OF assms(2)]]
by auto
  then obtain q where "q ∈ carrier (K[X])" and "1<sub>K[X]</sub> = q \otimes_{K[X]} p"
    using assms(2) unfolding cgenideal_def by auto
  hence "p ∈ Units (K[X])"
```

```
unfolding Units_def using assms(2) UP.m_comm by auto
 hence "degree p = 0"
    unfolding univ_poly_units[OF assms(1)] by auto
  with ‹ degree p > 0› show False
    by simp
qed
corollary (in ring) pirreducible_degree:
  assumes "subfield K R" "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "pirreducible K p"
 shows "degree p > 1"
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume "\neg degree p \geq 1" then have "length p \leq 1"
    by simp
 moreover have "p \neq []" and "p \notin Units (K[X])"
    using assms(3) by (auto simp add: ring_irreducible_def irreducible_def
univ poly zero)
  ultimately obtain k where k: "p = [k]"by (metis append_butlast_last_id butlast_take diff_is_0_eq le_refl
self_append_conv2 take0 take_all)
  hence "k \in K" and "k \neq 0"
    using assms(2) by (auto simp add: polynomial_def univ_poly_def)
 hence "p \in Units (K[X])"
    using univ_poly_units[OF assms(1)] unfolding k by auto
  from \langle p \in \text{Units } (K[X]) \rangle and \langle p \notin \text{Units } (K[X]) \rangle show False by simp
qed
corollary (in domain) univ_poly_not_field:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "¬ field (K[X])"
proof -
 have "X ∈ carrier (K[X]) - { 0_{(K[TX])} }" and "X \notin { [ k ] | k. k ∈ K
- 0 } }"
    using var_closed(1)[OF assms] unfolding univ_poly_zero var_def by
auto
 thus ?thesis
    using field.field_Units[of "K[X]"] univ_poly_units_incl[OF assms]
by blast
qed
lemma (in domain) rupture_is_field_iff_pirreducible:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
 shows "field (Rupt K p) ←→ pirreducible K p"
proof
  assume "pirreducible K p" thus "field (Rupt K p)"
    using principal_domain.field_iff_prime[OF univ_poly_is_principal[OF
assms(1)]] assms(2)pprime_iff_pirreducible[OF assms] pirreducibleE(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF
assms(1)]]
   by (simp add: univ_poly_zero rupture_def)
next
```

```
interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  assume field: "field (Rupt K p)"
 have "p \neq []"
 proof (rule ccontr)
    assume " \neg p \neq []" then have p: "p = []"by simp
    hence "Rupt K p \simeq (K[X])"
      using UP.FactRing_zeroideal(1) UP.genideal_zero
            UP.cgenideal_eq_genideal[OF UP.zero_closed]
      by (simp add: rupture_def univ_poly_zero)
    then obtain h where h: "h \in ring_iso (Rupt K p) (K[X])"
      unfolding is_ring_iso_def by blast
    moreover have "ring (Rupt K p)"
      using field by (simp add: cring_def domain_def field_def)
    ultimately interpret R: ring_hom_ring "Rupt K p" "K[X]" h
      unfolding ring_hom_ring_def ring_hom_ring_axioms_def ring_iso_def
      using UP.ring_axioms by simp
    have "field (K[X])"
      using field.ring_iso_imp_img_field[OF field h] by simp
    thus False
      using univ_poly_not_field[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] by simp
  qed
  thus "pirreducible K p"
    using UP.field iff prime pprime iff pirreducible[OF assms] assms(2)
field
    by (simp add: univ_poly_zero rupture_def)
qed
lemma (in domain) rupture_surj_hom:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
 shows "(rupture_surj K p) ∈ ring_hom (K[X]) (Rupt K p)"
    and "ring_hom_ring (K[X]) (Rupt K p) (rupture_surj K p)"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] .
  \text{interpret I: ideal "PIdl}_{K[X]} p" "K[X]"
    using UP.cgenideal_ideal[OF assms(2)] .
 show "(rupture_surj K p) ∈ ring_hom (K[X]) (Rupt K p)"
   and "ring_hom_ring (K[X]) (Rupt K p) (rupture_surj K p)"
    using ring_hom_ring.intro[OF UP.ring_axioms I.quotient_is_ring] I.rcos_ring_hom
    unfolding symmetric[OF ring_hom_ring_axioms_def] rupture_def by auto
qed
corollary (in domain) rupture_surj_norm_is_hom:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])"
 shows "((rupture surj K p) ∘ poly of const) \in ring hom (R (| carrier
```

```
:= K |)) (Rupt K p)"
```

```
using ring_hom_trans[OF canonical_embedding_is_hom[OF assms(1)] rupture_surj_hom(1)[OF
assms]] .
lemma (in domain) norm_map_in_poly_ring_carrier:
  \text{assumes }\, \texttt{"p} \in \texttt{carrier} \, \, \texttt{(poly\_ring} \, \, \texttt{R})\, \texttt{"} \, \, \texttt{and} \, \, \texttt{"\text{/a}}.\, \, \, \texttt{a} \in \texttt{carrier} \, \, \texttt{R} \implies \texttt{f} \, \, \texttt{a}∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
  shows "ring.normalize (poly ring R) (map f p) \in carrier (poly ring
(poly_ring R))"
proof -
  have "set p ⊆ carrier R"
     using assms(1) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by auto
  hence "set (map f p) ⊆ carrier (poly_ring R)"
     using assms(2) by auto
  thus ?thesis
     using ring.normalize_gives_polynomial[OF univ_poly_is_ring[OF carrier_is_subring]]
     unfolding univ_poly_carrier by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) map_in_poly_ring_carrier:
  \text{assumes }\, \texttt{"p} \in \texttt{carrier} \, \, \texttt{(poly\_ring} \, \, \texttt{R})\, \texttt{"} \, \, \texttt{and} \, \, \texttt{"\text{/a}}.\, \, \, \texttt{a} \in \texttt{carrier} \, \, \texttt{R} \implies \texttt{f} \, \, \texttt{a}∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
     \text{and} "\textstyle\bigwedge^{}a. a \neq 0 \implies f a \neq []"
  shows "map f p ∈ carrier (poly_ring (poly_ring R))"
proof -
  interpret UP: ring "poly_ring R"
     using univ_poly_is_ring[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  have "lead coeff p \neq 0" if "p \neq []"
    using that assms(1) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by auto
  hence "ring.normalize (poly_ring R) (map f p) = map f p"
     by (cases p) (simp_all add: assms(3) univ_poly_zero)
  thus ?thesis
     using norm_map_in_poly_ring_carrier[of p f] assms(1-2) by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) map_norm_in_poly_ring_carrier:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  shows "map poly_of_const p \in carrier (poly_ring (K[X]))"
  using domain.map_in_poly_ring_carrier[OF subring_is_domain[OF assms(1)]]
proof -
  have \sqrt[m]{a}. a \in K \implies poly_of\_const a \in carrier (K[X])"
    \quad \text{ and } \; \text{``}\text{/a. a} \neq 0 \, \Longrightarrow \, \text{poly\_of\_const a} \neq \, \text{[]}\, \text{''}using ring hom memE(1)[OF canonical embedding is hom[OF assms(1)]]
     by (auto simp: poly_of_const_def)
  thus ?thesis
     using domain.map in poly ring carrier[OF subring is domain[OF assms(1)]]
assms(2)
     unfolding univ_poly_consistent[OF assms(1)] by simp
```
**qed**

```
lemma (in domain) polynomial_rupture:
 assumes "subring K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])"
 shows "(ring.eval (Rupt K p)) (map ((rupture_surj K p) ◦ poly_of_const)
p) (rupture_surj K p X) = 0_{\text{Rupt }K} p"
proof -
 let ?surj = "rupture_surj K p"
 interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] .
 interpret Hom: ring_hom_ring "K[X]" "Rupt K p" ?surj
    using rupture_surj_hom(2)[OF assms] .
 have "(Hom.S.eval) (map (?surj ◦ poly_of_const) p) (?surj X) = ?surj
((UP.eval) (map poly_of_const p) X)"
    using Hom.eval_hom[OF UP.carrier_is_subring var_closed(1)[OF assms(1)]
          map_norm_in_poly_ring_carrier[OF assms]] by simp
  also have " ... = ?surj p"
    unfolding sym[OF eval_rewrite[OF assms]] ..
 also have " \ldots = 0_{\text{Rupt }K} p''using UP.a_rcos_zero[OF UP.cgenideal_ideal[OF assms(2)] UP.cgenideal_self[OF
assms(2)]]
    unfolding rupture_def FactRing_def by simp
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
```
## **35.3 Division**

```
definition (in ring) long divides :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow ('a list \times'a list) \Rightarrow bool"
  where "long_divides p q t \longleftrightarrow-i (t \in carrier (poly_ring R) \times carrier (poly_ring R))
∧
            — ii (p = (q \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring }R} (fst t)) \oplus_{\text{poly\_ring }R} (snd t)) \wedge— iii (snd t = \overline{[]} \vee degree (snd t) < degree q)"
definition (in ring) long_division :: "'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list ×
'a list)"
  where "long_division p q = (THE t. long_divides p q t)"
definition (in ring) pdiv :: "'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list" (infixl "pdiv"
65)
  where "p pdiv q = (if q = [] then [] else fst (long division p q))"definition (in ring) pmod :: "'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list" (infixl "pmod"
65)
  where "p pmod q = (if q = [] then p else snd (long\_division p q)"
```

```
lemma (in ring) long_dividesI:
  assumes "b ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and "r ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
      and "p = (q \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} b) \oplus_{\text{poly ring R}} r" and "r = [] ∨ degree
r < degree q"
    shows "long_divides p q (b, r)"
  using assms unfolding long_divides_def by auto
lemma (in domain) exists_long_division:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" and "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
"q \neq 0"
  obtains b r where "b \in carrier (K[X])" and "r \in carrier (K[X])" and
"long_divides p q (b, r)"
  using subfield_long_division_theorem_shell[OF assms(1-3)] assms(4)
        carrier_polynomial_shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]]
  unfolding long_divides_def univ_poly_zero univ_poly_add univ_poly_mult
by auto
lemma (in domain) exists_unique_long_division:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" and "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
"q \neq []"
  shows "∃ !t. long_divides p q t"
proof -
  let ?padd = "\lambdaa b. a \oplus_{\text{poly\_ring }R} b"
  let ?pmult = "\lambdaa b. a \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} b"
  let ?pminus = "\lambdaa b. a \ominus_{\text{poly\_ring }R} b"
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  obtain b r where ldiv: "long_divides p q (b, r)"
    using exists_long_division[OF assms] by metis
  moreover have "(b, r) = (b', r')" if "long_divides p q (b', r')" for
b' r'
  proof -
    have q: "q \in carrier (poly_ring R)" "q \neq []"
      using assms(3-4) carrier_polynomial[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]]
      unfolding univ_poly_carrier by auto
    hence in_carrier: "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
      "b ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" "r ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
      "b' \in carrier (poly_ring R)" "r' \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
      using assms(3) that ldiv unfolding long_divides_def by auto
    have "?pminus (?padd (?pmult q b) r) r' = ?pminus (?padd (?pmult q
b') r') r'"
      using ldiv and that unfolding long_divides_def by auto
    hence eq: "?padd (?pmult q (?pminus b b')) (?pminus r r') = 0_{\text{poly ring R}}"
      using in_carrier by algebra
    have "b = b"
```

```
proof (rule ccontr)
      assume "b \neq b'"
      hence pminus: "?pminus b b' \neq 0 poly ring R" "?pminus b b' ∈ carrier
(poly_ring R)"
        using in_carrier(2,4) by (metis UP.add.inv_closed UP.l_neg UP.minus_eq
UP.minus_unique, algebra)
      hence degree_ge: "degree (?pmult q (?pminus b b')) ≥ degree q"
        using poly mult degree eq[OF carrier is subring, of q "?pminus
b b'"] q
        unfolding univ_poly_zero univ_poly_carrier univ_poly_mult by simp
      have "?pminus b b' = 0_{\text{poly\_ring R}}" if "?pminus r r' = 0_{\text{poly\_ring R}}"
        using eq pminus(2) q UP.integral univ_poly_zero unfolding that
by auto
      hence "?pminus r r' \neq []"
        using pminus(1) unfolding univ_poly_zero by blast
      moreover have "?pminus r r' = []" if "r = []" and "r' = []"
        using univ_poly_a_inv_def'[OF carrier_is_subring UP.zero_closed]
that
        unfolding a_minus_def univ_poly_add univ_poly_zero by auto
      ultimately have "r \neq [] \vee r' \neq []"
        by blast
      hence "max (degree r) (degree r') < degree q"
        using ldiv and that unfolding long_divides_def by auto
      moreover have "degree (?pminus r r') ≤ max (degree r) (degree
r')"
        using poly add degree[of r "map (a inv R) r'"]
        unfolding a minus def univ poly add univ poly a inv def'[OF carrier is subring
in carrier(5)]
        by auto
      ultimately have degree_lt: "degree (?pminus r r') < degree q"
        by linarith
      have is_poly: "polynomial (carrier R) (?pmult q (?pminus b b'))"
"polynomial (carrier R) (?pminus r r')"
        using in_carrier pminus(2) unfolding univ_poly_carrier by algebra+
      have "degree (?padd (?pmult q (?pminus b b')) (?pminus r r')) =
degree (?pmult q (?pminus b b'))"
        using poly_add_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring is_poly] degree_ge
degree_lt
        unfolding univ_poly_carrier sym[OF univ_poly_add[of R "carrier
R"]] max_def by simp
      hence "degree (?padd (?pmult q (?pminus b b')) (?pminus r r')) >
^{\circ}using degree_ge degree_lt by simp
      moreover have "degree (?padd (?pmult q (?pminus b b')) (?pminus
r r')) = 0"
        using eq unfolding univ_poly_zero by simp
      ultimately show False by simp
```

```
qed
    hence "?pminus r r' = 0_{\text{poly\_ring R}}"
      using in_carrier eq by algebra
    hence "r = r'"
      using in_carrier by (metis UP.add.inv_closed UP.add.right_cancel
UP.minus_eq UP.r_neg)
    with \langle b = b' \rangle show ?thesis
      by simp
 qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) long_divisionE:
 assumes "subfield K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" and "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
"q \neq []"shows "long_divides p q (p pdiv q, p pmod q)"
  using theI'[OF exists_unique_long_division[OF assms]] assms(4)
  unfolding pmod_def pdiv_def long_division_def by auto
lemma (in domain) long_divisionI:
 assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "q \in carrier (K[X])"
"g \neq []"
 shows "long_divides p q (b, r) \implies (b, r) = (p pdiv q, p pmod q)"
 using exists unique long division[OF assms] long divisionE[OF assms]
by metis
lemma (in domain) long_division_closed:
 assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" "q \in carrier (K[X])"
 shows "p pdiv q \in carrier (K[X])" and "p pmod q \in carrier (K[X])"
proof -
  have "p pdiv q ∈ carrier (K[X]) ∧ p pmod q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
    using assms univ_poly_zero_closed[of R] long_divisionI[of K] exists_long_division[OF
assms]
    by (cases "q = []") (simp add: pdiv_def pmod_def, metis Pair_inject)+
  thus "p pdiv q \in \text{carrier } (K[X])" and "p pmod q \in \text{carrier } (K[X])"
    by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) pdiv_pmod:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" "q \in carrier (K[X])"
 shows "p = (q \otimes_{K[X]} (p \text{ pdiv } q)) \oplus_{K[X]} (p \text{ pmod } q)"
proof (cases)
 interpret UP: ring "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_ring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
  assume "q = []" thus ?thesis
    using assms(2) unfolding pdiv_def pmod_def sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of
R K]] by simp
```

```
next
  assume "q \neq []" thus ?thesis
    using long_divisionE[OF assms] unfolding long_divides_def univ_poly_mult
univ_poly_add by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) pmod_degree:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "q \in carrier (K[X])"
"q \neq []"shows "p pmod q = [] ∨ degree (p pmod q) < degree q"
  using long_divisionE[OF assms] unfolding long_divides_def by auto
lemma (in domain) pmod_const:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" "q \in carrier (K[X])"
and "degree q > degree p"
  shows "p pdiv q = []" and "p pmod q = p"
proof -
  have "p pdiv q = [] \wedge p pmod q = p"
  proof (cases)
    interpret UP: ring "K[X]"
      using univ_poly_is_ring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
    assume "q \neq []"
    have "p = (q \otimes_{K[X]} []) \oplus_{K[X]} p"
      using assms(2-3) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R K]] by simp
    moreover have "([], p) \in carrier (poly ring R) \times carrier (poly ring
R)"
      using carrier polynomial shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
by auto
    ultimately have "long_divides p q ([], p)"
      using assms(4) unfolding long_divides_def univ_poly_mult univ_poly_add
by auto
    with \leq q \neq \Box > show ?thesis
      using long_divisionI[OF assms(1-3)] by auto
  qed (simp add: pmod_def pdiv_def)
  thus "p pdiv q = []" and "p pmod q = p"
    by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) long_division_zero:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "q \in carrier (K[X])" shows "[] pdiv q =
[]" and "[] pmod q = []"
proof -
  interpret UP: ring "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_ring[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  have "\lceil \rceil pdiv q = \lceil \rceil \wedge \lceil \rceil pmod q = \lceil \rceil"
  proof (cases)
    assume \mathbb{q} \neq []"
```

```
have "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
      using carrier_polynomial_shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
.
    hence "long_divides [] q ([], [])"
      unfolding long_divides_def sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"]]
by auto
    with \leq q \neq [] > show ?thesis
      using long divisionI[OF assms(1) univ poly zero closed assms(2)]
by simp
  qed (simp add: pmod_def pdiv_def)
  thus "[] pdiv q = []" and "[] pmod q = []"
    by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) long_division_a_inv:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" "q \in carrier (K[X])"
  shows "((\bigoplus_{K[X]} p) pdiv q) = \bigoplus_{K[X]} (p \text{ pdiv } q)" (is "?pdiv")
    and "((\bigoplus_{K[X]} p) \mod q) = \bigoplus_{K[X]} (p \mod q)" (is "?pmod")
proof -
  interpret UP: ring "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_ring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
  have "?pdiv ∧ ?pmod"
  proof (cases)
    assume "q = []" thus ?thesis
      unfolding pmod_def pdiv_def sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R K]] by simp
  next
    assume not_nil: "q \neq []"
    have "\ominus_{K[X]} p = \ominus_{K[X]} ((q \otimes_{K[X]} (p pdiv q)) \oplus_{K[X]} (p pmod q))"
      using pdiv_pmod[OF assms] by simp
    hence "\ominus_{K[X]} p = (q \otimes_{K[X]} (\ominus_{K[X]} (p pdiv q))) \oplus_{K[X]} (\ominus_{K[X]} (p pmod
q))"
      using assms(2-3) long_division_closed[OF assms] by algebra
    moreover have "\ominus_{K[X]} (p pdiv q) \in carrier (K[X])" "\ominus_{K[X]} (p pmod
q) \in carrier (K[X])"
      using long_division_closed[OF assms] by algebra+
    hence "(\ominus_{K[X]} (p pdiv q), \ominus_{K[X]} (p pmod q)) \in carrier (poly_ring
R) \times carrier (poly_ring R)"
      using carrier_polynomial_shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] by
auto
    moreover have "\ominus_{K[X]} (p pmod q) = [] \vee degree (\ominus_{K[X]} (p pmod q))
< degree q"
      using univ_poly_a_inv_length[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]
             long_division_closed(2)[OF assms]] pmod_degree[OF assms not_nil]
      by auto
    ultimately have "long_divides (\bigoplus_{K[X]} p) q (\bigoplus_{K[X]} (p \text{ pdiv } q), \bigoplus_{K[X]} q)(p \mod q)"
      unfolding long_divides_def univ_poly_mult univ_poly_add by simp
    thus ?thesis
```

```
using long_divisionI[OF assms(1) UP.a_inv_closed[OF assms(2)] assms(3)
not_nil] by simp
  qed
  thus ?pdiv and ?pmod
    by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) long_division_add:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "a \in carrier (K[X])" "b \in carrier (K[X])"
"q \in carrier (K[X])"shows "(a \bigoplus_{K[X]} b) pdiv q = (a pdiv q) \bigoplus_{K[X]} (b pdiv q)" (is "?pdiv")
    and "(a \bigoplus_{K[X]} b) pmod q = (a pmod q) \bigoplus_{K[X]} (b pmod q)" (is "?pmod")
proof -
  let ?pdiv_add = "(a pdiv q) \oplus_{K[X]} (b pdiv q)"
  let ?pmod_add = "(a pmod q) \oplus_{K[X]} (b pmod q)"
  interpret UP: ring "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_ring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
  have "?pdiv ∧ ?pmod"
  proof (cases)
    assume "q = []" thus ?thesis
      using assms(2-3) unfolding pmod_def pdiv_def sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of
R K]] by simp
  next
    note in carrier = long division closed[OF assms(1,2,4)]
                        long_division_closed[OF assms(1,3,4)]
    assume \mathbb{q} \neq []"
    have "a \oplus_{K[X]} b = ((q \otimes_{K[X]} (a pdiv q)) \oplus_{K[X]} (a pmod q)) \oplus_{K[X]}((q \otimes_{K[X]} (b pdiv q)) \oplus_{K[X]} (b pmod q))"
      using assms(2-3)[THEN pdiv_pmod[OF assms(1) _ assms(4)]] by simp
    hence "a \bigoplus_{K[X]} b = (q \otimes_{K[X]} ?p\text{div}_add) \bigoplus_{K[X]} ?p\text{mod}_add"using assms(4) in_carrier by algebra
    moreover have "(?pdiv_add, ?pmod_add) ∈ carrier (poly_ring R) ×
carrier (poly_ring R)"
      using in_carrier carrier_polynomial_shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]]
by auto
    moreover have "?pmod_add = [] ∨ degree ?pmod_add < degree q"
    proof (cases)
      assume "?pmod_add \neq []"
      hence "a pmod q \neq [] \vee b pmod q \neq []"
         using in_carrier(2,4) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R K]]
by auto
      moreover from \langle q \neq [ ] \ranglehave "a pmod q = [] ∨ degree (a pmod q) < degree q" and "b pmod
q = [] \lor degree (b pmod q) < degree q"
         using assms(2-3)[THEN pmod_degree[OF assms(1) _ assms(4)]] by
auto
```

```
ultimately have "max (degree (a pmod q)) (degree (b pmod q)) < degree
q"
        by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using poly_add_degree le_less_trans unfolding univ_poly_add by
blast
    qed simp
    ultimately have "long_divides (a ⊕<sub>K[X]</sub> b) q (?pdiv_add, ?pmod_add)"
      unfolding long_divides_def univ_poly_mult univ_poly_add by simp
    with \leq q \neq [] > show ?thesis
      using long_divisionI[OF assms(1) UP.a_closed[OF assms(2-3)] assms(4)]
by simp
  qed
  thus ?pdiv and ?pmod
    by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) long_division_add_iff:
  assumes "subfield K R"
    and "a ∈ carrier (K[X])" "b ∈ carrier (K[X])" "c ∈ carrier (K[X])"
"q \in carrier (K[X])"shows "a pmod q = b pmod q \longleftrightarrow (a \oplus_{K[X]} c) pmod q = (b \oplus_{K[X]} c) pmod
q"
proof -
  interpret UP: ring "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_ring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
  show ?thesis
    using assms(2-4)[THEN long division closed(2)[OF assms(1) assms(5)]]
    unfolding assms(2-3) [THEN long division add(2) [OF assms(1) assms(4-5)]]
by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) pdivides_iff:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "polynomial K p" "polynomial K q"
  shows "p pdivides q \leftrightarrow p divides<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
proof
  show "p divides<sub>K [X]</sub> q \implies p pdivides q"
    using carrier_polynomial[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]]
    unfolding pdivides_def factor_def univ_poly_mult univ_poly_carrier
by auto
next
  interpret UP: ring "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_ring[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  have in_carrier: "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring
R)"
    using carrier polynomial<sup>[OF</sup> subfieldE(1)<sup>[OF</sup> assms(1)]] assms
    unfolding univ_poly_carrier by auto
```

```
assume "p pdivides q"
  then obtain b where "b \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "q = p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}}h''unfolding pdivides_def factor_def by blast
  show "p divides<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
  proof (cases)
    assume "p = []"
    with \langle b \rangle \in carrier (poly_ring R) > and \langle q = p \rangle \otimes_{poly} r ing R b > have
"q = []"
      unfolding univ_poly_mult sym[OF univ_poly_carrier]
      using poly_mult_zero(1)[OF polynomial_incl] by simp
    with \langle p = [] \rangle show ?thesis
      using poly_mult_zero(2)[of "[]"]
      unfolding factor_def univ_poly_mult by auto
  next
    interpret UP: ring "poly_ring R"
      using univ_poly_is_ring[OF carrier_is_subring] .
    assume "p \neq []"
    from \langle p \rangle pdivides q \rangle obtain b where "b \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and
"q = p \otimes_{poly\_ring R} b"
      unfolding pdivides_def factor_def by blast
    moreover have "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring
R)"
      using assms carrier_polynomial[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] un-
folding univ_poly_carrier by auto
    ultimately have "q = (p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} b) \oplus_{\text{poly\_ring } R} 0_{\text{poly\_ring } R}"
      by algebra
    with ‹ b ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)› have "long_divides q p (b, [])"
      unfolding long_divides_def univ_poly_zero by auto
    with \langle p \neq [] \rangle have "b \in carrier (K[X])"
      using long_divisionI[of K q p b] long_division_closed[of K q p]
assms
      unfolding univ_poly_carrier by auto
    with \leq q = p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} b show ?thesis
      unfolding factor_def univ_poly_mult by blast
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) pdivides_iff_shell:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  shows "p pdivides q \leftrightarrow p divides<sub>K[X]</sub> q''using pdivides_iff assms by (simp add: univ_poly_carrier)
lemma (in domain) pmod_zero_iff_pdivides:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" "q \in carrier (K[X])"
  shows "p pmod q = [] \leftrightarrow q pdivides p"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
```

```
using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
  show ?thesis
  proof
    assume pmod: "p pmod q = []"
    have "p pdiv q ∈ carrier (K[X])" and "p pmod q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
      using long_division_closed[OF assms] by auto
    hence "p = q \otimes_{K[X]} (p pdiv q)"
      using pdiv_pmod[OF assms] assms(3) unfolding pmod sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of
R K]] by algebra
    with ‹ p pdiv q ∈ carrier (K[X])› show "q pdivides p"
      unfolding pdivides_iff_shell[OF assms(1,3,2)] factor_def by blast
  next
    assume "q pdivides p" show "p pmod q = []"
    proof (cases)
      assume "q = []" with ‹ q pdivides p› show ?thesis
         using zero_pdivides unfolding pmod_def by simp
    next
      assume "q \neq []"
      from \leq q pdivides p \geq 0 obtain r where "r \in carrier (K[X])" and "p
= q \otimes_{K[X]} r"unfolding pdivides_iff_shell[OF assms(1,3,2)] factor_def by blast
      hence "p = (q \otimes_{K[X]} r) \oplus_{K[X]} []"
         using assms(2) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R K]] by simp
      moreover from \langle r \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) \rangle have "r \in \text{carrier } (poly\_ring)R)"
         using carrier_polynomial_shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] by
auto
      ultimately have "long_divides p q (r, [])"
         unfolding long_divides_def univ_poly_mult univ_poly_add by auto
      with \leq q \neq [] > show ?thesis
         using long_divisionI[OF assms] by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) same_pmod_iff_pdivides:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "a \in carrier (K[X])" "b \in carrier (K[X])"
"q \in \text{carrier } (K[X])"shows "a pmod q = b pmod q \leftrightarrow q pdivides (a \ominus_{K[X]} b)"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
  have "a pmod q = b pmod q \longleftrightarrow (a \bigoplus_{K[X]} (\bigoplus_{K[X]} b)) pmod q = (b \bigoplus_{K[X]}(\ominus_{K[X]} b)) pmod q"
    using long division add iff<sup>[OF</sup> assms(1-3) UP.a inv closed<sup>[OF</sup> assms(3)]
assms(4)] .
  also have " ... \longleftrightarrow (a \ominus_{K[X]} b) pmod q = 0_{K[X]} pmod q"
```

```
using assms(2-3) by algebra
  also have " \dots \leftrightarrow q pdivides (a \ominus_{K[X]} b)"
    using pmod_zero_iff_pdivides[OF assms(1) UP.minus_closed[OF assms(2-3)]
assms(4)]unfolding univ_poly_zero long_division_zero(2)[OF assms(1,4)] .
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma (in domain) pdivides_imp_degree_le:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" "q \in carrier (K[X])"
"q \neq []"
  shows "p pdivides q \implies degree p \leq degree q"
proof -
  assume "p pdivides q"
  then obtain r where r: "polynomial (carrier R) r" "q = poly_mult p
r"
    unfolding pdivides_def factor_def univ_poly_mult univ_poly_carrier
by blast
  moreover have p: "polynomial (carrier R) p"
    using assms(2) carrier_polynomial[OF assms(1)] unfolding univ_poly_carrier
by auto
  moreover have "p \neq []" and "r \neq []"
    using poly_mult_zero(2)[OF polynomial_incl[OF p]] r(2) assms(4) by
auto
  ultimately show "degree p \leq degree q"
    using poly mult degree eq[OF carrier is subring, of p r] by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) pprimeE:
  assumes "subfield K R" "p \in \text{carrier } (K[X])" "pprime K p"
  shows "p \neq []" "p \notin Units (K[X])"
    \text{and} "\bigwedge \neg a r. \llbracket \neg a \in \text{carrier (K[X])}; \ r \in \text{carrier (K[X])} \rrbracket \impliesp pdivides (q \otimes_{K[X]} r) \implies p pdivides q \vee p pdivides
r"using assms(2-3) poly_mult_closed[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] pdivides_iff[OF
assms(1)]
  unfolding ring_prime_def prime_def
  by (auto simp add: univ_poly_mult univ_poly_carrier univ_poly_zero)
lemma (in domain) pprimeI:
  assumes "subfield K R" "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "p \neq []" "p \neq Units (K[X])"
    \text{and} "\bigwedge \neg a r. \llbracket \neg a \in \text{carrier (K[X])}; \ r \in \text{carrier (K[X])} \rrbracket \impliesp pdivides (q \otimes_{K[X]} r) \implies p pdivides q \vee p pdivides
r"
  shows "pprime K p"
  using assms(2-5) poly_mult_closed[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] pdivides_iff[OF
assms(1)]
  unfolding ring_prime_def prime_def
  by (auto simp add: univ_poly_mult univ_poly_carrier univ_poly_zero)
```

```
lemma (in domain) associated_polynomials_iff:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  shows "p ∼<sub>K[X]</sub> q \longleftrightarrow (∃k ∈ K – { 0 }. p = [ k ] ⊗<sub>K[X]</sub> q)"
  using domain.ring_associated_iff[OF univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF
assms(1)] assms(2-3)]unfolding univ_poly_units[OF assms(1)] by auto
corollary (in domain) associated_polynomials_imp_same_length:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" and "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  shows "p \sim_{K[\chi]} q \implies length p = length q"
proof -
  { fix p q
    assume p: "p \in carrier (K[X])" and q: "q \in carrier (K[X])" and "p
\simK[X] q"
    have "length p ≤ length q"
    proof (cases "q = []")
      case True with <sup><i>s</sup> p ∼K[X] q<sup>}</sup> have "p = []"
        unfolding associated_def True factor_def univ_poly_def by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using True by simp
    next
      case False
      from \langle p \rangle \sim_{K[X]} q have "p divides<sub>K [X]</sub> q"
        unfolding associated_def by simp
      hence "p dividespoly_ring R q"
        using carrier_polynomial[OF assms(1)]
        unfolding factor_def univ_poly_carrier univ_poly_mult by auto
      with \leq q \neq [] > have "degree p \leq degree q"
        using pdivides_imp_degree_le[OF assms(1) p q] unfolding pdivides_def
by simp
      with \leq q \neq [] > show ?thesis
        by (cases "p = []", auto simp add: Suc_leI le_diff_iff)
    qed
  } note aux_lemma = this
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] .
  assume "p ∼<sub>K[X]</sub> q" thus ?thesis
    using aux_lemma[OF assms(2-3)] aux_lemma[OF assms(3,2) UP.associated_sym]
by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) divides_pirreducible_condition:
  assumes "pirreducible K q" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  shows "p divides<sub>K[X]</sub> q \implies p ∈ Units (K[X]) ∨ p ~<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
  using divides_irreducible_condition[of "K[X]" q p] assms
  unfolding ring_irreducible_def by auto
```
#### **35.4 Polynomial Power**

```
lemma (in domain) polynomial_pow_not_zero:
  assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "p \neq []"
  shows "p \lceil \hat{\ } \rceil_{\text{poly\_ring R}} (n::nat) \neq []"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  from assms UP.integral show ?thesis
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"]]
    by (induction n, auto)
qed
lemma (in domain) subring_polynomial_pow_not_zero:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "p \neq []"
  shows "p \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{bmatrix} (n::nat) \neq []"
  using domain.polynomial_pow_not_zero[OF subring_is_domain, of K p n]
assms
  unfolding univ_poly_consistent[OF assms(1)] by simp
lemma (in domain) polynomial_pow_degree:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
  shows "degree (p [\hat{\square}_{\text{poly\_ring }R} n) = n * \text{degree } p"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  show ?thesis
  proof (induction n)
    case 0 thus ?case
      using UP.nat_pow_0 unfolding univ_poly_one by auto
  next
    let ?ppow = "\lambdan. p [^]<sub>poly</sub> ring R n"
    case (Suc n) thus ?case
    proof (cases "p = []")
      case True thus ?thesis
        using univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"] UP.r_null assms by auto
    next
      case False
      hence "?ppow n \in \text{carrier (poly ring R)}" and "?ppow n \neq []" and
"p \neq []"using polynomial_pow_not_zero[of p n] assms by (auto simp add:
univ poly one)
      thus ?thesis
        using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring, of "?ppow n"
p] Suc assms
        unfolding univ_poly_carrier univ_poly_zero
        by (auto simp add: add.commute univ_poly_mult)
    qed
```

```
qed
qed
lemma (in domain) subring_polynomial_pow_degree:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
  shows "degree (p [\hat{ } ]_{K[\chi]} n) = n * degree p"
  using domain.polynomial_pow_degree[OF subring_is_domain, of K p n] assms
  unfolding univ_poly_consistent[OF assms(1)] by simp
lemma (in domain) polynomial_pow_division:
  \text{assumes "p} \in \text{carrier (poly\_ring R)" and "(n::nat) \leq m" }shows "(p [\hat{\ }]_{poly\_ring R} n) pdivides (p [\hat{\ }]_{poly\_ring R} m)"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  let ?ppow = "\lambdan. p [^]<sub>poly_ring R</sub> n"
  have "?ppow n \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} ?ppow k = ?ppow (n + k)" for k
    using assms(1) by (simp add: UP.nat_pow_mult)
  thus ?thesis
    using dividesI[of "?ppow (m - n)" "poly_ring R" "?ppow m" "?ppow n"]
assms
    unfolding pdivides_def by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) subring_polynomial_pow_division:
  assumes "subring K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "(n::nat) \leq m"
  shows "(p \lceil \hat{ } \rceil_{K[X]} n) divides<sub>K[X]</sub> (p \lceil \hat{ } \rceil_{K[X]} m)"
  using domain.polynomial_pow_division[OF subring_is_domain, of K p n
m] assms
  unfolding univ_poly_consistent[OF assms(1)] pdivides_def by simp
lemma (in domain) pirreducible_pow_pdivides_iff:
  assumes "subfield K R" "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "q ∈ carrier (K[X])" "r
∈ carrier (K[X])"
    and "pirreducible K p" and "¬ (p pdivides q)"
  shows "(p [\hat{ } ]_{K[\chi]} (n :: nat)) pdivides (q \otimes_{K[\chi]} r) \longleftrightarrow (p [\hat{ } ]_{K[\chi]} n)
pdivides r"
proof -
  interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases "r = []")
    case True with \leq q \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) > \text{ have } "q \otimes_{K[X]} r = []" \text{ and } "r= \lceil] "
      unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R K]] by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using pdivides_zero[OF subfieldE(1),of K] assms by auto
```

```
next
    case False then have not_zero: "p \neq []" "q \neq []" "r \neq []" "q \otimes_{K[X]}r \neq 0"
      using subfieldE(1) pdivides zero[OF assms(2)] assms(1-2,5-6) pirreducibleE(1)
            UP.integral_iff[OF assms(3-4)] univ_poly_zero[of R K] by auto
    from \langle p \neq [] \ranglehave ppow: "p [\hat{c}]_{K[X]} (n :: nat) \neq []" "p [\hat{c}]_{K[X]} (n :: nat) \in carrier
(K[X])"
      using subring_polynomial_pow_not_zero[OF subfieldE(1)] assms(1-2)
by auto
    have not_pdiv: "\neg (p divides<sub>mult_of (K[X])</sub> q)"
      using assms(6) pdivides_iff_shell[OF assms(1-3)] unfolding pdivides_def
by auto
    have prime: "prime (mult_of (K[X])) p"
      using assms(5) pprime_iff_pirreducible[OF assms(1-2)]
      unfolding sym[OF UP.prime_eq_prime_mult[OF assms(2)]] ring_prime_def
by simp
    have "a pdivides b \leftrightarrow a divides<sub>mult_of</sub> (K[X]) b''if "a ∈ carrier (K[X])" "a \neq 0_{K[X]}" "b ∈ carrier (K[X])" "b \neq 0_{K[X]}"
for a b
      using that UP.divides_imp_divides_mult[of a b] divides_mult_imp_divides[of
"K[X]" a b]
      unfolding pdivides_iff_shell[OF assms(1) that(1,3)] by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using UP.mult_of.prime_pow_divides_iff[OF _ _ _ prime not_pdiv,
of r] ppow not zero assms(2-4)unfolding nat_pow_mult_of carrier_mult_of mult_mult_of sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of
R KII
      by (metis DiffI UP.m_closed singletonD)
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) subring_degree_one_imp_pirreducible:
  assumes "subring K R" and "a \in Units (R \parallel carrier := K \parallel)" and "b
∈ K"
  shows "pirreducible K [ a, b ]"
proof (rule pirreducibleI[OF assms(1)])
  have "a \in K" and "a \neq 0"
    using assms(2) subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] unfolding Units_def by auto
  thus "[a, b] \in carrier (K[X])" and "[a, b] \neq []" and "[a, b]
\notin Units (K [X])"
    using univ_poly_units_incl[OF assms(1)] assms(2-3)
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
next
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)] .
  { fix q r
    assume q: "q \in carrier (K[X])" and r: "r \in carrier (K[X])" and "[
```

```
a, b ] = q \otimes_{K[X]} r"
    hence not_zero: "q \neq []" "r \neq []"
      by (metis UP.integral_iff list.distinct(1) univ_poly_zero)+
    have "degree (q \otimes_{K[\gamma]} r) = degree q + degree r"
      using not_zero poly_mult_degree_eq[OF assms(1)] q r
      by (simp add: univ_poly_carrier univ_poly_mult)
    with sym[OF \langle [ a, b ] = q \otimes_{K[X]} r\rangle] have "degree q + degree r = 1"
and "q \neq []" "r \neq []"
      using not_zero by auto
  } note aux_lemma1 = this
  { fix q r
    assume q: "q ∈ carrier (K[X])" "q \neq []" and r: "r ∈ carrier (K[X])"
"r \neq []"and "[ a, b ] = q \otimes_{K[X]} r" and "degree q = 1" and "degree r =
0"
    hence "length q = Suc (Suc 0)" and "length r = Suc 0"
      by (linarith, metis add.right_neutral add_eq_if length_0_conv)
    from \langle length q = Suc (Suc 0)\rangle obtain c d where q_def: "q = [c,
d ]"
      by (metis length_0_conv length_Cons list.exhaust nat.inject)
    from \langlelength r = Suc 0 \rangle obtain e where r<sub>_</sub>def: "r = [e]"
      by (metis length_0_conv length_Suc_conv)
    from \langle r = [e] \rangle and \langle q = [c, d] \ranglehave c: "c ∈ K" "c \neq 0" and d: "d ∈ K" and e: "e ∈ K" "e \neq 0"
      using r q subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier]
polynomial_def by auto
    with sym[OF \leq [ a, b ] = q \otimes_{K[X]} r) have "a = c \otimes e"
      using poly mult lead coeff[OF] assms(1), of q r]
      unfolding polynomial_def sym[OF univ_poly_mult[of R K]] r_def q_def
by auto
    obtain inv_a where a: "a ∈ K" and inv_a: "inv_a ∈ K" "a ⊗ inv_a
= 1" "inv_a \otimes a = 1"
      using assms(2) unfolding Units_def by auto
    hence "a \neq 0" and "inv_a \neq 0"
      using subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] integral_iff by auto
    with \langle c \in K \rangle and \langle c \neq 0 \rangle have in_carrier: "[ c \otimes inv_a ] \in carrier
(K[X])"
      using subringE(1,6)[OF assms(1)] inv_a integral
      unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
      by (auto, meson subsetD)
    moreover have "[ c \otimes inv_a ] \otimes_{K[X]} r = [ 1 ]"
      using \langle a = c \otimes e \rangle a inv_a c e subsetD[OF subringE(1)[OF assms(1)]]
      unfolding r_def univ_poly_mult by (auto) (simp add: m_assoc m_lcomm
integral_iff)+
    ultimately have "r ∈ Units (K[X])"
      using r(1) UP.m_comm[OF in_carrier r(1)] unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_one[of
R K]] Units_def by auto
  } note aux_lemma2 = this
```

```
fix q r
  assume q: "q \in carrier (K[X])" and r: "r \in carrier (K[X])" and qr:
"[ a, b ] = q \otimes_{K[\chi]} r"
  thus "q \in Units (K[X]) \vee r \in Units (K[X])"
    using aux_lemma1[OF q r qr] aux_lemma2[of q r] aux_lemma2[of r q]
UP.m_comm add_is_1 by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) degree_one_imp_pirreducible:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "degree p = 1"
  shows "pirreducible K p"
proof -
  from \langle degree p = 1 \rangle have "length p = Suc (Suc 0)"
    by simp
  then obtain a b where p: "p = [a, b]"
    by (metis length_0_conv length_Cons nat.inject neq_Nil_conv)
  with ‹ p ∈ carrier (K[X])› show ?thesis
    using subring_degree_one_imp_pirreducible[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)],
of a b]
           subfield.subfield_Units[OF assms(1)]
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) degree_oneE[elim]:
  assumes "p \in \text{carrier } (K[X])" and "degree p = 1"
    \text{and} "\bigwedgea b. \llbracket a \in K; a \neq 0; b \in K; \text{p} = [ a, b ] \rrbracket \implies \text{P}"
  shows P
proof -
  from \langle degree p = 1 \rangle have "length p = Suc (Suc 0)"
    by simp
  then obtain a b where "p = [a, b]"by (metis length_0_conv length_Cons nat.inject neq_Nil_conv)
  with \langle p \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) \rangle have "a ∈ K" and "a \neq 0" and "b ∈ K"
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
  with \langle p = [a, b] \rangle show ?thesis
    using assms(3) by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) subring_degree_one_associatedI:
  assumes "subring K R" and "a ∈ K" "a' ∈ K" and "b ∈ K" and "a ⊗ a'
= 1"shows "[ a , b ] ∼<sub>K[X]</sub> [ 1, a' ⊗ b ]"
proof -
  from \langle a \otimes a' = 1 \rangle have not_zero: "a \neq 0" "a' \neq 0"
    using subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2-3) by auto
  hence "[ a, b ] = [ a ] \otimes_{K[X]} [ 1, a' \otimes b ]"
    using assms(2-4)[THEN subsetD[OF subringE(1)[OF assms(1)]]] assms(5)
m_assoc
```

```
unfolding univ_poly_mult by fastforce
  moreover have "[ a, b ] ∈ carrier (K[X])" and "[ 1, a' ⊗ b ] ∈ carrier
(K[X])"
    using subringE(1,3,6) [OF assms(1)] not zero one not zero assms
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
  moreover have "[ a ] ∈ Units (K[X])"
  proof -
    from \langle a \neq 0 \rangle and \langle a' \neq 0 \rangle have "[a] \in carrier (K[X])" and "[
a' ] \in carrier (K[X])"
      using assms(2-3) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by auto
    moreover have "a' ⊗ a = 1"
      using subsetD[OF subringE(1)[OF assms(1)]] assms m_comm by simp
    hence "[ a ] \otimes_{K[X]} [ a' ] = [ 1 ]" and "[ a' ] \otimes_{K[X]} [ a ] = [ 1
\mathbb{I} "
      using assms unfolding univ_poly_mult by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_one[of R K]] Units_def by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using domain.ring_associated_iff[OF univ_poly_is_domain[OF assms(1)]]
by blast
qed
lemma (in domain) degree_one_associatedI:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "degree p = 1"
  shows "p \sim_{K[X]} [ 1, inv (lead_coeff p) ⊗ (const_term p) ]"
proof -
  from \langle p \rangle \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) > and \langle \text{degree } p = 1 \rangleobtain a b where "p = [ a, b ]" and "a \in K" "a \neq 0" and "b \in K"
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using subring_degree_one_associatedI[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]]
          subfield_m_inv[OF assms(1)] subsetD[OF subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)]]
    unfolding const_term_def
    by auto
qed
```
## **35.5 Ideals**

**lemma** (**in** domain) exists\_unique\_gen: **assumes** "subfield K R" "ideal I  $(K[X])$ " "I  $\neq$  { [] }" shows "∃ !p ∈ carrier (K[X]). lead\_coeff p = 1  $\land$  I = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p" (**is** "∃ !p. ?generator p") **proof interpret** UP: principal\_domain "K[X]" **using** univ\_poly\_is\_principal[OF assms(1)] **. obtain** q where q: "q  $\in$  carrier  $(K[X])$ " "I = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> q"

```
using UP.exists_gen[OF assms(2)] by blast
  hence not_nil: "q \neq []"
    using UP.genideal_zero UP.cgenideal_eq_genideal[OF UP.zero_closed]
assms(3)
    by (auto simp add: univ_poly_zero)
  hence "lead_coeff q \in K - \{ 0 \}"
    using q(1) unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def by auto
  hence inv_lc_q: "inv (lead_coeff q) \in K - { 0 }" "inv (lead coeff q)
\otimes lead coeff q = 1"
    using subfield_m_inv[OF assms(1)] by auto
  define p where "p = [ inv (lead_coeff q) ] \otimes_{K[X]} q"
  have is_poly: "polynomial K [ inv (lead_coeff q) ]" "polynomial K q"
    using inv_lc_q(1) q(1) unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def by auto
  hence in_carrier: "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
    using UP.m_closed unfolding univ_poly_carrier p_def by simp
  have lc_p: "lead_coeff p = 1"
    using poly_mult_lead_coeff[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] is_poly _
not\_nil] inv\_lc\_q(2)unfolding p_def univ_poly_mult[of R K] by simp
  moreover have PIdl_p: "I = PIdl_{K[X]} p"
    using UP.associated_iff_same_ideal[OF in_carrier q(1)] q(2) inv_lc_q(1)
p_def
           associated_polynomials_iff[OF assms(1) in_carrier q(1)]
    by auto
  ultimately have "?generator p"
    using in_carrier by simp
  moreover
  \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{``}\bigwedge \mathtt{r}\ \ \texttt{[}\ \ \mathtt{r}\ \in \mathtt{carrier}\ \ (\mathtt{K}[\mathtt{X}])\,; \ \ \mathtt{lead\_coeff}\ \ \mathtt{r} \ =\ 1; \ \ \mathtt{I}\ =\ \mathtt{PIdl}_{\mathbb{K}[\mathtt{X}]} \ \ \mathtt{r}\ \ \texttt{]} \impliesr = p"
  proof -
    fix r assume r: "r \in carrier (K[X])" "lead_coeff r = 1" "I = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub>
r"have "subring K R"
      by (simp add: ‹ subfield K R› subfieldE(1))
    obtain k where k: "k ∈ K - { 0 }" "r = [ k ] \otimes_{K[X]} p"
      using UP.associated_iff_same_ideal[OF r(1) in_carrier] PIdl_p r(3)
             associated_polynomials_iff[OF assms(1) r(1) in_carrier]
      by auto
    hence "polynomial K [ k ]"
      unfolding polynomial_def by simp
    moreover have "p \neq []"
      using not nil UP.associated iff same ideal [OF in carrier q(1)] q(2)PIdl_p
             associated_polynomials_imp_same_length[OF ‹ subring K R› in_carrier
q(1)] by auto
    ultimately have "lead coeff r = k \otimes (lead coeff p)"
      using poly_mult_lead_coeff[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] in_carrier
```

```
unfolding univ_poly_def by (auto simp del: poly_mult.simps)
    hence "k = 1"
      using \text{lc } p r(2) k(1) subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)] by auto
    hence "r = map ((\otimes) 1) p"
      using poly_mult_const(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] _ k(1), of
p] in_carrier
      unfolding k(2) univ_poly_carrier[of R K] univ_poly_mult[of R K]
by auto
    moreover have "set p ⊆ carrier R"
      using polynomial_in_carrier[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]]
            in_carrier univ_poly_carrier[of R K] by auto
    hence "map ((\otimes) 1) p = p"
      by (induct p) (auto)
    ultimately show "r = p" by simp
  qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
proposition (in domain) exists_unique_pirreducible_gen:
 assumes "subfield K R" "ring_hom_ring (K[X]) R h"
    and "a_kernel (K[X]) R h \neq { [] }" "a_kernel (K[X]) R h \neq carrier
(K[X])"
 shows "∃ !p ∈ carrier (K[X]). pirreducible K p ∧ lead_coeff p = 1 ∧
a_kernel (K[X]) R h = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p"
    (is "∃ !p. ?generator p")
proof -
 interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  have "ideal (a_kernel (K[X]) R h) (K[X])"
    using ring_hom_ring.kernel_is_ideal[OF assms(2)] .
  then obtain p
    where p: "p \in carrier (K[X])" "lead-coeff p = 1" "a_kernel (K[X])"R h = PIdl_{K}[x] p''and unique:
      "\bigwedgeq. \left[\right.q\in carrier (K[X]); lead_coeff q = 1; a_kernel (K[X]) R
h = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> q \Rightarrow q = p"
    using exists_unique_gen[OF assms(1) _ assms(3)] by metis
```
 $k(2)$ 

**qed**

```
have "p ∈ carrier (K[X]) - { [ ] } }"
      using UP.genideal_zero UP.cgenideal_eq_genideal[OF UP.zero_closed]
assms(3) p(1,3)by (auto simp add: univ_poly_zero)
  hence "pprime K p"
    using ring hom ring.primeideal vimage[OF assms(2) UP.is cring zeroprimeideal]
          UP.primeideal iff prime[of p]
```

```
unfolding univ_poly_zero sym[OF p(3)] a_kernel_def' by simp
```

```
hence "pirreducible K p"
    using pprime_iff_pirreducible[OF assms(1) p(1)] by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using p unique by metis
qed
lemma (in domain) cgenideal_pirreducible:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" "pirreducible K p"
  shows "[ pirreducible K q; q ∈ PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p ] \Rightarrow p ~<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
proof -
  interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  assume q: "pirreducible K q" "q \in PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p"
  hence in_carrier: "q ∈ carrier (K[X])"
    using additive_subgroup.a_subset[OF ideal.axioms(1)[OF UP.cgenideal_ideal[OF
assms(2)]]] by auto
  hence "p divides<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
    by (meson q assms(2) UP.cgenideal_ideal UP.cgenideal_minimal UP.to_contain_is_to_divide)
  then obtain r where r: "r ∈ carrier (K[X])" "q = p \otimes_{K[X]} r"
    by auto
  hence "r \in Units (K[X])"
    using pirreducibleE(3)[OF _ in_carrier q(1) assms(2) r(1)] subfieldE(1)[OF
assms(1)]
          pirreducibleE(2)[OF _ assms(2-3)] by auto
  thus "p \sim<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
    using UP.ring associated iff<sup>[OF</sup> in carrier assms(2)] r(2) UP.associated sym
    unfolding UP.m comm[OF assms(2) r(1)] by auto
qed
```
## **35.6 Roots and Multiplicity**

```
definition (in ring) is_root :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool"
  where "is_root p x \leftrightarrow (x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge \text{eval } p x = 0 \wedge p \neq [])"
definition (in ring) alg_mult :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat"
  where "alg mult p x =(if p = [] then 0 else
                (if x \in carrier R then Greatest (\lambda n. ([ 1, \ominus x ] [\cap]<sub>poly</sub> ring R
n) pdivides p) else 0))"
definition (in ring) roots :: "'a list ⇒ 'a multiset"
  where "roots p = Abs_multiset (alg_mult p)"
definition (in ring) roots_on :: "'a set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a multiset"
  where "roots_on K p = roots p ∩# mset_set K"
definition (in ring) splitted :: "'a list ⇒ bool"
```

```
where "splitted p \leftrightarrow size (roots p) = degree p''definition (in ring) splitted_on :: "'a set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool"
  where "splitted on K p \leftrightarrow size (roots on K p) = degree p"
lemma (in domain) pdivides_imp_root_sharing:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly ring R)" "p pdivides q" and "a ∈ carrier
R"
  shows "eval p a = 0 \implies eval q a = 0"
proof -
  from \langle p \rangle pdivides q> obtain r where r: "q = p \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} r" "r ∈
carrier (poly_ring R)"
    unfolding pdivides_def factor_def by auto
  hence "eval q a = (eval p a) \otimes (eval r a)"
    using ring_hom_memE(2)[OF eval_is_hom[OF carrier_is_subring assms(3)]
assms(1) r(2)] by simp
  thus "eval p a = 0 \implies eval q a = 0"
    using ring_hom_memE(1)[OF eval_is_hom[OF carrier_is_subring assms(3)]
r(2)] by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) degree_one_root:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "degree p = 1"
  shows "eval p (\ominus (inv (lead_coeff p) \otimes (const_term p))) = 0"
    and "inv (lead_coeff p) \otimes (const_term p) \in K"
proof -
  from \langle degree p = 1 \rangle have "length p = Suc (Suc 0)"
    by simp
  then obtain a b where p: "p = [a, b]"
    by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) Suc_length_conv length_0_conv)
  hence "a ∈ K - { 0 }" "b ∈ K" and in_carrier: "a ∈ carrier R" "b
∈ carrier R"
    using assms(2) subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)] unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier]
polynomial_def by auto
  hence inv_a: "inv a ∈ carrier R" "a ⊗ inv a = 1" and "inv a ∈ K"
    using subfield m inv(1-2)[OF assms(1), of a] subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)]
by auto
  hence "eval p (\ominus (inv a \otimes b)) = a \otimes (\ominus (inv a \otimes b)) \oplus b"
    using in_carrier unfolding p by simp
  also have " ... = \ominus (a \otimes (inv a \otimes b)) \oplus b"
    using inv_a in_carrier by (simp add: r_minus)
  also have " ... = 0"
    using in_carrier(2) unfolding sym[OF m_assoc[OF in_carrier(1) inv_a(1)
in_carrier(2)]] inv_a(2) by algebra
  finally have "eval p (\ominus (inv a \otimes b)) = 0".
  moreover have ct: "const_term p = b"
    using in_carrier unfolding p const_term_def by auto
  ultimately show "eval p (\ominus (inv (lead coeff p) \otimes (const term p))) =
0"
```
```
unfolding p by simp
  from \langle inv a \in K \rangle and \langle b \in K \rangleshow "inv (lead_coeff p) \otimes (const_term p) \in K"
    using p subringE(6)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] unfolding ct by
auto
qed
lemma (in domain) is_root_imp_pdivides:
  assumes "p \in \text{carrier} (poly ring R)"
  shows "is_root p x \implies [1, \theta x] pdivides p"
proof -
  let ?b = "[ 1 , \ominus x ]"
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  assume "is_root p x" hence x: "x ∈ carrier R" and is_root: "eval p
x = 0"
    unfolding is_root_def by auto
  hence b: "?b ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
  then obtain q r where q: "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and r: "r ∈ carrier
(poly_ring R)"
    and long_divides: "p = (?b \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} q) \oplus_{\text{poly\_ring } R} r" "r = []
∨ degree r < degree ?b"
    using long_division_theorem[OF carrier_is_subring, of p ?b] assms
by (auto simp add: univ_poly_carrier)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases "r = []")
    case True then have "r = 0_{\text{poly ring R}}"
      unfolding univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"] .
    thus ?thesis
      using long_divides(1) q r b dividesI[OF q, of p ?b] by (simp add:
pdivides_def)
  next
    case False then have "length r = Suc 0"
      using long_divides(2) le_SucE by fastforce
    then obtain a where "r = [ a ]" and a: "a \in carrier R" and "a \neq0"
      using r unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
      by (metis False length_0_conv length_Suc_conv list.sel(1) list.set_sel(1)
subset_code(1))
    have "eval p x = ((eval ?b x) \otimes (eval q x)) \oplus (eval r x)"
      using long_divides(1) ring_hom_memE[OF eval_is_hom[OF carrier_is_subring
x]] by (simp add: b q r)
    also have " ... = eval r x"
      using ring hom memE[OF eval is hom[OF carrier is subring x]] x b
q r by (auto, algebra)
```

```
finally have "a = 0"
      using a unfolding \langle r = [a] \rangle is root by simp
    with \langle a \neq 0 \rangle have False .. thus ?thesis ..
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) pdivides_imp_is_root:
  assumes "p \neq []" and "x \in carrier R"
  shows "[ 1, \theta \times ] pdivides p \implies is_root p x"
proof -
  assume "[1, \theta x] pdivides p"
  then obtain q where q: "q \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and pdiv: "p =
[ 1, \ominus x ] \otimes poly_ring R q"
    unfolding pdivides_def by auto
  moreover have "[ 1, \theta \times \pi ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
    using assms(2) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by simp
  ultimately have "eval p \times z = 0"
    using ring_hom_memE[OF eval_is_hom[OF carrier_is_subring, of x]] assms(2)
by (auto, algebra)
  \text{with } \langle p \neq [] \rangle \text{ and } \langle x \in \text{carrier } R \rangle \text{ show "is root } p \times punfolding is_root_def by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) associated_polynomials_imp_same_is_root:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly ring R)" and "q ∈ carrier (poly ring R)"
and "p ∼poly_ring R q"
  shows "is root p x \leftrightarrow is root q x''proof (cases "p = []")
  case True with <sup><i>←</sup> poly_ring R q<sup>></sup> have "q = []"</sup>
    unfolding associated_def True factor_def univ_poly_def by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using True unfolding is_root_def by simp
next
  case False
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  { fix p q
    assume p: "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and q: "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring
R)" and pq: "p ∼poly_ring R q"
    have "is_root p x \implies is_root q x"
    proof -
      assume is root: "is root p x"
      then have "[ 1, \ominus x ] pdivides p" and "p \neq []" and "x \in carrier
R"
         using is root imp_pdivides[OF p] unfolding is root def by auto
      moreover have "[ 1, \theta \times \pi ] \in carrier (poly ring R)"
         using is_root unfolding is_root_def sym[OF univ_poly_carrier]
```

```
polynomial_def by simp
      ultimately have "[1, \theta x] pdivides q"
        using UP.divides_cong_r[OF _ pq ] unfolding pdivides_def by simp
      with \langle p \neq [] \rangle and \langle x \in \text{carrier } R \rangle show ?thesis
        using associated_polynomials_imp_same_length[OF carrier_is_subring
p q pq]
               pdivides imp is root[of q x]
        by fastforce
    qed
  }
  then show ?thesis
    using assms UP.associated_sym[OF assms(3)] by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) monic_degree_one_root_condition:
  assumes "a \in carrier R" shows "is_root [ 1, \ominus a ] b \longleftrightarrow a = b"
  using assms minus_equality r_neg[OF assms] unfolding is_root_def by
(auto, fastforce)
lemma (in field) degree_one_root_condition:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and "degree p = 1"
  shows "is_root p x \leftrightarrow x = \ominus (inv (lead_coeff p) \otimes (const_term p))"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ poly is domain[OF carrier is subring] .
  from \langle degree p = 1 \rangle have "length p = Suc (Suc 0)"
    by simp
  then obtain a b where p: "p = [a, b]"
    by (metis length_0_conv length_Cons list.exhaust nat.inject)
  hence a: "a ∈ carrier R" "a \neq 0" and b: "b ∈ carrier R"
    using assms(1) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by auto
  hence inv_a: "inv a \in carrier R" "(inv a) \otimes a = 1"
    using subfield_m_inv[OF carrier_is_subfield, of a] by auto
  hence in_carrier: "[ 1, (inv a) \otimes b ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
    using b unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
  have "p ∼poly_ring R [ 1, (inv a) ⊗ b ]"
  proof (rule UP.associatedI2'[OF _ _ in_carrier, of _ "[ a ]"])
    have "p = [ a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} [ 1, inv a \otimes b ]"
      using a inv_a b m_assoc[of a "inv a" b] unfolding p univ_poly_mult
by (auto, algebra)
    also have " ... = [ 1, inv a \otimes b ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} [ a ]"
      using UP.m_comm[OF in_carrier, of "[a]"] a
      by (auto simp add: sym<sup>[OF univ poly carrier] polynomial def)</sup>
    finally show "p = [ 1, inv a \otimes b ] \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} [ a ]" .
  next
```

```
from \langle a \in \text{carrier } R \rangle and \langle a \neq 0 \rangle show "[ a ] \in Units (poly_ring
R)"
      unfolding univ_poly_units[OF carrier_is_subfield] by simp
  qed
 moreover have "(inv a) ⊗ b = \ominus (\ominus (inv (lead coeff p) ⊗ (const term
p)))"
    and "inv (lead coeff p) \otimes (const term p) \in carrier R"
    using inv_a a b unfolding p const_term_def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using associated_polynomials_imp_same_is_root[OF assms(1) in_carrier]
          monic_degree_one_root_condition
    by (metis add.inv_closed)
qed
lemma (in domain) is_root_poly_mult_imp_is_root:
 assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "q \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
 shows "is_root (p \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} q) x \implies (is_root p x) ∨ (is_root q x)"
proof -
 interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
 assume is_root: "is_root (p ⊗poly_ring R q) x"
 hence "p \neq []" and "q \neq []"
    unfolding is_root_def sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"]]
    using UP.l_null[OF assms(2)] UP.r_null[OF assms(1)] by blast+
  moreover have x: "x \in carrier R" and "eval (p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} q) x = 0"
    using is_root unfolding is_root_def by simp+
  hence "eval p x = 0 \vee eval q x = 0"
    using ring_hom_memE[OF eval_is_hom[OF carrier_is_subring], of x] assms
integral by auto
  ultimately show "(is_root p x) \lor (is_root q x)"
    using x unfolding is_root_def by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) degree_zero_imp_not_is_root:
  assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "degree p = 0" shows "\neg is_root
p x"
proof (cases "p = []", simp add: is_root_def)
  case False with ‹ degree p = 0› have "length p = Suc 0"
    using le_SucE by fastforce
  then obtain a where "p = [ a ]" and "a \in carrier R" and "a \neq 0"
    using assms unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
    by (metis False length_0_conv length_Suc_conv list.sel(1) list.set_sel(1)
subset_code(1))
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding is_root_def by auto
qed
```

```
lemma (in domain) finite_number_of_roots:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" shows "finite { x. is_root p x
}"
  using assms
proof (induction "degree p" arbitrary: p)
 case 0 thus ?case
    by (simp add: degree_zero_imp_not_is_root)
next
  case (Suc n) show ?case
 proof (cases "{ x. is_root p x } = {}")
    case True thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: True)
 next
    interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
      using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
    case False
    then obtain a where is_root: "is_root p a"
      by blast
    hence a: "a \in carrier R" and eval: "eval p a = 0" and p_not_zero:
"p \neq []"
      unfolding is_root_def by auto
    hence in\_carrier: "[ 1, <math>\ominus a] \in carrier (poly\_ring R)"unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
    obtain q where q: "q \in carrier (poly ring R)" and p: "p = [ 1, \ominusa ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} q''using is_root_imp_pdivides[OF Suc(3) is_root] unfolding pdivides_def
by auto
    with \langle p \neq [ ] > have q_not_zero: "q \neq []"
      using UP.r_null UP.integral in_carrier unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of
R "carrier R"]]
      by metis
    hence "degree q = n"
      using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring, of "[ 1, \ominus a ]"
q]
            in_carrier q p_not_zero p Suc(2)
      unfolding univ_poly_carrier
      by (metis One_nat_def Suc_eq_plus1 diff_Suc_1 list.distinct(1)
                list.size(3-4) plus_1_eq_Suc univ_poly_mult)
    hence "finite { x. is_root q x }"
      using Suc(1)[OF _ q] by simp
    moreover have "{ x. is_root p x } ⊆ insert a { x. is_root q x }"
      using is_root_poly_mult_imp_is_root[OF in_carrier q]
            monic degree one root condition[OF a]
      unfolding p by auto
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis
       using finite_subset by auto
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) alg_multE:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier R" and "p ∈ carrier (poly ring R)" and "p \neq[1"\texttt{shows} "([ 1, \; \ominus \; \texttt{x} ] \; \; \uparrow \; \; \uparrow \; \uparrow \; \; \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrowand "\bigwedgen. ([ 1, \ominus x ] [^]_{\tt poly\_ring} R n) pdivides {\tt p} \implies n \leq alg_mult
p x"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
     using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  let ?ppow = "\lambdan :: nat. ([ 1, \ominus x ] [<sup>\cap</sup>]<sub>poly_ring R</sub> n)"
  define S :: "nat set" where "S = { n. ?ppow n pdivides p }"
  have "?ppow 0 = 1_{\text{poly ring R}}"
     using UP.nat_pow_0 by simp
  hence "0 ∈ S"
     using UP.one_divides[OF assms(2)] unfolding S_def pdivides_def by
simp
  hence "S \neq {\}"
     by auto
  moreover have "n \leq degree p" if "n \in S" for n :: nat
  proof -
     have "[ 1, \theta \times ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
       using assms unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by
auto
     hence "?ppow n ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
       using assms unfolding univ_poly_zero by auto
     with ‹ n ∈ S› have "degree (?ppow n) ≤ degree p"
       using pdivides_imp_degree_le[OF carrier_is_subring _ assms(2-3),
of "?ppow n"] by (simp add: S_def)
     with \cdot [ 1, \oplus x ] \in carrier (poly_ring R) > show ?thesis
       using polynomial_pow_degree by simp
  qed
  hence "finite S"
     using finite_nat_set_iff_bounded_le by blast
  \text{ultimately have MaxS: } " \wedge n. n \in S \implies n \leq \text{Max } S" "Max S \in S"using Max_ge[of S] Max_in[of S] by auto
  with \langle x \rangle \in \text{carrier } R > have "alg_mult p x = Max S"
     using Greatest_equality[of "λn. ?ppow n pdivides p" "Max S"] assms(3)
     unfolding S_def alg_mult_def by auto
  thus "([ 1, \theta \in X ] [\cap<sub>poly ring R</sub> (alg_mult p x)) pdivides p"
    and "\bigwedgen. ([ 1, \ominus x ] [^]<sub>poly_ring R</sub> n) pdivides p \implies n \leq alg_mult
```

```
p x"
    using MaxS unfolding S_def by auto
qed
lemma (in domain) le_alg_mult_imp_pdivides:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier R" and "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
  shows "n \leq alg_mult p x \implies ([ 1, \ominus x ] [^]<sub>poly ring R</sub> n) pdivides
p"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  assume le_alg_mult: "n \leq alg_mult p x"
  have in_carrier: "[ 1, \theta \times ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
    using assms(1) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by auto
  hence ppow_pdivides:
    "([ 1, \ominus x ] [^]<sub>poly_ring R</sub> n) pdivides
     ([ 1, \ominus x ] [^]<sub>poly_ring R</sub> (alg_mult p x))"
    using polynomial_pow_division[OF _ le_alg_mult] by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases "p = []")
    case True thus ?thesis
      using in_carrier pdivides_zero[OF carrier_is_subring] by auto
  next
    case False thus ?thesis
      using ppow pdivides UP.divides trans UP.nat pow closed alg multE(1)[OF
assms] in carrier
      unfolding pdivides_def by meson
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) alg_mult_gt_zero_iff_is_root:
  assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" shows "alg_mult p x > 0 \leftrightarrow is_root
p x"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  show ?thesis
  proof
    assume is_root: "is_root p x" hence x: "x ∈ carrier R" and not_zero:
"p \neq 0"unfolding is_root_def by auto
    have "[1, \oplus x] [^]<sub>poly</sub> ring R (Suc 0) = [1, \oplus x]"
      using x unfolding univ_poly_def by auto
    thus "alg_mult p x > 0"
      using is_root_imp_pdivides[OF _ is_root] alg_multE(2)[OF x, of p
"Suc 0"] not_zero assms by auto
```

```
next
    assume gt_zero: "alg_mult p x > 0"
    hence x: "x \in carrier R" and not\_zero: "p \neq []"unfolding alg_mult_def by (cases "p = []", auto, cases "x \in carrier
R", auto)
    hence in_carrier: "[ 1, \theta \times ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
      unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
    with \langle x \in \text{carrier R} \rangle have "[ 1, \ominus x ] pdivides p" and "eval [ 1,
\oplus x ] x = 0"
      using le_alg_mult_imp_pdivides[of x p "1::nat"] gt_zero assms by
(auto, algebra)
    thus "is_root p x"
      using pdivides_imp_root_sharing[OF in_carrier] not_zero x by (simp
add: is_root_def)
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) alg_mult_eq_count_roots:
  assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" shows "alg_mult p = count (roots
p)"
  using finite_number_of_roots[OF assms]
  unfolding sym[OF alg_mult_gt_zero_iff_is_root[OF assms]]
  by (simp add: roots_def)
lemma (in domain) roots_mem_iff_is_root:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly ring R)" shows "x ∈# roots p \longleftrightarrow is root
p x"
  using alg_mult_eq_count_roots<sup>[OF</sup> assms] count_greater_zero_iff
  unfolding roots_def sym[OF alg_mult_gt_zero_iff_is_root[OF assms]] by
metis
lemma (in domain) degree_zero_imp_empty_roots:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and "degree p = 0" shows "roots
p = {#}using degree_zero_imp_not_is_root[of p] roots_mem_iff_is_root[of p]
assms by auto
lemma (in domain) degree_zero_imp_splitted:
  assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "degree p = 0" shows "splitted
p"
  unfolding splitted_def degree_zero_imp_empty_roots[OF assms] assms(2)
by simp
lemma (in domain) roots_inclI':
  \text{assumes }\, \texttt{"p} \in \texttt{carrier} \, \, \texttt{(poly\_ring R)}\, \texttt{" and }\, \texttt{"\text{/a.} [ a $\in$ carrier R; p $\neq$}[] ] \Rightarrow alg_mult p a \leq count m a"
  shows "roots p ⊆# m"
```

```
proof (intro mset_subset_eqI)
```

```
fix a show "count (roots p) a \leq count m a"
```

```
using assms unfolding sym[OF alg_mult_eq_count_roots[OF assms(1)]]
alg_mult_def
    by (cases "p = []", simp, cases "a ∈ carrier R", auto)
qed
lemma (in domain) roots_inclI:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly ring R)" and "q ∈ carrier (poly ring R)"
"q \neq 0"
    and "\bigwedgea. [a ∈ carrier R; p ≠ [] ] \implies ([ 1, \ominus a ] [^]_{\rm poly\_ring} R
(alg_mult p a)) pdivides q"
 shows "roots p ⊆# roots q"
 using roots_inclI'[OF assms(1), of "roots q"] assms alg_multE(2)[OF
2 - 3unfolding sym[OF alg_mult_eq_count_roots[OF assms(2)]] by auto
lemma (in domain) pdivides_imp_roots_incl:
 assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "q \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
"q \neq 0"
 shows "p pdivides q \implies roots p ⊆# roots q"
proof (rule roots_inclI[OF assms])
 interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
 fix a assume "p pdivides q" and a: "a ∈ carrier R"
  hence "[ 1 , \ominus a ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by simp
  with \langle p \rangle pdivides q \rangle show "([1, \oplus a] [\hat{p}<sub>poly ring R</sub> (alg_mult p a))
pdivides q"
    using UP.divides_trans[of _p q] le_alg_mult_imp_pdivides[OF a assms(1)]
    by (auto simp add: pdivides_def)
qed
lemma (in domain) associated_polynomials_imp_same_roots:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
and "p ∼poly_ring R q"
 shows "roots p = roots q"
  using assms pdivides_imp_roots_incl zero_pdivides
  unfolding pdivides_def associated_def
 by (metis subset_mset.eq_iff)
lemma (in domain) monic_degree_one_roots:
  assumes "a \in carrier R" shows "roots [1, \theta, a] = \{# a #\}"
proof -
 let ?p = "[1, \Theta a]"
 interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ poly is domain[OF carrier is subring] .
 from ‹ a ∈ carrier R› have in_carrier: "?p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
```

```
unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by simp
 show ?thesis
  proof (rule subset_mset.antisym)
    show "{# a #} ⊆# roots ?p"
      using roots mem iff is root[OF in carrier]
            monic_degree_one_root_condition[OF assms]
      by simp
 next
    show "roots ?p ⊆# {# a #}"
    proof (rule mset_subset_eqI, auto)
      fix b assume "a \neq b" thus "count (roots ?p) b = 0"
        using alg_mult_gt_zero_iff_is_root[OF in_carrier]
              monic_degree_one_root_condition[OF assms]
        unfolding sym[OF alg_mult_eq_count_roots[OF in_carrier]]
        by fastforce
    next
      have "(?p [^]poly_ring R (alg_mult ?p a)) pdivides ?p"
        using le_alg_mult_imp_pdivides[OF assms in_carrier] by simp
      hence "degree (?p [\uparrow]_{\text{poly ring R}} (alg_mult ?p a)) \leq degree ?p"
        using pdivides_imp_degree_le[OF carrier_is_subring, of _ ?p] in_carrier
by auto
      thus "count (roots ?p) a \leq Suc 0"
        using polynomial_pow_degree[OF in_carrier]
        unfolding sym[OF alg_mult_eq_count_roots[OF in_carrier]]
        by auto
    qed
 qed
qed
lemma (in domain) degree_one_roots:
 assumes "a ∈ carrier R" "a' ∈ carrier R" and "b ∈ carrier R" and "a
\otimes a' = 1"
 shows "roots [ a , b ] = \{ # \ominus (a' \otimes b) \# \}"
proof -
 have "[ a, b ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "[ 1, a' \otimes b ] \in carrier
(poly_ring R)"
    using assms unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by
auto
  thus ?thesis
    using subring_degree_one_associatedI[OF carrier_is_subring assms]
assms
          monic_degree_one_roots associated_polynomials_imp_same_roots
    by (metis add.inv_closed local.minus_minus m_closed)
qed
lemma (in field) degree_one_imp_singleton_roots:
 assumes "p \in \text{carrier (poly ring R)}" and "degree p = 1"
 shows "roots p = {# \ominus (inv (lead coeff p) \otimes (const term p)) #}"
proof -
```

```
from ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)› and ‹ degree p = 1›
 obtain a b where "p = [ a, b ]" and "a \in carrier R" "a \neq 0" and "b
∈ carrier R"
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using degree_one_roots[of a "inv a" b]
    by (auto simp add: const_term_def field_Units)
qed
lemma (in field) degree_one_imp_splitted:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and "degree p = 1" shows "splitted
p"
 using degree_one_imp_singleton_roots[OF assms] assms(2) unfolding splitted_def
by simp
lemma (in field) no_roots_imp_same_roots:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" "p \neq []" and "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring
R)"
 shows "roots p = {#} \implies roots (p \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} q) = roots q"
proof -
 interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
 assume no_roots: "roots p = \{ \# \}" show "roots (p \otimes_{poly\_ring} R q) = roots
q"
 proof (intro subset mset.antisym)
    have pdiv: "q pdivides (p ⊗poly_ring R q)"
      using UP.divides_prod_l assms unfolding pdivides_def by blast
    show "roots q ⊆# roots (p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} q)"
      using pdivides_imp_roots_incl[OF _ _ _ pdiv] assms
            degree_zero_imp_empty_roots[OF assms(3)]
      by (cases "q = []", auto, metis UP.l_null UP.m_rcancel UP.zero_closed
univ_poly_zero)
 next
    show "roots (p ⊗poly_ring R q) ⊆# roots q"
    proof (cases "p \otimes<sub>poly</sub> ring R q = []")
      case True thus ?thesis
        using degree_zero_imp_empty_roots[OF UP.m_closed[OF assms(1,3)]]
by simp
    next
      case False with \langle p \nvert \neq 0 \rangle have q_not_zero: "q \neq 0"
        by (metis UP.r_null assms(1) univ_poly_zero)
      show ?thesis
      proof (rule roots inclI[OF UP.m_closed[OF assms(1,3)] assms(3) q not zero])
        fix a assume a: "a ∈ carrier R"
        hence "\neg ([ 1, \ominus a ] pdivides p)"
          using assms(1-2) no roots pdivides imp is root roots mem iff is root[of
p] by auto
        moreover have in_carrier: "[ 1, \theta a ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
```

```
using a unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by
auto
          hence "pirreducible (carrier R) [1, \theta] a ]"
            using degree_one_imp_pirreducible[OF carrier_is_subfield] by
simp
          moreover
          have "([ 1, \theta a ] [^{\uparrow}]_{\text{poly\_ring R}} (alg_mult (p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} q) a))
pdivides (p ⊗poly_ring R q)"
            using le_alg_mult_imp_pdivides[OF a UP.m_closed, of p q] assms
by simp
          ultimately show "([ 1, \ominus a ] [^]<sub>poly ring R</sub> (alg_mult (p \otimes<sub>poly ring R</sub>
q) a)) pdivides q"
            using pirreducible_pow_pdivides_iff[OF carrier_is_subfield in_carrier]
assms by auto
       qed
     qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in field) poly_mult_degree_one_monic_imp_same_roots:
  assumes "a ∈ carrier R" and "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" "p \neq []"
  shows "roots ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p) = add_mset a (roots p)"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
     using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  from \langle a \in \text{carrier R}\rangle have in carrier: "[ 1, \ominus a ] \in carrier (poly ring
R)"
     unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro subset_mset.antisym[OF roots_inclI' mset_subset_eqI])
     show "([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p) \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
       using in_carrier assms(2) by simp
  next
     fix b assume b: "b \in carrier R" and "[ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly-ring R}} p \neq[1"hence not_zero: "p \neq []"
       unfolding univ_poly_def by auto
     from ‹ b ∈ carrier R› have in_carrier': "[ 1, 	 b ] ∈ carrier (poly_ring
R)"
       unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by simp
     show "alg_mult ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p) b \leq count (add_mset a
(roots p)) b"
     proof (cases "a = b")
       case False
       hence "\neg \ulcorner \ulcorner \lceil \ulcorner \lceil \varnothing \rceil \urcorner \lceil \lceil \varnothing \rceil \lceil \lceilusing assms(1) b monic degree one root condition pdivides imp is root
by blast
```

```
moreover have "pirreducible (carrier R) [1, \theta] b ]"
         using degree_one_imp_pirreducible[OF carrier_is_subfield in_carrier']
by simp
       ultimately
       have "[ 1, \ominus b ] [^]<sub>poly ring R</sub> (alg_mult ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}}p) b) pdivides p"
         using le alg_mult_imp_pdivides[OF b UP.m_closed, of _ p] assms(2)
in carrier
                 pirreducible_pow_pdivides_iff[OF carrier_is_subfield in_carrier'
in_carrier, of p]
         by auto
       with \langle a \neq b \rangle show ?thesis
         using alg_mult_eq_count_roots[OF assms(2)] alg_multE(2)[OF b assms(2)
not_zero] by auto
    next
       case True
       have "[ 1, \ominus a ] pdivides ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p)"
         using dividesI[OF assms(2)] unfolding pdivides_def by auto
       with \cdot [ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p \neq [] >
       have "alg_mult ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p) a \geq Suc 0"
         using alg_multE(2)[of a _ "Suc 0"] in_carrier assms by auto
       then obtain m where m: "alg_mult ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p) a
= Suc m"
         using Suc_le_D by blast
       hence "([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} ([ 1, \ominus a ] [^]<sub>poly_ring R</sub> m)) pdivides
                ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} p)"
         using le alg_mult_imp_pdivides[OF _ UP.m_closed, of a _ p]
                 in carrier assms UP.nat pow Suc2 by force
       hence "([ 1, \theta a ] [^{\uparrow}]_{\text{poly\_ring R}} m) pdivides p"
         using UP.mult_divides in_carrier assms(2)
         unfolding univ_poly_zero pdivides_def factor_def
         by (simp add: UP.m_assoc UP.m_lcancel univ_poly_zero)
       with ‹ a = b› show ?thesis
         using alg_mult_eq_count_roots assms in_carrier UP.nat_pow_Suc2
                 alg_multE(2)[OF assms(1) _ not_zero] m
         by auto
     qed
  next
     fix b
     have not_zero: "[ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} p \neq []"
       using assms in_carrier univ_poly_zero[of R] UP.integral by auto
     show "count (add_mset a (roots p)) b \leq count (roots ([1, \ominus a] \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}}p)) b"
     proof (cases "a = b")
       case True
       have "([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} ([ 1, \ominus a ] [^]<sub>polyring R</sub> (alg_mult
p a))) pdivides
```

```
([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} p)"
        using UP.divides_mult[OF _ in_carrier] le_alg_mult_imp_pdivides[OF
assms(1,2)] in_carrier assms
        by (auto simp add: pdivides_def)
      with ‹ a = b› show ?thesis
        using alg_mult_eq_count_roots assms in_carrier UP.nat_pow_Suc2
               alg_multE(2)[OF assms(1) _ not zero]
        by auto
    next
      case False
      have "p pdivides ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p)"
        using dividesI[OF in_carrier] UP.m_comm in_carrier assms unfold-
ing pdivides_def by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using False pdivides_imp_roots_incl assms in_carrier not_zero
        by (simp add: subseteq_mset_def)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) not_empty_rootsE[elim]:
  assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "roots p \neq {#}"
    and "\bigwedgea. [[ a ∈ carrier R; a ∈# roots p;
                 [ 1, \ominus a ] \in carrier (poly_ring R); [ 1, \ominus a ] pdivides
p \rceil \implies P''shows P
proof -
  from ‹ roots p \neq {\text{#}}> obtain a where "a ∈# roots p"
    by blast
  with \langle p \rangle \in carrier (poly_ring R) > have "[ 1, \Theta a ] pdivides p"
    and "[ 1, \theta a ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "a \in carrier R"
    using is_root_imp_pdivides[of p] roots_mem_iff_is_root[of p] is_root_def[of
p a]
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
  with ‹ a ∈# roots p› show ?thesis
    using assms(3)[of a] by auto
qed
lemma (in field) associated_polynomials_imp_same_roots:
  \text{assumes "p} \in \text{carrier (poly\_ring R)" "p} \neq []" \text{ and "q} \in \text{carrier (poly\_ring}R)" "q \neq []"
  shows "roots (p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring }R} q) = roots p + roots q"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF carrier_is_subring] .
  from assms show ?thesis
  proof (induction "degree p" arbitrary: p rule: less_induct)
    case less show ?case
```

```
proof (cases "roots p = \{\#\}")
       case True thus ?thesis
         using no_roots_imp_same_roots[of p q] less by simp
    next
       case False with ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)›
       obtain a where a: "a ∈ carrier R" and "a ∈# roots p" and pdiv:
"[ 1, \theta a ] pdivides p"
           and in carrier: "[ 1, \ominus a ] \in carrier (poly ring R)"
         by blast
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases "degree p = 1")
         case True with ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)›
         obtain b c where p: "p = [ b, c ]" and b: "b ∈ carrier R" "b
\neq 0" and c: "c \in carrier R"
           by auto
         with \langle a \in \mathsf{# roots} \rangle have roots: "roots p = \{ \mathsf{# a #} \}" and a: " \ominusa = inv b \otimes c" "a \in carrier R"and lead: "lead_coeff p = b" and const: "const_term p = c"
           using degree_one_imp_singleton_roots[of p] less(2) field_Units
           unfolding const_term_def by auto
         hence "(p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} q) \sim_{\text{poly\_ring R}} (1, \theta a] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}}q)"
           using UP.mult_cong_l[OF degree_one_associatedI[OF carrier_is_subfield
[ True]] less(2,4)by (auto simp add: a lead const)
         hence "roots (p \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} q) = roots ([ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}}q)"
           using associated polynomials imp same roots in carrier less(2,4)
unfolding a by simp
         thus ?thesis
           unfolding poly_mult_degree_one_monic_imp_same_roots[OF a(2)
less(4,5)] roots by simp
      next
         case False
         from \langle [ 1, \oplus a ] pdivides p>
         obtain r where p: "p = [ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes<sub>poly</sub> ring R r" and r: "r ∈
carrier (poly_ring R)"
           unfolding pdivides_def by auto
         with \langle p \neq [] \rangle have not_zero: "r \neq []"
           using in_carrier univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"] UP.integral_iff
by auto
         with \langle p = [1, \Theta \text{ a }] \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} r \rangle have deg: "degree p = Suc
(degree r)"
           using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring, of _ r] in_carrier
r
           unfolding univ_poly_carrier sym[OF univ_poly_mult[of R "carrier
R"]] by auto
         with \langle r \neq [] \rangle and \langle q \neq [] \rangle have "r \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} q \neq []"
           using in_carrier univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"] UP.integral
```

```
less(4) r by auto
        hence "roots (p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} q) = add_mset a (roots (r \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}}q))"
          using poly_mult_degree_one_monic_imp_same_roots[OF a UP.m_closed[OF
r less(4)]]
                 UP.m_assoc[OF in_carrier r less(4)] p by auto
        also have " \ldots = add mset a (roots r + roots q)"
           using less(1)[OF _ r not_zero less(4-5)] deg by simp
        also have " ... = (add_mset a (roots r)) + roots q"
          by simp
        also have " ... = roots p + roots q"
          using poly_mult_degree_one_monic_imp_same_roots[OF a r not_zero]
p by simp
        finally show ?thesis .
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in field) size_roots_le_degree:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" shows "size (roots p) ≤ degree
p"
  using assms
proof (induction "degree p" arbitrary: p rule: less_induct)
  case less show ?case
  proof (cases "roots p = \{\#\}", simp)
    interpret UP: domain "poly_ring R"
      using univ poly is domain[OF carrier is subring] .
    case False with ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)›
    obtain a where a: "a \in carrier R" and "a \in# roots p" and "[ 1, \ominusa ] pdivides p"
      and in_carrier: "[ 1, \theta a ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
      by blast
    then obtain q where p: "p = [1, \theta] a ] \otimes_{\text{poly}} ring R q" and q: "q
∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
      unfolding pdivides_def by auto
    with \langle a \in \mathsf{#} \text{ roots } p \rangle have "p \neq []"
      using degree_zero_imp_empty_roots[OF less(2)] by auto
    hence not_zero: "q \neq []"
      using in_carrier univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"] UP.integral_iff
p by auto
    hence "degree p = Suc (degree q)"
      using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring, of _ q] in_carrier
p q
      unfolding univ_poly_carrier sym[OF univ_poly_mult[of R "carrier
R"]] by auto
    with \leq q \neq [] > show ?thesis
      using poly_mult_degree_one_monic_imp_same_roots[OF a q] p less(1)[OF
```

```
- q]
      by (metis Suc_le_mono lessI size_add_mset)
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) pirreducible_roots:
  assumes "p \in carrier (poly ring R)" and "pirreducible (carrier R) p"
and "degree p \neq 1"
  shows "roots p = \{\#\}"
proof (rule ccontr)
  \text{assume "roots } p \neq {\text{#}}\text{'' with } \langle p \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly\_ring } R) \rangleobtain a where a: "a \in carrier R" and "a \in# roots p" and "[ 1, \ominusa ] pdivides p"
    and in_carrier: "[ 1, \theta a ] \in carrier (poly_ring R)"
    by blast
  hence "[ 1, \ominus a ] \sim_{\text{poly\_ring R}} p"
    using divides_pirreducible_condition[OF assms(2) in_carrier]
          univ_poly_units_incl[OF carrier_is_subring]
    unfolding pdivides_def by auto
  hence "degree p = 1"
    using associated_polynomials_imp_same_length[OF carrier_is_subring
in_carrier assms(1)] by auto
  with \leq degree p \neq 1 show False ..
qed
lemma (in field) pirreducible_imp_not_splitted:
  assumes "p \in carrier (poly ring R)" and "pirreducible (carrier R) p"
and "degree p \neq 1"
  shows "¬ splitted p"
  using pirreducible_roots[of p] pirreducible_degree[OF carrier_is_subfield,
of p] assms
  by (simp add: splitted_def)
lemma (in field)
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
    and "pirreducible (carrier R) p'' and "degree p \neq 1"
  shows "roots (p \otimes_{\text{poly ring R}} q) = roots q"
  using no_roots_imp_same_roots[of p q] pirreducible_roots[of p] assms
  unfolding ring_irreducible_def univ_poly_zero by auto
lemma (in field) trivial_factors_imp_splitted:
  assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
    and "∧q. [q ∈ carrier (poly_ring R); pirreducible (carrier R) q;
q pdivides p \mathbb{I} \implies degree q \leq 1"
  shows "splitted p"
  using assms
proof (induction "degree p" arbitrary: p rule: less_induct)
  interpret UP: principal_domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF carrier_is_subfield] .
```

```
case less show ?case
  proof (cases "degree p = 0", simp add: degree_zero_imp_splitted[OF less(2)])
    case False show ?thesis
    proof (cases "roots p = \{\#\}")
      case True
      from \langle degree p \neq 0> have "p \notin Units (poly_ring R)" and "p \in carrier
(poly ring R) - { [ ] } }"
         using univ poly units'[OF carrier is subfield, of p] less(2) by
auto
      then obtain q where "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" "pirreducible (carrier
R) q" and "q pdivides p"
         using UP.exists_irreducible_divisor[of p] unfolding univ_poly_zero
pdivides_def by auto
      with \leq degree p \neq 0> have "roots p \neq {\text{#}}"
         using degree_one_imp_singleton_roots[OF _ , of q] less(3)[of q]
               pdivides_imp_roots_incl[OF _ less(2), of q]
               pirreducible_degree[OF carrier_is_subfield, of q]
         by force
      from \langle \text{roots } p = \{\#\} \rangle and \langle \text{roots } p \neq \{\#\} \rangle have False
         by simp
      thus ?thesis ..
    next
      case False with ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)›
      obtain a where a: "a ∈ carrier R" and "a ∈# roots p" and "[ 1,
\Theta a ] pdivides p"
        and in carrier: "[ 1, \theta a ] \in carrier (poly ring R)"
         by blast
      then obtain q where p: "p = [ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} q" and q:
"q \in carrier (poly ring R)"
        unfolding pdivides_def by blast
      with \leq degree p \neq 0 i have "p \neq []"
        by auto
      with \langle p = [1, \Theta \text{ a } ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring } R} q \rangle have "q \neq []"
        using in_carrier q unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier
R"]] by auto
      with \langle p = [1, \Theta \text{ a } ] \otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R} q and \langle p \neq [] \rangle have "degree
p = Suc (degree q)"
         using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring] in_carrier q
         unfolding univ_poly_carrier sym[OF univ_poly_mult[of R "carrier
R"]] by auto
      moreover have "q pdivides p"
         using p dividesI[OF in_carrier] UP.m_comm[OF in_carrier q] by
(auto simp add: pdivides_def)
      hence "degree r = 1" if "r \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and "pirreducible
(carrier R) r"
         and "r pdivides q" for r
         using less(3) [OF that(1-2)] UP.divides trans [0F that(1), of
q p] that(3)
               pirreducible_degree[OF carrier_is_subfield that(1-2)]
```

```
by (auto simp add: pdivides_def)
      ultimately have "splitted q"
        using less(1)[OF _ q] by auto
      with \leq degree p = Suc (degree q) and \leq q \neq [] \geq show ?thesis
        using poly mult degree one monic imp same roots[OF a q]
        unfolding sym[OF p] splitted_def
        by simp
    qed
 qed
qed
lemma (in field) pdivides_imp_splitted:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" and "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
"q \neq []" and "splitted q"
 shows "p pdivides q \implies splitted p"
proof (cases "p = []")
  case True thus ?thesis
    using degree_zero_imp_splitted[OF assms(1)] by simp
next
  interpret UP: principal_domain "poly_ring R"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF carrier_is_subfield] .
  case False
  assume "p pdivides q"
 then obtain b where b: "b \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and q: "q = p \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}}b"
    unfolding pdivides_def by auto
  with \langle q \neq [] \rangle have "p \neq []" and "b \neq []"
    using assms UP.integral_iff[of p b] unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_zero[of
R "carrier R"]] by auto
  hence "degree p + degree b = size (roots p) + size (roots b)"
    using associated_polynomials_imp_same_roots[of p b] assms b q splitted_def
          poly_mult_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring,of p b]
    unfolding univ_poly_carrier sym[OF univ_poly_mult[of R "carrier R"]]
    by auto
 moreover have "size (roots p) ≤ degree p" and "size (roots b) ≤ degree
b"
    using size_roots_le_degree assms(1) b by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding splitted_def by linarith
qed
lemma (in field) splitted_imp_trivial_factors:
 assumes "p \in carrier (poly_ring R)" "p \neq []" and "splitted p"
  shows "\bigwedgeq. [q \in carrier (poly_ring R); pirreducible (carrier R) q;
q pdivides p \rightharpoonup \Rightarrow degree q = 1"
  using pdivides_imp_splitted[OF _ assms] pirreducible_imp_not_splitted
 by auto
```
#### **35.7 Link between** pmod **and** rupture\_surj

```
lemma (in domain) rupture_surj_composed_with_pmod:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "q \in carrier (K[X])"
 shows "rupture_surj K p q = rupture_surj K p (q pmod p)"
proof -
 interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  interpret Rupt: ring "Rupt K p"
    using assms by (simp add: UP.cgenideal_ideal ideal.quotient_is_ring
rupture_def)
 let ?h = "rupture_surj K p"
  have "?h q = (?h p \otimes_{\text{Rupt }K p} ?h (q pdiv p)) \oplus_{\text{Rupt }K p} ?h (q pmod p)"
   and "?h (q pdiv p) \in carrier (Rupt K p)" "?h (q pmod p) \in carrier
(Rupt K p)"
    using pdiv_pmod[OF assms(1,3,2)] long_division_closed[OF assms(1,3,2)]
assms UP.m_closed
          ring hom memE[OF rupture surj hom(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]
assms(2)]]
   by metis+
  moreover have "?h p = PIdl_{K[X]} p"
    using assms by (simp add: UP.a_rcos_zero UP.cgenideal_ideal UP.cgenideal_self)
  hence "?h p = 0Rupt K p"
    unfolding rupture_def FactRing_def by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
corollary (in domain) rupture_carrier_as_pmod_image:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p ∈ carrier (K[X])"
 shows "(rupture_surj K p) ' ((\lambda q. q \text{ pmod p})' (carrier (K[X]))) = carrier
(Rupt K p)"
    (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof
 have "(λq. q pmod p) ' carrier (K[X]) ⊆ carrier (K[X])"
    using long_division_closed(2)[OF assms(1) _ assms(2)] by auto
  thus "?lhs ⊆ ?rhs"
    using ring hom memE(1)[OF rupture surj hom(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]
assms(2)]] by auto
next
 show "?rhs ⊆ ?lhs"
 proof
    fix a assume "a ∈ carrier (Rupt K p)"
    then obtain q where "q \in carrier (K[X])" and "a = rupture_surj K
p q"
      unfolding rupture_def FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def' by auto
    thus "a \in ?lhs"
      using rupture_surj_composed_with_pmod[OF assms] by auto
```

```
qed
qed
lemma (in domain) rupture_surj_inj_on:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])"
  shows "inj_on (rupture_surj K p) ((\lambda q. q \text{ pmod } p) ' (carrier (K[X])))"
proof (intro inj_onI)
  interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  fix a b
  assume "a \in (\lambda q. q \text{ pmod } p) ' carrier (K[X])"
     and "b \in (\lambdaq. q pmod p) ' carrier (K[X])"
  then obtain q s
    where q: "q \in carrier (K[X])" "a = q pmod p"
      and s: "s \in carrier (K[X])" "b = s \text{ pmod } p"by auto
  moreover assume "rupture_surj K p a = rupture_surj K p b"
  ultimately have "q \ominus_{K[X]} s \in (PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p)"
    using UP.quotient_eq_iff_same_a_r_cos[OF UP.cgenideal_ideal[OF assms(2)],
of q s]
          rupture_surj_composed_with_pmod[OF assms] by auto
  hence "p pdivides (q \ominus_{K[X]} s)"
    using assms q(1) s(1) UP.to_contain_is_to_divide pdivides_iff_shell
    by (meson UP.cgenideal_ideal UP.cgenideal_minimal UP.minus_closed)
  thus "a = b"
    unfolding q s same pmod iff pdivides [OF assms(1) q(1) s(1) assms(2)]
.
```

```
qed
```
## **35.8 Dimension**

```
definition (in ring) exp_base :: "'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list"
  where "exp_base x n = map (\lambda i. x [\hat{ } ] i) (rev [0.15 n])"
lemma (in ring) exp_base_closed:
  assumes "x ∈ carrier R" shows "set (exp_base x n) ⊆ carrier R"
  using assms by (induct n) (auto simp add: exp_base_def)
lemma (in ring) exp_base_append:
  shows "exp_base x (n + m) = (map (\lambda i. x [\hat{ } ] i) (rev [n. < n + m]))@ exp_base x n"
  unfolding exp_base_def by (metis map_append rev_append upt_add_eq_append
zero_le)
lemma (in ring) drop_exp_base:
  shows "drop n (\exp_{\text{base}} x \text{ m}) = \exp_{\text{base}} x (\text{m} - \text{n})"
proof -
  have ?thesis if "n > m"
```

```
using that by (simp add: exp_base_def)
 moreover have ?thesis if "n \leq m"using exp_base_append[of x "m - n" n] that by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by linarith
qed
lemma (in ring) combine_eq_eval:
  shows "combine Ks (exp_base x (length Ks)) = eval Ks x"
  unfolding exp_base_def by (induct Ks) (auto)
lemma (in domain) pmod_image_characterization:
 assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "p \neq []"
 shows "(\lambda q. q \text{ pmod } p) ' carrier (K[X]) = \{ q \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) \}. length
q \leq degree p }"
proof -
 interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule order_antisym; rule subsetI)
    fix q assume "q \in { q \in carrier (K[X]). length q \leq degree p }"
    then have "q \in carrier (K[X])" and "length q \leq degree p"
      by simp+
    show "q \in (\lambdaq. q pmod p) ' carrier (K[X])"
    proof (cases "q = []")
      case True
      have "p pmod p = q"
        unfolding True pmod_zero_iff_pdivides[OF assms(1,2,2)]
        using assms(1-2) pdivides_iff_shell by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using assms(2) by blast
    next
      case False
      with ‹ length q ≤ degree p› have "degree q < degree p"
        using le_eq_less_or_eq by fastforce
      with ‹ q ∈ carrier (K[X])› show ?thesis
        using pmod_const(2)[OF assms(1) _ assms(2), of q] by (metis imageI)
    qed
  next
    fix q assume "q \in (\lambdaq. q pmod p) ' carrier (K[X])"
    then obtain q' where "q' \in carrier (K[X])" and "q = q' pmod p"
      by auto
    thus "q \in { q \in carrier (K[X]). length q \leq degree p }"
      using long division closed(2) [OF assms(1) assms(2), of q']
            pmod degree [OF assms(1) assms(2-3), of q']
      by auto
```

```
qed
qed
lemma (in domain) Span_var_pow_base:
  assumes "subfield K R"
  shows "ring.Span (K[X]) (poly_of_const ' K) (ring.exp_base (K[X]) X
n) =
         { q \in \text{ carrier } (K[X]) \text{. length } q \leq n } " (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof -
  note subring = subfieldE(1)[OF assms]
  note subfield = univ_poly_subfield_of_consts[OF assms]
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subring] .
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule order_antisym; rule subsetI)
    fix q assume "q \in { q \in carrier (K[X]). length q \le n }"
    then have q: "q \in carrier (K[X])" "length q \leq n"
      by simp+
    let ?repl = "replicate (n - length q) 0_{K[X]}"
    let ?map = "map poly_of_const q"
    let ?comb = "UP.combine"
    define Ks where "Ks = ?repl @ ?map"
    have "q = ?comb ?map (UP.exp_base X (length q))"
      using q eval_rewrite[OF subring q(1)] unfolding sym[OF UP.combine_eq_eval]
by auto
    moreover from \langle length q \leq n>
    have "?comb (?repl @ Ks) (UP.exp_base X n) = ?comb Ks (UP.exp_base
X (length q))"
      if "set Ks ⊆ carrier (K[X])" for Ks
      using UP.combine_prepend_replicate[OF that UP.exp_base_closed[OF
var_closed(1)[OF subring]]]
      unfolding UP.drop_exp_base by auto
    moreover have "set ?map ⊆ carrier (K[X])"
      using map_norm_in_poly_ring_carrier[OF subring q(1)]
      unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
    moreover have "?repl = map poly_of_const (replicate (n - length q)
0)"
      unfolding poly_of_const_def univ_poly_zero by (induct "n - length
q") (auto)
    hence "set ?repl ⊆ poly_of_const ' K"
      using subringE(2)[OF subring] by auto
    moreover from \leq q \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) > \text{ have } "set q \subseteq K"unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
```

```
hence "set ?map ⊆ poly_of_const ' K"
      by auto
    ultimately have "q = ?comb Ks (UP.exp base X n)" and "set Ks \subset poly of const
kby (simp add: Ks_def)+
    thus "q \in UP. Span (poly of const ' K) (UP.exp base X n)"
      using UP. Span eq combine set [OF subfield UP.exp base closed [OF var closed(1) [OF
subring]]] by auto
  next
    fix q assume "q ∈ UP.Span (poly_of_const ' K) (UP.exp_base X n)"
    thus "q \in { q \in carrier (K[X]). length q \leq n }"
    proof (induction n arbitrary: q)
      case 0 thus ?case
        unfolding UP.exp_base_def by (auto simp add: univ_poly_zero)
    next
      case (Suc n)
      then obtain k p where k: "k \in K" and p: "p \in UP. Span (poly_of_const
' K) (UP.exp_base X n)"
        and q: "q = ((poly_of_const k) \otimes_{K[X]} (X [\cap]_{K[X]} n)) \oplus_{K[X]} p''unfolding UP.exp_base_def using UP.line_extension_mem_iff by auto
      have p_in_carrier: "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" and "length p ≤ n"
        using Suc(1)[OF p] by simp+
      moreover from \langle k \in K \rangle have "poly_of_const k \in \text{carrier } (K[X])"
        unfolding poly_of_const_def sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by auto
      ultimately have "q \in \text{carrier } (K[X])"
        unfolding q using var_pow_closed[OF subring, of n] by algebra
      moreover have "poly_of_const k = 0_{K[X]}" if "k = 0"
        unfolding poly_of_const_def that univ_poly_zero by simp
      with \langle p \rangle \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) > have "q = p" if "k = 0"
        unfolding q using var_pow_closed[OF subring, of n] that by algebra
      with \langle length p \le n \rangle have "length q \le Suc n" if "k = 0"
        using that by simp
      moreover have "poly_of_const k = [ k ]" if "k \neq 0"
        unfolding poly_of_const_def using that by simp
      hence monom: "monom k n = (poly_of_const k) \otimes_{K[X]} (X [\cap]_{K[X]} n)"
if "k \neq 0"
        using that monom_eq_var_pow[OF subring] subfieldE(3)[OF assms]
k by auto
      with \langle p \in \text{carrier } (K[X]) \rangle and \langle k \in K \rangle and \langle \text{length } p \leq n \ranglehave "length q = Suc n" if "k \neq 0"
        using that poly_add_length_eq[OF subring monom_is_polynomial[OF
subring, of k n], of p]
        unfolding univ poly carrier monom def univ poly add sym[OF monom[OF
that]] q by auto
      ultimately show ?case
```

```
by (cases "k = 0", auto)
    qed
 qed
qed
lemma (in domain) var_pow_base_independent:
 assumes "subfield K R"
 shows "ring.independent (K[X]) (poly_of_const ' K) (ring.exp_base (K[X])
X n)"
proof -
 note subring = subfieldE(1)[OF assms]
 interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subring] .
 show ?thesis
  proof (induction n, simp add: UP.exp_base_def)
    case (Suc n)
    have "X [\uparrow]_{K[X]} n \notin UP. Span (poly_of_const ' K) (ring.exp_base (K[X])
X n)"
      unfolding sym[OF unitary_monom_eq_var_pow[OF subring]] monom_def
                Span_var_pow_base[OF assms] by auto
    moreover have "X [\uparrow]_{K[X]} n # UP.exp_base X n = UP.exp_base X (Suc
n)"
      unfolding UP.exp_base_def by simp
    ultimately show ?case
      using UP.li_Cons[OF var_pow_closed[OF subring, of n] _Suc] by simp
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) bounded_degree_dimension:
 assumes "subfield K R"
 shows "ring.dimension (K[X]) n (poly_of_const ' K) { q \in carrier (K[X]).
length q \leq n }"
proof -
 interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]] .
 have "length (UP.exp_base X n) = n"
    unfolding UP.exp_base_def by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using UP.dimension_independent[OF var_pow_base_independent[OF assms],
of n]
    unfolding Span_var_pow_base[OF assms] by simp
qed
corollary (in domain) univ_poly_infinite_dimension:
 assumes "subfield K R" shows "ring.infinite_dimension (K[X]) (poly_of_const
' K) (carrier (K[X]))"
proof (rule ccontr)
 interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
```

```
using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]] .
 assume "¬ UP.infinite_dimension (poly_of_const ' K) (carrier (K[X]))"
 then obtain n where n: "UP.dimension n (poly_of_const ' K) (carrier
(K[X])"
    by blast
 show False
    using UP.independent length le dimension [OF univ poly subfield of consts [OF
assms] n
          var pow base independent [OF assms, of "Suc n"]
          UP.exp_base_closed[OF var_closed(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms]]]]
    unfolding UP.exp_base_def by simp
qed
corollary (in domain) rupture_dimension:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "p \in carrier (K[X])" and "degree p > 0"
 shows "ring.dimension (Rupt K p) (degree p) ((rupture_surj K p) ' poly_of_const
' K) (carrier (Rupt K p))"
proof -
  interpret UP: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] .
 interpret Hom: ring_hom_ring "K[X]" "Rupt K p" "rupture_surj K p"
    using rupture_surj_hom(2)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)] .
 have not_nil: "p \neq []"
    using assms(3) by auto
  show ?thesis
    using Hom.inj_hom_dimension[OF univ_poly_subfield_of_consts rupture_one_not_zero
          rupture_surj_inj_on] bounded_degree_dimension assms
    unfolding sym[OF rupture_carrier_as_pmod_image[OF assms(1-2)]]
              pmod_image_characterization[OF assms(1-2) not_nil]
    by simp
qed
end
theory Indexed_Polynomials
  imports Weak_Morphisms "HOL-Library.Multiset" Polynomial_Divisibility
begin
```
# **36 Indexed Polynomials**

In this theory, we build a basic framework to the study of polynomials on letters indexed by a set. The main interest is to then apply these concepts to the construction of the algebraic closure of a field.

### **36.1 Definitions**

We formalize indexed monomials as multisets with its support a subset of the index set. On top of those, we build indexed polynomials which are simply functions mapping a monomial to its coefficient.

```
definition (in ring) indexed_const :: "'a \Rightarrow ('c multiset \Rightarrow 'a)"
  where "indexed_const k = (\lambda m. if m = \{ # \} then k else 0)"
definition (in ring) indexed pmult :: "('c multiset \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('c
\texttt{multiset} \Rightarrow \text{'a}<sup>"</sup> (\text{infixl} "\otimes" 65)
  where "indexed pmult P i = (\lambda m. if i \in \# m then P (m - \{ # i #\}) else
0)"
definition (in ring) indexed_padd :: "_ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow ('c multiset \Rightarrow 'a)" (infixl
"⊕ " 65)
  where "indexed_padd P Q = (\lambda m. (P m) \oplus (Q m))"
definition (in ring) indexed var :: "'c \Rightarrow ('c multiset \Rightarrow 'a)" ("X'<sup>i</sup>")
   where "indexed_var i = (indexed_{const} 1) \otimes i"
definition (in ring) index_free :: "('c multiset \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow bool"
  where "index_free P i \longleftrightarrow (\forall m. i \in # m \rightarrow P m = 0)"
definition (in ring) carrier_coeff :: "('c multiset \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool"
  where "carrier_coeff P ← (\forallm. P m ∈ carrier R)"
inductive_set (in ring) indexed_pset :: "'c set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow ('c multiset
⇒ 'a) set" ("_ [X ı ]" 80)
  for I and K where
     indexed_const: "k \in K \implies indexed_const k \in (K[\mathcal{X}_I])"
   | indexed_padd: "[P \in (K[X_1]); Q \in (K[X_1])] \Rightarrow P \bigoplus Q \in (K[X_1])"
   | indexed_pmult: "[P \in (K[X_I]); i \in I] \Rightarrow P \otimes i \in (K[X_I])"
fun (in ring) indexed_eval_aux :: "('c multiset \Rightarrow 'a) list \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('c
multiset \Rightarrow 'a)"
  where "indexed_eval_aux Ps i = foldr (\lambda P \ Q \ (Q \ \& \ i) \ \bigoplus P) Ps (indexed_const
0)"
fun (in ring) indexed_eval :: "('c multiset \Rightarrow 'a) list \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('c multiset
⇒ 'a)"
  where "indexed_eval Ps i = indexed_eval_aux (rev Ps) i"
```
#### **36.2 Basic Properties**

```
lemma (in ring) carrier_coeffE:
  assumes "carrier coeff P" shows "P m \in carrier R"
  using assms unfolding carrier_coeff_def by simp
lemma (in ring) indexed zero def: "indexed const 0 = (\lambda \cdot 0)"
```

```
unfolding indexed_const_def by simp
lemma (in ring) indexed_const_index_free: "index_free (indexed_const
k) i"
  unfolding index_free_def indexed_const_def by auto
lemma (in domain) indexed var not index free: "\neg index free \mathcal{X}_i i"
proof -
  have "\mathcal{X}_i {# i #} = 1"
    unfolding indexed_var_def indexed_pmult_def indexed_const_def by simp
 thus ?thesis
    using one_not_zero unfolding index_free_def by fastforce
qed
lemma (in ring) indexed_pmult_zero [simp]:
  shows "indexed pmult (indexed const 0) i = indexed const 0"
  unfolding indexed_zero_def indexed_pmult_def by auto
lemma (in ring) indexed_padd_zero:
 assumes "carrier_coeff P" shows "P \oplus (indexed_const 0) = P" and "(indexed_const
0) \bigoplus P = P''using assms unfolding carrier_coeff_def indexed_zero_def indexed_padd_def
by auto
lemma (in ring) indexed_padd_const:
 shows "(indexed const k1) \bigoplus (indexed const k2) = indexed const (k1)
⊕ k2)"
 unfolding indexed_padd_def indexed_const_def by auto
lemma (in ring) indexed_const_in_carrier:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" and "k ∈ K" shows "\wedgem. (indexed_const k)
m ∈ carrier R"
 using assms unfolding indexed_const_def by auto
lemma (in ring) indexed_padd_in_carrier:
  assumes "carrier_coeff P" and "carrier_coeff Q" shows "carrier_coeff
(indexed_padd P Q)"
  using assms unfolding carrier_coeff_def indexed_padd_def by simp
lemma (in ring) indexed_pmult_in_carrier:
  assumes "carrier_coeff P" shows "carrier_coeff (P \otimes i)"
  using assms unfolding carrier_coeff_def indexed_pmult_def by simp
lemma (in ring) indexed_eval_aux_in_carrier:
 assumes "list_all carrier_coeff Ps" shows "carrier_coeff (indexed_eval_aux
Ps i)"
  using assms unfolding carrier_coeff_def
  by (induct Ps) (auto simp add: indexed_zero_def indexed_padd_def indexed_pmult_def)
```
**lemma** (**in** ring) indexed\_eval\_in\_carrier:

```
assumes "list_all carrier_coeff Ps" shows "carrier_coeff (indexed_eval
Ps i)"
 using assms indexed_eval_aux_in_carrier[of "rev Ps"] by auto
lemma (in ring) indexed_pset_in_carrier:
 assumes "K \subseteq carrier R" and "P \in (K[X_{\text{I}}])" shows "carrier coeff P"
  using assms(2,1) indexed_const_in_carrier unfolding carrier_coeff_def
  by (induction) (auto simp add: indexed_zero_def indexed_padd_def indexed_pmult_def)
36.3 Indexed Eval
lemma (in ring) exists_indexed_eval_aux_monomial:
  assumes "carrier_coeff P" and "list_all carrier_coeff Qs"
    and "count n i = k" and "P n \neq 0" and "list_all (\lambdaQ. index_free
Q i) Qs"
 obtains m where "count m i = length Qs + k" and "(indexed_eval_aux
(Qs @ [ P ]) i) m \neq 0"
proof -
 from assms(2,5) have "\exists m. count m i = length Qs + k \wedge (indexed_eval_aux)(Qs @ [ P ]) i) m \neq 0"
 proof (induct Qs)
    case Nil thus ?case
      using indexed_padd_zero(2)[OF assms(1)] assms(3-4) by auto
 next
    case (Cons Q Qs)
    then obtain m where m: "count m i = length Qs + k" "(indexed eval aux
(Qs @ [ P ]) i) m \neq 0"
      by auto
    define m' where m' = m + \{ # \ i \ # \}
```

```
hence \sqrt[n]{n} = 0"
      using Cons(3) unfolding index_free_def by simp
    moreover have "(indexed_eval_aux (Qs @ [ P ]) i) m ∈ carrier R"
      using indexed_eval_aux_in_carrier[of "Qs @ [ P ]" i] Cons(2) assms(1)
carrier_coeffE by auto
   hence "((indexed_eval_aux (Qs @ [ P ]) i) \otimes i) m' \in carrier R -
{ 0 }"
      using m unfolding indexed_pmult_def m'_def by simp
    ultimately have "(indexed_eval_aux (Q # (Qs @ [ P])) i) m' \neq 0"
      by (auto simp add: indexed_padd_def)
    moreover from \le count m i = length Qs + k\ge have "count m' i = length
(Q # Qs) + k"unfolding m'_def by simp
    ultimately show ?case
      by auto
 qed
 thus thesis
    using that by blast
```

```
lemma (in ring) indexed_eval_aux_monomial_degree_le:
 assumes "list_all carrier_coeff Ps" and "list_all (λP. index_free P
i) Ps"
   and "(indexed_eval_aux Ps i) m \neq 0" shows "count m i \leq length Ps
-1"using assms(1-3)
proof (induct Ps arbitrary: m, simp add: indexed_zero_def)
  case (Cons P Ps) show ?case
  proof (cases "count m i = 0", simp)
    assume "count m i \neq 0"
    hence "P m = 0"
      using Cons(3) unfolding index_free_def by simp
    moreover have "(indexed_eval_aux Ps i) m ∈ carrier R"
      using carrier_coeffE[OF indexed_eval_aux_in_carrier[of Ps i]] Cons(2)
by simp
    ultimately have "((indexed_eval_aux Ps i) \otimes i) m \neq 0"
      using Cons(4) by (auto simp add: indexed_padd_def)
    with \langle count m i \neq 0\rangle have "(indexed_eval_aux Ps i) (m - {# i #})
\neq 0"unfolding indexed_pmult_def by (auto simp del: indexed_eval_aux.simps)
    hence "count m i - 1 \leq length Ps - 1"
      using Cons(1) [of 'm - \{ # i # \}] Cons(2-3) by auto
    moreover from \cdot (indexed_eval_aux Ps i) (m - {# i #}) \neq 0> have
"length Ps > 0"
      by (auto simp add: indexed_zero_def)
    moreover from \langle count m \neq 0 \rangle have "count m \neq 0"
      by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: Suc_leI le_diff_iff)
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) indexed_eval_aux_is_inj:
 assumes "list_all carrier_coeff Ps" and "list_all (λP. index_free P
i) Ps"
      and "list_all carrier_coeff Qs" and "list_all (λQ. index_free Q
i) Qs"
    and "indexed_eval_aux Ps i = indexed_eval_aux Qs i" and "length Ps
= length Qs"
 shows "Ps = Qs"
  using assms
proof (induct Ps arbitrary: Qs, simp)
 case (Cons P Ps)
  from ‹ length (P # Ps) = length Qs› obtain Q' Qs' where Qs: "Qs = Q'
# Qs'" and "length Ps = length Qs'"
    by (metis Suc_length_conv)
 have in_carrier:
```

```
"((indexed_eval_aux Ps i) \varnothing i) m \in carrier R" "P m \in carrier R"
    "((indexed_eval_aux Qs' i) \otimes i) m \in carrier R" "Q' m \in carrier R"
for m
    using indexed eval aux in carrier[of Ps i]
          indexed eval aux in carrier[of Qs' i] Cons(2,4) carrier coeffE
    unfolding Qs indexed_pmult_def by auto
  have "(indexed eval aux (P \# Ps) i) m = (indexed eval aux (Q' \# QS')i) m" for m
    using Cons(6) unfolding Qs by simp
  hence eq: "((indexed_eval_aux Ps i) \otimes i) m \oplus P m = ((indexed_eval_aux
Qs' i) N i) m ⊕ Q' m" for m
    by (simp add: indexed_padd_def)
  have "P m = Q' m" if "i ∈# m" for m
    using that Cons(3,5) unfolding index_free_def Qs by auto
  moreover have "P m = Q' m'' if "i \notin \# m'' for musing in_carrier(2,4) eq[of m] that by (auto simp add: indexed_pmult_def)
  ultimately have "P = Q"by auto
  hence "(indexed_eval_aux Ps i) m = (indexed_eval_aux Qs' i) m" for m
    using eq[of \mathbb{I}^m + {\# i \#} \mathbb{I}] in_carrier[of \mathbb{I}^m + {\# i \#} \mathbb{I}] unfolding
indexed_pmult_def by auto
  with ‹ length Ps = length Qs'› have "Ps = Qs'"
    using Cons(1)[of Qs'] Cons(2-5) unfolding Qs by auto
  with \langle P = \mathbb{Q} \rangle show ?case
    unfolding Qs by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) indexed_eval_aux_is_inj':
  assumes "list_all carrier_coeff Ps" and "list_all (λP. index_free P
i) Ps"
      and "list_all carrier_coeff Qs" and "list_all (λQ. index_free Q
i) Qs"
      and "carrier coeff P" and "index free P i" "P \neq indexed const 0"
      and "carrier_coeff Q" and "index_free Q i" "Q \neq indexed_const 0"
    and "indexed_eval_aux (Ps @ [ P ]) i = indexed_eval_aux (Qs @ [ Q
]) i"
  shows "Ps = Qs" and "P = Q"
proof -
  obtain m n where "P m \neq 0" and "Q n \neq 0"
    using assms(7,10) unfolding indexed_zero_def by blast
  hence "count m i = 0" and "count n i = 0"
    using assms(6,9) unfolding index_free_def by (meson count_inI)+
  with \langle P \text{ } m \neq 0 \rangle and \langle Q \text{ } n \neq 0 \rangle obtain m' n'
    where m': "count m' i = length Ps" "(indexed eval aux (Ps @ [ P ])
i) m' \neq 0"
      and n': "count n' i = length Qs" "(indexed_eval_aux (Qs @ [ Q ])
```

```
i) n' \neq 0"
    using exists_indexed_eval_aux_monomial[of P Ps m i 0]
          exists_indexed_eval_aux_monomial[of Q Qs n i 0] assms(1-5,8)
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) add.right_neutral)
  have "(indexed_eval_aux (Qs \mathbb{Q} [ Q ]) i) m' \neq 0"
    using m'(2) assms(11) by simp
  with ‹ count m' i = length Ps› have "length Ps ≤ length Qs"
    using indexed eval aux monomial degree le[of "Qs \& [ Q ]" i m'] assms(3-4,8-9)
by auto
 moreover have "(indexed eval aux (Ps \& [ P ]) i) n' \neq 0"
    using n'(2) assms(11) by simp
  with \checkmark count n' i = length Qs > have "length Qs \leq length Ps"
    using indexed_eval_aux_monomial_degree_le[of "Ps @ [ P ]" i n'] assms(1-2,5-6)
by auto
 ultimately have same_len: "length (Ps @ [ P ]) = length (Qs @ [ Q ])"
    by simp
 thus "Ps = Qs" and "P = Q"
    using indexed_eval_aux_is_inj[of "Ps @ [ P ]" i "Qs @ [ Q ]"] assms(1-6,8-9,11)
by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) exists_indexed_eval_monomial:
  assumes "carrier_coeff P" and "list_all carrier_coeff Qs"
    and "P n \neq 0" and "list_all (\lambdaQ. index_free Q i) Qs"
  obtains m where "count m i = length Qs + (count n i)" and "(indexed_eval
(P \# Qs) i) m \neq 0"
  using exists indexed eval aux monomial [OF assms(1) assms(3), of
"rev Qs"] assms(2,4) by auto
corollary (in ring) exists_indexed_eval_monomial':
  assumes "carrier_coeff P" and "list_all carrier_coeff Qs"
    and "P \neq indexed_const 0" and "list_all (\lambdaQ. index_free Q i) Qs"
  obtains m where "count m i ≥ length Qs" and "(indexed_eval (P # Qs)
i) m \neq 0"
proof -
  from \langle P \neq indexed const 0> obtain n where "P n \neq 0"
    unfolding indexed_const_def by auto
  then obtain m where "count m i = length Qs + (count n i)" and "(indexed_eval
(P \# Qs) i) m \neq 0"
    using exists_indexed_eval_monomial[OF assms(1-2) _ assms(4)] by auto
  thus thesis
    using that by force
qed
lemma (in ring) indexed_eval_monomial_degree_le:
 assumes "list_all carrier_coeff Ps" and "list_all (λP. index_free P
i) Ps"
```

```
lemma (in ring) indexed_eval_is_inj:
  assumes "list_all carrier_coeff Ps" and "list_all (λP. index_free P
i) Ps"
      and "list all carrier coeff Qs" and "list all (\lambdaQ. index free Q
i) Qs"
      and "carrier coeff P" and "index free P i" "P \neq indexed const 0"
      and "carrier coeff Q" and "index free Q i" "Q \neq indexed const 0"
    and "indexed_eval (P # Ps) i = indexed_eval (Q # Qs) i"
  shows "Ps = Qs" and "P = Q''proof -
  have rev_cond:
    "list_all carrier_coeff (rev Ps)" "list_all (\lambdaP. index_free P i) (rev
Ps)"
    "list_all carrier_coeff (rev Qs)" "list_all (\lambdaQ. index_free Q i) (rev
Qs)"
    using assms(1-4) by auto
  show "Ps = Qs" and "P = Q"
    using indexed_eval_aux_is_inj'[OF rev_cond assms(5-10)] assms(11)
by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) indexed_eval_inj_on_carrier:
  \text{assumes}\, "\textsf{\small \textsf{\small \textsf{NP}}}\,\text{.\,P}\,\in\text{ carrier}\,\text{.\,L}\implies\text{carrier\_coeff}\,\text{ P}" \text{and}\, "\textsf{\small \textsf{\small \textsf{NP}}}\,\text{.\,P}\,\in\text{ carrier}L \implies index_free P i" and "0_L = indexed_const 0"
  shows "inj on (\lambda Ps. indexed eval Ps i) (carrier (poly ring L))"
proof -
  { fix Ps
    assume "Ps \in carrier (poly ring L)" and "indexed eval Ps i = indexed const
0"
    have "Ps = []"
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume "Ps \neq []"
      then obtain P' Ps' where Ps: "Ps = P' # Ps'"
        using list.exhaust by blast
      with ‹ Ps ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)›
      have "P' \neq indexed_const 0" and "list_all carrier_coeff Ps" and
"list_all (\lambda P. index_free P i) Ps"
         using assms unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier[of L "carrier L"]]
polynomial_def
         by (simp add: list.pred_set subset_code(1))+
      then obtain m where "(indexed_eval Ps i) m \neq 0"
         using exists_indexed_eval_monomial'[of P' Ps'] unfolding Ps by
auto
      hence "indexed_eval Ps i \neq indexed_const 0"
         unfolding indexed_const_def by auto
      with \langle indexed eval Ps i = indexed const 0 \rangle show False by simp
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
```

```
show ?thesis
  proof (rule inj_onI)
    fix Ps Qs
    assume "Ps \in carrier (poly ring L)" and "Qs \in carrier (poly ring
L)"
    show "indexed_eval Ps i = indexed_eval Qs i \implies Ps = Qs"
    proof (cases)
       assume "Qs = []" and "indexed_eval Ps i = indexed_eval Qs i"
       with ‹ Ps ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)› show "Ps = Qs"
         using aux_lemma by simp
    next
       assume "Qs \neq []" and eq: "indexed_eval Ps i = indexed_eval Qs
i"
       with \langle \mathbb{Q}s \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly\_ring L}) \rangle \text{ have "Ps } \neq []"
         using aux_lemma by auto
       from \langle Ps \neq [] \rangle and \langle Qs \neq [] \rangle obtain P' Ps' Q' Qs' where Ps:
"Ps = P' # Ps'" and Qs: "Qs = Q' # Qs'"
         using list.exhaust by metis
       from \langle Ps \in carrier (poly\_ring L) \rangle and \langle Ps = P' # Ps' \ranglehave "carrier_coeff P'" and "index_free P' i" "P' \neq indexed_const
0"
        and "list_all carrier_coeff Ps'" and "list_all (λP. index_free
P i) Ps'"
         using assms unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier[of L "carrier L"]]
polynomial_def
         by (simp add: list.pred_set subset_code(1))+
       moreover
       from \langle \mathbb{Q} s \rangle \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly\_ring L}) \rangle and \langle \mathbb{Q} s \rangle = \mathbb{Q}' \# \mathbb{Q} s'have "carrier_coeff Q'" and "index_free Q' i" "Q' \neq indexed_const
0"
        and "list_all carrier_coeff Qs'" and "list_all (λP. index_free
P i) Qs'"
         using assms unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier[of L "carrier L"]]
polynomial_def
         by (simp add: list.pred_set subset_code(1))+
       ultimately show ?thesis
         using indexed_eval_is_inj[of Ps' i Qs' P' Q'] eq unfolding Ps
Qs by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
```
# **36.4 Link with Weak Morphisms**

We study some elements of the contradiction needed in the algebraic closure existence proof.

**context** ring **begin**

```
lemma (in ring) indexed_padd_index_free:
L
Q) i"
 assumes "index_free P i" and "index_free Q i" shows "index_free (P
 using assms unfolding indexed_padd_def index_free_def by auto
lemma (in ring) indexed_pmult_index_free:
  assumes "index_free P j" and "i \neq j" shows "index_free (P \varnothing i) j"
  using assms unfolding index_free_def indexed_pmult_def
 by (metis insert DiffM insert noteq member)
lemma (in ring) indexed_eval_index_free:
  assumes "list_all (\lambdaP. index_free P j) Ps" and "i \neq j" shows "index_free
(indexed_eval Ps i) j"
proof -
  { fix Ps assume "list_all (λP. index_free P j) Ps" hence "index_free
(indexed_eval_aux Ps i) j"
      using indexed_padd_index_free[OF indexed_pmult_index_free[OF _ assms(2)]]
      by (induct Ps) (auto simp add: indexed_zero_def index_free_def)
}
 thus ?thesis
    using assms(1) by auto
qed
context
 fixes L :: "(('c multiset) \Rightarrow 'a) ring" and i :: 'c
 assumes hyps:
   -i "field L"
    - ii "\LambdaP. P \in carrier L \implies carrier_coeff P"
    - iii "\bigwedgeP. P \in carrier L \implies index_free P i"
    -\mathrm{i}v "0_L = indexed_const 0"
begin
interpretation L: field L
 using ‹ field L› .
interpretation UP: principal_domain "poly_ring L"
  using L.univ_poly_is_principal[OF L.carrier_is_subfield] .
abbreviation eval_pmod
  where "eval_pmod q \equiv (\lambda p. indexed_eval (L.pmod p q) i)"
abbreviation image_poly
  where "image_poly q \equiv image_ring (eval_pmod q) (poly_ring L)"
lemma indexed eval is weak ring morphism:
 assumes "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)" shows "weak_ring_morphism (eval_pmod
```

```
q) (PIdlpoly_ring L q) (poly_ring L)"
proof (rule weak_ring_morphismI)
  show "ideal (PIdl<sub>poly ring L q) (poly_ring L)"</sub>
    using UP.cgenideal_ideal[OF assms] .
next
  fix a b assume in_carrier: "a ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)" "b ∈ carrier
(poly_ring L)"
  note ldiv closed = in carrier[THEN L.long division closed(2)[OF L.carrier is subfield
_ assms]]
  have "(eval_pmod q) a = (eval_pmod q) b \longleftrightarrow L.pmod a q = L.pmod b q"
    using inj_onD[OF indexed_eval_inj_on_carrier[OF hyps(2-4)] _ ldiv_closed]
by fastforce
  also have " \dots \leftrightarrow q pdivides<sub>L</sub> (a \ominus_{\text{poly\_ring L}} b)"
    unfolding L.same_pmod_iff_pdivides[OF L.carrier_is_subfield in_carrier
assms] ..
  also have " ... ←→ PIdl<sub>poly_ring L</sub> (a \ominus<sub>poly_ring L</sub> b) ⊆ PIdl<sub>poly_ring L</sub>
q"
    unfolding UP.to_contain_is_to_divide[OF assms UP.minus_closed[OF in_carrier]]
pdivides_def ..
  also have " ... \longleftrightarrow a \ominus_{\text{poly\_ring L}} b \in PIdl<sub>poly_ring L</sub> q"
    unfolding UP.cgenideal_eq_genideal[OF assms] UP.cgenideal_eq_genideal[OF
UP.minus_closed[OF in_carrier]]
               UP.Idl_subset_ideal'[OF UP.minus_closed[OF in_carrier] assms]
..
  finally show "(eval_pmod q) a = (eval_pmod q) b \longleftrightarrow a \ominus_{\text{poly\_ring L}} b
\in PIdl<sub>poly</sub> ring L q" \cdotqed
lemma eval_norm_eq_id:
  assumes "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)" and "degree q > 0" and "a ∈ carrier
L"
  shows "((eval_pmod q) ◦ (ring.poly_of_const L)) a = a"
proof (cases)
  assume "a = 0_L" thus ?thesis
    using L.long division zero(2)[OF L.carrier is subfield assms(1)] hyps(4)
    unfolding ring.poly_of_const_def[OF L.ring_axioms] by auto
next
  assume "a \neq 0<sub>L</sub>" then have in_carrier: "[ a ] \in carrier (poly_ring
L)"
    using assms(3) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier[of L "carrier L"]]
polynomial_def by simp
  from \langle a \neq 0_L \rangle show ?thesis
    using L.pmod_const(2)[OF L.carrier_is_subfield in_carrier assms(1)]
assms(2)
           indexed_padd_zero(2)[OF hyps(2)[OF assms(3)]]
    unfolding ring.poly_of_const_def[OF L.ring_axioms] by auto
qed
```
```
lemma image_poly_iso_incl:
  assumes "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)" and "degree q > 0" shows "id ∈
ring_hom L (image_poly q)"
proof -
  have "((eval_pmod q) ◦ L.poly_of_const) ∈ ring_hom L (image_poly q)"
    using ring_hom_trans[OF L.canonical_embedding_is_hom[OF L.carrier_is_subring]
          UP.weak ring morphism is hom[OF indexed eval is weak ring morphism[OF
assms(1)]]]
    by simp
 thus ?thesis
    using eval_norm_eq_id[OF assms(1-2)] L.ring_hom_restrict[of _ "image_poly
q" id] by auto
qed
lemma image_poly_is_field:
 assumes "q \in carrier (poly ring L)" and "pirreducible<sub>L</sub> (carrier L)
q" shows "field (image_poly q)"
  using UP.image_ring_is_field[OF indexed_eval_is_weak_ring_morphism[OF
assms(1)] assms(2)unfolding sym[OF L.rupture_is_field_iff_pirreducible[OF L.carrier_is_subfield
assms(1)]] rupture_def
 by simp
lemma image_poly_index_free:
  assumes "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)" and "P ∈ carrier (image_poly q)"
and "\neg index_free P j" "i \neq j"
 obtains Q where "Q ∈ carrier L" and "¬ index_free Q j"
proof -
 from \langle P \in \text{carrier (image-poly q)} \rangle obtain p where p: "p \in carrier (poly_ring
L)" and P: "P = (eval্mod q) p"unfolding image_ring_carrier by blast
  from ‹ ¬ index_free P j› have "¬ list_all (λP. index_free P j) (L.pmod
p q)"
    using indexed_eval_index_free[OF _ assms(4), of "L.pmod p q"] un-
folding sym[OF P] by auto
  then obtain Q where "Q \in set (L.pmod p q)" and "\neg index free Q j"
    unfolding list_all_iff by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using L.long division closed(2)[OF L.carrier is subfield p assms(1)]
that
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier[of L "carrier L"]] polynomial_def
    by auto
qed
lemma eval_pmod_var:
 assumes "indexed_const ∈ ring_hom R L" and "q ∈ carrier (poly_ring
L)" and "degree q > 1"
 shows "(eval pmod q) X_L = X_i" and "X_i \in \text{carrier (image poly q)}"
proof -
```

```
have "X<sub>L</sub> = [ indexed_const 1, indexed_const 0 ]" and "X<sub>L</sub> \in carrier
(poly_ring L)"
    using ring_hom_one[OF assms(1)] hyps(4) L.var_closed(1) L.carrier_is_subring
unfolding var_def by auto
  thus "(eval pmod q) X_L = X_i"
    using L.pmod_const(2)[OF L.carrier_is_subfield _ assms(2), of "X<sub>L</sub>"]
assms(3)
    by (auto simp add: indexed_pmult_def indexed_padd_def indexed_const_def
indexed_var_def)
  with \langle X_L \rangle \in \text{carrier (poly\_ring L)} \rangle show "X_i \in \text{carrier (image\_poly)}q)"
    using image_iff unfolding image_ring_carrier by fastforce
qed
lemma image_poly_eval_indexed_var:
  assumes "indexed const \in ring hom R L"
    and "q \in carrier (poly_ring L)" and "degree q > 1" and "pirreducible<sub>L</sub>
(carrier L) q"
  shows "(ring.eval (image_poly q)) q \mathcal{X}_i = 0<sub>image</sub> <sub>poly q</sub>"
proof -
  let ?surj = "L.rupture_surj (carrier L) q"
  let ?Rupt = "Rupt<sub>L</sub> (carrier L) q"
  let ?f = "eval_pmod q"
  interpret UP: ring "poly_ring L"
    using L.univ poly is ring[OF L.carrier is subring] .
  from <pirreducible<sub>L</sub> (carrier L) q> interpret Rupt: field ?Rupt
    using L.rupture is field iff pirreducible<sup>[OF L.carrier is subfield</sup>
assms(2)] by simp
  have weak_morphism: "weak_ring_morphism ?f (PIdl<sub>poly ring L q) (poly_ring</sub>
L)"
    using indexed_eval_is_weak_ring_morphism[OF assms(2)] .
  then interpret I: ideal "PIdlpoly_ring L q" "poly_ring L"
    using weak_ring_morphism.axioms(1) by auto
  interpret Hom: ring_hom_ring ?Rupt "image_poly q" "λx. the_elem (?f
' x)"
    using ring_hom_ring.intro[OF I.quotient_is_ring UP.image_ring_is_ring[OF
weak morphism]]
           UP.weak_ring_morphism_is_iso[OF weak_morphism]
    unfolding ring_iso_def symmetric[OF ring_hom_ring_axioms_def] rupture_def
    by auto
  have "set q \subseteq carrier L" and lc: "q \neq [] \implies lead_coeff q \in carrier
L - \{ 0_L \}"
    using assms(2) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by auto
  have map_surj: "set (map (?surj ◦ L.poly_of_const) q) ⊆ carrier ?Rupt"
```

```
proof -
    have "L.poly_of_const a ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)" if "a ∈ carrier L"
for a
      using that L.normalize gives polynomial[of "[ a ]"]
      unfolding univ_poly_carrier ring.poly_of_const_def[OF L.ring_axioms]
by simp
    hence "(?surj ◦ L.poly_of_const) a ∈ carrier ?Rupt" if "a ∈ carrier
L" for a
      using ring_hom_memE(1)[OF L.rupture_surj_hom(1)[OF L.carrier_is_subring
assms(2)]] that by simp
    with ‹ set q ⊆ carrier L› show ?thesis
      by (induct q) (auto)
  qed
  have "?surj X_L \in \text{carrier } ?\text{Rupt"}using ring_hom_memE(1)[OF L.rupture_surj_hom(1)[OF _ assms(2)] L.var_closed(1)]
L.carrier_is_subring by simp
  moreover have "map (λx. the_elem (?f ' x)) (map (?surj ◦ L.poly_of_const)
q) = q''proof -
    define g where "g = (?surj ◦ L.poly_of_const)"
    define f where "f = (\lambda x. the_elem (?f \t x))"
    have "the_elem (?f ' ((?surj ◦ L.poly_of_const) a)) = ((eval_pmod
q) ◦ L.poly_of_const) a"
      if "a ∈ carrier L" for a
      using that L.normalize gives polynomial[of "[ a ]"] UP.weak ring morphism range[OF
weak_morphism]
      unfolding univ poly carrier ring.poly of const def<sup>[OF L.ring axioms]</sup>
by auto
    hence "the_elem (?f ' ((?surj \circ L.poly_of_const) a)) = a" if "a \incarrier L" for a
      using eval_norm_eq_id[OF assms(2)] that assms(3) by simp
    hence "f (g \ a) = a" if "a \in carrier L" for a
      using that unfolding f_def g_def by simp
    with \checkmark set q \subseteq carrier L\checkmark have "map f (map g q) = q"
      by (induct q) (auto)
    thus ?thesis
      unfolding f_def g_def by simp
  qed
  moreover have "(\lambda x. the_elem (?f ' x)) (?surj X<sub>L</sub>) = \mathcal{X}_i"
    using UP.weak_ring_morphism_range[OF weak_morphism L.var_closed(1)[OF
L.carrier_is_subring]]
    unfolding eval_pmod_var(1)[OF assms(1-3)] by simp
  ultimately have "Hom.S.eval q \mathcal{X}_i = (\lambdax. the_elem (?f ' x)) (Rupt.eval
(map (?surj ◦ L.poly_of_const) q) (?surj XL))"
    using Hom.eval_hom'[OF _ map_surj] by auto
  moreover have "0_{?Rupt} = ?surj 0_{poly} ring L"
    unfolding rupture_def FactRing_def by (simp add: I.a_rcos_const)
```

```
hence "the_elem (?f ' 0?Rupt) = 0<sub>image_poly q</sub>"
    using UP.weak_ring_morphism_range[OF weak_morphism UP.zero_closed]
    unfolding image_ring_zero by simp
 hence "(λx. the_elem (?f ' x)) (Rupt.eval (map (?surj ◦ L.poly_of_const)
q) (?surj X_L)) = 0_{\text{image-poly}} q"
    using L.polynomial_rupture[OF L.carrier_is_subring assms(2)] by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
end
end
end
theory Finite_Extensions
 imports Embedded_Algebras Polynomials Polynomial_Divisibility
```
**begin**

# **37 Finite Extensions**

#### **37.1 Definitions**

```
definition (in ring) transcendental :: "'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool"
  where "transcendental K x ←→ inj_on (λp. eval p x) (carrier (K[X]))"
abbreviation (in ring) algebraic :: "'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool"
  where "algebraic K x \equiv \neg transcendental K x''definition (in ring) Irr :: "'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a list"
  where "Irr K x = (THE p. p ∈ carrier (K[X]) \land pirreducible K p \land eval
p x = 0 \land lead_coeff p = 1)"
inductive set (in ring) simple extension :: "'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set"
  for K and x where
    zero [simp, intro]: "0 \in simple extension K x" |
    lin: "[k1 ∈ simple_extension K x; k2 ∈ K ] \implies (k1 ⊗ x) \oplus k2 ∈
simple_extension K x"
fun (in ring) finite_extension :: "'a set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a set"
  where "finite_extension K xs = foldr (λx K'. simple_extension K' x)
xs K"
```
#### **37.2 Basic Properties**

**lemma** (**in** ring) transcendental\_consistent:

```
assumes "subring K R" shows "transcendental = ring.transcendental (R
( carrier := K )"
 unfolding transcendental_def ring.transcendental_def[OF subring_is_ring[OF
assms]]
            univ_poly_consistent[OF assms] eval_consistent[OF assms] ..
lemma (in ring) algebraic_consistent:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "algebraic = ring.algebraic (R (| carrier
:= K \cap Yunfolding over_def transcendental_consistent[OF assms] ..
lemma (in ring) eval_transcendental:
 assumes "(transcendental over K) x" "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "eval p x
= 0" shows "p = []"
proof -
 have "[] \in carrier (K[X])" and "eval [] x = 0"
    by (auto simp add: univ_poly_def)
 thus ?thesis
    using assms unfolding over_def transcendental_def inj_on_def by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) transcendental_imp_trivial_ker:
 shows "(transcendental over K) x \implies a<sub>1</sub> kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p
x) = { [ ] } }"
 using eval_transcendental unfolding a_kernel_def' by (auto simp add:
univ poly def)
lemma (in ring) non_trivial_ker_imp_algebraic:
 shows "a kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x) \neq { [] } \implies (algebraic over
K) x''using transcendental_imp_trivial_ker unfolding over_def by auto
lemma (in domain) trivial_ker_imp_transcendental:
 assumes "subring K R" and "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "a_kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x) = { [] } \implies (transcendental
over K) x"
  using ring_hom_ring.trivial_ker_imp_inj[OF eval_ring_hom[OF assms]]
  unfolding transcendental_def over_def by (simp add: univ_poly_zero)
lemma (in domain) algebraic_imp_non_trivial_ker:
 assumes "subring K R" and "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "(algebraic over K) x \implies a kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x) \neq{ [] }"
  using trivial_ker_imp_transcendental[OF assms] unfolding over_def by
auto
lemma (in domain) algebraicE:
 assumes "subring K R" and "x ∈ carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
  obtains p where "p \in carrier (K[X])" "p \neq []" "eval p x = 0"
```

```
proof -
 have "[] \in a_kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x)"
    unfolding a_kernel_def' univ_poly_def by auto
  then obtain p where "p \in carrier (K[X])" "p \neq []" "eval p x = 0"
    using algebraic_imp_non_trivial_ker[OF assms] unfolding a_kernel_def'
by blast
 thus thesis using that by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) algebraicI:
 assumes "p ∈ carrier (K[X])" "p \neq []" and "eval p x = 0" shows "(algebraic
over K) x"
 using assms non_trivial_ker_imp_algebraic unfolding a_kernel_def' by
auto
lemma (in ring) transcendental_mono:
 assumes "K ⊆ K'" "(transcendental over K') x" shows "(transcendental
over K) x"
proof -
  have "carrier (K[X]) ⊆ carrier (K'[X])"
    using assms(1) unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using assms unfolding over_def transcendental_def by (metis inj_on_subset)
qed
corollary (in ring) algebraic_mono:
 assumes "K ⊆ K'" "(algebraic over K) x" shows "(algebraic over K')
x"
 using transcendental_mono[OF assms(1)] assms(2) unfolding over_def by
blast
lemma (in domain) zero_is_algebraic:
 assumes "subring K R" shows "(algebraic over K) 0"
  using algebraicI[OF var_closed(1)[OF assms]] unfolding var_def by auto
lemma (in domain) algebraic_self:
 assumes "subring K R" and "k ∈ K" shows "(algebraic over K) k"
proof (rule algebraicI[of "[ 1, \oplus k ]"])
  show "[ 1, \ominus k ] \in carrier (K [X])" and "[ 1, \ominus k ] \neq []"
    using subringE(2-3,5)[OF assms(1)] assms(2) unfolding univ_poly_def
polynomial_def by auto
 have "k ∈ carrier R"
    using subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2) by auto
  thus "eval [1, \theta k] k = 0"
    by (auto, algebra)
qed
lemma (in domain) ker_diff_carrier:
 assumes "subring K R"
```

```
shows "a_kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x) \neq carrier (K[X])"
proof -
 have "eval [ 1 ] x \neq 0" and "[ 1 ] \in carrier (K[X])"
    using subringE(3)[OF assms] unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def
by auto
 thus ?thesis
    unfolding a_kernel_def' by blast
qed
```
## **37.3 Minimal Polynomial**

```
lemma (in domain) minimal_polynomial_is_unique:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "x ∈ carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
  shows "∃ !p ∈ carrier (K[X]). pirreducible K p ∧ eval p x = 0 ∧ lead_coeff
p = 1"
    (is "∃ !p. ?minimal_poly p")
proof -
  interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  let ?ker_gen = "λp. p ∈ carrier (K[X]) ∧ pirreducible K p ∧ lead_coeff
p = 1 \landa_kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x) = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p"
  \text{obtain } p where p: "?ker_gen p" and unique: "\bigwedge q. ?ker_gen q \implies q =p"
    using exists unique pirreducible gen[OF assms(1) eval ring hom[OF
2 assms(2)]
          algebraic_imp_non_trivial_ker[OF _ assms(2-3)]
          ker_diff_carrier] subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] by auto
  hence "?minimal_poly p"
    using UP.cgenideal_self p unfolding a_kernel_def' by auto
  moreover have "\bigwedge q. ?minimal_poly q \implies q = p"
  proof -
    fix q assume q: "?minimal_poly q"
    then have "q \in PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> p"
      using p unfolding a_kernel_def' by auto
    hence "p \sim<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
      using cgenideal_pirreducible[OF assms(1)] p q by simp
    hence "a_kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x) = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
      using UP.associated_iff_same_ideal q p by simp
    thus "q = p"using unique q by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma (in domain) IrrE:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "x \in carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
```

```
shows "Irr K x \in \text{carrier } (K[X])" and "pirreducible K (Irr K x)"
    and "lead_coeff (Irr K x) = 1" and "eval (Irr K x) x = 0"
  using theI'[OF minimal_polynomial_is_unique[OF assms]] unfolding Irr_def
by auto
lemma (in domain) Irr_generates_ker:
 assumes "subfield K R" and "x ∈ carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
 shows "a_kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x) = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> (Irr K x)"
proof -
  obtain q
    where q: "q ∈ carrier (K[X])" "pirreducible K q"
      and ker: "a_kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x) = PIdl<sub>K[X]</sub> q"
    using exists_unique_pirreducible_gen[OF assms(1) eval_ring_hom[OF
_ assms(2)]algebraic_imp_non_trivial_ker[OF _ assms(2-3)]
          ker_diff_carrier] subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] by auto
  have "Irr K x \in \text{PIdl}_{K[X]} q"
    using IrrE(1,4)[OF assms] ker unfolding a_kernel_def' by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using cgenideal_pirreducible[OF assms(1) q(1-2) IrrE(2)[OF assms]]
q(1) IrrE(1)[OF assms]
          cring.associated_iff_same_ideal[OF univ_poly_is_cring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF
assms(1)]]]
    unfolding ker
    by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) Irr_minimal:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "x \in carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
    and "p \in carrier (K[X])" "eval p x = 0" shows "(Irr K x) pdivides
p"
proof -
 interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
 have "p \in \text{PIdl}_{K[X]} (Irr K x)"
    using Irr_generates_ker[OF assms(1-3)] assms(4-5) unfolding a_kernel_def'
by auto
  hence "(Irr K x) dividesK[X] p"
    using UP.to_contain_is_to_divide IrrE(1)[OF assms(1-3)]
    by (meson UP.cgenideal_ideal UP.cgenideal_minimal assms(4))
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding pdivides_iff_shell[OF assms(1) IrrE(1)[OF assms(1-3)] assms(4)]
.
qed
lemma (in domain) rupture_of_Irr:
 assumes "subfield K R" and "x ∈ carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x" shows
```

```
"field (Rupt K (Irr K x))"
```
**using** rupture\_is\_field\_iff\_pirreducible[OF assms(1)] IrrE(1-2)[OF assms] **by** simp

### **37.4 Simple Extensions**

```
lemma (in ring) simple_extension_consistent:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.simple_extension (R (| carrier :=
K \|) = simple extension"
proof -
  interpret K: ring "R ( carrier := K )"
    using subring_is_ring[OF assms] .
  have "\K' x. K.simple_extension K' x ⊆ simple_extension K' x"
  proof
    fix K' x a show "a \in K.simple_extension K' x \implies a \in simple_extension
K' x''by (induction rule: K.simple_extension.induct) (auto simp add: simple_extension.lin)
  qed
  moreover
  have "\K' x. simple_extension K' x ⊆ K.simple_extension K' x"
  proof
    fix K' x a assume a: "a ∈ simple_extension K' x" thus "a ∈ K.simple_extension
K' x''using K.simple_extension.zero K.simple_extension.lin
      by (induction rule: simple_extension.induct) (simp)+
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) mono_simple_extension:
  assumes "K ⊆ K'" shows "simple_extension K x ⊆ simple_extension K'
x"
proof
  fix a assume "a ∈ simple_extension K x" thus "a ∈ simple_extension
K' x''proof (induct a rule: simple_extension.induct, simp)
    case lin thus ?case using simple_extension.lin assms by blast
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) simple_extension_incl:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" and "x ∈ carrier R" shows "K ⊆ simple_extension
K x"
proof
  fix k assume "k \in K" thus "k \in simple extension K x"
    using simple_extension.lin[OF simple_extension.zero, of k K x] assms
by auto
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring) simple_extension_mem:
 assumes "subring K R" and "x ∈ carrier R" shows "x ∈ simple_extension
K x"
proof -
 have "1 ∈ simple_extension K x"
    using simple_extension_incl[OF _ assms(2)] subringE(1,3)[OF assms(1)]
by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using simple_extension.lin[OF _ subringE(2)[OF assms(1)], of 1 x]
assms(2) by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) simple_extension_carrier:
 assumes "x \in \text{carrier } R" shows "simple_extension (carrier R) x = \text{carrier}R"
proof
 show "carrier R ⊆ simple_extension (carrier R) x"
    using simple_extension_incl[OF _ assms] by auto
next
 show "simple_extension (carrier R) x ⊆ carrier R"
 proof
    fix a assume "a ∈ simple_extension (carrier R) x" thus "a ∈ carrier
R"
      by (induct a rule: simple_extension.induct) (auto simp add: assms)
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) simple_extension_in_carrier:
 assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" and "x ∈ carrier R" shows "simple_extension
K x ⊆ carrier R"
  using mono_simple_extension[OF assms(1), of x] simple_extension_carrier[OF
assms(2)] by auto
lemma (in ring) simple_extension_subring_incl:
 assumes "subring K' R" and "K \subseteq K'" "x \in K'" shows "simple_extension
K x \subset K'"
  using ring.simple_extension_in_carrier[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms(1)]]
assms(2-3)unfolding simple_extension_consistent[OF assms(1)] by simp
lemma (in ring) simple_extension_as_eval_img:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "simple_extension K x = (\lambda p. \text{ eval } p x) ' carrier (K[X])"
proof
 show "simple_extension K x \subseteq (\lambda p. eval p x) ' carrier (K[X])"
  proof
    fix a assume "a \in simple extension K x" thus "a \in (\lambdap. eval p x)
' carrier (K[X])"
    proof (induction rule: simple_extension.induct)
```

```
case zero
      have "polynomial K []" and "eval [] x = 0"
        unfolding polynomial_def by simp+
      thus ?case
        unfolding univ_poly_carrier by force
    next
      case (lin k1 k2)
      then obtain p where p: "p \in carrier (K[X])" "polynomial K p" "eval
p \times = k1"
        by (auto simp add: univ_poly_carrier)
      hence "set p ⊆ carrier R" and "k2 ∈ carrier R"
        using assms(1) lin(2) unfolding polynomial_def by auto
      hence "eval (normalize (p \& [ k2 ])) x = k1 \& x \oplus k2"
        using eval_append_aux[of p k2 x] eval_normalize[of "p @ [ k2 ]"
x] assms(2) p(3) by auto
      moreover have "set (p \& [k2]) \subset K"
        using polynomial_incl[OF p(2)] ‹ k2 ∈ K› by auto
      then have "local.normalize (p \in [k2]) \in carrier (K [X])"
        using normalize_gives_polynomial univ_poly_carrier by blast
      ultimately show ?case
        unfolding univ_poly_carrier by force
    qed
  qed
next
 show "(\lambdap. eval p x) ' carrier (K[X]) \subseteq simple_extension K x"
 proof
    fix a assume "a \in (\lambdap. eval p x) ' carrier (K[X])"
    then obtain p where p: "set p \text{ }\subseteq K" "eval p x = a"
      using polynomial_incl unfolding univ_poly_def by auto
    thus "a ∈ simple_extension K x"
    proof (induct "length p" arbitrary: p a)
      case 0 thus ?case
        using simple_extension.zero by simp
    next
      case (Suc n)
      obtain p' k where p: "p = p' @ [ k ]"
        using Suc(2) by (metis list.size(3) nat.simps(3) rev_exhaust)
      hence "a = (eval p' x) \otimes x \oplus k"
        using eval_append_aux[of p' k x] Suc(3-4) assms unfolding p by
auto
      moreover have "eval p' x ∈ simple_extension K x"
        using Suc(1-3) unfolding p by auto
      ultimately show ?case
        using simple_extension.lin Suc(3) unfolding p by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
corollary (in domain) simple_extension_is_subring:
```

```
assumes "subring K R" "x ∈ carrier R" shows "subring (simple_extension
K \times) R''using ring_hom_ring.img_is_subring[OF eval_ring_hom[OF assms]
        ring.carrier is subring[OF univ poly is ring[OF assms(1)]]]
        simple_extension_as_eval_img[OF subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
 by simp
corollary (in domain) simple_extension_minimal:
  assumes "subring K R" "x ∈ carrier R"
  shows "simple_extension K x = \bigcap { K'. subring K' R \land K \subseteq K' \land x \inK' }"
  using simple_extension_is_subring[OF assms] simple_extension_mem[OF
assms]
        simple_extension_incl[OF subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)] simple_extension_subrin
 by blast
corollary (in domain) simple_extension_isomorphism:
 assumes "subring K R" "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "(K[X]) Quot (a_kernel (K[X]) R (\lambdap. eval p x)) \simeq R (| carrier
:= simple_extension K \times \mathbb{N}"
  using ring_hom_ring.FactRing_iso_set_aux[OF eval_ring_hom[OF assms]]
        simple_extension_as_eval_img[OF subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
  unfolding is_ring_iso_def by auto
corollary (in domain) simple_extension_of_algebraic:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "x \in carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
 shows "Rupt K (Irr K x) \simeq R (| carrier := simple extension K x |)"
  using simple extension isomorphism [OF subfieldE(1) [OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
  unfolding Irr_generates_ker[OF assms] rupture_def by simp
corollary (in domain) simple_extension_of_transcendental:
 assumes "subring K R" and "x ∈ carrier R" "(transcendental over K)
x"
 shows "K[X] \simeq R ( carrier := simple_extension K x \parallel"
  using simple_extension_isomorphism[OF _ assms(2), of K] assms(1)
        ring iso trans[OF ring.FactRing zeroideal(2)[OF univ poly is ring]]
  unfolding transcendental_imp_trivial_ker[OF assms(3)] univ_poly_zero
 by auto
proposition (in domain) simple_extension_subfield_imp_algebraic:
  assumes "subring K R" "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "subfield (simple_extension K x) R \implies (algebraic over K) x"
proof -
 assume simple_ext: "subfield (simple_extension K x) R" show "(algebraic
over K) x"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "¬ (algebraic over K) x" then have "(transcendental over
K) x''unfolding over_def by simp
```

```
then obtain h where h: "h \in ring_iso (R (| carrier := simple_extension
K \times ()) (K[X])"
      using ring_iso_sym[OF univ_poly_is_ring simple_extension_of_transcendental]
assms
      unfolding is_ring_iso_def by blast
    then interpret Hom: ring_hom_ring "R (| carrier := simple_extension
K \times \mathbb{N} "K[X]" h
      using subring is ring[OF simple extension is subring[OF assms]]
            univ poly is ring[OF assms(1)] assms h
      by (auto simp add: ring_hom_ring_def ring_hom_ring_axioms_def ring_iso_def)
    have "field (K[X])"
      using field.ring_iso_imp_img_field[OF subfield_iff(2)[OF simple_ext]
h]
      unfolding Hom.hom_one Hom.hom_zero by simp
    moreover have "¬ field (K[X])"
      using univ_poly_not_field[OF assms(1)] .
    ultimately show False by simp
  qed
qed
proposition (in domain) simple_extension_is_subfield:
 assumes "subfield K R" "x ∈ carrier R"
  shows "subfield (simple_extension K x) R \leftrightarrow (algebraic over K) x"
proof
  assume alg: "(algebraic over K) x"
 then obtain h where h: "h \in ring iso (Rupt K (Irr K x)) (R (| carrier
:= simple extension K \times |)"
    using simple_extension_of_algebraic[OF assms] unfolding is_ring_iso_def
by blast
 have rupt_field: "field (Rupt K (Irr K x))" and "ring (R (| carrier
:= simple_extension K \times \)"
    using subring_is_ring[OF simple_extension_is_subring[OF subfieldE(1)]]
          rupture_of_Irr[OF assms alg] assms by simp+
  then interpret Hom: ring_hom_ring "Rupt K (Irr K x)" "R (| carrier :=
simple_extension K \times \mathbb{N}^n h
    using h cring.axioms(1)[OF domain.axioms(1)[OF field.axioms(1)]]
    by (auto simp add: ring_hom_ring_def ring_hom_ring_axioms_def ring_iso_def)
 show "subfield (simple_extension K x) R"
    using field.ring_iso_imp_img_field[OF rupt_field h] subfield_iff(1)[OF
\overline{a}simple_extension_in_carrier[OF subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]]
    by simp
next
 assume simple_ext: "subfield (simple_extension K x) R" thus "(algebraic
over K) x"
    using simple_extension_subfield_imp_algebraic[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]
assms(2)] by simp
qed
```
## **37.5 Link between dimension of K-algebras and algebraic extensions**

```
lemma (in domain) exp_base_independent:
  assumes "subfield K R" "x ∈ carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
 shows "independent K (exp_base x (degree (Irr K x)))"
proof -
  have "\n. n ≤ degree (Irr K x) = independent K (exp_base x n)"
 proof -
    fix n show "n \leq degree (Irr K x) \implies independent K (exp_base x n)"
    proof (induct n, simp add: exp_base_def)
      case (Suc n)
      have "x [\hat{\ }] n \notin Span K (exp base x n)"
      proof (rule ccontr)
        assume "\neg x [^] n \notin Span K (exp_base x n)"
        then obtain a Ks
          where Ks: "a ∈ K - { 0 }" "set Ks ⊆ K" "length Ks = n" "combine
(a \# Ks) (exp_base x (Suc n)) = 0''using Span_mem_imp_non_trivial_combine[OF assms(1) exp_base_closed[OF
assms(2), of n]]by (auto simp add: exp_base_def)
        hence "eval (a \# Ks) x = 0"
          using combine_eq_eval by (auto simp add: exp_base_def)
        moreover have "(a \# Ks) \in carrier (K[X]) - \{ [] \}"
          unfolding univ_poly_def polynomial_def using Ks(1-2) by auto
        ultimately have "degree (Irr K x) \leq n"
          using pdivides_imp_degree_le[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]
                IrrE(1) [OF assms] \angle Irr_minimal [OF assms, of "a # Ks"]]
Ks(3) by auto
        from \leq Suc n \leq degree (Irr K x) \geq and this show False by simp
      qed
      thus ?case
        using independent.li_Cons assms(2) Suc by (auto simp add: exp_base_def)
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) Span_eq_eval_img:
 assumes "subfield K R" "x ∈ carrier R"
 shows "Span K (exp_base x n) = (\lambda p. \text{ eval } p x) ' { p \in \text{carrier } (K[X]).
length p \le n }"
    (is "?Span = ?eval_img")
proof
 show "?Span ⊆ ?eval_img"
 proof
    fix u assume "u ∈ Span K (exp_base x n)"
    then obtain Ks where Ks: "set Ks ⊆ K" "length Ks = n" "u = combine
Ks (exp_base x n)"
```

```
using Span_eq_combine_set_length_version[OF assms(1) exp_base_closed[OF
assms(2)]]
      by (auto simp add: exp_base_def)
    hence "u = eval (normalize Ks) x"
      using combine_eq_eval eval_normalize[OF _ assms(2)] subfieldE(3)[OF
assms(1)] by auto
    moreover have "normalize Ks ∈ carrier (K[X])"
      using normalize_gives_polynomial[OF Ks(1)] unfolding univ_poly_def
by auto
    moreover have "length (normalize Ks) ≤ n"
      using normalize_length_le[of Ks] Ks(2) by auto
    ultimately show "u \in ?eval_img" by auto
 qed
next
 show "?eval_img ⊆ ?Span"
 proof
    fix u assume "u \in ?eval img"
    then obtain p where p: "p \in carrier (K[X])" "length p \leq n" "u =
eval p x"
      by blast
    hence "combine p (exp_base x (length p)) = u"
      using combine_eq_eval by auto
    moreover have set_p: "set p ⊆ K"
      using polynomial_incl[of K p] p(1) unfolding univ_poly_carrier by
auto
    hence "set p ⊆ carrier R"
      using subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)] by auto
    moreover have "drop (n - length p) (exp base x n) = exp base x (length
p)"
      using p(2) drop_exp_base by auto
    ultimately have "combine ((replicate (n - length p) 0) @ p) (exp_base
x n) = u''using combine_prepend_replicate[OF _ exp_base_closed[OF assms(2),
of n]] by auto
    moreover have "set ((replicate (n - length p) 0) © p) \subseteq K"
      using subringE(2)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] set_p by auto
    ultimately show "u \in ?Span"
      using Span_eq_combine_set[OF assms(1) exp_base_closed[OF assms(2),
of n]] by blast
  qed
qed
lemma (in domain) Span_exp_base:
  assumes "subfield K R" "x ∈ carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
 shows "Span K (exp_base x (degree (Irr K x))) = simple_extension K x"
  unfolding simple_extension_as_eval_img[OF subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)]
assms(2)]
            Span eq eval img[OF assms(1-2)]proof (auto)
```

```
interpret UP: principal_domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_principal[OF assms(1)] .
  note hom_simps = ring_hom_memE[OF eval_is_hom[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]
assms(2)]fix p assume p: "p \in carrier (K[X])"have Irr: "Irr K x \in carrier (K[X])" "Irr K x \neq []"
    using IrrE(1-2)[OF assms] unfolding ring_irreducible_def univ_poly_zero
by auto
  then obtain q r
    where q: "q ∈ carrier (K[X])" and r: "r ∈ carrier (K[X])"
      and dvd: "p = Irr K x \otimes_{K} [X] q \oplus_{K} [X] r" "r = [] \vee degree r < degree
(\text{Irr K x})"
    using subfield_long_division_theorem_shell[OF assms(1) p Irr(1)] un-
folding univ_poly_zero by auto
  hence "eval p x = (eval (Irr K x) x) \otimes (eval q x) \oplus (eval r x)"
    using hom_simps(2-3) Irr(1) by simp
  hence "eval p x = eval r x"
    using hom_simps(1) q r unfolding IrrE(4)[OF assms] by simp
  moreover have "length r < length (Irr K x)"
    using dvd(2) Irr(2) by auto
 ultimately
 show "eval p x \in (\lambda p. local.eval p x) ' { p \in carrier (K [X]). length
p \leq length (Irr K x) - Suc 0 }"
    using r by auto
qed
corollary (in domain) dimension_simple_extension:
  assumes "subfield K R" "x ∈ carrier R" "(algebraic over K) x"
 shows "dimension (degree (Irr K x)) K (simple_extension K x)"
  using dimension_independent[OF exp_base_independent[OF assms]] Span_exp_base[OF
assms]
 by (simp add: exp_base_def)
lemma (in ring) finite_dimension_imp_algebraic:
 assumes "subfield K R" "subring F R" and "finite_dimension K F"
 shows "x \in F \implies (algebraic over K) x''proof -
  let ?Us = "\lambda n. map (\lambda i. x [^{\frown}] i) (rev [0.15 \text{ Suc } n])"assume x: "x ∈ F" then have in_carrier: "x ∈ carrier R"
    using subringE[OF assms(2)] by auto
  obtain n where n: "dimension n K F"
    using assms(3) by auto
  have set_Us: "set (?Us n) ⊆ F"
    using x subringE(3,6)[OF assms(2)] by (induct n) (auto)
  hence "set (?Us n) ⊆ carrier R"
    using subringE(1)[OF assms(2)] by auto
  moreover have "dependent K (?Us n)"
```

```
using independent_length_le_dimension[OF assms(1) n _ set_Us] by auto
  ultimately
  obtain Ks where Ks: "length Ks = Suc n" "combine Ks (?Us n) = 0" "set
Ks \subset K" "set Ks \neq { 0 }"
    using dependent_imp_non_trivial_combine[OF assms(1), of "?Us n"] by
auto
  have "set Ks ⊆ carrier R"
    using subring_props(1)[OF assms(1)] Ks(3) by auto
  hence "eval (normalize Ks) x = 0"
    using combine eq eval[of Ks] eval normalize[OF _ in_carrier] Ks(1-2)
by (simp add: exp_base_def)
  moreover have "normalize Ks = [] \implies set Ks \subseteq { 0 }"
    by (induct Ks) (auto, meson list.discI,
                    metis all_not_in_conv list.discI list.sel(3) singletonD
subset_singletonD)
  hence "normalize Ks \neq []"
    using Ks(1,4) by (metis list.size(3) nat.distinct(1) set_empty subset_singleton_iff)
  moreover have "normalize Ks ∈ carrier (K[X])"
    using normalize_gives_polynomial[OF Ks(3)] unfolding univ_poly_def
by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using algebraicI by auto
qed
corollary (in domain) simple_extension_dim:
  assumes "subfield K R''''x \in carrier R'''' (algebraic over K) x''shows "(dim over K) (simple extension K x) = degree (Irr K x)"
  using dimI[OF assms(1) dimension_simple_extension[OF assms]] .
corollary (in domain) finite_dimension_simple_extension:
  assumes "subfield K R" "x ∈ carrier R"
  shows "finite_dimension K (simple_extension K x) ←→ (algebraic over
K) x''using finite_dimensionI[OF dimension_simple_extension[OF assms]]
        finite_dimension_imp_algebraic[OF _ simple_extension_is_subring[OF
subfieldE(1)]]
        simple_extension_mem[OF subfieldE(1)] assms
  by auto
```
### **37.6 Finite Extensions**

```
lemma (in ring) finite_extension_consistent:
  assumes "subring K R" shows "ring.finite_extension (R (| carrier :=
K |) = finite extension"
proof -
  have "\bigwedgeK' xs. ring.finite_extension (R \left(\right. carrier := K \left.\right|)) K' xs = finite_extension
K' xs"
  proof -
    fix K' xs show "ring.finite extension (R ( carrier := K )) K' xs =
```

```
finite_extension K' xs"
     using ring.finite_extension.simps[OF subring_is_ring[OF assms]]
            simple_extension_consistent[OF assms] by (induct xs) (auto)
 qed
 thus ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) mono_finite_extension:
  assumes "K ⊆ K'" shows "finite_extension K xs ⊆ finite_extension K'
xs"
 using mono_simple_extension assms by (induct xs) (auto)
lemma (in ring) finite_extension_carrier:
 assumes "set xs ⊆ carrier R" shows "finite_extension (carrier R) xs
= carrier R"
  using assms simple_extension_carrier by (induct xs) (auto)
lemma (in ring) finite_extension_in_carrier:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" and "set xs ⊆ carrier R" shows "finite_extension
K xs ⊆ carrier R"
  using assms simple_extension_in_carrier by (induct xs) (auto)
lemma (in ring) finite_extension_subring_incl:
  assumes "subring K' R" and "K ⊆ K'" "set xs ⊆ K'" shows "finite_extension
K xs \subseteq K'"
  using ring.finite extension in carrier[OF subring is ring[OF assms(1)]]
assms(2-3)unfolding finite_extension_consistent[OF assms(1)] by simp
lemma (in ring) finite_extension_incl_aux:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" and "x ∈ carrier R" "set xs ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "finite_extension K xs ⊆ finite_extension K (x # xs)"
  using simple_extension_incl[OF finite_extension_in_carrier[OF assms(1,3)]
assms(2)] by simp
lemma (in ring) finite_extension_incl:
 assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" and "set xs ⊆ carrier R" shows "K ⊆ finite_extension
K xs"
  using finite_extension_incl_aux[OF assms(1)] assms(2) by (induct xs)
(auto)
lemma (in ring) finite_extension_as_eval_img:
  assumes "K ⊆ carrier R" and "x ∈ carrier R" "set xs ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "finite_extension K (x # xs) = (\lambda p. \text{ eval } p \text{ x}) ' carrier ((finite_extension
K xs) [X])"
  using simple_extension_as_eval_img[OF finite_extension_in_carrier[OF
assms(1,3)] assms(2)] by simp
lemma (in domain) finite_extension_is_subring:
```

```
assumes "subring K R" "set xs ⊆ carrier R" shows "subring (finite_extension
K xs) R"
  using assms simple_extension_is_subring by (induct xs) (auto)
corollary (in domain) finite_extension_mem:
  assumes subring: "subring K R"
  shows "set xs ⊂ carrier R \implies set xs ⊂ finite extension K xs"
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a xs)
  from Cons(2) have a: "a ∈ carrier R" and xs: "set xs ⊆ carrier R"
by auto
  show ?case
  proof
    fix x assume "x ∈ set (a # xs)"
    then consider "x = a'' | "x \in \text{set } xs" by auto
    then show "x \in finite_extension K (a # xs)"
    proof cases
      case 1
      with a have "x ∈ carrier R" by simp
      with xs have "x ∈ finite_extension K (x # xs)"
        using simple_extension_mem[OF finite_extension_is_subring[OF subring]]
by simp
      with 1 show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case 2
      with Cons have *: "x \in finite extension K xs" by auto
      from a xs have "finite_extension K xs ⊆ finite_extension K (a #
xs)"
        by (rule finite_extension_incl_aux[OF subringE(1)[OF subring]])
      with * show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
corollary (in domain) finite_extension_minimal:
  assumes "subring K R" "set xs ⊆ carrier R"
  \mathbf{shows}\, "finite_extension K xs = \bigcap { K'. subring K' R \land K \subseteq K' \land set
xs ⊆ K' }"
  using finite_extension_is_subring[OF assms] finite_extension_mem[OF
assms]
        finite extension incl[OF subringE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)] finite extension subrin
  by blast
corollary (in domain) finite_extension_same_set:
  assumes "subring K R" "set xs \subseteq carrier R" "set xs = set ys"
  shows "finite_extension K xs = finite_extension K ys"
```

```
using finite_extension_minimal[OF assms(1)] assms(2-3) by auto
The reciprocal is also true, but it is more subtle.
proposition (in domain) finite_extension_is_subfield:
  assumes "subfield K R" "set xs ⊆ carrier R"
  \texttt{shows} "(\texttt{\textbackslash} \texttt{x} \in \texttt{set} \texttt{xs} \implies \texttt{(algebraic over K)} \texttt{x}) \implies \texttt{subfield} (finite_extension
K xs) R"
  using simple_extension_is_subfield algebraic_mono assms
  by (induct xs) (auto, metis finite_extension.simps finite_extension_incl
subring_props(1))
proposition (in domain) finite_extension_finite_dimension:
  assumes "subfield K R" "set xs ⊆ carrier R"
  \texttt{shows}\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }x\in\text{ }s\text{ }\text{ }x\text{ }\implies\text{ }\text{ }(\text{algebraic over }\text{K}\text{ )}\text{ }x\text{ }\implies\text{ finite\_dimension}K (finite_extension K xs)"
     and "finite_dimension K (finite_extension K xs) =⇒ (
V
x. x ∈ set
xs \implies (algebraic over K) x)"
proof -
  \texttt{show "finite\_dimension K (finite\_extension K xs)} \implies (\bigwedge \texttt{x. x} \in \texttt{set})xs \implies (algebraic over K) x)"
     using finite_dimension_imp_algebraic[OF assms(1)
            finite extension is subring [OF \text{ subfieldE}(1) [OF \text{ assumes}(1)] \text{ assumes}(2)]]
            finite_extension_mem[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
by auto
next
  \texttt{show} "(\texttt{\textbackslash} x \in \texttt{set} \texttt{xs} \implies (\texttt{algebraic over K}) \texttt{x}) \implies \texttt{finite\_dimension}K (finite_extension K xs)"
     using assms(2)
  proof (induct xs, simp add: finite_dimensionI[OF dimension_one[OF assms(1)]])
     case (Cons x xs)
     hence "finite_dimension K (finite_extension K xs)"
       by auto
     moreover have "(algebraic over (finite_extension K xs)) x"
       using algebraic mono<sup>[OF</sup> finite extension incl<sup>[OF</sup> subfieldE(3)<sup>[OF</sup>
assms(1)]]] Cons(2-3) by auto
     moreover have "subfield (finite_extension K xs) R"
       using finite_extension_is_subfield[OF assms(1)] Cons(2-3) by auto
     ultimately show ?case
       using telescopic_base_dim(1)[OF assms(1) _ _
               finite_dimensionI[OF dimension_simple_extension, of _ x]]
Cons(3) by auto
  qed
qed
corollary (in domain) finite_extesion_mem_imp_algebraic:
  \text{assumes} "subfield K R" "set xs \subseteq carrier R" and "\bigwedge \text{x.} x \in set xs \implies(algebraic over K) x"
  shows "y \in finite_extension K xs \implies (algebraic over K) y"
  using finite dimension imp algebraic<sup>[OF</sup> assms(1)
```

```
finite_extension_is_subring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]]
        finite_extension_finite_dimension(1)[OF assms(1-2)] assms(3) by
auto
```

```
corollary (in domain) simple_extesion_mem_imp_algebraic:
  assumes "subfield K R''''x \in carrier R'''' (algebraic over K) x''shows "y \in simple extension K x \implies (algebraic over K) y"
  using finite extesion mem imp algebraic [OF \; \text{assms}(1), \; \text{of} \; "[ x ]"] assms(2-3)
by auto
```
## **37.7 Arithmetic of algebraic numbers**

We show that the set of algebraic numbers of a field over a subfield K is a subfield itself.

```
lemma (in field) subfield_of_algebraics:
 assumes "subfield K R" shows "subfield { x ∈ carrier R. (algebraic
over K) x } R"
proof -
 let ?set_of_algebraics = "{x \in carrier R. (algebraic over K) x }"
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule subfieldI'[OF subringI])
    show "?set of algebraics \subseteq carrier R" and "1 \in ?set of algebraics"
      using algebraic_self[OF _ subringE(3)] subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]
by auto
  next
    fix x y assume x: "x ∈ ?set_of_algebraics" and y: "y ∈ ?set_of_algebraics"
    have "\ominus x \in simple extension K x"
      using subringE(5)[OF simple_extension_is_subring[OF subfieldE(1)]]
            simple_extension_mem[OF subfieldE(1)] assms(1) x by auto
    thus "\ominus x \in ?set of algebraics"
      using simple_extesion_mem_imp_algebraic[OF assms] x by auto
    have "x ⊕ y ∈ finite_extension K [ x, y ]" and "x ⊗ y ∈ finite_extension
K [ x, y ]"
      using subringE(6-7)[OF finite_extension_is_subring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF
assms(1)]], of "[ x, y ]"]
            finite extension mem[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)], of "[x,
y ]"] x y by auto
    thus "x \oplus y \in ?set_of_algebraics" and "x \otimes y \in ?set of algebraics"
      using finite extesion mem imp algebraic<sup>[OF</sup> assms, of "[ x, y ]"]
x y by auto
  next
    fix z assume z: "z \in ?set_of_algebraics - { 0 }"
    have "inv z ∈ simple_extension K z"
      using subfield_m_inv(1)[of "simple_extension K z"]
            simple_extension_is_subfield[OF assms, of z]
            simple_extension_mem[OF subfieldE(1)] assms(1) z by auto
    thus "inv z \in ?set_of_algebraics"
```

```
using simple_extesion_mem_imp_algebraic[OF assms] field_Units z
by auto
 qed
qed
end
```

```
theory Algebraic_Closure
 imports Indexed_Polynomials Polynomial_Divisibility Finite_Extensions
```
**begin**

## **38 Algebraic Closure**

## **38.1 Definitions**

```
inductive iso_incl :: "'a ring \Rightarrow 'a ring \Rightarrow bool" (infixl "\leq" 65) for A
B
  where iso_inclI [intro]: "id ∈ ring_hom A B =⇒ iso_incl A B"
definition law_restrict :: "('a, 'b) ring_scheme \Rightarrow 'a ring"
  where "law restrict R \equiv (ring.truncated R)(| mult := (\lambda a \in \text{carrier R. } \lambda b \in \text{carrier R. } a \otimes_R b),
                   add := (\lambda a \in carrier R. \lambda b \in carrier R. a <math>\oplus_R b)</math>]"
definition (in ring) \sigma :: "'a list \Rightarrow ((('a list \times nat) multiset) \Rightarrow 'a)
list"
  where "\sigma P = \text{map indeed} const P"
definition (in ring) extensions :: "((('a list \times nat) multiset) \Rightarrow 'a)
ring set"
  where "extensions \equiv 1 - \text{ such that.}- i (field L) \land- ii (indexed_const ∈ ring_hom R L) \land— iii (\forall P \in \text{carrier L. carrier\_coeff } P) ∧
               — iv (\forall P \in \text{carrier L. } \forall P \in \text{carrier (poly\_ring R). } \forall i.\neg index_free \mathcal{P} (P, i) \longrightarrow\mathcal{X}_{(P,-i)} \in \text{carrier L} \wedge (\text{ring}.\text{eval L}) \ (\sigma \text{ P}) \ \mathcal{X}_{(P,-i)}= 0_L) }"
```
**abbreviation** (**in** ring) restrict\_extensions :: "((('a list × nat) multiset)  $\Rightarrow$  'a) ring set" ("S") where  $\mathcal{S} \equiv$  law\_restrict ' extensions"

#### **38.2 Basic Properties**

```
lemma law_restrict_carrier: "carrier (law_restrict R) = carrier R"
 by (simp add: law_restrict_def ring.defs)
```

```
lemma law_restrict_one: "one (law_restrict R) = one R"
 by (simp add: law_restrict_def ring.defs)
lemma law_restrict_zero: "zero (law_restrict R) = zero R"
  by (simp add: law_restrict_def ring.defs)
lemma law restrict mult: "monoid.mult (law restrict R) = (\lambdaa \in carrier
R. \lambda b \in \text{carrier R. a } \otimes_B b"
 by (simp add: law_restrict_def ring.defs)
lemma law_restrict_add: "add (law_restrict R) = (λa ∈ carrier R. λb
∈ carrier R. a ⊕R b)"
 by (simp add: law_restrict_def ring.defs)
lemma (in ring) law_restrict_is_ring: "ring (law_restrict R)"
  by (unfold_locales) (auto simp add: law_restrict_def Units_def ring.defs,
      simp_all add: a_assoc a_comm m_assoc l_distr r_distr a_lcomm)
lemma (in field) law_restrict_is_field: "field (law_restrict R)"
proof -
  have "comm_monoid_axioms (law_restrict R)"
    using m_comm unfolding comm_monoid_axioms_def law_restrict_carrier
law_restrict_mult by auto
  then interpret L: cring "law_restrict R"
    using cring.intro law restrict is ring comm monoid.intro ring.is monoid
by auto
 have "Units R = Units (law_restrict R)"
    unfolding Units def law restrict carrier law restrict mult law restrict one
by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using L.cring_fieldI unfolding field_Units law_restrict_carrier law_restrict_zero
by simp
qed
lemma law_restrict_iso_imp_eq:
 assumes "id ∈ ring_iso (law_restrict A) (law_restrict B)" and "ring
A" and "ring B"
 shows "law_restrict A = law_restrict B"
proof -
 have "carrier A = carrier B"
    using ring_iso_memE(5)[OF assms(1)] unfolding bij_betw_def law_restrict_def
by (simp add: ring.defs)
  hence mult: "a \otimes<sub>law_restrict</sub> A b = a \otimes<sub>law_restrict</sub> B b''and add: "a \bigoplus_{\text{law}} restrict A b = a \bigoplus_{\text{law}} restrict B b'' for a b
    using ring_iso_memE(2-3)[OF assms(1)] unfolding law_restrict_def by
(auto simp add: ring.defs)
  have "monoid.mult (law_restrict A) = monoid.mult (law_restrict B)"
    using mult by auto
```

```
moreover have "add (law_restrict A) = add (law_restrict B)"
    using add by auto
  moreover from ‹ carrier A = carrier B› have "carrier (law_restrict
A) = carrier (law restrict B)"
    unfolding law_restrict_def by (simp add: ring.defs)
  moreover have "0_{\text{law\_restrict A}} = 0_{\text{law\_restrict B}}"
    using ring_hom_zero[OF _ assms(2-3)[THEN ring.law_restrict_is_ring]]
assms(1)
    unfolding ring_iso_def by auto
  moreover have "1<sub>law</sub> restrict A = 1<sub>law_restrict B</sub>"
    using ring_iso_memE(4)[OF assms(1)] by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma law_restrict_hom: "h \in ring_hom A B \leftarrow h \in ring_hom (law_restrict
A) (law restrict B)"
proof
  assume "h ∈ ring_hom A B" thus "h ∈ ring_hom (law_restrict A) (law_restrict
B)"
    by (auto intro!: ring_hom_memI dest: ring_hom_memE simp: law_restrict_def
ring.defs)
next
  assume h: "h ∈ ring_hom (law_restrict A) (law_restrict B)" show "h
∈ ring hom A B"
    using ring_hom_memE[OF h] by (auto intro!: ring_hom_memI simp: law_restrict_def
ring.defs)
qed
lemma iso_incl_hom: "A \leq B \longleftrightarrow (law_restrict A) \leq (law_restrict B)"
  using law_restrict_hom iso_incl.simps by blast
```
## **38.3 Partial Order**

```
lemma iso_incl_backwards:
 assumes "A \leq B" shows "id ∈ ring_hom A B"
  using assms by cases
lemma iso_incl_antisym_aux:
 assumes "A \leq B" and "B \leq A" shows "id ∈ ring_iso A B"
proof -
  have hom: "id \in ring hom A B" "id \in ring hom B A"
    using assms(1-2)[THEN iso_incl_backwards] by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using hom[THEN ring hom memE(1)] by (auto simp add: ring iso def bij betw def
inj on def)
qed
lemma iso_incl_refl: "A \leq A"
 by (rule iso_inclI[OF ring_hom_memI], auto)
```

```
lemma iso_incl_trans:
  assumes "A \leq B" and "B \leq C" shows "A \leq C"
  using ring_hom_trans[OF assms[THEN iso_incl_backwards]] by auto
lemma (in ring) iso_incl_antisym:
  assumes "A \in \mathcal{S}" "B \in \mathcal{S}" and "A \leq B" "B \leq A" shows "A = B"
proof -
  obtain A' B' :: "(('a list \times nat) multiset \Rightarrow 'a) ring"
    where A: "A = law restrict A'" "ring A'" and B: "B = law restrict
B'" "ring B'"
    using assms(1-2) field.is_ring by (auto simp add: extensions_def)
  thus ?thesis
    using law_restrict_iso_imp_eq iso_incl_antisym_aux[OF assms(3-4)]
by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) iso_incl_partial_order: "partial_order_on S (relation_of
(\le) S)"
  using iso_incl_refl iso_incl_trans iso_incl_antisym by (rule partial_order_on_relation_of
lemma iso_inclE:
  assumes "ring A" and "ring B" and "A \leq B" shows "ring_hom_ring A
B id"
  using iso_incl_backwards[OF assms(3)] ring_hom_ring.intro[OF assms(1-2)]
  unfolding symmetric[OF ring_hom_ring_axioms_def] by simp
lemma iso_incl_imp_same_eval:
  assumes "ring A" and "ring B" and "A \leq B" and "a \in carrier A" and
"set p ⊆ carrier A"
  shows "(ring.eval A) p a = (ring.eval B) p a"
  using ring_hom_ring.eval_hom'[OF iso_inclE[OF assms(1-3)] assms(4-5)]
by simp
38.4 Extensions Non Empty
lemma (in ring) indexed_const_is_inj: "inj indexed_const"
  unfolding indexed_const_def by (rule inj_onI, metis)
lemma (in ring) indexed_const_inj_on: "inj_on indexed_const (carrier
R)"
  unfolding indexed_const_def by (rule inj_onI, metis)
lemma (in field) extensions non empty: "S \neq {\}"
proof -
  have "image_ring indexed_const R ∈ extensions"
```

```
using inj_imp_image_ring_is_field[OF indexed_const_inj_on] .
```
**proof** (auto simp add: extensions\_def)

**show** "field (image\_ring indexed\_const R)"

```
next
     show "indexed_const ∈ ring_hom R (image_ring indexed_const R)"
       using inj_imp_image_ring_iso[OF indexed_const_inj_on] unfolding
ring_iso_def by auto
  next
     fix P :: "(('a list \times nat) multiset) \Rightarrow 'a" and P and i
     assume \mathbb{P} \in \text{carrier (image ring indexed const R)}"
     then obtain k where "k \in carrier R" and "\mathcal{P} = indexed const k"
       unfolding image_ring_carrier by blast
     hence "index_free P (P, i)" for P i
       unfolding index_free_def indexed_const_def by auto
     thus "\neg index_free \mathcal{P} (P, i) \implies \mathcal{X}_{(P, 1)} \in carrier (image_ring indexed_const
R)"
      and "\neg index_free \mathcal{P} (P, i) \implies ring.eval (image_ring indexed_const
R) (\sigma P) \mathcal{X}_{(P, 1)} = 0 image_ring indexed_const R"
       by auto
     from \langle k \rangle \in carrier R\rangle and \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = indexed_const k\rangle show "carrier_coeff
\mathcal{D}"
       unfolding indexed_const_def carrier_coeff_def by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
     by blast
qed
38.5 Chains
definition union_ring :: "((2a, 2c) ring_scheme) set \Rightarrow 'a ring"
  where "union ring C =( carrier = (\cup (carrier ' C)),
           monoid.mult = (\lambdaa b. (monoid.mult (SOME R. R \in C \wedge a \in carrier
R \wedge b \in \text{carrier } R) a b)),
                     one = one (SOME R. R \in C),
                    zero = zero (SOME R. R \in C),
                     add = (\lambdaa b. (add (SOME R. R \in C \wedge a \in carrier R \wedge b
\in carrier R) a b)) \parallel"
lemma union_ring_carrier: "carrier (union_ring C) = (U (carrier ' C))"
  unfolding union_ring_def by simp
context
  fixes C :: "'a ring set"
  \text{assumes field\_chain: } \text{``}\text{/}\text{R.} \text{ } \text{R} \in \texttt{C} \implies \text{field R''} \text{ and } \text{chain: } \text{``}\text{/}\text{R} \text{ S. } \texttt{[} \text{ } \texttt{R''} \text{)}\in C; S \in C \mathbb{I} \implies R \leq S \vee S \leq R"
begin
lemma ring_chain: "R \in C \implies ring R"
  using field.is_ring[OF field_chain] by blast
```
**lemma** same\_one\_same\_zero: **assumes** "R  $\in$  C" shows "1<sub>union\_ring C</sub> = 1<sub>R</sub>" and "0<sub>union\_ring C</sub> = 0<sub>R</sub>" **proof have**  ${}^{\prime\prime}1_R = 1_S$ " **if**  ${}^{\prime\prime}R \in C$ " **and**  ${}^{\prime\prime}S \in C$ " **for** R S **using** ring\_hom\_one[of id] chain[OF that] **unfolding** iso\_incl.simps **by** auto **moreover have** " $0_R = 0_S$ " **if** " $R \in \mathbb{C}$ " **and** " $S \in \mathbb{C}$ " **for** R S using chain<sup>[OF</sup> that] ring hom zero<sup>[OF</sup> ring chain ring chain] that **unfolding** iso\_incl.simps **by** auto **ultimately have** "one (SOME R. R  $\in$  C) =  $1_R$ " and "zero (SOME R. R  $\in$  C)  $=$   $0_R$ " **using** assms **by** (metis (mono\_tags) someI)+ thus " $1_{\text{union\_ring C}} = 1_R$ " and " $0_{\text{union\_ring C}} = 0_R$ " **unfolding** union\_ring\_def **by** auto **qed lemma** same\_laws: **assumes** "R ∈ C" **and** "a ∈ carrier R" **and** "b ∈ carrier R" shows "a  $\otimes$ union ring C b = a  $\otimes_R$  b" and "a  $\oplus$ union ring C b = a  $\oplus_R$  b" **proof** have "a  $\otimes_R$  b = a  $\otimes_S$  b" **if** "R ∈ C" "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" **and** "S ∈ C" "a ∈ carrier S" "b ∈ carrier S" **for** R S **using** ring\_hom\_memE(2)[of id R S] ring\_hom\_memE(2)[of id S R] that chain $[0F$  that $(1,4)]$ **unfolding** iso\_incl.simps **by** auto **moreover** have "a  $\oplus_R$  b = a  $\oplus_S$  b" **if** "R ∈ C" "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" **and** "S ∈ C" "a ∈ carrier S" "b ∈ carrier S" **for** R S **using** ring\_hom\_memE(3)[of id R S] ring\_hom\_memE(3)[of id S R] that chain $[OF that (1,4)]$ **unfolding** iso\_incl.simps **by** auto **ultimately have** "monoid.mult (SOME R. R ∈ C ∧ a ∈ carrier R ∧ b ∈ carrier R) a b = a  $\otimes_R$  b"<br>and **and** "add (SOME R. R ∈ C ∧ a ∈ carrier R ∧ b ∈ carrier R) a  $b = a \oplus_R b''$ **using** assms **by** (metis (mono\_tags, lifting) someI)+ thus "a  $\otimes$ <sub>union\_ring C</sub> b = a  $\otimes_R$  b" and "a  $\oplus$ <sub>union\_ring C</sub> b = a  $\oplus_R$  b" **unfolding** union\_ring\_def **by** auto **qed lemma** exists\_superset\_carrier: **assumes** "finite S" and "S  $\neq$  {}" and "S  $\subseteq$  carrier (union ring C)" shows "∃R ∈ C. S ⊂ carrier R" **using** assms **proof** (induction, simp) **case** (insert s S) **obtain** R **where** R: "s ∈ carrier R" "R ∈ C"

```
using insert(5) unfolding union_ring_def by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume "S = {}" thus ?thesis
      using R by blast
  next
    assume "S \neq {}"
    then obtain T where T: "S ⊆ carrier T" "T ∈ C"
      using insert(3,5) by blast
    have "carrier R ⊆ carrier T ∨ carrier T ⊆ carrier R"
      using ring_hom_memE(1)[of id R] ring_hom_memE(1)[of id T] chain[OF
R(2) T(2)unfolding iso_incl.simps by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using R T by auto
  qed
qed
lemma union_ring_is_monoid:
  assumes "C \neq \{\}" shows "comm_monoid (union_ring C)"
proof
  fix a b c
  assume "a ∈ carrier (union_ring C)" "b ∈ carrier (union_ring C)" "c
∈ carrier (union_ring C)"
  then obtain R where R: "R ∈ C" "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "c
∈ carrier R"
    using exists superset carrier[of "{ a, b, c }"] by auto
  then interpret field R
    using field_chain by simp
  show "a \otimes<sub>union_ring C</sub> b ∈ carrier (union_ring C)"
    using R(1-3) unfolding same_laws(1)[OF R(1-3)] unfolding union_ring_def
by auto
  show "(a \otimesunion_ring C b) \otimesunion_ring C c = a \otimesunion_ring C (b \otimesunion_ring C
c)"
   and "a \otimes<sub>union_ring</sub> c b = b \otimes<sub>union_ring</sub> c a"
   and "1<sub>union_ring C \otimesunion_ring C a = a"</sub>
   and "a \otimes<sub>union_ring</sub> c 1<sub>union_ring</sub> c = a"
    using same_one_same_zero[OF R(1)] same_laws(1)[OF R(1)] R(2-4) m_assoc
m_comm by auto
next
  show "1union_ring C ∈ carrier (union_ring C)"
    using ring.ring_simprules(6)[OF ring_chain] assms same_one_same_zero(1)
    unfolding union_ring_carrier by auto
qed
lemma union ring is abelian group:
  assumes "C \neq {\}" shows "cring (union ring C)"
proof (rule cringI[OF abelian_groupI union_ring_is_monoid[OF assms]])
```

```
fix a b c
  assume "a ∈ carrier (union_ring C)" "b ∈ carrier (union_ring C)" "c
∈ carrier (union_ring C)"
  then obtain R where R: "R \in C" "a \in carrier R" "b \in carrier R" "c
∈ carrier R"
    using exists_superset_carrier[of "{ a, b, c }"] by auto
  then interpret field R
    using field_chain by simp
  show "a \bigoplus<sub>union ring C</sub> b \in carrier (union_ring C)"
    using R(1-3) unfolding same_laws(2)[OF R(1-3)] unfolding union_ring_def
by auto
  show "(a ⊕union_ring C b) ⊗union_ring C c = (a ⊗union_ring C c) ⊕union_ring C
(b \otimesunion_ring C c)"
   and "(a ⊕union_ring C b) ⊕union_ring C c = a ⊕union_ring C (b ⊕union_ring C
c)"
   and "a \oplusunion_ring C b = b \oplusunion_ring C a"
   and "0<sub>union_ring C</sub> \oplus<sub>union_ring</sub> c a = a"
    using same_one_same_zero[OF R(1)] same_laws[OF R(1)] R(2-4) l_distr
a_assoc a_comm by auto
  have "∃ a' ∈ carrier R. a' ⊕union_ring C a = 0union_ring C"
    using same_laws(2)[OF R(1)] R(2) same_one_same_zero[OF R(1)] by simp
  with ‹ R ∈ C› show "∃ y ∈ carrier (union_ring C). y ⊕union_ring C a
= 0union ring C"
    unfolding union_ring_carrier by auto
next
  show "0<sub>union ring C</sub> ∈ carrier (union_ring C)"
    using ring.ring simprules(2)[OF ring chain] assms same one same zero(2)
    unfolding union_ring_carrier by auto
qed
lemma union_ring_is_field :
  assumes C \neq \{\}" shows "field (union_ring C)"
proof (rule cring.cring_fieldI[OF union_ring_is_abelian_group[OF assms]])
  have "carrier (union_ring C) - { 0_{\text{union ring C}} } \subseteq Units (union_ring
C)"
  proof
    fix a assume "a \in carrier (union_ring C) - { 0_{\text{union ring C}} }"
    hence "a \in carrier (union_ring C)" and "a \neq 0<sub>union_ring C</sub>"
      by auto
    then obtain R where R: "R ∈ C" "a ∈ carrier R"
      using exists_superset_carrier[of "{ a }"] by auto
    then interpret field R
      using field_chain by simp
    from \langle a \in \text{carrier } R \rangle and \langle a \neq 0_{\text{union\_ring } C} \rangle have "a \in Units R"
      unfolding same one same zero[OF R(1)] field Units by auto
    hence "∃ a' ∈ carrier R. a' ⊗union_ring C a = 1union_ring C ∧ a ⊗union_ring C
a' = 1union ring C"
```

```
using same_laws[OF R(1)] same_one_same_zero[OF R(1)] R(2) unfold-
ing Units_def by auto
    with ‹ R ∈ C› and ‹ a ∈ carrier (union_ring C)› show "a ∈ Units
(union_ring C)"
      unfolding Units_def union_ring_carrier by auto
  qed
  moreover have "0_{\text{union ring C}} \notin Units (union_ring C)"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    \text{assume } " \neg~ 0_{\text{union\_ring}} \text{ } \subset \text{ } \notin \text{ Units } \text{ (union\_ring } C) "then obtain a where a: "a ∈ carrier (union_ring C)" "a ⊗union_ring C
0union_ring C = 1union_ring C"
      unfolding Units_def by auto
    then obtain R where R: "R ∈ C" "a ∈ carrier R"
      using exists_superset_carrier[of "{ a }"] by auto
    then interpret field R
      using field_chain by simp
    have "1_R = 0_R"
      using a R same_laws(1)[OF R(1)] same_one_same_zero[OF R(1)] by auto
    thus False
      using one_not_zero by simp
  qed
  hence "Units (union_ring C) \subseteq carrier (union_ring C) - { 0_{\text{union\_ring}} C
}"
    unfolding Units_def by auto
  ultimately show "Units (union_ring C) = carrier (union_ring C) - { 0_{\text{union\_ring}} C
}"
    by simp
qed
lemma union_ring_is_upper_bound:
  assumes "R \in C" shows "R \leq union_ring C"
  using ring_hom_memI[of R id "union_ring C"] same_laws[of R] same_one_same_zero[of
R] assms
  unfolding union_ring_carrier by auto
end
38.6 Zorn
```

```
lemma (in ring) exists_core_chain:
  assumes "C ∈ Chains (relation_of (\leq) S)" obtains C' where "C' ⊆ extensions"
and "C = law_restrict ' C'"
  using Chains_relation_of[OF assms] by (meson subset_image_iff)
lemma (in ring) core_chain_is_chain:
  assumes "law_restrict ' C \in Chains (relation_of (\lesssim) S)" shows "\bigwedge RS. [R \in C; S \in C] \implies R \leq S \vee S \leq R"
proof -
  fix R S assume "R ∈ C" and "S ∈ C" thus "R \leq S ∨ S \leq R"
```

```
using assms(1) unfolding iso_incl_hom[of R] iso_incl_hom[of S] Chains_def
relation_of_def
     by auto
qed
lemma (in field) exists_maximal_extension:
  shows "∃M \in S. \forallL \in S. M \leq L \longrightarrow L = M"
proof (rule predicate Zorn[OF iso incl partial order])
  fix C assume C: "C \in Chains (relation_of (\leq) S)"
  show "\exists L \in S. \forall R \in C. R \leq L"
  proof (cases)
     assume "C = {}" thus ?thesis
       using extensions_non_empty by auto
  next
     assume C \neq \{ \}"
     from \langle C \rangle \in Chains (relation of (\leq) S)
     obtain C' where C': "C' ⊆ extensions" "C = law_restrict ' C'"
       using exists_core_chain by auto
     with \langle C \neq {\} \rangle obtain S where S: "S \in C'" and "C' \neq {\}"
       by auto
     \mathtt{have\,\,core\_chain}\colon\mathord{\texttt{"}}\textnormal{/}\mathtt{R}\mathord{.}\mathord{\texttt{R}}\in\mathtt{C'}\implies \mathtt{field}\,\,\mathtt{R''}\,\,\mathord{\texttt{"}}\textnormal{/}\mathtt{R}\,\,\mathtt{S}\mathord{.}\mathord{\texttt{[}}\,\,\mathtt{R}\in\mathtt{C'}\,;\,\,\mathtt{S}\in\mathtt{C'}\mathbb{I} \implies R \lesssim S \vee S \lesssim R"
       using core_chain_is_chain[of C'] C' C unfolding extensions_def by
auto
     from \langle C' \rangle \neq \{\} interpret Union: field "union ring C'"
          using union_ring_is_field[OF core_chain] C'(1) by blast
     have "union_ring C' ∈ extensions"
     proof (auto simp add: extensions_def)
       show "field (union_ring C')"
          using Union.field_axioms .
    next
       from ‹ S ∈ C'› have "indexed_const ∈ ring_hom R S"
          using C'(1) unfolding extensions_def by auto
       thus "indexed_const \in ring_hom R (union_ring C')"
          using ring_hom_trans[of _ R S id] union_ring_is_upper_bound[OF
core_chain S]
          unfolding iso_incl.simps by auto
     next
       show "a ∈ carrier (union_ring C') =⇒ carrier_coeff a" for a
          using C'(1) unfolding union_ring_carrier extensions_def by auto
     next
       fix P P i
       assume \mathbb{P} \in \text{carrier (union\_ring C')}"
          and P: "P ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
          and not index free: "\neg index free \mathcal{P} (P, i)"
       from \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle ∈ carrier (union ring C') > obtain T where T: "T ∈ C'"
"P ∈ carrier T"
```

```
using exists_superset_carrier[of C' "{ P }"] core_chain by auto
       hence "\mathcal{X}_{(P, i)} \in \text{carrier T}" and "(ring.eval T) (σ P) \mathcal{X}_{(P, i)} =
0<sub>T</sub>"
         and field: "field T" and hom: "indexed const \in ring hom R T"
         using P not_index_free C'(1) unfolding extensions_def by auto
       with \langle T \in C \rangle > show "\mathcal{X}_{(P, 1)} ∈ carrier (union_ring C')"
         unfolding union_ring_carrier by auto
       have "set P ⊆ carrier R"
         using P unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by
auto
       hence "set (\sigma \ P) \subseteq carrier T"
         using ring_hom_memE(1)[OF hom] unfolding σ_def by (induct P) (auto)
       with \langle \mathcal{X}_{(P, i)} \rangle \in \text{carrier T} and \langle \text{ring}.\text{eval T} \rangle (\sigma P) \mathcal{X}_{(P, i)} =
0_Tshow "(ring.eval (union_ring C')) (\sigma P) \mathcal{X}_{(P, i)} = 0union_ring C'"
         using iso_incl_imp_same_eval[OF field.is_ring[OF field] Union.is_ring
                union_ring_is_upper_bound[OF core_chain T(1)]] same_one_same_zero(2)[OF
core_chain T(1)]
         by auto
    qed
    moreover have "R \leq law_restrict (union_ring C')" if "R \in C" for R
       using that union_ring_is_upper_bound[OF core_chain] iso_incl_hom
unfolding C' by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
       by blast
  qed
qed
```
#### **38.7 Existence of roots**

```
lemma polynomial_hom:
  assumes "h ∈ ring_hom R S" and "field R" and "field S"
  shows "p \in carrier (poly_ring R) \implies (map h p) \in carrier (poly_ring
S)"
proof -
  assume "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)"
  interpret ring_hom_ring R S h
    using ring_hom_ringI2[OF assms(2-3)[THEN field.is_ring] assms(1)]
.
  from ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)› have "set p ⊆ carrier R" and lc:
\mathrm{``p~\neq~} [] \implies lead_coeff \mathrm{p~\neq~}0_\mathrm{R}"
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
  hence "set (map h p) ⊆ carrier S"
    by (induct p) (auto)
  moreover have "h a = 0_S \implies a = 0_R" if "a \in carrier R" for a
    using non_trivial_field_hom_is_inj[OF assms(1-3)] that unfolding inj_on_def
by simp
  with \langle \text{set } p \subseteq \text{carrier R} \rangle have "lead_coeff (map h p) \neq 0_S" if "p \neq
```

```
using lc[OF that] that by (cases p) (auto)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
lemma (in ring hom ring) subfield polynomial hom:
  assumes "subfield K R" and "1_S \neq 0_S"
  shows "p ∈ carrier (K[X]_R) \implies (map h p) ∈ carrier ((h ' K)[X]_S)"
```

```
proof -
 assume "p \in \text{carrier } (K[X]_R)"
 hence "p \in carrier (poly_ring (R ( carrier := K ()))"
    using R.univ_poly_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]] by simp
 moreover have "h ∈ ring_hom (R (| carrier := K |)) (S (| carrier := h
' K ))"
    using hom_mult subfieldE(3)[OF assms(1)] unfolding ring_hom_def subset_iff
by auto
 moreover have "field (R (| carrier := K |))" and "field (S (| carrier
:= (h (K) ) )"
    using R.subfield_iff(2)[OF assms(1)] S.subfield_iff(2)[OF img_is_subfield(2)[OF
assms]] by simp+
  ultimately have "(map h p) \in carrier (poly_ring (S \parallel carrier := h '
K \nvert))"
    using polynomial_hom[of h "R (| carrier := K |)" "S (| carrier := h '
K |)"] by auto
 thus ?thesis
    using S.univ poly consistent [OF subfieldE(1) [OF img is subfield(2) [OF
assms]]] by simp
qed
```
 $[$ [] $"$ 

**qed**

```
lemma (in field) exists_root:
  assumes "M ∈ extensions" and "
V
L. [[ L ∈ extensions; M . L ]] =⇒ law_restrict
L = law_rrestrict M"
    and "P \in \text{carrier (poly\_ring R)}"
  shows "(ring.splitted M) (\sigma P)"
proof (rule ccontr)
  from ‹ M ∈ extensions› interpret M: field M + Hom: ring_hom_ring R M
"indexed_const"
    using ring_hom_ringI2[OF ring_axioms field.is_ring] unfolding extensions_def
by auto
  interpret UP: principal_domain "poly_ring M"
    using M.univ_poly_is_principal[OF M.carrier_is_subfield] .
  assume not_splitted: "\neg (ring.splitted M) (\sigma P)"
  have "(\sigma \text{ P}) \in \text{carrier (poly\_ring M)}"
    using polynomial hom<sup>[OF Hom.homh field axioms M.field axioms assms(3)]</sup>
unfolding \sigma def by simp
  then obtain Q
```

```
where Q: "Q ∈ carrier (poly_ring M)" "pirreducible<sub>M</sub> (carrier M) Q"
"Q pdivides<sub>M</sub> (\sigma P)"
       and degree_gt: "degree Q > 1"
    using M.trivial_factors_imp_splitted[of "σ P"] not_splitted by force
  from \langle (\sigma \ P) \in \text{carrier (poly\_ring M)} \rangle have "(\sigma \ P) \neq []"
     using M.degree_zero_imp_splitted[of "σ P"] not_splitted unfolding
\sigma def by auto
  have "∃i. \forall P \in carrier M. index free P (P, i)"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "\sharpi. ∀\mathcal{P} ∈ carrier M. index_free \mathcal{P} (P, i)"
    then have "\mathcal{X}_{(P, i)} \in \text{carrier M}" and "(ring.eval M) (σ P) \mathcal{X}_{(P, i)}= 0_M" for i
       using assms(1,3) unfolding extensions_def by blast+
    with \langle (σ P) \neq [] > have "((\lambdai :: nat. \mathcal{X}_{(P, 1)}) ' UNIV) ⊆ { a. (ring.is_root
M) (\sigma P) a }"
       unfolding M.is_root_def by auto
    moreover have "inj (\lambda i :: nat. \mathcal{X}_{(P_i, i)})"
       unfolding indexed_var_def indexed_const_def indexed_pmult_def inj_def
       by (metis (no_types, lifting) add_mset_eq_singleton_iff diff_single_eq_union
                                           multi_member_last prod.inject zero_not_one)
    hence "infinite ((\lambda i :: nat. \mathcal{X}_{(P, i)}) ' UNIV)"
       unfolding infinite_iff_countable_subset by auto
    ultimately have "infinite { a. (ring.is_root M) (\sigma P) a }"
       using finite_subset by auto
    with \langle (\sigma \ P) \in \text{carrier (poly ring M)} \rangle show False
       using M.finite_number_of_roots by simp
  qed
  then obtain i :: nat where \Psi \nsubseteq \mathbb{R} arrier M. index_free \mathcal{P} (P, i)"
    by blast
  then have hyps:
    - i "field M''- ii \;\;\text{``}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}\text{.}\;\; \mathcal{P}\,\in\, \text{carrier M}\implies \text{carrier\_coeff}\;\; \mathcal{P}\;\text{''}- iii "\bigwedge \mathcal{P}. \mathcal{P} \,\in\, carrier \mathbb{M} \implies index_free \mathcal{P} (P, i)"
    - iv "0_M = indexed_const 0"using assms(1,3) unfolding extensions_def by auto
  define image_poly where "image_poly = image_ring (eval_pmod M (P, i)
Q) (poly_ring M)"
  with \leq degree Q > 1 have "M \leq image_poly"
    using image_poly_iso_incl[OF hyps Q(1)] by auto
  moreover have is_field: "field image_poly"
    using image_poly_is_field[OF hyps Q(1-2)] unfolding image_poly_def
by simp
  moreover have "image_poly ∈ extensions"
  proof (auto simp add: extensions def is field)
    fix P assume "P \in carrier image_poly"
```

```
then obtain R where P: "P = eval_pmod M (P, i) Q R" and "R \in carrier(poly_ring M)"
      unfolding image_poly_def image_ring_carrier by auto
    hence "M.pmod R Q \in carrier (poly ring M)"
      using M.long_division_closed(2)[OF M.carrier_is_subfield _ Q(1)]
by simp
    hence "list_all carrier_coeff (M.pmod R Q)"
      using hyps(2) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] list_all_iff polynomial_def
by auto
    thus "carrier coeff P"
      using indexed_eval_in_carrier[of "M.pmod R Q"] unfolding P by simp
  next
    from ‹ M . image_poly› show "indexed_const ∈ ring_hom R image_poly"
      using ring_hom_trans[OF Hom.homh, of id] unfolding iso_incl.simps
by simp
  next
    from \langle M \rangle \leq image_poly> interpret Id: ring_hom_ring M image_poly id
      using iso_inclE[OF M.ring_axioms field.is_ring[OF is_field]] by
simp
    fix P S j
    assume A: "P ∈ carrier image_poly" "¬ index_free P (S, j)" "S ∈
carrier (poly_ring R)"
    have "\mathcal{X}_{(S, j)} \in carrier image_poly \wedge Id.eval (\sigma S) \mathcal{X}_{(S, j)} = 0<sub>image_poly</sub>"
    proof (cases)
      assume "(\mathsf{P}, \mathsf{i}) \neq (\mathsf{S}, \mathsf{i})"then obtain Q' where "Q' \in carrier M" and "\neg index free Q' (S,
j)"
        using A(1) image_poly_index_free[OF hyps Q(1) _ A(2)] unfold-
ing image_poly_def by auto
      hence "\mathcal{X}_{(S, j)} \in \text{carrier } M" and "M.eval (\sigma S) \mathcal{X}_{(S, j)} = \mathbf{0}_M"
        using assms(1) A(3) unfolding extensions_def by auto
      moreover have "\sigma S \in carrier (poly_ring M)"
        using polynomial_hom[OF Hom.homh field_axioms M.field_axioms A(3)]
unfolding σ_def .
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using Id.eval_hom[OF M.carrier_is_subring] Id.hom_closed Id.hom_zero
by auto
    next
      assume " \neg (P, i) \neq (S, j)" hence S: " (P, i) = (S, j)"by simp
      have poly_hom: "R ∈ carrier (poly_ring image_poly)" if "R ∈ carrier
(poly_ring M)" for R
        using polynomial_hom[OF Id.homh M.field_axioms is_field that]
by simp
      have "\mathcal{X}_{(S, i)} \in carrier image_poly"
        using eval_pmod_var(2)[OF hyps Hom.homh Q(1) degree_gt] unfold-
ing image_poly_def S by simp
      moreover have "Id.eval Q \mathcal{X}_{(S, j)} = 0 image_poly"
```

```
using image_poly_eval_indexed_var[OF hyps Hom.homh Q(1) degree_gt
Q(2)] unfolding image_poly_def S by simp
      moreover have "Q pdivides<sub>image poly (\sigma S)"</sub>
      proof -
        obtain R where R: "R \in carrier (poly_ring M)" "\sigma S = Q \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring M}}R"
          using Q(3) S unfolding pdivides_def by auto
        moreover have "set Q ⊆ carrier M" and "set R ⊆ carrier M"
          using Q(1) R(1) unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def
by auto
        ultimately have "Id.normalize (\sigma S) = Q \otimes_{poly\_ring} image\_poly R"using Id.poly_mult_hom'[of Q R] unfolding univ_poly_mult by
simp
        moreover have "\sigma S \in carrier (poly_ring M)"
          using polynomial_hom[OF Hom.homh field_axioms M.field_axioms
A(3)] unfolding \sigma def .
        hence "σ S ∈ carrier (poly_ring image_poly)"
          using polynomial_hom[OF Id.homh M.field_axioms is_field] by
simp
        hence "Id.normalize (\sigma S) = \sigma S"
          using Id.normalize_polynomial unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier]
by simp
        ultimately show ?thesis
           using poly_hom[OF Q(1)] poly_hom[OF R(1)]
           unfolding pdivides_def factor_def univ_poly_mult by auto
      qed
      moreover have "Q \in \text{carrier (poly ring (image poly))"using poly_hom[OF Q(1)] by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using domain.pdivides_imp_root_sharing[OF field.axioms(1)[OF is_field],
of Q] by auto
    qed
    thus "\mathcal{X}_{(S, j)} \in carrier image_poly" and "Id.eval (\sigma S) \mathcal{X}_{(S, j)} =
0image_poly"
      by auto
  qed
  ultimately have "law_restrict M = law_restrict image_poly"
    using assms(2) by simp
  hence "carrier M = carrier image_poly"
    unfolding law_restrict_def by (simp add:ring.defs)
  moreover have "\mathcal{X}_{(P, i)} \in \text{carrier image\_poly}"
    using eval_pmod_var(2)[OF hyps Hom.homh Q(1) degree_gt] unfolding
image_poly_def by simp
  moreover have "\mathcal{X}_{(P, i)} \notin carrier M"
    using indexed_var_not_index_free[of "(P, i)"] hyps(3) by blast
  ultimately show False by simp
qed
lemma (in field) exists_extension_with_roots:
```
```
shows "∃L ∈ extensions. \forall P \in carrier (poly_ring R). (ring.splitted
L) (\sigma P)"
proof -
  obtain M where "M ∈ extensions" and "∀L ∈ extensions. M \leq L \rightarrow law restrict
L = law restrict M''using exists_maximal_extension iso_incl_hom by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using exists_root[of M] by auto
qed
```
## **38.8 Existence of Algebraic Closure**

```
locale algebraic_closure = field L + subfield K L for L (structure) and
K +assumes algebraic_extension: "x ∈ carrier L =⇒ (algebraic over K)
x"
    and roots_over_subfield: "P \in carrier (K[X]) \implies splitted P"
locale algebraically_closed = field L for L (structure) +
  assumes roots_over_carrier: "P ∈ carrier (poly_ring L) =⇒ splitted
P"
definition (in field) alg_closure :: "(('a list \times nat) multiset \Rightarrow 'a)
ring"
  where "alg_closure = (SOME L - such that.- i algebraic closure L (indexed const ' (carrier R)) ∧
           — ii indexed const \in ring hom R L)"
lemma algebraic_hom:
  assumes "h ∈ ring_hom R S" and "field R" and "field S" and "subfield
K R" and "x ∈ carrier R"
  shows "((ring.algebraic R) over K) x \implies ((ring.algebraic S) over (h
(K)) (h x)"
proof -
  interpret Hom: ring_hom_ring R S h
    using ring_hom_ringI2[OF assms(2-3)[THEN field.is_ring] assms(1)]
.
  assume "(Hom.R.algebraic over K) x"
  then obtain p where p: "p \in carrier (K[X]_R)" and "p \neq []" and eval:
"Hom.R.eval p x = 0<sub>R</sub>"
    using domain.algebraicE[OF field.axioms(1) subfieldE(1), of R K x]
assms(2,4-5) by auto
  hence "(map h p) \in carrier ((h ' K)[X]<sub>S</sub>)" and "(map h p) \neq []"
    using Hom.subfield_polynomial_hom[OF assms(4) one_not_zero[OF assms(3)]]
by auto
  moreover have "Hom.S.eval (map h p) (h x) = 0_S"
    using Hom.eval_hom[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(4)] assms(5) p] unfold-
ing eval by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
```

```
using Hom.S.non_trivial_ker_imp_algebraic[of "h ' K" "h x"] unfold-
ing a_kernel_def' by auto
qed
lemma (in field) exists_closure:
  obtains L :: "((('a list \times nat) multiset) \Rightarrow 'a) ring"
  where "algebraic_closure L (indexed_const ' (carrier R))" and "indexed_const
∈ ring hom R L"
proof -
  obtain L where "L ∈ extensions"
    \quad \text{ and roots: } " \bigwedge {\rm P}. \ \ {\rm P} \ \in \ \text{carrier (poly\_ring R)} \ \Longrightarrow \ \text{(ring.splitted L)} \ \ (\sigma)P)"
    using exists_extension_with_roots by auto
  let ?K = "indexed_const ' (carrier R)"
  let ?set_of_algs = \forall { x \in carrier L. ((ring.algebraic L) over ?K) x}"
  let ?M = "L (| carrier := ?set_of_algs |)"
  from ‹ L ∈ extensions›
  have L: "field L" and hom: "ring_hom_ring R L indexed_const"
    using ring_hom_ringI2[OF ring_axioms field.is_ring] unfolding extensions_def
by auto
  have "subfield ?K L"
    using ring_hom_ring.img_is_subfield(2)[OF hom carrier_is_subfield
          domain.one_not_zero[OF field.axioms(1)[OF L]]] by auto
  hence set of algs: "subfield ?set of algs L"
    using field.subfield_of_algebraics[OF L, of ?K] by simp
  have M: "field ?M"
    using ring.subfield_iff(2)[OF field.is_ring[OF L] set_of_algs] by
simp
  interpret Id: ring_hom_ring ?M L id
    using ring_hom_ringI[OF field.is_ring[OF M] field.is_ring[OF L]] by
auto
  have is_subfield: "subfield ?K ?M"
  proof (intro ring.subfield_iff(1)[OF field.is_ring[OF M]])
    have "L (| carrier := ?K |) = ?M (| carrier := ?K |)"
      by simp
    moreover from ‹ subfield ?K L› have "field (L (| carrier := ?K |))"
      using ring.subfield_iff(2)[OF field.is_ring[OF L]] by simp
    ultimately show "field (?M ( carrier := ?K ))"
      by simp
  next
    show "?K ⊆ carrier ?M"
    proof
      fix x :: "(('a list \times nat) multiset) \Rightarrow 'a"
      assume "x ∈ ?K"
```

```
hence "x ∈ carrier L"
         using ring_hom_memE(1)[OF ring_hom_ring.homh[OF hom]] by auto
      moreover from ‹ subfield ?K L› and ‹ x ∈ ?K› have "(Id.S.algebraic
over ?K) x"
         using domain.algebraic_self[OF field.axioms(1)[OF L] subfieldE(1)]
by auto
      ultimately show "x ∈ carrier ?M"
         by auto
    qed
  qed
  have "algebraic_closure ?M ?K"
  proof (intro algebraic_closure.intro[OF M is_subfield])
    have "(Id.R.algebraic over ?K) x" if "x ∈ carrier ?M" for x
      using that Id.S.algebraic_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF set_of_algs]]
by simp
    moreover have "Id.R.splitted P" if "P \in carrier (?K[X]<sub>?M</sub>)" for P
    proof -
      from \langle P \in \text{carrier } (\gamma K[X]_{\gamma M}) \rangle have "P \in carrier (poly_ring ?M)"
         using Id.R.carrier_polynomial_shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF is_subfield]]
by simp
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases "degree P = 0")
         case True with ‹ P ∈ carrier (poly_ring ?M)› show ?thesis
           using domain.degree_zero_imp_splitted[OF field.axioms(1)[OF
M<sub>1</sub>
           by fastforce
      next
         case False then have "degree P > 0"
           by simp
         from \langle P \in \text{carrier } (\mathcal{X}[X]_{\gamma_M}) \rangle have "P \in \text{carrier } (\mathcal{X}[X]_L)"
           unfolding Id.S.univ_poly_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF set_of_algs]]
.
         hence "set P ⊆ ?K"
           unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
         hence "\exists Q. set Q \subseteq carrier R \wedge P = \sigma Q"
         proof (induct P, simp add: \sigma def)
           case (Cons p P)
           then obtain q Q where "q ∈ carrier R" "set Q ⊆ carrier R"
             and \ ^{n}\sigma Q = P" "indexed_const q = p"
             unfolding σ_def by auto
           hence "set (q \# Q) \subseteq carrier R" and "\sigma (q \# Q) = (p \# P)"
             unfolding σ_def by auto
           thus ?case
             by metis
         qed
         then obtain Q where "set Q \subset \text{carrier R}" and "\sigma Q = P"
           by auto
         moreover have "lead_coeff Q \neq 0"
```

```
proof (rule ccontr)
           assume "\neg lead_coeff Q \neq 0" then have "lead_coeff Q = 0"
              by simp
           with \langle \sigma \rangle Q = P > and \langle degree P > 0 > have "lead coeff P = indexed const
0"
              unfolding σ_def by (metis diff_0_eq_0 length_map less_irrefl_nat
list.map sel(1) list.size(3))
           hence "lead coeff P = 0_L"
              using ring_hom_zero[OF ring_hom_ring.homh ring_hom_ring.axioms(1-2)]
hom by auto
           with \langle degree P > 0> have "\neg P \in carrier (\gamma K[X]_{2M})"
              unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
           with \langle P \in \text{carrier } (\gamma K[X]_{\gamma M}) \rangle show False
              by simp
         qed
         ultimately have "Q \in \text{carrier (poly ring R)}"
           unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
         with \langle \sigma \varphi \rangle = P have "Id.S.splitted P"
           using roots[of Q] by simp
         from ‹ P ∈ carrier (poly_ring ?M)› show ?thesis
         proof (rule field.trivial_factors_imp_splitted[OF M])
           fix R
           assume R: "R ∈ carrier (poly_ring ?M)" "pirreducible<sub>?M</sub> (carrier
?M) R" and "R pdivides<sub>?M</sub> P"
           from \langle P \in \text{carrier (poly ring ?M)} \rangle and \langle R \in \text{carrier (poly ring)} \rangle?M)›
           have "P ∈ carrier ((?set_of_algs)[X]L)" and "R ∈ carrier ((?set_of_algs)[X]L)"
              unfolding Id.S.univ_poly_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF set_of_algs]]
by auto
           hence in_carrier: "P ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)" "R ∈ carrier
(poly_ring L)"
              using Id.S.carrier_polynomial_shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF set_of_algs]]
by auto
            from \langle R \rangle pdivides<sub>?M</sub> P \rangle have "R divides<sub>((?set_of_algs)[X]<sub>L</sub>) P"</sub>
              unfolding pdivides_def Id.S.univ_poly_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF
set_of_algs]]
              by simp
           \text{with } \langle P \in \text{carrier } ((?set_of_algs)[X]_L) \rangle \text{ and } \langle R \in \text{carrier } ((?set_of_algs)[X]_L) \ranglehave "R pdivides<sub>L</sub> P"
              using domain.pdivides_iff_shell[OF field.axioms(1)[OF L] set_of_algs,
of R P] by simp
           with \leq Id.S.splitted P> and \leq degree P \neq 0> have "Id.S.splitted
R"
              using field.pdivides_imp_splitted[OF L in_carrier(2,1)] by
fastforce
           show "degree R ≤ 1"
```
**proof** (cases "Id.S.roots  $R = \{\#\}$ ") **case** True **with** *‹* Id.S.splitted R*›* **show** ?thesis **unfolding** Id.S.splitted\_def **by** simp **next case** False **with** *‹* R ∈ carrier (poly\_ring L)*›* **obtain** a **where** "a ∈ carrier L" **and** "a ∈# Id.S.roots R" and "[  $1_L$ ,  $\ominus_L$  a ]  $\in$  carrier (poly ring L)" and pdiv: "[  $1_L$ ,  $\ominus_L$  a ] pdivides<sub>L</sub> R" **using** domain.not\_empty\_rootsE[OF field.axioms(1)[OF L], of R] **by** blast from  $\langle P \in \text{carrier } (\gamma K[X]_L) \rangle$ **have** "(Id.S.algebraic over ?K) a" **proof** (rule Id.S.algebraicI) from  $\leq$  degree P  $\neq$  0*>* show "P  $\neq$  []" **by** auto **next from** *‹* a ∈# Id.S.roots R*›* **and** *‹* R ∈ carrier (poly\_ring L)*›* have "Id.S.eval R a =  $0_L$ " **using** domain.roots\_mem\_iff\_is\_root[OF field.axioms(1)[OF L]] **unfolding** Id.S.is\_root\_def **by** auto  $with \langle R \text{ pictures}_L \rangle \geq and \langle R \text{ exercise } L \rangle \text{ show "Id.S.eval}$  $P$  a =  $0_L$ " **using** domain.pdivides\_imp\_root\_sharing[OF field.axioms(1)[OF L] in\_carrier(2)] **by** simp **qed with** *‹* a ∈ carrier L*›* **have** "a ∈ ?set\_of\_algs" **by** simp hence "[  $1_L$ ,  $\ominus_L$  a ]  $\in$  carrier ((?set\_of\_algs)[X]<sub>L</sub>)" **using** subringE(3,5)[of ?set\_of\_algs L] subfieldE(1,6)[OF set\_of\_algs] **unfolding** sym[OF univ\_poly\_carrier] polynomial\_def **by** simp hence "[  $1_L$ ,  $\ominus_L$  a ]  $\in$  carrier (poly\_ring ?M)" **unfolding** Id.S.univ\_poly\_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF set\_of\_algs]] **by** simp from  $\langle [1_L, \Theta_L \, \mathsf{a}] \rangle \in \mathsf{carrier}$  ((?set\_of\_algs)[X]<sub>L</sub>)> and  $\langle R \in \text{carrier } ((?set_of_algs)[X]_L) \rangle$ have "[  $1_L$ ,  $\ominus_L$  a ] divides<sub>(?set\_of\_algs)[X]<sub>L</sub> R"</sub> **using** pdiv domain.pdivides\_iff\_shell[OF field.axioms(1)[OF L] set\_of\_algs] **by** simp hence "[  $1_L$ ,  $\ominus_L$  a ] divides<sub>poly\_ring</sub> ?M R" **unfolding** pdivides\_def Id.S.univ\_poly\_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF set\_of\_algs]] **by** simp have "[  $1_L$ ,  $\ominus_L$  a ]  $\notin$  Units (poly\_ring ?M)" **using** Id.R.univ\_poly\_units[OF field.carrier\_is\_subfield[OF

```
M]] by force
             with ‹ [ 1L, 	L a ] ∈ carrier (poly_ring ?M)› and ‹ R ∈ carrier
(poly_ring ?M)›
              and \cdot [ 1_L, \ominus_L a ] divides<sub>poly_ring ?M</sub> R<sub>2</sub>
             have "[ 1_L, \ominus_L a ] \sim_{\text{poly ring}} ?M R"
               using Id.R.divides_pirreducible_condition[OF R(2)] by auto
             with \langle [1_L, \Theta_L \times ] \rangle \in carrier (poly_ring ?M) > and \langle R \rangle \in carrier
(poly_ring ?M)›
             have "degree R = 1"
               using domain.associated_polynomials_imp_same_length[OF field.axioms(1)[OF
M]
                     Id.R.carrier_is_subring, of "[ 1_L, \ominus_L a ]" R] by
force
             thus ?thesis
               by simp
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
    ultimately show "algebraic_closure_axioms ?M ?K"
      unfolding algebraic_closure_axioms_def by auto
  qed
  moreover have "indexed_const ∈ ring_hom R ?M"
    using ring_hom_ring.homh[OF hom] subfieldE(3)[OF is_subfield]
    unfolding subset_iff ring_hom_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis
    using that by auto
qed
lemma (in field) alg_closureE:
  shows "algebraic_closure alg_closure (indexed_const ' (carrier R))"
    and "indexed_const ∈ ring_hom R alg_closure"
  using exists_closure unfolding alg_closure_def
  by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) someI2)+
lemma (in field) algebraically_closedI':
  assumes "
V
p. [[ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R); degree p > 1 ]] =⇒ splitted
p"
  shows "algebraically_closed R"
proof
  fix p assume "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" show "splitted p"
  proof (cases "degree p \leq 1")
    case True with ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)› show ?thesis
      using degree_zero_imp_splitted degree_one_imp_splitted by fastforce
  next
    case False with ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)› show ?thesis
      using assms by fastforce
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma (in field) algebraically_closedI:
  \text{assumes}\; \text{ ``\texttt{\char'427517}} \texttt{p}\in \text{carrier}\; \text{(poly\_ring R)}\,;\; \text{degree}\; \texttt{p} \geqslant 1\; \texttt{]}\implies \exists\, \texttt{x}\, \incarrier R. eval p x = 0"
  shows "algebraically_closed R"
proof
  fix p assume "p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)" thus "splitted p"
  proof (induction "degree p" arbitrary: p rule: less_induct)
    case less show ?case
    proof (cases "degree p \leq 1")
      case True with ‹ p ∈ carrier (poly_ring R)› show ?thesis
        using degree_zero_imp_splitted degree_one_imp_splitted by fastforce
    next
      case False then have "degree p > 1"
        by simp
      with \langle p \rangle \in carrier (poly ring R) > have "roots p \neq \{ \# \}"
         using assms[of p] roots_mem_iff_is_root[of p] unfolding is_root_def
by force
      then obtain a where a: "a ∈ carrier R" "a ∈# roots p"
        and pdiv: "[ 1, \theta a ] pdivides p" and in_carrier: "[ 1, \theta a
]\in carrier (poly_ring R)"
         using less(2) by blast
      then obtain q where q: "q \in carrier (poly_ring R)" and p: "p =
[ 1, \ominus a ] \otimes_{\text{poly\_ring R}} q"
         unfolding pdivides_def by blast
      with \leq degree p > 1 i have not zero: "q \neq []" and "p \neq []"
         using domain.integral iff<sup>[OF univ poly is domain<sup>[OF</sup> carrier is subring]</sup>
in_carrier, of q]
         by (auto simp add: univ_poly_zero[of R "carrier R"])
      hence deg: "degree p = Suc (degree q)"
         using poly_mult_degree_eq[OF carrier_is_subring] in_carrier q
p
        unfolding univ_poly_carrier sym[OF univ_poly_mult[of R "carrier
R"]] by auto
      hence "splitted q"
         using less(1)[OF _ q] by simp
      moreover have "roots p = add_mset a (roots q)"
         using poly_mult_degree_one_monic_imp_same_roots[OF a(1) q not_zero]
p by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis
         unfolding splitted_def deg by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
sublocale algebraic_closure ⊆ algebraically_closed
proof (rule algebraically closedI')
  fix P assume in_carrier: "P \in carrier (poly_ring L)" and gt_one: "degree
P > 1"
```

```
then have gt_zero: "degree P > 0"
    by simp
  define A where "A = finite_extension K P"
  from ‹ P ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)› have "set P ⊆ carrier L"
    by (simp add: polynomial_incl univ_poly_carrier)
 hence A: "subfield A L" and P: "P ∈ carrier (A[X])"
    using finite_extension_mem[OF subfieldE(1)[OF subfield_axioms], of
P] in carrier
          algebraic_extension finite_extension_is_subfield[OF subfield_axioms,
of P]
    unfolding sym[OF A_def] sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by
auto
  from ‹ set P ⊆ carrier L› have incl: "K ⊆ A"
    using finite extension incl[OF subfieldE(3)[OF subfield axioms]] un-
folding A_def by simp
  interpret UP_K: domain "K[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF subfield_axioms]] .
  interpret UP_A: domain "A[X]"
    using univ_poly_is_domain[OF subfieldE(1)[OF A]] .
  interpret Rupt: ring "Rupt A P"
    unfolding rupture_def using ideal.quotient_is_ring[OF UP_A.cgenideal_ideal[OF
P]] .
 interpret Hom: ring hom ring "L (| carrier := A |)" "Rupt A P" "rupture surj
A P ⊙ poly of const"
    using ring hom ringI2[OF subring is ring[OF subfieldE(1)] Rupt.ring axioms
         rupture_surj_norm_is_hom[OF subfieldE(1) P]] A by simp
 let ?h = "rupture_surj A P ◦ poly_of_const"
  have h_simp: "rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const ' E = ?h ' E" for E
   by auto
  hence aux_lemmas:
    "subfield (rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const ' K) (Rupt A P)"
    "subfield (rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const ' A) (Rupt A P)"
    using Hom.img_is_subfield(2)[OF _ rupture_one_not_zero[OF A P gt_zero]]
          ring.subfield_iff(1)[OF subring_is_ring[OF subfieldE(1)[OF A]]]
          subfield iff(2)[OF subfield axioms] subfield iff(2)[OF A] incl
    by auto
  have "carrier (K[X]) ⊆ carrier (A[X])"
    using subsetI[of "carrier (K[X])" "carrier (A[X])"] incl
    unfolding sym[OF univ_poly_carrier] polynomial_def by auto
  hence "id \in ring_hom (K[X]) (A[X])"unfolding ring_hom_def unfolding univ_poly_mult univ_poly_add univ_poly_one
by (simp add: subsetD)
  hence "rupture surj A P \in ring hom (K[X]) (Rupt A P)"
    using ring_hom_trans[OF _ rupture_surj_hom(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF A]
```

```
P], of id] by simp
 then interpret Hom': ring_hom_ring "K[X]" "Rupt A P" "rupture_surj A
P"
   using ring hom ringI2[OF UP K.ring axioms Rupt.ring axioms] by simp
  from \langle id \in ring_hom (K[X]) (A[X])<sup>}</sup> have Id: "ring_hom_ring (K[X])(A[X]) id"
    using ring hom ringI2[OF UP K.ring axioms UP A.ring axioms] by simp
  hence "subalgebra (poly_of_const ' K) (carrier (K[X])) (A[X])"
    using ring_hom_ring.img_is_subalgebra[OF Id _ UP_K.carrier_is_subalgebra[OF
subfieldE(3)]]
          univ_poly_subfield_of_consts[OF subfield_axioms] by auto
 moreover from ‹ carrier (K[X]) ⊆ carrier (A[X])› have "poly_of_const
' K \subset carrier (A[X])"
    using subfieldE(3)[OF univ poly subfield of consts[OF subfield axioms]]
by simp
  ultimately
  have "subalgebra (rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const ' K) (rupture_surj
A P ' carrier (K[X])) (Rupt A P)"
    using ring_hom_ring.img_is_subalgebra[OF rupture_surj_hom(2)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF
A] P]] by simp
  moreover have "Rupt.finite_dimension (rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const
' K) (carrier (Rupt A P))"
  proof (intro Rupt.telescopic_base_dim(1)[where
            ?K = "rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const ' K" and
            ?F = "rupture surj A P' poly of const' A" and?E = "carrier (Rupt A P)", OF aux_lemmas])
    show "Rupt.finite_dimension (rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const ' A)
(carrier (Rupt A P))"
      using Rupt.finite_dimensionI[OF rupture_dimension[OF A P gt_zero]]
.
 next
    let ?h = "rupture surj A P \circ poly of const"from ‹ set P ⊆ carrier L› have "finite_dimension K A"
      using finite_extension_finite_dimension(1)[OF subfield_axioms, of
P] algebraic_extension
      unfolding A_def by auto
    then obtain Us where Us: "set Us \subseteq carrier L" "A = Span K Us"
      using exists_base subfield_axioms by blast
    hence "?h ' A = Rupt.Span (?h ' K) (map ?h Us)"
      using Hom.Span_hom[of K Us] incl Span_base_incl[OF subfield_axioms,
of Us]
      unfolding Span_consistent[OF subfieldE(1)[OF A]] by simp
    moreover have "set (map ?h Us) ⊆ carrier (Rupt A P)"
      using Span_base_incl[OF subfield_axioms Us(1)] ring_hom_memE(1)[OF
```

```
Hom.homh]
      unfolding sym[OF Us(2)] by auto
    ultimately
    show "Rupt.finite dimension (rupture surj A P ' poly of const ' K)
(rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const ' A)"
      using Rupt.Span_finite_dimension[OF aux_lemmas(1)] unfolding h_simp
by simp
 qed
  moreover have "rupture_surj A P ' carrier (A[X]) = carrier (Rupt A
P)"
    unfolding rupture_def FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def' by auto
  with ‹ carrier (K[X]) ⊆ carrier (A[X])› have "rupture_surj A P ' carrier
(K[X]) \subseteq carrier (Rupt A P)"
    by auto
  ultimately
 have "Rupt.finite_dimension (rupture_surj A P ' poly_of_const ' K) (rupture_surj
A P ' carrier (K[X]))"
    using Rupt.subalbegra_incl_imp_finite_dimension[OF aux_lemmas(1)]
by simp
  hence "¬ inj_on (rupture_surj A P) (carrier (K[X]))"
    using Hom'.infinite_dimension_hom[OF _ rupture_one_not_zero[OF A P
gt_zero] _
          UP K.carrier is subalgebra[OF subfieldE(3)] univ poly infinite dimension[OF
subfield_axioms]]
          univ poly subfield of consts[OF subfield axioms]
    by auto
  then obtain Q where Q: "Q \in carrier (K[X])" "Q \neq []" and "rupture_surj
A P Q = O_{\text{Rupt A P}}"
    using Hom'.trivial_ker_imp_inj Hom'.hom_zero unfolding a_kernel_def'
univ_poly_zero by blast
  with ‹ carrier (K[X]) ⊆ carrier (A[X]) > have "Q ∈ PIdl<sub>A[X]</sub> P"
    using ideal.rcos_const_imp_mem[OF UP_A.cgenideal_ideal[OF P]]
    unfolding rupture_def FactRing_def by auto
  then obtain R where "R \in carrier (A[X])" and "Q = R \otimes_{A}[x] P"
    unfolding cgenideal_def by blast
  with ‹ P ∈ carrier (A[X])› have "P pdivides Q"
    using dividesI[of _ "A[X]"] UP_A.m_comm pdivides_iff_shell[OF A] by
simp
  thus "splitted P"
    using pdivides_imp_splitted[OF in_carrier
          carrier polynomial shell[OF subfieldE(1)[OF subfield axioms]
Q(1)] Q(2)roots_over_subfield[OF Q(1)]] Q
    by simp
qed
```

```
end
```

```
theory Algebraic_Closure_Type
imports
 Algebraic_Closure
  "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Computational_Algebra"
  "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Field_as_Ring"
begin
definition (in ring_1) ring_of_type_algebra :: "'a ring"
  where "ring_of_type_algebra = (|
    carrier = UNIV, monoid.mult = (\lambda x y. x * y),
    one = 1,
    ring.zero = 0,add = (\lambda \times y. x + y) |"
lemma (in comm_ring_1) ring_from_type_algebra [intro]:
  "ring (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
proof -
 have "∃ y. x + y = 0" for x :: 'a
    using add.right_inverse by blast
 thus ?thesis
    unfolding ring_of_type_algebra_def using add.right_inverse
    by unfold_locales (auto simp:algebra_simps Units_def)
qed
lemma (in comm_ring_1) cring_from_type_algebra [intro]:
  "cring (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
proof -
 have "∃y. x + y = 0" for x :: 'a
    using add.right_inverse by blast
 thus ?thesis
    unfolding ring_of_type_algebra_def using add.right_inverse
    by unfold_locales (auto simp:algebra_simps Units_def)
qed
lemma (in Fields.field) field_from_type_algebra [intro]:
  "field (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
proof -
 have "∃y. x + y = 0" for x :: 'a
    using add.right_inverse by blast
 moreover have "x \neq 0 \implies \exists y. \ x * y = 1" for x :: 'aby (rule exI[of _ "inverse x"]) auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
```

```
by unfold_locales (auto simp:algebra_simps Units_def)
qed
```
# **38.9 Definition**

```
typedef (overloaded) 'a :: field alg_closure =
  "carrier (field.alg_closure (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a :: field ring))"
proof -
  define K where "K ≡ (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
  define L where "L \equiv field.alg_closure K"
 interpret K: field K
    unfolding K_def by rule
 interpret algebraic_closure L "range K.indexed_const"
 proof -
   have *: "carrier K = UNIV"
      by (auto simp: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
   show "algebraic_closure L (range K.indexed_const)"
      unfolding * [symmetric] L_def by (rule K.alg_closureE)
  qed
 show "∃ x. x ∈ carrier L"
    using zero_closed by blast
qed
setup_lifting type_definition_alg_closure
instantiation alg_closure :: (field) field
begin
context
 fixes L K
 defines "K ≡ (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a :: field ring)"
  defines "L ≡ field.alg_closure K"
begin
interpretation K: field K
  unfolding K_def by rule
interpretation algebraic_closure L "range K.indexed_const"
proof -
 have *: "carrier K = UNIV"
    by (auto simp: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
 show "algebraic_closure L (range K.indexed_const)"
    unfolding * [symmetric] L_def by (rule K.alg_closureE)
qed
lift_definition zero_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure" is "ring.zero L"
```

```
by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
lift_definition one_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure" is "monoid.one L"
 by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
lift_definition plus_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure ⇒
'a alg_closure"
 is "ring.add L"
 by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
lift_definition minus_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure ⇒
'a alg_closure"
 is "a_minus L"
 by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
lift_definition times_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure ⇒
'a alg_closure"
 is "monoid.mult L"
 by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
lift_definition uminus_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure"
 is "a_inv L"
 by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
lift_definition inverse_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure \Rightarrow 'a alg_closure"
 is "\lambdax. if x = ring.zero L then ring.zero L else m inv L x"
 by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (auto simp: field_Units)
lift_definition divide_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure
⇒ 'a alg_closure"
 is "\lambda x y. if y = ring.zero L then ring.zero L else monoid.mult L x (m_inv
L y"
 by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (auto simp: field_Units)
end
instance proof -
  define K where "K \equiv (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
  define L where "L ≡ field.alg_closure K"
 interpret K: field K
    unfolding K_def by rule
 interpret algebraic_closure L "range K.indexed_const"
  proof -
    have *: "carrier K = UNIV"
      by (auto simp: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
    show "algebraic_closure L (range K.indexed_const)"
      unfolding * [symmetric] L_def by (rule K.alg_closureE)
```
## **qed**

```
show "OFCLASS('a alg_closure, field_class)"
proof (standard, goal_cases)
 case 1
 show ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule m_assoc)
next
  case 2
 show ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule m_comm)
next
 case 3
 show ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule l_one)
next
  case 4
  show ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule a_assoc)
next
  case 5
  show ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule a_comm)
next
 case 6
 show ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule l_zero)
next
  case 7
 show ?case
   by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
next
  case 8
 show ?case
   by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
next
  case 9
  show ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule ring_simprules)
next
  case 10
  show ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (rule zero_not_one)
next
  case 11
  thus ?case
    by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) (auto simp: field_Units)
next
  case 12
```

```
thus ?case
      by (transfer, fold K_def, fold L_def) auto
 next
    case 13
    thus ?case
      by transfer auto
 qed
qed
```

```
end
```

```
38.10 The algebraic closure is algebraically closed
```

```
instance alg_closure :: (field) alg_closed_field
proof
  define K where "K \equiv (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
  define L where "L ≡ field.alg_closure K"
  interpret K: field K
    unfolding K_def by rule
  interpret algebraic_closure L "range K.indexed_const"
  proof -
    have *: "carrier K = UNIV"
      by (auto simp: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
    show "algebraic_closure L (range K.indexed_const)"
      unfolding * [symmetric] L_def by (rule K.alg_closureE)
  qed
  have [simp]: "Rep_alg_closure x \in carrier L" for x
    using Rep_alg_closure[of x] by (simp only: L_def K_def)
  have [simp]: "Rep_alg_closure x = Rep_alg_closure y \leftrightarrow x = y'' for x
y
    by (simp add: Rep_alg_closure_inject)
  have [simp]: "Rep_alg_closure x = 0_L \leftrightarrow x = 0" for x
  proof -
    have "Rep_alg_closure x = Rep_alg_cclosure 0 \leftrightarrow x = 0"
      by simp
    also have "Rep_alg_closure 0 = 0_L"
      by (simp add: zero_alg_closure.rep_eq L_def K_def)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  have [simp]: "Rep alg closure (x \cap n) = Rep alg closure x \lceil \cdot \rceil<sub>L</sub> n"
    for x :: "'a alg_closure" and n
    by (induction n)
       (auto simp: one_alg_closure.rep_eq times_alg_closure.rep_eq m_comm
             simp flip: L def K def)
```

```
have [simp]: "Rep_alg_closure (Abs_alg_closure x) = x" if "x \in carrier
L" for x
    using that unfolding L_def K_def by (rule Abs_alg_closure_inverse)
 show "∃ x. poly p x = 0" if p: "Polynomial.lead_coeff p = 1" "Polynomial.degree
p > 0"
    for p :: "'a alg_closure poly"
  proof -
    define P where "P = rev (map Rep_alg_closure (Polynomial.coeffs p))"
    have deg: "Polynomials.degree P = Polynomial.degree p"
      by (auto simp: P_def degree_eq_length_coeffs)
    have carrier_P: "P ∈ carrier (poly_ring L)"
      by (auto simp: univ_poly_def polynomial_def P_def hd_map hd_rev
last_map
                     last_coeffs_eq_coeff_degree)
    hence "splitted P"
      using roots_over_carrier by blast
    hence "roots P \neq {\text{\{#}}\}"
      unfolding splitted_def using deg p by auto
    then obtain x where "x ∈# roots P"
      by blast
   hence x: "is_root P x"
      using roots_mem_iff_is_root[OF carrier_P] by auto
    hence [simp]: "x ∈ carrier L"
      by (auto simp: is_root_def)
    define x' where "x' = Abs_alg_closure x"
    define xs where "xs = rev (coeffs p)"
   have "cr_alg_closure (eval (map Rep_alg_closure xs) x) (poly (Poly
(rev xs) x')''by (induction xs)
         (auto simp flip: K_def L_def simp: cr_alg_closure_def
                 zero_alg_closure.rep_eq plus_alg_closure.rep_eq
                 times_alg_closure.rep_eq Poly_append poly_monom
                 a_comm m_comm x'_def)
    also have "map Rep_alg_closure xs = P"
      by (simp add: xs_def P_def rev_map)
    also have "Poly (rev xs) = p"
      by (simp add: xs_def)
    finally have "poly p x' = 0"
      using x by (auto simp: is_root_def cr_alg_closure_def)
    thus "\exists x. poly p \times z = 0" ..
 qed
qed
```
**38.11 Converting between the base field and the closure context fixes** L K

```
defines "K ≡ (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a :: field ring)"
  defines "L ≡ field.alg_closure K"
begin
interpretation K: field K
  unfolding K_def by rule
interpretation algebraic_closure L "range K.indexed_const"
proof -
 have *: "carrier K = UNIV"
    by (auto simp: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
 show "algebraic_closure L (range K.indexed_const)"
    unfolding * [symmetric] L_def by (rule K.alg_closureE)
qed
lemma alg closure hom: "K.indexed const \in Ring.ring hom K L"
  unfolding L_def using K.alg_closureE(2) .
lift_definition to_ac :: "'a :: field \Rightarrow 'a alg_closure"
 is "ring.indexed_const K"
 by (fold K_def, fold L_def) (use mem_carrier in blast)
lemma to_ac_0 [simp]: "to_ac (0 :: 'a) = 0"
proof -
 have "to_ac (0_K) = 0"
 proof (transfer fixing: K, fold K_def, fold L_def)
   show "K.indexed const 0_K = 0_L"
      using Ring.ring_hom_zero[OF alg_closure_hom] K.ring_axioms is_ring
      by simp
  qed
 thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
qed
lemma to_ac_1 [simp]: "to_ac (1 :: 'a) = 1"
proof -
 have "to_ac (1_K) = 1"
 proof (transfer fixing: K, fold K_def, fold L_def)
   show "K.indexed const 1_K = 1_L"
      using Ring.ring_hom_one[OF alg_closure_hom] K.ring_axioms is_ring
      by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
qed
lemma to ac add [simp]: "to ac (x + y :: 'a) = to ac x + to ac y"
proof -
 have "to_ac (x \oplus_K y) = to_ac x + to_ac y''
```

```
proof (transfer fixing: K x y, fold K_def, fold L_def)
    show "K.indexed_const (x \oplus_K y) = K.indexed_const x \oplus_L K.indexed_const
y"
      using Ring.ring_hom_add[OF alg_closure_hom, of x y] K.ring_axioms
is ring
      by (simp add: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
qed
lemma to_ac_minus [simp]: "to_ac (-x :: 'a) = -to_ac x"
  using to_ac_add to_ac_0 add_eq_0_iff by metis
lemma to_ac_diff [simp]: "to_ac (x - y :: 'a) = to_a c x - to_a c y"using to_ac_add[of x "-y"] by simp
lemma to_ac_mult [simp]: "to_ac (x * y :: 'a) = to_a c x * to_a c y"proof -
  have "to_ac (x \otimes_K y) = to_ac x * to_ac y"
  proof (transfer fixing: K x y, fold K_def, fold L_def)
    show "K.indexed_const (x \otimes_K y) = K.indexed_const x \otimes_L K.indexed_const
y"
      using Ring.ring_hom_mult[OF alg_closure_hom, of x y] K.ring_axioms
is_ring
      by (simp add: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
qed
lemma to_ac_inverse [simp]: "to_ac (inverse x :: 'a) = inverse (to_ac
x)"
  using to_ac_mult[of x "inverse x"] to_ac_1 to_ac_0
  by (metis divide_self_if field_class.field_divide_inverse field_class.field_inverse_zero
inverse_unique)
lemma to_ac_divide [simp]: "to_ac (x / y :: 'a) = to_ac x / to_ac y"
  using to_ac_mult[of x "inverse y"] to_ac_inverse[of y]
  by (simp add: field_class.field_divide_inverse)
lemma to_ac_power [simp]: "to_ac (x \nightharpoonup n) = to_ac x \nightharpoonup n"
  by (induction n) auto
lemma to_ac_of_nat [simp]: "to_ac (of_nat n) = of_nat n"
  by (induction n) auto
lemma to ac of int [simp]: "to ac (of int n) = of int n"
  by (induction n) auto
```

```
lemma to_ac_numeral [simp]: "to_ac (numeral n) = numeral n"
  using to_ac_of_nat[of "numeral n"] by (simp del: to_ac_of_nat)
lemma to_ac_sum: "to_ac (\sum x \in A. f x) = (\sum x \in A. to_ac (f x))"
  by (induction A rule: infinite_finite_induct) auto
lemma to_ac_prod: "to_ac (∏x∈A. f x) = (∏x∈A. to_ac (f x))"
  by (induction A rule: infinite_finite_induct) auto
lemma to_ac_sum_list: "to_ac (sum_list xs) = (\sum x \leftarrow xs. to_ac x)"
  by (induction xs) auto
lemma to_ac_prod_list: "to_ac (prod_list xs) = (Q
x←xs. to_ac x)"
  by (induction xs) auto
lemma to_ac_sum_mset: "to_ac (sum_mset xs) = (\sum x \in #xs. to_ac x)"
  by (induction xs) auto
lemma to_ac_prod_mset: "to_ac (prod_mset xs) = (Q
x∈#xs. to_ac x)"
  by (induction xs) auto
end
lemma (in ring) indexed_const_eq_iff [simp]:
  "indexed const x = (indexed const y :: 'c multiset \Rightarrow 'a) \longleftrightarrow x = y"
proof
  assume "indexed const x = (indexed const y :: 'c multiset \Rightarrow 'a)"
  hence "indexed_const x ({#} :: 'c multiset) = indexed_const y ({#} ::
'c multiset)"
    by metis
  thus "x = y"
    by (simp add: indexed_const_def)
qed auto
lemma inj_to_ac: "inj to_ac"
  by (transfer, intro injI, subst (asm) ring.indexed_const_eq_iff) auto
lemma to_ac_eq_iff [simp]: "to_ac x = to_ac y \longleftrightarrow x = y"
  using inj_to_ac by (auto simp: inj_on_def)
lemma to_ac_eq_0_iff [simp]: "to_ac x = 0 \leftrightarrow x = 0"
  and to_ac_eq_0_iff' [simp]: "0 = to_ac x \leftrightarrow x = 0"
  and to_ac_eq_1_iff [simp]: "to_ac x = 1 \longleftrightarrow x = 1"
  and to_ac_eq_1_iff' [simp]: "1 = to_ac x \leftrightarrow x = 1"
  using to_ac_eq_iff to_ac_0 to_ac_1 by metis+
definition of_ac :: "'a :: field alg_closure \Rightarrow 'a" where
```

```
"of_ac x = (if x \in range to_a ac then inv_into UNIV to_ac x else 0)"
lemma of_ac_eqI: "to_ac x = y \implies of_ac y = x"
  unfolding of_ac_def by (meson inj_to_ac inv_f_f range_eqI)
lemma of_{ac} 0 [simp]: "of_ac 0 = 0"
  and of ac 1 [simp]: "of ac 1 = 1"
  by (rule of_ac_eqI; simp; fail)+
lemma of_a c_to_a c [simp]: "of_a c (to_a c x) = x"by (rule of_ac_eqI) auto
lemma to_ac_of_ac: "x \in \text{range to}_\text{ac} \implies \text{to}_\text{ac} (of_ac x) = x''by auto
lemma CHAR_alg_closure [simp]:
  "CHAR('a :: field alg_closure) = CHAR('a)"
proof (rule CHAR_eqI)
  show "of_nat CHAR('a) = (0 :: 'a alg_classure)"
    by (metis of_nat_CHAR to_ac_0 to_ac_of_nat)
next
  show "CHAR('a) dvd n" if "of_nat n = (0 :: 'a alg_closure)" for n
    using that by (metis of_nat_eq_0_iff_char_dvd to_ac_eq_0_iff' to_ac_of_nat)
qed
instance alg_closure :: (field_char_0) field_char_0
proof
  show "inj (of nat :: nat \Rightarrow 'a alg closure)"
    by (metis injD inj_of_nat inj_on_def inj_to_ac to_ac_of_nat)
qed
bundle alg_closure_syntax
begin
notation to_ac ("_↑" [1000] 999)
notation of_ac ("_↓" [1000] 999)
end
bundle alg_closure_syntax'
begin
notation (output) to_ac ("_")
notation (output) of_ac ("_")
end
```
### **38.12 The algebraic closure is an algebraic extension**

The algebraic closure is an algebraic extension, i.e. every element in it is a root of some non-zero polynomial in the base field.

```
theorem alg_closure_algebraic:
  fixes x :: "'a :: field alg_closure"
 obtains p :: "'a poly" where "p \neq 0" "poly (map_poly to_ac p) x = 0"
proof -
  define K where "K \equiv (ring of type algebra :: 'a ring)"
  define L where "L ≡ field.alg_closure K"
 interpret K: field K
    unfolding K_def by rule
  interpret algebraic_closure L "range K.indexed_const"
 proof -
    have *: "carrier K = UNIV"
      by (auto simp: K_def ring_of_type_algebra_def)
    show "algebraic_closure L (range K.indexed_const)"
      unfolding * [symmetric] L_def by (rule K.alg_closureE)
  qed
 let ?K = "range K.indexed_const"
  have sr: "subring ?K L"
    by (rule subring_axioms)
  define x' where "x' = Rep alg closure x''have "x' ∈ carrier L"
    unfolding x'_def L_def K_def by (rule Rep_alg_closure)
  hence alg: "(algebraic over range K.indexed_const) x'"
    using algebraic_extension by blast
  then obtain p where p: "p \in carrier (\mathcal{X}[X]_L)" "p \neq []" "eval p x'
= 0<sub>L</sub>"
    using algebraicE[OF sr \langle x \rangle \in carrier L> alg] by blast
  have [simp]: "Rep_alg_closure x \in carrier L" for x
    using Rep_alg_closure[of x] by (simp only: L_def K_def)
  have [simp]: "Abs_alg_closure x = 0 \leftrightarrow x = 0<sub>L</sub>" if "x \in carrier L"
for x
    using that unfolding L_def K_def
    by (metis Abs_alg_closure_inverse zero_alg_closure.rep_eq zero_alg_closure_def)
  have [simp]: "Rep_alg_closure (x \cap n) = Rep_alg_closure x [\cap]_L n"
    for x :: "'a alg_closure" and n
    by (induction n)
       (auto simp: one alg closure.rep eq times alg closure.rep eq m comm
             simp flip: L def K def)
  have [simp]: "Rep alg closure (Abs alg closure x) = x" if "x \in carrier
L" for x
    using that unfolding L_def K_def by (rule Abs_alg_closure_inverse)
  have [simp]: "Rep_alg_closure x = 0_L \leftrightarrow x = 0" for x
```

```
by (metis K_def L_def Rep_alg_closure_inverse zero_alg_closure.rep_eq)
  define p' where "p' = Poly (map Abs_alg_closure (rev p))"
 have "p' \neq 0"
 proof
    assume "p' = 0"
    then obtain n where n: "map Abs_alg_closure (rev p) = replicate n
^{\circ}by (auto simp: p'_def Poly_eq_0)
    with \langle p \neq [ ] > have "n > 0"
      by (auto intro!: Nat.gr0I)
    have "last (map Abs_alg_closure (rev p)) = 0"
      using \langle n \rangle 0 by (subst n) auto
    moreover have "Polynomials.lead_coeff p \neq 0<sup>n</sup>" "Polynomials.lead_coeff
p ∈ carrier L"
      using p \leq p \neq [ > local.subset
      by (fastforce simp: polynomial_def univ_poly_def)+
    ultimately show False
      using \{p \neq []\} by (auto simp: last_map last_rev)
 qed
 have "set p ⊆ carrier L"
    using local.subset p by (auto simp: univ_poly_def polynomial_def)
  hence "cr_alg_closure (eval p x') (poly p' x)"
    unfolding p'_def
    by (induction p)
       (auto simp flip: K_def L_def simp: cr_alg_closure_def
               zero alg closure.rep eq plus alg closure.rep eq
               times alg_closure.rep_eq Poly_append poly_monom
               a_comm m_comm x'_def)
  hence "poly p' x = 0"
    using p by (auto simp: cr_alg_closure_def x'_def)
 have coeff_p': "Polynomial.coeff p' i ∈ range to_ac" for i
  proof (cases "i \geq length p")
    case False
    have "Polynomial.coeff p' i = Abs_alg_closure (rev p ! i)"
      unfolding p'_def using False
      by (auto simp: nth_default_def)
    moreover have "rev p ! i ∈ ?K"
      using p(1) False by (auto simp: univ_poly_def polynomial_def rev_nth)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      unfolding to_ac.abs_eq K_def by fastforce
  qed (auto simp: p'_def nth_default_def)
  define p' where "p'" = map poly of ac p'"
  have p'<sub>-</sub>eq: "p' = map<sub>poly</sub> to<sub>-</sub>ac p'"
```

```
by (rule poly_eqI) (auto simp: coeff_map_poly p''_def to_ac_of_ac[OF
```

```
coeff_p'])
 show ?thesis
  proof (rule that)
    show "p'' \neq 0"
      using \langle p' \neq 0 \rangle by (auto simp: p' _eq)next
    show "poly (map poly to ac p'') x = 0"
      using \langle \text{poly } p \rangle x = 0> by (simp add: p'<sub>eq</sub>)
  qed
qed
instantiation alg_closure :: (field)
  "{unique_euclidean_ring, normalization_euclidean_semiring, normalization_semidom_multipli
begin
definition [simp]: "normalize_alg_closure = (normalize_field :: 'a alg_closure
⇒ _)"
definition [simp]: "unit_factor_alg_closure = (unit_factor_field :: 'a
alg_closure \Rightarrow _)"
definition [simp]: "modulo_alg_closure = (mod_field :: 'a alg_closure ⇒
_{-})"
definition [simp]: "euclidean_size_alg_closure = (euclidean_size_field
:: 'a alg_closure ⇒ _)"
definition [simp]: "division segment (x : : 'a alg closure) = 1"
instance
  by standard
    (simp_all add: dvd_field_iff field_split_simps split: if_splits)
end
instantiation alg_closure :: (field) euclidean_ring_gcd
begin
definition gcd_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure \Rightarrow 'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure"
where
  "gcd_alg_closure = Euclidean_Algorithm.gcd"
definition lcm_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure"
where
  "lcm_alg_closure = Euclidean_Algorithm.lcm"
definition Gcd_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure set \Rightarrow 'a alg_closure" where
 "Gcd_alg_closure = Euclidean_Algorithm.Gcd"
definition Lcm_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure set ⇒ 'a alg_closure" where
 "Lcm_alg_closure = Euclidean_Algorithm.Lcm"
instance by standard (simp_all add: gcd_alg_closure_def lcm_alg_closure_def
```

```
Gcd_alg_closure_def Lcm_alg_closure_def)
```

```
end
```

```
instance alg_closure :: (field) semiring_gcd_mult_normalize
 ..
end
```

```
theory Ideal_Product
 imports Ideal
begin
```
# **39 Product of Ideals**

In this section, we study the structure of the set of ideals of a given ring.

```
inductive_set
  ideal_prod :: "[ ('a, 'b) ring_scheme, 'a set, 'a set ] \Rightarrow 'a set" (infixl
\cdots \cdots 80)
  for R and I and J where
    prod: "[i \in I; j \in J] \implies i \otimes_R j \in ideal prod R I J"
  | sum: "[s1 \in ideal_prod R I J; s2 \in ideal_prod R I J ] \implies s1 \oplus_Rs2 \in ideal prod R I J"
definition ideals_set :: "('a, 'b) ring_scheme \Rightarrow ('a set) ring"
  where "ideals_set R = \{ carrier = \{ I. ideal I R \},
                                mult = ideal prod R,
                                 one = carrier R,
                                 zero = { 0_R },
                                  add = set\_add R ||"
```
# **39.1 Basic Properties**

```
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_in_carrier:
 assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
 shows "I · J ⊆ carrier R"
proof
 fix s assume "s \in I · J" thus "s \in carrier R"
    by (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct) (auto, meson assms ideal.I_l_closed
ideal.Icarr)
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_inter:
 assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
 shows "I · J ⊆ I ∩ J"
proof
 fix s assume "s \in I \cdot J" thus "s \in I \cap J"
    apply (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
```

```
apply (auto, (meson assms ideal.I_r_closed ideal.I_l_closed ideal.Icarr)+)
    apply (simp_all add: additive_subgroup.a_closed assms ideal.axioms(1))
    done
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_is_ideal:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
  shows "ideal (I · J) R"
proof (rule idealI)
  show "ring R" using ring_axioms .
next
  show "subgroup (I · J) (add_monoid R)"
    unfolding subgroup_def
  proof (auto)
    show "0 \in I \cdot J" using ideal_prod.prod[of 0 \in I \cdot J R]
      by (simp add: additive_subgroup.zero_closed assms ideal.axioms(1))
  next
    fix s1 s2 assume s1: "s1 ∈ I · J" and s2: "s2 ∈ I · J"
    \mathtt{have}\ \mathtt{IJcarr}\colon\mathord{\texttt{``}}\backslash\mathtt{a}. \ \mathtt{a}\in\mathtt{I}\,\mathord{\texttt{``}}\,\mathord{\implies}\ \mathtt{a}\in\mathtt{carrier}\ \mathtt{R}\mathtt{''}by (meson assms subsetD ideal_prod_in_carrier)
    show "s1 ∈ carrier R" using ideal_prod_in_carrier[OF assms] s1 by
blast
    show "s1 \oplus s2 \in I \cdot J" by (simp add: ideal_prod.sum[OF s1 s2])
    show "invadd_monoid R s1 ∈ I · J" using s1
    proof (induct s1 rule: ideal_prod.induct)
      case (prod i j)
      hence "inv<sub>add</sub> monoid R (i ⊗ j) = (inv<sub>add</sub> monoid R i) ⊗ j"
         by (metis a_inv_def assms(1) assms(2) ideal.Icarr l_minus)
      thus ?case using ideal_prod.prod[of "invadd_monoid R i" I j J R]
assms
         by (simp add: additive_subgroup.a_subgroup ideal.axioms(1) prod.hyps
subgroup.m_inv_closed)
    next
      case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
         by (metis (no_types) IJcarr a_inv_def add.inv_mult_group ideal_prod.sum
sum.hyps)
    qed
  qed
next
  fix s x assume s: "s \in I · J" and x: "x \in carrier R"
  show "x \otimes s \in I \cdot J" using s
  proof (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
    case (prod i j) thus ?case using ideal_prod.prod[of "x ⊗ i" I j J
R] assms
      by (simp add: x ideal.I_l_closed ideal.Icarr m_assoc)
  next
    case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
    proof -
      have IJ: "I · J ⊆ carrier R"
```

```
by (metis (no_types) assms(1) assms(2) ideal.axioms(2) ring.ideal_prod_in_carrier)
      then have "s2 ∈ carrier R"
        using sum.hyps(3) by blast
      moreover have "s1 ∈ carrier R"
        using IJ sum.hyps(1) by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (simp add: ideal_prod.sum r_distr sum.hyps x)
    qed
 qed
 show "s \otimes x \in I · J" using s
  proof (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
    case (prod i j) thus ?case using ideal_prod.prod[of i I "j ⊗ x" J
R] assms x
      by (simp add: x ideal.I_r_closed ideal.Icarr m_assoc)
  next
    case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
    proof -
      have "s1 ∈ carrier R" "s2 ∈ carrier R"
        by (meson assms subsetD ideal_prod_in_carrier sum.hyps)+
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis ideal_prod.sum l_distr sum.hyps(2) sum.hyps(4) x)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_eq_genideal:
 assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
 shows "I \cdot J = Idl (I \langle#> J)"
proof
 have "I <#> J ⊆ I · J"
 proof
    fix s assume "s \in I \leq \neq \geq J"
    then obtain i j where "i ∈ I" "j ∈ J" "s = i \otimes j"
      unfolding set_mult_def by blast
    thus "s \in I \cdot J" using ideal_prod.prod by simp
 qed
  thus "Idl (I \iff J) \subseteq I \cdot J"
    unfolding genideal_def using ideal_prod_is_ideal[OF assms] by blast
next
 show "I · J ⊆ Idl (I <#> J)"
 proof
    fix s assume "s \in I · J" thus "s \in Idl (I <#> J)"
    proof (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
      case (prod i j) hence "i ⊗ j ∈ I <#> J" unfolding set_mult_def
by blast
      thus ?case unfolding genideal_def by blast
    next
      case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
        by (simp add: additive_subgroup.a_closed additive_subgroup.a_subset
```

```
assms genideal_ideal ideal.axioms(1) set_mult_closed)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_simp:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
  shows "I = I \leftrightarrow (I \cdot J)"proof
  show "I \subseteq I <+> I \cdot J"
  proof
    fix i assume "i \in I" hence "i \oplus 0 \in I \leftrightarrow I \cdot J"
      using set_add_def'[of R I "I · J"] ideal_prod_is_ideal[OF assms]
             additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1), of "I · J"
R] by auto
    thus "i ∈ I <+> I · J"
      using \langle i \in I \rangle assms(1) ideal. Icarr by fastforce
  qed
next
  show "I <+> I · J ⊆ I"
  proof
    fix s assume "s \in I \leftrightarrow I \cdot J"
    then obtain i ij where "i ∈ I" "ij ∈ I · J" "s = i \oplus ij"
      using set_add_def'[of R I "I · J"] by auto
    thus "s \in I"using ideal_prod_inter[OF assms]
      by (meson additive_subgroup.a_closed assms(1) ideal.axioms(1) inf_sup_ord(1)
subsetCE)
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_one:
  assumes "ideal I R"
  shows "I · (carrier R) = I"
proof
  show "I · (carrier R) ⊆ I"
  proof
    fix s assume "s \in I \cdot (carrier R)" thus "s \in I"
      by (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
          (simp_all add: assms ideal.I_r_closed additive_subgroup.a_closed
ideal.axioms(1))
  qed
next
  show "I \subseteq I \cdot (carrier R)"
  proof
    fix i assume "i \in I" thus "i \in I · (carrier R)"
      by (metis assms ideal.Icarr ideal_prod.simps one_closed r_one)
  qed
```
#### **qed**

```
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_zero:
  assumes "ideal I R"
  shows "I \cdot { 0 } = { 0 }"
proof
  show "I · { 0 } ⊆ { 0 }"
  proof
    fix s assume "s \in I \cdot \{0\}" thus "s \in \{0\}"
      using assms ideal.Icarr by (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct) (fastforce,
simp)
  qed
next
  show "{ 0 } ⊆ I · { 0 }"
    by (simp add: additive_subgroup.zero_closed assms
                   ideal.axioms(1) ideal_prod_is_ideal zeroideal)
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_assoc:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R" "ideal K R"
  shows "(\text{I} \cdot \text{J}) \cdot \text{K} = \text{I} \cdot (\text{J} \cdot \text{K})"proof
  \textbf{show} "(I · J) · K \subseteq I · (J · K)"
  proof
    fix s assume "s \in (I \cdot J) \cdot K" thus "s \in I \cdot (J \cdot K)"
    proof (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
      case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
        by (simp add: ideal_prod.sum)
    next
      case (prod i k) thus ?case
      proof (induct i rule: ideal_prod.induct)
        case (prod i j) thus ?case
          using ideal_prod.prod[OF prod(1) ideal_prod.prod[OF prod(2-3),of
R], of R]
          by (metis assms ideal.Icarr m_assoc)
      next
        case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
        proof -
          have "s1 ∈ carrier R" "s2 ∈ carrier R"
             by (meson assms subsetD ideal.axioms(2) ring.ideal_prod_in_carrier
sum.hyps)+
          moreover have "k ∈ carrier R"
             by (meson additive_subgroup.a_Hcarr assms(3) ideal.axioms(1)
sum.prems)
          ultimately show ?thesis
             by (metis ideal_prod.sum l_distr sum.hyps(2) sum.hyps(4) sum.prems)
        qed
      qed
    qed
```

```
qed
next
  \textbf{show} "I · (J \cdot K) \subseteq (I \cdot J) \cdot K"
  proof
    fix s assume "s \in I · (J \cdot K)" thus "s \in (I \cdot J) \cdot K"
    proof (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
      case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case by (simp add: ideal_prod.sum)
    next
      case (prod i j) show ?case using prod(2) prod(1)
      proof (induct j rule: ideal_prod.induct)
         case (prod j k) thus ?case
           using ideal_prod.prod[OF ideal_prod.prod[OF prod(3) prod(1),
of R] prod (2), of R]
           by (metis assms ideal.Icarr m_assoc)
      next
         case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
         proof -
           have "
V
a A B. [[a ∈ B · A; ideal A R; ideal B R]] =⇒ a ∈ carrier
R"
             by (meson subsetD ideal_prod_in_carrier)
           moreover have "i ∈ carrier R"
             by (meson additive_subgroup.a_Hcarr assms(1) ideal.axioms(1)
sum.prems)
           ultimately show ?thesis
             by (metis (no_types) assms(2) assms(3) ideal_prod.sum r_distr
sum)
         qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma (in ring) ideal_prod_r_distr:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R" "ideal K R"
  shows "I · (J \leftrightarrow K) = (I \cdot J) \leftrightarrow (I \cdot K)"
proof
  show "I · (J \leftrightarrow K) \subset I · J \leftrightarrow I · K"
  proof
    fix s assume "s \in I · (J \leftrightarrow K)" thus "s \in I · J \leftrightarrow I · K"
    proof(induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
      case (prod i jk)
      then obtain j k where j: "j \in J" and k: "k \in K" and jk: "jk =
j ⊕ k"
         using set_add_def'[of R J K] by auto
      hence "i ⊗ j ⊕ i ⊗ k ∈ I · J <+> I · K"
         using ideal_prod.prod[OF prod(1) j,of R]
               ideal prod.prod[OF prod(1) k,of R]
               set_add_def'[of R "I · J" "I · K"] by auto
      thus ?case
```

```
using assms ideal.Icarr r_distr jk j k prod(1) by metis
    next
      case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
        by (simp add: add ideals additive subgroup.a closed assms ideal.axioms(1)
                        local.ring_axioms ring.ideal_prod_is_ideal)
    qed
  qed
next
  { fix s J K assume A: "ideal J R" "ideal K R" "s ∈ I · J"
    have "s \in I · (J < +> K) \land s \in I · (K < +> J)"
    proof -
      from \langle s \in I \cdot J \rangle have "s \in I \cdot (J \langle + \rangle K)"
      proof (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
        case (prod i j)
        hence "(j \oplus 0) \in J \leftrightarrow K"
           using set add def'[of R J K]
                 additive_subgroup.zero_closed[OF ideal.axioms(1), of K
R] A(2) by auto
        thus ?case
           by (metis A(1) additive_subgroup.a_Hcarr ideal.axioms(1) ideal_prod.prod
prod r_zero)
      next
        case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case
           by (simp add: ideal_prod.sum)
      qed
      thus ?thesis
        by (metis A(1) A(2) ideal_def ring.union_genideal sup_commute)
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
  show "I · J <+> I · K \subseteq I · (J <+> K)"
  proof
    fix s assume "s \in I · J <+> I · K"
    then obtain s1 s2 where s1: "s1 \in I \cdot J" and s2: "s2 \in I \cdot K" and
s: "s = s1 \oplus s2"using set add \text{def'} [of R "I \cdot J" "I \cdot K"] by auto
    thus "s \in I \cdot (J \leftrightarrow K)"
      using aux_lemma[OF assms(2) assms(3) s1]
             aux_lemma[OF assms(3) assms(2) s2] by (simp add: ideal_prod.sum)
  qed
qed
lemma (in cring) ideal_prod_commute:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
  shows "I \cdot J = J \cdot I"proof -
  { fix I J assume A: "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
    have "I · J ⊆ J · I"
    proof
```

```
fix s assume "s \in I \cdot J" thus "s \in J \cdot I"
      proof (induct s rule: ideal_prod.induct)
        case (prod i j) thus ?case
          using m comm[OF ideal.Icarr[OF A(1) prod(1)] ideal.Icarr[OF
A(2) prod(2)]]
          by (simp add: ideal_prod.prod)
      next
        case (sum s1 s2) thus ?case by (simp add: ideal_prod.sum)
      qed
    qed }
  thus ?thesis using assms by blast
qed
The following result would also be true for locale ring
lemma (in cring) ideal_prod_distr:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R" "ideal K R"
  shows "I · (J \leftrightarrow K) = (I \cdot J) \leftrightarrow (I \cdot K)"
    and "(J <+> K) \cdot I = (J \cdot I) <+> (K \cdot I)"
  by (simp_all add: assms ideal_prod_commute local.ring_axioms
                     ring.add_ideals ring.ideal_prod_r_distr)
lemma (in cring) ideal_prod_eq_inter:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
    and "I <+> J = carrier R"
  shows "I \cdot J = I \cap J"
proof
  show "I · J ⊆ I ∩ J"
    using assms ideal_prod_inter by auto
next
  show "I ∩ J ⊆ I · J"
  proof
    have "1 \in I \leftrightarrow J" using assms(3) one closed by simp
    then obtain i j where ij: "i ∈ I" "j ∈ J" "1 = i ⊕ j"
      using set_add_def'[of R I J] by auto
    fix s assume s: "s ∈ I ∩ J"
    hence "(i \otimes s \in I \cdot J) \wedge (s \otimes j \in I \cdot J)"
      using ij(1-2) by (simp add: ideal_prod.prod)
    moreover have "s = (i \otimes s) \oplus (s \otimes j)"
      using ideal.Icarr[OF assms(1) ij(1)]
             ideal.Icarr[OF assms(2) ij(2)]
             ideal.Icarr[OF assms(1), of s]
      by (metis ij(3) s m_comm[of s i] Int_iff r_distr r_one)
    ultimately show "s \in I \cdot J"
      using ideal_prod.sum by fastforce
  qed
qed
```
### **39.2 Structure of the Set of Ideals**

```
We focus on commutative rings for convenience.
lemma (in cring) ideals_set_is_semiring: "semiring (ideals_set R)"
proof -
  have "abelian_monoid (ideals_set R)"
    apply (rule abelian_monoidI) unfolding ideals_set_def
    apply (simp_all add: add_ideals zeroideal)
    apply (simp add: add.set_mult_assoc additive_subgroup.a_subset ideal.axioms(1)
set_add_defs(1))
    apply (metis Un_absorb1 additive_subgroup.a_subset additive_subgroup.zero_closed
        cgenideal_minimal cgenideal_self empty_iff genideal_minimal ideal.axioms(1)
        local.ring_axioms order_refl ring.genideal_self subset_antisym
subset_singletonD
        union_genideal zero_closed zeroideal)
    by (metis sup_commute union_genideal)
  moreover have "monoid (ideals_set R)"
    apply (rule monoidI) unfolding ideals_set_def
    apply (simp_all add: ideal_prod_is_ideal oneideal
                           ideal prod commute ideal prod one)
    by (metis ideal_prod_assoc ideal_prod_commute)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding semiring_def semiring_axioms_def ideals_set_def
    by (simp_all add: ideal_prod_distr ideal_prod_commute ideal_prod_zero
zeroideal)
qed
lemma (in cring) ideals_set_is_comm_monoid: "comm_monoid (ideals_set
R)"
proof -
  have "monoid (ideals_set R)"
    apply (rule monoidI) unfolding ideals_set_def
    apply (simp_all add: ideal_prod_is_ideal oneideal
                           ideal_prod_commute ideal_prod_one)
    by (metis ideal_prod_assoc ideal_prod_commute)
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding comm_monoid_def comm_monoid_axioms_def
    by (simp add: ideal_prod_commute ideals_set_def)
qed
lemma (in cring) ideal_prod_eq_Inter_aux:
  assumes "I: \{ \ldots (\text{Suc } n) \} \rightarrow \{ J. \text{ ideal } J R \}"
    \text{and} "\bigwedgei j. \left[\right. i \leq Suc n; \left. \right. \right] \leq Suc n \left.\right] \impliesi \neq j \implies (I i) \leftrightarrow (I j) = carrier R"
  shows "(\bigotimes_{(\text{ideals\_set R})} k \in \{\ldots n\}. I k) <+> (I (Suc n)) = carrier R"
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: I)
```
**case** 0 **hence** "( $\otimes$  (ideals\_set R)  $k \in \{..0\}$ . I k) <+> I (Suc 0) = (I 0) <+> (I (Suc 0))" using comm monoid.finprod  $0$ [OF ideals set is comm monoid, of I] **by** (simp add: atMost\_Suc ideals\_set\_def) **also have** " ... = carrier R" **using** 0(2)[of 0 "Suc 0"] **by** simp **finally show** ?case **. next interpret** ISet: comm\_monoid "ideals\_set R" **by** (simp add: ideals\_set\_is\_comm\_monoid) **case** (Suc n) let  $?I' = "\lambda i$ . I (Suc i)" **have** "?I': {..(Suc n)} → { J. ideal J R }" **using** Suc.prems(1) **by** auto **moreover have** " V i j. [[ i ≤ Suc n; j ≤ Suc n ]] =⇒  $i \neq j \implies$  (?I' i) <+> (?I' j) = carrier R" **by** (simp add: Suc.prems(2)) ultimately have " $(\bigotimes_{(ideals\_set R)} k \in \{\ldots n\}$ . ?I' k) <**+**> (?I' (Suc n)) = carrier R" **using** Suc.hyps **by** metis **moreover have** I\_carr: "I: {..Suc (Suc n)} → carrier (ideals\_set R)" **unfolding** ideals\_set\_def **using** Suc **by** simp **hence** I' carr: "I  $\in$  Suc ' {..n}  $\rightarrow$  carrier (ideals set R)" by auto  $\text{ultimately have } \text{``}(\bigotimes_{(ideals\_set R)} k \in \{(\text{Suc 0})...\text{Suc n}\}.$  Ik) <**+>** (I  $(Suc (Suc n))) = carrier R''$ **using** ISet.finprod\_reindex[of I "λi. Suc i" "{..n}"] **by** (simp add: atMost\_atLeast0) **hence** "(carrier R)  $\cdot$  (I 0) = (( $\otimes$  (ideals\_set R) k  $\in$  {Suc 0..Suc n}. I k)  $\leftrightarrow$  I (Suc (Suc n)))  $\cdot$  (I 0)" **by** auto **moreover have fprod\_cl1:** "ideal  $(\bigotimes_{(ideals\_set R)} k \in \{\text{Suc 0..\text{Suc n}}\}.$  $I k$ )  $R''$ **by** (metis I'\_carr ISet.finprod\_closed One\_nat\_def ideals\_set\_def image\_Suc\_atMost mem\_Collect\_eq partial\_object.select\_convs(1)) **ultimately** have "I 0 =  $(\bigotimes_{(ideals\_set R)} k \in {\text{Suc 0..Suc n}}.$  I k) · (I 0) <+> I  $(Suc(Suc n)) \cdot (I 0)$ " **by** (metis PiE Suc.prems(1) atLeast0\_atMost\_Suc atLeast0\_atMost\_Suc\_eq\_insert\_0 atMost\_atLeast0 ideal\_prod\_commute ideal\_prod\_distr(2) ideal\_prod\_one insertI1 mem\_Collect\_eq oneideal) also have " ... =  $(I \ 0) \cdot (\bigotimes_{(ideals\_set R)} k \in {\text{Suc 0..Suc n}}. I k)$  $\left\langle \rightarrow \right\rangle$  I (Suc (Suc n))  $\cdot$  (I 0)" using fprod cl1 ideal prod commute Suc.prems(1) **by** (simp add: atLeast0\_atMost\_Suc\_eq\_insert\_0 atMost\_atLeast0)

```
also have " ... = (I 0) \otimes<sub>(ideals_set R)</sub> (\bigotimes<sub>(ideals_set R)</sub> k \in {Suc 0..Suc
n}. I k) <+>
                         I (Suc (Suc n)) \cdot (I 0)"
     by (simp add: ideals_set_def)
  finally have IO: "I 0 = (Q) (ideals_set R) k \in \{...\text{Suc n}\}. I k) <+> I (Suc
(Suc n)) (1 0)"
     using ISet.finprod_insert[of "{Suc 0..Suc n}" 0 I]
            I_carr I'_carr atMost_atLeast0 ISet.finprod_0' atMost_Suc by
auto
  have I_SucSuc_I0: "ideal (I (Suc (Suc n))) R ∧ ideal (I 0) R"
     using Suc.prems(1) by auto
  have fprod_cl2: "ideal (\bigotimes_{(ideals\_set R)} k \in \{\ldots \text{Suc n}\}. I k) R"
     by (metis (no_types) ISet.finprod_closed I_carr Pi_split_insert_domain
atMost_Suc ideals_set_def mem_Collect_eq partial_object.select_convs(1))
  have "carrier R = I (Suc (Suc n)) <+> I 0"
     by (simp add: Suc.prems(2))
  also have " ... = I (Suc (Suc n)) <+>
                        ((\bigotimes_{\text{(ideals\_set R)}} k \in \{\text{...} \text{Suc n}\}. I k) <+> I (Suc (Suc
n)) · (I 0))"
     using I0 by auto
  also have " ... = I (Suc (Suc n)) <+>
                        (I (Suc (Suc n)) \cdot (I 0) \leftrightarrow (\otimes (ideals_set R) k \in{..Suc n}. I k))"
     using fprod_cl2 I_SucSuc_I0 by (metis Un_commute ideal_prod_is_ideal
union genideal)
  also have " ... = (I (Suc (Suc n)) <+> I (Suc (Suc n)) · (I 0)) <+>
                        (\bigotimes (ideals_set R) k \in \{..\text{Suc n}\}\. I k)"
     using fprod_cl2 I_SucSuc_I0 by (metis add.set_mult_assoc ideal_def
ideal_prod_in_carrier
                                                   oneideal ring.ideal_prod_one
set_add_defs(1))
  also have " ... = I (Suc (Suc n)) <+> (\otimes <sub>(ideals_set R)</sub> k \in \{..\text{Suc n}\}.I (k)"
     using ideal_prod_simp[of "I (Suc (Suc n))" "I 0"] I_SucSuc_I0 by simp
  also have " ... = (Q_{(ideals\_set R)} k \in \{..\text{Suc n}\}. I k) <+> I (Suc (Suc
n))"
     using fprod_cl2 I_SucSuc_I0 by (metis Un_commute union_genideal)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed
theorem (in cring) ideal_prod_eq_Inter:
  assumes "I: {...:n :: nat} \rightarrow {J. ideal J R }''\text{and } \sqrt[n]{\text{i j.} \, \left[ \text{i} \in \{\text{...n}\} ; \text{j} \in \{\text{...n}\} \right]} \implies \text{i} \neq \text{j} \implies \text{(I i) } \iff \text{(I j)}= carrier R"
  shows "(\bigotimes_{(\text{ideals\_set R})} k \in \{\ldots n\}. I k) = (\bigcap k \in \{\ldots n\}. I k)" us-
ing assms
proof (induct n)
```

```
case 0 thus ?case
     using comm_monoid.finprod_0[OF ideals_set_is_comm_monoid] by (simp
add: ideals_set_def)
next
  interpret ISet: comm_monoid "ideals_set R"
     by (simp add: ideals_set_is_comm_monoid)
  case (Suc n)
   hence IH: "(\bigotimes_{\text{(ideals_set R)}} k \in \{..n\}. I k) = (\bigcap k \in \{..n\}. I k)"
     by (simp add: atMost_Suc)
   hence "(\bigotimes (ideals_set R) k \in \{..\text{Suc n}\}\). I k) = I (Suc n) \otimes (ideals_set R)
(\bigcap k \in \{\ldots n\}. I k)<sup>n</sup>
     using ISet.finprod_insert[of "{Suc 0..Suc n}" 0 I] atMost_Suc_eq_insert_0[of
n]
     by (metis ISet.finprod_Suc Suc.prems(1) ideals_set_def partial_object.select_convs(1))
   hence "(\bigotimes (ideals_set R) k \in \{..\text{Suc n}\}\. I k) = I (Suc n) · (\bigcap k \in \{..\text{ n}\}\.
I k<sup>"</sup>
     by (simp add: ideals_set_def)
   moreover have "(\bigcap k \in \{...n\}. I k) < +> I (Suc n) = carrier R"
     using ideal_prod_eq_Inter_aux[of I n] by (simp add: Suc.prems IH)
   moreover have "ideal (\bigcap k \in \{\ldots n\}. I k) R"
      using ring.i_Intersect[of R "I ' {..n}"]
      by (metis IH ISet.finprod_closed Pi_split_insert_domain Suc.prems(1)
atMost_Suc
                    ideals_set_def mem_Collect_eq partial_object.select_convs(1))
  ultimately
   have "(\bigotimes_{(ideals\_set R)} k \in \{..\text{Suc n}\}. I k) = (\bigcap k \in \{..\text{ n}\}. I k) \capI (Suc n)"
      using ideal_prod_eq_inter[of "\bigcap k \in \{..n\}. I k" "I (Suc n)"]
               ideal\_prod\_compute[of " \bigcap k \in \{\ldots n\}. I k" "I (Suc n)"]
     by (metis PiE Suc.prems(1) atMost_iff mem_Collect_eq order_refl)
  thus ?case by (simp add: Int_commute atMost_Suc)
qed
corollary (in cring) inter_plus_ideal_eq_carrier:
   \text{assumes} "\bigwedgei. i \leq Suc n \implies ideal (I i) R"
         \quad \  \  \, \text{and} \ \sqrt[m]{\text{i i}} \ \text{if} \ \leq \text{Suc} \ \text{n}; \ \text{if} \ \leq \text{Suc} \ \text{n}; \ \text{if} \neq \text{if} \ \text{if} \implies \text{I} \ \text{if} \ \text{``\text{-}~\text{-}~\text{-}~\text{-}} \ \text{if} \ \text{if} \ \text{``\text{-}~\text{-}~\text{-}} \ \text{if} \ \text{if} \ \text{``\text{-}~\text{-}~\text{-}} \ \text{if} \ \text{if} \ \text{``\text{-}~\text{-}~\text{-}} \ \text{if}R"
   shows "(\bigcap \text{ } i \leq n. I i) <+> (I (Suc n)) = carrier R"
  using ideal_prod_eq_Inter[of I n] ideal_prod_eq_Inter_aux[of I n] by
(auto simp add: assms)
corollary (in cring) inter_plus_ideal_eq_carrier_arbitrary:
   \text{assumes} "\bigwedgei. i \leq Suc n \implies ideal (I i) R"
         \quad \  \  \, \text{and} \ \sqrt[m]{\text{i i}} \ \text{if} \ \leq \text{Suc} \ \text{ni} \ \text{if} \ \leq \text{Suc} \ \text{ni} \ \text{if} \ \neq \text{j} \ \text{if} \implies \text{I} \ \text{if} \ \text{<=} \ \text{if} \ \text{if} \ \text{=} \ \text{carrier}R"
        and "i < Suc n"
   shows "(\bigcap i ∈ ({..(Suc n)} - { j }). I i) <+> (I j) = carrier R"
proof -
```

```
define I' where "I' = (\lambda i). if i = Suc n then (I \ni) else
                                  if i = j then (I (Suc n))else (I i))"
  have \sqrt[m]{i}. i \leq 5uc n \implies ideal (I' i) R''using I'_def assms(1) assms(3) by auto
  \text{moreover have }\text{``}\text{\textbackslash} i\text{~j.}\text{~} \lbrack\text{~i}\leq \text{Suc~n};~j\leq \text{Suc~n;~i}\neq j\text{~}\text{~} \implies \text{I'}~\text{~i}\iffI' j = carrier R''using I' def assms(2-3) by force
  ultimately have "( \bigcap \ i \leq n. I' i ) \leftrightarrow (I' ( \text{Suc } n ) ) = \text{ carrier } R''using inter_plus_ideal_eq_carrier by simp
  moreover have "I'' \{.\n\} = I' (\{.\n\} (Suc n)) - \{ j \})"
  proof
    show "I' ' {..n} ⊆ I ' ({..Suc n} - {j})"
    proof
       fix x assume "x \in I' ' {..n}"
       then obtain i where i: "i \in \{.\,.\,n\}" "I' i = x" by blast
       thus "x \in I' ({...Suc n} - {j})"
       proof (cases)
         assume "i = j" thus ?thesis using i I'_def by auto
       next
         assume "i \neq j" thus ?thesis using I'_def i insert_iff by auto
       qed
    qed
  next
    show "I ' ({...} Suc n} - {j}) \subseteq I' ' {...}"
    proof
       fix x assume "x \in I' ({..Suc n} - {j})"
       then obtain i where i: "i \in {..Suc n}" "i \neq j" "I i = x" by blast
       thus "x ∈ I' ' {..n}"
       proof (cases)
         assume "i = Suc n" thus ?thesis using I'_def assms(3) i(2-3)
by auto
       next
         assume "i \neq Suc n" thus ?thesis using I'_def i by auto
       qed
    qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using I'_def by metis
qed
```
# **39.3 Another Characterization of Prime Ideals**

With product of ideals being defined, we can give another definition of a prime ideal

```
lemma (in ring) primeideal_divides_ideal_prod:
 assumes "primeideal P R" "ideal I R" "ideal J R"
     and "I · J ⊆ P"
   shows "I ⊆ P ∨ J ⊆ P"
```
```
proof (cases)
  assume "\exists i ∈ I. i \notin P"
  then obtain i where i: "i \in I" "i \notin P" by blast
  have "J ⊆ P"
  proof
     fix j assume j: "j \in J"hence "i ⊗ j ∈ P"
        using ideal_prod.prod[OF i(1) j, of R] assms(4) by auto
     thus "j \in P"using primeideal.I_prime[OF assms(1), of i j] i j
        by (meson assms(2-3) ideal.Icarr)
  qed
  thus ?thesis by blast
next
  assume "\neg (\exists i \in I. i \notin P)" thus ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma (in cring) divides_ideal_prod_imp_primeideal:
  assumes "ideal P R"
     and "P \neq carrier R"
      \quad \  \  \, \text{and} \ \ ^{\shortparallel }\bigwedge \hspace{-0.04cm} {\mathcal I} J. \left[ \begin{array}{l} \, \textrm{i} \, \textrm{dead} \, \, \textrm{I} \, \, \textrm{R}; \, \, \textrm{i} \, \textrm{dead} \, \, \textrm{J} \, \, \textrm{R}; \, \, {\mathcal I} \, \cdot \, \, \textrm{J} \, \, \subseteq \, \textrm{P} \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \ I \, \subseteq \, \mathtt{P} \, \lor \, \ J \, \subseteq \, \mathtt{P}^{\shortshows "primeideal P R"
proof -
   \mathtt{have}\ \ \texttt{"\bigwedge}\mathtt{a}\ \mathtt{b}\colon\ \llbracket \mathtt{a}\in \mathtt{carrier}\ \mathtt{R};\ \mathtt{b}\in \mathtt{carrier}\ \mathtt{R};\ \mathtt{a}\ \otimes\ \mathtt{b}\in\mathtt{P}\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathtt{a}\in\mathtt{P}∨ b ∈ P"
  proof -
     fix a b assume A: "a ∈ carrier R" "b ∈ carrier R" "a ⊗ b ∈ P"
     have "(PIdl a) \cdot (PIdl b) = Idl (PIdl (a \otimes b))"
        using ideal prod eq genideal[of "Idl { a }" "Idl { b }"]
                 A(1-2) cgenideal_eq_genideal cgenideal_ideal cgenideal_prod
by auto
     hence "(PIdl a) \cdot (PIdl b) = PIdl (a \otimes b)"
        by (simp add: A Idl_subset_ideal cgenideal_ideal cgenideal_minimal
                             genideal_self oneideal subset_antisym)
     hence "(PIdl a) · (PIdl b) ⊆ P"
        by (simp add: A(3) assms(1) cgenideal_minimal)
     hence "(PIdl a) ⊆ P ∨ (PIdl b) ⊆ P"
        by (simp add: A assms(3) cgenideal_ideal)
     thus "a ∈ P ∨ b ∈ P"
        using A cgenideal_self by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
     using assms is_cring by (simp add: primeidealI)
qed
end
```
**theory** Chinese\_Remainder

**imports** Weak\_Morphisms Ideal\_Product

**begin**

## **40 Direct Product of Rings**

### **40.1 Definitions**

```
definition RDirProd :: "('a, 'n) ring_scheme ⇒ ('b, 'm) ring_scheme ⇒
('a \times 'b) ring"where "RDirProd R S = monoid.extend (R \times \times S)\parallel zero = one ((add_monoid R) \times\times (add_monoid S)),
              add = mult ((add_monoid R) \times\times (add_monoid S)) \parallel "
abbreviation nil_ring :: "('a list) ring"
  where "nil_ring \equiv monoid.extend nil_monoid \parallel zero = \Box, add = (\lambda a b.
[]) ]"
definition RDirProd_list :: "(('a, 'n) ring_scheme) list \Rightarrow ('a list) ring"
  where "RDirProd list Rs = foldr (\lambdaR S. image ring (\lambda(a, as). a # as)
(RDirProd R S)) Rs nil_ring"
40.2 Basic Properties
lemma RDirProd_carrier: "carrier (RDirProd R S) = carrier R × carrier
S"
  unfolding RDirProd_def DirProd_def by (simp add: monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_add_monoid [simp]: "add_monoid (RDirProd R S) = (add_monoid
R) \times\times (add_monoid S)"
  by (simp add: RDirProd_def monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_ring:
  assumes "ring R" and "ring S" shows "ring (RDirProd R S)"
proof -
  have "monoid (RDirProd R S)"
    using DirProd_monoid[OF assms[THEN ring.axioms(2)]] unfolding monoid_def
    by (auto simp add: DirProd_def RDirProd_def monoid.defs)
  then interpret Prod: group "add_monoid (RDirProd R S)" + monoid "RDirProd
R S"
    using DirProd_group[OF assms[THEN abelian_group.a_group[OF ring.is_abelian_group]]]
    unfolding RDirProd_add_monoid by auto
  show ?thesis
    by (unfold_locales, auto simp add: RDirProd_def DirProd_def monoid.defs
assms ring.ring_simprules)
qed
lemma RDirProd_iso1:
```

```
"(\lambda(x, y). (y, x)) \in \text{ring}_iso (RDirProd R S) (RDirProd S R)"
```

```
unfolding ring_iso_def ring_hom_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def
  by (auto simp add: RDirProd_def DirProd_def monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_iso2:
  "(\lambda(x, (y, z)). ((x, y), z)) \in \text{ring}_iso (RDirProd R (RDirProd S T))
(RDirProd (RDirProd R S) T)"
  unfolding ring iso def ring hom def bij betw def inj on def
  by (auto simp add: image_iff RDirProd_def DirProd_def monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_iso3:
  "\,(\lambda((x, y), z). (x, (y, z))) \in ring_iso (RDirProd (RDirProd R S) T)
(RDirProd R (RDirProd S T))"
  unfolding ring_iso_def ring_hom_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def
  by (auto simp add: image_iff RDirProd_def DirProd_def monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_iso4:
  assumes "f ∈ ring_iso R S" shows "(\lambda(r, t). (f r, t)) ∈ ring_iso (RDirProd
R T) (RDirProd S T)"
  using assms unfolding ring_iso_def ring_hom_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def
  by (auto simp add: image_iff RDirProd_def DirProd_def monoid.defs)+
lemma RDirProd_iso5:
  assumes "f ∈ ring_iso S T" shows "(\lambda(r, s). (r, f s)) ∈ ring_iso (RDirProd
R S) (RDirProd R T)"
  using ring_iso_set_trans[OF ring_iso_set_trans[OF RDirProd_iso1 RDirProd_iso4[OF
assms]] RDirProd iso1]
 by (simp add: case_prod_unfold comp_def)
lemma RDirProd_iso6:
  assumes "f \in ring_iso R R'" and "g \in ring_iso S S'"
 shows "(\lambda(r, s). (f r, g s)) \in ring_iso (RDirProd R S) (RDirProd R'
S'<sup>"</sup>
 using ring_iso_set_trans[OF RDirProd_iso4[OF assms(1)] RDirProd_iso5[OF
assms(2)]]
 by (simp add: case_prod_beta' comp_def)
lemma RDirProd_iso7:
 shows "(\lambda a. (a, [])) \in \text{ring}_i R (RDirProd R nil_ring)"
  unfolding ring_iso_def ring_hom_def bij_betw_def inj_on_def
 by (auto simp add: RDirProd_def DirProd_def monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_hom1:
  shows "(\lambda a. (a, a)) \in \text{ring} hom R (RDirProd R R)"
  by (auto simp add: ring_hom_def RDirProd_def DirProd_def monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_hom2:
 assumes "f \in \text{ring} hom S T"
 shows "(\lambda(x, y). (x, f y)) \in ring_hom (RDirProd R S) (RDirProd R T)"
    and "(\lambda(x, y). (f x, y)) \in ring_hom (RDirProd S R) (RDirProd T R)"
```
**using** assms **by** (auto simp add: ring\_hom\_def RDirProd\_def DirProd\_def monoid.defs)

```
lemma RDirProd_hom3:
 assumes "f ∈ ring hom R R'" and "g ∈ ring hom S S'"
 shows "(\lambda(r, s). (f r, g s)) \in ring_hom (RDirProd R S) (RDirProd R'
S')"
  using ring hom trans[OF RDirProd hom2(2)[OF assms(1)] RDirProd hom2(1)[OF
assms(2)]]
 by (simp add: case_prod_beta' comp_def)
```
#### **40.3 Direct Product of a List of Rings**

```
lemma RDirProd_list_nil [simp]: "RDirProd_list [] = nil_ring"
  unfolding RDirProd_list_def by simp
lemma nil_ring_simprules [simp]:
  "carrier nil_ring = { [] }" and "one nil_ring = []" and "zero nil_ring
= \lceil] "
  by (auto simp add: monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_list_truncate:
  shows "monoid.truncate (RDirProd_list Rs) = DirProd_list Rs"
proof (induct Rs, simp add: RDirProd_list_def DirProd_list_def monoid.defs)
  case (Cons R Rs)
  have "monoid.truncate (RDirProd_list (R # Rs)) =
        monoid.truncate (image ring (\lambda(a, as)). a # as) (RDirProd R (RDirProd list
R_S)))"
    unfolding RDirProd_list_def by simp
  also have " ... = image_group (λ(a, as). a # as) (monoid.truncate (RDirProd
R (RDirProd_list Rs)))"
  by (simp add: image_ring_def image_group_def monoid.defs)
  also have " ... = image_group (\lambda(a, as). a # as) (R \times \times (monoid.truncate
(RDirProd_list Rs)))"
    by (simp add: RDirProd_def DirProd_def monoid.defs)
  also have " ... = DirProd_list (R # Rs)"
    unfolding Cons DirProd_list_def by simp
  finally show ?case .
qed
lemma RDirProd_list_carrier_def':
  shows "carrier (RDirProd_list Rs) = carrier (DirProd_list Rs)"
proof -
  have "carrier (RDirProd_list Rs) = carrier (monoid.truncate (RDirProd_list
Rs))"
    by (simp add: monoid.defs)
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding RDirProd_list_truncate .
qed
```

```
lemma RDirProd_list_carrier:
 shows "carrier (RDirProd_list (G # Gs)) = (\lambda(x, xs) . x # xs) ' (carrier
G \times carrier (RDirProd list Gs))"
  unfolding RDirProd_list_carrier_def' using DirProd_list_carrier .
lemma RDirProd_list_one:
 shows "one (RDirProd list Rs) = foldr (\lambdaR tl. (one R) # tl) Rs []"
  unfolding RDirProd_list_def RDirProd_def image_ring_def image_group_def
 by (induct Rs) (auto simp add: monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_list_zero:
 shows "zero (RDirProd_list Rs) = foldr (λR tl. (zero R) # tl) Rs []"
  unfolding RDirProd_list_def RDirProd_def image_ring_def
 by (induct Rs) (auto simp add: monoid.defs)
lemma RDirProd_list_zero':
 shows "zero (RDirProd_list (R # Rs)) = (zero R) # (zero (RDirProd_list
Rs))"
 unfolding RDirProd_list_zero by simp
lemma RDirProd_list_carrier_mem:
  assumes "as ∈ carrier (RDirProd_list Rs)"
  \frac{1}{2} shows "length as = length Rs" and "\bigwedgei. i < length Rs \implies (as ! i)
∈ carrier (Rs ! i)"
  using assms DirProd_list_carrier_mem unfolding RDirProd_list_carrier_def'
by auto
lemma RDirProd_list_carrier_memI:
  \text{assumes} "length as = length Rs" and "\text{A}i. i < length Rs \implies (as ! i)
\in carrier (Rs ! i)"
 shows "as \in carrier (RDirProd list Rs)"
  using assms DirProd_list_carrier_memI unfolding RDirProd_list_carrier_def'
by auto
lemma inj_on_RDirProd_carrier:
 shows "inj_on (\lambda(a, as)). a # as) (carrier (RDirProd R (RDirProd_list)
Rs))"unfolding RDirProd_def DirProd_def inj_on_def by auto
lemma RDirProd_list_is_ring:
  assumes "
V
i. i < length Rs =⇒ ring (Rs ! i)" shows "ring (RDirProd_list
Rs)"
 using assms
proof (induct Rs)
 case Nil thus ?case
    unfolding RDirProd_list_def by (unfold_locales, auto simp add: monoid.defs
Units def)
next
```

```
case (Cons R Rs)
  hence is_ring: "ring (RDirProd R (RDirProd_list Rs))"
    using RDirProd_ring[of R "RDirProd_list Rs"] by force
  show ?case
    using ring.inj_imp_image_ring_is_ring[OF is_ring inj_on_RDirProd_carrier]
    unfolding RDirProd_list_def by auto
qed
lemma RDirProd_list_iso1:
  "\,(\lambda(a, as), a # as) \in ring\_iso (RDirProd R (RDirProd_list Rs)) (RDirProd_list
(R # Rs))"
 using inj_imp_image_ring_iso[OF inj_on_RDirProd_carrier] unfolding RDirProd_list_def
by auto
lemma RDirProd_list_iso2:
  "Hilbert Choice.inv (\lambda(a, as). a # as) \in ring iso (RDirProd list (R
# Rs)) (RDirProd R (RDirProd_list Rs))"
 unfolding RDirProd_list_def by (auto intro: inj_imp_image_ring_inv_iso
simp add: inj_def)
lemma RDirProd_list_iso3:
  "(\lambda a. [a]) \in ring\_iso R (RDirProd\_list [R])"proof -
 have [simp]: "(λa. [ a ]) = (λ(a, as). a # as) ◦ (λa. (a, []))" by
auto
 show ?thesis
    using ring iso set trans[OF RDirProd iso7] RDirProd list iso1[of R
"[]"]
    unfolding RDirProd_list_def by simp
qed
lemma RDirProd_list_hom1:
 "(\lambda(a, as). a # as) \in ring\_hom (RDirProd R (RDirProd_list Rs)) (RDirProd_list
(R # Rs))"
 using RDirProd_list_iso1 unfolding ring_iso_def by auto
lemma RDirProd_list_hom2:
 assumes "f ∈ ring_hom R S" shows "(λa. [ f a ]) ∈ ring_hom R (RDirProd_list
[ S ]"
proof -
 have hom1: "(λa. (a, [])) ∈ ring_hom R (RDirProd R nil_ring)"
    using RDirProd_iso7 unfolding ring_iso_def by auto
 have hom2: "(λ(a, as). a # as) ∈ ring_hom (RDirProd S nil_ring) (RDirProd_list
[ S 1"
    using RDirProd_list_hom1[of _ "[]"] unfolding RDirProd_list_def by
auto
 have [simp]: "(\lambda(a, as), a \# as) \circ ((\lambda(x, y), (f x, y)) \circ (\lambda a, (a, [1))))= (\lambda a. [f a])" by auto
 show ?thesis
```

```
using ring_hom_trans[OF ring_hom_trans[OF hom1 RDirProd_hom2(2)[OF
assms]] hom2] by simp
qed
```
# **41 Chinese Remainder Theorem**

## **41.1 Definitions**

abbreviation (in ring) canonical\_proj :: "'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\times$  'a set" where "canonical\_proj I J  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$ a. (I +> a, J +> a))"

**definition** (**in** ring) canonical\_proj\_ext :: "(nat ⇒ 'a set) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a set) list" **where** "canonical\_proj\_ext I n =  $(\lambda a \cdot \text{map } (\lambda i \cdot (I i) \leftrightarrow a) [0, . \& \text{Suc}]$ n])"

#### **41.2 Chinese Remainder Simple**

```
lemma (in ring) canonical_proj_is_surj:
 assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R" and "I <+> J = carrier R"
 shows "(canonical_proj I J) ' carrier R = carrier (RDirProd (R Quot
I) (R Quot J))"
  unfolding RDirProd_def DirProd_def FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def'
proof (auto simp add: monoid.defs)
  \{ fix I i assume "ideal I R" "i \in I" hence "I +> i = 0_R 0_{uot} I"
      using a_rcos_zero by (simp add: FactRing_def)
  } note aux_lemma1 = this
  { fix I i j assume A: "ideal I R" "i ∈ I" "j ∈ carrier R" "i ⊕ j =
1"
    have "(I +> i) \oplus_R Q<sub>uot I</sub> (I +> j) = I +> 1"
      using ring_hom_memE(3)[OF ideal.rcos_ring_hom ideal.Icarr[OF _ A(2)]
A(3)] A(1,4) by simp
    moreover have "I +> i = I"
      using abelian subgroupI3[OF ideal.axioms(1) is abelian group]
      by (simp add: A(1-2) abelian_subgroup.a_rcos_const)
    moreover have "I +> j \in \text{carrier} (R Quot I)" and "I = 0_{R} _{Quot} I" and
"I \rightarrow 1 = 1<sub>R</sub> Quot I"
      by (auto simp add: FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def' A(3))
    ultimately have "I +> j = 1_R _{Quot} I"
      using ring.ring_simprules(8)[OF ideal.quotient_is_ring[OF A(1)]]
by simp
  } note aux_lemma2 = this
 interpret I: ring "R Quot I" + J: ring "R Quot J"
    using assms(1-2)[THEN ideal.quotient_is_ring] by auto
 fix a b assume a: "a ∈ carrier R" and b: "b ∈ carrier R"
```

```
have "1 ∈ I <+> J"
    using assms(3) by blast
  then obtain i j where i: "i ∈ carrier R" "i ∈ I" and j: "j ∈ carrier
R" "j \in J" and ij: "i \oplus j = 1"
    using assms(1-2)[THEN ideal.Icarr] unfolding set_add_def' by auto
  hence \text{rcos}_j: "I +> j = 1<sub>R Quot I</sub>" and \text{rcos}_i: "J +> i = 1<sub>R Quot</sub> J"
    using assms(1-2)[THEN aux_lemma2] a_comm by simp+
  define s where "s = (a \otimes j) \oplus (b \otimes i)"
  hence "s ∈ carrier R"
    using a b i j by simp
  have "I +> s = ((I +> a) \otimes_R q_{uot} I (I +> j)) \oplus_R q_{uot} I (I +> (b \otimes i))"
    using ring_hom_memE(2-3)[OF ideal.rcos_ring_hom[OF assms(1)]]
    by (simp add: a b i(1) j(1) s_def)
  moreover have "I +> a ∈ carrier (R Quot I)"
    by (auto simp add: FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def' a)
  ultimately have ''I \rightarrow s = I \rightarrow a''unfolding rcos_j aux_lemma1[OF assms(1) ideal.I_l_closed[OF assms(1)
i(2) b]] by simp
  have "J +> s = (J +> (a ⊗ j)) \oplus_R Quot J ((J +> b) \otimes_R Quot J (J +> i))"
    using ring_hom_memE(2-3)[OF ideal.rcos_ring_hom[OF assms(2)]]
    by (simp add: a b i(1) j(1) s_def)
  moreover have "J +> b ∈ carrier (R Quot J)"
    by (auto simp add: FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def' b)
  ultimately have "J \leftrightarrow s = J \leftrightarrow b"
    unfolding rcos_i aux_lemma1[OF assms(2) ideal.I_l_closed[OF assms(2)
j(2) a]] by simp
  from \langle I + \rangle s = I +\rangle a\rangle and \langle J + \rangle s = J +\rangle b\rangle and \langle s \in carrier R\rangleshow "(I +> a, J +> b) \in (canonical_proj I J) ' carrier R" by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) canonical_proj_ker:
  assumes "ideal I R" and "ideal J R"
  shows "a_kernel R (RDirProd (R Quot I) (R Quot J)) (canonical_proj I
J) = I \cap J"
proof
  show "a_kernel R (RDirProd (R Quot I) (R Quot J)) (canonical_proj I
J) ⊆ I ∩ J"
    unfolding FactRing_def RDirProd_def DirProd_def a_kernel_def'
    by (auto simp add: assms[THEN ideal.rcos_const_imp_mem] monoid.defs)
next
  show "I ∩ J ⊆ a_kernel R (RDirProd (R Quot I) (R Quot J)) (canonical_proj
I J)"
  proof
    fix s assume s: "s \in I \cap J" then have "I +> s = I" and "J +> s =
J^{\prime\prime}
```

```
using abelian_subgroupI3[OF ideal.axioms(1) is_abelian_group]
      by (simp add: abelian_subgroup.a_rcos_const assms)+
    thus "s ∈ a_kernel R (RDirProd (R Quot I) (R Quot J)) (canonical_proj
I J)"
      unfolding FactRing def RDirProd def DirProd def a kernel def'
      using ideal.Icarr[OF assms(1)] s by (simp add: monoid.defs)
 qed
qed
lemma (in ring) canonical_proj_is_hom:
  assumes "ideal I R" and "ideal J R"
 shows "(canonical_proj I J) ∈ ring_hom R (RDirProd (R Quot I) (R Quot
J))"
  unfolding RDirProd_def DirProd_def FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def'
  by (auto intro!: ring_hom_memI
         simp add: assms[THEN ideal.rcoset mult add]
                   assms[THEN ideal.a rcos sum] monoid.defs)
lemma (in ring) canonical_proj_ring_hom:
 assumes "ideal I R" and "ideal J R"
 shows "ring_hom_ring R (RDirProd (R Quot I) (R Quot J)) (canonical_proj
I J)"
  using ring_hom_ring.intro[OF ring_axioms RDirProd_ring[OF assms[THEN
ideal.quotient_is_ring]]]
 by (simp add: ring_hom_ring_axioms_def canonical_proj_is_hom[OF assms])
theorem (in ring) chinese_remainder_simple:
  assumes "ideal I R" "ideal J R" and "I <+> J = carrier R"
 shows "R Quot (I \cap J) \simeq RDirProd (R Quot I) (R Quot J)"
  using ring_hom_ring.FactRing_iso[OF canonical_proj_ring_hom canonical_proj_is_surj]
```
# **by** (simp add: canonical\_proj\_ker assms)

# **41.3 Chinese Remainder Generalized**

**lemma** (**in** ring) canonical\_proj\_ext\_zero [simp]: "(canonical\_proj\_ext  $I$  0) =  $(\lambda a. [ (I 0) + > a ])^{n}$ **unfolding** canonical\_proj\_ext\_def **by** simp **lemma** (**in** ring) canonical\_proj\_ext\_tl: "( $\lambda$ a. canonical\_proj\_ext I (Suc n) a) = ( $\lambda$ a. ((I 0) +> a) # (canonical\_proj\_ext  $(\lambda i. I (Suc i)) n a))$ " **unfolding** canonical\_proj\_ext\_def **by** (induct n) (auto, metis (lifting) append.assoc append\_Cons append\_Nil) **lemma** (**in** ring) canonical\_proj\_ext\_is\_hom:  $\text{assumes }\n\text{"} \wedge \text{i. i } \leq n \implies \text{ideal (I i) } R\text{"}$ **shows** "(canonical\_proj\_ext I n) ∈ ring\_hom R (RDirProd\_list (map (λi. R Quot (I i)) [0..< Suc n]))" **using** assms

```
proof (induct n arbitrary: I)
  case 0 thus ?case
    using RDirProd_list_hom2[OF ideal.rcos_ring_hom[of _ R]] by (simp
add: canonical proj ext def)
next
  let ?DirProd = "\lambdaI n. RDirProd list (map (\lambdai. R Quot (I i)) [0..<Suc
n])"
  let ?proj = "\lambdaI n. canonical proj ext I n"
  case (Suc n)
  hence I: "ideal (I 0) R" by simp
  have hom: "(?proj (λi. I (Suc i)) n) ∈ ring_hom R (?DirProd (λi. I
(Suc i)) n"
    using \text{Suc}(1) [of "\lambda i. I (Suc i)"] Suc(2) by simp
  have [simp]:
    "(\lambda(a, as). a # as) \circ ((\lambda(r, s). (I 0 +> r, ?proj (\lambdai. I (Suc i))
n s)) \circ (\lambda a. (a, a)) = ?proj I (Suc n)"
    unfolding canonical_proj_ext_tl by auto
  moreover have
    "(R Quot I 0) # (map (\lambdai. R Quot I (Suc i)) [0..< Suc n]) = map (\lambdai.
R Quot (I i)) [0..< Suc (Suc n)]"
    by (induct n) (auto)
  moreover show ?case
    using ring_hom_trans[OF ring_hom_trans[OF RDirProd_hom1
           RDirProd_hom3[OF ideal.rcos_ring_hom[OF I] hom]] RDirProd_list_hom1]
    unfolding calculation(2) by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) RDirProd_Quot_list_is_ring:
  \text{assumes }\n "\text{/i. i $\leq n$} \Longrightarrow \text{ideal (I i) R}" \text{shows }\n "ring (RDirProd_list (map
(\lambda i. R Quot (I_i)) [0.15 Suc n]))"
proof -
  have ring_list: "∧i. i < Suc n ⇒ ring ((map (λi. R Quot I i) [0..<
Suc n]) ! i)"
    using ideal.quotient_is_ring[OF assms]
    by (metis add.left_neutral diff_zero le_simps(2) nth_map_upt)
  show ?thesis
    using RDirProd_list_is_ring[OF ring_list] by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) canonical_proj_ext_ring_hom:
  \text{assumes }\text{"}\bigwedge\text{i. i}\leq\text{n}\implies\text{ideal (I i) R"}shows "ring_hom_ring R (RDirProd_list (map (λi. R Quot (I i)) [0..<
Suc n])) (canonical_proj_ext I n)"
proof -
  have ring: "ring (RDirProd_list (map (λi. R Quot (I i)) [0..< Suc n]))"
    using RDirProd_Quot_list_is_ring[OF assms] by simp
  show ?thesis
    using canonical_proj_ext_is_hom assms ring_hom_ring.intro[OF ring_axioms
```

```
ring]
    unfolding ring_hom_ring_axioms_def by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) canonical_proj_ext_ker:
  \text{assumes }\text{"}\bigwedge\text{i. i}\leq\text{(n :: nat)}\implies\text{ideal (I i) R"}shows "a kernel R (RDirProd list (map (\lambdai. R Quot (I i)) [0..< Suc
n])) (canonical_proj_ext I n) = (\bigcap i \le n. I i)"
proof -
  let ?map_Quot = "\lambdaI n. map (\lambdai. R Quot (I i)) [0..< Suc n]"
  let ?ker = "λI n. a_kernel R (RDirProd_list (?map_Quot I n)) (canonical_proj_ext
I n)"
  from assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct n arbitrary: I)
    case 0 then have I: "ideal (I 0) R" by simp
    show ?case
      unfolding a_kernel_def' RDirProd_list_zero canonical_proj_ext_def
FactRing_def
       using ideal.rcos_const_imp_mem a_rcos_zero ideal.Icarr I by auto
  next
    case (Suc n)
    hence I: "ideal (I 0) R" by simp
    have map_simp: "?map_Quot I (Suc n) = (R Quot I 0) # (?map_Quot (\lambda i).
I (Suc i)) n)"
       by (induct n) (auto)
    have ker IO: "I 0 = a kernel R (R Quot (I 0)) (\lambdaa. (I 0) +> a)"
       using ideal.rcos const imp_mem[OF I] a rcos zero[OF I] ideal.Icarr[OF
I]
       unfolding a_kernel_def' FactRing_def by auto
    hence "?ker I (Suc n) = (?ker (λi. I (Suc i)) n) ∩ (I 0)"
       unfolding a_kernel_def' map_simp RDirProd_list_zero' canonical_proj_ext_tl
by auto
     moreover have "?ker (\lambdai. I (Suc i)) n = (\bigcapi \leq n. I (Suc i))"
       using Suc(1)[of "\lambdai. I (Suc i)"] Suc(2) by auto
    ultimately show ?case
       by (auto simp add: INT_extend_simps(10) atMost_atLeast0)
           (metis atLeastAtMost_iff le_zero_eq not_less_eq_eq)
  qed
qed
lemma (in cring) canonical_proj_ext_is_surj:
  \text{assumes }\text{"}\bigwedge\text{i. } \text{i $\leq$ } \text{n} \implies \text{ideal (I i) R" and }\text{"}\bigwedge\text{i j. } \text{[} \text{ i $\leq$ } \text{n}; \text{ j $\leq$ } \text{n}\mathbb{I} \implies i \neq j \implies I i <+> I j = carrier R"
  shows "(canonical_proj_ext I n) ' carrier R = carrier (RDirProd_list
(\text{map } (\lambda i. \ R \text{Quot } (I \ i)) [0.05 \text{ Stab } n])"
  using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: I)
```

```
case 0 show ?case
    by (auto simp add: RDirProd_list_carrier FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def')
next
  { fix S :: "'c ring" and T :: "'d ring" and f g
    assume A: "ring T" "f ∈ ring_hom R S" "g ∈ ring_hom R T" "f ' carrier
R \subseteq f' (a_kernel R T g)"
    have "(\lambdaa. (f a, g a)) ' carrier R = (f ' carrier R) \times (g ' carrier
R)"
    proof
      show "(\lambdaa. (f a, g a)) ' carrier R \subseteq (f ' carrier R) \times (g ' carrier
R)"
        by blast
    next
      show "(f ' carrier R) \times (g ' carrier R) \subseteq (\lambdaa. (f a, g a)) ' carrier
R"
      proof
        fix t assume "t \in (f ' carrier R) \times (g ' carrier R)"
        then obtain a b where a: "a ∈ carrier R" "f a = fst t" and b:
"b \in carrier R" "g b = snd t"
           by auto
        obtain c where c: "c \in a_kernel R T g" "f c = f (a \oplus b)"
           using A(4) minus_closed[OF a(1) b (1)] by auto
        have "f (c \oplus b) = f (a \ominus b) \oplus_S f b"
           using ring_hom_memE(3)[OF A(2)] b c unfolding a_kernel_def'
by auto
        hence "f (c \oplus b) = f a"
           using ring hom memE(3)[OF A(2) minus closed[of a b], of b] a
b by algebra
        moreover have "g (c \oplus b) = g b"
           using ring_hom_memE(1,3)[OF A(3)] b(1) c ring.ring_simprules(8)[OF
A(1)]
           unfolding a_kernel_def' by auto
        ultimately have "(\lambda a. (f a, g a)) (c \oplus b) = t" and "c \oplus b \incarrier R"
           using a b c unfolding a_kernel_def' by auto
        thus "t \in (\lambdaa. (f a, g a)) ' carrier R"
           by blast
      qed
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
  let ?map_Quot = "\lambda I n. map (\lambda i. R Quot (I i)) [0..< Suc n]"
  let ?DirProd = "λI n. RDirProd_list (?map_Quot I n)"
  let ?proj = "λI n. canonical_proj_ext I n"
  case (Suc n)
  \text{interpret I: ideal "I 0" R + Inter: ideal "} \cap i \leq n. I (Suc i)" R
    using i_Intersect[of "(λi. I (Suc i)) ' {..n}"] Suc(2) by auto
  have map_simp: "?map_Quot I (Suc n) = (R Quot I 0) # (?map_Quot (\lambda i).
```

```
I (Suc i)) n)"
    by (induct n) (auto)
  have IH: "(?proj (λi. I (Suc i)) n) ' carrier R = carrier (?DirProd
(\lambda i. I (Suc i)) n"
   and ring: "ring (?DirProd (λi. I (Suc i)) n)"
   and hom: "?proj (λi. I (Suc i)) n ∈ ring_hom R (?DirProd (λi. I (Suc
i)) n)"
    using RDirProd_Quot_list_is_ring[of n "λi. I (Suc i)"] Suc(1)[of "λi.
I (Suc i)"]
           canonical_proj_ext_is_hom[of n "λi. I (Suc i)"] Suc(2-3) by
auto
 have ker: "a_kernel R (?DirProd (λi. I (Suc i)) n) (?proj (λi. I (Suc
i)) n) = (\bigcap i \leq n. I (Suc i))"
    using canonical_proj_ext_ker[of n "λi. I (Suc i)"] Suc(2) by auto
 have carrier_Quot: "carrier (R Quot (I 0)) = (λa. (I 0) +> a) ' carrier
R"
    by (auto simp add: RDirProd_list_carrier FactRing_def A_RCOSETS_def')
  have ring: "ring (?DirProd (λi. I (Suc i)) n)"
   and hom: "?proj (\lambdai. I (Suc i)) n \in ring_hom R (?DirProd (\lambdai. I (Suc
i)) n)"
    using RDirProd_Quot_list_is_ring[of n "λi. I (Suc i)"]
          canonical_proj_ext_is_hom[of n "λi. I (Suc i)"] Suc(2) by auto
  have "carrier (R Quot (I O)) ⊆ (λa. (I O) +> a) ' (∩i ≤ n. I (Suc
i))"
  proof
    have "(∩i ∈ {Suc 0.. Suc n}. I i) <+> (I 0) = carrier R"
      using inter_plus_ideal_eq_carrier_arbitrary[of n I 0]
      by (simp add: Suc(2-3) atLeast1_atMost_eq_remove0)
    hence eq_carrier: "(I 0) <+> (\bigcapi \leq n. I (Suc i)) = carrier R"
      using set_add_comm[OF I.a_subset Inter.a_subset]
      by (metis INT_extend_simps(10) atMost_atLeast0 image_Suc_atLeastAtMost)
    fix b assume "b \in carrier (R Quot (I 0))"
    hence "(b, (\bigcapi\leq n. I (Suc i))) \in carrier (R Quot I O) \times carrier
(R \text{ Quot } (\bigcap i \leq n. I \text{ (Suc } i)))"
      using ring.ring_simprules(2)[OF Inter.quotient_is_ring] by (simp
add: FactRing def)
    then obtain s
      where "s \in carrier R" "(canonical_proj (I 0) (\bigcap i \leq n. I (Suc i)))
s = (b, (\bigcap i \leq n. I (Suc i)))"
      using canonical_proj_is_surj[OF I.is_ideal Inter.is_ideal eq_carrier]
      unfolding RDirProd_carrier by (metis (no_types, lifting) imageE)
    hence "s \in (\bigcap i \leq n. I (Suc i))" and "(\lambdaa. (I 0) +> a) s = b"
      using Inter.rcos_const_imp_mem by auto
    thus "b \in (\lambdaa. (I 0) +> a) ' (\bigcapi \leq n. I (Suc i))"
      by auto
  qed
```

```
hence "(λa. ((I 0) +> a, ?proj (λi. I (Suc i)) n a)) ' carrier R =
          carrier (R Quot (I 0)) \times carrier (?DirProd (\lambdai. I (Suc i)) n)"
    using aux_lemma[OF ring I.rcos_ring_hom hom] unfolding carrier_Quot
ker IH by simp
  moreover show ?case
    unfolding map_simp RDirProd_list_carrier sym[OF calculation(1)] canonical_proj_ext_tl
by auto
qed
theorem (in cring) chinese_remainder:
  \text{assumes }\text{``}\text{/i. i $\leq n$}\implies\text{ideal (I i) R'' and }\text{``}\text{/}i j.\text{ }[\text{ }i\leq n;\text{ }j\leq n$}\mathbb{I} ⇒ i \neq j \Rightarrow I i < \cdot > I j = carrier R"
  shows "R Quot (\bigcap i \le n. I i) \simeq RDirProd_list (map (\lambdai. R Quot (I i))
[0..< Suc n])"
  using ring_hom_ring.FactRing_iso[OF canonical_proj_ext_ring_hom, of
n I]
         canonical_proj_ext_is_surj[of n I] canonical_proj_ext_ker[of n
I] assms
  by auto
```
**end**

**theory** Generated\_Rings **imports** Subrings **begin**

# **42 Generated Rings**

```
inductive_set
  generate_ring :: "('a, 'b) ring_scheme \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set"
  for R and H where
    one: "1_R \in generate_ring R H"
  | incl: "h \in H \implies h \in generate_ring R H"
  | a_inv: "h \in generate_ring R H \implies \ominus_R h \in generate_ring R H"
  | eng_add : "\lceil h1 \in generate_ring R H; h2 \in generate_ring R H \rceil \impliesh1 ⊕<sub>R</sub> h2 ∈ generate_ring R H"
  | eng_mult: "[h1 \in generate_ring R H; h2 \in generate_ring R H \parallel \impliesh1 ⊗R h2 ∈ generate_ring R H"
```
### **42.1 Basic Properties of Generated Rings - First Part**

```
lemma (in ring) generate_ring_in_carrier:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "h \in generate_ring R H \implies h \in carrier R"
 apply (induction rule: generate_ring.induct) using assms
```
**by** blast+

```
lemma (in ring) generate_ring_incl:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "generate_ring R H ⊆ carrier R"
 using generate_ring_in_carrier[OF assms] by auto
lemma (in ring) zero in generate: "0_R \in generate ring R H"
  using one a_inv by (metis generate_ring.eng_add one_closed r_neg)
lemma (in ring) generate_ring_is_subring:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "subring (generate_ring R H) R"
 by (auto intro!: subringI[of "generate_ring R H"]
         simp add: generate_ring_in_carrier[OF assms] one a_inv eng_mult
eng_add)
lemma (in ring) generate_ring_is_ring:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "ring (R \parallel \text{carrier} := \text{generate\_ring } R \text{ H } |)"
  using subring_iff[OF generate_ring_incl[OF assms]] generate_ring_is_subring[OF
assms] by simp
lemma (in ring) generate_ring_min_subring1:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R" and "subring E R" "H ⊆ E"
 shows "generate ring R H \subset E"
proof
 fix h assume h: "h \in generate ring R H"
 show "h \in E"
    using h and assms(3)
      by (induct rule: generate_ring.induct)
         (auto simp add: subringE(3,5-7)[OF assms(2)])
qed
lemma (in ring) generate_ringI:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
    and "subring E R" "H \subseteq E"
    and "\bigwedgeK. [[ subring K R; H \subseteq K ]] \implies E \subseteq K"
 shows "E = generate_ring R H"
proof
 show "E ⊆ generate_ring R H"
   using assms generate_ring_is_subring generate_ring.incl by (metis
subset_iff)
 show "generate ring R H \subseteq E"
    using generate_ring_min_subring1[OF assms(1-3)] by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) generate_ringE:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier R" and "E = generate_ring R H"
```

```
\textbf{shows} "\texttt{subring} \; \texttt{E} \; \texttt{R}^\texttt{u} \; \textbf{and} \; \texttt{``H} \subseteq \texttt{E}^\texttt{u} \; \textbf{and} \; \texttt{``\textstyle\bigwedge} \texttt{K}. \; \; \; \; \; \texttt{subring} \; \; \texttt{K} \; \; \texttt{R}; \; \; \texttt{H} \; \subseteq \; \texttt{K} \; \; \; \; \; \; \impliesE \subseteq K"
proof -
  show "subring E R" using assms generate_ring_is_subring by simp
  show "H ⊆ E" using assms(2) by (simp add: generate_ring.incl subsetI)
  \texttt{show } " \textsf{AK} \texttt{. subring } K \texttt{ R } \implies \texttt{H} \subseteq K \implies \texttt{E} \subseteq K"using assms generate_ring_min_subring1 by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) generate_ring_min_subring2:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "generate_ring R H = \bigcap{K. subring K R \land H \subseteq K}"
proof
  have "subring (generate_ring R H) R ∧ H ⊆ generate_ring R H"
    by (simp add: assms generate_ringE(2) generate_ring_is_subring)
  thus "
T
{K. subring K R ∧ H ⊆ K} ⊆ generate_ring R H" by blast
next
  have "\bigwedgeK. subring K R \land H \subseteq K \implies generate_ring R H \subseteq K"
    by (simp add: assms generate_ring_min_subring1)
  thus "generate_ring R H ⊆
T
{K. subring K R ∧ H ⊆ K}" by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) mono_generate_ring:
  assumes "I ⊆ J" and "J ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "generate_ring R I ⊆ generate_ring R J"
proof-
  have "I ⊆ generate_ring R J "
    using assms generate_ringE(2) by blast
  thus "generate_ring R I ⊆ generate_ring R J"
     using generate_ring_min_subring1[of I "generate_ring R J"] assms generate_ring_is_subri
assms(2)]by blast
qed
lemma (in ring) subring_gen_incl :
  assumes "subring H R"
    and "subring K R"
    and "I ⊆ H"
     and "I ⊆ K"
  shows "generate_ring (R(|carrier := K|)) I ⊆ generate_ring (R(|carrier
:= H) I"
proof
  {fix J assume J_def : "subring J R" "I ⊆ J"
     have "generate_ring (R (| carrier := J |)) I ⊆ J"
       using ring.mono_generate_ring[of "(R(|carrier := J|))" I J ] subring_is_ring[OF
J def(1)]
            ring.generate ring in carrier[of "R(|carrier := J)"] ring axioms
J def(2)by auto}
```

```
note incl_HK = this
  \{fix x \text{ have "x } \in \text{ generate\_ring } (\mathbb{R} \mid \text{carrier := } \mathbb{K}) \} I \implies x \in \text{generate\_ring}(R(\text{carrier} := H)) I''proof (induction rule : generate ring.induct)
        case one
           \text{have} "1_{\mathsf{R} \left(\text{carrier} \right. := \, \mathsf{H}\right)} \; \otimes \; 1_{\mathsf{R} \left(\text{carrier} \right. := \, \mathsf{K}\right)} \; = \; 1_{\mathsf{R} \left(\text{carrier} \right. := \, \mathsf{H}\right)}" by
simp
           \text{moreover have } "1_{\mathbb{R} \left(\text{carrier} \ : = \ \texttt{H}\right)} \ \otimes \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R} \left(\text{carrier} \ : = \ \texttt{K}\right)} \ = \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R} \left(\text{carrier} \ : = \ \texttt{K}\right)} \texttt{''}by simp
          ultimately show ?case using assms generate_ring.one by metis
     next
        case (incl h) thus ?case using generate_ring.incl by force
     next
        case (a_inv h)
        note hyp = this
        have "a_inv (R(\text{carrier} := K)) h = a_inv R h"
          using assms group.m_inv_consistent[of "add_monoid R" K] a_comm_group
incl_HK[of K] hyp
          unfolding subring_def comm_group_def a_inv_def by auto
        moreover have "a_inv (R(|carrier := H|)) h = a_inv R h"
          using assms group.m_inv_consistent[of "add_monoid R" H] a_comm_group
incl_HK[of H] hyp
          unfolding subring_def comm_group_def a_inv_def by auto
        ultimately show ?case using generate_ring.a_inv a_inv.IH by fastforce
     next
        case (eng_add h1 h2)
        thus ?case using incl_HK assms generate_ring.eng_add by force
     next
        case (eng_mult h1 h2)
        thus ?case using generate_ring.eng_mult by force
     qed}
   thus "\wedge x. x \in generate_ring (R(\lorcarrier := K\lor) I \implies x \in generate_ring
(R(|\text{carrier} := H|)) I''by auto
qed
lemma (in ring) subring_gen_equality:
  assumes "subring H R" "K ⊆ H"
  shows "generate_ring R K = generate_ring (R \nvert \text{ carrier := } H \nvert)) K"
  using subring_gen_incl[OF assms(1)carrier_is_subring assms(2)] assms
subringE(1)
          subring_gen_incl[OF carrier_is_subring assms(1) _ assms(2)]
  by force
end
```
**theory** Generated\_Fields **imports** Generated\_Rings Subrings Multiplicative\_Group

#### **begin**

```
inductive_set
  generate field :: "('a, 'b) ring scheme \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set"
  for R and H where
    one : "1_R \in generate_field R H"
  | incl : "h \in H \implies h \in generate field R H"
  | a_inv: "h \in generate_field R H \implies \ominus_R h \in generate_field R H"
  | m_inv: "[h \in generate_field R H; h \neq 0_R ] \implies inv<sub>R</sub> h \in generate_field
R H"
  | eng_add : "[h1 \in generate_field R H; h2 \in generate_field R H ] \impliesh1 \oplus_R h2 \in generate_field R H"
  | eng_mult: "[h1 \in generate_field R H; h2 \in generate_field R H \Rightarrowh1 ⊗R h2 ∈ generate_field R H"
```
#### **42.2 Basic Properties of Generated Rings - First Part**

```
lemma (in field) generate_field_in_carrier:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "h \in generate_field R H \implies h \in carrier R"
 apply (induction rule: generate_field.induct)
  using assms field_Units
 by blast+
lemma (in field) generate_field_incl:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "generate field R H \subset carrier R"
  using generate field in carrier[OF assms] by auto
lemma (in field) zero_in_generate: ^{\circ}0_R \in generate_field R H<sup>"</sup>
  using one a_inv generate_field.eng_add one_closed r_neg
  by metis
lemma (in field) generate_field_is_subfield:
 assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "subfield (generate_field R H) R"
proof (intro subfieldI', simp_all add: m_inv)
 show "subring (generate_field R H) R"
    by (auto intro: subringI[of "generate_field R H"]
             simp add: eng_add a_inv eng_mult one generate_field_in_carrier[OF
assms])
qed
lemma (in field) generate_field_is_add_subgroup:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
 shows "subgroup (generate_field R H) (add_monoid R)"
  using subring.axioms(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF generate_field_is_subfield[OF
assms]]] .
```

```
lemma (in field) generate_field_is_field :
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "field (R \parallel \text{carrier} := \text{generate\_field } R \parallel \text{)}"
  using subfield_iff generate_field_is_subfield assms by simp
lemma (in field) generate_field_min_subfield1:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
    and "subfield E R" "H C E"
  shows "generate field R H \subseteq E"
proof
  fix h
  assume h: "h ∈ generate_field R H"
  show "h \in E"
    using h and assms(3) and subfield_m_inv[OF assms(2)]
    by (induct rule: generate_field.induct)
        (auto simp add: subringE(3,5-7)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(2)]])
qed
lemma (in field) generate_fieldI:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
    and "subfield E R" "H \subseteq E"
     \quad \text{and} \text{ ``\textsf{/}}K. [[ subfield K R; H \subseteq K ]] \implies E \subseteq K"
  shows "E = generate_field R H"
proof
  show "E \subseteq generate_field R H"
    using assms generate_field_is_subfield generate_field.incl by (metis
subset iff)
  show "generate field R H \subseteq E"
    using generate_field_min_subfield1[OF assms(1-3)] by simp
qed
lemma (in field) generate_fieldE:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R" and "E = generate_field R H"
  \mathbf{s}hows "subfield E R" \mathbf{a}nd "H \subseteq E" \mathbf{a}nd "\bigwedgeK. \llbracket \mathbf{s}ubfield K R; H \subseteq K \rrbracket\implies E \subseteq K"
proof -
  show "subfield E R" using assms generate_field_is_subfield by simp
  show "H ⊆ E" using assms(2) by (simp add: generate_field.incl subsetI)
  \texttt{show } " \textsf{/} \texttt{K}. \texttt{ subfield } \texttt{K} \texttt{ R } \implies \texttt{H} \subseteq \texttt{K} \implies \texttt{E} \subseteq \texttt{K} "using assms generate_field_min_subfield1 by auto
qed
lemma (in field) generate_field_min_subfield2:
  assumes "H ⊆ carrier R"
  \mathbf{shows} "generate_field R H = \bigcap \{K. \text{ subfield } K \,R \,\wedge\, H \subseteq K\}"
proof
  have "subfield (generate field R H) R \land H \subseteq generate field R H"
    by (simp add: assms generate fieldE(2) generate field is subfield)
  thus "
T
{K. subfield K R ∧ H ⊆ K} ⊆ generate_field R H" by blast
```

```
next
   \mathtt{have}\, "\bigwedge\!\!\!\!\!\setminusK. subfield K R \land H \subseteq K \implies generate_field R H \subseteq K"
     by (simp add: assms generate_field_min_subfield1)
   thus "generate_field R H ⊆
T
{K. subfield K R ∧ H ⊆ K}" by blast
qed
lemma (in field) mono_generate_field:
  assumes "I ⊆ J" and "J ⊆ carrier R"
  shows "generate_field R I ⊆ generate_field R J"
proof-
  have "I ⊆ generate_field R J "
     using assms generate_fieldE(2) by blast
  thus "generate_field R I ⊆ generate_field R J"
     using generate_field_min_subfield1[of I "generate_field R J"] assms
generate_field_is_subfield[OF assms(2)]
     by blast
qed
lemma (in field) subfield_gen_incl :
  assumes "subfield H R"
     and "subfield K R"
     and "I \subseteq H"
     and "I \subseteq K"
  shows "generate_field (R(|carrier := K|)) I ⊆ generate_field (R(|carrier
:= H|)) I"
proof
  \{\text{fix } J \text{ assume } J \text{ def } : "subfield J R" "I \subseteq J"have "generate field (R ( carrier := J )) I \subset J"
        using field.mono_generate_field[of "(R(|carrier := J|))" I J] subfield_iff(2)[OF
J<sup>def(1)</sup>
             field.generate_field_in_carrier[of "R(|carrier := J|)"] field_axioms
J_def
        by auto}
  note incl_HK = this
  \{fix x \text{ have "} x \in generate_field (R(carrier := K)) I \implies x \in generate-field(R(|\text{carrier} := H|)) I''proof (induction rule : generate_field.induct)
        case one
           \text{have} "1_{\mathsf{R} \left(\text{carrier} \right. := \, \mathsf{H}\right)} \; \otimes \; 1_{\mathsf{R} \left(\text{carrier} \right. := \, \mathsf{K}\right)} \; = \; 1_{\mathsf{R} \left(\text{carrier} \right. := \, \mathsf{H}\right)}" by
simp
           \text{moreover have } "1_{\mathbb{R} \left(\text{carrier} \ : = \ \texttt{H}\right)} \ \otimes \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R} \left(\text{carrier} \ : = \ \texttt{K}\right)} \ = \ \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R} \left(\text{carrier} \ : = \ \texttt{K}\right)} \texttt{''}by simp
          ultimately show ?case using assms generate_field.one by metis
     next
        case (incl h) thus ?case using generate_field.incl by force
     next
        case (a_inv h)
        note hyp = this
```

```
have "a_inv (R(\text{carrier} := K)) h = a_inv R h"
        using assms group.m_inv_consistent[of "add_monoid R" K] a_comm_group
incl_HK[of K] hyp
               subring.axioms(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(2)]]
        unfolding comm_group_def a_inv_def by auto
      moreover have "a_inv (R(|carrier := H|)) h = a_inv R h"
        using assms group.m_inv_consistent[of "add_monoid R" H] a_comm_group
incl_HK[of H] hyp
               subring.axioms(1)[OF subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]]
        unfolding comm_group_def a_inv_def by auto
      ultimately show ?case using generate_field.a_inv a_inv.IH by fastforce
    next
      case (m_inv h)
      note hyp = this
      have h_K : "h \in (K - {0})" using incl_HK[OF \text{ assumes}(2) \text{ assumes}(4)]hyp by auto
      hence "m_inv (R(|carrier := K|)) h = m_inv R h"
        using field.m_inv_mult_of[OF subfield_iff(2)[OF assms(2)]]
               group.m_inv_consistent[of "mult_of R" "K - {0}"] field_mult_group
units_of_inv
               subgroup_mult_of subfieldE[OF assms(2)] unfolding mult_of_def
apply simp
        by (metis h_K mult_of_def mult_of_is_Units subgroup.mem_carrier
units_of_carrier assms(2))
      moreover have h_H : "h \in (H - {0})" using incl_HK[OF assms(1) assms(3)]
hyp by auto
      hence "m_inv (R(| \text{carrier} := H)) h = m_inv R h"
        using field.m_inv_mult_of[OF subfield iff(2)[OF assms(1)]]
               group.m_inv_consistent[of "mult_of R" "H - {0}"] field mult_group
               subgroup_mult_of[OF assms(1)] unfolding mult_of_def ap-
ply simp
        by (metis h_H field_Units m_inv_mult_of mult_of_is_Units subgroup.mem_carrier
units_of_def)
      ultimately show ?case using generate_field.m_inv m_inv.IH h_H by
fastforce
    next
      case (eng_add h1 h2)
      thus ?case using incl_HK assms generate_field.eng_add by force
    next
      case (eng_mult h1 h2)
      thus ?case using generate_field.eng_mult by force
    qed}
  thus "\bigwedge x. x \in generate_field (R\{\vertcarrier := K\vert)) I \implies x \in generate_field
(R(|\text{carrier} := H|)) I''by auto
qed
lemma (in field) subfield_gen_equality:
```

```
assumes "subfield H R" "K ⊆ H"
  shows "generate_field R K = generate_field (R \nvert \text{ carrier := } H \nvert)) K"
  using subfield_gen_incl[OF assms(1) carrier_is_subfield assms(2)] assms
subringE(1)
        subfield_gen_incl[OF carrier_is_subfield assms(1) _ assms(2)]
subfieldE(1)[OF assms(1)]
  by force
```
**end**

# **43 Product and Sum Groups**

```
theory Product_Groups
```

```
imports Elementary_Groups "HOL-Library.Equipollence"
```
**begin**

### **43.1 Product of a Family of Groups**

```
definition product group:: "'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) monoid scheme) \Rightarrow('a \Rightarrow 'b) monoid"
  where "product_group I G \equiv (carrier = (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (G \text{ i})),monoid.mult = (\lambda x y. (\lambda i \in I. x i \otimes_{G} i y))i)),
                                       one = (\lambda i \in I. 1_{C} i)"
lemma carrier_product_group [simp]: "carrier(product_group I G) = (\Pi_Ei∈I. carrier (G i))"
  by (simp add: product_group_def)
lemma one product group [simp]: "one(product group I G) = (\lambda i \in I. one
(G_i))"
  by (simp add: product_group_def)
lemma mult_product_group [simp]: "(\otimes_{\text{product group I G}}) = (\lambda x y. \lambda i \in I.
x i \otimes_G i y i"
  by (simp add: product_group_def)
lemma product_group [simp]:
  assumes "
V
i. i ∈ I =⇒ group (G i)" shows "group (product_group I
G)"
proof (rule groupI; simp)
  show "(\lambdai. x i \otimes<sub>G</sub> i y i) \in (\Pi i\inI. carrier (G i))"
     if "x \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (G \text{ i}))" "y \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (G \text{ i}))" for
x y
     using that assms group.subgroup_self subgroup.m_closed by fastforce
  show "(\lambdai. 1<sub>G i</sub>) \in (\Pi i\inI. carrier (G i))"
     by (simp add: assms group.is_monoid)
  show "(\lambda i \in I. (if i \in I then x i \otimes_{G_i} j y i else undefined) \otimes_{G_i} j z i)
```

```
=
          (\lambda i \in I. x i \otimes_{G_i} i (if i \in I then y i \otimes_{G_i} z i else undefined))"
     if "x \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (G \text{ i}))" "y \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (G \text{ i}))" "z
\in (\Pi_F i\inI. carrier (G i))" for x y z
     using that by (auto simp: PiE iff assms group.is monoid monoid.m assoc
intro: restrict_ext)
  show "(\lambda i \in I. (if i \in I then 1_G i else undefined) \otimes_G i x i) = x"
     if "x \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (G \text{ i}))" for x
     using assms that by (fastforce simp: Group.group_def PiE_iff)
  show "\exists y \in \Pi_E i∈I. carrier (G i). (\lambda i \in I. y i \otimes_{G} i x i) = (\lambda i \in I. 1<sub>G i</sub>)"
     if "x \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (G \text{ i}))" for xby (rule_tac x="\lambda i \in I. inv<sub>G</sub> i x i" in bexI) (use assms that in auto
simp: PiE_iff group.l_inv› )
qed
lemma inv_product_group [simp]:
   \text{assumes "f}~\in~(\Pi_E~\text{i} \in \text{I. carrier}~(\texttt{G i}))" "\text{/i. i~\in~I} \implies \text{group}~\text{ (G i)}"
  shows "inv<sub>product</sub> group I G f = (\lambda i \in I. inv<sub>G</sub> i f i)"
proof (rule group.inv_equality)
  show "Group.group (product_group I G)"
     by (simp add: assms)
  show "(\lambda i \in I. inv<sub>G</sub> i f i) \otimes product_group I G f = 1product_group I G"
     using assms by (auto simp: PiE_iff group.l_inv)
  show "f ∈ carrier (product_group I G)"
     using assms by simp
  show "(\lambda i \in I. inv_{G i} f i) \in carrier (product-group I G)"
     using PiE_mem assms by fastforce
qed
lemma trivial_product_group: "trivial_group(product_group I G) ←→ (∀ i
\in I. trivial group(G i))"
 (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof
  assume L: ?lhs
  then have "inv<sub>product</sub> group I G (\lambdaa∈I. 1<sub>G a</sub>) = 1<sub>product</sub> group I G"
     by (metis group.is_monoid monoid.inv_one one_product_group trivial_group_def)
  have [simp]: "1<sub>G i</sub> \otimes_{G i} 1<sub>G i</sub> = 1<sub>G i</sub>" if "i \in I" for iunfolding trivial_group_def
  proof -
     have 1: "(\lambda a \in I. 1_{G a}) i = 1_{G i}"
       by (simp add: that)
     have "(\lambda a \in I. 1_{G \ a}) = (\lambda a \in I. 1_{G \ a}) ⊗product_group I G (\lambda a \in I. 1_{G \ a})"
       by (metis (no_types) L group.is_monoid monoid.l_one one_product_group
singletonI trivial_group_def)
     then show ?thesis
       using 1 by (simp add: that)
  qed
  show ?rhs
```

```
using L
    by (auto simp: trivial_group_def product_group_def PiE_eq_singleton
intro: groupI)
next
 assume ?rhs
 then show ?lhs
    by (simp add: PiE_eq_singleton trivial_group_def)
qed
lemma PiE_subgroup_product_group:
  \text{assumes} "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies group (G i)"
 shows "subgroup (PiE I H) (product_group I G) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i ∈ I. subgroup
(H i) (G i))"
    (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof
 assume L: ?lhs
 then have [simp]: "PiE I H \neq {}"
    using subgroup_nonempty by force
 show ?rhs
 proof (clarify; unfold_locales)
    show sub: "H i ⊆ carrier (G i)" if "i ∈ I" for i
      using that L by (simp add: subgroup_def) (metis (no_types, lifting)
L subgroup_nonempty subset_PiE)
    show "x ⊗G i y ∈ H i" if "i ∈ I" "x ∈ H i" "y ∈ H i" for i x y
    proof -
```

```
\mathtt{have}\ast\colon\mathord{``}\textnormal{\texttt{/}}\mathord{\mathbf x}.\mathord{\mathbf x}\in\mathtt{Pi}_E I \mathtt{H}\implies (\forall\mathtt{y}\in\mathtt{Pi}_E I \mathtt{H}.\;\forall\mathtt{i}\in\mathtt{I}.\;\mathord{\mathbf x}\mathtt{i}\otimes_{\mathtt{G}}\mathtt{i}\mathord{\mathbf y}i \in H i)"
```

```
using L by (auto simp: subgroup_def Pi_iff)
       have "∀ y∈H i. f i ⊗<sub>G</sub> i y ∈ H i" if f: "f ∈ Pi<sub>E</sub> I H" and "i ∈ I"
for i f
         using * [OF f] < i \in I<sup>></sup>
         by (subst(asm) all_PiE_elements) auto
       then have "∀f ∈ Pi<sub>E</sub> I H. ∀i ∈ I. ∀y∈H i. f i ⊗<sub>G</sub> i y ∈ H i"
         by blast
       with that show ?thesis
         by (subst(asm) all_PiE_elements) auto
    qed
    show "1_G ; \in H i" if "i \in I" for i
       using L subgroup.one_closed that by fastforce
    show "inv<sub>G</sub> i x \in H i" if "i ∈ I" and x: "x \in H i" for i x
    proof -
       have *: "∀y ∈ Pi<sub>E</sub> I H. ∀i∈I. inv<sub>G</sub> i y i ∈ H i"
       proof
         fix y
         assume y: "y \in \text{Pi}_E I H"
         then have yc: "y \in carrier (product group I G)"
           by (metis (no_types) L subgroup_def subsetCE)
```

```
have "inv<sub>product group I G y \in \text{Pi}_E I H"</sub>
```

```
by (simp add: y L subgroup.m_inv_closed)
          moreover have "inv<sub>product_group I G y = (\lambda i \in I \cdot inv_{G_i} j y i)"</sub>
             using yc by (simp add: assms)
          ultimately show "\forall i \in I. inv<sub>G</sub> i \forall i \in H i"
             by auto
       qed
       then have "\forall i \in I. \forall x \in H i. inv<sub>G</sub> i x \in H i"
          by (subst(asm) all_PiE_elements) auto
       then show ?thesis
          using that(1) x by blast
     qed
  qed
next
  assume R: ?rhs
  show ?lhs
  proof
     show "Pi_E I H \subseteq carrier (product_group I G)"
       using R by (force simp: subgroup_def)
     show "x \otimes product_group I G y \in Pi<sub>E</sub> I H" if "x \in Pi<sub>E</sub> I H" "y \in Pi<sub>E</sub>
I H" for x y
       using R that by (auto simp: PiE_iff subgroup_def)
     show "1_{product\_group I G \in \text{Pi}_E I H''using R by (force simp: subgroup_def)
     show "inv<sub>product_group I G x \in \text{Pi}_E I H" if "x \in \text{Pi}_E I H" for x</sub>
     proof -
       have x: "x \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (G \text{ i}))"
          using R that by (force simp: subgroup_def)
       show ?thesis
          using assms R that by (fastforce simp: x assms subgroup_def)
     qed
  qed
qed
lemma product_group_subgroup_generated:
  \text{assumes }\n\text{"} \wedge \text{i. } i \in I \implies \text{subgroup } (\text{H i}) \text{ (G i)}\n\text{" and gp: }\n\text{"} \wedge \text{i. } i \in I \impliesgroup (G i)"
  shows "product_group I (λi. subgroup_generated (G i) (H i))
         = subgroup_generated (product_group I G) (PiE I H)"
proof (rule monoid.equality)
  \mathtt{have} [\mathtt{simp}]: "\bigwedge\mathtt{i}. \mathtt{i}\in\mathtt{I}\implies carrier (G i) \cap H \mathtt{i} = H \mathtt{i}" "(\Pi_E \mathtt{i} \in \mathtt{I}. carrier
(G i)) \cap Pi<sub>E</sub> I H = Pi<sub>E</sub> I H"
     using assms by (force simp: subgroup_def)+
  have "(Π<sub>E</sub> i∈I. generate (G i) (H i)) = generate (product_group I G)
(\text{Pi}_E I H)"
  proof (rule group.generateI)
     show "Group.group (product_group I G)"
       using assms by simp
     show "subgroup (\Pi_E \in \subseteq I. generate (G_i) (H_i)) (product group I G)"
       using assms by (simp add: PiE_subgroup_product_group group.generate_is_subgroup
```

```
subgroup.subset)
    show "Pi<sub>E</sub> I H \subseteq (\Pi<sub>E</sub> i\inI. generate (G i) (H i))"
       using assms by (auto simp: PiE_iff generate.incl)
    show "(\Pi_E i∈I. generate (G i) (H i)) \subset K"
       if "subgroup K (product_group I G)" "Pi_E I H \subseteq K" for K
       using assms that group.generate_subgroup_incl by fastforce
  qed
  with assms
  show "carrier (product group I (\lambdai. subgroup generated (G i) (H i)))
=
         carrier (subgroup_generated (product_group I G) (Pi_E I H))"
    by (simp add: carrier_subgroup_generated cong: PiE_cong)
qed auto
lemma finite_product_group:
  \text{assumes} "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies group (G i)"
  shows
   "finite (carrier (product_group I G)) \longleftrightarrowfinite {i. i \in I \wedge ~ trivial_group(G i)} \wedge (\forall i \in I. finite(carrier(G
i)))"
proof -
  \texttt{have [simp]:} \texttt{``}\texttt{/i. i} \in \texttt{I} \Longrightarrow \texttt{carrier} \texttt{``G i)} \neq \texttt{\{}}\texttt{''}using assms group.is_monoid by blast
  show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: finite_PiE_iff PiE_eq_empty_iff group.trivial_group_alt
[OF assms] cong: Collect_cong conj_cong)
```
### **43.2 Sum of a Family of Groups**

**qed**

```
definition sum_group :: "'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) monoid_scheme) \Rightarrow ('a
⇒ 'b) monoid"
  where "sum_group I G \equivsubgroup_generated
             (product_group I G)
            {x \in \Pi_E i∈I. carrier (G i). finite {i ∈ I. x i \neq 1_G i}}"
lemma subgroup_sum_group:
   \text{assumes }\n\text{"}\bigwedge\text{i. i}\in I\implies \text{group }(G\text{ i})\n\text{"}shows "subgroup {x \in \Pi_E i∈I. carrier (G i). finite {i \in I. x i \neq 1_G i}}
                         (product_group I G)"
proof unfold_locales
  fix x y
  have *: "{i. (i ∈ I \rightarrow x i \otimes<sub>G i</sub> y i \neq 1<sub>G i</sub>) \land i ∈ I}
           \subseteq {i \in I. x i \neq 1<sub>G i</sub>} ∪ {i \in I. y i \neq 1<sub>G i</sub>}"
     by (auto simp: Group.group_def dest: assms)
  assume
     "x \in \{x \in \Pi_E \text{ i} \in I. \text{ carrier } (G \text{ i}). \text{ finite } \{i \in I. \text{ x } i \neq 1_{G_i}\}\}"
     "y \in \{x \in \Pi_E \text{ i}\in I\}. carrier (G i). finite \{i \in I\}. x i \neq 1_{G,i}\}"
```

```
then
  show "x \otimes product_group I G y \in \{x \in \Pi_E \text{ i} \in I\}. carrier (G i). finite {i
\in I. x i \neq 1<sub>G i</sub>}}"
    using assms
    apply (auto simp: Group.group_def monoid.m_closed PiE_iff)
    apply (rule finite_subset [OF *])
    by blast
next
  fix x
  assume "x \in \{x \in \Pi_E \text{ i} \in I. carrier (G i). finite \{i \in I. x i \neq 1_G i\}"
  then show "inv<sub>product_group I G x \in \{x \in \Pi_E \text{ i} \in I\}. carrier (G i). finite</sub>
\{i \in I. \; x \; i \neq 1_{\mathcal{G}i} \}"
    using assms
    by (auto simp: PiE_iff assms group.inv_eq_1_iff [OF assms] conj_commute
cong: rev_conj_cong)
qed (use assms [unfolded Group.group_def] in auto)
lemma carrier_sum_group:
  \text{assumes} "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies group (G i)"
  shows "carrier(sum_group I G) = {x \in \Pi_E i∈I. carrier (G i). finite
\{i~\in~ I.~x~i~\neq~\mathbb{1}_{\texttt{G}}~_{i}\}\}"
proof -
  interpret SG: subgroup "{x \in \Pi_E i∈I. carrier (G i). finite {i \in I.
x i \neq 1_G i}" (product_group I G)"
    by (simp add: assms subgroup_sum_group)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: sum_group_def subgroup_sum_group carrier_subgroup_generated_alt)
qed
lemma one_sum_group [simp]: "1_{sum\_group I G} = (\lambda i \in I. 1_{G I})"
  by (simp add: sum_group_def)
lemma mult_sum_group [simp]: "(\otimessum_group I G) = (\lambdax y. (\lambdai∈I. x i \otimesG i
y i))"
  by (auto simp: sum_group_def)
lemma sum_group [simp]:
  \text{assumes }\n\text{"$\Lambda$i. i $\in I \implies \text{group $(G_i)$}\n" shows \n"group (sum_group I \n0)"\nproof (rule groupI)
  note group.is_monoid [OF assms, simp]
  show "x \otimessum_group I G y \in carrier (sum_group I G)"
    if "x ∈ carrier (sum_group I G)" and
       "y ∈ carrier (sum_group I G)" for x y
  proof -
    have *: "{i ∈ I. x i \otimes<sub>G</sub> i y i \neq 1<sub>G</sub> i} \subseteq {i ∈ I. x i \neq 1<sub>G i</sub>} ∪ {i
\in I. y i \neq 1_G i}"
       by auto
    show ?thesis
       using that
```

```
apply (simp add: assms carrier_sum_group PiE_iff monoid.m_closed
conj_commute cong: rev_conj_cong)
       apply (blast intro: finite_subset [OF *])
       done
  qed
  show "1_{\text{sum\_group I G}} \otimes_{\text{sum\_group I G}} x = x"
    if "x \in \operatorname{carrier} (sum_group I G)" for x
     using that by (auto simp: assms carrier sum group PiE iff extensional def)
  show "∃y∈carrier (sum_group I G). y ⊗<sub>sum_group</sub> I G x = 1<sub>sum_group</sub> I G"
     if "x ∈ carrier (sum_group I G)" for x
  proof
     let ?y = "\lambda i \in I. m_inv (G i) (x i)"
     show "?y \otimes_{sum\_group} I G x = 1_{sum\_group} I G"
       using that assms
       by (auto simp: carrier_sum_group PiE_iff group.l_inv)
    show "?y \in carrier (sum_group I G)"
       using that assms
       by (auto simp: carrier_sum_group PiE_iff group.inv_eq_1_iff group.l_inv
cong: conj_cong)
  qed
qed (auto simp: assms carrier_sum_group PiE_iff group.is_monoid monoid.m_assoc)
lemma inv_sum_group [simp]:
  \text{assumes }\n\mathsf{``}\text{/i. i }\in I \implies \text{group } (\mathsf{G}\n\text{ i})\n\mathsf{''} \text{ and } \text{x: }\n\mathsf{``x }\in \text{carrier } (\text{sum\_group } \mathsf{``x})\n\mathsf{''}I G)"
  shows "m_inv (sum_group I G) x = (\lambda i \in I. m_inv (G i) (x i))"
proof (rule group.inv equality)
  show "(\lambda i \in I. inv<sub>G</sub> i x i) \otimes_{sum\_group} I G x = 1_{sum\_group} I G"
     using x by (auto simp: carrier_sum_group PiE_iff group.l_inv assms
intro: restrict_ext)
  show "(\lambda i \in I. inv_{G_i} x i) \in carrier (sum\_group I G)"
     using x by (simp add: carrier_sum_group PiE_iff group.inv_eq_1_iff
assms conj_commute cong: rev_conj_cong)
qed (auto simp: assms)
thm group.subgroups_Inter
theorem subgroup_Inter:
  \text{assumes subgr: } "(\text{H. H} \in \text{A} \implies \text{subgroup H G})"and not_empty: "A \neq \{}"
  shows "subgroup (\bigcap A) G"
proof
  \text{show } \text{``} \bigcap \text{ } A \subseteq \text{ carrier } \text{ } G \text{''}by (simp add: Inf_less_eq not_empty subgr subgroup.subset)
qed (auto simp: subgr subgroup.m_closed subgroup.one_closed subgroup.m_inv_closed)
thm group.subgroups_Inter_pair
lemma subgroup_Int:
  assumes "subgroup I G" "subgroup J G"
```

```
shows "subgroup (I \cap J) G" using subgroup_Inter[ where ?A = "{I,J}"]assms by auto
lemma sum_group_subgroup_generated:
  \text{assumes }\n \text{"} \wedge \text{i. } i \in I \implies \text{group } (\texttt{G i})\n \text{"} \text{ and } \text{sg: } \text{"} \wedge \text{i. } i \in I \implies \text{subgroup }(H i) (G i)"
  shows "sum group I (\lambdai. subgroup generated (G i) (H i)) = subgroup generated
(sum_group I G) (PiE I H)"
proof (rule monoid.equality)
  have "subgroup (carrier (sum_group I G) ∩ Pi<sub>E</sub> I H) (product_group I
G)"
     by (rule subgroup_Int) (auto simp: assms carrier_sum_group subgroup_sum_group
PiE_subgroup_product_group)
  moreover have "carrier (sum_group I G) \cap Pi<sub>E</sub> I H
                 \subset carrier (subgroup generated (product group I G)
                        \{x \in \Pi_E \text{ i}\in I. \text{ carrier } (G \text{ i}). \text{ finite } \{i \in I. \text{ x } i \neq j\}1_G i}})"
     by (simp add: assms subgroup_sum_group subgroup.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup
carrier_sum_group)
  ultimately
  have "subgroup (carrier (sum_group I G) ∩ Pi<sub>E</sub> I H) (sum_group I G)"
     by (simp add: assms sum_group_def group.subgroup_subgroup_generated_iff)
  then have *: "{f \in \Pi_E \text{ i} \in I}. carrier (subgroup_generated (G i) (H i)).
finite {i \in I. f i \neq 1_G i}}
       = carrier (subgroup_generated (sum_group I G) (carrier (sum_group
I G) \cap Pi<sub>E</sub> I H))"
     apply (simp only: subgroup.carrier subgroup generated subgroup)
     using subgroup.subset [OF sg]
     apply (auto simp: set_eq_iff PiE_def Pi_def assms carrier_sum_group
subgroup.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup)
     done
  then show "carrier (sum_group I (λi. subgroup_generated (G i) (H i)))
=
         carrier (subgroup_generated (sum_group I G) (Pi_E I H))"
     by simp (simp add: assms group.subgroupE(1) group.group_subgroup_generated
carrier_sum_group)
qed (auto simp: sum_group_def subgroup_generated_def)
lemma iso_product_groupI:
  \text{assumes iso: } "\wedge i. i \in I \implies G i \cong H i"\quad and G: "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies group (G i)" and H: "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies group
(H i)"
  shows "product_group I G \cong product_group I H" (is "?IG \cong ?IH")
proof -
  have " \bigwedge\! : i \in I \implies \exists h. h \in iso (G i) (H i)"using iso by (auto simp: is_iso_def)
  \text{then obtain } f \text{ where } f: \sqrt[n]{i} \cdot i \in I \implies f \text{ is } (G \text{ is } (H \text{ is } n))
```

```
by metis
  define h where "h \equiv \lambda x. (\lambda i \in I. f i (x i))"
  have hom: "h ∈ iso ?IG ?IH"
  proof (rule isoI)
    show hom: "h ∈ hom ?IG ?IH"
    proof (rule homI)
      fix x
       assume "x ∈ carrier ?IG"
       with f show "h x ∈ carrier ?IH"
         using PiE by (fastforce simp add: h_def PiE_def iso_def hom_def)
    next
       fix x y
       assume "x ∈ carrier ?IG" "y ∈ carrier ?IG"
       with f show "h (x \otimes?IG y) = h x \otimes?IH h y"
         apply (simp add: h_def PiE_def iso_def hom_def)
         using PiE by (fastforce simp add: h_def PiE_def iso_def hom_def
intro: restrict_ext)
    qed
    with G H interpret GH : group_hom "?IG" "?IH" h
       by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
    show "bij_betw h (carrier ?IG) (carrier ?IH)"
       unfolding bij_betw_def
    proof (intro conjI subset_antisym)
       have "\gamma i = 1<sub>G</sub> i"
         if \gamma: \ \ ^{\shortparallel}\gamma \in (\Pi_E \ \text{i} \in I \ \text{. carrier } (\mathbb{G} \ \text{i}))^{\shortparallel} and eq: "(\lambdai\inI. f i (\gamma \ \text{i}))= (\lambda i \in I. I_{H i})^{\text{T}} and "i \in I^{\text{T}}for \gamma i
       proof -
         have "inj on (f i) (carrier (G i))" "f i \in hom (G i) (H i)"
           using ‹ i ∈ I› f by (auto simp: iso_def bij_betw_def)
         then have *: "\bigwedge x. [f i x = 1<sub>H i</sub>; x \in carrier (G i)] \implies x = 1<sub>G i</sub>"
           by (metis G Group.group_def H hom_one inj_onD monoid.one_closed
‹ i ∈ I› )
         show ?thesis
           using eq \langle i \in I \rangle * \gamma by (simp add: fun_eq_iff) (meson PiE_iff)
       qed
       then show "inj_on h (carrier ?IG)"
         apply (simp add: iso_def bij_betw_def GH.inj_on_one_iff flip:
carrier_product_group)
         apply (force simp: h_def)
         done
    next
       show "h ' carrier ?IG ⊆ carrier ?IH"
         unfolding h_def using f
         by (force simp: PiE_def Pi_def Group.iso_def dest!: bij_betwE)
    next
       show "carrier ?IH ⊆ h ' carrier ?IG"
         unfolding h_def
       proof (clarsimp simp: iso_def bij_betw_def)
```

```
fix x
          \text{assume } "x \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I. \text{ carrier } (H \text{ i}))"with f have x: "x \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ i } \text{ i } \text{ carrier } (G \text{ i}))"
             unfolding h_def by (auto simp: iso_def bij_betw_def)
           \text{have } " \text{{\char'134}h\acute{}}\text{.} \text{ } \text{ } \text{ } \text{i } \in \text{ } \text{I} \implies \text{inj\_on } \text{ } (\text{f i}) \text{ } (\text{carrier } (\text{G i}))"using f by (auto simp: iso_def bij_betw_def)
          let ?g = "\lambda i \in I. inv into (carrier (G i)) (f i) (x i)"show "x \in (\lambdag. \lambdai\inI. f i (g i)) ' (\Pi<sub>E</sub> i\inI. carrier (G i))"
          proof
             show "x = (\lambda i \in I. f i (?g i))"
               using x by (auto simp: PiE_iff fun_eq_iff extensional_def
f_inv_into_f)
             show "?g \in (\Pi_E i\inI. carrier (G i))"
                using x by (auto simp: PiE_iff inv_into_into)
          qed
        qed
     qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis
     using is_iso_def by auto
qed
lemma iso_sum_groupI:
   assumes iso: "\wedgei. i ∈ I \Rightarrow G i \cong H i"
     \quad and G: "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies group (G i)" and H: "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies group
(H i)"
  shows "sum group I G \cong sum group I H" (is "?IG \cong ?IH")
proof -
   have " \bigwedge\! : i \in I \implies \exists h. h \in iso (G i) (H i)"using iso by (auto simp: is_iso_def)
   \text{then obtain } f \text{ where } f: \sqrt[n]{i} \cdot i \in I \implies f \text{ is } (G \text{ is } (H \text{ is } n))by metis
  then have injf: "inj_on (f i) (carrier (G i))"
     and homf: "f i ∈ hom (G i) (H i)" if "i ∈ I" for i
     using \langle i \in I \rangle f by (auto simp: iso_def bij_betw_def)
   then have one: "\bigwedge x. [f i x = 1<sub>H i</sub>; x \in carrier (G i)] \implies x = 1<sub>G i</sub>"
if "i ∈ I" for i
     by (metis G H group.subgroup_self hom_one inj_on_eq_iff subgroup.one_closed
that)
  have fin1: "finite {i ∈ I. x i \neq 1_G i} \Rightarrow finite {i ∈ I. f i (x i)
\neq 1_H i<sup>}</sup>" for x
     using homf by (auto simp: G H hom_one elim!: rev_finite_subset)
  define h where "h \equiv \lambda x. (\lambda i \in I. f i (x i))"
  have hom: "h ∈ iso ?IG ?IH"
  proof (rule isoI)
     show hom: "h ∈ hom ?IG ?IH"
     proof (rule homI)
        fix x
        assume "x ∈ carrier ?IG"
```

```
with f fin1 show "h x \in carrier ?IH"
          by (force simp: h_def PiE_def iso_def hom_def carrier_sum_group
assms conj_commute cong: conj_cong)
    next
       fix x y
       assume "x ∈ carrier ?IG" "y ∈ carrier ?IG"
       with homf show "h (x \otimes<sub>7IG</sub> y) = h x \otimes<sub>7IH</sub> h y"
          by (fastforce simp add: h_def PiE_def hom_def carrier_sum_group
assms intro: restrict ext)
     qed
     with G H interpret GH : group_hom "?IG" "?IH" h
       by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
     show "bij_betw h (carrier ?IG) (carrier ?IH)"
       unfolding bij_betw_def
     proof (intro conjI subset_antisym)
       have \gamma: "\gamma i = 1<sub>G</sub> i"
         if "\gamma \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (\text{G} \text{ i}))" and eq: "(\lambda \text{ i} \in I \text{ . f } \text{ i } (\gamma \text{ i}))= (\lambda i \in I. I_{H i})^{\text{max}} and "i \in I^{\text{max}}for \gamma i
          using ‹ i ∈ I› one that by (simp add: fun_eq_iff) (meson PiE_iff)
       show "inj_on h (carrier ?IG)"
          apply (simp add: iso_def bij_betw_def GH.inj_on_one_iff assms
one flip: carrier_sum_group)
          apply (auto simp: h_def fun_eq_iff carrier_sum_group assms PiE_def
Pi_def extensional_def one)
          done
    next
       show "h ' carrier ?IG ⊆ carrier ?IH"
          using homf GH.hom_closed
          by (fastforce simp: h_def PiE_def Pi_def dest!: bij_betwE)
     next
       show "carrier ?IH ⊆ h ' carrier ?IG"
          unfolding h_def
       proof (clarsimp simp: iso_def bij_betw_def carrier_sum_group assms)
          fix x
          assume x: "x \in (\Pi_F \text{ i} \in I \text{ . carrier } (H \text{ i}))" and fin: "finite {i
\in I. x i \neq 1<sub>H i</sub>}"
          with f have xf: "x \in (\Pi_E \text{ i} \in I \text{ f i } ' carrier (G \text{ i}))"
            unfolding h_def
            by (auto simp: iso_def bij_betw_def)
          \text{have } " \text{{\char'134}h\acute{}}\text{.} \text{ } \text{ } \text{ } \text{i } \in \text{ } \text{I} \implies \text{inj\_on } \text{ } (\text{f i}) \text{ } (\text{carrier } (\text{G i}))"using f by (auto simp: iso_def bij_betw_def)
          let ?g = "\lambda i \in I. inv_into (carrier (G i)) (f i) (x i)"
          show "x \in (\lambda g. \lambda i \in I. f i (g i))' {x \in \Pi_E i∈I. carrier (G i). finite {i \in I. x i \neq1_{G_i} }}"
          proof
            show xeq: "x = (\lambda i \in I. f i (?g i))"
               using x by (clarsimp simp: PiE_iff fun_eq_iff extensional_def)
```

```
(metis iso_iff f_inv_into_f f)
          have "finite {i \in I. inv_into (carrier (G i)) (f i) (x i) \neq1_G i<sup>"</sup>
            apply (rule finite subset [OF fin])
            using G H group.subgroup_self hom_one homf injf inv_into_f_eq
subgroup.one_closed by fastforce
          with x show "?g \in \{x \in \Pi_E \text{ i} \in I\}. carrier (G i). finite \{i \inI. x i \neq 1_G i}}"
            apply (auto simp: PiE_iff inv_into_into conj_commute cong:
conj cong)
             by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) iso_iff f inv_into_into)
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using is_iso_def by auto
qed
```
**end**

# **44 Free Abelian Groups**

```
theory Free_Abelian_Groups
 imports
   Product_Groups FiniteProduct "HOL-Cardinals.Cardinal_Arithmetic"
   "HOL-Library.Countable_Set" "HOL-Library.Poly_Mapping" "HOL-Library.Equipollence"
```
**begin**

```
lemma eqpoll_Fpow:
  assumes "infinite A" shows "Fpow A ≈ A"
  unfolding eqpoll_iff_card_of_ordIso
 by (metis assms card_of_Fpow_infinite)
lemma infinite_iff_card_of_countable: "[countable B; infinite B] \impliesinfinite A \leftrightarrow ( |B| \leq_0 |A| )"
 unfolding infinite_iff_countable_subset card_of_ordLeq countable_def
 by (force intro: card_of_ordLeqI ordLeq_transitive)
```

```
lemma iso_imp_eqpoll_carrier: "G \cong H \implies carrier G \approx carrier H"
  by (auto simp: is_iso_def iso_def eqpoll_def)
```
#### **44.1 Generalised finite product**

```
definition
  gfinprod :: "[('b, 'm) monoid scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'b"
  where "gfinprod G f A =
```

```
(if finite {x ∈ A. f x \neq 1_G} then finprod G f {x ∈ A. f x \neq 1_G} else
1_G)"
context comm_monoid begin
lemma gfinprod_closed [simp]:
  "f \in A \rightarrow carrier G \Longrightarrow gfinprod G f A \in carrier G"
  unfolding gfinprod_def
  by (auto simp: image_subset_iff_funcset intro: finprod_closed)
lemma gfinprod_cong:
  "\|A = B; f \in B \rightarrow carrier G;
     \bigwedge\mathbb{i}. \mathbb{i}\in\mathbb{B} =simp=> f \mathbb{i}= g i]\implies gfinprod G f A = gfinprod G g B"
  unfolding gfinprod_def
  by (auto simp: simp_implies_def cong: conj_cong intro: finprod_cong)
lemma gfinprod_eq_finprod [simp]: "[finite A; f \in A \rightarrow carrier G] \Longrightarrowgfinprod G f A = finprod G f A''by (auto simp: gfinprod_def intro: finprod_mono_neutral_cong_left)
lemma gfinprod_insert [simp]:
  assumes "finite {x \in A. f x \neq 1_G}" "f \in A \rightarrow carrier G" "f i \in carrier
G''shows "gfinprod G f (insert i A) = (if i \in A then gfinprod G f A else
f i \otimes gfinprod G f A)"
proof -
  have f: "f \in {x \in A. f x \neq 1} \rightarrow carrier G"
    using assms by (auto simp: image subset iff funcset)
  have "{x. x = i \land f x \neq 1 \lor x \in A \land f x \neq 1} = (if f i = 1 then {x
\in A. f x \neq 1} else insert i {x \in A. f x \neq 1})"
    by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using assms
    unfolding gfinprod_def by (simp add: conj_disj_distribR insert_absorb
f split: if_split_asm)
qed
lemma gfinprod_distrib:
  assumes fin: "finite {x ∈ A. f x \neq 1_G}" "finite {x ∈ A. g x \neq 1_G}"
     and "f ∈ A → carrier G" "g ∈ A → carrier G"
  shows "gfinprod G (\lambdai. f i \otimes g i) A = gfinprod G f A \otimes gfinprod G
g A"
proof -
  have "finite \{x \in A. f x \otimes g x \neq 1\}"
    by (auto intro: finite_subset [OF _ finite_UnI [OF fin]])
  then have "gfinprod G (\lambdai. f i ⊗ g i) A = gfinprod G (\lambdai. f i ⊗ g
i) ({i ∈ A. f i \neq 1_G} ∪ {i ∈ A. g i \neq 1_G})"
    unfolding gfinprod_def
    using assms by (force intro: finprod_mono_neutral_cong)
```

```
also have "... = gfinprod G f A \otimes gfinprod G g A"
  proof -
    have "finprod G f ({i ∈ A. f i \neq 1_G} ∪ {i ∈ A. g i \neq 1_G}) = gfinprod
G f A"
      "finprod G g (\{i \in A. f i \neq 1_G\}) \cup \{i \in A. g i \neq 1_G\}) = gfinprod
G g A"
      using assms by (auto simp: gfinprod_def intro: finprod_mono_neutral_cong_right)
    moreover have "(\lambda i. f i \otimes g i) \in \{i \in A. f i \neq 1\} \cup \{i \in A. g if \neq 1} \rightarrow carrier G"
      using assms by (force simp: image_subset_iff_funcset)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using assms
      apply simp
      apply (subst finprod_multf, auto)
      done
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma gfinprod_mono_neutral_cong_left:
  assumes "A ⊆ B"
    and 1: "\bigwedgei. i ∈ B - A \implies h i = 1"
    and gh: "\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies g x = h x"
    and h: "h \in B \rightarrow carrier G"
  shows "gfinprod G g A = gfinprod G G h B"proof (cases "finite \{x \in B. h x \neq 1\}")
  case True
  then have "finite \{x \in A, h \mid x \neq 1\}"
    apply (rule rev_finite_subset)
    using \langle A \subseteq B \rangle by auto
  with True assms show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: gfinprod_def cong: conj_cong)
    apply (auto intro!: finprod_mono_neutral_cong_left)
    done
next
  case False
  have "{x \in B. h x \neq 1} \subseteq {x \in A. h x \neq 1}"
    using 1 by auto
  with False have "infinite \{x \in A. h x \neq 1\}"
    using infinite_super by blast
  with False assms show ?thesis
    by (simp add: gfinprod_def cong: conj_cong)
qed
lemma gfinprod_mono_neutral_cong_right:
  assumes "A \subseteq B" "\wedgei. i ∈ B - A \implies g i = 1" "\wedgex. x \in A \implies g x =
h x" "g \in B \rightarrow carrier G"
  shows "gfinprod G g B = gfinprod G h A"
  using assms by (auto intro!: gfinprod_mono_neutral_cong_left [symmetric])
```

```
lemma gfinprod_mono_neutral_cong:
  assumes [simp]: "finite B" "finite A"
     \text{and } * \colon "\bigwedge\text{i}$. i \in \text{B - A} \Longrightarrow \text{h i = 1" "\bigwedge\text{i}$. i \in \text{A - B} \Longrightarrow \text{g i = 1"}and gh: "\bigwedge x. x \in A \cap B \implies g x = h x"
     and g: "g \in A \rightarrow carrier G"
     and h: "h \in B \rightarrow carrier G"
 shows "gfinprod G g A = gfinprod G h B''proof-
  have "gfinprod G g A = gfinprod G g (A ∩ B)"
     by (rule gfinprod_mono_neutral_cong_right) (use assms in auto)
  also have "... = gfinprod G h (A \cap B)"
     by (rule gfinprod_cong) (use assms in auto)
  also have "\ldots = gfinprod G h B"
     by (rule gfinprod_mono_neutral_cong_left) (use assms in auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
end
lemma (in comm_group) hom_group_sum:
   \text{assumes hom: } \text{"}\big\backslash\text{i. } \text{i. } \in \text{ I} \implies \text{f. } \text{i. } \in \text{ hom } \text{ (A. i) } \text{ G" and } \text{ grp: } \text{"}\big\backslash\text{i. } \text{i. } \in \text{ (A. } \text{ i. } \text{ i. } \text{ )}I \implies \text{group} (A_i)"
  shows "(\lambda x. gfinprod G (\lambda i. (f i) (x i)) I) \in hom (sum_group I A) G"
  unfolding hom_def
proof (intro CollectI conjI ballI)
  show "(\lambda x. gfinprod G (\lambda i. f i (x i)) I) \in carrier (sum group I A)
\rightarrow carrier G"
     using assms
     by (force simp: hom_def carrier_sum_group intro: gfinprod_closed simp
flip: image_subset_iff_funcset)
next
  fix x y
  assume x: "x ∈ carrier (sum_group I A)" and y: "y ∈ carrier (sum_group
I A)"
  then have finx: "finite {i \in I. x i \neq 1<sub>A i</sub>}" and finy: "finite {i
\in I. y i \neq 1<sub>A i</sub>}"
     using assms by (auto simp: carrier_sum_group)
  have finfx: "finite {i \in I. f i (x i) \neq 1}"
     using assms by (auto simp: is_group hom_one [OF hom] intro: finite_subset
[OF _ -   \,  \, \text{finx}])
```
have finfy: "finite {i  $\in$  I. f i (y i)  $\neq$  1}"

**using** assms **by** (auto simp: is\_group hom\_one [OF hom] intro: finite\_subset  $[0F$   $finy]$ )

**have** carr: "f i (x i) ∈ carrier G" "f i (y i) ∈ carrier G" **if** "i ∈ I" **for** i

using hom carrier [OF hom] that x y assms

**by** (fastforce simp add: carrier\_sum\_group)+

have lam: " $(\lambda i. f i (x i \otimes_{A_i} y i)) \in I \rightarrow$  carrier G"
```
using x y assms by (auto simp: hom_def carrier_sum_group PiE_def Pi_def)
  have lam': "(\lambdai. f i (if i ∈ I then x i \otimes_{\lambda} i y i else undefined)) \in\texttt{I}\ \rightarrow\ \texttt{carrier}\ \texttt{G}"
    by (simp add: lam Pi_cong)
  with lam x y assms
  show "gfinprod G (\lambdai. f i ((x \otimes<sub>sum_group</sub> I A y) i)) I
      = gfinprod G (\lambdai. f i (x i)) I \overline{\otimes} gfinprod G (\lambdai. f i (y i)) I"
    by (simp add: carrier_sum_group PiE_def Pi_def hom_mult [OF hom]
                   gfinprod_distrib finfx finfy carr cong: gfinprod_cong)
qed
44.2 Free Abelian groups on a set, using the "frag" type con-
       structor.
definition free_Abelian_group :: "'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow<sub>0</sub> int) monoid"
  where "free_Abelian_group S = |carrier = {c. Poly_Mapping.keys c \subseteqS}, monoid.mult = (+), one = 0\parallel"
lemma group free Abelian group [simp]: "group (free Abelian group S)"
proof -
  have "\x. Poly_Mapping.keys x ⊆ S =⇒ x ∈ Units (free_Abelian_group
S)"
    unfolding free_Abelian_group_def Units_def
    by clarsimp (metis eq_neg_iff_add_eq_0 neg_eq_iff_add_eq_0 keys_minus)
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding free_Abelian_group_def
    by unfold_locales (auto simp: dest: subsetD [OF keys_add])
qed
lemma carrier_free_Abelian_group_iff [simp]:
  shows "x ∈ carrier (free_Abelian_group S) ←→ Poly_Mapping.keys x ⊆
S"
  by (auto simp: free_Abelian_group_def)
lemma one_free_Abelian_group [simp]: "1_{\text{free\_Abelian\_group}} s = 0"
  by (auto simp: free_Abelian_group_def)
lemma mult_free_Abelian_group [simp]: "x ⊗free_Abelian_group S y = x +
y"
  by (auto simp: free_Abelian_group_def)
lemma inv_free_Abelian_group [simp]: "Poly_Mapping.keys x \subseteq S \implies inv_{free} Abelian group S
x = -x"
  by (rule group.inv_equality [OF group_free_Abelian_group]) auto
lemma abelian_free_Abelian_group: "comm_group(free_Abelian_group S)"
  apply (rule group.group_comm_groupI [OF group_free_Abelian_group])
  by (simp add: free_Abelian_group_def)
```

```
lemma pow_free_Abelian_group [simp]:
  fixes n::nat
  shows "Group.pow (free_Abelian_group S) x n = frag_cmul (int n) x"
  by (induction n) (auto simp: nat_pow_def free_Abelian_group_def frag_cmul_distrib)
lemma int_pow_free_Abelian_group [simp]:
  fixes n::int
  assumes "Poly_Mapping.keys x ⊆ S"
  shows "Group.pow (free_Abelian_group S) x n = frag_cmul n x"
proof (induction n)
  case (nonneg n)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: int_pow_int)
next
  case (neg n)
  have "x [^]free_Abelian_group S - int (Suc n)
     = inv_{free\_Abelian\_group} S (x \tbinom{?}{free\_Abelian\_group} S int (Suc n))"
    by (rule group.int_pow_neg [OF group_free_Abelian_group]) (use assms
in ‹ simp add: free_Abelian_group_def› )
  also have "\ldots = frag_cmul (- int (Suc n)) x''by (metis assms inv_free_Abelian_group pow_free_Abelian_group int_pow_int
minus_frag_cmul
               order_trans keys_cmul)
  finally show ?case .
qed
lemma frag of in free Abelian group [simp]:
   "frag of x \in \text{carrier}(free Abelian group S) \longleftrightarrow x \in S"
  by simp
lemma free_Abelian_group_induct:
  assumes major: "Poly_Mapping.keys x ⊆ S"
      and minor: "P(0)"
            "\bigwedge x y. [Poly_Mapping.keys x \subseteq S; Poly_Mapping.keys y \subseteq S;
P x; P y \Rightarrow P(x-y)"
            "\Lambdaa. a \in S \implies P(frag_of a)"
         shows "P x"
proof -
  have "Poly_Mapping.keys x ⊆ S ∧ P x"
    using major
  proof (induction x rule: frag_induction)
    case (diff a b)
    then show ?case
      by (meson Un_least minor(2) order.trans keys_diff)
  qed (auto intro: minor)
  then show ?thesis ..
qed
```
**lemma** sum\_closed\_free\_Abelian\_group:

```
"\,(\text{1. i} \in I \implies x \text{ i} \in \text{carrier (free\_Abelian\_group S))} \implies \text{sum } x \text{ I}∈ carrier (free_Abelian_group S)"
  apply (induction I rule: infinite_finite_induct, auto)
  by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) UnE subsetCE keys_add)
lemma (in comm_group) free_Abelian_group_universal:
  fixes f :: "'c \Rightarrow 'a"
  assumes "f ' S ⊆ carrier G"
  \text{obtains}\text{ }h\text{ }\text{where}\text{ }\text{ }\text{''}h\text{ }\in\text{ }\text{hom}\text{ }\text{ (free\_Abelian-group}\text{ }\text{S)}\text{ }\text{ }G\text{''}\text{ }\text{''}\text{/x.}\text{ }x\text{ }\in\text{ }S\text{ }\Longrightarrowh(frag_of x) = f x"proof
  have fin: "Poly_Mapping.keys u ⊆ S =⇒ finite {x ∈ S. f x [^] poly_mapping.lookup
u x \neq 1<sup>"</sup> for u :: "c \Rightarrow_0 int"apply (rule finite_subset [OF _ finite_keys [of u]])
    unfolding keys.rep_eq by force
  define h :: "('c \Rightarrow<sub>0</sub> int) \Rightarrow 'a"
    where "h \equiv \lambda x. gfinprod G (\lambdaa. f a [^] poly_mapping.lookup x a) S"
  show "h \in hom (free_Abelian_group S) G''proof (rule homI)
    fix x y
    assume xy: "x ∈ carrier (free_Abelian_group S)" "y ∈ carrier (free_Abelian_group
S)"
    then show "h (x \otimesfree_Abelian_group S y) = h x \otimes h y"
       using assms unfolding h_def free_Abelian_group_def
       by (simp add: fin gfinprod_distrib image_subset_iff Poly_Mapping.lookup_add
int pow mult cong: gfinprod cong)
  qed (use assms in ‹ force simp: free_Abelian_group_def h_def intro: gfinprod_closed› )
  show "h(frag of x) = f x" if "x \in S" for x
  proof -
    have fin: "(\lambda a. f x)^{\in} (1::int)) \in \{x\} \rightarrow carrier G" "f x [\hat{ } ] (1::int)
∈ carrier G"
       using assms that by force+
    show ?thesis
       by (cases " f x \lceil \hat{ } \rceil (1::int) = 1") (use assms that in auto simp:
h_def gfinprod_def finprod_singleton› )
  qed
qed
lemma eqpoll_free_Abelian_group_infinite:
  assumes "infinite A" shows "carrier(free_Abelian_group A) \approx A"
proof (rule lepoll_antisym)
  have "carrier (free_Abelian_group A) \leq {f::'a⇒int. f ' A ⊆ UNIV ∧
\{x. f x \neq 0\} \subseteq A \land finite \{x. f x \neq 0\}"
    unfolding lepoll_def
    by (rule_tac x="Poly_Mapping.lookup" in exI) (auto simp: poly_mapping_eqI
lookup not eq zero eq in keys inj onI)
  also have "... \leq Fpow (A \times (UNIV::int set))"
    by (rule lepoll_restricted_funspace)
```

```
also have "... \approx A \times (UNIV::int set)"
  proof (rule eqpoll_Fpow)
    show "infinite (A × (UNIV::int set))"
      using assms finite_cartesian_productD1 by fastforce
  qed
  also have "\ldots \approx A"
    unfolding eqpoll_iff_card_of_ordIso
  proof -
    have ||A \times (UNIT::int set)|| \leq 0 |A|"
      by (simp add: assms card_of_Times_ordLeq_infinite flip: infinite_iff_card_of_countable)
    moreover have "|A| \leq o |A \times (UNIV::int set)|"
      by simp
    ultimately have "|A| *c |(UNIV::int set)| =o |A|"
      by (simp add: cprod_def ordIso_iff_ordLeq)
    then show "|A \times (UNIV::int set)| = o |A|"
      by (metis Times_cprod ordIso_transitive)
  qed
  finally show "carrier (free_Abelian_group A) \leq A".
  have "inj_on frag_of A"
    by (simp add: frag_of_eq inj_on_def)
  moreover have "frag_of ' A ⊆ carrier (free_Abelian_group A)"
    by (simp add: image_subsetI)
  ultimately show "A \leq carrier (free_Abelian_group A)"
    by (force simp: lepoll_def)
qed
proposition (in comm_group) eqpoll_homomorphisms_from_free_Abelian_group:
   "{f. f \in extensional (carrier(free Abelian group S)) \wedge f \in hom (free Abelian group
S) G}
    \approx (S \rightarrow<sub>E</sub> carrier G)" (is "?lhs \approx ?rhs")
  unfolding eqpoll_def bij_betw_def
proof (intro exI conjI)
  let ?f = "\lambda f. restrict (f ⊙ frag_of) S"
  show "inj_on ?f ?lhs"
  proof (clarsimp simp: inj_on_def)
    fix g h
    assume
      g: "g ∈ extensional (carrier (free_Abelian_group S))" "g ∈ hom (free_Abelian_group
S) G"
      and h: "h ∈ extensional (carrier (free_Abelian_group S))" "h ∈
hom (free_Abelian_group S) G"
      and eq: "restrict (g ◦ frag_of) S = restrict (h ◦ frag_of) S"
    have 0: "0 ∈ carrier (free_Abelian_group S)"
      by simp
    interpret hom_g: group_hom "free_Abelian_group S" G g
      using g by (auto simp: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def is_group)
    interpret hom_h: group_hom "free_Abelian_group S" G h
      using h by (auto simp: group hom def group hom axioms def is group)
    have "Poly_Mapping.keys c ⊆ S =⇒ Poly_Mapping.keys c ⊆ S ∧ g c
```

```
= h c" for c
    proof (induction c rule: frag_induction)
      case zero
      show ?case
        using hom_g.hom_one hom_h.hom_one by auto
    next
      case (one x)
      then show ?case
        using eq by (simp add: fun_eq_iff) (metis comp_def)
    next
      case (diff a b)
      then show ?case
        using hom_g.hom_mult hom_h.hom_mult hom_g.hom_inv hom_h.hom_inv
        apply (auto simp: dest: subsetD [OF keys_diff])
        by (metis keys_minus uminus_add_conv_diff)
    qed
    then show "g = h"by (meson g h carrier_free_Abelian_group_iff extensionalityI)
  qed
  have "f \in (\lambdaf. restrict (f \circ frag<sub>c</sub>of) S) '
            {f ∈ extensional (carrier (free_Abelian_group S)). f ∈ hom
(free_Abelian_group S) G}"
   if f: "f \in S \rightarrow<sub>E</sub> carrier G"
    for f :: "'c \Rightarrow 'a"
 proof -
    obtain h where h: "h \in hom (free_Abelian_group S) G" "\bigwedge x. x \in S\implies h(frag of x) = f x"
    proof (rule free_Abelian_group_universal)
      show "f ' S ⊆ carrier G"
        using f by blast
    qed auto
    let ?h = "restrict h (carrier (free_Abelian_group S))"
    show ?thesis
    proof
      show "f = restrict (?h \circ frag_of) S"
        using f by (force simp: h)
      show "?h ∈ {f ∈ extensional (carrier (free_Abelian_group S)). f
∈ hom (free_Abelian_group S) G}"
        using h by (auto simp: hom_def dest!: subsetD [OF keys_add])
    qed
 qed
  then show "?f ' ?lhs = S \rightarrow_E carrier G"
    by (auto simp: hom_def Ball_def Pi_def)
qed
lemma hom_frag_minus:
 assumes "h ∈ hom (free_Abelian_group S) (free_Abelian_group T)" "Poly_Mapping.keys
a ⊆ S"
 shows "h (-a) = - (h a)"
```

```
proof -
 have "Poly_Mapping.keys (h a) ⊆ T"
   by (meson assms carrier_free_Abelian_group_iff hom_in_carrier)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no_types) assms carrier_free_Abelian_group_iff group_free_Abelian_group
group_hom.hom_inv group_hom_axioms_def group_hom_def inv_free_Abelian_group)
qed
lemma hom_frag_add:
 assumes "h ∈ hom (free_Abelian_group S) (free_Abelian_group T)" "Poly_Mapping.keys
a ⊆ S" "Poly_Mapping.keys b ⊆ S"
 shows "h (a+b) = h a + h b"
proof -
 have "Poly_Mapping.keys (h a) ⊆ T"
   by (meson assms carrier_free_Abelian_group_iff hom_in_carrier)
  moreover
  have "Poly_Mapping.keys (h b) ⊆ T"
   by (meson assms carrier_free_Abelian_group_iff hom_in_carrier)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms hom_mult by fastforce
qed
lemma hom_frag_diff:
 assumes "h ∈ hom (free_Abelian_group S) (free_Abelian_group T)" "Poly_Mapping.keys
a ⊆ S" "Poly_Mapping.keys b ⊆ S"
 shows "h (a-b) = h a - h b"
 by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms diff_conv_add_uminus hom_frag_add
hom frag minus keys minus)
proposition isomorphic_free_Abelian_groups:
   "free_Abelian_group S ∼= free_Abelian_group T ←→ S ≈ T" (is "(?FS
∼= ?FT) = ?rhs")
proof
 interpret S: group "?FS"
    by simp
 interpret T: group "?FT"
    by simp
 interpret G2: comm_group "integer_mod_group 2"
    by (rule abelian_integer_mod_group)
 let ?Two = "{0..<2::int}"
  have [simp]: "\neg ?Two \subseteq {a}" for a
    by (simp add: subset_iff) presburger
  assume L: "?FS \cong ?FT"
 let ?HS = "{h ∈ extensional (carrier ?FS). h ∈ hom ?FS (integer_mod_group
2)}"
 let ?HT = "{h \in extensional (carrier ?FT). h \in hom ?FT (integer mod group
2)}"
 have "S \rightarrow_E ?Two \approx ?HS"
```

```
apply (rule eqpoll_sym)
    using G2.eqpoll_homomorphisms_from_free_Abelian_group by (simp add:
carrier_integer_mod_group)
 also have "\ldots \approx ?HT"
 proof -
    obtain f g where "group_isomorphisms ?FS ?FT f g"
      using L S.iso iff group isomorphisms by (force simp: is iso def)
    then have f: "f ∈ hom ?FS ?FT"
      and g: "g \in hom ?FT ?FS"
      and gf: "∀x ∈ carrier ?FS. g(f x) = x"and fg: "\forall y \in \text{carrier } ?FT. f(g y) = y"
      by (auto simp: group_isomorphisms_def)
    let ?f = "λh. restrict (h ◦ g) (carrier ?FT)"
    let ?g = "\lambda h. restrict (h ⊙ f) (carrier ?FS)"
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule lepoll_antisym)
      show "?HS \lesssim ?HT"
        unfolding lepoll_def
      proof (intro exI conjI)
        show "inj_on ?f ?HS"
          apply (rule inj_on_inverseI [where g = ?g])
          using hom_in_carrier [OF f]
          by (auto simp: gf fun_eq_iff carrier_integer_mod_group Ball_def
Pi_def extensional_def)
        show "?f ' ?HS ⊆ ?HT"
        proof clarsimp
          fix h
          assume h: "h \in \text{hom} ?FS (integer mod group 2)"
          have "h \circ g \in hom ?FT (integer mod group 2)"
            by (rule hom_compose [OF g h])
          moreover have "restrict (h ◦ g) (carrier ?FT) x = (h ◦ g) x"
if "x ∈ carrier ?FT" for x
            using g that by (simp add: hom_def)
          ultimately show "restrict (h ◦ g) (carrier ?FT) ∈ hom ?FT (integer_mod_group
2)"
            using T.hom_restrict by fastforce
        qed
      qed
    next
      show "?HT \lesssim ?HS"
        unfolding lepoll_def
      proof (intro exI conjI)
        show "inj_on ?g ?HT"
          apply (rule inj_on_inverseI [where g = ?f])
          using hom_in_carrier [OF g]
          by (auto simp: fg fun_eq_iff carrier_integer_mod_group Ball_def
Pi def extensional def)
        show "?g ' ?HT ⊆ ?HS"
        proof clarsimp
```

```
fix k
           assume k: "k ∈ hom ?FT (integer_mod_group 2)"
           have "k ◦ f ∈ hom ?FS (integer_mod_group 2)"
             by (rule hom_compose [OF f k])
           moreover have "restrict (k ◦ f) (carrier ?FS) x = (k ◦ f) x"
if "x ∈ carrier ?FS" for x
             using f that by (simp add: hom_def)
           ultimately show "restrict (k \circ f) (carrier ?FS) \in hom ?FS (integer mod group
2)"
             using S.hom_restrict by fastforce
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
  also have "... \approx T \rightarrow<sub>E</sub> ?Two"
    using G2.eqpoll_homomorphisms_from_free_Abelian_group by (simp add:
carrier_integer_mod_group)
  finally have *: "S \rightarrow_E ?Two \approx T \rightarrow_E ?Two".
  then have "finite (S \rightarrow_E ?Two) \longleftrightarrow finite (T \rightarrow_E ?Two)"
    by (rule eqpoll_finite_iff)
  then have "finite S ←→ finite T"
    by (auto simp: finite_funcset_iff)
  then consider "finite S" "finite T" | "~ finite S" "~ finite T"
    by blast
  then show ?rhs
  proof cases
    case 1
    with * have "2 \hat{ } card S = (2: \text{nat}) \hat{ } card T"
      by (simp add: card_PiE finite_PiE eqpoll_iff_card)
    then have "card S = card T"
      by auto
    then show ?thesis
      using eqpoll_iff_card 1 by blast
  next
    case 2
    have "carrier (free_Abelian_group S) ≈ carrier (free_Abelian_group
T)"
      using L by (simp add: iso_imp_eqpoll_carrier)
    then show ?thesis
      using 2 eqpoll_free_Abelian_group_infinite eqpoll_sym eqpoll_trans
by metis
  qed
next
  assume ?rhs
  then obtain f g where f: "\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies f x \in T \land g(f \ x) = x"
                      and g: " \bigwedge y. y \in T \implies g y \in S \land f(g y) = y"using eqpoll_iff_bijections by metis
  interpret S: comm_group "?FS"
    by (simp add: abelian_free_Abelian_group)
```

```
interpret T: comm_group "?FT"
    by (simp add: abelian_free_Abelian_group)
  have "(frag_of ◦ f) ' S ⊆ carrier (free_Abelian_group T)"
    using f by auto
  then obtain h where h: "h ∈ hom (free_Abelian_group S) (free_Abelian_group
T)"
    and h_frag: "\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies h \text{ (frag_of x) = (frag_of \circ f) x" }using T.free_Abelian_group_universal [of "frag_of ◦ f" S] by blast
  interpret hhom: group_hom "free_Abelian_group S" "free_Abelian_group
T" h
    by (simp add: h group_hom_axioms_def group_hom_def)
 have "(frag_of ◦ g) ' T ⊆ carrier (free_Abelian_group S)"
    using g by auto
  then obtain k where k: "k \in hom (free_Abelian_group T) (free_Abelian_group
S)"
    and k_frag: "\bigwedge x. x \in T \implies k \text{ (frag_of x)} = \text{ (frag_of \circ g)} x"
    using S.free_Abelian_group_universal [of "frag_of ◦ g" T] by blast
 interpret khom: group_hom "free_Abelian_group T" "free_Abelian_group
S" k
    by (simp add: k group_hom_axioms_def group_hom_def)
  have kh: "Poly_Mapping.keys x \subseteq S \implies Poly_Mapping.keys x \subseteq S \land k(h x) = x" for x
  proof (induction rule: frag_induction)
    case zero
    then show ?case
      apply auto
      by (metis group free Abelian group h hom one k one free Abelian group)
  next
    case (one x)
    then show ?case
      by (auto simp: h_frag k_frag f)
  next
    case (diff a b)
    with keys_diff have "Poly_Mapping.keys (a - b) ⊆ S"
      by (metis Un_least order_trans)
    with diff hhom.hom_closed show ?case
      by (simp add: hom_frag_diff [OF h] hom_frag_diff [OF k])
  qed
  have hk: "Poly_Mapping.keys y ⊆ T =⇒ Poly_Mapping.keys y ⊆ T ∧ h
(k y) = y" for y
  proof (induction rule: frag_induction)
    case zero
    then show ?case
      apply auto
      by (metis group_free_Abelian_group h hom_one k one_free_Abelian_group)
  next
    case (one y)
    then show ?case
      by (auto simp: h_frag k_frag g)
```

```
next
    case (diff a b)
    with keys_diff have "Poly_Mapping.keys (a - b) ⊆ T"
      by (metis Un_least order_trans)
    with diff khom.hom_closed show ?case
      by (simp add: hom_frag_diff [OF h] hom_frag_diff [OF k])
  qed
  have "h ∈ iso ?FS ?FT"
    unfolding iso_def bij_betw_iff_bijections mem_Collect_eq
 proof (intro conjI exI ballI h)
    fix x
    assume x: "x ∈ carrier (free_Abelian_group S)"
    show "h x \in carrier (free_Abelian_group T)"
      by (meson x h hom_in_carrier)
    show "k (h x) = x"
     using x by (simp add: kh)
 next
    fix y
    assume y: "y \in carrier (free_{Abelian\_group} T)"show "k y ∈ carrier (free_Abelian_group S)"
      by (meson y k hom_in_carrier)
    show "h (k y) = y"
      using y by (simp add: hk)
 qed
  then show "?FS ≥ ?FT"
    by (auto simp: is_iso_def)
qed
lemma isomorphic_group_integer_free_Abelian_group_singleton:
  "integer_group ∼= free_Abelian_group {x}"
proof -
 have "(λn. frag_cmul n (frag_of x)) ∈ iso integer_group (free_Abelian_group
{x})"
 proof (rule isoI [OF homI])
    show "bij_betw (λn. frag_cmul n (frag_of x)) (carrier integer_group)
(carrier (free_Abelian_group {x}))"
      apply (rule bij_betwI [where g = "\lambda y. Poly_Mapping.lookup y x"])
      by (auto simp: integer_group_def in_keys_iff intro!: poly_mapping_eqI)
  qed (auto simp: frag_cmul_distrib)
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding is_iso_def
    by blast
qed
lemma group_hom_free_Abelian_groups_id:
 "id \in hom (free_Abelian_group S) (free_Abelian_group T) \longleftrightarrow S \subseteq T"
proof -
  have "x ∈ T" if ST: "\bigwedgec:: 'a ⇒<sub>0</sub> int. Poly_Mapping.keys c ⊆ S → Poly_Mapping.keys
c ⊆ T" and "x ∈ S" for x
```

```
using ST [of "frag_of x''] \langle x \in S \rangle by simp
  then show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: hom_def free_Abelian_group_def Pi_def)
qed
proposition iso_free_Abelian_group_sum:
  assumes "pairwise (\lambda i) i. disjnt (S i) (S j) I"
  shows "(\lambda f. sum' f I) \in iso (sum group I (\lambda i. free Abelian group(S)
i))) (free\_Abelian\_group (\bigcup (S ' I)))"
    (is "?h ∈ iso ?G ?H")
proof (rule isoI)
  show hom: "?h ∈ hom ?G ?H"
  proof (rule homI)
    show "?h c ∈ carrier ?H" if "c ∈ carrier ?G" for c
      using that
      apply (simp add: sum.G_def carrier_sum_group)
      apply (rule order_trans [OF keys_sum])
      apply (auto simp: free_Abelian_group_def)
      done
    show "?h (x \otimes_{\gamma G} y) = ?h x \otimes_{\gamma H} ?h y"
      if "x ∈ carrier ?G" "y ∈ carrier ?G" for x y
      using that by (simp add: sum.finite_Collect_op carrier_sum_group
sum.distrib')
  qed
  interpret GH: group_hom "?G" "?H" "?h"
    using hom by (simp add: group hom def group hom axioms def)
  show "bij_betw ?h (carrier ?G) (carrier ?H)"
    unfolding bij_betw_def
  proof (intro conjI subset_antisym)
    show "?h ' carrier ?G ⊆ carrier ?H"
      apply (clarsimp simp: sum.G_def carrier_sum_group simp del: carrier_free_Abelian_grou
      by (force simp: PiE_def Pi_iff intro!: sum_closed_free_Abelian_group)
    have *: "poly_mapping.lookup (Abs_poly_mapping (λj. if j ∈ S i then
poly_mapping.lookup x j else 0)) k
            = (if k ∈ S i then poly_mapping.lookup x k else 0)" if "i ∈
I" for i k and x :: "b \Rightarrow_0 int"using that by (auto simp: conj_commute cong: conj_cong)
    have eq: "Abs_poly_mapping (\lambdaj. if j \in S i then poly_mapping.lookup
x j else 0) = 0
     ←→ (∀ c ∈ S i. poly_mapping.lookup x c = 0)" if "i ∈ I" for i and
x :: "b \Rightarrow_0 int"apply (auto simp: poly_mapping_eq_iff fun_eq_iff)
      apply (simp add: * Abs_poly_mapping_inverse conj_commute cong: conj_cong)
      apply (force dest!: spec split: if_split_asm)
      done
    have "x ∈ ?h ' {x ∈ \Pi_E i∈I. {c. Poly_Mapping.keys c \subseteq S i}. finite
\{i \in I, x i \neq 0\}"
      \quad if x: "Poly_Mapping.keys \mathbf{x} \subseteq \bigcup (S ' I)" for \mathbf{x} :: "'b \Rightarrow_0 int"
    proof -
```
**let** ?f = " $(\lambda i \ c \ t \ if \ c \in S \ i \ then PolyMapping.lookup x \ c \ else \ 0)$ " define J where "J  $\equiv$  {i  $\in$  I.  $\exists$  c $\in$ S i. c  $\in$  Poly\_Mapping.keys x}" **have** "J ⊆ (λc. THE i. i ∈ I ∧ c ∈ S i) ' Poly\_Mapping.keys x" **proof** (clarsimp simp: J\_def) **show** "i  $\in$  ( $\lambda$ c. THE i. i  $\in$  I  $\wedge$  c  $\in$  S i) ' Poly Mapping.keys x" **if** "i ∈ I" "c ∈ S i" "c ∈ Poly\_Mapping.keys x" **for** i c **proof show** "i = (THE i. i  $\in$  I  $\wedge$  c  $\in$  S i)" **using** assms that **by** (auto simp: pairwise\_def disjnt\_def intro: the\_equality [symmetric]) **qed** (simp add: that) **qed then have** fin: "finite J" **using** finite\_subset finite\_keys **by** blast **have** [simp]: "Poly\_Mapping.keys (Abs\_poly\_mapping (?f i)) = {k. ?f i  $k \neq 0$ }" **if** "i  $\in I$ " for i **by** (simp add: eq\_onp\_def keys.abs\_eq conj\_commute cong: conj\_cong) **have** [simp]: "Poly\_Mapping.lookup (Abs\_poly\_mapping (?f i)) c = ?f i c" **if** "i ∈ I" **for** i c **by** (auto simp: Abs\_poly\_mapping\_inverse conj\_commute cong: conj\_cong) **show** ?thesis **proof have** "poly\_mapping.lookup x c = poly\_mapping.lookup (?h (λi∈I. Abs\_poly\_mapping (?f i))) c" **for** c **proof** (cases " $c \in Poly$  Mapping.keys  $x"$ ) **case** True then obtain i where "i  $\in$  I" "c  $\in$  S i" "?f i c  $\neq$  0" **using** x **by** (auto simp: in\_keys\_iff) **then have** 1: "poly\_mapping.lookup (sum' (λj. Abs\_poly\_mapping  $(?f \; j)) (I - \{i\})$   $c = 0"$ **using** assms **apply** (simp add: sum.G\_def Poly\_Mapping.lookup\_sum pairwise\_def disjnt\_def) apply (force simp: eq split: if\_split\_asm intro!: comm\_monoid\_add\_class.sum.neu **done have** 2: "poly\_mapping.lookup x c = poly\_mapping.lookup (Abs\_poly\_mapping (?f i)) c" **by** (auto simp: *‹* c ∈ S i*›* Abs\_poly\_mapping\_inverse conj\_commute cong: conj\_cong) have "finite  $\{i \in I$ . Abs\_poly\_mapping (?f i)  $\neq$  0}" **by** (rule finite\_subset [OF \_ fin]) (use *‹* i ∈ I*›* J\_def eq **in** *‹* auto simp: in\_keys\_iff*›* ) **with** *‹* i ∈ I*›* **have** "?h (λj∈I. Abs\_poly\_mapping (?f j)) = Abs\_poly\_mapping (?f i) + sum' ( $\lambda$ j. Abs\_poly\_mapping (?f j)) (I - {i})" **by** (simp add: sum\_diff1') **then show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: 1 2 Poly\_Mapping.lookup\_add) **next**

```
case False
           then have "poly_mapping.lookup x c = 0"
              using keys.rep_eq by force
           then show ?thesis
              unfolding sum.G_def by (simp add: lookup_sum * comm_monoid_add_class.sum.neutral)
         qed
         then show "x = ?h (\lambda i \in I. Abs poly mapping (?f i))"
           by (rule poly_mapping_eqI)
         have "(λi. Abs_poly_mapping (?f i)) ∈ (Π i∈I. {c. Poly_Mapping.keys
c \subset S i})"
           by (auto simp: PiE_def Pi_def in_keys_iff)
         then show "(\lambda i \in I. Abs_poly_mapping (?f i))
                   \epsilon \in \{x \in \Pi_E \text{ i}\in I. \text{ } \{\text{c. Poly\_Mapping.keys } \text{c } \subseteq S \text{ i}\}. finite
\{i \in I. x i \neq 0\}"
           using fin unfolding J_def by (force simp add: eq in_keys_iff
cong: conj_cong)
       qed
    qed
    then show "carrier ?H ⊆ ?h ' carrier ?G"
       by (simp add: carrier_sum_group) (auto simp: free_Abelian_group_def)
    show "inj_on ?h (carrier (sum_group I (λi. free_Abelian_group (S
i))))"
       unfolding GH.inj_on_one_iff
    proof clarify
       fix x
       assume "x \in \text{carrier } ?G" "?h x = 1_{?H}"
       then have eq0: "sum' x I = 0"
         \text{and } \text{xs}: \text{ ''}\wedge\text{i. } \text{i. } \in I \implies \text{Poly\_Mapping}.\text{keys } (\text{x i}) \subseteq \text{S } \text{i''} \text{ and } \text{xext: }"x ∈ extensional I"
         and fin: "finite {i \in I. x i \neq 0}"
         by (simp_all add: carrier_sum_group PiE_def Pi_def)
       have "x i = 0" if "i \in I" for i
       proof -
         have "sum' x (insert i (I - {i})) = 0"
           using eq0 that by (simp add: insert_absorb)
         moreover have "Poly Mapping.keys (sum' x (I - {i})) = \}"
         proof -
           have "x i = - sum' x (I - {i})"
              by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) diff_zero eq0 fin sum_diff1'
minus_diff_eq that)
           then have "Poly_Mapping.keys (x i) = Poly_Mapping.keys (sum'
x (I - i)by simp
           then have "Poly Mapping.keys (sum' x (I - {i})) \subset S i"
             using that xs by metis
           moreover
           have "Poly_Mapping.keys (sum' x (I - {i})) \subseteq (\bigcup j \in I - {i}).
S i)"
           proof -
```

```
have "Poly_Mapping.keys (sum' x (I - {i})) ⊆ (∪i∈{j ∈ I.
j \neq i \land x j \neq 0. Poly_Mapping.keys (x i))"
               using keys_sum [of x "\{j \in I : j \neq i \land x j \neq 0\}"] by (simp
add: sum.G_def)
             also have "\dots \subseteq \bigcup (S ' (I - {i}))"
               using xs by force
             finally show ?thesis .
           qed
           \text{moreover have }\n" A = \{\}" \text{ if }\n" A \subseteq S \text{ i" } " A \subseteq \bigcup \text{ }(S \text{ } (I - \{i\}))"for A
             using assms that \langle i \in I \rangleby (force simp: pairwise_def disjnt_def image_def subset_iff)
           ultimately show ?thesis
             by metis
        qed
        then have [simp]: "sum' x (I - i) = 0"by (auto simp: sum.G_def)
        have "sum' x (insert i (I - {i})) = x i"
           by (subst sum.insert' [OF finite_subset [OF _ fin]]) auto
        ultimately show ?thesis
           by metis
      qed
      with xext [unfolded extensional_def]
      show "x = 1_{\text{sum\_group I}} (\lambda i. \text{ free\_Abelian\_group} (S i))"
        by (force simp: free_Abelian_group_def)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma isomorphic_free_Abelian_group_Union:
  "pairwise disjnt I ⇒ free_Abelian_group(\bigcup I) \cong sum_group I free_Abelian_group"
  using iso_free_Abelian_group_sum [of "λX. X" I]
  by (metis SUP_identity_eq empty_iff group.iso_sym group_free_Abelian_group
is_iso_def sum_group)
lemma isomorphic_sum_integer_group:
   "sum_group I (\lambdai. integer_group) ≅ free_Abelian_group I"
proof -
  have "sum_group I (λi. integer_group) ∼= sum_group I (λi. free_Abelian_group
{i})"
    by (rule iso_sum_groupI) (auto simp: isomorphic_group_integer_free_Abelian_group_single
  also have "... ≅ free_Abelian_group I"
    using iso_free_Abelian_group_sum [of "λx. {x}" I] by (auto simp: is_iso_def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
```
**end**

```
theory Solvable_Groups
 imports Generated_Groups
```
**begin**

# **45 Solvable Groups**

## **45.1 Definitions**

```
inductive solvable_seq :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool"
  for G where
    unity: "solvable_seq G { 1_G }"
  | extension: "\lceil solvable_seq G K; K \lhd (G \lceil carrier := H \rceil); subgroup
H G;
                    comm_group ((G ( carrier := H ()) Mod K) ( \Rightarrow solvable_seq
G H"
```
definition solvable :: "('a, 'b) monoid\_scheme  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where "solvable G ← solvable seq G (carrier G)"

## **45.2 Solvable Groups and Derived Subgroups**

We show that a group G is solvable iff the subgroup (derived G 'n) (carrier G) is trivial for a sufficiently large n.

```
lemma (in group) solvable_imp_subgroup:
  assumes "solvable_seq G H" shows "subgroup H G"
  using assms normal.axioms(1)[OF one_is_normal] by (induction) (auto)
lemma (in group) augment_solvable_seq:
 assumes "subgroup H G" and "solvable_seq G (derived G H)" shows "solvable_seq
G H"
  using extension[OF _ derived_subgroup_is_normal _ derived_quot_of_subgroup_is_comm_group]
assms by simp
theorem (in group) trivial_derived_seq_imp_solvable:
 assumes "subgroup H G" and "((derived G) ^^ n) H = { 1 }" shows "solvable_seq
G H"
 using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: H, simp add: unity[of G])
 case (Suc n) thus ?case
    using augment solvable seq derived is subgroup[OF subgroup.subset]
by (simp add: funpow_swap1)
qed
theorem (in group) solvable_imp_trivial_derived_seq:
 assumes "solvable_seq G H" shows "\existsn. (derived G ^^ n) H = { 1 }"
  using assms
proof (induction)
```

```
case unity
  have "(derived G ^^ 0) { 1 } = { 1 }"
    by simp
  thus ?case by blast
next
  case (extension K H)
 obtain n where "(derived G \cap n) K = \{ 1 \}"
    using solvable_imp_subgroup extension(1,5) by auto
  hence "(derived G \curvearrowright (Suc n)) H \subseteq \{ 1 \}"
    using mono_exp_of_derived[OF derived_of_subgroup_minimal[OF extension(2-4)],
of n] by (simp add: funpow_swap1)
  moreover have "{ 1 } ⊆ (derived G ^^ (Suc n)) H"
    using subgroup.one_closed[OF exp_of_derived_is_subgroup[OF extension(3)],
of "Suc n"] by auto
  ultimately show ?case
    by blast
qed
theorem (in group) solvable_iff_trivial_derived_seq:
  "solvable G \leftrightarrow (\exists n. (derived G \cap n) (carrier G) = { 1 })"
  using solvable_imp_trivial_derived_seq subgroup_self trivial_derived_seq_imp_solvable
 by (auto simp add: solvable_def)
corollary (in group) solvable_subgroup:
  assumes "subgroup H G" and "solvable G" shows "solvable_seq G H"
proof -
  obtain n where n: "(derived G \cap n) (carrier G) = { 1 }"
    using assms(2) solvable_imp_trivial_derived_seq by (auto simp add:
solvable def)
 show ?thesis
  proof (rule trivial_derived_seq_imp_solvable[OF assms(1), of n])
    show "(derived G \cap n) H = \{ 1 \}"
      using subgroup.one_closed[OF exp_of_derived_is_subgroup[OF assms(1)],
of n]
            mono_exp_of_derived[OF subgroup.subset[OF assms(1)], of n]
n
      by auto
  qed
qed
```
#### **45.3 Short Exact Sequences**

Even if we don't talk about short exact sequences explicitly, we show that given an injective homomorphism from a group H to a group G, if H isn't solvable the group G isn't neither.

```
theorem (in group_hom) solvable_img_imp_solvable:
 assumes "subgroup K G" and "inj_on h K" and "solvable_seq H (h ' K)"
shows "solvable_seq G K"
proof -
```

```
obtain n where "(derived H ^^ n) (h ' K) = { 1_H }"
    using solvable_imp_trivial_derived_seq assms(1,3) by auto
  hence "h ' ((derived G \cap n) K) = { 1_H }"
    unfolding exp_of_derived_img[OF subgroup.subset[OF assms(1)]] .
  moreover have "(derived G \cap n) K \subset K"
    using G.mono_derived[of _ K] G.derived_incl[OF _ assms(1)] by (induct
n) (auto)
 hence "inj_on h ((derived G ^^ n) K)"
    using inj_on_subset[OF assms(2)] by blast
 moreover have "{ 1 } ⊆ (derived G ^^ n) K"
    using subgroup.one_closed[OF G.exp_of_derived_is_subgroup[OF assms(1)]]
by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis
    using G.trivial_derived_seq_imp_solvable[OF assms(1), of n]
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) hom_one image_empty image_insert inj_on_image_eq_iff
order_refl)
qed
corollary (in group_hom) inj_hom_imp_solvable:
  assumes "inj_on h (carrier G)" and "solvable H" shows "solvable G"
  using solvable_img_imp_solvable[OF _ assms(1)] G.subgroup_self
        solvable_subgroup[OF subgroup_img_is_subgroup assms(2)]
  unfolding solvable_def
 by simp
theorem (in group_hom) solvable_imp_solvable_img:
  assumes "solvable_seq G K" shows "solvable_seq H (h ' K)"
proof -
 obtain n where "(derived G \cap n) K = \{ 1 \}"
    using G.solvable_imp_trivial_derived_seq[OF assms] by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using trivial_derived_seq_imp_solvable[OF subgroup_img_is_subgroup,
of [n]exp_of_derived_img[OF subgroup.subset, of _ n] G.solvable_imp_subgroup[OF
assms]
    by auto
qed
corollary (in group_hom) surj_hom_imp_solvable:
 assumes "h ' carrier G = carrier H" and "solvable G" shows "solvable
H"
 using assms solvable_imp_solvable_img[of "carrier G"] unfolding solvable_def
by simp
lemma solvable_seq_condition:
 assumes "group_hom G H f" "group_hom H K g" and "f ' I ⊆ J" and "kernel
H K g ⊆ f ' I"
    and "subgroup J H" and "solvable_seq G I" "solvable_seq K (g ' J)"
 shows "solvable_seq H J"
```

```
proof -
 interpret G: group G + H: group H + K: group K + J: subgroup J H + I:
subgroup I G
    using assms(1-2,5) group.solvable_imp_subgroup[OF _ assms(6)] un-
folding group_hom_def by auto
  obtain n m
    where n: "(derived G \cap n) I = { 1_G }" and m: "(derived K \cap m) (g
(y') = \{ 1_K \}"
    using G.solvable_imp_trivial_derived_seq[OF assms(6)]
          K.solvable_imp_trivial_derived_seq[OF assms(7)]
    by auto
  have "(derived H \hat{m} m) J \subseteq f' I"
    using m H.exp_of_derived_in_carrier[OF J.subset, of m] assms(4)
    by (auto simp add: group_hom.exp_of_derived_img[OF assms(2) J.subset]
kernel_def)
 hence "(derived H ^^ n) ((derived H ^^ m) J) \subseteq f ' ((derived G ^^ n)
T)"
    using n H.mono_exp_of_derived unfolding sym[OF group_hom.exp_of_derived_img[OF
assms(1) I.subset, of n]] by simp
 hence "(derived H ^^ (n + m)) J \subseteq { 1H }"
    using group_hom.hom_one[OF assms(1)] unfolding n by (simp add: funpow_add)
  moreover have "{ 1_H } \subseteq (derived H ^^ (n + m)) J"
    using subgroup.one_closed[OF H.exp_of_derived_is_subgroup[OF assms(5),
of "n + m"]] by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using H.trivial_derived_seq_imp_solvable[OF assms(5)] by simp
qed
lemma solvable_condition:
 assumes "group_hom G H f" "group_hom H K g"
    and "g ' (carrier H) = carrier K" and "kernel H K g \subseteq f ' (carrier
G)"
    and "solvable G" "solvable K" shows "solvable H"
  using solvable_seq_condition[OF assms(1-2) _ assms(4) group.subgroup_self]
assms(3,5-6)
        subgroup.subset [OF group_hom.img_is_subgroup[OF assms(1)]] group_hom.axioms(2)[OF
assms(1)]by (simp add: solvable_def)
end
theory Sym_Groups
 imports
    "HOL-Combinatorics.Cycles"
```
Solvable\_Groups

**begin**

## **46 Symmetric Groups**

#### **46.1 Definitions**

```
abbreviation inv' :: "('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)"
  where "inv' f ≡ Hilbert_Choice.inv f"
definition sym_group :: "nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) monoid"
  where "sym_group n = \{ carrier = \{ p. p \} permutes \{1..n\} \}, mult = (\circ),
one = id \mathbb{N}"
definition alt group :: "nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) monoid"
  where "alt_group n = (sym\_group n) \uparrow carrier := { p. p permutes {1..n}
\wedge evenperm p } \mathbb{)}"
definition sign_img :: "int monoid"
  where "sign_img = ( carrier = \{-1, 1\}, mult = (*), one = 1 )"
46.2 Basic Properties
lemma sym_group_carrier: "p \in carrier (sym_group n) \leftrightarrow p permutes \{1..n\}"
  unfolding sym_group_def by simp
lemma sym_group_mult: "mult (sym_group n) = (◦)"
  unfolding sym_group_def by simp
lemma sym_group_one: "one (sym_group n) = id"
  unfolding sym_group_def by simp
lemma sym_group_carrier': "p \in carrier (sym_group n) \implies permutation
p"
  unfolding sym_group_carrier permutation_permutes by auto
lemma alt group carrier: "p ∈ carrier (alt group n) \longleftrightarrow p permutes \{1..n\}∧ evenperm p"
  unfolding alt_group_def by auto
lemma alt_group_mult: "mult (alt_group n) = (◦)"
  unfolding alt_group_def using sym_group_mult by simp
lemma alt_group_one: "one (alt_group n) = id"
  unfolding alt_group_def using sym_group_one by simp
lemma alt_group_carrier': "p \in carrier (alt_group n) \implies permutation
p"
  unfolding alt_group_carrier permutation_permutes by auto
lemma sym_group_is_group: "group (sym_group n)"
  using permutes_inv permutes_inv_o(2)
  by (auto intro!: groupI
```

```
simp add: sym_group_def permutes_compose
                   permutes_id comp_assoc, blast)
lemma sign_img_is_group: "group sign_img"
  unfolding sign_img_def by (unfold_locales, auto simp add: Units_def)
lemma sym_group_inv_closed:
  assumes "p \in \text{carrier} (sym group n)" shows "inv' p \in \text{carrier} (sym group
n)"
  using assms permutes_inv sym_group_def by auto
lemma alt_group_inv_closed:
  assumes "p \in carrier (alt_group n)" shows "inv' p \in carrier (alt_group
n)"
  using evenperm_inv[OF alt_group_carrier'] permutes_inv assms alt_group_carrier
by auto
lemma sym_group_inv_equality [simp]:
  assumes "p \in \text{carrier (sym\_group n)}" shows "inv_{(\text{sym\_group n})} p = inv'p"
proof -
  have "inv' p ◦ p = id"
    using assms permutes_inv_o(2) sym_group_def by auto
  hence "(inv' p) \otimes (sym group n) p = one (sym_group n)"
    by (simp add: sym_group_def)
  thus ?thesis using group.inv_equality[OF sym_group_is_group]
    by (simp add: assms sym_group_inv_closed)
qed
lemma sign_is_hom: "sign ∈ hom (sym_group n) sign_img"
  unfolding hom_def sign_img_def sym_group_mult using sym_group_carrier'[of
\_ n]
  by (auto simp add: sign_compose, meson sign_def)
lemma sign_group_hom: "group_hom (sym_group n) sign_img sign"
  using group_hom.intro[OF sym_group_is_group sign_img_is_group] sign_is_hom
  by (simp add: group_hom_axioms_def)
lemma sign_is_surj:
  assumes "n ≥ 2" shows "sign ' (carrier (sym_group n)) = carrier sign_img"
proof -
  have "swapidseq (Suc 0) (Fun.swap (1 :: nat) 2 id)"
    using comp_Suc[OF id, of "1 :: nat" "2"] by auto
  hence "sign (Fun.swap (1 :: nat) 2 id) = (-1 :: int)"
    by (simp add: sign_swap_id)
  moreover have "Fun.swap (1 :: nat) 2 id ∈ carrier (sym_group n)" and
"id \in carrier (sym group n)"
    using assms permutes swap id[of "1 :: nat" "{1..n}" 2] permutes id
    unfolding sym_group_carrier by auto
```

```
ultimately have "carrier sign_img ⊆ sign ' (carrier (sym_group n))"
    using sign_id mk_disjoint_insert unfolding sign_img_def by fastforce
  moreover have "sign ' (carrier (sym_group n)) ⊆ carrier sign_img"
    using sign_is_hom unfolding hom_def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by blast
qed
lemma alt_group_is_sign_kernel:
  "carrier (alt_group n) = kernel (sym_group n) sign_img sign"
  unfolding alt_group_def sym_group_def sign_img_def kernel_def sign_def
by auto
lemma alt_group_is_subgroup: "subgroup (carrier (alt_group n)) (sym_group
n)"
  using group hom.subgroup kernel<sup>[OF</sup> sign_group_hom]
  unfolding alt_group_is_sign_kernel by blast
lemma alt_group_is_group: "group (alt_group n)"
  using group.subgroup_imp_group[OF sym_group_is_group alt_group_is_subgroup]
  by (simp add: alt_group_def)
lemma sign_iso:
  assumes "n ≥ 2" shows "(sym_group n) Mod (carrier (alt_group n)) ≅
sign_img"
  using group hom.FactGroup iso<sup>[OF</sup> sign group hom sign is surj<sup>[OF</sup> assms]]
  unfolding alt_group_is_sign_kernel .
lemma alt group inv equality:
  assumes "p \in carrier (alt_group n)" shows "inv<sub>(alt group n) p = inv'</sub>
p"
proof -
  have "inv' p ◦ p = id"
    using assms permutes_inv_o(2) alt_group_def by auto
  hence "(inv' p) \otimes<sub>(alt_group n)</sub> p = one (alt_group n)"
    by (simp add: alt_group_def sym_group_def)
  thus ?thesis using group.inv_equality[OF alt_group_is_group]
    by (simp add: assms alt_group_inv_closed)
qed
lemma sym_group_card_carrier: "card (carrier (sym_group n)) = fact n"
  using card_permutations[of "{1..n}" n] unfolding sym_group_def by simp
lemma alt_group_card_carrier:
  assumes "n \geq 2" shows "2 * card (carrier (alt_group n)) = fact n"
proof -
  have "card (rcosetssym_group n (carrier (alt_group n))) = 2"
    using iso_same_card[OF sign_iso[OF assms]] unfolding FactGroup_def
sign_img_def by auto
```

```
thus ?thesis
    using group.lagrange[OF sym_group_is_group alt_group_is_subgroup,
of n]
    unfolding order_def sym_group_card_carrier by simp
qed
```
#### **46.3 Transposition Sequences**

In order to prove that the Alternating Group can be generated by 3-cycles, we need a stronger decomposition of permutations as transposition sequences than the one proposed at Permutations.thy.

```
inductive swapidseq_ext :: "'a set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool"
  where
    empty: "swapidseq_ext {} 0 id"
  | single: "[[ swapidseq_ext S n p; a \notin S \Rightarrow swapidseq_ext (insert
a S) n p"
  | comp: "[[ swapidseq_ext S n p; a \neq b \Rightarrowswapidseq_ext (insert a (insert b S)) (Suc n) ((transpose
a b) \circ p)"
lemma swapidseq_ext_finite:
 assumes "swapidseq_ext S n p" shows "finite S"
 using assms by (induction) (auto)
lemma swapidseq_ext_zero:
 assumes "finite S" shows "swapidseq_ext S 0 id"
  using assms empty by (induct set: "finite", fastforce, simp add: single)
lemma swapidseq_ext_imp_swapidseq:
  ‹ swapidseq n p› if ‹ swapidseq_ext S n p›
using that proof induction
 case empty
 then show ?case
   by (simp add: fun_eq_iff)
next
 case (single S n p a)
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (comp S n p a b)
 then have ‹ swapidseq (Suc n) (transpose a b ◦ p)›
    by (simp add: comp_Suc)
  then show ?case by (simp add: comp_def)
qed
lemma swapidseq_ext_zero_imp_id:
 assumes "swapidseq_ext S 0 p" shows "p = id"
proof -
 have "[[ swapidseq_ext S n p; n = 0 ]] \implies p = id" for n
```

```
by (induction rule: swapidseq_ext.induct, auto)
 thus ?thesis
    using assms by simp
qed
lemma swapidseq_ext_finite_expansion:
 assumes "finite B" and "swapidseq_ext A n p" shows "swapidseq_ext
(A ∪ B) n p"
 using assms
proof (induct set: "finite", simp)
  case (insert b B) show ?case
    using insert single[OF insert(3), of b] by (metis Un_insert_right
insert_absorb)
qed
lemma swapidseq_ext_backwards:
  assumes "swapidseq_ext A (Suc n) p"
 shows "∃a b A' p'. a \neq b \wedge A = (insert a (insert b A')) \wedgeswapidseq_ext A' n p' \land p = (transpose a b) \circ p'"
proof -
  { fix A n k and p :: "'a ⇒ 'a"
    assume "swapidseq_ext A n p" "n = Suc k"
    hence "∃a b A' p'. a \neq b \land A = (insert a (insert b A')) \landswapidseq_ext A' k p' \land p = (transpose a b) \circ p'"
    proof (induction, simp)
      case single thus ?case
        by (metis Un_insert_right_insert_iff_insert_is_Un_swapidseq_ext.single)
    next
      case comp thus ?case
        by blast
    qed }
  thus ?thesis
    using assms by simp
qed
lemma swapidseq_ext_backwards':
 assumes "swapidseq_ext A (Suc n) p"
 shows "∃a b A' p'. a \in A \land b \in A \land a \neq b \land swapidseq_ext A n p' \landp = (transpose a b) o p'"
  using swapidseq_ext_backwards[OF assms] swapidseq_ext_finite_expansion
  by (metis Un_insert_left assms insertI1 sup.idem sup_commute swapidseq_ext_finite)
lemma swapidseq_ext_endswap:
 assumes "swapidseq_ext S n p" "a \neq b"
 shows "swapidseq_ext (insert a (insert b S)) (Suc n) (p ◦ (transpose
a b))"
  using assms
proof (induction n arbitrary: S p a b)
 case 0 hence "p = id"
```

```
using swapidseq_ext_zero_imp_id by blast
 thus ?case
    using 0 by (metis comp_id id_comp swapidseq_ext.comp)
next
  case (Suc n)
 then obtain c d S' and p' :: "'a \Rightarrow 'a"
    where cd: "c \neq d" and S: "S = (insert c (insert d S'))" "swapidseq ext
S' n p'"
      and p: "p = transpose c d \circ p'"
    using swapidseq_ext_backwards[OF Suc(2)] by blast
 hence "swapidseq_ext (insert a (insert b S')) (Suc n) (p' ◦ (transpose
a b))"
    by (simp add: Suc.IH Suc.prems(2))
 hence "swapidseq_ext (insert c (insert d (insert a (insert b S'))))
(Suc (Suc n))
                 (transpose c d ∘ p' ∘ (transpose a b))"
   by (metis cd fun.map_comp swapidseq_ext.comp)
 thus ?case
    by (metis S(1) p insert_commute)
qed
lemma swapidseq_ext_extension:
 assumes "swapidseq_ext A n p" and "swapidseq_ext B m q" and "A ∩ B
= \{ \}"
 shows "swapidseq_ext (A \cup B) (n + m) (p \circ q)"
 using assms(1,3)proof (induction, simp add: assms(2))
  case single show ?case
    using swapidseq_ext.single[OF single(3)] single(2,4) by auto
next
  case comp show ?case
    using swapidseq_ext.comp[OF comp(3,2)] comp(4)
    by (metis Un_insert_left add_Suc insert_disjoint(1) o_assoc)
qed
lemma swapidseq_ext_of_cycles:
 assumes "cycle cs" shows "swapidseq_ext (set cs) (length cs - 1) (cycle_of_list
cs)"
  using assms
proof (induct cs rule: cycle_of_list.induct)
  case (1 i j cs) show ?case
   using comp[OF 1(1), of i j] 1(2) by (simp add: o_def)
next
 case "2_1" show ?case
   by (simp, metis eq_id_iff empty)
next
  case ("2_2" v) show ?case
    using single[OF empty, of v] by (simp, metis eq_id_iff)
qed
```

```
lemma cycle_decomp_imp_swapidseq_ext:
  assumes "cycle_decomp S p" shows "∃ n. swapidseq_ext S n p"
  using assms
proof (induction)
  case empty show ?case
    using swapidseq_ext.empty by blast
next
  case (comp I p cs)
  then obtain m where m: "swapidseq_ext I m p" by blast
  hence "swapidseq_ext (set cs) (length cs - 1) (cycle_of_list cs)"
    using comp.hyps(2) swapidseq_ext_of_cycles by blast
  thus ?case using swapidseq_ext_extension m
    using comp.hyps(3) by blast
qed
lemma swapidseq_ext_of_permutation:
  assumes "p permutes S" and "finite S" shows "∃ n. swapidseq_ext S n
p"
  using cycle_decomp_imp_swapidseq_ext[OF cycle_decomposition[OF assms]]
.
lemma split_swapidseq_ext:
  assumes "k \leq n" and "swapidseq_ext S n p"
  obtains q r U V where "swapidseq_ext U (n - k) q" and "swapidseq_ext
V k r" and "p = q ◦ r" and "U ∪ V = S"
proof -
  from assms
  have "∃ q r U V. swapidseq_ext U (n - k) q ∧ swapidseq_ext V k r ∧ p
= q \circ r \wedge U \cup V = S"
   (is "∃ q r U V. ?split k q r U V")
  proof (induct k rule: inc_induct)
    case base thus ?case
      by (metis diff_self_eq_0 id_o sup_bot.left_neutral empty)
  next
    case (step m)
    then obtain q r U V
      where q: "swapidseq_ext U (n - Suc m) q" and r: "swapidseq_ext
V (Suc m) r"
        and p: "p = q \circ r" and S: "U \cup V = S"
      by blast
    obtain a b r' V'
      where "a \neq b" and r': "V = (insert a (insert b V'))" "swapidseq_ext
V' m r'" "r = (transpose a b) \circ r'"
      using swapidseq_ext_backwards[OF r] by blast
    have "swapidseq_ext (insert a (insert b U)) (n - m) (q ◦ (transpose
a b))"
      using swapidseq_ext_endswap[OF q \langle a \neq b \rangle] step(2) by (metis Suc_diff_Suc)
    hence "?split m (q ◦ (transpose a b)) r' (insert a (insert b U)) V'"
```

```
using r' S unfolding p by fastforce
   thus ?case by blast
  qed
 thus ?thesis
    using that by blast
qed
```
## **46.4 Unsolvability of Symmetric Groups**

```
We show that symmetric groups (sym_group n) are unsolvable for (5::'a)≤ n.
abbreviation three_cycles :: "nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) set"
  where "three_cycles n ≡
           { cycle_of_list cs | cs. cycle cs ∧ length cs = 3 ∧ set cs
⊆ {1..n} }"
lemma stupid_lemma:
 assumes "length cs = 3" shows "cs = [ (cs : 0), (cs : 1), (cs : 2) ]]"
 using assms by (auto intro!: nth_equalityI)
    (metis Suc_lessI less_2_cases not_less_eq nth_Cons_0
           nth Cons Suc numeral 2 eq 2 numeral 3 eq 3)
lemma three_cycles_incl: "three_cycles n ⊆ carrier (alt_group n)"
proof
 fix p assume "p \in three_cycles n"
 then obtain cs where cs: "p = cycle_of_list cs" "cycle cs" "length
cs = 3" "set cs \subseteq \{1..n\}"
    by auto
  obtain a b c where cs def: "cs = [a, b, c]"
    using stupid_lemma[OF cs(3)] by auto
 have "swapidseq (Suc (Suc 0)) ((transpose a b) ◦ (Fun.swap b c id))"
    using comp_Suc[OF comp_Suc[OF id], of b c a b] cs(2) unfolding cs_def
by simp
 hence "evenperm p"
    using cs(1) unfolding cs_def by (simp add: evenperm_unique)
  thus "p \in \text{carrier} (alt group n)"
    using permutes subset [OF cycle permutes cs(4)]
    unfolding alt_group_carrier cs(1) by simp
qed
lemma alt_group_carrier_as_three_cycles:
  "carrier (alt_group n) = generate (alt_group n) (three_cycles n)"
proof -
 interpret A: group "alt_group n"
    using alt_group_is_group by simp
 show ?thesis
```

```
proof
    show "generate (alt_group n) (three_cycles n) ⊆ carrier (alt_group
n)"
      using A.generate_subgroup_incl[OF three_cycles_incl A.subgroup_self]
.
  next
    show "carrier (alt group n) \subset generate (alt group n) (three cycles
n)"
    proof
      { fix q :: "nat ⇒ nat" and a b c
        assume "a \neq b" "b \neq c" "{ a, b, c } \subseteq {1..n}"
        have "cycle_of_list [a, b, c] ∈ generate (alt_group n) (three_cycles
n)"
        proof (cases)
          assume "c = a"
          hence "cycle_of_list [ a, b, c ] = id"
             by (simp add: swap_commute)
          thus "cycle_of_list [ a, b, c ] ∈ generate (alt_group n) (three_cycles
n)"
             using one[of "alt_group n"] unfolding alt_group_one by simp
        next
          assume "c \neq a"
          have "distinct [a, b, c]"
             using \langle a \neq b \rangle and \langle b \neq c \rangle and \langle c \neq a \rangle by auto
          with ‹ { a, b, c } ⊆ {1..n}›
          show "cycle of list [ a, b, c ] \in generate (alt group n) (three cycles
n)"
             by (intro incl, fastforce)
        qed } note aux_lemma1 = this
      \{\text{fix } S :: \text{ "nat set" and } q :: \text{ "nat } \Rightarrow \text{nat"}\}assume seq: "swapidseq_ext S (Suc (Suc 0)) q" and S: "S \subseteq {1..n}"
        have "q ∈ generate (alt_group n) (three_cycles n)"
        proof -
          obtain a b q' where ab: "a \neq b" "a \in S" "b \in S"
             and q': "swapidseq_ext S (Suc 0) q'" "q = (transpose a b)
◦ q'"
             using swapidseq_ext_backwards'[OF seq] by auto
          obtain c d where cd: "c \neq d" "c \in S" "d \in S"
             and q: "q = (transpose a b) ◦ (Fun.swap c d id)"
             using swapidseq_ext_backwards'[OF q'(1)]
                   swapidseq_ext_zero_imp_id
             unfolding q'(2)
             by fastforce
          consider (eq) "b = c" | (ineq) "b \neq c" by auto
          thus ?thesis
          proof cases
             case eq then have "q = cycle_of_list [ a, b, d ]"
```

```
unfolding q by simp
            moreover have "{ a, b, d } ⊆ {1..n}"
              using ab cd S by blast
            ultimately show ?thesis
              using aux_lemma1[OF ab(1)] cd(1) eq by simp
          next
            case ineq
            hence "q = cycle of list [a, b, c] \circ cycle of list [b, c]c, d ]"
              unfolding q by (simp add: swap_nilpotent o_assoc)
            moreover have "{ a, b, c } ⊆ {1..n}" and "{ b, c, d } ⊆
{1..n}"
              using ab cd S by blast+
            ultimately show ?thesis
              using eng[OF aux_lemma1[OF ab(1) ineq] aux_lemma1[OF ineq
cd(1)]]
              unfolding alt_group_mult by simp
          qed
        qed } note aux_lemma2 = this
      fix p assume "p ∈ carrier (alt_group n)" then have p: "p permutes
{1..n}" "evenperm p"
        unfolding alt_group_carrier by auto
      obtain m where m: "swapidseq_ext {1..n} m p"
        using swapidseq_ext_of_permutation[OF p(1)] by auto
      have "even m"
        using swapidseq ext imp swapidseq[OF m] p(2) evenperm unique by
blast
      then obtain k where k: \mathbb{m} = 2 * k"
        by auto
      show "p \in generate (alt_group n) (three_cycles n)"
        using m unfolding k
      proof (induct k arbitrary: p)
        case 0 then have "p = id"
          using swapidseq_ext_zero_imp_id by simp
        show ?case
          using generate.one[of "alt_group n" "three_cycles n"]
          unfolding alt_group_one ‹ p = id› .
      next
        case (Suc m)
        have arith: "2 * (Suc m) - (Suc (Suc 0)) = 2 * m" and "Suc (Suc
0) \leq 2 * Suc m"
          by auto
        then obtain q r U V
          where q: "swapidseq_ext U (2 * m) q" and r: "swapidseq_ext
V (Suc (Suc 0)) r''and p: "p = q \circ r" and UV: "U \cup V = {1..n}"
          using split_swapidseq_ext[OF _ Suc(2), of "Suc (Suc 0)"] un-
folding arith by metis
```

```
have "swapidseq_ext {1..n} (2 * m) q"
          using UV q swapidseq_ext_finite_expansion[OF swapidseq_ext_finite[OF
r] q] by simp
        thus ?case
          using eng[OF Suc(1) aux_lemma2[OF r], of q] UV unfolding alt_group_mult
p by blast
      qed
    qed
 qed
qed
theorem derived_alt_group_const:
 assumes "n ≥ 5" shows "derived (alt_group n) (carrier (alt_group n))
= carrier (alt_group n)"
proof
 show "derived (alt_group n) (carrier (alt_group n)) ⊆ carrier (alt_group
n)"
    using group.derived_in_carrier[OF alt_group_is_group] by simp
next
  { fix p assume "p ∈ three_cycles n" have "p ∈ derived (alt_group n)
(carrier (alt_group n))"
    proof -
      obtain cs where cs: "p = cycle_of_list cs" "cycle cs" "length cs
= 3" "set cs \subseteq \{1..n\}"
        using \langle p \rangle \in three_cycles n> by auto
      then obtain a b c where cs def: "cs = [a, b, c]"
        using stupid_lemma[OF cs(3)] by blast
      have "card (set cs) = 3"
        using cs(2-3)
        by (simp add: distinct_card)
      have "set cs \neq \{1..n\}"
        using assms cs(3) unfolding sym[OF distinct_card[OF cs(2)]] by
auto
      then obtain d where d: "d \notin set cs" "d \in {1..n}"
        using cs(4) by blast
      hence "cycle (d \# cs)" and "length (d \# cs) = 4" and "card \{1..n\}= n"using cs(2-3) by auto
      hence "set (d \# cs) \neq {1..n}"
        using assms unfolding sym[OF distinct_card[OF ‹ cycle (d # cs)› ]]
        by (metis Suc_n_not_le_n eval_nat_numeral(3))
      then obtain e where e: "e \notin set (d \# cs)" "e \in {1..n}"
        using d cs(4) by (metis insert_subset list.simps(15) subsetI subset_antisym)
      define q where "q = (Fun.swap d e id) ◦ (Fun.swap b c id)"
      hence "bij q"
```

```
by (simp add: bij_comp)
      moreover have "q b = c" and "q c = b"
        using d(1) e(1) unfolding q_def cs_def by simp+
      moreover have "q a = a"
        using d(1) e(1) c s(2) unfolding q def cs def by auto
      ultimately have "q ◦ p ◦ (inv' q) = cycle_of_list [ a, c, b ]"
        using conjugation of cycle<sup>[OF cs(2), of q]</sup>
        unfolding sym[OF cs(1)] unfolding cs_def by simp
      also have " ... = p ◦ p"
        using cs(2) unfolding cs(1) cs def
        by (simp add: comp_swap swap_commute transpose_comp_triple)
      finally have "q \circ p \circ (inv' q) = p \circ p".
      moreover have "bij p"
        unfolding cs(1) cs_def by (simp add: bij_comp)
      ultimately have p: "q ∘ p ∘ (inv' q) ∘ (inv' p) = p"
        by (simp add: bijection.intro bijection.inv_comp_right comp_assoc)
      have "swapidseq (Suc (Suc 0)) q"
        using comp_Suc[OF comp_Suc[OF id], of b c d e] e(1) cs(2) un-
folding q_def cs_def by auto
      hence "evenperm q"
        using even_Suc_Suc_iff evenperm_unique by blast
      moreover have "q permutes { d, e, b, c }"
        unfolding q_def by (simp add: permutes_compose permutes_swap_id)
      hence "q permutes {1..n}"
        using cs(4) d(2) e(2) permutes subset unfolding cs def by fastforce
      ultimately have "q ∈ carrier (alt_group n)"
        unfolding alt_group_carrier by simp
      moreover have "p ∈ carrier (alt_group n)"
        using ‹ p ∈ three_cycles n› three_cycles_incl by blast
      ultimately have "p ∈ derived_set (alt_group n) (carrier (alt_group
n))"
        using p alt_group_inv_equality unfolding alt_group_mult
        by (metis (no_types, lifting) UN_iff singletonI)
      thus "p ∈ derived (alt_group n) (carrier (alt_group n))"
        unfolding derived_def by (rule incl)
    qed } note aux_lemma = this
  interpret A: group "alt_group n"
    using alt_group_is_group .
 have "generate (alt_group n) (three_cycles n) ⊆ derived (alt_group
n) (carrier (alt_group n))"
   using A.generate_subgroup_incl[OF _ A.derived_is_subgroup] aux_lemma
by (meson subsetI)
 thus "carrier (alt_group n) ⊆ derived (alt_group n) (carrier (alt_group
n))"
    using alt_group_carrier_as_three_cycles by simp
qed
```

```
corollary alt_group_is_unsolvable:
 assumes n \geq 5" shows "\neg solvable (alt_group n)"
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume "¬ ¬ solvable (alt_group n)"
  then obtain m where "(derived (alt_group n) ^^ m) (carrier (alt_group
n)) = { id }"
    using group.solvable iff trivial derived seq[OF alt group is group]
unfolding alt_group_one by blast
  moreover have "(derived (alt_group n) ^^ m) (carrier (alt_group n))
= carrier (alt_group n)"
    using derived_alt_group_const[OF assms] by (induct m) (auto)
  ultimately have card_eq_1: "card (carrier (alt_group n)) = 1"
    by simp
 have ge_2: "n \ge 2"
    using assms by simp
  moreover have "2 = fact n"
    using alt_group_card_carrier[OF ge_2] unfolding card_eq_1
    by (metis fact_2 mult.right_neutral of_nat_fact)
  ultimately have "n = 2"
      by (metis antisym_conv fact_ge_self)
 thus False
    using assms by simp
qed
corollary sym_group_is_unsolvable:
 assumes "n > 5" shows "\neg solvable (sym group n)"
proof -
 interpret Id: group_hom "alt_group n" "sym_group n" id
    using group.canonical_inj_is_hom[OF sym_group_is_group alt_group_is_subgroup]
alt_group_def by simp
 show ?thesis
   using Id.inj_hom_imp_solvable alt_group_is_unsolvable[OF assms] by
auto
qed
```
**end**

## **47 Exact Sequences**

```
theory Exact_Sequence
 imports Elementary_Groups Solvable_Groups
begin
```
#### **47.1 Definitions**

```
inductive exact_seq :: "'a monoid list \times ('a \Rightarrow 'a) list \Rightarrow bool" where
unity: " group_hom G1 G2 f \implies exact_seq ([G2, G1], [f])" |
extension: "[[ exact_seq ((G # K # 1), (g # q)); group H ; h \in hom G H
```
; kernel G H h = image g (carrier K)  $\mathbb{I} \implies$  exact\_seq (H # G # K # l, h # g # q)" **inductive\_simps** exact\_seq\_end\_iff [simp]: "exact\_seq ([G,H], (g # q))" **inductive\_simps** exact\_seq\_cons\_iff [simp]: "exact\_seq ((G # K # H # l),  $(g \# h \# q$ )" **abbreviation** exact\_seq\_arrow :: "('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a monoid list  $\times$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a) list  $\Rightarrow$  'a monoid  $\Rightarrow$  'a monoid list  $\times$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a) list" ("(3\_ / −−−→*ı* \_)" [1000, 60]) where "exact\_seq\_arrow f t  $G \equiv (G # (fst t), f # (snd t))$ "

## **47.2 Basic Properties**

```
lemma exact_seq_length1: "exact_seq t \implies length (fst t) = Suc (length
(snd t))"
 by (induct t rule: exact_seq.induct) auto
lemma exact_seq_length2: "exact_seq t \implies length (snd t) \geq Suc 0"
 by (induct t rule: exact_seq.induct) auto
lemma dropped_seq_is_exact_seq:
 assumes "exact seq (G, F)" and "(i :: nat) < length F"
 shows "exact seq (drop i G, drop i F)"
proof-
  { fix t i assume "exact_seq t" "i < length (snd t)"
   hence "exact_seq (drop i (fst t), drop i (snd t))"
    proof (induction arbitrary: i)
      case (unity G1 G2 f) thus ?case
        by (simp add: exact_seq.unity)
   next
      case (extension G K l g q H h) show ?case
      proof (cases)
        assume "i = 0" thus ?case
          using exact_seq.extension[OF extension.hyps] by simp
      next
        assume "i \neq 0" hence "i \geq 5uc 0" by simp
        then obtain k where "k < length (snd (G # K # l, g # q))" "i
= Suc k"
          using Suc_le_D extension.prems by auto
        thus ?thesis using extension.IH by simp
      qed
    qed }
 thus ?thesis using assms by auto
qed
```

```
lemma truncated_seq_is_exact_seq:
 assumes "exact_seq (1, q)" and "length 1 \geq 3"
 shows "exact_seq (tl l, tl q)"
 using exact_seq_length1[OF assms(1)] dropped_seq_is_exact_seq[OF assms(1),
of "Suc 0"]
        exact_seq_length2[OF assms(1)] assms(2) by (simp add: drop_Suc)
lemma exact_seq_imp_exact_hom:
   assumes "exact_seq (G1 # 1,q) \longrightarrow_{g1} G2 \longrightarrow_{g2} G3"
   shows "g1 ' (carrier G1) = kernel G2 G3 g2"
proof-
  { fix t assume "exact_seq t" and "length (fst t) ≥ 3 ∧ length (snd
t) \geq 2"
   hence "(hd (tl (snd t))) ' (carrier (hd (tl (tl (fst t))))) =
            kernel (hd (tl (fst t))) (hd (fst t)) (hd (snd t))"
    proof (induction)
     case (unity G1 G2 f)
      then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (extension G l g q H h)
      then show ?case by auto
    qed }
  thus ?thesis using assms by fastforce
qed
lemma exact seq imp exact hom arbitrary:
  assumes "exact_seq (G, F)"
     and "Suc i < length F"
   shows "(F \mid (Suc i)) ' (carrier (G \mid (Suc (Suc i))) = kernel (G \mid (Sur i)))(Suc i) (G i i) (F i i)"
proof -
  have "length (drop i F) \geq 2" "length (drop i G) \geq 3"
    using assms(2) exact_seq_length1[OF assms(1)] by auto
 then obtain l q
    where "drop i G = (G ! i) # (G ! (Suc i)) # (G ! (Suc (Suc i))) #
1"and "drop i F = (F : i) # (F : (Suc i)) # q"by (metis Cons_nth_drop_Suc Suc_less_eq assms exact_seq_length1 fst_conv
        le_eq_less_or_eq le_imp_less_Suc prod.sel(2))
  thus ?thesis
  using dropped_seq_is_exact_seq[OF assms(1), of i] assms(2)
        exact_seq_imp_exact_hom[of "G ! i" "G ! (Suc i)" "G ! (Suc (Suc
i))" l q] by auto
qed
lemma exact_seq_imp_group_hom :
 assumes "exact_seq ((G # 1, q)) \longrightarrow_g H"
 shows "group_hom G H g"
proof-
```

```
{ fix t assume "exact_seq t"
    hence "group_hom (hd (tl (fst t))) (hd (fst t)) (hd(snd t))"
    proof (induction)
      case (unity G1 G2 f)
      then show ?case by auto
   next
      case (extension G l g q H h)
      then show ?case unfolding group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def by
auto
    qed }
 note aux_lemma = this
 show ?thesis using aux_lemma[OF assms]
    by simp
qed
lemma exact_seq_imp_group_hom_arbitrary:
 assumes "exact_seq (G, F)" and "(i :: nat) < length F"
 shows "group_hom (G ! (Suc i)) (G ! i) (F ! i)"
proof -
  have "length (drop i F) \geq 1" "length (drop i G) \geq 2"
    using assms(2) exact_seq_length1[OF assms(1)] by auto
  then obtain l q
    where "drop i G = (G ! i) # (G ! (Suc i)) # l"
    and "drop i F = (F ! i) # q"
   by (metis Cons_nth_drop_Suc Suc_leI assms exact_seq_length1 fst_conv
       le eq less or eq le imp less Suc prod.sel(2))
  thus ?thesis
  using dropped seq is exact seq[OF assms(1), of i] assms(2)
        exact seq imp group hom[of "G ! i" "G ! (Suc i)" l q "F ! i"]
by simp
qed
```
## **47.3 Link Between Exact Sequences and Solvable Conditions**

```
lemma exact_seq_solvable_imp :
 assumes "exact_seq ([G1], []) -----→g1 G2 ------>g2 G3"
    and "inj_on g1 (carrier G1)"
    and "g2 ' (carrier G2) = carrier G3"
 shows "solvable G2 =⇒ (solvable G1) ∧ (solvable G3)"
proof -
 assume G2: "solvable G2"
 have "group_hom G1 G2 g1"
   using exact_seq_imp_group_hom_arbitrary[OF assms(1), of "Suc 0"] by
simp
  hence "solvable G1"
    using group_hom.inj_hom_imp_solvable[of G1 G2 g1] assms(2) G2 by simp
  moreover have "group_hom G2 G3 g2"
    using exact_seq_imp_group_hom_arbitrary[OF assms(1), of 0] by simp
  hence "solvable G3"
```

```
using group_hom.surj_hom_imp_solvable[of G2 G3 g2] assms(3) G2 by
simp
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma exact_seq_solvable_recip :
 assumes "exact_seq ([G1],[]) \longrightarrow_{g1} G2 \longrightarrow_{g2} G3"
    and "inj_on g1 (carrier G1)"
    and "g2 ' (carrier G2) = carrier G3"
 shows "(solvable G1) ∧ (solvable G3) \implies solvable G2"
proof -
  assume "(solvable G1) ∧ (solvable G3)"
 hence G1: "solvable G1" and G3: "solvable G3" by auto
 have g1: "group_hom G1 G2 g1" and g2: "group_hom G2 G3 g2"
    using exact_seq_imp_group_hom_arbitrary[OF assms(1), of "Suc 0"]
          exact_seq_imp_group_hom_arbitrary[OF assms(1), of 0] by auto
 show ?thesis
    using solvable_condition[OF g1 g2 assms(3)]
          exact_seq_imp_exact_hom[OF assms(1)] G1 G3 by auto
qed
proposition exact_seq_solvable_iff :
  assumes "exact_seq ([G1], []) \longrightarrow_{g1} G2 \longrightarrow_{g2} G3"
    and "inj_on g1 (carrier G1)"
    and "g2 ' (carrier G2) = carrier G3"
 shows "(solvable G1) ∧ (solvable G3) ←→ solvable G2"
  using exact_seq_solvable_recip exact_seq_solvable_imp assms by blast
lemma exact_seq_eq_triviality:
  assumes "exact_seq ([E,D,C,B,A], [k,h,g,f])"
 shows "trivial_group C ←→ f ' carrier A = carrier B ∧ inj_on k (carrier
D)" (is "_ = ?rhs")
proof
 assume C: "trivial_group C"
  with assms have "inj_on k (carrier D)"
    apply (auto simp: group_hom.image_from_trivial_group trivial_group_def
hom one)
    apply (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def group_hom.inj_iff_trivial_ker)
    done
  with assms C show ?rhs
    apply (auto simp: group_hom.image_from_trivial_group trivial_group_def
hom_one)
     apply (auto simp: group hom def group hom axioms def hom def kernel def)
    done
next
  assume ?rhs
  with assms show "trivial_group C"
    apply (simp add: trivial_group_def)
```

```
by (metis group_hom.inj_iff_trivial_ker group_hom.trivial_hom_iff
group_hom_axioms.intro group_hom_def)
qed
lemma exact_seq_imp_triviality:
   \mathbb{P}[\text{exact\_seq} \ (E,D,C,B,A], \ [k,h,g,f]); \ f \in \text{iso A B}; \ k \in \text{iso D E} \Rightarrowtrivial_group C"
  by (metis (no_types, lifting) Group.iso_def bij_betw_def exact_seq_eq_triviality
mem Collect eq)
lemma exact_seq_epi_eq_triviality:
   "exact_seq ([D, C, B, A], [h, g, f]) \implies (f ' carrier A = carrier B) \longleftrightarrowtrivial_homomorphism B C g"
  by (auto simp: trivial_homomorphism_def kernel_def)
lemma exact_seq_mon_eq_triviality:
   "exact_seq ([D,C,B,A], [h,g,f]) \implies inj_on h (carrier C) \longleftrightarrow trivial_homomorphism
B C g"
  by (auto simp: trivial_homomorphism_def kernel_def group.is_monoid inj_on_one_iff'
image_def) blast
lemma exact_sequence_sum_lemma:
  assumes "comm_group G" and h: "h \in iso A C" and k: "k \in iso B D"
    and ex: "exact_seq ([D,G,A], [g,i])" "exact_seq ([C,G,B], [f,j])"
    and fih: "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier A \implies f(i \ x) = h \ x"
    and gjk: "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier B \implies g(j \ x) = k \ x"
  shows "(\lambda(x, y). i x \otimes_G j y) \in Group.iso (A \times \times B) G \wedge (\lambda z). (f z,
g(z)) \in Group.iso G (C \times \times D)"
    (iis "?ij \in \wedge ?gf \in "')proof (rule epi_iso_compose_rev)
  interpret comm_group G
    by (rule assms)
  interpret f: group_hom G C f
    using ex by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  interpret g: group_hom G D g
    using ex by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  interpret i: group_hom A G i
    using ex by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  interpret j: group_hom B G j
    using ex by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  have kerf: "kernel G C f = j ' carrier B" and "group A" "group B" "i
∈ hom A G"
    using ex by (auto simp: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  then obtain h' where "h' ∈ hom C A" "(\forall x \in carrier A. h'(h x) = x)"
    and hh': "(\forall y \in \text{carrier C. } h(h' y) = y)" and "group_isomorphisms
A C h h'"
    using h by (auto simp: group.iso iff group isomorphisms group isomorphisms def)
  have homij: "?ij ∈ hom (A ×× B) G"
    unfolding case_prod_unfold
```
```
apply (rule hom_group_mult)
    using ex by (simp_all add: group_hom_def hom_of_fst [unfolded o_def]
hom_of_snd [unfolded o_def])
  show homgf: "?gf \in hom G (C \times \times D)"
    using ex by (simp add: hom_paired)
  show "?ij ∈ epi (A ×× B) G"
  proof (clarsimp simp add: epi_iff_subset homij)
    fix x
    assume x: "x ∈ carrier G"
    with \langle i \in \text{hom A} \ G \rangle \langle h' \in \text{hom C} \ A \rangle have "x ⊗<sub>G</sub> inv<sub>G</sub>(i(h'(f x))) ∈
kernel G C f"
       by (simp add: kernel_def hom_in_carrier hh' fih)
    with kerf obtain y where y: "y ∈ carrier B" "j y = x \otimes<sub>G</sub> inv<sub>G</sub>(i(h'(f)
x))"
       by auto
    have "i (h' (f x)) \otimes<sub>G</sub> (x \otimes<sub>G</sub> inv<sub>G</sub> i (h' (f x))) = x \otimes<sub>G</sub> (i (h' (f
x)) \otimes<sub>G</sub> inv<sub>G</sub> i (h' (f x)))"
       by (meson ‹ h' ∈ hom C A› x f.hom_closed hom_in_carrier i.hom_closed
inv_closed m_lcomm)
    also have ". . . = x"
       using ‹ h' ∈ hom C A› hom_in_carrier x by fastforce
    finally show "x \in (\lambda(x, y). i x \otimes<sub>G</sub> j y) ' (carrier A × carrier B)"
       using x y apply (clarsimp simp: image_def)
       apply (rule_tac x="h'(f x)" in bexI)
        apply (rule_tac x=y in bexI, auto)
       by (meson \langle h' \rangle \in hom C A > f.hom closed hom in carrier)
  qed
  show "(\lambdaz. (f z, g z)) ◦ (\lambda(x, y). i x \otimes g j y) ∈ Group.iso (A \times\timesB) (C \times \times D)"
    apply (rule group.iso_eq [where f = "\lambda(x,y). (h x,k y)"])
    using ex
    apply (auto simp: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def DirProd_group
iso_paired2 h k fih gjk kernel_def set_eq_iff)
     apply (metis f.hom_closed f.r_one fih imageI)
     apply (metis g.hom_closed g.l_one gjk imageI)
    done
```
## **qed**

#### **47.4 Splitting lemmas and Short exact sequences**

Ported from HOL Light by LCP **definition** short\_exact\_sequence where "short\_exact\_sequence A B C f  $g \equiv \exists T1$  T2 e1 e2. exact\_seq ( $[T1,A,B,C,T2]$ , [e1,f,g,e2]) ∧ trivial\_group T1 ∧ trivial\_group T2" **lemma** short\_exact\_sequenceD: **assumes** "short\_exact\_sequence A B C f g" **shows** "exact\_seq ([A,B,C],  $[f,g]) \wedge f \in epi B A \wedge g \in mon C B''$ **using** assms

```
apply (auto simp: short_exact_sequence_def group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
 apply (simp add: epi_iff_subset group_hom.intro group_hom.kernel_to_trivial_group
group_hom_axioms.intro)
 by (metis (no_types, lifting) group_hom.inj_iff_trivial_ker group_hom.intro
group_hom_axioms.intro
      hom_one image_empty image_insert mem_Collect_eq mon_def trivial_group_def)
lemma short_exact_sequence_iff:
  "short_exact_sequence A B C f g \leftrightarrow exact_seq ([A,B,C], [f,g]) \land f
∈ epi B A ∧ g ∈ mon C B"
proof -
 have "short_exact_sequence A B C f g"
   if "exact_seq ([A, B, C], [f, g])" and "f \in epi B A" and "g \in mon
C B"
 proof -
   show ?thesis
      unfolding short_exact_sequence_def
    proof (intro exI conjI)
      have "kernel A (singleton_group 1_A) (\lambda x. 1_A) = f' carrier B"
        using that by (simp add: kernel_def singleton_group_def epi_def)
      moreover have "kernel C B g = \{1_C\}"
        using that group_hom.inj_iff_trivial_ker mon_def by fastforce
      ultimately show "exact_seq ([singleton_group (one A), A, B, C, singleton_group
(one C)], [\lambda x. 1_A, f, g, id])"
        using that
        by (simp add: group hom def group hom axioms def group.id hom singleton)
    qed auto
qed
  then show ?thesis
    using short_exact_sequenceD by blast
qed
lemma very_short_exact_sequence:
 assumes "exact_seq ([D,C,B,A], [h,g,f])" "trivial_group A" "trivial_group
D"
 shows "g ∈ iso B C"
 using assms
  apply simp
  by (metis (no_types, lifting) group_hom.image_from_trivial_group group_hom.iso_iff
      group_hom.kernel_to_trivial_group group_hom.trivial_ker_imp_inj
group_hom_axioms.intro group_hom_def hom_carrier inj_on_one_iff')
lemma splitting_sublemma_gen:
 assumes ex: "exact seq ([C, B, A], [g, f])" and fim: "f ' carrier A =
H"
      and "subgroup K B" and 1: "H ∩ K ⊆ {one B}" and eq: "set_mult
B H K = carrier B"
 shows "g \in iso (subgroup generated B K) (subgroup generated C(g ' carrier
B))"
```
830

```
proof -
 interpret KB: subgroup K B
    by (rule assms)
  interpret fAB: group_hom A B f
    using ex by simp
 interpret gBC: group_hom B C g
    using ex by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
 have "group A" "group B" "group C" and kerg: "kernel B C g = f ' carrier
A"
      using ex by (auto simp: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
 have ker_eq: "kernel B C g = H"
    using ex by (simp add: fim)
  then have "subgroup H B"
    using ex by (simp add: group_hom.img_is_subgroup)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding iso_iff
  proof (intro conjI)
    show "g \in hom (subgroup_generated B K) (subgroup_generated C(g'')carrier B))"
      by (metis ker_eq ‹ subgroup K B› eq gBC.hom_between_subgroups gBC.set_mult_ker_hom(2)
order_refl subgroup.subset)
    show "g ' carrier (subgroup_generated B K) = carrier (subgroup_generated
C(g ' carrier B))"
      by (metis assms(3) eq fAB.H.subgroupE(1) gBC.img_is_subgroup gBC.set_mult_ker_hom(2)
ker_eq subgroup.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup)
    interpret gKBC: group_hom "subgroup_generated B K" C g
      apply (auto simp: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def ‹ group C› )
      by (simp add: fAB.H.hom_from_subgroup_generated gBC.homh)
    have *: "x = 1_B"if x: "x \in \text{carrier (subgroup-generated B K)}" and "g x = 1e" for
x
    proof -
      have x': "x ∈ carrier B"
        using that fAB.H.carrier_subgroup_generated_subset by blast
      moreover have "x ∈ H"
        using kerg fim x' that by (auto simp: kernel_def set_eq_iff)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (metis "1" x Int_iff singletonD KB.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup
subsetCE)
    qed
    show "inj_on g (carrier (subgroup_generated B K))"
      using "*" gKBC.inj_on_one_iff by auto
 qed
qed
lemma splitting_sublemma:
 assumes ex: "short_exact_sequence C B A g f" and fim: "f ' carrier
A = H''and "subgroup K B" and 1: "H ∩ K ⊆ {one B}" and eq: "set_mult
```
831

```
B H K = carrier B"
    shows "f ∈ iso A (subgroup_generated B H)" (is ?f)
          "g ∈ iso (subgroup_generated B K) C" (is ?g)
proof -
 show ?f
    using short_exact_sequenceD [OF ex]
    apply (clarsimp simp add: group hom def group.iso onto image)
    using fim group.iso_onto_image by blast
  have "C = subgroup_generated C(g ' carrier B)"
    using short_exact_sequenceD [OF ex]
    apply simp
    by (metis epi_iff_subset group.subgroup_generated_group_carrier hom_carrier
subset_antisym)
 then show ?g
    using short_exact_sequenceD [OF ex]
    by (metis "1" ‹ subgroup K B› eq fim splitting_sublemma_gen)
qed
lemma splitting_lemma_left_gen:
 assumes ex: "exact_seq ([C, B, A], [g, f])" and f': "f' \in hom B A" and
iso: "(f' \circ f) \in iso A A"and injf: "inj_on f (carrier A)" and surj: "g ' carrier B = carrier
C"
obtains H K where "H C B" "K C B" "H ∩ K ⊆ {one B}" "set_mult B H K
= carrier B"
                   "f \in iso A (subgroup generated B H)" "g \in iso (subgroup generated
B K) C"
proof -
  interpret gBC: group_hom B C g
    using ex by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  have "group A" "group B" "group C" and kerg: "kernel B C g = f ' carrier
A"
    using ex by (auto simp: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  then have *: "f ' carrier A ∩ kernel B A f' = \{1_B\} ∧ f ' carrier A
\langle # \rangle_B kernel B A f' = carrier B"
    using group_semidirect_sum_image_ker [of f A B f' A] assms by auto
 interpret f'AB: group_hom B A f'
    using assms by (auto simp: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  let ?H = "f ' carrier A"
  let ?K = "kernel B A f'"
 show thesis
  proof
    show "?H \triangleleft B"
      by (simp add: gBC.normal_kernel flip: kerg)
    show "?K \triangleleft B"
      by (rule f'AB.normal_kernel)
    show "?H ∩ ?K ⊂ \{1_R\}" "?H <#><sub>R</sub> ?K = carrier B"
      using * by auto
    show "f ∈ Group.iso A (subgroup_generated B ?H)"
```

```
using ex by (simp add: injf iso_onto_image group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
    have C: "C = subgroup_generated C(g ' carrier B)"
      using surj by (simp add: gBC.subgroup_generated_group_carrier)
    show "g \in Group.iso (subgroup generated B ?K) C"
      apply (subst C)
      apply (rule splitting_sublemma_gen [OF ex refl])
      using * by (auto simp: f'AB.subgroup_kernel)
  qed
qed
lemma splitting_lemma_left:
  assumes ex: "exact_seq ([C, B, A], [g, f])" and f': "f' \in hom B A"
    and inv: "(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier A} \implies f'(f(x)) = x)"
    and injf: "inj_on f (carrier A)" and surj: "g ' carrier B = carrier
C<sup>II</sup>obtains H K where "H C B" "K C B" "H ∩ K ⊆ {one B}" "set_mult B H K
= carrier B"
                   "f \in iso A (subgroup_generated B H)" "g \in iso (subgroup_generated
B K) C"
proof -
 interpret fAB: group_hom A B f
    using ex by simp
  interpret gBC: group_hom B C g
    using ex by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
 have "group A" "group B" "group C" and kerg: "kernel B C g = f ' carrier
A"
      using ex by (auto simp: group hom def group hom axioms def)
  have iso: "f' ◦ f ∈ Group.iso A A"
    using ex by (auto simp: inv intro: group.iso_eq [OF ‹ group A› id_iso])
 show thesis
    by (metis that splitting_lemma_left_gen [OF ex f' iso injf surj])
qed
lemma splitting_lemma_right_gen:
 assumes ex: "short_exact_sequence C B A g f" and g': "g' \in hom C B"
and iso: "({g \circ g'}) \in iso C C"
 obtains H K where "H C B" "subgroup K B" "H ∩ K ⊆ {one B}" "set_mult
B H K = carrier B"
                   "f \in iso A (subgroup_generated B H)" "g \in iso (subgroup_generated
B K) C"
proof
  interpret fAB: group_hom A B f
    using short_exact_sequenceD [OF ex] by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  interpret gBC: group_hom B C g
    using short_exact_sequenceD [OF ex] by (simp add: group_hom_def group_hom_axioms_def)
  have *: "f ' carrier A \cap g' ' carrier C = \{1_R\}"
          "f ' carrier A \leq B g' ' carrier C = carrier B"
          "group A" "group B" "group C"
          "kernel B C g = f' carrier A"
```
833

```
using group_semidirect_sum_ker_image [of g g' C C B] short_exact_sequenceD
[OF ex]
    by (simp_all add: g' iso group_hom_def)
  show "kernel B C g \leq B"
    by (simp add: gBC.normal_kernel)
  show "(kernel B C g) ∩ (g' ' carrier C) \subseteq {1<sub>B</sub>}" "(kernel B C g) <#><sub>B</sub>
(g' ' carrier C) = carrier B"
    by (auto simp: *)
  show "f ∈ Group.iso A (subgroup_generated B (kernel B C g))"
    by (metis "*"(6) fAB.group_hom_axioms group.iso_onto_image group_hom_def
short_exact_sequenceD [OF ex])
  show "subgroup (g' ' carrier C) B"
    using splitting_sublemma
    by (simp add: fAB.H.is_group g' gBC.is_group group_hom.img_is_subgroup
group_hom_axioms_def group_hom_def)
  then show "g \in Group.iso (subgroup_generated B (g' ' carrier C)) C"
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) iso_iff fAB.H.hom_from_subgroup_generated
gBC.homh image_comp inj_on_imageI iso subgroup.carrier_subgroup_generated_subgroup)
qed
lemma splitting_lemma_right:
  assumes ex: "short_exact_sequence C B A g f" and g': "g' ∈ hom C B"
\text{and } gg': \text{ ''}\text{/}\text{/}z. \text{ } z\in \text{ carrier } C \implies g(g' \text{ 'z}) = z \text{''}obtains H K where "H C B" "subgroup K B" "H ∩ K ⊆ {one B}" "set_mult
B H K = carrier B"
                    "f \in iso A (subgroup generated B H)" "g \in iso (subgroup generated
B K) C"
proof -
  have *: "group A" "group B" "group C"
    using group_semidirect_sum_ker_image [of g g' C C B] short_exact_sequenceD
[OF ex]
    by (simp_all add: g' group_hom_def)
  show thesis
    apply (rule splitting_lemma_right_gen [OF ex g' group.iso_eq [OF _
id_iso]])
    using * apply (auto simp: gg' intro: that)
    done
qed
```
**end theory** Left\_Coset **imports** Coset

**begin**

**definition**

```
LCOSETS :: "[, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a set)set" ("lcosets<sup>1</sup> _" [81] 80)
  where "lcosets<sub>G</sub> H = (\bigcup a \in \text{carrier } G. \{a \leq \#_G H\})"
definition
  LFactGroup :: "[('a, 'b) monoid scheme, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a set) monoid" (infixl
"LMod" 65)
    — Actually defined for groups rather than monoids
   where "LFactGroup G H = |carrier = lcosets<sub>G</sub> H, mult = set mult G,
one = H\parallel"
lemma (in group) lcos_self: "[| x \in carrier G; subgroup H G |] ==> x
∈ x <# H"
  by (simp add: group_l_invI subgroup.lcos_module_rev subgroup.one_closed)
Elements of a left coset are in the carrier
lemma (in subgroup) elemlcos_carrier:
  assumes "group G" "a ∈ carrier G" "a' ∈ a <# H"
  shows "a' ∈ carrier G"
  by (meson assms group.l_coset_carrier subgroup_axioms)
Step one for lemma rcos_module
lemma (in subgroup) lcos_module_imp:
  assumes "group G"
  assumes xcarr: "x ∈ carrier G"
      and x'cos: "x' ∈ x <# H"
  shows "(inv x ⊗ x') ∈ H"
proof -
  interpret group G by fact
  obtain h
    where hH: "h \in H" and x': "x' = x \otimes h" and hcarr: "h \in carrier
G''using assms by (auto simp: l_coset_def)
  have "(inv x) \otimes x' = (inv x) \otimes (x \otimes h)"
    by (simp add: x')
  have "... = (x \otimes inv x) \otimes h''by (metis hcarr inv_closed inv_inv l_inv m_assoc xcarr)
  also have ". . . = h"
    by (simp add: hcarr xcarr)
  finally have "(inv x) \otimes x' = h"
    using x' by metis
  then show "(inv x) \otimes x' ∈ H"
    using hH by force
qed
Left cosets are subsets of the carrier.
lemma (in subgroup) lcosets_carrier:
  assumes "group G"
  assumes XH: "X ∈ lcosets H"
  shows "X ⊆ carrier G"
```

```
proof -
  interpret group G by fact
  show "X ⊆ carrier G"
    using XH l_coset_subset_G subset by (auto simp: LCOSETS_def)
qed
lemma (in group) lcosets_part_G:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "\bigcup (lcosets H) = carrier G"
proof -
  interpret subgroup H G by fact
  show ?thesis
  proof
    \texttt{show "} \bigcup \texttt{(lossets H)} \subseteq \texttt{carrier G"}by (force simp add: LCOSETS_def l_coset_def)
    \texttt{show "carrier G} \subseteq \bigcup \texttt{(lossets H)}"by (auto simp add: LCOSETS_def intro: lcos_self assms)
  qed
qed
lemma (in group) lcosets_subset_PowG:
     "subgroup H G \implies lcosets H \subseteq Pow(carrier G)"
  using lcosets_part_G subset_Pow_Union by blast
lemma (in group) lcos_disjoint:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  \text{assumes p: "a } \in \text{lossets H" "b } \in \text{lossets H" "a} \neq b"shows "a ∩ b = {}"
proof -
  interpret subgroup H G by fact
  show ?thesis
    using p l_repr_independence subgroup_axioms unfolding LCOSETS_def
disjoint_iff
    by blast
qed
The next two lemmas support the proof of card_cosets_equal.
lemma (in group) inj_on_f':
    \mathbb{H} \subseteq carrier G; a \in carrier G] \implies inj_on (\lambday. y \otimes inv a) (a <#
H)"
  by (simp add: inj_on_g l_coset_subset_G)
lemma (in group) inj_on_f'':
    "[H \subseteq carrier G; a \in carrier G] \implies inj_on (\lambday. inv a \otimes y) (a <#
H)"
  by (meson inj_on_cmult inv_closed l_coset_subset_G subset_inj_on)
lemma (in group) inj_on_g':
    "[H \subseteq carrier G; a \in carrier G] \implies inj on (\lambday. a \otimes y) H"
```

```
using inj_on_cmult inj_on_subset by blast
lemma (in group) l_card_cosets_equal:
 assumes "c ∈ lcosets H" and H: "H ⊆ carrier G" and fin: "finite(carrier
G)"
 shows "card H = \text{card } c"
proof -
 obtain x where x: "x \in carrier G" and c: "c = x \leq # H"
    using assms by (auto simp add: LCOSETS_def)
 have "inj_on ((⊗) x) H"
    by (simp add: H group.inj_on_g' x)
  moreover
  have "(⊗) x ' H ⊆ x <# H"
    by (force simp add: m_assoc subsetD l_coset_def)
  moreover
 have "inj on ((\otimes) (inv x)) (x \leq# H)"
   by (simp add: H group.inj_on_f'' x)
 moreover
  have \sqrt[m]{h}. h \in H \implies inv \times \otimes (x \otimes h) \in H^mby (metis H in_mono inv_solve_left m_closed x)
 then have "(\otimes) (inv x) ' (x <# H) \subseteq H"
    by (auto simp: l_coset_def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis H fin c card_bij_eq finite_imageD finite_subset)
qed
theorem (in group) l_lagrange:
 assumes "finite(carrier G)" "subgroup H G"
 shows "card(lcosets H) * card(H) = order(G)"
proof -
  have "card H * card (lcosets H) = card (\bigcup (lcosets H))"
    using card_partition
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms finite_UnionD l_card_cosets_equal
lcos_disjoint lcosets_part_G subgroup.subset)
 then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms(2) lcosets_part_G mult.commute order_def)
qed
```
**end**

```
theory SimpleGroups
imports Coset "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Primes"
begin
```
# **48 Simple Groups**

```
locale simple_group = group +
 assumes order_gt_one: "order G > 1"
```

```
\text{assumes no\_real\_normal\_subgroup: } " \bigwedge \text{H. H } \lhd \text{G} \implies \text{(H = carrier G } \lor \text{H}= \{1\})"
lemma (in simple_group) is_simple_group: "simple_group G"
 by (rule simple_group_axioms)
Simple groups are non-trivial.
lemma (in simple_group) simple_not_triv: "carrier G \neq \{1\}"
  using order_gt_one unfolding order_def by auto
Every group of prime order is simple
lemma (in group) prime_order_simple:
 assumes prime: "prime (order G)"
 shows "simple_group G"
proof
  from prime show "1 < order G"
    unfolding prime_nat_iff by auto
next
 fix H
 assume H \leq G"
 hence HG: "subgroup H G" unfolding normal_def by simp
 hence "card H dvd order G"
   by (metis dvd_triv_right lagrange)
  with prime have "card H = 1 \vee card H = order G''unfolding prime_nat_iff by simp
 thus "H = carrier G \vee H = \{1\}"
 proof
    assume "card H = 1"
    moreover from HG have "1 ∈ H" by (metis subgroup.one_closed)
    ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp: card_Suc_eq)
 next
    assume "card H = order G"
    moreover from HG have "H ⊆ carrier G" unfolding subgroup_def by
simp
    moreover from prime have "finite (carrier G)"
      using order_gt_0_iff_finite by force
    ultimately show ?thesis
      unfolding order_def by (metis card_subset_eq)
  qed
qed
Being simple is a property that is preserved by isomorphisms.
```

```
lemma (in simple_group) iso_simple:
 assumes H: "group H"
 assumes iso: "\varphi \in iso G H"
 shows "simple_group H"
unfolding simple_group_def simple_group_axioms_def
proof (intro conjI strip H)
```

```
from iso have "order G = order H" unfolding iso_def order_def using
bij_betw_same_card by auto
  with order_gt_one show "1 < order H" by simp
next
 have inv iso: "(inv_into (carrier G) \varphi) ∈ iso H G" using iso
    by (simp add: iso_set_sym)
 fix N
 assume NH: "N < H"then interpret Nnormal: normal N H by simp
  define M where "M = (inv_into (carrier G) \varphi) ' N"
 hence MG: "M < G"using inv_iso NH H by (metis is_group iso_normal_subgroup)
 have surj: "ϕ ' carrier G = carrier H"
    using iso unfolding iso_def bij_betw_def by simp
 hence MN: "\varphi ' M = N"
    unfolding M_def using Nnormal.subset image_inv_into_cancel by metis
  then have "N = \{1_H\}" if "M = \{1\}"
    using Nnormal.subgroup_axioms subgroup.one_closed that by force
  then show "N = carrier H \vee N = \{1_H\}"
    by (metis MG MN no_real_normal_subgroup surj)
qed
As a corollary of this: Factorizing a group by itself does not result in a simple
group!
lemma (in group) self_factor_not_simple: "¬ simple_group (G Mod (carrier
G))"
proof
 assume assm: "simple_group (G Mod (carrier G))"
 with self_factor_iso simple_group.iso_simple have "simple_group (G(|carrier
:= \{1\})"
    using subgroup_imp_group triv_subgroup by blast
  thus False
    using simple_group.simple_not_triv by force
qed
end
theory SndIsomorphismGrp
imports Coset
begin
```
### **49 The Second Isomorphism Theorem for Groups**

This theory provides a proof of the second isomorphism theorems for groups. The theorems consist of several facts about normal subgroups.

The first lemma states that whenever we have a subgroup S and a normal

subgroup H of a group G, their intersection is normal in G

```
locale second_isomorphism_grp = normal +
  fixes S:: "'a set"
 assumes subgrpS: "subgroup S G"
context second_isomorphism_grp
begin
interpretation groupS: group "G(|carrier := S)"
 using subgrpS
 by (metis subgroup_imp_group)
lemma normal_subgrp_intersection_normal:
 shows "S ∩ H \lhd (G(\lceilcarrier := S))"
proof(auto simp: groupS.normal_inv_iff)
  from subgrpS is_subgroup have "\Lambda x. x \in \{S, H\} \implies subgroup x G" by
auto
  hence "subgroup (T
{S, H}) G" using subgroups_Inter by blast
 hence "subgroup (S ∩ H) G" by auto
 moreover have "S ∩ H ⊆ S" by simp
  ultimately show "subgroup (S \cap H) (G(|carrier := S))"
    by (simp add: subgroup_incl subgrpS)
next
 fix g h
 assume g: "g ∈ S" and hH: "h ∈ H" and hS: "h ∈ S"
  from g hH subgrpS show "g \otimes h \otimes inv<sub>G(carrier := S)</sub> g \in H"
    by (metis inv_op_closed2 subgroup.mem_carrier m_inv_consistent)
  from g hS subgrpS show "g \otimes h \otimes inv<sub>G(carrier := S)</sub> g \in S"
    by (metis subgroup.m_closed subgroup.m_inv_closed m_inv_consistent)
qed
lemma normal_set_mult_subgroup:
 shows "subgroup (H <#> S) G"
proof(rule subgroupI)
 show "H <#> S ⊆ carrier G"
    by (metis setmult_subset_G subgroup.subset subgrpS subset)
next
 have "1 ∈ H" "1 ∈ S"
    using is_subgroup subgrpS subgroup.one_closed by auto
 hence "1 ⊗ 1 ∈ H <#> S"
    unfolding set_mult_def by blast
 thus "H <#> S \neq {\}" by auto
next
 fix g
 assume g: "g ∈ H <#> S"
 then obtain h s where h: "h \in H" and s: "s \in S" and ghs: "g = h \otimess" unfolding set_mult_def
   by auto
  hence "s ∈ carrier G" by (metis subgroup.mem_carrier subgrpS)
```

```
with h ghs obtain h' where h': "h' ∈ H" and "g = s \otimes h'"
    using coset_eq unfolding r_coset_def l_coset_def by auto
  with s have "inv g = (inv h') \otimes (inv s)"
    by (metis inv_mult_group mem_carrier subgroup.mem_carrier subgrpS)
  moreover from h' s subgrpS have "inv h' \in H" "inv s \in S"
    using subgroup.m_inv_closed m_inv_closed by auto
  ultimately show "inv g \in H \iff S"
    unfolding set_mult_def by auto
next
  fix g g'
  assume g: "g ∈ H <#> S" and h: "g' ∈ H <#> S"
  then obtain h h' s s' where hh'ss': "h ∈ H" "h' ∈ H" "s ∈ S" "s' ∈
S" and "g = h \otimes s" and "g' = h' \otimes s'"
    unfolding set_mult_def by auto
  hence "g ⊗ g' = (h ⊗ s) ⊗ (h' ⊗ s')" by metis
  also from hh'ss' have inG: "h ∈ carrier G" "h' ∈ carrier G" "s ∈ carrier
G" "s' ∈ carrier G"
    using subgrpS mem_carrier subgroup.mem_carrier by force+
  hence "(h ⊗ s) ⊗ (h' ⊗ s') = h ⊗ (s ⊗ h') ⊗ s'"
    using m_assoc by auto
  also from hh'ss' inG obtain h'' where h'': "h'' ∈ H" and "s ⊗ h' =
h'' ⊗ s"
    using coset_eq unfolding r_coset_def l_coset_def
    by fastforce
  hence "h ⊗ (s ⊗ h') ⊗ s' = h ⊗ (h'' ⊗ s) ⊗ s'"
    by simp
  also from h'' inG have "... = (h \otimes h'') \otimes (s \otimes s')"
    using m_assoc mem_carrier by auto
  finally have "g \otimes g' = h \otimes h'" \otimes (s \otimes s')".
  moreover have "... ∈ H <#> S"
    unfolding set_mult_def using h'' hh'ss' subgrpS subgroup.m_closed
by fastforce
  ultimately show "g \otimes g' \in H \lt\# S"
    by simp
qed
lemma H_contained_in_set_mult:
  shows "H ⊆ H <#> S"
proof
  fix x
  \begin{array}{lclclclcl} \textbf{assume} & \textbf{x}: & \textbf{``x} & \in & \textbf{H}\textbf{''} \end{array}have "x ⊗ 1 ∈ H <#> S" unfolding set_mult_def
    using second_isomorphism_grp.subgrpS second_isomorphism_grp_axioms
subgroup.one_closed x by force
  with x show "x ∈ H <#> S" by (metis mem_carrier r_one)
qed
lemma S_contained_in_set_mult:
  shows "S ⊆ H <#> S"
```

```
proof
 fix s
 assume s: "s \in S"then have "1 ⊗ s ∈ H \lt #> S" unfolding set mult def by force
  with s show "s \in H \lt\# S" using subgrpS subgroup.mem carrier 1 one
by force
qed
lemma normal_intersection_hom:
 shows "group_hom (G(|carrier := S)) ((G(|carrier := H <#> S)) Mod H) (\lambdag.
H \# > g)"
proof -
  have "group ((G(|carrier := H <#> S|)) Mod H)"
    by (simp add: H_contained_in_set_mult normal.factorgroup_is_group
normal_axioms
        normal restrict supergroup normal set mult subgroup)
 moreover
  { fix g
    assume g: "g \in S"with g have "g \in H \iff S"
      using S_contained_in_set_mult by blast
    hence "H #> g ∈ carrier ((G(|carrier := H <#> S|)) Mod H)"
      unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def r_coset_def by auto }
 moreover
  have "
V
x y. [[x ∈ S; y ∈ S]] =⇒ H #> x ⊗ y = H #> x <#> (H #> y)"
    using normal.rcos_sum normal_axioms subgroup.mem_carrier subgrpS by
fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: group hom def group hom axioms def hom def)
qed
lemma normal_intersection_hom_kernel:
 shows "kernel (G(|carrier := S)) ((G|carrier := H <#> S)) Mod H) (\lambdag.
H #> g) = H ∩ S"
proof -
 have "kernel (G(|carrier := S)) ((G(|carrier := H <#> S)) Mod H) (\lambdag.
H \# > g)
      = {g \in S. H \# > g = 1_{(G||carrier := H \iff S|)} Mod H<sup>}</sup>
    unfolding kernel_def by auto
  also have "... = {g \in S. H \# > g = H}"
    unfolding FactGroup_def by auto
  also have "\dots = {g \in S. g \in H}"
    by (meson coset_join1 is_group rcos_const subgroupE(1) subgroup_axioms
subgrpS subset_eq)
 also have "\dots = H \cap S" by auto
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```
**lemma** normal\_intersection\_hom\_surj:

```
shows "(λg. H #> g) ' carrier (G(|carrier := S|)) = carrier ((G(|carrier
:= H <#> S|)) Mod H)"
proof auto
  fix g
  assume \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{S}"
  hence "g ∈ H <#> S"
    using S_contained_in_set_mult by auto
  thus "H #> g \in carrier ((G(carrier := H <#> S)) Mod H)"
    unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def r_coset_def by auto
next
  fix x
  assume "x \in \text{carrier (G}(\text{carrier := H \text{ > S}) \text{ mod H}})"
  then obtain h s where h: "h \in H" and s: "s \in S" and "x = H #> (h
⊗ s)"
    unfolding FactGroup_def RCOSETS_def r_coset_def set_mult_def by auto
  hence "x = (H \# > h) \# > s"
    by (metis h s coset_mult_assoc mem_carrier subgroup.mem_carrier subgrpS
subset)
  also have "... = H #> s"
    by (metis h is_group rcos_const)
  finally have ''x = H \neq s''.
  with s show "x ∈ (#>) H ' S"
    by simp
qed
Finally we can prove the actual isomorphism theorem:
theorem normal_intersection_quotient_isom:
  shows "(\lambdaX. the_elem ((\lambdag. H #> g) ' X)) \in iso ((G(carrier := S)) Mod
(H \cap S)) (((G(carrier := H <#> S))) Mod H)"
using normal intersection hom kernel[symmetric] normal intersection hom
normal_intersection_hom_surj
by (metis group hom.FactGroup iso set)
end
corollary (in group) normal_subgroup_set_mult_closed:
  assumes "M \triangleleft G" and "N \triangleleft G"
  shows "M \leq #> N \leq G"
proof (rule normalI)
  from assms show "subgroup (M <#> N) G"
    using second_isomorphism_grp.normal_set_mult_subgroup normal_imp_subgroup
    unfolding second_isomorphism_grp_def second_isomorphism_grp_axioms_def
by force
next
  show "∀ x∈carrier G. M <#> N #> x = x <# (M <#> N)"
  proof
```

```
fix x
assume x: "x ∈ carrier G"
```

```
have "M <#> N #> x = M <#> (N #> x)"
      by (metis assms normal_inv_iff setmult_rcos_assoc subgroup.subset
x)
    also have "... = M \leq \# (x \leq \# N)"
      by (metis assms(2) normal.coset_eq x)
    also have "... = (M \# > x) <#> N"
      by (metis assms normal_imp_subgroup rcos_assoc_lcos subgroup.subset
x)
    also have "... = x \leq \# (M \leq \# N)"
      by (simp add: assms normal.coset_eq normal_imp_subgroup setmult_lcos_assoc
subgroup.subset x)
    finally show "M <#> N #> x = x <# (M <#> N)" .
 qed
qed
end
theory Algebra
  imports Sylow Chinese_Remainder Zassenhaus Galois_Connection Generated_Fields
Free_Abelian_Groups
     Divisibility Embedded_Algebras IntRing Sym_Groups Exact_Sequence
Polynomials Algebraic_Closure
     Left_Coset SimpleGroups SndIsomorphismGrp
begin
```
**end**

### **References**

- [1] C. Ballarin. *Computer Algebra and Theorem Proving*. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 1999. Also Computer Laboratory Technical Report number 473.
- [2] K. Conrad. Cyclicity of  $(Z/(p))^x$ . Expository paper from the author's website. [http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/grouptheory/](http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/grouptheory/cyclicFp.pdf) [cyclicFp.pdf.](http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/grouptheory/cyclicFp.pdf)
- [3] N. Jacobson. *Basic Algebra I*. Freeman, 1985.
- [4] F. Kammüller and L. C. Paulson. A formal proof of sylow's theorem: An experiment in abstract algebra with Isabelle HOL. *J. Automated Reasoning*, 23:235–264, 1999.